

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEOCHEMICAL DATA FOR JURASSIC DIABASE ASSOCIATED WITH EARLY  
MESOZOIC BASINS IN THE EASTERN UNITED STATES: GEOLOGIC  
SETTING, OVERVIEW, AND CHEMICAL METHODS USED

By

David Gottfried<sup>1</sup>, Albert J. Froelich<sup>1</sup>, and J. N. Grossman<sup>1</sup>

Open-File Report 91-322-A

This report is preliminary and has not been reviewed for conformity with U.S. Geological editorial standards or with the North American Stratigraphic Code. Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

<sup>1</sup>Reston, Va.

1991

## CONTENTS

I. Geologic setting and overview	1
II. Chemical methods used	5

# I. GEOLOGIC SETTING AND OVERVIEW

## Introduction

At least four major quartz-normative and a minimum of two olivine-normative magma types have been defined among the continental tholeiites from Massachusetts to North Carolina. Analyses of basaltic lava flows and chilled margins of intrusive diabase sheets and dikes associated with rift-related early Mesozoic sedimentary basins are the basis for the definitions of magma types. The study of these magma types has been evolving over the past two decades through the work of many petrologists (Weigand and Ragland, 1970; Smith and others, 1975; Ragland and Whittington, 1983; Puffer and Philpotts, 1988; Tollo and Gottfried, 1989). In South Carolina and Georgia, south of the area covered by this suite of reports, other olivine-normative magma types have been defined in early Mesozoic dikes (Georgia: Gottfried and Arth, 1985; South Carolina: Warner and others, 1985).

Diabase dikes that strike north, northeast, and northwest cut strata of the early Mesozoic basins and intrude the adjacent Piedmont crystalline and folded Paleozoic terranes. Regardless of strike, all principal magma types are represented, although quartz-normative dikes are more common northward from central Virginia, and olivine-normative dikes are more abundant to the south. Similarly, olivine-normative diabase sheets are exposed in North Carolina, whereas quartz-normative sheets are widespread from Connecticut to central Virginia.

Table 1 summarizes the ranges of selected major elements and some trace element average ratios that have been used to help define the principal magma types. As no single ratio or range in elements is totally effective in defining magma types, major element and several element ratios should be used together (see Table 1). As not all magma types present are documented in this report, we have used the following magma type terminology: HTQ = High Ti, quartz normative; HFQ = High Fe, quartz normative; LTQ = Low Ti, quartz normative; ON = Olivine normative.

From 1984 to 1990, the U.S. Geological Survey carried out a field geochemical and petrologic assessment of early Jurassic diabase intrusives associated with the exposed early Mesozoic basins. Major, trace and platinum group elements were analyzed for more than 960 samples as part of the Survey's Strategic and Critical Minerals Program. Although the results of some of the studies based on these analyses have been published (Froelich and Gottfried, 1988; Gottfried and Froelich, 1988; Gottfried and others, 1990), the complete geochemical data base has never before been provided. Included in this study are powders of diabase samples from local studies by both USGS and non-USGS workers, documented elsewhere, that were analyzed for selected elements by the USGS in the course of these studies. Samples generally labeled FG- in the tables, but not labeled on the map, were collected by Froelich and Gottfried of the USGS in the course of this regional study. Samples collected by others are identified, described, and referenced in the brief text accompanying the map (scale 1:125,000) of each of the eight basin areas documented in this study. A very brief discussion of the local PGE distribution in the diabase is also included with these texts.

Of the principal magma types recognized in the Mesozoic tholeiitic province of the eastern United States, the high Ti quartz-normative (HTQ) variety and some of the olivine normative (ON) types have similar original abundances and ratios of Pd and Pt; about 10 parts per billion (ppb) each in chilled margin samples throughout the province. The other quartz-normative

varieties, especially those that formed sheets (LTQ and HFQ), have Pd and Pt abundances commonly less than 5 ppm in the chilled margins and nowhere show significant enrichment. Both ON and HTQ cumulate zones enriched in olivine or orthopyroxene show slight enrichment of Pt (30 to 50 ppb) and depletion of Pd (less than 5 ppm). In contrast, late stage ferrogabbro, ferrodiorite, and granophyre differentiates are significantly enriched in Pd (100-500 ppb) in at least four of the HTQ sheets and one ON sheet. As the enriched zones are relatively thin (tens of meters), it is likely that many such zones in other thick HTQ sheets were not sampled in the course of this reconnaissance study.

Table 1. Classification of magma types of early Mesozoic diabases and basalts based on characteristic major element abundances and selected trace-element ratios (modified from Tollo and Gottfried, 1989, and published and unpublished U.S. Geological Survey data; quartz-normative data are based on more than 200 analyses).

Magma type	Major elements		Trace element ratios (Average basalts)			Trace element ratios (Average basalts)		
	Weight percent	(Average basalts and diabases)	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> T	100 Nb/Ti	Th/Hf	Hf/Ta	La/Yb(n)	
Quartz normative	SiO <sub>2</sub>	MgO	TiO <sub>2</sub>					
HTQ (High Ti)	50-53	7-8	1.0-1.2	<13	0.10	0.85	4.7 3.2	
LTQ (Low Ti)	49.5-52	7.5-8.5	.7-.85	<13	.07	.90	6.0 1.7	
HFQ (High Fe)	51-54	5.2-6	1.0-1.2	12.5-15	.07	.94	6.6 2.1	
HFTQ (High Fe-Ti)	51-54	4.5-6.0	1.3-1.5	>15	.05	.76	8.0 1.4	
Olivine normative			(Average dikes and sheets)			(Average dikes and sheets)		
ONA	47-49	8-15	.25-.45	<13	.12	1.2	5.0 1.8	
ONB	47-50	7-24	.35-.8	<13	.07	.35	7.0 1.35	

Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>T = total iron (FeO+Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). La/Yb(n) = ratio normalized to chondrites. < = less than; > = more than.

## References Cited

- Froelich, A.J., and Gottfried, David, 1988, An overview of early Mesozoic intrusive rocks in the Culpeper basin, Virginia and Maryland, in Froelich, A.J., and Robinson, G.R., Jr., eds., Studies of the early Mesozoic basins of the Eastern United States: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1776, p. 151-165.
- Gottfried, David, and Arth, J.G., 1985, Sr and Nd isotope ratios and geochemistry of Mesozoic diabase dikes from Georgia: Eos, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, v. 66, no. 18, p. 399.
- Gottfried, David, and Froelich, A.J., 1988, Variations of palladium and platinum contents and ratios in selected early Mesozoic tholeiitic rock associations in the Eastern United States, in Froelich, A.J., and Robinson, G.R., Jr., eds., Studies of the early Mesozoic basins of the Eastern United States: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1776, p. 332-341.
- Gottfried, David, Froelich, A.J., Rait, Norma, and Aruscavage, P.J., 1990, Fractionation of palladium and platinum in a Mesozoic diabase sheet, Gettysburg basin, Pennsylvania: implications for mineral exploration: Journal of Geochemical Exploration, v. 37, p. 75-89.
- Puffer, J.H., and Philpotts, A.R., 1988, Eastern North American quartz tholeiites: Geochemistry and petrology, in Manspeizer, W., ed., Triassic-Jurassic rifting, Part B, ch. 24, Elsevier, p. 579-605.
- Ragland, P.C., and Whittington, David, 1983, Early Mesozoic diabase dikes of eastern North America: Magma types: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 15, p. 666.
- Smith, R.C., II, Rose, A.N., and Lanning, R.M., 1975, Geology and geochemistry of Triassic diabase in Pennsylvania: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v.86, p. 943-955.
- Tollo, R.P., and Gottfried, David, 1989, Early Jurassic quartz-normative magmatism of the Eastern North American province: Evidence for independent magmas and distinct sources: International Association of Volcanology and Chemistry of the Earth's Interior, Continental Magmatism Abstracts, Bulletin 131, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Socorro, p. 270.
- Warner, R.D., Snipes, D.S., Hughes, S.S., Steiner, J.C., Davis, M.W., Manoogian, P.R., and Schmitt, R.A., 1985, Olivine-normative dolerite dikes from western South Carolina: Mineralogy, chemical composition, and petrogenesis: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 90, p. 386-400.
- Weigand, P.W., and Ragland, P.C., 1970, Geochemistry of Mesozoic dolerite dikes from eastern North America: Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 29, p. 195-214.

## II. CHEMICAL METHODS USED IN THE ANALYSIS OF DIABASE ASSOCIATED WITH EARLY MESOZOIC BASINS, EASTERN UNITED STATES

### *Introduction*

Chemical analyses listed in this report were done in the Reston, Virginia and Denver, Colorado laboratories of the U.S. Geological Survey over a period of eight years (1984 to 1991). All of the chemical procedures that were used are listed below. Because methodology and data requirements varied over time, many elements were determined by more than one analytical procedure. For some cases, ranges of laboratory numbers, corresponding to the numbers in the data tables, are listed next to the name of a method description, indicating which samples were analyzed by which methods. However, data for some elements are primarily taken from a "preferred" method, with missing values filled in with data from secondary methods. In these cases, it is impractical to specify which samples were analyzed by which methods. All intermethod biases are believed to be less than 10%.

For elements where ranges of laboratory numbers are not specified, the orders of preference for analytical methods are as follows (abbreviations: flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAA), graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAA), inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES), instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA), wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (WDXRF), and energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (EDXRF)):

Na<sub>2</sub>O: 1) INAA, 2) "Rapid rock" (see description below) and WDXRF, 3) ICP-AES  
CaO: 1) "Rapid rock" and WDXRF, 2) INAA  
Cr: 1) INAA, 2) GFAA, 3) ICP-AES  
Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (total): 1) INAA, 2) "Rapid rock" and WDXRF, 3) ICP-AES  
Co: 1) INAA, 2) FAA, 3) ICP-AES  
Ni: 1) ICP-AES, 2) FAA, 3) EDXRF  
Cu: 1) EDXRF, 2) FAA  
Zn: 1) EDXRF, 2) INAA, 3) ICP-AES  
Rb: 1) EDXRF, 2) INAA  
Sr: 1) EDXRF, 2) ICP-AES  
Y: 1) EDXRF, 2) ICP-AES  
Ba: 1) EDXRF, 2) INAA, 3) ICP-AES

### *Data Availability*

Digital versions of the data tabulated in this report are available on double-sided high-density (1.2 MB) 5 $\frac{1}{4}$ " floppy diskettes (Grossman *et al.*, 1991a) and double-sided high-density (1.44 MB) 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " diskettes (Grossman *et al.*, 1991b). These data are in a form compatible with Lotus 1-2-3 Release 2 or its equivalent on IBM-PC-type computers.

## *Field Methods and Sample Preparation*

### Sampling.

Representative samples of fresh core, quarry, or bedrock outcrops of at least 2 kg were collected, labeled, megascopically identified, and located on 1:24,000 topographic quadrangles by latitude and longitude. Where possible, weathered rinds, veins, and obviously altered material were removed and discarded. In the laboratory, the rock samples were re-examined to assure that all undesirable portions were removed; if any extraneous material was present, it was cut away with a diamond saw and discarded. In general, 2-3 cm-wide, square thin-section blanks were cut from representative specimens.

### Grinding.

Large rock fragments (>5 cm) are crushed to ~8 mesh (2 to 3 mm) in a jaw crusher with adjustable, hardened Mn-steel plates. The entire sample is run through a "vertical" grinder with alumina plates, producing a powder of ~100 mesh (i.e., most particles are <150  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Grinding equipment is cleaned before each sample by processing quartz-sand.

### Splitting.

To prepare aliquants for use in different laboratories, the rock powder is thoroughly mixed, poured onto glassine paper, and divided into four piles. Small portions are taken from throughout each mound with a spatula, and placed in a new bottle. For large samples, cone splitters or riffle splitters are used to obtain aliquants.

## *Analysis of Major Element Oxides*

### "Rapid Rock" method (Lab numbers < W-238427)

Following the method of Shapiro *et al.* (1975), 200 mg of samples are fused with a lithium meta-borate/tetra-borate flux. The fused sample is dissolved in dilute  $\text{HNO}_3$ . Silicon, Al, P, and Ti are determined colorimetrically. Total Fe, Ca, K, Mg, Mn, and Na are determined by FAA.  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  is calculated by difference from total Fe and FeO (measured by the method listed below). All data are reported as oxides.

### Wavelength-dispersive XRF (Lab numbers $\geq$ W-238427)

Major element oxides are determined in rocks and minerals by WDXRF (Taggart *et al.*, 1987). 800-mg samples are weighed and then ignited in a Pt-Au crucible at 900 to 925°C for 45 minutes. After cooling, the samples are reweighed to determine the total loss on ignition (LOI). The ignited samples are fused with 8 g of lithium tetraborate by heating at 1120°C for 40 minutes, poured into molds, and the resultant glass disc is irradiated by X-rays generated by a Rh-target tube operating at 35-kV and a current of 60 mA. Characteristic X-rays emitted by each element in the sample are counted, corrected for matrix effects using the deJongh (1973) model, and concentrations are determined using previously prepared calibration standards. Concentration data are then recalculated to account for any mass change on ignition.

### *Analysis of FeO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-</sup>*

FeO (Peck, 1964).

A 500-mg sample is decomposed using HF and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. This solution is treated with boric, sulfuric, and phosphoric acids. Fe (II) is determined by a colorimetric or a potentiometric titration with potassium dichromate. Sodium diphenylamine sulfonate is used as the endpoint indicator in the colorimetric titration.

CO<sub>2</sub> (Engleman *et al.*, 1985).

A 500-mg sample is digested with HClO<sub>4</sub>. CO<sub>2</sub> is evolved and carried into a coulometric cell. The CO<sub>2</sub> is converted into a strong acid by ethanolamine, and is titrated coulometrically.

H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-</sup> (Shapiro, 1975).

A 1-g sample is weighed and dried at 110°C for a minimum of 1 hr. After cooling in a dessicator, the sample is weighed again and H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-</sup> is calculated by difference.

H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>.

*Method of Shapiro (1975)* A 1-gram sample is fused with 2 grams of anhydrous sodium tungstate. Water in the sample is driven off and collected on a preweighed filter paper. Total water is determined by reweighing the filter paper. H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> is calculated as the difference of total H<sub>2</sub>O and previously determined H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-</sup>.

*Method of Jackson et al. (1987) (Lab numbers W241988-828, W243816-838).* A 50-mg sample is mixed with 150 mg of lead oxide/lead chromate flux. The sample is heated to 950°C. The evolved water is determined coulometrically by Karl-Fischer titration. This gives the total H<sub>2</sub>O in the sample. H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> is determined from the difference between total H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-</sup>.

### *Analysis of Sulfur*

A 200-mg sample is weighed. Vanadium pentoxide is added as a combustion aid. The sample is combusted in a sulfur analyzer and the sulfur dioxide evolved is measured by an IR detector (Kirschenbaum, 1983).

### *Analysis of Chlorine*

A 200-mg sample is decomposed using HF, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and KMnO<sub>4</sub> in a specially designed, sealed teflon container. Chlorine is captured in a KOH/Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> solution in a center compartment of the container. Chlorine is determined as chloride by the selective ion electrode (SIE) method of Aruscavage and Campbell (1983).

### *Analysis of Fluorine*

Method of Kirschenbaum (1988).

A 100-mg sample is fused with a Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/ZnO flux. The fusion cake is leached with H<sub>2</sub>O. HCl is added to expel CO<sub>2</sub>. An aliquot of the sample solution is buffered with a sodium citrate/KNO<sub>3</sub> solution. This solution is analyzed for fluorine, as fluoride, by the selective ion electrode (SIE) method of Kirschenbaum (1988).

Method of Hopkins (1977) (Lab numbers W241388-828, W243816-838).

A 50-mg sample is fused with a  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{KNO}_3$  flux. The fusion cake dissolved in 1M citric acid. The sample solution is buffered with sodium citrate. This solution is analyzed for fluorine, as fluoride, by a standard addition method using the SIE method of Hopkins (1977).

#### *Analysis of Platinum Group Elements*

Fire Assay/GFAA method.

A 15-gram sample and 2 mg of gold wire are fused with a  $\text{PbO}/\text{Na}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Borax-glass}/\text{flour}$  flux for 45 min. at  $1000^\circ\text{C}$ . The lead button is separated from the fusion slag. Bone-ash cupels are preheated at  $1000^\circ\text{C}$ , and the lead buttons are added. After the buttons have melted the temperature is reduced to  $850^\circ\text{C}$ , and air is passed over the cupels. The lead is oxidized to  $\text{PbO}$ , and is absorbed into the bone ash, leaving a gold bead which is dissolved in aqua regia and evaporated to dryness. The residue is taken up in 6M  $\text{HCl}$ , and analyzed for Pd, Pt and Rh by GFAA (Aruscavage *et al.*, 1984).

The accuracy and precision of Pd and Pt analyses by this method was monitored by including replicate samples of USGS standard rocks DNC-1, W-2, and BHVO-1 (see Gottfried and Froelich, 1988, for a full discussion).

Nickel sulfide/ICP-MS method (Lab numbers W241388-828, W243816-838).

A 10-g sample is fused with a  $\text{Ni}/\text{S}/\text{SiO}_2/\text{Na}_3\text{CO}_2/\text{borax-glass}$  flux for 2 hours at  $1050^\circ\text{C}$ . The resulting NiS button is removed, broken into pieces, and placed into a test tube. 1 mL of 20%  $\text{SnCl}_2$ , 0.1 mL of 1% tellurium solution, and 50-70 mL of concentrated  $\text{HCl}$  are added to the tube to dissolve the NiS button. The solution is filtered and the residue is collected on polycarbonate filter paper. The filter paper is dissolved in  $\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$ , diluted to 10 mL with 1%  $\text{HCl}$  and the solution is analyzed for Pd, Pt, Rh, Ru and Ir by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

#### *Analysis of Ni, Cu, Zn, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr and Ba by Energy-Dispersive XRF*

Approximately 1.0 g of 100-mesh, powdered sample is pressed into a Mylar cup. Samples are analyzed for Ni, Cu, Zn, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr and Ba using a Kevex 700 EDXRF spectrometer with a Kevex 7000 analyzer (Johnson, 1984; Johnson and King, 1987). The secondary targets used to fluoresce each element were:

Ni, Cu and Zn : Germanium

Rb, Sr, Y and Zr : Silver

Ba : Gadolinium

Corrections are made for background interferences, escape peaks, and spectral overlaps. Sources of error inherent to EDXRF analysis are corrected using the Compton ratio method. Trace element concentrations in the samples are calculated from calibration graphs of the intensity ratio vs. concentration for a series of standard reference materials found in Abbey (1983).

#### *Multielement Neutron Activation Analysis*

Sample aliquants of  $\sim 0.5$  g each are irradiated for 6-8 hours at a flux of  $\sim 2 \times 10^{12}$   $\text{n-cm}^{-2}\text{-s}^{-1}$  in the "TRIGA" reactor at the U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, CO. Standards for most elements are aliquants of a powdered natural obsidian spiked with primary

solutions, taken to dryness and homogenized. Standards for Ca, Ti and Au are powdered, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and homogeneous low-Au quartz, respectively. At least one replicate sample plus USGS standard rocks DNC-1 and W-2 were irradiated together with the samples and standards.

Samples are counted three times on co-axial Ge and/or Ge(Li) detectors with resolutions ranging from 1.78 to 1.86 KeV measured at 1.33 MeV using the following scheme: 1-hour counts after 6-8 days of decay, 2-hour counts after 14-17 days of decay, and 2-4 hour counts ~50 days after irradiation. In addition, one count is done on an intrinsic Ge, low-energy photon detector (for 1 hour, 8-10 days after irradiation).

Gamma-ray spectra are analyzed for Na, Ca, Sc, Cr, Fe, Co, Zn, As, Rb, Zr, Sb, Cs, Ba, La, Ce, Nd, Sm, Eu, Tb, Yb, Lu, Hf, Ta, Au, Th and U. Computer processing is done with SPECTRA and associated programs on a VAX 11/780 computer (Grossman and Baedeker, 1987; Baedeker and McKown, 1987; Baedeker and Grossman, 1989). Corrections are made for spectral interferences as well as for interferences on Zr, Ba, La, Ce and Nd from the products of <sup>235</sup>U fission in the reactor. Data for Na, Ca and Fe are reported as oxides.

#### *Multielement ICP Analysis*

Denver method (Ba, Cr, Co, Ga, Ni, Sr, Y, and Zn).

Trace elements are measured using the ICP-AES method of Lichte *et al.* (1987) and Crock *et al.* (1983). The sample is decomposed using a mixture of HCl, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub>, and HF at low temperature. The digested sample is taken to dryness, the residue treated with aqua regia, and the solution brought to 10 grams with dilute nitric acid. The solution is nebulized into the ICP-AES plasma discharge.

Reston method (V, Fe, Na)

A 100-mg sample is decomposed with HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub>, and HF and evaporated to dryness overnight. The residue is dissolved in 10 mL of 2N HCl. Analysis of V, Fe and Na is done directly on this solution by ICP-AES (Lichte *et al.*, 1987). Dilutions may be required for higher concentrations of major elements. If the samples are low (<10 ppm) in V the solutions are analyzed directly by GFAA (Aruscavage and Crock, 1987).

#### *Analysis of Silver*

Following the method of Aruscavage and Campbell (1979), a 200-mg sample is decomposed using HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub>, and HF, and evaporated to dryness overnight. The residue is dissolved in 15 mL of 20% tartaric acid. The Ag is extracted into butyl acetate as the diphenylthiourea complex. The organic layer is analyzed for Ag by GFAA. Standard solutions are taken through the procedure along with the samples.

#### *Analysis of Niobium*

A 100-mg sample is decomposed with HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub>, and HF and evaporated to dryness overnight. The residue is dissolved in 15 mL of 10N HCl. The solution is passed through an ion exchange column to remove the alkali metals. The chloride form of Nb is adsorbed onto the resin. The column is washed with 5N HF to remove Fe. A solution of 7N HNO<sub>3</sub> is poured through the column to quantitatively strip the Nb from the resin.

This fraction is collected and evaporated to dryness. The residue is dissolved in 2 mL of 2N HCl and analyzed by ICP-AES.

#### *Analysis of Co, Cr, Ni and Cu by Atomic Absorption*

A 100-mg sample is decomposed with HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub>, and HF and evaporated to dryness overnight. The residue is dissolved in 10 mL of 2N HCl. Analysis of Co, Cu and Ni is done directly on this solution by FAA (Aruscavage and Crock, 1987). If the samples are low (<10 ppm) in Co, Cr and Cu the solutions are analyzed directly by GFAA (Aruscavage and Crock, 1987). Ni at <20 ppm is analyzed by GFAA using a magnesium nitrate matrix modifier.

#### *Analysis of Boron by Emission Spectrography*

10 mg of powdered sample is thoroughly mixed and ground with 40 mg of copper hydroxyfluoride (CuOHF) in an agate mortar. Boron is measured on an emission spectrograph using the method of Golightly *et al.* (1987), based on the volatilization of boron fluorides into a direct-current arc. The CuOHF inhibits the formation of refractory boron carbide on the graphite electrode. The concentration of boron, which may range from 0.2 to 600 ppm, is calculated from the intensity of the light emitted from the arc of the sample electrode relative to that of artificial and natural standards run under similar spectrographic conditions.

#### *Explanation of Tables*

The accompanying tables were generated by computer from a large data base. Several general rules governed the display of significant figures. (1) Data for major elements are all given in wt.% to two decimal places. (2) Data for trace elements are given to enough figures that the round-off error is  $\leq 3\%$  and averages  $\sim 1\%$ . These rules rarely lead to the display of too few significant figures, but may result in extra trailing zeros when a laboratory reported fewer digits due to low precision.

Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>\* represents total iron calculated as Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The totals of major elements ( $\Sigma$ ) have not been corrected for excess oxygen when S, F and Cl are present. Values reported as upper limits were included in the analysis, but were below the detection limit (note that detection limits are matrix dependent by some methods, notably INAA). Dashes are shown for elements that were not analyzed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following people from the Branch of Analytical Chemistry and its successor, the Branch of Geochemistry, provided extraordinary support for this project. Without their tireless, dedicated work this project would have been utterly impossible: INAA — J.S. Mee, C.A. Palmer, M.J. Pickering, L.J. Schwarz, G.A. Wandless; WDXRF (major elements) — A.J. Bartel, D.F. Siems, J.E. Taggart; EDXRF (trace elements) — J.R. Evans, R.G. Johnson; Rapid Rock Analysis — E. Brant, F.W. Brown, J.R. Gillison, R. Moore, C. Papp, C.J. Skeen, H. Smith; platinum group element analysis — J.M. Allingham, P.J. Aruscavage, M. Kavulak, L. Mei, A. Meier, N. Rait, G. Riddle; ICP-AES, atomic absorption, and other wet chemical analysis — P.A. Briggs, E. Campbell, W.M. d'Angelo, M.W. Doughten, D. Fey, J.S. Kane, H. Kirschenbaum, B.J. Libby, J.W. Marinenko, A. Neuville, M.M. Schnepfe;

Sample preparation — S. Fleming, J. Jackson, L. Winters; Laboratory coordination — N.S. Alsop.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbey S. (1983) *Studies in "standard samples" of silicate rocks and minerals, 1969-1982*. Geological Survey of Canada Paper, 83-15, 114 pp.
- Aruscavage P.J. and Campbell E.Y. (1979) The determination of silver in silicate rocks by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **109**, 171-175.
- Aruscavage P.J. and Campbell E.Y. (1983) An ion selective electrode method for the determination of chlorine in geologic materials. *Talanta* **30**, 745-749.
- Aruscavage P.J. and Crock J.G. (1987) Atomic absorption methods. In *Methods for Geochemical Analysis* (Baedecker P.A., ed.), pp. C1-C6. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull 1770.
- Aruscavage P.J., Simon F.O., and Moore R. (1984) Flameless atomic absorption determination of platinum, palladium, and rhodium in geologic materials. *Geostand. Newslett.* **8**, 3-6.
- Baedecker P.A. and Grossman J.N. (1989) *The computer analysis of high resolution gamma-ray spectra from instrumental activation analysis experiments*. U.S. Geol. Surv. Open-File Report, 89-454, 88 pp.
- Baedecker P.A. and McKown D.M. (1987) Instrumental neutron activation analysis of geochemical materials. In *Methods for Geochemical Analysis* (Baedecker P.A., ed.), pp. H1-H14. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1770.
- Crock J.G., Lichte F.E., and Briggs P.H. (1983) Determination of elements in National Bureau of Standards geological reference materials SRM 278 obsidian and SRM 688 basalt by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy. *Geostand. Newslett.* **7**, 335-340.
- deJongh W.K. (1973) X-ray fluorescence analysis applying theoretical matrix corrections — Stainless steel. *X-Ray Spectrom.* **2**, 151-158.
- Engleman E.E., Jackson L.L., and Norton D.R. (1985) Determination of carbonate carbon in geological materials by coulometric titration. *Chem. Geol.* **53**, 125-128.
- Golightly D.W., Dorrzapf A.F., and Berman S. (1987) *Trace boron concentrations in geologic standard materials determined by fluoride-volatilization arc spectrography*. U.S. Geol. Surv. Open File Report, 87-150, 10 pp.
- Gottfried D. and Froelich A.J. (1988) Variations of palladium and platinum contents and ratios in selected early Mesozoic tholeiitic rock associations in the eastern United States, in *Studies of the Early Mesozoic basins of the eastern United States* (Froelich A.J. and Robinson G.R., Jr. Eds.) U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull **1776**, 332-341.
- Grossman J.N. and Baedecker P.A. (1987) Interactive methods for data reduction and quality control in INAA. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **113**, 43-59.
- Grossman J.N., Gottfried D., and Froelich A.J. (1991a) *Geochemical data for Jurassic diabase associated with early Mesozoic basins in the eastern United States: 5 $\frac{1}{4}$ " floppy diskette*. U.S. Geol. Surv. Open File Report, 91-322-J.
- Grossman J.N., Gottfried D., and Froelich A.J. (1991b) *Geochemical data for Jurassic diabase associated with early Mesozoic basins in the eastern United States: 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ " diskette*. U.S. Geol. Surv. Open File Report, 91-322-K.

- Jackson L.L., Brown F.W., and Neil S.T. (1987) Major and minor elements requiring individual determination, classical whole rock analysis, and rapid rock analysis. In *Methods for Geochemical Analysis* (Baedecker P.A., ed.), pp. G1-G23. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1770.
- Johnson R.G. (1984) Trace element analysis of silicates by means of energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry. *X-Ray Spectrometry* **13**, 64-68.
- Johnson R.G. and King B.-S.L. (1987) Energy-dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectrometry. In *Methods for Geochemical Analysis* (Baedecker P.A., ed.), pp. F1-F5. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1770.
- Kirschenbaum H. (1983) The classical chemical analysis of rocks – the old and the new. *U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull.* **1547**, 55 pp.
- Kirschenbaum H. (1988) *The determination of fluoride in silicate rocks by ion selective electrode: an update*. U.S. Geol. Surv. Open File Report, 88-588, 5 pp.
- Lichte F.E., Golightly D.W., and Lamothe P.J. (1987) Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry. In *Methods for Geochemical Analysis* (Baedecker P.A., ed.), pp. B1-B10. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1770.
- Peck L.C. (1964) Systematic analysis of silicates. *U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull.* **1170**, 89 pp.
- Shapiro L. (1975) Rapid analysis of silicate, carbonate, and phosphate rocks – revised edition. *U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull.* **1401**, 76 pp.
- Taggart J.E., Lindsey J.R., Scott B.A., Vivit D.V., Bartell A.J., and Stewart K.C. (1987) Analysis of geologic materials by wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometry. In *Methods for Geochemical Analysis* (Baedecker P.A., ed.), pp. E1-E19. U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Paper 1770.
- Wilson S.A., Kane J.S., Crock J.G., and Hatfield D.B. (1987) Chemical methods of separation for optical emission, atomic absorption spectrometry, and colorimetry. In *Methods for Geochemical Analysis* (Baedecker P.A., ed.), pp. D1-D14. U.S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 1770.