LEVEL II SCOUR ANALYSIS FOR BRIDGE 11 (BELVTH00080011) on TOWN HIGHWAY 8, crossing the NORTH BRANCH LAMOILLE RIVER, BELVIDERE, VERMONT

U.S. Geological Survey
Open-File Report 98-278

Prepared in cooperation with
VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION
and
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

LEVEL II SCOUR ANALYSIS FOR BRIDGE 11 (BELVTH00080011) on TOWN HIGHWAY 8, crossing the NORTH BRANCH LAMOILLE RIVER, BELVIDERE, VERMONT

By ERICK M. BOEHMLER AND JAMES R. DEGNAN

U.S. Geological Survey
Open-File Report 98-278

Prepared in cooperation with
VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION
and
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

Pembroke, New Hampshire

1998

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Thomas J. Casadevall, Acting Director

For additional information
write to:

District Chief
U.S. Geological Survey
361 Commerce Way
Pembroke, NH 03275-3718

Copies of this report may be
purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey
Branch of Information Services
Open-File Reports Unit
Box 25286
Denver, CO 80225-0286

CONTENTS

Conversion Factors, Abbreviations, and Vertical Datum	iv
Introduction and Summary of Results	1
Level II summary	7
Description of Bridge	7
Description of the Geomorphic Setting.....	8
Description of the Channel.....	8
Hydrology.....	9
Calculated Discharges	9
Description of the Water-Surface Profile Model (WSPRO) Analysis	10
Cross-Sections Used in WSPRO Analysis.....	10
Data and Assumptions Used in WSPRO Model	11
Bridge Hydraulics Summary.....	12
Scour Analysis Summary	13
Special Conditions or Assumptions Made in Scour Analysis.....	13
Scour Results.....	14
Riprap Sizing.....	14
Selected References	18
Appendices:	
A. WSPRO input file.....	19
B. WSPRO output file.....	21
C. Bed-material particle-size distribution	28
D. Historical data form.....	30
E. Level I data form.....	36
F. Scour computations.....	46

FIGURES

1. Map showing location of study area on USGS 1:24,000 scale map	3
2. Map showing location of study area on Vermont Agency of Transportation town highway map	4
3. Structure BELVTH00080011 viewed from upstream (June 27, 1995)	5
4. Downstream channel viewed from structure BELVTH00080011 (June 27, 1995).....	5
5. Upstream channel viewed from structure BELVTH00080011 (June 27, 1995).....	6
6. Structure BELVTH00080011 viewed from downstream (June 27, 1995).	6
7. Water-surface profiles for the 100- and 500-year discharges at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.	15
8. Scour elevations for the 100- and 500-year discharges at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.	16

TABLES

1. Remaining footing/pile depth at abutments for the 100-year discharge at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.....	17
2. Remaining footing/pile depth at abutments for the 500-year discharge at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.....	17

CONVERSION FACTORS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND VERTICAL DATUM

Multiply	By	To obtain
Length		
inch (in.)	25.4	millimeter (mm)
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter (m)
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer (km)
Slope		
foot per mile (ft/mi)	0.1894	meter per kilometer (m/km)
Area		
square mile (mi ²)	2.590	square kilometer (km ²)
Volume		
cubic foot (ft ³)	0.02832	cubic meter (m ³)
Velocity and Flow		
foot per second (ft/s)	0.3048	meter per second (m/s)
cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s)	0.02832	cubic meter per second (m ³ /s)
cubic foot per second per square mile [(ft ³ /s)/mi ²]	0.01093	cubic meter per second per square kilometer [(m ³ /s)/km ²]

OTHER ABBREVIATIONS

BF	bank full	LWW	left wingwall
cfs	cubic feet per second	Max	maximum
D ₅₀	median diameter of bed material	MC	main channel
DS	downstream	RAB	right abutment
elev.	elevation	RABUT	face of right abutment
f/p	flood plain	RB	right bank
ft ²	square feet	ROB	right overbank
ft/ft	feet per foot	RWW	right wingwall
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	TH	town highway
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	UB	under bridge
JCT	junction	US	upstream
LAB	left abutment	USGS	United States Geological Survey
LABUT	face of left abutment	VTAOT	Vermont Agency of Transportation
LB	left bank	WSPRO	water-surface profile model
LOB	left overbank	yr	year

In this report, the words “right” and “left” refer to directions that would be reported by an observer facing downstream.

Sea level: In this report, “sea level” refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929-- a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.

In the appendices, the above abbreviations may be combined. For example, USLB would represent upstream left bank.

LEVEL II SCOUR ANALYSIS FOR BRIDGE 11 (BELVTH00080011) ON TOWN HIGHWAY 8, CROSSING THE NORTH BRANCH LAMOILLE RIVER, BELVIDERE, VERMONT

By Erick M. Boehmler and James R. Degnan

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF RESULTS

This report provides the results of a detailed Level II analysis of scour potential at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8 crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont (figures 1–8). A Level II study is a basic engineering analysis of the site, including a quantitative analysis of stream stability and scour (FHWA, 1993). Results of a Level I scour investigation also are included in appendix E of this report. A Level I investigation provides a qualitative geomorphic characterization of the study site. Information on the bridge, gleaned from Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTAOT) files, was compiled prior to conducting Level I and Level II analyses and is found in appendix D.

The site is in the Green Mountain section of the New England physiographic province in north-central Vermont. The 23.4-mi² drainage area is in a predominantly rural and forested basin. In the vicinity of the study site, the surface cover consists of short grass, a few houses, and gravel driveways except for the right overbank downstream, which is forest.

In the study area, the North Branch Lamoille River has an incised, sinuous channel with a slope of approximately 0.04 ft/ft, an average channel top width of 72 feet and an average bank height of 9 ft. The predominant channel bed materials are cobbles and boulders with a median grain size (D_{50}) of 172 mm (0.566 ft). The geomorphic assessment at the time of the Level I and Level II site visit on June 27, 1995, indicated that the reach was stable.

The Town Highway 8 crossing of the North Branch Lamoille River is a 40-ft-long, one-lane bridge consisting of one 37-foot steel-beam span (Vermont Agency of Transportation, written communication, March 7, 1995). The bridge is supported by vertical, concrete abutments with wingwalls on the left abutment. The channel is skewed approximately 35 degrees to the opening while the opening-skew-to-roadway is zero degrees.

The scour protection measures at the site were type-2 stone fill (less than 36 inches diameter) along the right abutment wall and type-3 stone fill along the left abutment, the upstream and downstream left wingwalls and the upstream right roadway embankment. Additional details describing conditions at the site are included in the Level II Summary and appendices D and E.

Scour depths and recommended rock rip-rap sizes were computed using the general guidelines described in Hydraulic Engineering Circular 18 (Richardson and others, 1995). Total scour at a highway crossing is comprised of three components: 1) long-term streambed degradation; 2) contraction scour (due to accelerated flow caused by a reduction in flow area at a bridge) and; 3) local scour (caused by accelerated flow around piers and abutments). Total scour is the sum of the three components. Equations are available to compute depths for contraction and local scour and a summary of the results of these computations follows.

Contraction scour for all modelled flows ranged from 0.6 to 0.9 feet. The worst-case contraction scour occurred at the 500-year discharge. Abutment scour ranged from 6.9 to 22.2 feet. The worst-case abutment scour occurred at the 500-year discharge. Additional information on scour depths and depths to armoring are included in the section titled “Scour Results”. Scoured-streambed elevations, based on the calculated scour depths, are presented in tables 1 and 2. A cross-section of the scour computed at the bridge is presented in figure 8. Scour depths were calculated assuming an infinite depth of erosive material and a homogeneous particle-size distribution.

It is generally accepted that the Froehlich equation (abutment scour) gives “excessively conservative estimates of scour depths” (Richardson and others, 1995, p. 47). Usually, computed scour depths are evaluated in combination with other information including (but not limited to) historical performance during flood events, the geomorphic stability assessment, existing scour protection measures, and the results of the hydraulic analyses. Therefore, scour depths adopted by VTAOT may differ from the computed values documented herein.

Figure 1. Location of study area on USGS 1:24,000 scale map.

Figure 2. Location of study area on Vermont Agency of Transportation town highway map.

LEVEL II SUMMARY

Structure Number BELVTH00080011   **Stream** North Branch Lamoille River
County Lamoille   **Road** TH 8   **District** 8

Description of Bridge

Bridge length 40 *ft*   **Bridge width** 12.6 *ft*   **Max span length** 37 *ft*
Alignment of bridge to road (on curve or straight) Straight
Abutment type Vertical, concrete   **Embankment type** Sloping nearly vertical
Stone fill on abutment? Yes   **Date of inspection** 6/27/95
Type-3 on the right roadway embankment upstream, the left abutment and its wingwalls. Type-2 along the right abutment.

Abutments and wingwalls are "laid-up" stone walls.
The stone has a concrete facing on the left abutment and its wingwalls.

Is bridge skewed to flood flow according to Yes **survey?** 35 **Angle**
There is a mild channel bend in the upstream reach. High flows primarily impact the right roadway embankment and the left abutment.

Debris accumulation on bridge at time of Level I or Level II site visit:

	<u>Date of inspection</u> <u>6/27/95</u>	<u>Percent of channel blocked horizontally</u> <u>0</u>	<u>Percent of channel blocked vertically</u> <u>0</u>
Level I	<u>6/27/95</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Level II	<u>Low. Although there is significant vegetation cover on the banks, the channel is stable.</u>		
Potential for debris			

None evident on 6/27/95.

Describe any features near or at the bridge that may affect flow (include observation date)

Description of the Geomorphic Setting

General topography   The channel is located in a moderate relief valley setting with narrow, irregular overbanks and steep valley walls on both sides.

Geomorphic conditions at bridge site: downstream (DS), upstream (US)

Date of inspection   6/27/95

DS left: Steep channel bank to a narrow overbank.

DS right: Steep channel bank and the valley wall.

US left: Steep channel bank and a narrow overbank.

US right: Moderately sloping channel bank and valley wall.

Description of the Channel

Average top width	<u>72</u>	Average depth	<u>9</u>
	<u>[#]Cobbles / Boulders</u>		<u>[#]Cobbles / Boulders</u>

Predominant bed material	Bank material
	<u>Perennial but flashy</u>

and sinuous but stable with non-alluvial channel boundaries.

6/27/95

Vegetative cover   Trees, shrubs, and brush with short grass on the overbank.

DS left: Trees

DS right: Trees with short grass on the overbank.

US left: Trees.

US right: Yes

Do banks appear stable? - Yes, no, or not sure; include location and type of instability and

date of observation.

_____

_____

_____

The assessment of

6/27/95 noted up to 50% of the channel width immediately downstream of the bridge was
Describe any obstructions in channel and date of observation.
occupied by very large boulders on the left side.

Hydrology

Drainage area 23.4 **mi²**

Percentage of drainage area in physiographic provinces: (approximate)

Physiographic province/section	Percent of drainage area
<u>New England/Green Mountain</u>	<u>100</u>

Is drainage area considered rural or urban? Rural **Describe any significant urbanization:** -

Is there a USGS gage on the stream of interest? No

USGS gage description --

USGS gage number --

Gage drainage area -- **mi²** No

Is there a lake/p ond in the drainage area?

Calculated Discharges	
<u>3,650</u>	<u>5,400</u>
Q₁₀₀	Q₅₀₀
ft³/s	ft³/s

The 100- and 500-year discharges are based on the flood frequency curve computed by use of the New England Hills and Lowlands empirical relationship (Potter, 1957). The resulting curve was within a range of flood frequency curves computed by use of several other empirical relationships. (Benson, 1962; FHWA, 1983; Johnson and Tasker, 1974; Talbot, 1887).

Description of the Water-Surface Profile Model (WSPRO) Analysis

Datum for WSPRO analysis (USGS survey, sea level, VTAOT plans) USGS survey

Datum tie between USGS survey and VTAOT plans None

Description of reference marks used to determine USGS datum. RM1 is an orange painted nail hole in the top of the upstream end of the right abutment concrete cap (elev. 499.71 feet, arbitrary survey datum). RM2 is an orange painted nail hole in the top of the downstream end of the left abutment concrete cap (elev. 499.64 feet, arbitrary survey datum).

Cross-Sections Used in WSPRO Analysis

¹ <i>Cross-section</i>	<i>Section Reference Distance (SRD) in feet</i>	² <i>Cross-section development</i>	<i>Comments</i>
EXIT1	-29	1	Exit section
FULLV	0	2	Downstream Full-valley section (Templated from EXIT1)
BRIDG	0	1	Bridge section
RDWAY	8	1	Road Grade section
APPRO	49	2	Modelled Approach section (Templated from APTM)
APTEM	60	1	Approach section as surveyed (Used as a template)

¹ For location of cross-sections see plan-view sketch included with Level I field form, Appendix E.
For more detail on how cross-sections were developed see WSPRO input file.

Data and Assumptions Used in WSPRO Model

Hydraulic analyses of the reach were done by use of the Federal Highway Administration's WSPRO step-backwater computer program (Shearman and others, 1986, and Shearman, 1990). The analyses reported herein reflect conditions existing at the site at the time of the study. Furthermore, in the development of the model it was necessary to assume no accumulation of debris or ice at the site. Results of the hydraulic model are presented in the Bridge Hydraulic Summary, appendix B, and figure 7.

Channel roughness factors (Manning's "n") used in the hydraulic model were estimated using field inspections at each cross section following the general guidelines described by Arcement and Schneider (1989). Final adjustments to the values were made during the modelling of the reach. Channel "n" values for the reach ranged from 0.055 to 0.065, and overbank "n" values ranged from 0.035 to 0.055.

Normal depth at the exit section (EXIT1) was assumed as the starting water surface. This depth was computed by use of the slope-conveyance method outlined in the user's manual for WSPRO (Shearman, 1990). The slope used was 0.0357 ft/ft, which was estimated from the topographic map (U.S. Geological Survey, 1986).

The surveyed approach section (APTEM) was moved along the approach channel slope (0.0536 ft/ft) to establish the modelled approach section (APPRO), one bridge length upstream of the upstream face as recommended by Shearman and others (1986). This location also provides a consistent method for determining scour variables.

For the 100-year and incipient-overtopping discharge, WSPRO assumes critical depth at the bridge section. Supercritical models were developed for these discharges. After analyzing both the supercritical and subcritical profiles for each discharge, it can be determined that the water surface profile does pass through critical depth within the bridge opening. Thus, the assumptions of critical depth at the bridge are satisfactory solutions.

Bridge Hydraulics Summary

Average bridge embankment elevation   500.3 *ft*
Average low steel elevation   498.1 *ft*

100-year discharge   3,650 *ft³/s*
Water-surface elevation in bridge opening   493.7 *ft*
Road overtopping?   No   *Discharge over road*   -- *ft³/s*
Area of flow in bridge opening   234 *ft²*
Average velocity in bridge opening   15.6 *ft/s*
Maximum WSPRO tube velocity at bridge   20.8 *ft/s*

Water-surface elevation at Approach section with bridge   498.4
Water-surface elevation at Approach section without bridge   493.8
Amount of backwater caused by bridge   4.6 *ft*

500-year discharge   5,400 *ft³/s*
Water-surface elevation in bridge opening   498.1 *ft*
Road overtopping?   Yes   *Discharge over road*   1050 *ft³/s*
Area of flow in bridge opening   373 *ft²*
Average velocity in bridge opening   11.7 *ft/s*
Maximum WSPRO tube velocity at bridge   16.3 *ft/s*

Water-surface elevation at Approach section with bridge   501.7
Water-surface elevation at Approach section without bridge   495.6
Amount of backwater caused by bridge   6.1 *ft*

Incipient overtopping discharge   3,670 *ft³/s*
Water-surface elevation in bridge opening   493.7 *ft*
Area of flow in bridge opening   235 *ft²*
Average velocity in bridge opening   15.6 *ft/s*
Maximum WSPRO tube velocity at bridge   20.7 *ft/s*

Water-surface elevation at Approach section with bridge   498.4
Water-surface elevation at Approach section without bridge   493.8
Amount of backwater caused by bridge   4.6 *ft*

Scour Analysis Summary

Special Conditions or Assumptions Made in Scour Analysis

Scour depths were computed using the general guidelines described in Hydraulic Engineering Circular 18 (Richardson and others, 1995). Scour depths were calculated assuming an infinite depth of erosive material and a homogeneous particle-size distribution. The results of the scour analysis are presented in tables 1 and 2 and a graph of the scour depths is presented in figure 8.

Contraction scour for the 100-year and incipient overtopping discharges were computed by use of the Laursen clear-water contraction scour equation (Richardson and others, 1995, p. 32, equation 20). At this site, the 500-year discharge resulted in unsubmerged orifice flow. Contraction scour at bridges with orifice flow is best estimated by use of the Chang pressure-flow scour equation (oral communication, J. Sterling Jones, October 4, 1996). Thus, for the 500-year discharge contraction scour was computed by use of the Chang equation (Richardson and others, 1995, p. 145-146). Results of this analysis are presented in figure 8 and tables 1 and 2. The computed streambed armoring depths suggest that armoring will not limit the depth of contraction scour.

Additional estimates of contraction scour for the 500-year event also were computed by use of Laursen's clear-water scour equation and the Umbrell pressure-flow equation (Richardson and others, 1995, p. 144) and are presented in appendix F. Furthermore, contraction scour was computed by substituting alternative estimates for the depth of flow in the bridge at the downstream face in the scour equations. Contraction scour results with respect to these substitutions also are provided in appendix F.

Abutment scour for the right abutment was computed by use of the Froehlich equation (Richardson and others, 1995, p. 48, equation 28). Variables for the Froehlich equation include the Froude number of the flow approaching the embankments, the length of the embankment blocking flow, and the depth of flow approaching the embankment less any roadway overtopping.

Scour at the left abutment was computed by use of the HIRE equation (Richardson and others, 1995, p. 49, equation 29) because the HIRE equation is recommended when the length to depth ratio of the embankment blocking flow exceeds 25. The variables used by the HIRE abutment-scour equation are defined the same as those defined for the Froehlich abutment-scour equation.

Scour Results

<i>Contraction scour:</i>	<i>100-yr discharge</i>	<i>500-yr discharge</i>	<i>Incipient overtopping discharge</i>
	<i>(Scour depths in feet)</i>		

Main channel

<i>Live-bed scour</i>	--	--	--
<i>Clear-water scour</i>	0.6	0.9	0.6
<i>Depth to armoring</i>	35 ⁻	114 ⁻	35 ⁻
<i>Left overbank</i>	-- ⁻	-- ⁻	-- ⁻
<i>Right overbank</i>	-- ⁻	-- ⁻	-- ⁻

Local scour:

<i>Abutment scour</i>	6.9	13.8	7.1
<i>Left abutment</i>	20.7 ⁻	22.2 ⁻	20.7 ⁻
<i>Right abutment</i>			
<i>Pier scour</i>	--	--	--
<i>Pier 1</i>	--	--	--
<i>Pier 2</i>	--	--	--
<i>Pier 3</i>			

Riprap Sizing

	<i>100-yr discharge</i>	<i>500-yr discharge</i>	<i>Incipient overtopping discharge</i>
	<i>(D₅₀ in feet)</i>		
<i>Abutments:</i>	3.2	3.6	3.2
<i>Left abutment</i>	3.2	3.6	3.2
<i>Right abutment</i>	-- ⁻	-- ⁻	-- ⁻
<i>Piers:</i>	--	--	--
<i>Pier 1</i>	-- ⁻	-- ⁻	-- ⁻
<i>Pier 2</i>			

Figure 7. Water-surface profiles for the 100- and 500-year discharges at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.

Figure 8. Scour elevations for the 100- and 500-year discharges at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.

Table 1. Remaining footing/pile depth at abutments for the 100-year discharge at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.

[VTAOT, Vermont Agency of Transportation; --, no data]

Description	Station ¹	VTAOT minimum low-chord elevation (feet)	Surveyed minimum low-chord elevation ² (feet)	Bottom of footing elevation ² (feet)	Channel elevation at abutment/pier ² (feet)	Contraction scour depth (feet)	Abutment scour depth (feet)	Pier scour depth (feet)	Depth of total scour (feet)	Elevation of scour ² (feet)	Remaining footing/pile depth (feet)
100-year discharge is 3,650 cubic-feet per second											
Left abutment	0.0	--	498.2	--	488.2	0.6	6.9	--	7.5	480.7	--
Right abutment	32.7	--	498.0	--	489.3	0.6	20.7	--	21.3	468.0	--

1. Measured along the face of the most constricting side of the bridge.

2. Arbitrary datum for this study.

Table 2. Remaining footing/pile depth at abutments for the 500-year discharge at structure BELVTH00080011 on Town Highway 8, crossing the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, Vermont.

[VTAOT, Vermont Agency of Transportation; --, no data]

Description	Station ¹	VTAOT minimum low-chord elevation (feet)	Surveyed minimum low-chord elevation ² (feet)	Bottom of footing elevation ² (feet)	Channel elevation at abutment/pier ² (feet)	Contraction scour depth (feet)	Abutment scour depth (feet)	Pier scour depth (feet)	Depth of total scour (feet)	Elevation of scour ² (feet)	Remaining footing/pile depth (feet)
500-year discharge is 5,400 cubic-feet per second											
Left abutment	0.0	--	498.2	--	488.2	0.9	13.8	--	14.7	473.5	--
Right abutment	32.7	--	498.0	--	489.3	0.9	22.2	--	23.1	466.2	--

1. Measured along the face of the most constricting side of the bridge.

2. Arbitrary datum for this study.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- Arcement, G.J., Jr., and Schneider, V.R., 1989, Guide for selecting Manning's roughness coefficients for natural channels and flood plains: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2339, 38 p.
- Barnes, H.H., Jr., 1967, Roughness characteristics of natural channels: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1849, 213 p.
- Benson, M. A., 1962, Factors Influencing the Occurrence of Floods in a Humid Region of Diverse Terrain: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1580-B, 64 p.
- Brown, S.A. and Clyde, E.S., 1989, Design of riprap revetment: Federal Highway Administration Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 11, Publication FHWA-IP-89-016, 156 p.
- Federal Highway Administration, 1983, Runoff estimates for small watersheds and development of sound design: Federal Highway Administration Report FHWA-RD-77-158.
- Federal Highway Administration, 1993, Stream Stability and Scour at Highway Bridges: Participant Workbook: Federal Highway Administration Report FHWA-HI-91-011.
- Froehlich, D.C., 1989, Local scour at bridge abutments *in* Ports, M.A., ed., Hydraulic Engineering--Proceedings of the 1989 National Conference on Hydraulic Engineering: New York, American Society of Civil Engineers, p. 13-18.
- Hayes, D.C., 1993, Site selection and collection of bridge-scour data in Delaware, Maryland, and Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation Report 93-4017, 23 p.
- Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data, 1982, Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency: U.S. Geological Survey, Bulletin 17B of the Hydrology Subcommittee, 190 p.
- Johnson, C.G. and Tasker, G.D., 1974, Progress report on flood magnitude and frequency of Vermont streams: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 74-130, 37 p.
- Lagasse, P.F., Schall, J.D., Johnson, F., Richardson, E.V., Chang, F., 1995, Stream Stability at Highway Structures: Federal Highway Administration Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 20, Publication FHWA-IP-90-014, 144 p.
- Laursen, E.M., 1960, Scour at bridge crossings: Journal of the Hydraulics Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, v. 86, no. HY2, p. 39-53.
- Potter, W. D., 1957, Peak rates of runoff in the New England Hill and Lowland area, Bureau of Public Roads
- Richardson, E.V. and Davis, S.R., 1995, Evaluating scour at bridges: Federal Highway Administration Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 18, Publication FHWA-IP-90-017, 204 p.
- Richardson, E.V., Simons, D.B., and Julien, P.Y., 1990, Highways in the river environment: Federal Highway Administration Publication FHWA-HI-90-016.
- Ritter, D.F., 1984, Process Geomorphology: W.C. Brown Co., Debuque, Iowa, 603 p.
- Shearman, J.O., 1990, User's manual for WSPRO--a computer model for water surface profile computations: Federal Highway Administration Publication FHWA-IP-89-027, 187 p.
- Shearman, J.O., Kirby, W.H., Schneider, V.R., and Flippo, H.N., 1986, Bridge waterways analysis model; research report: Federal Highway Administration Publication FHWA-RD-86-108, 112 p.
- Talbot, A.N., 1887, The determination of water-way for bridges and culverts.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1986, Cold Hollow Mountains, Vermont 7.5 Minute Series quadrangle map: U.S. Geological Survey Topographic Maps; Aerial photographs, 1980; Contour interval, 6 meters; Scale 1:24,000.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 1986, Johnson, Vermont 7.5 Minute Series quadrangle map: U.S. Geological Survey Topographic Maps; Aerial photographs, 1981; Contour interval, 6 meters; Scale, 1:24,000

APPENDIX A:

WSPRO INPUT FILE

WSPRO INPUT FILE

```

T1      U.S. Geological Survey WSPRO Input File belv011.wsp
T2      Hydraulic analysis for structure BELVTH00080011   Date: 22-MAY-97
T3      Town Highway 8 over the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, VT   EB
*
J1      * * 0.005
J3      6 29 30 552 553 551 5 16 17 13 3 * 15 14 23 21 11 12 4 7 3
*
Q        3650.0    5400.0    3670.0
SK       0.0357    0.0357    0.0357
*
XS      EXIT1      -29
GR       -166.2, 512.06    -101.0, 501.99    -13.1, 499.32    -6.0, 493.59
GR        0.0, 488.58      13.1, 486.16      28.9, 484.15      38.0, 484.89
GR       42.5, 486.14      52.7, 488.94      54.0, 491.77      63.4, 496.03
GR       73.1, 496.31      152.4, 507.15
*
N        0.035      0.065      0.055
SA       -13.1      63.4
*
XS      FULLV      0 * * * 0.0000
*
*          SRD      LSEL
BR      BRIDG      0    498.11
GR       0.0, 498.20      0.1, 496.52      1.1, 496.46      2.4, 488.21
GR       6.8, 488.64      8.1, 486.16      8.5, 484.36      11.6, 484.71
GR      13.3, 486.11      15.3, 483.11      18.2, 483.63      23.6, 485.42
GR      26.9, 486.12      28.9, 487.93      32.1, 489.29      32.7, 498.02
GR       0.0, 498.20
*
*          BRTYPE  BRWDTH
CD        1      18.0
N        0.065
*
*          SRD      EMBWID  IPAVE
XR      RDWAY      8      12.6    2
GR      -319.1, 527.30    -172.6, 509.13    -81.7, 500.22    -44.3, 499.31
GR       0.0, 500.32      32.8, 500.18      218.1, 514.08
*
XT      APTEM      60
GR      -148.0, 508.53    -129.3, 498.95    -120.9, 497.82    -117.2, 498.79
GR      -94.9, 498.99      -87.9, 498.70      -72.5, 497.93      -13.8, 496.36
GR       -3.3, 493.35      0.0, 488.72      10.1, 487.80      21.8, 486.34
GR      31.6, 486.46      34.5, 487.82      39.6, 490.25      52.2, 492.61
GR      63.2, 500.61
*
AS      APPRO      49 * * * 0.0536
GT
N        0.040      0.055
SA       -13.8
*
HP 1 BRIDG 493.71 1 493.71
HP 2 BRIDG 493.71 * * 3650
HP 1 APPRO 498.41 1 498.41
HP 2 APPRO 498.41 * * 3650
*
HP 1 BRIDG 498.11 1 498.11
HP 2 BRIDG 498.11 * * 4348
HP 2 BRIDG 495.11 * * 4348
HP 2 RDWAY 501.48 * * 1050
HP 1 APPRO 501.72 1 501.72
HP 2 APPRO 501.72 * * 5400
*
HP 1 BRIDG 493.74 1 493.74
HP 2 BRIDG 493.74 * * 3670
HP 1 APPRO 498.45 1 498.45
HP 2 APPRO 498.45 * * 3670
*
EX      ER

```

APPENDIX B:

WSPRO OUTPUT FILE

WSPRO OUTPUT FILE

U.S. Geological Survey WSPRO Input File belv011.wsp
 Hydraulic analysis for structure BELVTH00080011 Date: 22-MAY-97
 Town Highway 8 over the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, VT EB
 *** RUN DATE & TIME: 06-09-97 14:03

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 3; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 0.

WSEL	SA#	AREA	K	TOPW	WETP	ALPH	LEW	REW	QCR
	1	234	15840	31	46				3659
493.71		234	15840	31	46	1.00	2	32	3659

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 3; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 0.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
493.71	1.5	32.4	234.1	15840.	3650.	15.59
X STA.	1.5	5.8	8.7	9.9	11.1	12.3
A(I)	20.7	18.0	11.8	10.8	10.5	
V(I)	8.83	10.12	15.49	16.89	17.43	
X STA.	12.3	13.8	15.1	16.0	16.9	17.7
A(I)	11.8	12.3	9.4	8.9	8.8	
V(I)	15.44	14.86	19.35	20.51	20.81	
X STA.	17.7	18.6	19.5	20.5	21.5	22.6
A(I)	8.9	8.9	9.2	9.1	9.7	
V(I)	20.57	20.50	19.83	19.96	18.81	
X STA.	22.6	23.7	25.0	26.5	28.4	32.4
A(I)	9.9	10.5	11.3	13.6	20.1	
V(I)	18.35	17.45	16.21	13.43	9.09	

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 5; SECID = APPRO; SRD = 49.

WSEL	SA#	AREA	K	TOPW	WETP	ALPH	LEW	REW	QCR
	1	131	5274	116	116				788
	2	650	70650	75	81				10874
498.41		781	75924	190	197	1.17	-128	61	8278

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 5; SECID = APPRO; SRD = 49.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
498.41	-129.4	61.0	780.7	75924.	3650.	4.68
X STA.	-129.4	-25.3	-4.6	1.5	4.7	7.7
A(I)	102.2	65.0	48.4	34.3	32.5	
V(I)	1.79	2.81	3.77	5.32	5.61	
X STA.	7.7	10.5	13.1	15.7	18.1	20.4
A(I)	31.3	29.8	29.8	28.7	28.5	
V(I)	5.83	6.11	6.12	6.37	6.41	
X STA.	20.4	22.6	24.8	27.1	29.4	31.8
A(I)	28.0	28.1	28.4	29.3	29.6	
V(I)	6.51	6.49	6.43	6.23	6.17	
X STA.	31.8	34.5	37.9	42.2	47.7	61.0
A(I)	32.7	34.8	38.2	42.1	59.0	
V(I)	5.59	5.25	4.78	4.33	3.09	

WSPRO OUTPUT FILE (continued)

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 3; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 0.

WSEL	SA#	AREA	K	TOPW	WETP	ALPH	LEW	REW	QCR
	1	373	25535	16	72				10106
498.11		373	25535	16	72	1.00	0	33	10106

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 3; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 0.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
498.11	0.0	32.7	372.9	25535.	4348.	11.66
X STA.	0.0	4.8	6.7	8.6	9.7	10.7
A(I)	32.5	18.6	21.5	15.1	13.8	
V(I)	6.70	11.69	10.12	14.36	15.77	
X STA.	10.7	11.8	12.9	14.1	15.2	16.1
A(I)	14.0	14.2	15.2	15.1	13.3	
V(I)	15.54	15.36	14.30	14.41	16.34	
X STA.	16.1	17.1	18.2	19.3	20.6	21.9
A(I)	14.9	16.1	16.4	17.0	17.7	
V(I)	14.60	13.47	13.27	12.76	12.29	
X STA.	21.9	23.3	24.8	26.5	28.6	32.7
A(I)	18.3	19.3	20.4	23.8	35.7	
V(I)	11.88	11.25	10.67	9.14	6.08	

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 3; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 0.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
495.11	1.3	32.5	277.6	20220.	4348.	15.67
X STA.	1.3	5.6	8.2	9.7	10.9	12.1
A(I)	25.3	19.1	15.6	12.8	12.5	
V(I)	8.60	11.35	13.93	17.00	17.37	
X STA.	12.1	13.5	14.9	15.9	16.8	17.7
A(I)	13.0	14.5	11.5	10.6	10.4	
V(I)	16.66	15.03	18.93	20.59	20.87	
X STA.	17.7	18.6	19.5	20.5	21.6	22.6
A(I)	10.5	10.5	10.9	10.9	11.1	
V(I)	20.79	20.66	19.92	19.98	19.61	
X STA.	22.6	23.8	25.1	26.6	28.5	32.5
A(I)	11.8	12.2	13.5	16.0	24.9	
V(I)	18.35	17.83	16.11	13.61	8.73	

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 4; SECID = RDWAY; SRD = 8.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
501.48	-94.6	50.1	197.6	9108.	1050.	5.31
X STA.	-94.6	-77.8	-71.3	-65.8	-61.0	-56.8
A(I)	13.2	9.4	8.6	8.2	7.7	
V(I)	3.99	5.58	6.12	6.41	6.80	
X STA.	-56.8	-52.9	-49.3	-46.0	-42.8	-39.7
A(I)	7.4	7.1	6.9	6.8	6.7	
V(I)	7.08	7.34	7.57	7.69	7.88	
X STA.	-39.7	-36.2	-32.7	-28.8	-24.7	-20.1
A(I)	7.0	6.9	7.3	7.2	7.7	
V(I)	7.55	7.62	7.21	7.24	6.85	
X STA.	-20.1	-15.0	-4.9	9.7	24.2	50.1
A(I)	8.0	13.9	17.5	17.8	22.3	
V(I)	6.59	3.77	3.00	2.95	2.35	

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 5; SECID = APPRO; SRD = 49.

WSEL	SA#	AREA	K	TOPW	WETP	ALPH	LEW	REW	QCR
	1	524	51261	122	123				6161
	2	903	117944	77	85				17549
501.72		1427	169205	199	208	1.05	-135	63	21140

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 5; SECID = APPRO; SRD = 49.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
501.72	-135.9	63.2	1427.1	169205.	5400.	3.78
X STA.	-135.9	-103.7	-76.9	-57.6	-41.6	-27.2
A(I)	105.4	96.9	86.6	80.3	77.5	
V(I)	2.56	2.79	3.12	3.36	3.48	
X STA.	-27.2	-14.4	-2.5	3.0	7.1	11.0
A(I)	73.9	89.3	70.3	57.9	55.8	
V(I)	3.65	3.02	3.84	4.66	4.84	
X STA.	11.0	14.7	18.2	21.6	24.9	28.3
A(I)	55.2	53.4	53.4	54.0	54.0	
V(I)	4.89	5.06	5.06	5.00	5.00	
X STA.	28.3	31.9	36.1	41.4	48.1	63.2
A(I)	57.2	60.6	67.2	74.0	104.1	
V(I)	4.72	4.45	4.02	3.65	2.59	

WSPRO OUTPUT FILE (continued)

U.S. Geological Survey WSPRO Input File belv011.wsp
 Hydraulic analysis for structure BELVTH00080011 Date: 22-MAY-97
 Town Highway 8 over the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, VT EB
 *** RUN DATE & TIME: 06-09-97 14:03

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 3; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 0.

WSEL	SA#	AREA	K	TOPW	WETP	ALPH	LEW	REW	QCR
	1	235	15930	31	46				3680
493.74		235	15930	31	46	1.00	2	32	3680

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 3; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 0.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
493.74	1.5	32.4	235.0	15930.	3670.	15.61
X STA.	1.5	5.8	8.7	9.9	11.1	12.3
A(I)	20.8	18.1	11.8	10.8	10.5	
V(I)	8.83	10.13	15.52	16.92	17.46	
X STA.	12.3	13.8	15.1	16.0	16.8	17.7
A(I)	11.9	12.2	9.5	9.0	8.8	
V(I)	15.46	15.09	19.29	20.43	20.74	
X STA.	17.7	18.6	19.5	20.5	21.5	22.6
A(I)	8.9	9.2	9.0	9.4	9.6	
V(I)	20.71	20.00	20.34	19.62	19.19	
X STA.	22.6	23.7	25.0	26.5	28.4	32.4
A(I)	10.0	10.5	11.3	13.6	20.2	
V(I)	18.38	17.48	16.23	13.45	9.08	

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 5; SECID = APPRO; SRD = 49.

WSEL	SA#	AREA	K	TOPW	WETP	ALPH	LEW	REW	QCR
	1	135	5586	116	116				830
	2	653	71153	75	81				10945
498.45		788	76739	191	197	1.17	-128	61	8395

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 5; SECID = APPRO; SRD = 49.

WSEL	LEW	REW	AREA	K	Q	VEL
498.45	-129.5	61.0	788.3	76739.	3670.	4.66
X STA.	-129.5	-26.5	-5.0	1.1	4.5	7.5
A(I)	103.3	66.8	47.3	35.5	32.8	
V(I)	1.78	2.75	3.88	5.16	5.60	
X STA.	7.5	10.3	13.0	15.5	18.0	20.2
A(I)	31.6	30.1	30.1	29.3	28.2	
V(I)	5.81	6.10	6.10	6.25	6.50	
X STA.	20.2	22.5	24.7	27.0	29.4	31.7
A(I)	28.4	28.5	28.7	29.7	30.0	
V(I)	6.47	6.45	6.39	6.19	6.13	
X STA.	31.7	34.5	37.9	42.2	47.7	61.0
A(I)	32.3	35.9	37.7	42.5	59.6	
V(I)	5.68	5.11	4.86	4.31	3.08	

WSPRO OUTPUT FILE (continued)

U.S. Geological Survey WSPRO Input File belv011.wsp
Hydraulic analysis for structure BELVTH00080011 Date: 22-MAY-97
Town Highway 8 over the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, VT EB
*** RUN DATE & TIME: 06-09-97 14:03

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
EXIT1:XS	*****	-3	295	2.38	*****	493.94	491.43	3650	491.55
-28	*****	54	19302	1.00	*****	*****	0.96	12.38	

===135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS.
"FULLV" KRATIO = 1.55

FULLV:FV	29	-5	400	1.30	0.67	494.60	*****	3650	493.30
0	29	57	29903	1.00	0.00	-0.01	0.64	9.13	
<<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>									

===125 FR# EXCEEDS FNTEST AT SECID "APPRO": TRIALS CONTINUED.
FNTEST,FR#,WSEL,CRWS = 0.80 0.85 493.83 493.17

===110 WSEL NOT FOUND AT SECID "APPRO": REDUCED DELTAY.
WSLIM1,WSLIM2,DELTAY = 492.80 507.94 0.50

===115 WSEL NOT FOUND AT SECID "APPRO": USED WSMIN = CRWS.
WSLIM1,WSLIM2,CRWS = 492.80 507.94 493.17

APPRO:AS	49	-6	328	1.92	0.84	495.75	493.17	3650	493.83
49	49	55	25897	1.00	0.31	0.00	0.85	11.12	
<<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>									

===285 CRITICAL WATER-SURFACE ELEVATION A S _ S U _ M _ E _ D !!!!!
SECID "BRIDG" Q,CRWS = 3650. 493.71

<<<<RESULTS REFLECTING THE CONSTRICTED FLOW FOLLOW>>>>

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
BRIDG:BR	29	2	234	3.78	*****	497.49	493.71	3650	493.71
0	29	32	15848	1.00	*****	*****	1.00	15.58	

TYPE PPCD FLOW C P/A LSEL BLEN XLAB XRAB
1. **** 1. 1.000 ***** 498.11 ***** *****

XSID:CODE	SRD	FLEN	HF	VHD	EGL	ERR	Q	WSEL
RWDAY:RG	8.							
<<<<EMBANKMENT IS NOT OVERTOPPED>>>>								

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
APPRO:AS	31	-128	780	0.40	0.36	498.81	493.17	3650	498.41
49	33	61	75836	1.17	0.95	0.00	0.44	4.68	

M(G)	M(K)	KQ	XLKQ	XRKQ	OTEL
0.501	0.324	51332.	6.	36.	498.32

<<<<END OF BRIDGE COMPUTATIONS>>>>

FIRST USER DEFINED TABLE.

XSID:CODE	SRD	LEW	REW	Q	K	AREA	VEL	WSEL
EXIT1:XS	-29.	-4.	54.	3650.	19302.	295.	12.38	491.55
FULLV:FV	0.	-6.	57.	3650.	29903.	400.	9.13	493.30
BRIDG:BR	0.	2.	32.	3650.	15848.	234.	15.58	493.71
RWDAY:RG	8.	*****		0.	*****		2.00	*****
APPRO:AS	49.	-129.	61.	3650.	75836.	780.	4.68	498.41

XSID:CODE	XLKQ	XRKQ	KQ
APPRO:AS	6.	36.	51332.

SECOND USER DEFINED TABLE.

XSID:CODE	CRWS	FR#	YMIN	YMAX	HF	HO	VHD	EGL	WSEL
EXIT1:XS	491.43	0.96	484.15	512.06	*****		2.38	493.94	491.55
FULLV:FV	*****	0.64	484.15	512.06	0.67	0.00	1.30	494.60	493.30
BRIDG:BR	493.71	1.00	483.11	498.20	*****		3.78	497.49	493.71
RWDAY:RG	*****		499.31	527.30	*****				
APPRO:AS	493.17	0.44	485.75	507.94	0.36	0.95	0.40	498.81	498.41

WSPRO OUTPUT FILE (continued)

U.S. Geological Survey WSPRO Input File belv011.wsp
Hydraulic analysis for structure BELVTH00080011 Date: 22-MAY-97
Town Highway 8 over the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, VT EB
*** RUN DATE & TIME: 06-09-97 14:03

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
EXIT1:XS	*****	-4	387	3.03	*****	496.12	493.04	5400	493.10
-28	*****	57	28557	1.00	*****	*****	0.99	13.95	

===135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS.
"FULLV" KRATIO = 1.52

FULLV:FV	29	-7	520	1.68	0.68	496.79	*****	5400	495.11
0	29	61	43280	1.00	0.00	-0.02	0.67	10.39	
<<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>									

===125 FR# EXCEEDS FNTEST AT SECID "APPRO": TRIALS CONTINUED.
FNTEST,FR#,WSEL,CRWS = 0.80 0.84 495.66 494.83

===110 WSEL NOT FOUND AT SECID "APPRO": REDUCED DELTAY.
WSLIM1,WSLIM2,DELTAY = 494.61 507.94 0.50

===115 WSEL NOT FOUND AT SECID "APPRO": USED WSMIN = CRWS.
WSLIM1,WSLIM2,CRWS = 494.61 507.94 494.83

APPRO:AS	49	-12	449	2.25	0.83	497.90	494.83	5400	495.65
49	49	57	39850	1.00	0.28	0.00	0.84	12.02	
<<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>									

===215 FLOW CLASS 1 SOLUTION INDICATES POSSIBLE ROAD OVERFLOW.
WS1,WSSD,WS3,RGMIN = 502.54 0.00 496.01 499.31

===260 ATTEMPTING FLOW CLASS 4 SOLUTION.

===240 NO DISCHARGE BALANCE IN 15 ITERATIONS.
WS,QBO,QRD = 504.91 0. 5400.

===280 REJECTED FLOW CLASS 4 SOLUTION.

===245 ATTEMPTING FLOW CLASS 2 (5) SOLUTION.

<<<<RESULTS REFLECTING THE CONSTRICTED FLOW FOLLOW>>>>

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
BRIDG:BR	29	0	373	2.11	*****	500.22	494.67	4348	498.11
0	*****	33	25535	1.00	*****	*****	0.61	11.66	

TYPE	PPCD	FLOW	C	P/A	LSEL	BLEN	XLAB	XRAB
1.	****	5.	0.475	*****	498.11	*****	*****	*****

XSID:CODE	SRD	FLEN	HF	VHD	EGL	ERR	Q	WSEL
RDWAY:RG	8.	36.	0.04	0.23	501.92	0.00	1050.	501.48

LT:	Q	WLEN	LEW	REW	DMAX	DAVG	VMAX	VAVG	HAVG	CAVG
895.	112.	-95.	18.	2.2	1.5	6.2	5.3	1.9	3.0	
RT:	155.	32.	18.	50.	1.3	0.9	5.2	5.1	1.4	3.0

===140 AT SECID "APPRO": END OF CROSS SECTION EXTENDED VERTICALLY.
WSEL,YLT,YRT = 501.72 507.9 500.0

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
APPRO:AS	31	-135	1427	0.23	0.18	501.95	494.83	5400	501.72
49	33	63	169191	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.26	3.78	

M(G)	M(K)	KQ	XLKQ	XRKQ	OTEL
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****

<<<<END OF BRIDGE COMPUTATIONS>>>>

FIRST USER DEFINED TABLE.

XSID:CODE	SRD	LEW	REW	Q	K	AREA	VEL	WSEL
EXIT1:XS	-29.	-5.	57.	5400.	28557.	387.	13.95	493.10
FULLV:FV	0.	-8.	61.	5400.	43280.	520.	10.39	495.11
BRIDG:BR	0.	0.	33.	4348.	25535.	373.	11.66	498.11
RDWAY:RG	8.	*****	895.	1050.	*****	*****	2.00	501.48
APPRO:AS	49.	-136.	63.	5400.	169191.	1427.	3.78	501.72

XSID:CODE	XLKQ	XRKQ	KQ
APPRO:AS	*****	*****	*****

SECOND USER DEFINED TABLE.

XSID:CODE	CRWS	FR#	YMIN	YMAX	HF	HO	VHD	EGL	WSEL
EXIT1:XS	493.04	0.99	484.15	512.06	*****	3.03	496.12	493.10	
FULLV:FV	*****	0.67	484.15	512.06	0.68	0.00	1.68	496.79	
BRIDG:BR	494.67	0.61	483.11	498.20	*****	2.11	500.22	498.11	
RDWAY:RG	*****	*****	499.31	527.30	0.04	*****	0.23	501.92	
APPRO:AS	494.83	0.26	485.75	507.94	0.18	0.00	0.23	501.95	

WSPRO OUTPUT FILE (continued)

U.S. Geological Survey WSPRO Input File belv011.wsp
Hydraulic analysis for structure BELVTH00080011 Date: 22-MAY-97
Town Highway 8 over the North Branch Lamoille River, Belvidere, VT EB
*** RUN DATE & TIME: 06-09-97 14:03

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
EXIT1:XS	*****	-3	296	2.39	*****	493.96	491.45	3670	491.57
-28	*****	54	19407	1.00	*****	*****	0.96	12.40	

===135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS.
"FULLV" KRATIO = 1.55

FULLV:FV	29	-5	401	1.30	0.67	494.62	*****	3670	493.32
0	29	57	30054	1.00	0.00	-0.01	0.64	9.15	
<<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>									

===125 FR# EXCEEDS FNTEST AT SECID "APPRO": TRIALS CONTINUED.
FNTEST,FR#,WSEL,CRWS = 0.80 0.85 493.85 493.21

===110 WSEL NOT FOUND AT SECID "APPRO": REDUCED DELTAY.
WSLIM1,WSLIM2,DELTAY = 492.82 507.94 0.50

===115 WSEL NOT FOUND AT SECID "APPRO": USED WSMIN = CRWS.
WSLIM1,WSLIM2,CRWS = 492.82 507.94 493.21

APPRO:AS	49	-6	330	1.93	0.84	495.78	493.21	3670	493.85
49	49	55	26052	1.00	0.31	0.00	0.85	11.13	
<<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>									

===285 CRITICAL WATER-SURFACE ELEVATION A S _ S _ U _ M _ E _ D !!!!!
SECID "BRIDG" Q,CRWS = 3670. 493.74

<<<<RESULTS REFLECTING THE CONSTRICTED FLOW FOLLOW>>>>

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
BRIDG:BR	29	2	235	3.79	*****	497.53	493.74	3670	493.74
0	29	32	15939	1.00	*****	*****	1.00	15.61	

TYPE PPCD FLOW C P/A LSEL BLEN XLAB XRAB
1. **** 1. 1.000 ***** 498.11 ***** *****

XSID:CODE	SRD	FLEN	HF	VHD	EGL	ERR	Q	WSEL
RDWAY:RG	8.							
<<<<EMBANKMENT IS NOT OVERTOPPED>>>>								

XSID:CODE	SRDL	LEW	AREA	VHD	HF	EGL	CRWS	Q	WSEL
SRD	FLEN	REW	K	ALPH	HO	ERR	FR#	VEL	
APPRO:AS	31	-128	788	0.40	0.36	498.84	493.21	3670	498.45
49	33	61	76701	1.17	0.95	0.00	0.44	4.66	

M(G)	M(K)	KQ	XLKQ	XRKQ	OTEL
0.502	0.327	51646.	6.	36.	498.36

<<<<END OF BRIDGE COMPUTATIONS>>>>

FIRST USER DEFINED TABLE.

XSID:CODE	SRD	LEW	REW	Q	K	AREA	VEL	WSEL
EXIT1:XS	-29.	-4.	54.	3670.	19407.	296.	12.40	491.57
FULLV:FV	0.	-6.	57.	3670.	30054.	401.	9.15	493.32
BRIDG:BR	0.	2.	32.	3670.	15939.	235.	15.61	493.74
RDWAY:RG	8.	*****		0.	*****		2.00	*****
APPRO:AS	49.	-129.	61.	3670.	76701.	788.	4.66	498.45

XSID:CODE	XLKQ	XRKQ	KQ
APPRO:AS	6.	36.	51646.

SECOND USER DEFINED TABLE.

XSID:CODE	CRWS	FR#	YMIN	YMAX	HF	HO	VHD	EGL	WSEL
EXIT1:XS	491.45	0.96	484.15	512.06	*****		2.39	493.96	491.57
FULLV:FV	*****	0.64	484.15	512.06	0.67	0.00	1.30	494.62	493.32
BRIDG:BR	493.74	1.00	483.11	498.20	*****		3.79	497.53	493.74
RDWAY:RG	*****		499.31	527.30	*****				
APPRO:AS	493.21	0.44	485.75	507.94	0.36	0.95	0.40	498.84	498.45

NORMAL END OF WSPRO EXECUTION.

APPENDIX C:

BED-MATERIAL PARTICLE-SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Appendix C. Bed material particle-size distribution for a pebble count in the channel approach of structure BELVTH00080011, in Belvidere, Vermont.

APPENDIX D:
HISTORICAL DATA FORM

Structure Number BELVTH00080011

General Location Descriptive

Data collected by (First Initial, Full last name) E. BOEHMLER

Date (MM/DD/YY) 03 / 07 / 95

Highway District Number (I - 2; nn) 08

County (FIPS county code; I - 3; nnn) 015

Town (FIPS place code; I - 4; nnnnn) 04375

Mile marker (I - 11; nnn.nnn) 000000

Waterway (I - 6) North Branch Lamoille River

Road Name (I - 7): -

Route Number TH 8

Vicinity (I - 9) 0.05 MI JCT TH 8 + VT109

Topographic Map Cold Hollow Mountains

Hydrologic Unit Code: 02010005

Latitude (I - 16; nnnn.n) 44451

Longitude (I - 17; nnnnn.n) 72411

Select Federal Inventory Codes

FHWA Structure Number (I - 8) 10080100110801

Maintenance responsibility (I - 21; nn) 03

Maximum span length (I - 48; nnnn) 0037

Year built (I - 27; YYYY) 1919

Structure length (I - 49; nnnnnn) 000040

Average daily traffic, ADT (I - 29; nnnnnn) 000050

Deck Width (I - 52; nn.n) 126

Year of ADT (I - 30; YY) 93

Channel & Protection (I - 61; n) 5

Opening skew to Roadway (I - 34; nn) 00

Waterway adequacy (I - 71; n) 7

Operational status (I - 41; X) R

Underwater Inspection Frequency (I - 92B; XYY) N

Structure type (I - 43; nnn) 302

Year Reconstructed (I - 106) 1958

Approach span structure type (I - 44; nnn) 000

Clear span (nnn.n ft) -

Number of spans (I - 45; nnn) 001

Vertical clearance from streambed (nnn.n ft) 12.6

Number of approach spans (I - 46; nnnn) 0000

Waterway of full opening (nnn.n ft²) -

Comments:

The structural inspection report of 6/21/93 indicates the structure is a steel stringer type bridge with a concrete deck. The abutments are "laid-up" stone with concrete caps. The wingwalls are also "laid-up" stone. The left abutment, the downstream left wingwall and a portion of the upstream left wingwall all have concrete facings. Boulder material along the base of the left abutment has been encased in the concrete facing. The right abutment has some free-poured concrete along its bottom. A few boulders under the right abutment have slid out from underneath creating voids, above which several stones of the abutment are cracked or broken. A few large boulders are present in front of both (Continued, page 33)

Bridge Hydrologic Data

Is there hydrologic data available? N if No, type ctrl-n h VTAOT Drainage area (mi²): -

Terrain character: -

Stream character & type: -

Streambed material: -

Discharge Data (cfs):   Q_{2.33} -   Q₁₀ -   Q₂₅ -
                                          Q₅₀ -   Q₁₀₀ -   Q₅₀₀ -

Record flood date (MM / DD / YY): - / - / -   Water surface elevation (ft): -

Estimated Discharge (cfs): -   Velocity at Q - (ft/s): -

Ice conditions (Heavy, Moderate, Light) : -   Debris (Heavy, Moderate, Light): -

The stage increases to maximum highwater elevation (Rapidly, Not rapidly): -

The stream response is (Flashy, Not flashy): -

Describe any significant site conditions upstream or downstream that may influence the stream's stage: -

Watershed storage area (in percent): - %

The watershed storage area is: - (1-mainly at the headwaters; 2- uniformly distributed; 3-immediatly upstream of the site)

Water Surface Elevation Estimates for Existing Structure:

Peak discharge frequency	Q _{2.33}	Q ₁₀	Q ₂₅	Q ₅₀	Q ₁₀₀
Water surface elevation (ft))	-	-	-	-	-
Velocity (ft / sec)	-	-	-	-	-

Long term stream bed changes: -

Is the roadway overtopped below the Q₁₀₀? (Yes, No, Unknown): U   Frequency: -

Relief Elevation (ft): -   Discharge over roadway at Q₁₀₀ (ft³/ sec): -

Are there other structures nearby? (Yes, No, Unknown): - If No or Unknown, type ctrl-n os

Upstream distance (miles): -   Town: -   Year Built: -

Highway No. : -   Structure No. : -   Structure Type: -

Clear span (ft): -   Clear Height (ft): -   Full Waterway (ft²): -

Downstream distance (*miles*): - _____ Town: - _____ Year Built: - _____
Highway No. : - _____ Structure No. : - _____ Structure Type: - _____
Clear span (*ft*): - _____ Clear Height (*ft*): - _____ Full Waterway (*ft*²): - _____

Comments:

abutment walls and all of the wingwalls. Additional riprap protection is noted along the up- and down-stream banks.

USGS Watershed Data

Watershed Hydrographic Data

Drainage area (*DA*) 23.41 mi² Lake/pond/swamp area 0.71 mi²
Watershed storage (*ST*) 3.0 %
Bridge site elevation 925 ft Headwater elevation 2999 ft
Main channel length 10 mi
10% channel length elevation 1014 ft 85% channel length elevation 1844 ft
Main channel slope (*S*) 110.67 ft / mi

Watershed Precipitation Data

Average site precipitation - _____ in Average headwater precipitation - _____ in
Maximum 2yr-24hr precipitation event (*I*(24,2)) - _____ in
Average seasonal snowfall (*Sn*) - _____ ft

Bridge Plan Data

Are plans available? N *If no, type ctrl-n pl* Date issued for construction (MM / YYYY): - / -

Project Number - Minimum channel bed elevation: -

Low superstructure elevation: USLAB - DSLAB - USRAB - DSRAB -

Benchmark location description:

NO BENCHMARK INFORMATION

Reference Point (MSL, Arbitrary, Other): - Datum (NAD27, NAD83, Other): -

Foundation Type: 3 (1-Spreadfooting; 2-Pile; 3- Gravity; 4-Unknown)

If 1: Footing Thickness - Footing bottom elevation: -

If 2: Pile Type: - (1-Wood; 2-Steel or metal; 3-Concrete) Approximate pile driven length: -

If 3: Footing bottom elevation: -

Is boring information available? N *If no, type ctrl-n bi* Number of borings taken: -

Foundation Material Type: 3 (1-regolith, 2-bedrock, 3-unknown)

Briefly describe material at foundation bottom elevation or around piles:

NO FOUNDATION MATERIAL INFORMATION

Comments:

-

Cross-sectional Data

Is cross-sectional data available? Y *If no, type ctrl-n xs*

Source (FEMA, VTAOT, Other)? FEMA

The low cord elevations and the bed elevations were retrieved from the FEMA cross sections.

Comments:

Station	200	200.1	205	213	221	227	228	233	233.1	-	-
Feature	LB								RB	-	-
Low cord elevation	895.3	904.8	904.8	904.8	904.8	904.8	904.8	904.8	895.9	-	-
Bed elevation	895.3	895.3	893.6	892.4	891.6	892.6	893.6	895.9	895.9	-	-
Low cord to bed length	0	9.5	11.2	12.4	13.2	12.2	11.2	8.9	0	-	-

Station	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Low cord elevation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bed elevation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Low cord to bed length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source (FEMA, VTAOT, Other)? -

Comments:

Station	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Low cord elevation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bed elevation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Low cord to bed length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Station	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feature	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Low cord elevation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bed elevation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Low cord to bed length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

APPENDIX E:

LEVEL I DATA FORM

Qa/Qc Check by: RB Date: 03/15/96

Computerized by: RB Date: 03/18/96

Reviewed by: EMB Date: 5/23/97

Structure Number BELVTH00080011

A. General Location Descriptive

1. Data collected by (First Initial, Full last name) J. DEGNAN Date (MM/DD/YY) 06 / 27 / 1995

2. Highway District Number 08

Mile marker 0000

County LAMOILLE (015)

Town BELVIDERE (04375)

Waterway (I - 6) North Branch Lamoille River

Road Name -

Route Number TH 8

Hydrologic Unit Code: 02010005

3. Descriptive comments:

This site is located about 0.05 mile from the intersection of TH08 with VT 109. The left abutment is cemented over. The right abutment is stone.

B. Bridge Deck Observations

4. Surface cover... LBUS 4 RBUS 6 LBDS 4 RBDS 6 Overall 4
(2b us,ds,lb,rb: 1- Urban; 2- Suburban; 3- Row crops; 4- Pasture; 5- Shrub- and brushland; 6- Forest; 7- Wetland)

5. Ambient water surface... US 2 UB 2 DS 2 (1- pool; 2- riffle)

6. Bridge structure type 1 (1- single span; 2- multiple span; 3- single arch; 4- multiple arch; 5- cylindrical culvert; 6- box culvert; or 7- other)

7. Bridge length 40 (feet) Span length 37 (feet) Bridge width 12.6 (feet)

Road approach to bridge:

8. LB 1 RB 2 (0 even, 1- lower, 2- higher)

9. LB 2 RB 2 (1- Paved, 2- Not paved)

10. Embankment slope (run / rise in feet / foot):

US left - US right -

	Protection		13.Erosion	14.Severity
	11.Type	12.Cond.		
LBUS	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-</u>
RBUS	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-</u>
RBDS	<u>0</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
LBDS	<u>0</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>

Bank protection types: 0- none; 1- < 12 inches;
2- < 36 inches; 3- < 48 inches;
4- < 60 inches; 5- wall / artificial levee
Bank protection conditions: 1- good; 2- slumped;
3- eroded; 4- failed

Erosion: 0 - none; 1- channel erosion; 2- road wash; 3- both; 4- other

Erosion Severity: 0 - none; 1- slight; 2- moderate; 3- severe

Channel approach to bridge (BF):

15. Angle of approach: 35

16. Bridge skew: 35

17. Channel impact zone 1: Exist? Y (Y or N)

Where? LB (LB, RB) Severity 1

Range? 14 feet US (US, UB, DS) to 0 feet DS

Channel impact zone 2: Exist? Y (Y or N)

Where? LB (LB, RB) Severity 3

Range? 100 feet DS (US, UB, DS) to 145 feet DS

Impact Severity: 0- none to very slight; 1- Slight; 2- Moderate; 3- Severe

18. Bridge Type: **1b/1a**

1a- Vertical abutments with wingwalls

1b- Vertical abutments without wingwalls

2- Vertical abutments and wingwalls, sloping embankment
Wingwalls perpendicular to abut. face

3- Spill through abutments

4- Sloping embankment, vertical wingwalls and abutments
Wingwall angle less than 90°.

19. Bridge Deck Comments (surface cover variations, measured bridge and span lengths, bridge type variations, approach overflow width, etc.)

The right abutment has no wingwalls and is made of stone with a concrete bridge seat. The left abutment has a concrete cap and angled wingwalls.

Each of the US left bank, US right bank, and DS left bank has a house, a gravel driveway, and a lawn yard.

The house on the US right bank sits very high on the bank. The immediate banks have trees and shrubs.

There is an additional moderate channel impact on the right road approach embankment from 0 to 30 feet upstream.

C. Upstream Channel Assessment

21. Bank height (BF)		22. Bank angle (BF)		26. % Veg. cover (BF)		27. Bank material (BF)		28. Bank erosion (BF)			
20. SRD	LB	RB	LB	RB	LB	RB	LB	RB	LB	RB	
47.0	4.5			13.0	3	4	432	543	0	1	
23. Bank width		55.0	24. Channel width		25.0	25. Thalweg depth		66.5	29. Bed Material		543

30. Bank protection type: LB 0 RB 0 31. Bank protection condition: LB -   RB -

SRD - Section ref. dist. to US face % Vegetation (Veg) cover: 1- 0 to 25%; 2- 26 to 50%; 3- 51 to 75%; 4- 76 to 100%

Bed and bank Material: 0- organics; 1- silt / clay, < 1/16mm; 2- sand, 1/16 - 2mm; 3- gravel, 2 - 64mm;

4- cobble, 64 - 256mm; 5- boulder, > 256mm; 6- bedrock; 7- manmade

Bank Erosion: 0- not evident; 1- light fluvial; 2- moderate fluvial; 3- heavy fluvial / mass wasting

Bank protection types: 0- absent; 1- < 12 inches; 2- < 36 inches; 3- < 48 inches; 4- < 60 inches; 5- wall / artificial levee

Bank protection conditions: 1- good; 2- slumped; 3- eroded; 4- failed

32. Comments (bank material variation, minor inflows, protection extent, etc.):

There is a minor inflow flowing along the US left road approach and down along the wingwall.

33. Point/Side bar present? Y (Y or N. if N type ctrl-n pb) 34. Mid-bar distance: 100 35. Mid-bar width: 20
 36. Point bar extent: 40 feet US (US, UB) to 215 feet US (US, UB, DS) positioned 60 %LB to 100 %RB
 37. Material: 453
 38. Point or side bar comments (Circle Point or Side; Note additional bars, material variation, status, etc.):
Point bar grades to smaller particles going DS.

39. Is a cut-bank present? Y (Y or if N type ctrl-n cb) 40. Where? RB (LB or RB)
 41. Mid-bank distance: 132 42. Cut bank extent: 85 feet US (US, UB) to 180 feet US (US, UB, DS)
 43. Bank damage: 1 (1- eroded and/or creep; 2- slip failure; 3- block failure)
 44. Cut bank comments (eg. additional cut banks, protection condition, etc.):
 -

45. Is channel scour present? N (Y or if N type ctrl-n cs) 46. Mid-scour distance: -
 47. Scour dimensions: Length - Width - Depth : - Position - %LB to - %RB
 48. Scour comments (eg. additional scour areas, local scouring process, etc.):
NO CHANNEL SCOUR

49. Are there major confluences? N (Y or if N type ctrl-n mc) 50. How many? -
 51. Confluence 1: Distance - 52. Enters on - (LB or RB) 53. Type - (1- perennial; 2- ephemeral)
 Confluence 2: Distance - Enters on - (LB or RB) Type - (1- perennial; 2- ephemeral)
 54. Confluence comments (eg. confluence name):
NO MAJOR CONFLUENCES

D. Under Bridge Channel Assessment

55. Channel restraint (BF)? LB 2 (1- natural bank; 2- abutment; 3- artificial levee)

56. Height (BF) 57 Angle (BF)

LB RB LB RB

34.5

1.5

61. Material (BF)

LB RB

2

7

62. Erosion (BF)

LB RB

7

-

58. Bank width (BF) - 59. Channel width (Amb) - 60. Thalweg depth (Amb) 90.0 63. Bed Material -

Bed and bank Material: 0- organics; 1- silt / clay, < 1/16mm; 2- sand, 1/16 - 2mm; 3- gravel, 2 - 64mm; 4- cobble, 64 - 256mm; 5- boulder, > 256mm; 6- bedrock; 7- manmade

Bank Erosion: 0- not evident; 1- light fluvial; 2- moderate fluvial; 3- heavy fluvial / mass wasting

64. Comments (bank material variation, minor inflows, protection extent, etc.):

5

There is some free-poured concrete covering and protecting the streambed at the bottom of the right abutment wall, particularly at the upstream end.

65. **Debris and Ice** Is there debris accumulation? ____ (Y or N) 66. Where? N (1- Upstream; 2- At bridge; 3- Both)
 67. Debris Potential - ____ (1- Low; 2- Moderate; 3- High) 68. Capture Efficiency 1 (1- Low; 2- Moderate; 3- High)
 69. Is there evidence of ice build-up? 2 (Y or N) Ice Blockage Potential N (1- Low; 2- Moderate; 3- High)
 70. Debris and Ice Comments:

2

The bridge and boulders constrict the channel as much as 50% in width but the high gradient, straight alignment and the high bridge deck should reduce ice blockage.

<u>Abutments</u>	71. Attack ∠(BF)	72. Slope (Qmax)	73. Toe loc. (BF)	74. Scour Condition	75. Scour depth	76. Exposure depth	77. Material	78. Length
LABUT		30	90	2	0	-	-	90.0
RABUT	2	-	90			2	0	32.5

Pushed: LB or RB

Toe Location (Loc.): 0- even, 1- set back, 2- protrudes

Scour cond.: 0- not evident; 1- evident (comment); 2- footing exposed; 3- undermined footing; 4- piling exposed;
 5- settled; 6- failed

Materials: 1- Concrete; 2- Stone masonry or drywall; 3- steel or metal; 4- wood

79. Abutment comments (eg. undermined penetration, unusual scour processes, debris, etc.):

-

-

2

The abutments are not parallel. They are each angled inward towards the DS end relative to the bridge deck a few degrees creating a greater DS constriction.

80. Wingwalls:

	Exist?	Material?	Scour Condition?	Scour depth?	Exposure depth?
USLWW:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
USRWW:	<u>Y</u>	_____	<u>12</u>	_____	<u>0</u>
DSLWW:	<u>-</u>	_____	<u>-</u>	_____	<u>N</u>
DSRWW:	<u>-</u>	_____	<u>-</u>	_____	<u>-</u>

81. Angle? Length?

32.5

3.0

16.5

15.0

Wingwall materials: 1- Concrete; 2- Stone masonry or drywall; 3- steel or metal;
 4- wood

82. Bank / Bridge Protection:

Location	USLWW	USRWW	LABUT	RABUT	LB	RB	DSLWW	DSRWW
Type	-	0	N	-	1	-	1	2
Condition	Y	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
Extent	1	-	-	3	-	3	2	-

Bank / Bridge protection types: 0- absent; 1- < 12 inches; 2- < 36 inches; 3- < 48 inches; 4- < 60 inches;
 5- wall / artificial levee

Bank / Bridge protection conditions: 1- good; 2- slumped; 3- eroded; 4- failed

Protection extent: 1- entire base length; 2- US end; 3- DS end; 4- other

83. Wingwall and protection comments (eg. undermined penetration, unusual scour processes, etc.):

-
-
-
-
-
3
1
1
-
-
-

Piers:

84. Are there piers? Th (Y or if N type ctrl-n pr)

85. Pier no.	width (w) feet			elevation (e) feet		
	w1	w2	w3	e@w1	e@w2	e@w3
Pier 1		5.0	-	60.0	-	30.0
Pier 2		-	-	12.0	-	-
Pier 3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pier 4	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level 1 Pier Descr.	1	2	3	4
86. Location (BF)	e abut-	there-	as	
87. Type	ment	fore	oppo	
88. Material	walls	the	sed	
89. Shape	are	pro-	to	N
90. Inclined?	mold	tec-	stone	-
91. Attack ∠ (BF)	ed	tion	fill.	-
92. Pushed	into	may		-
93. Length (feet)	-	-	-	-
94. # of piles	the	be		-
95. Cross-members	pro-	nativ		-
96. Scour Condition	tec-	e		-
97. Scour depth	tion	boul-		-
98. Exposure depth	and	ders		-

LFP, LTB, LB, MCL, MCM, MCR, RB, RTB, RFP

1- Solid pier, 2- column, 3- bent

1- Wood; 2- concrete; 3- metal; 4- stone

1- Round; 2- Square; 3- Pointed

Y- yes; N- no

LB or RB

0- none; 1- laterals; 2- diagonals; 3- both

0- not evident; 1- evident (comment);
2- footing exposed; 3- piling exposed;
4- undermined footing; 5- settled; 6- failed

99. Pier comments (eg. undermined penetration, protection and protection extent, unusual scour processes, etc.):

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

E. Downstream Channel Assessment

100.

SRD	Bank height (BF)		Bank angle (BF)		% Veg. cover (BF)		Bank material (BF)		Bank erosion (BF)		
	LB	RB	LB	RB	LB	RB	LB	RB	LB	RB	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bank width (BF) -		Channel width (Amb) -		Thalweg depth (Amb) -		Bed Material -					
Bank protection type (Qmax):		LB -		RB -		Bank protection condition:		LB -		RB -	

SRD - Section ref. dist. to US face   % Vegetation (Veg) cover: 1- 0 to 25%; 2- 26 to 50%; 3- 51 to 75%; 4- 76 to 100%
Bed and bank Material: 0- organics; 1- silt / clay, < 1/16mm; 2- sand, 1/16 - 2mm; 3- gravel, 2 - 64mm;
4- cobble, 64 - 256mm; 5- boulder, > 256mm; 6- bedrock; 7- manmade
Bank Erosion: 0- not evident; 1- light fluvial; 2- moderate fluvial; 3- heavy fluvial / mass wasting
Bank protection types: 0- absent; 1- < 12 inches; 2- < 36 inches; 3- < 48 inches; 4- < 60 inches; 5- wall / artificial levee
Bank protection conditions: 1- good; 2- slumped; 3- eroded; 4- failed

Comments (eg. bank material variation, minor inflows, protection extent, etc.):

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

101. Is a drop structure present? - (Y or N, if N type ctrl-n ds)

102. Distance: - feet

103. Drop: - feet

104. Structure material: - (1- steel sheet pile; 2- wood pile; 3- concrete; 4- other)

105. Drop structure comments (eg. downstream scour depth):

-
-

NO PIERS

106. Point/Side bar present? _____ (Y or N. if N type ctrl-n pb) Mid-bar distance: _____ Mid-bar width: _____

Point bar extent: _____ feet _____ (US, UB, DS) to _____ feet 3 (US, UB, DS) positioned 4 %LB to 543 %RB

Material: 432

Point or side bar comments (Circle Point or Side; note additional bars, material variation, status, etc.):

-

-

543

-

Is a cut-bank present? - (Y or if N type ctrl-n cb) Where? - (LB or RB) Mid-bank distance: -

Cut bank extent: Ther feet e is (US, UB, DS) to bed- feet roc (US, UB, DS)

Bank damage: k (1- eroded and/or creep; 2- slip failure; 3- block failure)

Cut bank comments (eg. additional cut banks, protection condition, etc.):

on the left bank from 100-145 feet DS. There are tree roots exposed on both banks.

Is channel scour present? _____ (Y or if N type ctrl-n cs) Mid-scour distance: _____

Scour dimensions: Length _____ Width _____ Depth: _____ Positioned _____ %LB to _____ %RB

Scour comments (eg. additional scour areas, local scouring process, etc.):

Are there major confluences? N (Y or if N type ctrl-n mc)

How many? -

Confluence 1: Distance NO Enters on DR (LB or RB)

Type OP (1- perennial; 2- ephemeral)

Confluence 2: Distance STR Enters on UC (LB or RB)

Type TU (1- perennial; 2- ephemeral)

Confluence comments (eg. confluence name):

RE

F. Geomorphic Channel Assessment

107. Stage of reach evolution _____

1- Constructed

2- Stable

3- Aggraded

4- Degraded

5- Laterally unstable

6- Vertically and laterally unstable

108. Evolution comments (*Channel evolution not considering bridge effects; See HEC-20, Figure 1 for geomorphic descriptors*):

Y
165
27
85
DS
230
DS
40
100
543

109. G. Plan View Sketch

- T

point bar		debris		flow		stone wall	
cut-bank		rip rap or stone fill		cross-section		other wall	
scour hole				ambient channel			

APPENDIX F:

SCOUR COMPUTATIONS

SCOUR COMPUTATIONS

Structure Number: BELVTH00080011   Town: Belvidere
 Road Number: TH 8   County: Lamoille
 Stream: North Branch Lamoille River

Initials EMB   Date: 6/9/97   Checked: SAO   6/12/97

Analysis of contraction scour, live-bed or clear water?

Critical Velocity of Bed Material (converted to English units)
 $V_c = 11.21 \cdot y_1^{0.1667} \cdot D_{50}^{0.33}$ with $S_s = 2.65$
 (Richardson and others, 1995, p. 28, eq. 16)

Approach Section Characteristic	100 yr	500 yr	other Q
Total discharge, cfs	3650	5400	3670
Main Channel Area, ft ²	650	903	653
Left overbank area, ft ²	131	524	135
Right overbank area, ft ²	0	0	0
Top width main channel, ft	75	77	75
Top width L overbank, ft	116	122	116
Top width R overbank, ft	0	0	0
D50 of channel, ft	0.5659	0.5659	0.5659
D50 left overbank, ft	--	--	--
D50 right overbank, ft	--	--	--
 y ₁ , average depth, MC, ft	 8.7	 11.7	 8.7
y ₁ , average depth, LOB, ft	1.1	4.3	1.2
y ₁ , average depth, ROB, ft	ERR	ERR	ERR
 Total conveyance, approach	 75924	 169205	 76739
Conveyance, main channel	70650	117944	71153
Conveyance, LOB	5274	51261	5586
Conveyance, ROB	0	0	0
Percent discrepancy, conveyance	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Q _m , discharge, MC, cfs	3396.5	3764.1	3402.9
Q _l , discharge, LOB, cfs	253.5	1635.9	267.1
Q _r , discharge, ROB, cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0
 V _m , mean velocity MC, ft/s	 5.2	 4.2	 5.2
V _l , mean velocity, LOB, ft/s	1.9	3.1	2.0
V _r , mean velocity, ROB, ft/s	ERR	ERR	ERR
V _{c-m} , crit. velocity, MC, ft/s	13.3	14.0	13.3
V _{c-l} , crit. velocity, LOB, ft/s	ERR	ERR	ERR
V _{c-r} , crit. velocity, ROB, ft/s	ERR	ERR	ERR

Results

Live-bed(1) or Clear-Water(0) Contraction Scour?

Main Channel	0	0	0

V. Armoring

$D_c = [(1.94 \cdot V^2) / (5.75 \cdot \log(12.27 \cdot y / D_{90}))^2] / [0.03 \cdot (165 - 62.4)]$
 Depth to Armoring = $3 \cdot (1 / P_c - 1)$

(Federal Highway Administration, 1993)

Downstream bridge face property	100-yr	500-yr	Other Q
Q, discharge thru bridge MC, cfs	3650	5400	3670
Main channel area (DS), ft ²	234	278	235
Main channel width (normal), ft	30.9	32.7	30.9
Cum. width of piers, ft	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adj. main channel width, ft	30.9	32.7	30.9
D ₉₀ , ft	1.4950	1.4950	1.4950
D ₉₅ , ft	2.1940	2.1940	2.1940
D _c , critical grain size, ft	1.4420	2.1160	1.4425
P _c , Decimal percent coarser than D _c	0.109	0.053	0.109
 Depth to armoring, ft	 35.36	 113.88	 35.37

Clear Water Contraction Scour in MAIN CHANNEL

$y_2 = (Q^2 / (131 * D_m^{(2/3)} * W^2))^{(3/7)}$ Converted to English Units
 $y_s = y_2 - y_{\text{bridge}}$
(Richardson and others, 1995, p. 32, eq. 20, 20a)

Bridge Section	Q100	Q500	Other Q
(Q) total discharge, cfs	3650	5400	3670
(Q) discharge thru bridge, cfs	3650	5400	3670
Main channel conveyance	15840	25535	15930
Total conveyance	15840	25535	15930
Q2, bridge MC discharge, cfs	3650	5400	3670
Main channel area, ft ²	234	373	235
Main channel width (normal), ft	30.9	32.7	30.9
Cum. width of piers in MC, ft	0.0	0.0	0.0
W, adjusted width, ft	30.9	32.7	30.9
y _{bridge} (avg. depth at br.), ft	7.57	11.41	7.61
D _m , median (1.25*D50), ft	0.707375	0.707375	0.707375
y ₂ , depth in contraction, ft	8.16	10.88	8.20
y _s , scour depth (y ₂ -y _{bridge}), ft	0.59	-0.53	0.60

Pressure Flow Scour (contraction scour for orifice flow conditions)

Chang pressure flow equation $H_b + Y_s = C_q * q_{br} / V_c$
 $C_q = 1 / C_f * C_c$ $C_f = 1.5 * Fr^{0.43}$ (≤ 1) $C_c = \text{SQRT}[0.10(H_b / (y_a - w) - 0.56)] + 0.79$ (≤ 1)
Umbrell pressure flow equation
 $(H_b + Y_s) / y_a = 1.1021 * [(1 - w / y_a) * (V_a / V_c)]^{0.6031}$
(Richardson and other, 1995, p. 144-146)

	Q100	Q500	OtherQ
Q, total, cfs	3650	5400	3670
Q, thru bridge MC, cfs	3650	5400	3670
V _c , critical velocity, ft/s	13.29	13.98	13.30
V _a , velocity MC approach, ft/s	5.23	4.17	5.21
Main channel width (normal), ft	30.9	32.7	30.9
Cum. width of piers in MC, ft	0.0	0.0	0.0
W, adjusted width, ft	30.9	32.7	30.9
q _{br} , unit discharge, ft ² /s	118.1	165.1	118.8
Area of full opening, ft ²	234.0	373.0	235.0
H _b , depth of full opening, ft	7.57	11.41	7.61
Fr, Froude number, bridge MC	0	0.61	0
C _f , Fr correction factor (≤ 1.0)	0.00	1.00	0.00
**Area at downstream face, ft ²	N/A	278	N/A
**H _b , depth at downstream face, ft	N/A	8.50	N/A
**Fr, Froude number at DS face	ERR	1.17	ERR
**C _f , for downstream face (≤ 1.0)	N/A	1.00	N/A
Elevation of Low Steel, ft	0	498.11	0
Elevation of Bed, ft	-7.57	486.70	-7.61
Elevation of Approach, ft	0	501.72	0
Friction loss, approach, ft	0	0.18	0
Elevation of WS immediately US, ft	0.00	501.54	0.00
y _a , depth immediately US, ft	7.57	14.84	7.61
Mean elevation of deck, ft	0	500.25	0
w, depth of overflow, ft (≥ 0)	0.00	1.29	0.00
C _c , vert contrac correction (≤ 1.0)	1.00	0.96	1.00
**C _c , for downstream face (≤ 1.0)	ERR	1	ERR
Y _s , scour w/Chang equation, ft	N/A	0.93	N/A
Y _s , scour w/Umbrell equation, ft	N/A	-3.94	N/A

**=for UNsubmerged orifice flow using estimated downstream bridge face properties.

**Y _s , scour w/Chang equation, ft	N/A	3.31	N/A
**Y _s , scour w/Umbrell equation, ft	ERR	-1.04	ERR

In UNsubmerged orifice flow, an adjusted scour depth using the Laursen equation results and the estimated downstream bridge face properties can also be computed ($y_s = y_2 - y_{\text{bridgeDS}}$)

y ₂ , from Laursen's equation, ft	8.16	10.88	8.20
WSEL at downstream face, ft	--	495.11	--
Depth at downstream face, ft	N/A	8.50	N/A
Y _s , depth of scour (Laursen), ft	N/A	2.38	N/A

Abutment Scour

Froehlich's Abutment Scour

$$Y_s/Y_1 = 2.27 \cdot K_1 \cdot K_2 \cdot (a'/Y_1)^{0.43} \cdot Fr_1^{0.61} + 1$$

(Richardson and others, 1995, p. 48, eq. 28)

Characteristic	Left Abutment			Right Abutment		
	100 yr Q	500 yr Q	Other Q	100 yr Q	500 yr Q	Other Q
(Qt), total discharge, cfs	3650	5400	3670	3650	5400	3670
a', abut.length blocking flow, ft	130.9	135.9	131	28.6	30.5	28.6
Ae, area of blocked flow ft ²	215.6	496	221.6	199.5	279.4	199.9
Qe, discharge blocked abut., cfs	547.5	--	572.1	871.9	--	871.6
(If using Qtotal_overbank to obtain Ve, leave Qe blank and enter Ve and Fr manually)						
Ve, (Qe/Ae), ft/s	2.54	3.14	2.58	4.37	3.49	4.36
ya, depth of f/p flow, ft	1.65	3.65	1.69	6.98	9.16	6.99
--Coeff., K1, for abut. type (1.0, verti.; 0.82, verti. w/ wingwall; 0.55, spillthru)						
K1	0.82	0.82	0.82	1	1	1
--Angle (theta) of embankment (<90 if abut. points DS; >90 if abut. points US)						
theta	90	90	90	90	90	90
K2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fr, froude number f/p flow	0.349	0.254	0.350	0.292	0.198	0.291
ys, scour depth, ft	12.23	17.60	12.46	20.67	22.15	20.67

HIRE equation ($a'/y_a > 25$)

$$y_s = 4 \cdot Fr^{0.33} \cdot y_1 \cdot K / 0.55$$

(Richardson and others, 1995, p. 49, eq. 29)

a' (abut length blocked, ft)	130.9	135.9	131	28.6	30.5	28.6
y1 (depth f/p flow, ft)	1.65	3.65	1.69	6.98	9.16	6.99
a'/y1	79.48	37.24	77.44	4.10	3.33	4.09
Skew correction (p. 49, fig. 16)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Froude no. f/p flow	0.35	0.25	0.35	0.29	0.20	0.29
Ys w/ corr. factor K1/0.55:						
vertical	8.46	16.89	8.70	ERR	ERR	ERR
vertical w/ ww's	6.94	13.85	7.13	ERR	ERR	ERR
spill-through	4.65	9.29	4.78	ERR	ERR	ERR

Abutment riprap Sizing

Isbash Relationship

$$D_{50} = y \cdot K \cdot Fr^2 / (Ss - 1) \text{ and } D_{50} = y \cdot K \cdot (Fr^2)^{0.14} / (Ss - 1)$$

(Richardson and others, 1995, pl12, eq. 81,82)

Downstream bridge face property	Q100	Q500	Other Q	Q100	Q500	Other Q
Fr, Froude Number	1	1	1	1	1	1
y, depth of flow in bridge, ft	7.57	8.50	7.61	7.57	8.50	7.61
Median Stone Diameter for riprap at: left abutment			right abutment, ft			
Fr<=0.8 (vertical abut.)	ERR	ERR	ERR	ERR	ERR	ERR
Fr>0.8 (vertical abut.)	3.17	3.55	3.18	3.17	3.55	3.18