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INTRODUCTION

Seismicity in the San Francisco Bay Area has increased dramatically during the past decade following a long period of quiescence associated with the 1906 San Francisco earthquake. This increased seismicity is best illustrated by the October 17, 1989, 7.1 M earthquake along the Loma Prieta segment of the San Andreas fault (SAF). Future earthquake concerns focus on two possibilities: 1) that the Loma Prieta earthquake has loaded the Peninsula segment of the SAF, and 2) that the historical pattern of large paired quakes -- involving faults along the Peninsula and the East Bay -- may be about to repeat itself. Fault occurrences of paired earthquake activity between the Peninsula and East Bay have been documented in the last century and in the early 1900's (Table 1). Although these periods of paired seismicity may be fortuitous, it is possible that the network of faults that bound the San Francisco Bay Area are linked structurally and are all part of an evolving fault system, as proposed by Furlong and others (1989; Fig. 1).

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1936</td>
<td>M6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938</td>
<td>M7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>M6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868</td>
<td>M6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906</td>
<td>M8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>M6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>M7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Paired Earthquakes

- 1936 M6.8 Hayward Fault, northern segment
- 1938 M7.0 San Andreas Fault, south San Francisco
- 1865 M6.5 San Andreas Fault, southern Santa Cruz Mts.
- 1868 M6.8 Hayward Fault, southern segment
- 1906 M8.3 San Andreas Fault
- 1911 M6.5 Calaveras Fault
- 1989 M7.1 San Andreas Fault, Santa Cruz Mountains
- ? ?

To test the Furlong and others (1989) model and to obtain fundamental information on the crustal structure and fault geometries that underlie the San Francisco Bay Area, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in conjunction with the University of California, Stanford University, Pennsylvania State University, and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, conducted a major seismic reflection investigation in the fall of 1991 utilizing the marine waterway system that dissects the Bay Area (Fig. 2). This study, known as the Bay Area Seismic Imaging eXperiment, or BASIX, consisted of three complementary seismic reflection and refraction profiling methods: high-resolution seismic reflection profiling, wide-angle reflection/refraction profiling, and multichannel seismic profiling. The high-resolution, shallow-penetrating data were acquired to constrain near-surface faulting, while the wide-angle reflection/refraction data were acquired to constrain the deep-crustal velocity structure of the crust. The focus of this report are the multichannel, seismic reflection data which were acquired to image structures throughout the crust down to the Moho.
Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the lithospheric structure and fault configurations in the vicinity of San Francisco. The surface plate boundary occupies the approximate position of the previous western edge of North American plate, but the deeper shear zone boundary is offset to the east (~40 km). Newly forming faults sit above the deeper eastern segment. Stippled region is asthenospheric mantle that has cooled and accreted to adjacent lithosphere. Cross section is approximately 200 km (width) by 60 km (depth) in extent. Figure adapted from Furlong and others (1989).
FIGURE 4. Index map showing location of BASIX seismic study. Individual lines are numbered.
INSTRUMENTATION

The Seismic Source

The seismic source consisted of a 12-element, 2000 psi, 5828 cu. in. (95.6 liters), airgun array towed from the U.S. Geological Survey’s research vessel, the S.P. Lee (Fig. 3). Airgun sizes were selected to maximize the peak-to-peak amplitude (85.3 bar-m) and the peak-to-bubble ratio (12.7) while maintaining maximum volume. Because of the shallow-water environment for most of the experiment, airguns were towed at an average depth of 7.6 m (25 ft); depths were maintained by buoys. The airguns were fired at 50-m intervals in the inland and Golden Gate profiles (lines 101-113, OBS1, and 201-202) and at 75 m for the offshore transit and continental margin profile (TR1 and OBS2). Shooting was conducted during night-time hours when ship traffic and ambient noise were at a minimum. Acquisition was timed so that the ship moved against the tide as much as possible; this resulted in minimum speed over the ground (approximately 3.5 knots) as well as maximum control and maneuverability of the vessel. A total of more than 11,634 airgun shots were fired during the fourteen-day experiment (Appendix A).

The Receiver Array

The seismic energy was recorded on hydrophones moored to the edge of the dredged shipping channel in the bay. The hydrophones and associated telemetry receivers, known as Telseis (Fig. 4), were leased from Fairfield Industries. The Telseis units were equipped with an antenna and a transmitter, which radioed the analog seismic data to a 120-channel, DFS-V recording system on the S.P. Lee. Separate radio frequencies had to be licensed for each of the 120 Telseis units (72-79 MHz band). Sixty to 118 of these units were used on any given night; battery limitations often required keeping half of the Telseis units onboard the Lee for recharging in preparation for the following night’s shooting. In addition to the Telseis receivers, a short two-channel streamer was also deployed off the fantail of the Lee; this streamer provided a near-offset image of the crust. All data were recorded to 16 s two-way traveltime at a 0.004 s sample rate. A listing of the number of Telseis deployed each night, the group spacing, and the number of shots is provided in Appendix A.

Navigation

Navigation was based on two independent systems. The land-based Del Norte radio-navigation system was the primary method used for positioning and resulted in station locations accurate to ± 1 m. Up to 9 transponders were positioned in the area of operation so as to provide sufficient control for triangulation. These stations were moved daily as the survey moved from east to west. The Del Norte system proved successful throughout most of the study, but there were some areas where reception was limited and station coverage was not sufficient to provide an accurate fix. In these regions locations were determined from a single Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver. Because this GPS system did not operate in differential mode, absolute station accuracy was approximately ± 100 m — relative positions from station to station, however,
Figure 3. Schematic diagram showing the stern of the *S.P. Lee* and winged airgun deployment configuration. Individual chamber sizes are listed in cubic inches. The chamber sizes were selected to maximize the peak-to-peak amplitude (85.3 bar-m) and the peak-to-bubble ratio (12.7) while maintaining maximum volume.
Figure 4. Schematic sketch of Fairfield Technologies Telseis I seismic telemetry exploration system.
were accurate to within a few meters. Navigation for lines 202 and OBS2 seaward of the San Andreas fault was accomplished using a combination of GPS operated in a selected availability mode and rho-rho Loran-C; positions are considered accurate to within 150 to 200 m.

METHODOLOGY

The BASIX investigation was designed to take advantage of the extensive marine waterway system that dissects the San Francisco Bay Area. A total of 140 km of multichannel reflection data were acquired across this region (Fig. 2) during 14 nights of profiling. Although each night of profiling is assigned a different line number, several of the lines overlap and they were thus processed together as merged profiles. All totaled, there are four distinct segments to the experiment. The first segment begins in the Sacramento River near Rio Vista and proceeds west through Honker, Suisun, and San Pablo Bays (lines 101 through 110; Figs. 5, 6, and 7). The next two segments run north-south from Richmond to Angel Island (line 113; Figs. 8a and 9), and from the Bay Bridge to just north of the San Mateo Bridge (line 111; Figs. 8b and 10). The fourth and final segment begins in the vicinity of Alcatraz Island and extends west underneath the Golden Gate bridge, 6 km into the open waters of the Pacific (lines 201-202; Figs. 11 and 12).

The shallow water depths and abundant ship traffic in the San Francisco Bay region precluded using a standard towed-streamer approach to seismic data acquisition. Instead, 60 to 118 independent Telseis receivers were moored to the edge of the dredged shipping channel at 100 to 200 m intervals for a fixed spread of 6 to 12 km in length. Each day the receiver array was repositioned, and each night the S.P. Lee steamed along the hydrophone array, with its airgun array firing.

The day-to-day small-boat operations began first with the retrieval of the Telseis instruments deployed the previous day. This process utilized a fleet of 4-5 small boats that ranged in size from 6 to 15 m. Once retrieved, the instruments were brought back to the S.P. Lee for recharging. The R.V. David Johnston trailed behind these small vessels and recovered the associated buoys and anchors. At this time the David Johnston also recorded navigational fixes, which were required in order to check for possible drift of the instrument sites during the night; although anchors were used to maintain instrument position, currents were strong enough in a few areas to move the anchors between deployment and retrieval.

Once the instrument retrieval was complete, the David Johnston would begin laying out the next line segment. A Global Positioning System (GPS) and Del Norte triangulation system were used to measure the 100- or 200-m distance separating instrument sites. The receiver line was positioned so as to minimize bends in the profile and to keep the buoys out of the active shipping channel. At each site, anchors were deployed and navigation fixes were taken; the anchored sites were marked by orange buoys. The smaller boats followed behind and clipped the recharged Telseis units with cabled hydrophones to these buoys. The hydrophones were weighted so as to minimize movement on the seafloor.
Figure 5. CDP locations for lines 101 through 110.
Figure 6. Final stack of BASIX lines 101-105, recorded across Suisun Bay and up the Sacramento River towards Rio Vista.
Figure 7. Final stack of BASIX lines 107-110, recorded across San Pablo Bay.
Figure 8. CDP locations for (A) Line 113, and (B) Line 111.
Figure 9. Final stack of BASIX line 113, recorded across central San Francisco Bay.
Figure 10. Final stack of BASIX line 111, recorded across south San Francisco Bay.
Figure 11. CDP locations for lines 201-202.
Figure 12. Final stack of BASIX lines 201-202, recorded from east to west, across the central San Francisco Bay and west of the Golden Gate bridge.
The final phase of operation was airgun profiling. Profiling was conducted during night-time hours so as to take advantage of reduced ship traffic and lower levels of ambient noise. Each night the S.P. Lee acquired approximately 24 km of reflection data by looping through the receiver array twice. Along 10 of the 13 multichannel lines (Appendix A) the S.P. Lee fired the airgun array while steaming first from east to west and then, reversing course, duplicated the line by steaming from west to east, further doubling the number of airgun shots available for stacking of the seismic signal. Although the receiver array averaged 6 km in length, the Lee continued profiling for approximately half a spread length on either side of the array (approximately 12 km total for each pass) so as to maximize offsets and fold. Two passes through the array typically required 10-12 hours; profiling was timed so as to acquire as much data as possible while operating against the tides. Slack tides proved to be the best time for profiling due to the reduced currents and therefore reduced noise conditions.

In addition to the 13 reflection lines acquired in San Francisco Bay and the Golden Gate region, the airgun array on the S.P. Lee was used primarily as a seismic source for wide-angle profiles recorded along three other lines: the N-S trending line OBS1 in San Francisco Bay; a short transit leg, line TR1 southwest of the Golden Gate; and the E-W trending line OBS2 on the continental margin (Fig 2). Lines OBS1 and OBS2 were obtained along two separate deployments of 6 USGS ocean bottom seismometers (OBS) deployed by investigators from the USGS Branch of Atlantic Marine Geology and Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (Holbrook and ten Brink, 1991; Brocher and others, 1991). The shot interval along line 112/OBS1 was 50 m. The shot interval for lines TR1 and OBS2 was approximately 75 m. Line OBS2 was located coincident to seismic reflection line 13 of Lewis (1990) in order to provide deep structural control on the continental margin west of the San Andreas fault (Fig. 2). The reader is referred to Holbrook and others (1993) and Brocher and Moses (1993) for a discussion of these wide-angle data.

DATA REDUCTION

After acquisition, the data were demultiplexed, and the 16-s records with 4 ms sample intervals were truncated and resampled to 12-s records with 8 ms sample intervals. The data were then sorted to common receiver gathers for editing. Once the navigation data were reduced, the shot-receiver offsets and midpoints were calculated and inserted into the trace headers. Trace editing, which was the most effort-intensive part of the data reduction process, followed.

There were several sources of noise that required different editing techniques. Because of the use of a telemetry recording system, data drop-outs resulted when radio communication was hampered by land barriers and large ship-to-receiver distances. Signal was rarely present beyond 6 km offsets. The crooked nature of the reflection profile also resulted in a smearing of midpoints out of the plane of the common midpoint (CMP) profile. Even though the data were binned using a crooked line geometry, offsets perpendicular to the plane of the profile needed to be restricted to minimize smearing. (Note that because the receivers were on the edge of the shipping channel and the Lee profiled down
the center of the channel, zero offset data were rarely acquired. A certain amount of smearing was thus required by the geometry of the study.) In-plane offsets were typically restricted to 6 km, and out-of-the-plane mid-point scattering was restricted to ± 0.5 km (except lines 201-202, which had up to a ± 1 km midpoint scatter).

A second source of noise was the jostling of the hydrophones during times of strong currents. As tides increased during the night, noise on the receiver gathers also increased, often overwhelming any seismic signal (Fig. 13). This noise appeared as hundreds of individual spikes of approximately the same amplitude as the first-arrival refractions. A noise reduction algorithm was developed to edit these noise spikes. The algorithm was designed to compare the amplitude of a reference trace with the average amplitude of adjacent traces (the number of which is specified by the user). When the ratio of the amplitude of the reference trace exceeded that of the surrounding traces by a user-designated amount, that sample was zeroed (compare Fig. 13 with Fig. 14 to see the effect of this noise editing routine).

Although these two editing techniques reduced the noise content of the data set significantly, areas still remained where either there was no signal or where coherent noise (i.e.: refractions) obscured weak reflections. Manual editing for each receiver gather was thus required to further reduce the noise content of the data. This manual editing was done twice, once for receiver gathers and once following sorting to CMP gathers.

Once the editing was complete, the data volume was approximately one tenth of the original volume (reduced from 790,000 traces to 95,535 traces); this equates to a reduction in the nominal fold from 500 to approximately 65. Although this reduced the effectiveness of the stacking process, the final image was improved simply due to the absence of the dominant noise.

Additional processing steps for the BASIX data included first-break mutes, sorting to CMP gathers (using the crooked-line geometry), automatic gain control (1-s gate), velocity analysis, surface-consistent statics, residual velocity analysis, normal moveout, stacking (variable fold, average is approximately 40), and bandpass filtering (14-44 Hz). Both surface-consistent statics and detailed trace editing were important steps in generation of the final stack.

The data are written to two 8mm Exabyte tapes. The first tape contains the edited receiver gathers (12 s, 8 ms sample rate), with geometry applied so that the data can be resorted into CMP order. Receiver locations were unique, and the dataset is written as a single SEG-Y volume. The second tape contains stacked data (12 s, 8 ms sample rate) of the complete transect. This tape was also written as a single SEG-Y volume, but consists of four separately processed line segments. A line identifier is included in the SEG-Y header, allowing each segment to be extracted by unique CMP or line segment. These two data tapes are available from the National Geophysical Data Center at the following address:
Figure 13. A single common receiver gather from San Pablo Bay recorded during two passes of the S.P. Lee. No scaling has been applied in order to illustrate noise problems. The 744 traces shown on this gather are from six hours of shooting. Noise from tidal currents and surface-wave action increased during the night.
Figure 14. Common receiver gather (Figure 13) after application of automatic noise burst editing software. The amplitude of a sliding window on each trace is compared to the same time windows on adjacent traces, and anomalously high values are zeroed. Coherent energy such as reflection and refraction events are not affected by this editing. The bar chart above the record shows the percentage of each trace that has been zeroed.
The EBCDIC trace headers for each of the three data tapes are listed in Appendices B and C.
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### Appendix A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line #</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>#Shots</th>
<th>Group Int.</th>
<th>#Telseis</th>
<th>#Passes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Rio Vista</td>
<td>9/4/91</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Antioch</td>
<td>9/5/91</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Suisun Bay</td>
<td>9/6/91</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Martinez</td>
<td>9/7/91</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Carquinez</td>
<td>9/8/91</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>San Pablo Bay</td>
<td>9/10/91</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>San Pablo Bay</td>
<td>9/11/91</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>San Pablo Bay</td>
<td>9/12/91</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>San Rafael</td>
<td>9/13/91</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>South Bay</td>
<td>9/14/91</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS1</td>
<td>South Bay</td>
<td>9/15/91</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>9/16/91</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Alcatraz</td>
<td>9/17/91</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Golden Gate</td>
<td>9/18/91</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>100-200</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR1</td>
<td>Pacifica</td>
<td>9/19/91</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBS2</td>
<td>Cont. Margin</td>
<td>9/20/91</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** 11,634
APPENDIX B
TAPE #1 -- SEG-Y EBCDIC REEL HEADER

BASIX EDITED RECEIVER GATHERS:

C1 BRANCH OF PACIFIC MARINE GEOLOGY U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
C2 BASIX: BAY AREA SEISMIC EXPERIMENT SURVEY_ID: L1-91-NC
C3 AREA: SAN FRANCISCO BAY YEAR COLLECTED: 1991
C4 LINES: 101 TO 202 TRACES IN DATASET: 95535
C5 REEL ID: BSXRECED 8MM LOW-DENSITY (2.5 GB)
C6 SAMPLE INTERVAL: 8 MSEC SAMPLES/TRACE: 1500
C7 TRACE INTERVAL: 50 METERS TIME RANGE: 0 TO 12 SEC
C8
C9 THIS SEG-Y TAPE CONTAINS COMMON-RECEIVER SORTED AND NOISE EDITED
C10 DATA FROM ALL BASIX LINES. HEADER NAME IS REC-STAT: BYTES 187-188
C11 LINE 101 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 1-110
C12 LINE 102 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 111-207
C13 LINE 103 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 208-307
C14 LINE 104 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 312-430 (EVEN NUMBERS ONLY)
C15 LINE 105 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 384-502 (EVEN NUMBERS ONLY)
C16 LINE 107 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 504-563
C17 LINE 108 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 564-623
C18 LINE 109 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 624-683
C19 LINE 110 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 684-804 (EVEN NUMBERS ONLY)
C20 LINE 113 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 806-924 (EVEN NUMBERS ONLY)
C21 LINE 111 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 926-1144 (EVEN NUMBERS ONLY)
C22 LINE 201 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 2101-2180
C23 LINE 202 INCLUDES RECEIVER GATHERS 2181-2260
C24
C25 SHOT NUMBERS ARE LOCATED IN HEADER BYTES 9-13
C26
C27
C28 FUNDING FOR THIS WORK PROVIDED BY THE:
C29 NATIONAL EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS REDUCTION PROGRAM (NEHRP)
C30
C31 ***********************************************
C32
C33 FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:
C34
C35 PATRICK HART OR JILL MCCARTHY
C36 U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, M/S 999
C37 345 MIDDLEFIELD RD., MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025
C38 (415) 853-8300
C39
C40

25
APPENDIX C

TAPE #2 -- SEG-Y EBCDIC REEL HEADER

BASIX STACKED PROFILES:

C 1  BRANCH OF PACIFIC MARINE GEOLOGY  U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
C 2  BASIX: BAY AREA SEISMIC IMAGING EXPERIMENT  SURVEY_ID: L1-91-NC
C 3  AREA: SAN FRANCISCO BAY  YEAR COLLECTED: 1991
C 4  LINES 101 TO 202  TRACES IN DATASET: 2678
C 5  REEL ID: BSXSTK  8MM LOW-DENSITY (2.5 GB)
C 6  SAMPLE INTERVAL 8 MSEC  SAMPLES/TRACE: 1500
C 7  TRACE INTERVAL: 50 METERS  TIME RANGE: 0 TO 12 SEC
C 8  
C 9  THIS SEG-Y TAPE CONTAINS STACKED DATA FROM ALL BASIX LINES
C10  LINES 101-110  CDP RANGE  111-1705  LINE_ID: 101  NTRAACES: 1587
C11  LINES 113  CDP RANGE  1747-2046  LINE_ID: 113  NTRAACES: 282
C12  LINES 111  CDP RANGE  2049-2493  LINE_ID: 111  NTRAACES: 456
C13  LINES 201-202  CDP RANGE  3104-3465  LINE_ID: 201  NTRAACES: 353
C14  
C15  CDP AND LINE_ID ARE DEFINED IN THE FOLLOWING HEADER BYTES:
C16  
C17  HEADER  STARTING  LENGTH  VARIABLE
C18  BYTE  (BYTES)  TYPE
C19  
C20  CDP  21  4  INTEGER
C21  LINE_ID  191  2  INTEGER
C22  
C23  
C24  
C25  
C26  
C27  
C28  FUNDING FOR THIS WORK PROVIDED BY THE:
C29  NATIONAL EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS REDUCTION PROGRAM (NEHRP)
C30  
C31  
C32  

*****************************************************************************************

C33  

C34  FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:
C35  
C36  PATRICK HART OR JILL MCCARTHY
C37  U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, M/S 999
C38  345 MIDDLEFIELD RD., MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA  94025
C39  (415) 853-8300

26