

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

U.S. Geological Survey

*MARK3B Mineral Assessment
Program for Macintosh*

by David H. Root¹, William A. Scott Jr.², and Paul Schruben²

1 Formerly with USGS

2 USGS Reston, VA

Open-File Report 98-356

DRAFT

DISCLAIMER

Although program tests have been made, no guarantee (expressed or implied) is made by the authors or the U.S. Geological Survey regarding program correctness, accuracy, or proper execution on all computer systems.

Any use of trade names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey. This report is preliminary and has not been reviewed for conformity with the U.S. Geological Survey editorial standards.

Reston, Virginia

6/98

Table of Contents

Introduction	page 3
Notes about Mark3b for Macintosh	4
Running MARK3B Simulation Program	5
Batch Operation	10
Notes about MARK3B	11
References	16
Appendix A (from Open-File Report 93-280)	17
Disclaimer	24

Introduction

The US Geological Survey conducts mineral-resource assessments at a variety of scales to provide land and resource managers with information about the types of mineral deposits that are present in an area, the parts of the area that are permissive for the occurrence of additional mineral deposits, and estimates of the amounts of undiscovered mineral resources. Basic concepts and the form of these mineral-resource assessments are described by Singer (1993).

If sufficient data are available for a study area and appropriate grade and tonnage models for particular types of mineral deposits are available, estimates of numbers of mineral deposits can be combined with grade and tonnage data by a Monte Carlo simulation to produce probability distributions of quantities of contained metal and rock for the study area. These distributions can be used to provide mineral information for land-use planning and long-term resource supply. These estimates also provide a basis for subsequent economic analysis to determine the potential value, if any, of undiscovered mineral resources.

The programs on this disc are designed to use a Monte Carlo simulation to combine estimates of the numbers and types of undiscovered deposits into probabilistic estimates of the amounts of undiscovered metals. The basic data, from which the programs run, are tables of sizes and grades of known deposits of many different types. Each such table is called a deposit model.

After an estimator has determined the types of deposits that might be present in an area, the next step is to estimate the number of each type of deposit. The estimate of the number of deposits is given by specifying several quantiles of a probability distribution. For example, the 90% quantile is the largest number of deposits for which the estimator believes that the probability of that many or more deposits being present is 0.9 or more. Similarly for the other quantiles: 50%, 10%, 5%, 1%, 0.5%, 0.1%, 0.05%, and 0.01%. Once an estimator has determined at least the 90%, 50%, and 10% quantiles of the probability distributions for the number of deposits for each deposit type present, the estimator is then ready to run the simulator, MARK3B. The output of such a run is probability distributions of quantities of each metal that appears in at least one of the deposit models.

Notes about MARK3B for Macintosh

This version of MARK3B was compiled on a Macintosh 9500/132 with 128mb RAM running Mac O/S 7.5.5 using MPW Shell 3.4.2b1 and LS Fortran 1.2 by Fortner Research. The source code file is "mark3b.f".

The fortran code was modified from MARK3B written in Green Hills Fortran-88000, Revision 1.8.6.1 running on a Data General Aviiion DG/UX 5.4.3.1 UNIX computer.

Running MARK3B Simulation Program

To run MARK3B, the "bem" files for the models must be in the same directory as the executable program. In the following worked example, user responses are in italics. The model numbers referred to in MARK3 are listed on page 11. The user can print them out from within MARK3B. Only 113 models are available at this time. This program is intended for use only with these models. If the user wishes to add to or modify the models, refer to the PC version of MARK3 (Root et al, 1997).

Launch one of the following executables:

Power Mac computers - mark3b
Older Macs with 68000 series chips - mark3b68k

MARK3 CAN BE RUN VIA KEYBOARD ENTRY OR FROM
A PRE-PREPARED SCRIPT.

ENTER "S" TO READ A SCRIPT (INPUT FILE).

ENTER "Q" TO QUIT.

OTHERWISE PRESS C/R TO CONTINUE WITH KEYBOARD INPUT:

ENTER "M" TO DISPLAY A LISTING OF THE AVAILABLE MODELS.

ENTER "Q" TO END PROGRAM.

OTHERWISE PRESS C/R TO CONTINUE:

NEED A LISTING OF DATA WITH MODEL/METAL IDS?

ENTER "P" TO CREATE A PRINTABLE FILE

ENTER "Q" TO END PROGRAM.

OTHERWISE PRESS C/R TO CONTINUE:

PLEASE TYPE INFORMATION FOR IDENTIFICATION OF YOUR RESULTS -
REQUESTED BY:

John Doe

ASSESSMENT AREA:

Area1

DATE REQUESTED:

6/29/1998

DO YOU WANT GRAND TOTALS OR TRACT TOTALS?

IN EMPIRICAL MODE, BOTH METHODS GIVE TONNES METAL AND ROCK FOR EACH
MODEL.

IN LOGNORMAL MODE, BOTH METHODS GIVE ONLY TONNES ROCK.

GRAND TOTALS SUM ALL THE MODELS IN THE RUN.

TRACT TOTALS ALLOW YOU TO SUBTOTAL ON GROUPS OF MODELS (TRACTS).

FOR INSTANCE:

TRACT 1 = MODEL 6 + MODEL 7

TRACT 2 = MODEL 8 + MODEL 9

TRACT TOTALS METHOD DOES NOT GIVE A GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL THE MODELS IN A RUN.

ENTER "G" (GRAND) OR "T" (TRACT):

g

WOULD YOU LIKE A "SHELL SCRIPT" CREATED ? (Y/N)

ENTER A SEED (AN INTEGER FROM 1 TO 9999)
OR PRESS C/R FOR "987" DEFAULT:

ENTER TOTAL NUMBER OF ESTIMATES (MODELS) FOR THIS RUN:

1

YOU WILL BE PROMPTED FOR EACH MODEL ON A SEPARATE LINE.
USE THE FOLLOWING FORMAT.

FOR INSTANCE:

TRACT 1 = MODEL 6 + MODEL 7

TRACT 2 = MODEL 8 + MODEL 9

WOULD BE ENTERED AS:

6

*7 * TR01*

8

*9 * TR02*

ENTER SINGLE MODEL(INDEX) NUMBER:

6

ENTER UNIQUE FILE NAME FOR MARK3B OUTPUT RESULTS:

m6o

ENTER UNIQUE FILE NAME FOR MARK3B OUTPUT RESULTS
REFORMATTED FOR SPREADSHEET PARSING (EXCEL TEMPLATE):

m6f

TERMINAL INPUT FOR MODEL 6 - PORPHYRY MO, LOW-F(21B)

enter 4 character ID#:

tr01

ENTER "L" FOR LOGNORMAL

ENTER "E" FOR EMPIRICAL

e

ENTER LEVEL OF PRECISION OF YOUR ESTIMATE

(3,5, OR 9 ESTIMATES):

3

ENTER ESTIMATED NUMBER OF DEPS.(1-3):

90% 50% 10%

ND(2) ND(3) ND(4)

90% =

1

50% =

2

10% =

3

TO FIX THE PROBABILITY OF ZERO DEPOSITS,
ENTER A PROBABILITY BETWEEN 0. & 1.
OR ENTER -1. FOR DEFAULT.
.5

TERMINAL INPUT COMPLETE.

ALLOW 3 MINUTES FOR EACH MODEL -
THIS RUN WILL TAKE APPROX. 3 MINUTES.
(DEPENDS GREATLY ON VALUES ENTERED)

SORRY, NO PROGRESS BAR IS AVAILABLE FOR THIS PROGRAM.

FORMATTING FILES...

1 - PROCESSING MODEL (INDEX) 6 - EMPIRICAL
MOLY6.BEM

xpected mean MOLYBDENUM	1.74667E+05	3.67183E+05
xpected mean TONNES	2.18666E+08	4.59677E+08

LUDFMT ROUTINE

II= 6

1.74667E+05 3.67183E+05

2.18666E+08 4.59677E+08

iuc= 2

iuclp= 3

987 1 3 6 6o 6f r01

1 2 3 0.5000000

FILE CREATED IS - m6o

reformatted file is - m6f

END OF PROCESSING.

YOU CAN EXAMINE YOUR OUTPUT FILES NOW OR
SELECT THE FILE MENU, RERUN PROGRAM.

The results of this run are in output file "m6o" which
follows:

MARK3B SIMULATION RESULTS

(EXPRESSED IN METRIC TONS)

REQUESTED BY: John Doe

ASSESSMENT AREA: Area1

DATE REQUESTED: 6/29/1998

6 - PORPHYRY MO, LOW-F(21B)

EMPIRICAL (1, 2, 3) SEED= 987

Probability of Zero Deposits= 0.5000000

SORTED SIMULATION RESULTS FOR MOLYBDENUM

2500	0.00000E+00	3811	2.42260E+08
2750	2.94788E+07	3929	2.78295E+08
3000	6.69407E+07	4048	3.17959E+08
3250	1.14163E+08	4167	3.83336E+08
3500	1.65134E+08	4286	4.54008E+08
3750	2.23795E+08	4405	5.68015E+08
4000	3.00908E+08	4524	7.87833E+08
4250	4.35350E+08	4643	1.04554E+09
4500	7.31293E+08	4762	1.31872E+09
4750	1.28969E+09	4881	1.71738E+09
4875	1.69695E+09	4940	1.83780E+09
4950	1.87544E+09	4976	2.05738E+09
4999	3.55291E+09	4999	3.55291E+09
	EXPECTED MEAN TONNES		
	2.18666E+08		4.59677E+08

N= 0	FREQ(N) =	2621	PROB(N) = 0.5000
N= 1	FREQ(N) =	565	PROB(N) = 0.1250
N= 2	FREQ(N) =	1039	PROB(N) = 0.2143
N= 3	FREQ(N) =	774	PROB(N) = 0.1607

MEAN NUMBER OF DEPOSITS 0.9932

USER INPUT

SEED	# OF MODELS IN REQUEST	MODEL NUMBER(S)	OUTPUT FILE NAME	REFORMATTED FILE NAME
4CHAR.MODEL	[E]MPIRICAL	#OF EST.	# OF	EST # OF
PROB.-	[L]OGNORMAL	DEPS.	3,5,OR9	DEPOSITS ZERO

THE FOLLOWING ORDER OF FIELDS IS CORRECT
BUT THE WRAP-AROUND WILL BE DIFFERENT FROM
THE ABOVE HEADER WRAP-AROUND.

987	1	6	m6o	m6f
tr01	E	3	1	2
3	0.5000000			

Batch Operation

Entering keyboard responses to MARK3B prompts can be very laborious. MARK3B can also read and write scripts which can be modified in a text editor such as BBEedit. The modified script can be used to quickly evaluate other tracts. The script from the worked example above follows. The explanations for each line are in parenthesis:

```
# /bin/sh                (for DG UNIX compatibility only)
mark3b <<:::> /dev/null  (for DG UNIX compatibility only)
X                        (list avail models?)
X                        (list of data w/ model/metal ID's?)
John Doe                (requested by?)
Areal                   (area name?)
6/29/1998               (date requested?)
G                        (grand totals or tract totals?)
N                        (create a shell script?)
987                     (start seed for random num generator)
  1                      (total num of models this run?)
  6                      (model number, porphyry moly)
m6o                     (output file name)
m6f                     (output file name for formatted data)
tr01                    (four character ID?)
E                        (lognormal or empirical?)
3                        (3, 5, or 9 estimates?)
  1                      (90% confidence)
  2                      (50% confidence)
  3                      (10% confidence)
  0.5000000             (probability of zero deposits)
:::                     (end of file mark)
```

Notes about MARK3B

At the second prompt in MARK3B the user is allowed to print out the list of available models. The number to the left of the model name is the code used in the MARK3B program. The code to the right of the model name refers to the identification of the model in (Cox and Singer eds., 1986)

INDEX	TITLE(COX-SINGER MODEL #)	# of DEPOSITS * FILE
1	HOMESTAKE AU(36B) DAN L. MOSIER	118-* HOMESTAKE1
2	P-PORPHYRY CU-MO(21A) DON A. SINGER, DENNIS P. COX, DAN L. MOSIER	16-* PORPHCM2
3	P-EPIGENETIC VEIN BARITE(27E) GRETA J. ORRIS	37-* EVNBAR3
4	P-PORPHYRY COPPER(17) DON A. SINGER, DAN L. MOSIER, DENNIS P. COX	208-* PORPHCU4
5	STOCKWORK(CLIMAX) MO(16) DON A. SINGER, TED G. THEODORE, DAN L. MOSIER	9-* CLIMAXMO5
6	PORPHYRY MO, LOW-F(21B) W. DAVID MENZIE, TED G. THEODORE	29-* MOLY6
7	FE SKARN(18D) DAN L. MOSIER, W. DAVID MENZIE	170-* FESKARN7
8	COPPER SKARN(18B) GAIL M. JONES, W. DAVID MENZIE	64-* CUSKARN8
9	P-PORPHYRY CU, SKARN RELATED(18A) DON A. SINGER	18-* PCURELAT9
10	P-TUNGSTEN TRIOXIDE SKARN(14A) W. DAVID MENZIE, GAIL M. JONES	36-* WSKARN10
11	CYPRUS MASSIVE SULFIDE(24A) DON A. SINGER, DAN L. MOSIER	49-* CYPRUSMS11
12	VOLCANOGENIC U(25F)(06/06/91) DAN L. MOSIER	21-* VOLCANU12
13	SEDIMENTARY EXHALATIVE ZN-PB(31A) W. DAVID MENZIE, DAN L. MOSIER	42-* SEDEX13
14	MARINE BEDDED GYPSUM(35A.5) GRETA J. ORRIS	12-* MBEDG14
15	CARB-HOSTed AU-AG(26A)(TO 01/15/91) WILLIAM C. BAGBY, W.D.MENZIE, D.L.MOSIER, D.A.SINGER	35-* CHGOLD15
16	COMSTOCK EPITHERMAL VEINS(25C) DAN L. MOSIER, TAKEO SATO, DON A. SINGER	41-* COMSTOCK16
17	SEDIMENT-HOST. AU(26A.1)(UPD 01/16/91) WILLIAM C. BAGBY, W.D.MENZIE, D.L.MOSIER, D.A.SINGER	39-* SEDHOST17
18	DISTAL DISSEMINATED AG-AU(19C) DENNIS P. COX, DON A. SINGER	10-* DISTALD18
19	SYNOROGENIC AND SYNVOLCANIC NI-CU(7A) DON A. SINGER, NORM J. PAGE, W. DAVID MENZIE	32-* SYNORVOL19
20	ALKALINE-HOSTED AU(22B) DENNIS P. COX, WILLIAM C. BAGBY	20-* ALKHAU20
21	P-MIXED BASE & PRECIOUS METAL VEINS JIM BLISS	23-* MXBASE21
22	ZN-PB SKARN(18C) DAN L. MOSIER	35-* LZSKARN22
23	P-BEDDED BARITE(31B) GRETA J. ORRIS	43-* BBARITE23

24	TUNGSTEN TRIOXIDE VEINS(15A) GAIL M. JONES, W. DAVID MENZIE	18-* WVEINS24
25	Qz-Adularia-Combo of 28&16(OCT 15, 93) STEVE LUDINGTON REQUEST TO COMBINE 28 & 16	61-* QZADULRIA25
26	CHUGACH L-SULF AU-Q V(36A.1) (01/16/91) JAMES D. BLISS	29-* CHUGACHLS26
27	L. SULF AU-QZ VEINS(36A) (TO 01/15/91) JAMES D. BLISS	411-* LWSULF27
28	SADO EPITHERMAL VEIN(25D) DAN L. MOSIER, TAKEO SATO	20-* SADOEV28
29	HOT SPRINGS HG(27A) JAMES J. RYTUBA, DON A. SINGER	20-* HSPRINGHG29
30	BESSHI MASSIVE SULFIDE(24B) DON A. SINGER	44-* BESSHIMS30
31	REPLACEMENT MN(19B) DAN L. MOSIER	37-* REPMN31
32	P-BEACH PLACERS(39C) EMIL D. ATTANASI, JOHN H. DEYOUNG, JR.	61-* BEACHPL32
33	SEDIMENTARY MN(34B) DAN L. MOSIER	16-* SMANG33
34	P-PORPHYRY CU-AU(20C) DON A. SINGER, DENNIS P. COX	40-* PORCUAU34
35	LATERITIC NI(38A) DON A. SINGER	71-* LATNI35
36	OOLITIC IRONSTONES(34F) ORRIS, G.J.	45-* OOLITIC36
37	LATERITE-SAPROLITE AU(38G) JAMES D. BLISS	10-* LATSAP37
38	EPITHERMAL QZ-AL VEINS(25E) DAN L. MOSIER, W. DAVID MENZIE	9-* EPQALOW38
39	P-SOLUTION-COLLAPSE BRECCIA PIPE U(32E) WARREN I. FINCH	8-* SCBRECCIA39
40	RHYOLITE-HOSTED SN(25H) DON A. SINGER, DAN L. MOSIER	132-* RHOSTSN40
41	KARST TYPE BAUXITE(38C) DAN L. MOSIER	41-* KARSTB41
42	S.E. MO PB-ZN(32A)&APPALCHIAN ZN(32B) DAN L. MOSIER, JOSEPH A. BRISKEY	20-* SCARB42
43	PLUTONIC PORPHYRY GOLD(20D.1) DICK MCCAMMON	2-* PORPHAU43
44	SIERRAN KUROKO MASSIVE SULFIDE(28A.1) DON A. SINGER	23-* SIERRAN44
45	HOT SPRINGS AU-AG(25A) (UPDATED-1987) BRYON R. BERGER, DON A. SINGER	17-* HOTSPRNG45
46	POLYMETALLIC VEINS(22C) JAMES D. BLISS, DENNIS P. COX	75-* POLYVEIN46
47	POLYMETALLIC REPLACEMENT(19A) DAN L. MOSIER, HAL T. MORRIS, D. A. SINGER	53-* POLYR47
48	SN SKARN(14B) W. DAVID MENZIE, BRUCE L. REED	8-* SKARN48
49	SN REPLACEMENT(14C) W. DAVID MENZIE, BRUCE L. REED	6-* RPLACEM49
50	SN GREISEN(15C) W. DAVID MENZIE, BRUCE L. REED	12-* GREISEN50
51	SN VEINS(15B) W. DAVID MENZIE, BRUCE L. REED	42-* VEINS51
52	POLYMETALLIC VEIN-KENO HILL(BORA) DICK MCCAMMON	33-* PVEINKH52

53	(CARBONATITE) Rare Earth Element(10) DON A. SINGER	20-* CARBREE53
54	P-PLACER AU-PGE NATOMAS-Cu CANYON(39A) GRETA J. ORRIS, JAMES D. BLISS	46-* PLACER54
55	THorium-R.E. ELEMENTS(11C) (TO 01/15/91) JAMES D. BLISS	7-* TVEIN55
56	SIMPLE SB(27D) JAMES D. BLISS, GRETA J. ORRIS	81-* SIMPSB56
57	TH-R.E. VEINS(11C.1) (UPDATED 01-16-91) JAMES D. BLISS	32-* THREV57
58	CREEDE EPITHERMAL VEINS(25B) DAN L. MOSIER, TAKEO SATO, DON A. SINGER	27-* CREEDE58
59	PRECIOUS METAL VEINS	8-* PMVEINS59
60	IRREGULAR REPLACEMENT	21-* IREPLACE60
61	SN SHEETED VEINS	16-* SHEETEDV61
62	REPLACED WITH # 26 NOV. 1, 1993 JIM BLISS	29-* DISCONTINUD
63	SEDIMENT-HOSTED CU(30B) DAN L. MOSIER, D. A. SINGER, DENNIS P. COX	61-* SHOSTCU63
64	SEDIMENT-HOST. CU(KOOTENAI Natl.Frst.) GREG SPANSKI	12-* SHOSTCU64
65	SERPENTINE-HOSTED ASBESTOS(8D) GRETA J. ORRIS	64-* SERPHABS65
66	KOMATIITIC NI-CU(6A) DON A. SINGER, NORM J. PAGE, W. D. MENZIE	31-* KOMATNC66
67	CUBAN-TYPE VOLCANOGENIC MN(24C.2) DAN L. MOSIER, NORMAN J. PAGE	122-* CUBANVMN67
68	UPWELLING-TYPE PHOSPHATE(34C) DAN L. MOSIER	60-* PHOSUPW68
69	UNCONFORMITY U-AU(37A) DAN L. MOSIER	36-* UNCONUAU69
70	LATERITE-TYPE BAUXITE(38B) DAN L. MOSIER	71-* LATBAUX70
71	DUNITIC NI-CU(6B) DON A. SINGER, NORM J. PAGE	22-* DUNNICU71
72	FRANCISCAN-TYPE VOLCANOGENIC MN(24C.1) DAN MOSIER	237-* FRANCVMN72
73	CYPRUS-TYPE VOLCANOGENIC MN(24C.4) DAN MOSIER	42-* CYPVOLMN73
74	EPITHERMAL MN(25G) DAN L. MOSIER	59-* EPITHMN74
75	SILICA-CARBONATE HG(27C) JAMES J. RYTUBA, SIMON M. CARGILL	28-* SILCARBHG75
76	SANDSTONE-HOSTED PB-ZN(30A) DAN L. MOSIER	20-* SANDPBZN76
77	SUPERIOR FE & ALGOMA FE(34A) DAN L. MOSIER, DON A. SINGER	66-* SUPERFE77
78	WARM-CURRENT TYPE PHOSPHATE(34D) DAN L. MOSIER	18-* WARMCPH78
79	OLYMPIC PENNSULA TYPE VOLCANOGENIC-MN(24C.3) DAN MOSIER	17-* OLYPENMN79
80	AU-AG-TE VEINS(22B) JAMES D. BLISS & OTHERS	24-* AATVEINS80
81	PORPHYRY CU(17) (N. AMERICAN SUBSET)	107-* PORPHCU81

82	GOLD-BEARING SKARNS (INCLUDES FE & PB)	90-*	AUSKARN82
	T.G. THEODORE, G.J. ORRIS, J.M. HAMMARSTROM, J.D. BLISS		
83	LACUSTRINE BORATES	23-*	LBORATE83
	GRETA ORRIS		
84	FE SKARN <15MT(18D.1)	108-*	FESKARN84
	DAN L. MOSIER, W. DAVID MENZIE		
85	FE SKARN <200MT(18D.2)	153-*	FESKARN85
	DAN L. MOSIER, W. DAVID MENZIE		
86	FE SKARN <40MT(18D.3)	129-*	FESKARN86
	DAN L. MOSIER, W. DAVID MENZIE		
87	AMORPHOUS GRAPHITE(18K)	31-*	AMGRAPH87
	DAVID SUTPHIN		
88	DISSEMINATED FLAKE GRAPHITE(37F)	88-*	DFGRAPH88
	DAVID SUTPHIN		
89	BC/AK PRPHRY CU(17.1) (ALASKA) (2/26/93)	56-*	BAPORCU89
	DON A. SINGER, W. DAVID MENZIE		
90	GOLD-BEARING SKARNS (W/O FE & PB)	90-*	AUSXFEPB90
	T.G. THEODORE, G.J. ORRIS, J.M. HAMMARSTROM, J.D. BLISS		
91	MINOR PODIFORM CR(8A)	435-*	MINORPCR91
	DONALD A. SINGER, NORM P. PAGE		
92	POLY. REPL. (19A) & ZN-PB SKARN(18C)	88-*	POLYSKARN92
	DAN L. MOSIER OTHERS ?		
93	KUROKO MASSIVE SULFIDE(28A)	433-*	KUROKOMS93
	DONALD A. SINGER, DAN L. MOSIER		
94 ?	MINOR MVT(32AB)	10-*	MINORMV94
	NEED TO INSERT AUTHOR		
95 ?	AU-SB OF 93-194	49-*	SBAUDEP95
	NEED TO INSERT AUTHOR		
96 ?	REDUCED-FACIES SEDIMENT-HOSTED CU	45-*	REDFAC96
	Dave Lindsey		
97 ?	REDBED COPPER	17-*	REDBEDCU97
	NEED TO INSERT AUTHOR		
98	BESSHI MASSIVE SLFID. (24B.1) (10/27/93)	41-*	BESSMS98
	JOHN SLACK		
99	MICHIGAN NATIVE COPPER DEPOSITS	12-*	MICHCU99
	BILL CANNON(12/06/93)		
100	HOMESTAKE(#1 Modified) DEPOSITS XLS	30-*	HOMEXLS100
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
101	ARCHEAN LODE AU DEPOSITS XLS	150-*	ARCHXLS101
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
102	SDIMNT-HST CU, RDUD FACIES (noCU-#96Mod)	21-*	RFACMOD102
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
103	KUROKO PRECAMBRIAN ONLY	188-*	KUROPRE103
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
104	KUROKO PHANEROZOIC	244-*	KUROPHAN104
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
105	AU-BEARING SKARNS(#82 mod)	63-*	AUSKMOD105
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
106	SEDIMENTARY EXHAULATIVE ZN(#13 mod)	42-*	SEZNMOD106
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
107	PRYOR MOUNTAINS URANIUM-VANADIUM	38-*	PRYORM107
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
108	MISSISSIPPI VALLEY(#42 update)	20-*	MISSVAL108
	NEED TO INSET AUTHOR		
109	Mississippi Valley(no Missouri)	18-*	MISSVMOD109
	Dennis Cox		
110	NW Nevada-distal disseminated Au-Ag	17-*	NWNEVDD110
	(ted theodore ?)		

111 BLUE MOUNTAIN - POLYMETALIC VEINS 16-* BLUEMNT111
(greg spanski ?)
112 Tungsten Trioxide Skarn - NEVADA 114-* WSKRNNV112
Jim Bliss
113 Rio Grande Rift Pb-Zn 3-* RIOGRND113
Dave Sutphin, V.T. McLemore
--- MODEL 113 IS THE LAST AVAILABLE MODEL ---

References

- Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., 1986, Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1963, 379 p.
- Root, D.H., Menzie, W.D., and Scott, W.A., 1992, Computer Monte Carlo simulation in Quantitative resource estimation: Nonrenewable Resources, Oxford Univ. Press, v. 1, no. 2, p. 125-138.
- Root, D.H., Scott, W.A., Jr., and Sel^enir, G.I., 1997, Computer program for aggregation of probabilistic assessments of mineral resources: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-94, 1 diskette.
- Singer, D.A., 1993, Basic concepts in three-part quantitative assessments of undiscovered mineral resources: Nonrenewable Resources, v. 2, no. 2, p. 69-81.
- Singer, D.A., Mosier, D.L., and Menzie, W.D., 1993, Digital grade and tonnage data for 50 types of mineral deposits: Macintosh version, Open-File Report 93-280, 3.5-inch floppy diskette.

Appendix A (from Open-File Report 93-280)

The complete report on disk can be obtained from
USGS Information Services
Box 25046, MS 517
Denver, CO 80225
Phone: 303-202-4210

This disk contains data files of the grades and tonnages of mineral deposits that were used to construct grade and tonnage models presented in Cox and Singer (1986), Mosier and Page (1988), and Bliss (1992). A total of 3310 deposits grouped into 50 different types of mineral deposits are included. The purpose of this publication is to make this information available in digital form. Many of the data sets have been previously published in paper form (Berger and Singer, 1992; Cox and Singer, 1992; Mosier, and others, 1983; Mosier, and others, 1986a; Mosier, and others, 1986b; Mosier, and others, 1992; Menzie and Mosier, 1985; Menzie and others 1988; Menzie and others, 1992; Menzie and Singer, 1993; Singer and others, 1980; Singer, 1992; Theodore and Menzie, 1983). A mineral deposit is a mineral occurrence of sufficient size and grade that it might, under the most favorable circumstances, be considered to have economic potential (Cox, and others, 1986). Deposits sharing a relatively wide variety and large number of attributes come to be characterized as a "type," and a model representing that type can evolve.

Grade and tonnage models of mineral deposits are useful in quantitative resource assessments and exploration planning. Construction of grade and tonnage models involves multiple steps (Singer, in press), the first of which is the identification of a group of well-explored deposits that are believed to belong to the mineral deposit type being modeled. A descriptive model is commonly prepared as well, and the attributes of each deposit in the group are compared with it to ensure that all are of the same type. Data gathered for each deposit include average grades of each metal or mineral commodity of possible economic interest and the associated tonnage based on the total production, reserves, and resources at the lowest possible cutoff grade. All further references to tonnage follow this definition. All tonnages reported here are in millions of metric tonnes. Grades not available (always for by-products) are treated as zero. Country codes are listed in a separate file.

An important consideration at the data gathering stage is the question of what the sampling unit should be. Grade and tonnage data are available to varying degrees for districts, deposits, mines, and shafts. For the most part the data included here represent individual deposits, but in some instances such data are mixed with data representing districts. In addition, for some of the deposit types, a special rule was used to determine which ore bodies were combined. For example, ore bodies of both kuroko and Cyprus type massive sulfides were combined into single deposits based on a 500-m rule of adjacency (Mosier and others, 1983). Information about such rules is available in the references listed below.

Several of the data sets have had a few deposits added (for example, kuroko) or have had a small number of the grades or tonnages changed from data used in published models by recent information (for example, kuroko, Sierran kuroko, Homestake). Five deposits were removed from the kuroko and Sierran kuroko models because of questions about their correct classification. In no case should these modifications result in significantly different summary statistics than those presented in Appendix B in Cox and Singer (1986) or in Singer (1993).

References

Berger, B.R., and Singer, D.A., 1992, Grade and tonnage model of hot-spring Au-Ag: in Bliss, J.D., ed., Developments in deposit modeling U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004, p. 23-25.

Bliss, J.D., ed., 1992, Developments in deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004, 168 p.

Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., 1986, Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, 379 p.

Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., 1992, Grade and tonnage model of distal disseminated Ag-Au: in Bliss, J.D., ed., Developments in deposit modeling U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004, p. 20-22.

Cox, D.P., Barton, P.R., and Singer, D.A., 1986, Introduction: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 1-10.

Jones, G.M., and Menzie, W.D., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of Cu skarn deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 86-89.

Menzie, W.D., and Mosier, D.L., 1985, Grade, tonnage and lithologic data for sediment-hosted submarine exhalative Zn-

Pb and sandstone-hosted Pb-Zn deposits: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 85-206, 17p..

Menzie, W.D., and Mosier, D.L., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of sedimentary exhalative Zn-Pb: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 212-215.

Menzie, W.D., and Reed, B.L., 1986a, Grade and tonnage model of Sn skarn deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 58-60.

Menzie, W.D., and Reed, B.L., 1986b, Grade and tonnage model of replacement Sn: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 62-63.

Menzie, W.D., and Reed, B.L., 1986c, Grade and tonnage model of Sn veins: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 67-69.

Menzie, W.D., and Reed, B.L., 1986d, Grade and tonnage model of Sn greisen deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 71-72.

Menzie, W.D., and Singer, D.A., 1993, Grade and tonnage model for porphyry Cu deposits in British Columbia, Canada, and Alaska, United States: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-275, 8 p.

Menzie, W.D., and Theodore, T.G., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of porphyry Mo, low-F: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 120-122.

Menzie, W.D., Reed, B.L., and Singer, D.A., 1988, Models of grade and tonnages of some lode tin deposits: in Hutchinson, C., ed., Proceedings International Symposium on the Geology of Tin Deposits, Nanning, Peoples' Republic of China. p.73-88.

Menzie, W.D., Singer, D.A., and Mosier, D.L., 1992, Grade and tonnage data for Climax Mo and Creede epithermal vein deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-248, 3 p.

Mosier, D.L., 1986a, Grade and tonnage model of Zn-Pb skarn deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 90-93.

Mosier, D.L., 1986b, Grade and tonnage model of replacement Mn: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 105-107.

Mosier, D.L., 1986c, Grade and tonnage model of volcanogenic U: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 162-164.

Mosier, D.L., 1986d, Grade and tonnage model of epithermal Mn: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 166-167.

Mosier, D.L., 1986e, Grade and tonnage model of volcanic-hosted magnetite: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 172-174.

Mosier, D.L., 1986f, Grade and tonnage model of sandstone-hosted Pb-Zn: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 202-204.

Mosier, D.L., 1986g, Grade and tonnage model of sedimentary Mn: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 231-233.

Mosier, D.L., 1986h, Grade and tonnage model of upwelling phosphate deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 234-236.

Mosier, D.L., 1986i, Grade and tonnage model of warm-current phosphate deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 237-238.

Mosier, D.L., 1986j, Grade and tonnage model of Homestake Au: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 245-247.

Mosier, D.L., 1986k, Grade and tonnage model of unconformity U-Au deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 249-250. Mosier, D.L., 1986l, Grade and tonnage model of laterite type bauxite deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 255-257.

Mosier, D.L., 1986m, Grade and tonnage model of karst type bauxite deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 258-260.

Mosier, D.L., and Menzie, W.D., 1986a, Grade and tonnage model of Fe skarn deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 94-97.

Mosier, D.L., and Menzie, W.D., 1986b, Grade and tonnage model of epithermal quartz-alunite Au: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 159-161.

Mosier, D.L., and Page, N.J., 1988, Descriptive and grade-tonnage models of volcanogenic manganese deposits in oceanic environments—a modification: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1811, 28 p.

Mosier, D.L., and Sato, Takeo, 1986, Grade and tonnage model of Sado epithermal veins: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 155-157.

Mosier, D.L., and Singer, D.A., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of Superior iron and Algoma iron deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 228-230.

Mosier, D.L., Morris, H.T., and Singer, D.A., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of polymetallic replacement deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models; U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 101-104.

Mosier, D.L., Sato, Takeo, and Singer, D.A., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of Creede epithermal vein deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 146-149.

Mosier, D.L., Singer, D.A., and Berger, B.R., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of Comstock epithermal vein deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 151-153.

Mosier, D.L., Singer, D.A., and Cox, D.P., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of sediment-hosted copper deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 206-208.

Mosier, D.L., Singer, D.A., Bagby, W.C., and Menzie, W.D., 1992, Grade and tonnage model of sediment-hosted Au-Ag: in Bliss, J.D., ed., Developments in deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2004, p. 26-28.

Mosier, D.L., Singer, D.A., Sato, Takeo, and Page, N.J., 1986a, Relationship of grade, tonnage, and basement lithology in volcanic-hosted epithermal precious- and base-metal

quartz-adularia-type districts: *Mining Geology*, v. 36, no. 198, p. 245-264.

Mosier, D.L., Menzie, W.D., and Kleinhampl, 1986b, Geologic and grade-tonnage information on Tertiary epithermal precious- and base- metal vein districts associated with volcanic rocks: *U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* 1666, 39 p.

Reed, B.L., Menzie, W.D., McDermott, M., Root, D.H., Scott, W., and Drew, L.J., 1989, Undiscovered lode tin resources of the Seward Peninsula, Alaska: *Economic Geology*, v. 84, no. 7, p. 1936-1947.

Singer, D.A., 1986a, Grade and tonnage model of carbonatite deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., *Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* 1693, p. 52-53.

Singer, D.A., 1986b, Grade and tonnage model of Besshi massive sulfide deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., *Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* 1693, p. 136-138.

Singer, D.A., 1986c, Grade and tonnage model of lateritic nickel deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., *Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* 1693, p. 252-254.

Singer, D.A., 1992, Grade and tonnage model of Sierran kuroko deposits: in Bliss, J.D., ed., *Developments in deposit modeling: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* 2004, p. 29-32.

Singer, D.A., 1993, Basic concepts in three-part quantitative assessments of undiscovered mineral resources: *Nonrenewable Resources*, v. 2, no. 2, p. 69-81.

Singer, D.A., in press, Development of grade and tonnage models for different deposit types: in Kirkham, R.V., and others, eds., *Mineral deposit modeling: Geological Assoc. Canada*, 27 p. —

Singer, D.A., and Mosier, D.L., 1986a, Grade and tonnage model of Cyprus massive sulfide deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., *Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* 1693, p. 131-135.

Singer, D.A., and Mosier, D.L., 1986b, Grade and tonnage model of rhyolite-hosted tin deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., *Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin* 1693, p. 169-171.

Singer, D.A., and Mosier, D.L., 1986c, Grade and tonnage model of kuroko massive sulfide deposits: in Cox, D.P., and

Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 190-197.

Singer, D.A., and Page, N.J., 1986a, Grade and tonnage model of dunitic nickel-copper deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 24-27.

Singer, D.A., and Page, N.J., 1986b, Grade and tonnage model of podiform chromite deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 34-42.

Singer, D.A., and Page, N.J., 1986c, Grade and tonnage model of placer PGE-gold deposits: in Cox, D.P., and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 265-269.

Singer, D.A., Page, N.J., and Lipin, B.R., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of major podiform chromite deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 38-44.

Singer, D.A., Page, N.J., and Menzie, W.D., 1986a, Grade and tonnage model of komatiitic nickel-copper deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 18-23.

Singer, D.A., Page, N.J., and Menzie, W.D., 1986b, Grade and tonnage model of synorogenic-synvolcanic nickel-copper deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models; U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 28-31.

Singer, D.A., Theodore, T.J., and Mosier, D.L., 1986, Grade and tonnage model of Climax molybdenum deposits: in Cox, D.P. and Singer, D.A., eds., Mineral deposit models: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1693, p. 73-75.

Singer, D.A., Menzie, W.D., DeYoung, J.H., Jr., Sander, M., and Lott, A., 1980, Grade and tonnage data used to construct models for the Regional Alaskan Mineral Resource Assessment Program: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-799, 58p.

Theodore, T.G., and Menzie, W.D., 1983, Fluorine-deficient porphyry molybdenum deposits in the western North American Cordillera: Proceedings of IAGOD Symposium, Tbilisi, USSR, September 1982.

Disclaimer

This report is preliminary and has not been reviewed for conformity with U.S. Geological Survey editorial standards. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although these data have been used by the U.S. Geological Survey, no warranty, expressed or implied, is made by the USGS as to the accuracy of the data, nor shall the fact of distribution constitute any such warranty, and no responsibility is assumed by the USGS in connection therewith.