


Rec'd
1/23/01

RADIOCHEMICAL AND CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS IN WATER FROM SELECTED WELLS AND SPRINGS FROM THE SOUTHERN BOUNDARY OF THE IDAHO NATIONAL ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY TO THE HAGERMAN AREA, IDAHO, 1999

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Open-File Report 00-399



Prepared in cooperation with the

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY and IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Cover: Center-Pivot Sprinkler System on Snake River Plain.

Radiochemical and Chemical Constituents in Water from Selected Wells and Springs from the Southern Boundary of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory to the Hagerman Area, Idaho, 1999

By ROY C. BARTHOLOMAY and BRIAN V. TWINING,
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, and LINFORD J. CAMPBELL,
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Open-File Report 00-399

**Prepared in cooperation with the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
and**

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Idaho Falls, Idaho

October 2000

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BRUCE BABBITT, Secretary**

**U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Charles G. Groat, Director**

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

For additional information write to:

U.S. Geological Survey
INEEL, MS 1160
P.O. Box 2230
Idaho Falls, ID 83403

Copies of this report can be purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey
Information Services
Box 25286, Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225-0286

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Geohydrologic setting	3
Acknowledgments	3
Methods and quality assurance	3
Site selection	5
Sample containers and preservatives	5
Sample collection	5
Quality assurance	5
Radiochemical constituents	6
Strontium-90	7
Tritium	7
Gross alpha-particle radioactivity	7
Gross beta-particle radioactivity	8
Cesium-137 and potassium-40	8
Chemical constituents	8
Trace elements	8
Common ions	9
Nutrients	10
Purgeable organic compounds	10
Insecticides and gross polychlorinated compounds	10
Herbicides	10
Summary	11
Selected references	11

FIGURES

Figures 1-2. Maps showing:

1. Location of the study area, between the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory and Hagerman, Idaho. 2
2. Location of selected water-quality sampling sites on the eastern Snake River Plain. 4

TABLES

Table 1. Containers and preservatives used for water-sample collection	16
2. Results of field measurements of water for pH, specific conductance, and temperature from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain	17
3. Maximum contaminant levels for selected radionuclides and types of radioactivity in drinking water	17
4. Concentrations of strontium-90 and tritium in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain	18
5. Concentrations of gross alpha-particle and gross beta-particle radioactivity in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain	19

6. Concentrations of cesium-137 and potassium-40 in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain	20
7. Maximum or secondary maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected trace elements in drinking water	21
8. Concentrations of dissolved trace elements in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain	22
9. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected common ions and nutrients in drinking water	24
10. Concentrations of dissolved common ions and nutrients in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain	25
11. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected purgeable organic compounds in drinking water	26
12. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected insecticides and gross polychlorinated compounds in drinking water	27
13. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of chlorophenoxy-acid herbicides and other herbicides in drinking water	29
14. Concentrations of selected herbicides in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain	30

CONVERSION FACTORS AND ABBREVIATED UNITS

	Multiply	By	To Obtain
	foot (ft)	0.3048	meter
	mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer
	millirem per year (mrem/yr)	0.010	millisievert per year
	picocurie per liter (pCi/L)	0.037	becquerel per liter
	square mile (mi ²)	2.590	square kilometer

Temperature can be converted from degrees Celsius (°C) to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) by the equation: °F = (°C × 1.8) + 32.

Abbreviated units used in report: mL (milliliter); L (liter); µg/L (microgram per liter); mg/L (milligram per liter); µm (micrometer); and µS/cm (microsiemens per centimeter) at 25 degrees Celsius.

Radiochemical and Chemical Constituents in Water from Selected Wells and Springs from the Southern Boundary of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory to the Hagerman Area, Idaho, 1999

By Roy C. Bartholomay and Brian V. Twining, U.S. Geological Survey, and Linford J. Campbell, Idaho Department of Water Resources

Abstract

The U.S. Geological Survey and the Idaho Department of Water Resources, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Energy, sampled water from 19 sites as part of the fifth round of a long-term project to monitor water quality of the Snake River Plain aquifer from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory to the Hagerman area. The samples were analyzed for selected radiochemical and chemical constituents. The samples were collected from four domestic wells, eight irrigation wells, two dairy wells, two springs, one commercial well, one stock well, and one observation well. Two quality-assurance samples also were collected and analyzed.

None of the reported radiochemical or chemical constituent concentrations exceeded the established maximum contaminant levels for drinking water. Many of the radionuclide- and inorganic-constituent concentrations were greater than the respective minimum reporting levels. Most of the organic-constituent concentrations were less than the minimum reporting levels.

INTRODUCTION

For several years, the public has expressed concern about waste-disposal practices at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) and the effect these practices might have had on the water quality of the Snake River Plain aquifer. In the late 1980's, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) requested that the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) conduct two studies to

respond to the public's concern and to gain a greater understanding of the radiochemical and chemical quality of water in the aquifer. The first study described a one-time sampling effort in the eastern part of the A & B Irrigation District in Minidoka County (Mann and Knobel, 1990). The second study, an ongoing annual sampling effort in the area between the southern boundary of the INEEL and Hagerman (fig. 1), is being conducted with the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) in cooperation with the DOE. The first round of sampling for the second study involved analyzing water samples collected from 55 sites during August and September 1989 (Wegner and Campbell, 1991). Subsequent rounds of sampling for the second study involved analyzing water samples annually from about one-third of the 55 sites so that all 55 sites were sampled every 3 years. Data for a variety of radiochemical and chemical constituents from the subsequent rounds have been published in reports by Bartholomay, Edwards, and Campbell (1992, 1993, 1994a, 1994b); Bartholomay, Williams, and Campbell (1995, 1996, 1997b, 1998); and Bartholomay, Twining, and Campbell (1999). Bartholomay, Williams, and Campbell (1997a) evaluated the data collected during the first 4 years of the study. This report summarizes the results of analyses of water samples collected in 1999 at the beginning of the fifth round of sampling, which involved analyzing 19 of the initial 55 sites.

The INEEL includes about 890 mi² of the northeastern part of the eastern Snake River Plain and is about 110 mi northeast of the Hagerman area (fig. 1). In the past, wastewater containing radiochemical and chemical wastes generated at




Figure 1. Location of the study area, between the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory and Hagerman, Idaho.

the INEEL was discharged mostly to ponds and wells. Since 1983, most aqueous wastes have been discharged to infiltration ponds. Many of the constituents in the wastewater enter the aquifer indirectly by percolation through the unsaturated zone (Pittman and others, 1988).

Chemical and radioactive wastes have migrated as much as 13 mi southwest of the disposal areas at the INEEL (Bartholomay and others, 2000). Tritium was detected intermittently at concentrations of $3,400 \pm 200$ pCi/L or less in water from three wells along the southern boundary of the INEEL between 1983 and 1985 (Pittman and others, 1988). From April 1985 to October 1995, tritium concentrations in water from wells near the southern boundary of the INEEL were less than the reporting level (Bartholomay, Tucker, and others, 1997, p. 27); but in 1998, because of lower laboratory detection limits used, tritium was detected at concentrations greater than the reporting level in one well at the boundary and one well south of the boundary (Bartholomay and others, 2000).

Water samples collected from the 19 sites (fig. 2) were analyzed for selected radionuclides, trace elements, common ions, nutrients, purgeable organic compounds, carbamate insecticides, organophosphorus insecticides, gross polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), gross polychlorinated naphthalenes (PCNs), chlorophenoxy-acid herbicides, and other herbicides by the USGS National Water Quality Laboratory (NWQL) at Arvada, Colo. Samples also were collected at the same sites for selected radionuclide analyses by the Idaho State University Environmental Monitoring Laboratory (ISU-EML) at Pocatello, Idaho. One replicate water sample and one blank sample also were collected and analyzed as a measure of quality assurance.

Geohydrologic Setting

The eastern Snake River Plain is a northeast-trending structural basin about 200 mi long and 50 to 70 mi wide. The basin, bounded by faults on the northwest and downwarping and faulting on the southeast, has been filled with basaltic lava flows interbedded with terrestrial sediments (Whitehead, 1986). Individual basalt flows average 20 to 25 ft in thickness with an aggregate thickness of

several thousand feet in places. Alluvial fan deposits are composed primarily of sand and gravel, whereas in areas where streams were dammed by basalt flows, the sediments are predominantly silt and clay (Garabedian, 1986). Rhyolitic lava rocks and tuffs are exposed locally at the surface and may exist at depth under most of the eastern plain. A 10,365-ft-deep test hole at the INEEL penetrated about 2,160 ft of basalt and sediment and 8,205 ft of tuffaceous and rhyolitic volcanic rocks (Mann, 1986).

Movement of water in the aquifer generally is from the northeast to the southwest. Water moves horizontally through basalt interflow zones and vertically through joints and interfingering edges of the interflow zones. Infiltration of surface water, heavy pumpage, geologic conditions, and seasonal fluxes of recharge and discharge locally affect the movement of ground water (Garabedian, 1986).

The Snake River Plain aquifer is recharged by seepage from the upper reaches of the Snake River, tributaries and canals, infiltration from irrigation and precipitation, and underflow from tributary valleys on the perimeter of the plain. Discharge from the aquifer primarily is by pumpage for irrigation and spring flow to the Snake River (Mann and Knobel, 1990). Discharge from all of the springs in the eastern Snake River Plain has fluctuated over the years as a result of changes in water use, irrigation practices, and precipitation (Kjelstrom, 1992, p. 2).

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the well owners for granting permission to collect the water samples and extend special thanks to Mike Smith of the Minidoka Grazing Association for his help in locating and pumping one of the wells for sample collection. The authors are grateful for technical review of the manuscript by Gerry Winter of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality and Bill Damschen of the USGS.

METHODS AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

The methods used in sampling and analyzing for selected chemicals generally followed the




Figure 2. Location of selected water-quality sampling sites on the eastern Snake River Plain

guidelines established by the USGS (Goerlitz and Brown, 1972; Stevens and others, 1975; Wood, 1981; Claassen, 1982; W.L. Bradford, USGS, written commun., 1985; Wershaw and others, 1987; Fishman and Friedman, 1989; Faires, 1992; Fishman, 1993; and Wilde and others, 1998). The methods used in the field and quality-assurance practices are described in the following sections.

Site Selection

Water samples were collected at 19 sites (fig. 2), including 4 domestic wells, 8 irrigation wells, 2 dairy wells, 2 springs, 1 commercial well, 1 stock well, and 1 observation well. One replicate water sample and 1 blank sample also were collected for quality-assurance purposes. The irrigation wells were equipped with turbine pumps. The domestic, dairy, commercial, stock, and observation wells were equipped with submersible pumps. Criteria for site selection were geographic location, ease of sample collection, and long-term access.

Sample Containers and Preservatives

Sample containers and preservatives differ depending on the constituent(s) for which analyses are requested. Samples analyzed by the NWQL were collected and preserved in accordance with laboratory requirements specified by Timme (1995). Water samples analyzed by ISU-EML were collected in accordance with laboratory requirements specified by the director of the Environmental Monitoring Program at ISU. Containers and preservatives were supplied by the respective laboratories. Containers and preservatives used for this study are listed on table 1.

Sample Collection

Three of the irrigation wells discharged into stilling ponds and were sampled from the discharge pipes. The remaining irrigation wells were sampled from spigots in discharge lines. Domestic, dairy, commercial, stock, and observation wells were sampled from spigots closest to pumps. All the wells either were pumping on arrival of the sampling team or were started on arrival and pumped long enough to ensure that pressure tanks and pumping systems had been thoroughly flushed

as evidenced by stable pH, specific conductance, and water-temperature measurements. The springs were sampled as near the source as possible by collecting a grab sample from an area of moving water.

Chemical and physical characteristics monitored at the water-sampling sites included pH, specific conductance, and water temperature. These characteristics were monitored during pumping using methods described by Wood (1981) and Hardy and others (1989). A water sample was collected when measurements of these characteristics indicated probable hydrologic and chemical stability. After collection, sample containers were sealed with laboratory film, labeled, and packed into ice chests for shipment to the NWQL. The samples collected for ISU were stored in coolers until they were hand-delivered to the laboratory.

Field measurements of pH, specific conductance, and water temperature are shown on table 2. Ranges for these measurements, excluding values for the blank sample, were from 7.2 to 8.2 for pH, which is within the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (1999) recommended range of 6.5 to 8.5 for community water systems; from 315 to 1,075 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ for specific conductance; and from 11.5 to 18.0°C for water temperature.

Conditions at the sampling site during sample collection were recorded in a field logbook; a chain-of-custody record was used to track the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the analyzing laboratory. These records are available for inspection at the USGS INEEL Project Office.

Quality Assurance

Detailed descriptions of internal quality control and overall quality-assurance practices used by the NWQL are provided in reports by Friedman and Erdmann (1982), Jones (1987), and Pritt and Raese (1995). Water samples analyzed by the NWQL were collected in accordance with a quality-assurance plan for quality-of-water activities conducted by personnel at the INEEL Project Office. The plan was finalized in June 1989, revised in March 1992 and in 1996 (Mann, 1996), and is available for inspection at the USGS INEEL

Project Office. Water samples analyzed by ISU-EML were collected in accordance with procedures described by B. Graham (ISU, written commun., 1991). Williams and others (1998) evaluated the quality-assurance/quality control data collected for this study from 1989 through 1995. About 10 percent of the water samples were quality-assurance samples. Sample MV-08 is a replicate of sample MV-18. Sample MV-22 is a blank of inorganic- and organic-free water.

RADIOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS

Water samples were analyzed for strontium-90, tritium, gross alpha- and gross beta-particle radioactivity, and gamma-emitting radionuclides. The samples were analyzed using methods described by Thatcher and others (1977). Maximum contaminant levels for selected radionuclides and for the types of radioactivity are listed on table 3.

An analytical uncertainty, s , is calculated for each radionuclide concentration or radioactivity measurement. This report presents the analytical uncertainty as $2s$. Guidelines for interpreting analytical results are based on an extension of the method described by Currie (1984). In radiochemical analyses, laboratory measurements are made on a target sample and a prepared blank. Instrument signals for the sample and blank vary randomly. Therefore, it is essential to distinguish between two key aspects of the problem of detection: (1) The instrument signal for the sample must be greater than the signal for the blank to make the decision that there was detection; and (2) an estimation must be made of the minimum concentration that will yield a sufficiently large signal to make the correct decision for detection or nondetection most of the time. The first aspect of the problem is a qualitative decision based on signals and a definite criterion for detection. The second aspect of the problem is an estimation of the detection capabilities of a complete measurement process that includes hypothesis testing.

In the laboratory, instrument signals must exceed a critical level of $1.6s$ to make the qualitative decision whether the radionuclide or radioactivity was detected. At $1.6s$, there is about a 95-percent probability that the correct deci-

sion—not detected—will be made. Given a large number of samples, as many as 5 percent of the samples with measured concentrations greater than or equal to $1.6s$, which were concluded as being detected, might not contain the radioactive constituent. These measurements are referred to as false positives and are errors of the first kind in hypothesis testing.

Once the critical level of $1.6s$ has been defined, the minimum detectable concentration can be established. Concentrations that equal $3s$ represent a measurement at the minimum detectable concentration. For true concentrations of $3s$ or greater, there is a 95-percent-or-more probability of correctly concluding that the radioactive constituent was detected in a sample. Given a large number of samples, as many as 5 percent of the samples with true concentrations greater than or equal to $3s$, which were concluded as being not detected, could contain the radioactive constituent at the minimum detectable concentration. These measurements are referred to as false negatives and are errors of the second kind in hypothesis testing.

True radionuclide concentrations between $1.6s$ and $3s$ have larger errors of the second kind. That is, there is a greater-than-5-percent probability of false negative results for samples with true concentrations between $1.6s$ and $3s$, and although the radionuclide or radioactivity might have been detected, such detection may not be considered reliable; at $1.6s$, the probability of a false negative is about 50 percent.

These guidelines are based on counting statistics alone and do not include systematic or random errors inherent in laboratory procedures. The values $1.6s$ and $3s$ vary slightly with background or blank counts and with the number of gross counts for individual analyses. The use of the critical level and minimum detectable concentration aids the reader in the interpretation of analytical results and does not represent absolute concentrations of radioactivity that may or may not have been detected. In this report, if the concentration of a selected radionuclide was equal to or greater than $3s$, the concentration is considered to be above a "reporting level." The reporting level should not be confused with the analytical method detection limit, which is based on laboratory pro-

cedures. At small concentrations, the reporting level approaches the analytical method detection limit; however, at larger concentrations, they may be significantly different.

Many analytical results of environmental radioactivity measurements are at or near zero. If the true concentration for a given radionuclide is zero, a given set of analytical results for that radionuclide should be distributed about zero, with an equal number of negative and positive measurements. Negative analytical results occur if the radioactivity of a water sample is less than the background radioactivity or the radioactivity of the prepared blank sample in the laboratory (American Society for Testing and Materials, 1992, p. 126; Knobel and others, 1992, p. 51).

Strontium-90

Strontium-90 is a fission product that was widely distributed in the environment during atmospheric weapons tests. Strontium-90 generally is present in ground water as a result of these tests and from nuclear industry waste-disposal practices. Because strontium-90 tends to sorb onto sediment material in the aquifer, it is not expected to move past its current location at the INEEL (Bartholomay, 1998). Two water samples (MV-40 and MV-59) contained concentrations of dissolved strontium-90 greater than the reporting level (table 4). Reruns were requested for these two samples, but there was not enough water for reanalyses. Previous samples from these two wells contained strontium-90 concentrations less than the reporting levels. Water from these two sites were resampled in 2000 to verify the strontium-90 concentrations. Concentrations for MV-40 and a replicate quality assurance sample were 0.0889 ± 0.19 and -0.0386 ± 0.17 pCi/L, respectively. Concentrations for MV-59 and a quality assurance replicate were 0.154 ± 0.168 and -0.131 ± 0.144 pCi/L, respectively. All other concentrations of dissolved strontium-90 also were less than the reporting level for the water samples analyzed (table 4).

Tritium

Tritium, a radioactive isotope of hydrogen, is formed in nature by interactions of cosmic rays

with gases in the upper atmosphere. Tritium also is produced in thermonuclear detonations and is a waste product of the nuclear power industry. Samples were submitted to the NWQL and the ISU-EML. The NWQL used an enrichment and gas-counting technique. The ISU-EML used two analytical techniques: a standard liquid-scintillation technique and an enrichment and liquid-scintillation technique. The analytical method detection limits for the laboratories differed. Those for the ISU-EML were 150 and less than 25 pCi/L for ten 20-minute counting periods, and that for the NWQL was 1 pCi/L for a 1,000-minute counting period.

The concentrations of tritium in the water samples are shown on table 4. Concentrations of tritium in all of the water samples analyzed by the NWQL were greater than the reporting level and ranged from 1.6 ± 1.0 to 60.5 ± 3.8 pCi/L (table 4). Concentrations of tritium in 17 of the 20 water samples analyzed by the ISU-EML using the enrichment technique were greater than the reporting level and ranged from 11 ± 6 to 61 ± 6 pCi/L. For the purpose of comparison, background concentrations of tritium in ground water in Idaho generally range from 0 to 40 pCi/L (Knobel and others, 1992). The maximum contaminant level for tritium in public drinking-water supplies is 20,000 pCi/L (table 3).

Gross Alpha-Particle Radioactivity

Gross alpha-particle radioactivity is a measure of the total radioactivity given off as alpha particles during the radioactive decay process; however, laboratories normally report the radioactivity as if it were all given off by one radionuclide. In this report, concentrations are reported as dissolved thorium-230 in picocuries per liter by the NWQL and as total thorium-230 in picocuries per liter by the ISU-EML.

The concentration of gross alpha-particle radioactivity reported as dissolved thorium-230 in one of the water samples (MV-12) analyzed by the NWQL was greater than the reporting level (table 5) at 6.08 ± 3.62 pCi/L. Concentrations of gross alpha-particle radioactivity reported as total thorium-230 in three water samples analyzed by ISU-

EML were greater than the reporting level (table 5) and ranged from 3.4 ± 2.0 to 4.5 ± 3.0 pCi/L.

Gross Beta-Particle Radioactivity

Gross beta-particle radioactivity is a measure of the total radioactivity given off as beta particles during the radioactive decay process; however, laboratories normally report the radioactivity as if it were all given off by one radionuclide. In this report, concentrations are reported as dissolved cesium-137 in picocuries per liter by the NWQL and as total cesium-137 in picocuries per liter by the ISU-EML. The average annual concentrations of strontium-90 and cesium-137 in public drinking-water supplies that produce a 4-mrem/yr dose are 8 pCi/L and 120 pCi/L, respectively. Gross beta-particle radioactivity measurements should not be compared directly with these concentrations.

Concentrations of gross beta-particle radioactivity reported as dissolved cesium-137 in 10 of the water samples analyzed by the NWQL were greater than the reporting level (table 5) and ranged from 5.01 ± 1.39 to 11.4 ± 3.65 pCi/L. Concentrations of gross beta-particle radioactivity reported as total cesium-137 in all 20 of the water samples analyzed by ISU-EML were greater than the reporting level (table 5) and ranged from 1.8 ± 0.8 to 7.3 ± 0.9 pCi/L.

Cesium-137 and Potassium-40

Gamma spectrometry involves using a series of detectors to simultaneously determine the concentrations of a variety of radionuclides by the identification of their characteristic gamma emissions. When no specific gamma-emitting radionuclides are identified, the concentrations are reported by ISU-EML as total cesium-137 and total potassium-40. Cesium-137 is a fission product of uranium-235, uranium-233, or plutonium-239. Potassium makes up approximately 2.6 percent of the Earth's continental crust, and about 0.0119 percent of all potassium is the naturally occurring radioactive isotope potassium-40 (Kretz, 1972). Concentrations of cesium-137 in all water samples were less than the reporting level (table 6). The concentration of potassium-40 in one sample

(MV-29) was greater than the reporting level (table 6).

CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS

Water samples were analyzed for selected chemical constituents. These constituents included trace elements, common ions, nutrients, purgeable organic compounds, insecticides, polychlorinated compounds, and herbicides. In this report, minimum reporting levels and method detection limits established for these constituents are not to be confused with reporting levels and analytical method detection limits for selected radionuclides. The minimum reporting level for inorganic and organic constituents is the smallest measured concentration of a constituent that may be reliably reported using a given analytical method (Timme, 1995, p. 92). The method detection limit is defined as the minimum concentration of a substance that can be identified, measured, and reported with 99-percent confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte (Timme, 1995, p. 92).

Trace Elements

Water samples were analyzed for selected dissolved trace elements including arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, lithium, manganese, mercury, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, strontium, vanadium, and zinc. The maximum or secondary maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected trace elements are shown on table 7. The concentrations of dissolved trace elements are shown on table 8.

Arsenic.—Concentrations of arsenic in all samples were equal to or greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 1 to 3 µg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 50 µg/L.

Barium.—Concentrations of barium in all samples were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 10 to 151 µg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 2,000 µg/L.

Beryllium.—Concentrations of beryllium in all samples were less than the minimum reporting

level of 1.6 µg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 4 µg/L.

Cadmium.—Concentrations of cadmium in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 8 µg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 5 µg/L.

Chromium.—Concentrations of chromium in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 14 µg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 100 µg/L.

Cobalt.—Concentrations of cobalt in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 7 µg/L; the concentration in one sample was less than 13 µg/L.

Copper.—Concentrations of copper in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 10 µg/L. The concentration in one sample (MV-37) was estimated to be 6 µg/L. The secondary maximum contaminant level is 1,000 µg/L.

Iron.—The concentration of iron in one sample (MV-51) was 14 µg/L. Concentrations in two samples (MV-24A, MV-37) were estimated. Concentrations of iron in all other samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 10 µg/L. The secondary maximum contaminant level is 300 µg/L.

Lead.—Concentrations of lead in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 100 µg/L. Lead has an action level of 15 µg/L.

Lithium.—Concentrations of lithium in 19 samples ranged from an estimated 6 to 57 µg/L.

Manganese.—Concentrations of manganese in all but two samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 3 µg/L. The concentration in one sample (MV-59) was estimated to be 2 µg/L. The concentration in sample MV-61 was less than 2.2 µg/L. The secondary maximum contaminant level is 50 µg/L.

Mercury.—Concentrations of mercury in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 0.1 µg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 2 µg/L.

Molybdenum.—Concentrations of molybdenum in all but one sample were less than the mini-

um reporting level of 50 µg/L. The concentration in sample MV-61 was less than 34 µg/L.

Nickel.—Concentrations of nickel in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 40 µg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 100 µg/L.

Selenium.—Concentrations of selenium in nine samples were equal to or greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 1 to 2 µg/L; concentrations in the other samples were less than the minimum reporting level. The maximum contaminant level is 50 µg/L.

Silver.—Concentrations of silver in all but two samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 4 µg/L. The concentration in one sample (MV-01) was estimated to be 2 µg/L. The concentration in sample MV-61 was less than 7 µg/L. The secondary maximum contaminant level is 100 µg/L.

Strontium.—Concentrations of strontium in all samples were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 125 to 470 µg/L.

Vanadium.—Concentrations of vanadium in 17 samples ranged from an estimated 6 to 15 µg/L.

Zinc.—Concentrations of zinc in 11 samples ranged from an estimated 7 µg/L to 333 µg/L. The secondary maximum contaminant level is 5,000 µg/L.

Common Ions

Water samples were analyzed for dissolved common ions including calcium, magnesium, silica, and sodium. The minimum reporting levels of these ions are shown on table 9. Maximum contaminant levels have not been established for any of these common ions. The concentrations of dissolved common ions are shown on table 10.

Calcium.—Concentrations of calcium in all samples were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 26 to 81 mg/L.

Magnesium.—Concentrations of magnesium in all samples were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 13 to 37 mg/L.

Silica.—Concentrations of silica in all samples were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 31 to 41 mg/L.

Sodium.—Concentrations of sodium in all samples were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 16 to 84 mg/L. The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare (1989) recommends an optimum concentration of 20 mg/L of sodium for public drinking-water supplies.

Nutrients

Water samples were analyzed for dissolved ammonia (as nitrogen), nitrite (as nitrogen), nitrite plus nitrate (as nitrogen), and orthophosphate (as phosphorus). The maximum contaminant levels and the minimum reporting levels are shown on table 9. A maximum contaminant level has not been established or proposed for ammonia or orthophosphate. Concentrations of nutrients are shown on table 10.

Ammonia (as nitrogen).—Concentrations of ammonia (as nitrogen) in three samples analyzed were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 0.02 to 0.03 mg/L.

Nitrite (as nitrogen).—Concentrations of nitrite (as nitrogen) in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level of 0.01 mg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 1 mg/L.

Nitrite plus nitrate (as nitrogen).—Concentrations of nitrite plus nitrate (as nitrogen) in all samples were greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 0.49 to 8.2 mg/L. The maximum contaminant level is 10 mg/L.

Orthophosphate (as phosphorus).—Concentrations of orthophosphate (as phosphorus) in 16 of the samples were equal to or greater than the minimum reporting level and ranged from 0.01 to 0.06 mg/L.

Purgeable Organic Compounds

Water samples were analyzed for 61 purgeable organic compounds. The maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of these compounds are shown on table 11. Maximum contaminant levels for two of the compounds (1,2-

Dibromo-3-chloropropane and 1,2,-Dibromoethane) were less than the respective minimum reporting levels. One sample (MV-59) contained concentrations of 0.14 µg/L of toluene and 0.16 µg/L of tetrachlorethylene. Concentrations of purgeable organic compounds in all other samples were less than the respective minimum reporting levels.

Insecticides and Gross Polychlorinated Compounds

Water samples were analyzed for concentrations of 10 carbamate insecticides, 11 organophosphate insecticides, 15 organochlorine insecticides, gross PCBs, and gross PCNs (table 12). The minimum reporting levels ranged from 0.008 to 1.0 µg/L. Water samples also were analyzed for an additional group of 23 insecticides that included 11 of the carbamate, organophosphate, and organochlorine insecticides mentioned above; therefore, 11 insecticides are listed twice and minimum reporting levels may be different. The maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels for these compounds are shown on table 12. One sample (MV-61) contained an estimated concentration of p,p'DDE of 0.003 µg/L. Concentrations of insecticides or polychlorinated compounds in all samples were less than the respective minimum reporting levels or method detection limits.

Herbicides

Water samples were analyzed for concentrations of 5 chlorophenoxy-acid herbicides and 47 other herbicides. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels for these compounds are shown on table 13. Concentrations of some of the compounds in samples from MV-15 were not determined because samples were ruined. Because laboratory schedules with lower method detection limits and minimum reporting levels than past schedules were used, concentrations of some herbicides in several samples exceeded the method detection limits or minimum reporting levels (table 14). Concentrations in some of the samples listed in table 14 exceeded the method detection limits but were less than the minimum reporting levels. The estimated concentrations in

table 14 need to be evaluated carefully because of variable performance (Zaugg and others, 1995). Estimated and actual concentrations of atrazine in 10 samples ranged from 0.003 to 0.019 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Concentrations of chloramben in two samples, MV-30 and MV-41, were 0.06 and 0.08 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively. Estimated concentrations of desethyl atrazine in 16 samples ranged from 0.003 to 0.03 $\mu\text{g/L}$. The analyses for desethyl atrazine demonstrated low recovery because of poor retention on the solid-phase extraction column (Zaugg and others, 1995). The estimated concentration of metribuzin in one sample (MV-18) was 0.003 $\mu\text{g/L}$. The estimated concentration of prometon in one sample (MV-23) was 0.004 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Estimated and actual concentrations of simazine in four samples ranged from 0.003 to 0.025 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Concentrations of herbicides in all the samples not listed in table 14 were less than the minimum reporting levels and method detection limits.

SUMMARY

The USGS and the IDWR, in cooperation with the DOE, sampled water from 19 sites as part of the fifth round of a long-term project to monitor water quality of the Snake River Plain aquifer from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory to the Hagerman area. Water samples were collected and analyzed for selected radiochemical and chemical constituents. The samples were collected from four domestic wells, eight irrigation wells, two dairy wells, two springs, one commercial well, one stock well, and one observation well. Two quality-assurance samples also were collected and analyzed.

The concentrations of strontium-90 in two samples were greater than the reporting level, but concentrations of resamples were less than the reporting level. Concentrations of tritium in all of the samples analyzed by the NWQL and 17 of the samples analyzed by ISU using an enrichment technique were greater than the reporting level, but none exceeded the maximum contaminant level for drinking water. The concentrations of gross alpha-particle radioactivity reported as dissolved thorium-230 in one sample analyzed by the NWQL was greater than the reporting level but did not exceed the maximum contaminant level. Con-

centrations of gross beta-particle radioactivity reported as dissolved cesium-137 in 10 samples analyzed by the NWQL were greater than the reporting level. Concentrations of gross beta-particle radioactivity reported as total cesium-137 in all 20 of the samples analyzed by ISU-EML were greater than the reporting level. Concentrations of total cesium-137 were analyzed by using gamma spectrometry, and concentrations in all the samples were less than the reporting level. Concentrations of total potassium-40, also analyzed by using gamma spectrometry, were less than the reporting level except in one sample.

All the samples contained one or more dissolved trace elements and common ions in concentrations greater than the minimum reporting levels. No reported concentration exceeded an established maximum contaminant level.

Concentrations of ammonia (as nitrogen) in three of the water samples were greater than the minimum reporting level. Concentrations of nitrite (as nitrogen) in all samples were less than the minimum reporting level. Concentrations of nitrite plus nitrate (as nitrogen) in all the water samples were greater than the minimum reporting level. Concentrations of orthophosphate (as phosphorus) in 16 of the water samples were equal to or greater than the minimum reporting level. No nutrient concentration exceeded an established maximum contaminant level.

Concentrations of most purgeable organic compounds, carbamate insecticides, gross PCBs, and gross PCNs in all samples were less than their respective minimum reporting levels. The concentrations of toluene and tetrachloroethylene in one sample exceeded the respective minimum reporting levels. Concentrations of some herbicides were greater than the method detection limits or minimum reporting levels, but none exceeded established maximum contaminant levels.

SELECTED REFERENCES

American Society for Testing and Materials, 1992, ASTM standards on precision and bias for various applications: Philadelphia, Pa., Amer-

ican Society for Testing and Materials Publication code number (PCN) 03-511092-34, 478 p.

Bartholomay, R.C., 1998, Effect of activities at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory on the water quality of the Snake River Plain aquifer in the Magic Valley study area, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet FS-052-98, 4 p.

Bartholomay, R.C., Edwards, D.D., and Campbell, L.J., 1992, Radionuclides, inorganic constituents, organic compounds, and bacteria in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1990: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-91 (DOE/ID-22102), 42 p.

—1993, Radionuclides, inorganic constituents, organic compounds, and bacteria in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1991: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-102 (DOE/ID-22108), 42 p.

—1994a, Radionuclides, inorganic constituents, organic compounds, and bacteria in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1992: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 94-76 (DOE/ID-22114), 41 p.

—1994b, Radionuclides, stable isotopes, inorganic constituents, and organic compounds in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1993: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 94-503 (DOE/ID-22117), 35 p.

Bartholomay, R.C., Tucker, B.J., Ackerman, D.J., and Liszewski, M.J., 1997, Hydrologic conditions and distribution of radiochemical and chemical constituents in water, Snake River

Plain aquifer, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, 1992 through 1995: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 97-4086 (DOE/ID-22137), 57 p.

Bartholomay, R.C., Tucker, B.J., Davis, L.C., and Greene, M.R., 2000, Hydrologic conditions and distribution of selected constituents in water, Snake River Plain aquifer, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho, 1996 through 1998: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 00-4192 (DOE/ID-22167), 52 p.

Bartholomay, R.C., Twining, B.V., and Campbell, L.J., 1999, Radiochemical and chemical constituents in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1998: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 99-473 (DOE/ID-22161), 28 p.

Bartholomay, R.C., Williams, L.M., and Campbell, L.J., 1995, Radionuclides, stable isotopes, inorganic constituents, and organic compounds in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1994: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-718 (DOE/ID-22124), 37 p.

—1996, Radionuclides, stable isotopes, inorganic constituents, and organic compounds in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1995: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-496 (DOE/ID-22130), 29 p.

—1997a, Evaluation of radionuclide, inorganic constituent, and organic compound data from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1989 through 1992: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 97-4007, (DOE/ID-22133), 73 p.

- 1997b, Radiochemical and chemical constituents in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1996: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97-360 (DOE/ID-22141), 29 p.
- 1998, Radiochemical and chemical constituents in water from selected wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1997: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-646 (DOE/ID-22152), 30 p.
- Claassen, H.C., 1982, Guidelines and techniques for obtaining water samples that accurately represent the water chemistry of an aquifer: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-1024, 49 p.
- Currie, L.A., 1984, Lower limits of detection—definition and elaboration of a proposed position for radiological effluent and environmental measurements: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission NUREG/CR-4007, 139 p.
- Faires, L.M., 1992, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—determination of metals in water by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-634, 28 p.
- Fishman, M.J., ed., 1993, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—determination of inorganic and organic constituents in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-125, 217 p.
- Fishman, M.J., and Friedman, L.C., eds., 1989, Methods for determination of inorganic substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A1, 545 p.
- Friedman, L.C., and Erdmann, D.E., 1982, Quality assurance practices for the chemical and biological analyses of water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A6, 181 p.
- Garabedian, S.P., 1986, Application of a parameter-estimation technique to modeling the regional aquifer underlying the eastern Snake River Plain, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2278, 60 p.
- Goerlitz, D.F., and Brown, Eugene, 1972, Methods for analysis of organic substances in water: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A3, 40 p.
- Hardy, M.A., Leahy, P.P., and Alley, W.M., 1989, Well installation and documentation, and ground-water sampling protocols for the pilot National Water-Quality Assessment Program: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 89-396, 36 p.
- Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, 1989, Idaho regulations for public drinking water systems: Boise, Rules and Regulations of the Department of Health and Welfare, title 1, chapter 8, [not paged].
- Jones, B.E., 1987, Quality control manual of the U.S. Geological Survey's National Water Quality Laboratory: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 87-457, 17 p.
- Kjelstrom, L.C., 1992, Assessment of spring discharge to the Snake River, Milner Dam to King Hill, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-147, (Water Fact Sheet), [2] p.
- Knobel, L.L., Orr, B.R., and Cecil, L.D., 1992, Summary of background concentrations of selected radiochemical and chemical constituents in ground water from the Snake River Plain aquifer, Idaho—estimated from an analysis of previously published data: Journal of the Idaho Academy of Science, v. 28, no. 1, p. 48-61.

- Kretz, Ralph, 1972, Potassium—element and geochemistry, *in* Fairbridge, R.W., ed., *The Encyclopedia of Geochemistry and Environmental Sciences*: Stroudsburg, Pa.; Dowden, Hutchinson, and Ross, p. 970–972.
- Mann, L.J., 1986, Hydraulic properties of rock units and chemical quality of water for INEL-1—a 10,365-foot deep test hole drilled at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 86–4020 (DOE/ID–22070), 23 p.
- 1989, Tritium concentrations in flow from selected springs that discharge to the Snake River, Twin Falls-Hagerman area, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 89–456 (DOE/ID–22084), 20 p.
- 1996, Quality-assurance plan and field methods for quality-of-water activities, U.S. Geological Survey, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96–615 (DOE/ID–22132), 37 p.
- Mann, L.J., and Cecil, L.D., 1990, Tritium in ground water at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 90–4090 (DOE/ID–22090), 35 p.
- Mann, L.J., and Knobel, L.L., 1990, Radionuclides, metals, and organic compounds in water, eastern part of A&B Irrigation District, Minidoka County, Idaho: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 90–191 (DOE/ID–22087), 36 p.
- Pittman, J.R., Jensen, R.G., and Fischer, P.R., 1988, Hydrologic conditions at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 1982–1985: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 89–4008 (DOE/ID–22078), 73 p.
- Pritt, J.W., 1989, Quality assurance of sample containers and preservatives at the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory, *in* Pederson, G.L., and Smith, M.M., compilers, U.S. Geological Survey Second National Symposium on Water Quality—abstracts of the technical sessions: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 89–409, p. 75.
- Pritt, J.W., and Raese, J.W., eds., 1995, Quality assurance/quality control manual—National Water Quality Laboratory: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95–443, 35 p.
- Stevens, H.H., Jr., Ficke, J.F., and Smoot, G.F., 1975, Water temperature—influential factors, field measurement, and data presentation: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. D1, 65 p.
- Thatcher, L.L., Janzer, V.J., and Edwards, K.W., 1977, Methods for determination of radioactive substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A5, 95 p.
- Timme, P.J., 1995, National Water Quality Laboratory, 1995 services catalog: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95–352, 120 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1994, EPA drinking water regulations and health advisories: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, EPA 822 R–94–001, 11 p.
- 1999, Protection of environment, Code of Federal Regulations 40: Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, parts 136 to 149, 832 p.
- Wegner, S.J., and Campbell, L.J., 1991, Radionuclides, chemical constituents, and organic compounds in water from designated wells and springs from the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1989: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 91–232 (DOE/ID–22098), 49 p.
- Wershaw, R.L., Fishman, M.J., Grabbe, R.R., and Lowe, L.E., 1987, Methods for the determination of organic substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A3, 80 p.

- Whitehead, R.L., 1986, Geohydrologic framework of the Snake River Plain, Idaho and eastern Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Investigations Atlas HA-681, 3 sheets, scale 1:1,000,000.
- Wilde, F.D., Radtke, D.B., Gibs, J., and Iwatsubo, R.T., 1998, National field manual for the collection of water-quality data: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, [variously paged].
- Williams, L.M., Bartholomay, R.C., and Campbell, L.J., 1998, Evaluation of quality-assurance/quality-control data collected by the U.S. Geological Survey from wells and springs between the southern boundary of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory and the Hagerman area, Idaho, 1989 through 1995: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-4206 (DOE/ID-22150), 83 p.
- Wood, W.W., 1981, Guidelines for collection and field analysis of ground-water samples for selected unstable constituents: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 1, chap. D2, 24 p.
- Zaugg, S.D., Sandstrom, M.W., Smith, S.G., and Fehlberg, K.M., 1995, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—determination of pesticides in water by C-18 solid-phase extraction and capillary-column gas chromatography/mass spectrometry with selected-ion monitoring: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-181, 49 p.

Table 1. Containers and preservatives used for water-sample collection

[Abbreviations: L, liter; mL, milliliter; µm, micrometer; °C, degrees Celsius. Chemical formulas: HNO₃, nitric acid; K₂Cr₂O₇, potassium dichromate. Chilled samples were shipped by overnight-delivery mail. Analyzing laboratory: NWQL, U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory; ISU-EML, Idaho State University Environmental Monitoring Laboratory]

Constituent or type of constituent	Container		Preservative		Other treatment	Analyzing laboratory
	Type	Size	Type	Size		
Strontium-90	Polyethylene, acid rinsed	1 L	HNO ₃	4 mL	0.45-µm filter	NWQL
Tritium	Polyethylene	1 L	None	None	None	NWQL
	Polyethylene	1 L	None	None	None	ISU-EML
	Polyethylene, acid rinsed	1 L	HNO ₃	4 mL	.45-µm filter	NWQL
Other radionuclides	Polyethylene	2 L	None	None	None	ISU-EML
	Polyethylene, acid rinsed	250 mL	Ultrex, HNO ₃	2 mL	.45-µm filter	NWQL
Trace elements and common ions	Polyethylene	125 mL	None	None	None	NWQL
	Glass, acid rinsed	250 mL	HNO ₃ /K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	10 mL	.45-µm filter	NWQL
Nutrients	Polyethylene, brown	125 mL	None	None	.45-µm filter, chill 4°C	NWQL
Purgeable organic compounds	Glass, baked	40 mL	None	None	Chill 4°C	NWQL
Pesticides	Glass, baked	1 L	None	None	Chill 4°C	NWQL

Table 2. Results of field measurements of water for pH, specific conductance, and temperature from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain

[See figure 2 for location of sites. Site type: I, irrigation; QA, quality assurance (MV-08 is a replicate of MV-18; MV-22 is a blank of inorganic- and organic-free water); D, dairy; Sp, spring; H, domestic; C, commercial; S, stock; O, observation. Date sampled: month/day/year. Units: pH, negative base-10 logarithm of hydrogen-ion activity in moles per liter; specific conductance, microsiemens per centimeter at 25°C (degrees Celsius); temperature, °C]

Site identifier	Site type	Date sampled	pH	Specific conductance	Temperature
MV-01	I	8/10/99	7.6	654	13.5
MV-04	I	8/10/99	7.8	712	11.5
MV-08	QA	8/11/99	8.0	686	14.5
MV-11	I	8/10/99	7.7	903	14.0
MV-12	D	8/10/99	7.8	652	14.0
MV-15	Sp	8/11/99	7.9	612	16.0
MV-18	Sp	8/11/99	8.0	686	14.5
MV-21	D	8/9/99	7.6	353	16.5
MV-22	QA	8/9/99	7.0	8	26.5
MV-23	I	8/10/99	7.5	716	15.0
MV-24A	H	8/10/99	7.7	1,075	14.5
MV-29	I	8/9/99	7.8	351	14.5
MV-30	C	8/9/99	7.6	677	15.0
MV-37	H	8/9/99	7.4	354	18.0
MV-40	I	8/9/99	8.2	364	14.5
MV-41	I	8/9/99	7.2	633	14.0
MV-43	I	8/11/99	7.7	760	15.0
MV-51	H	8/9/99	7.6	654	14.5
MV-56	H	8/11/99	7.7	416	14.5
MV-59	S	8/12/99	8.1	315	16.0
MV-61	O	8/25/99	7.8	389	14.5

Table 3. Maximum contaminant levels for selected radionuclides and types of radioactivity in drinking water

[The maximum contaminant levels were established pursuant to the recommendations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1999, p. 341-342) for community water systems and are included for comparison purposes only. Maximum contaminant levels given for strontium-90 and tritium are average annual concentrations assumed to produce a total body or organ dose of 4 millirem per year (mrem/yr) of beta-particle radiation. The maximum contaminant level given for gross alpha-particle radioactivity includes radium-226 but excludes radon and uranium. The maximum contaminant level given for gross beta-particle and gamma radioactivity excludes radioactivity from natural sources and is included for comparison purposes only. Abbreviation: pCi/L, picocurie per liter]

Radionuclide or type of radioactivity	Maximum contaminant level
Strontium-90	8 pCi/L
Tritium	20,000 pCi/L
Gross alpha-particle radioactivity	15 pCi/L
Gross beta-particle and gamma radioactivity	4 mrem/yr

Table 4. Concentrations of strontium-90 and tritium in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain

[See figure 2 for location of sites. Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory (NWQL) and Idaho State University Environmental Monitoring Laboratory (ISU-EML) using the following methods: strontium-90 by chemical separation and precipitation; tritium by enrichment and gas counting at the NWQL and by standard liquid scintillation and enrichment and liquid scintillation at ISU-EML. Analytical results and uncertainties—for example 1.6 ± 1.0 —in picocuries per liter. Analytical uncertainties are reported as 2s. Concentrations that exceed the reporting level of 3 times 1s are shown in boldface type. Symbol: *, quality-assurance sample (MV-08 is a replicate of MV-18; MV-22 is a blank of inorganic-free water). ISU-EML results for MV-11 and MV-41 are averages of lab repeat analyses; NS, not sampled]

Site identifier	Strontium-90, NWQL	Tritium, NWQL	Tritium, ISU-EML	Tritium, ISU-EML (Enrichment)
MV-01	0.136±0.253	37.4±2.6	-40±80	41±7
MV-04	.068±0.234	6.1±1.0	-40±80	4±7
MV-08*	.524±0.36	39.0±2.6	40±80	37±7
MV-11	-.059±0.266	60.5±3.8	-40±80	61±6
MV-12	.029±0.266	22.1±1.6	-10±80	20±6
MV-15	.109±0.254	41.9±2.6	-30±80	49±5
MV-18	-.031±0.291	43.8±2.6	60±80	43±7
MV-21	.252±0.278	12.2±1.0	-70±80	11±6
MV-22*	.109±0.241	39.4±2.6	NS	NS
MV-23	.309±0.328	45.4±3.2	-40±80	51±6
MV-24A	.163±0.337	55.7±3.2	-30±80	57±8
MV-29	.138±0.224	3.2±1.0	-50±80	0±6
MV-30	.107±0.265	44.5±2.6	-80±80	46±7
MV-37	.415±0.325	40.3±2.6	0±80	34±7
MV-40	1.01±0.52	8.6±1.0	-90±80	16±6
MV-41	.046±0.245	16.3±1.3	-30±80	41±6
MV-43	.214±0.307	45.1±2.6	-40±80	50±7
MV-51	-.187±0.28	41.6±2.6	0±80	41±7
MV-56	.10±0.266	8.3±1.0	-10±80	12±4
MV-59	.759±0.414	1.6±1.0	-40±80	0±5
MV-61	.044±0.224	19.5±1.9	10±80	20±6

Table 5. Concentrations of gross alpha-particle and gross beta-particle radioactivity in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain

[See figure 2 for location of sites. Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory (NWQL) and the Idaho State University Environmental Monitoring Laboratory (ISU-EML) using a residue procedure. Analytical results and uncertainties—for example 4.68 ± 3.48 —in picocuries per liter. Analytical uncertainties are reported as 2s. Concentrations that equal or exceed the reporting level of 3 times 1s are shown in boldface type. Symbol: *, quality-assurance sample (MV-08 is a replicate of MV-18; MV-22 is a blank of inorganic free water). Samples from MV-11 and MV-41 for ISU gross alpha and gross beta analyses represent laboratory took averages of split samples. Results for MV-24A from ISU-EML are averages of lab recounts or reevaporations. NS, not sampled]

Site identifier	Gross alpha, as dissolved thorium-230, NWQL	Gross alpha, as total thorium-230, ISU-EML	Gross beta, as dissolved cesium-137, NWQL	Gross beta, as total cesium-137, ISU-EML
MV-01	1.01 ± 2.79	2.8 ± 2.5	10.7 ± 2.43	4.3 ± 0.9
MV-04	1.6 ± 2.88	1.5 ± 3.0	7.43 ± 2.61	4.8 ± 1.0
MV-08*	4.68 ± 3.48	$-.6 \pm 2.5$	4.94 ± 4.93	4.0 ± 0.9
MV-11	1.88 ± 2.59	3.9 ± 2.4	9.67 ± 5.18	7.3 ± 0.9
MV-12	6.08 ± 3.62	1.1 ± 2.8	3.72 ± 4.68	4.4 ± 0.9
MV-15	3.39 ± 3.24	$-.1 \pm 2.4$	4.65 ± 4.85	3.4 ± 0.8
MV-18	$.83 \pm 2.54$	$.8 \pm 2.6$	8.05 ± 4.93	3.5 ± 0.8
MV-21	1.61 ± 2.89	$.1 \pm 1.7$	5.01 ± 1.39	2.2 ± 0.7
MV-22*	1.23 ± 1.94	NS	$-.26 \pm 3.88$	NS
MV-23	$-.21 \pm 2.43$	$-.2 \pm 2.5$	7.69 ± 2.65	3.5 ± 0.9
MV-24A	2.89 ± 2.41	-1.3 ± 3.8	11.4 ± 3.65	3.5 ± 1.3
MV-29	1.41 ± 2.64	$-.2 \pm 2.0$	1.13 ± 4.3	3.1 ± 0.8
MV-30	1.13 ± 2.61	3.3 ± 2.3	7.93 ± 4.93	6.0 ± 1.0
MV-37	4.05 ± 3.37	$-.2 \pm 1.7$	2.93 ± 4.36	2.0 ± 0.7
MV-40	1.91 ± 2.95	$.7 \pm 1.9$	4.67 ± 4.44	2.0 ± 0.7
MV-41	3.13 ± 3.2	1.5 ± 2.5	6.89 ± 2.41	4.6 ± 0.9
MV-43	4.64 ± 3.25	1.7 ± 3.0	8.91 ± 5.06	4.0 ± 0.9
MV-51	5.15 ± 3.45	4.5 ± 3.0	3.96 ± 4.7	5.3 ± 1.0
MV-56	2.05 ± 2.83	$.1 \pm 1.9$	$.48 \pm 4.33$	2.1 ± 0.7
MV-59	2.56 ± 2.91	3.4 ± 2.0	2.78 ± 4.53	1.8 ± 0.8
MV-61	$-.67 \pm 2.76$	1.2 ± 2.1	$-.55 \pm 4.28$	2.4 ± 0.8

Table 6. Concentrations of cesium-137 and potassium-40 in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain

[See figure 2 for location of sites. Analyses were performed by the Idaho State University Environmental Monitoring Laboratory using gamma spectrometry. Analytical results and uncertainties—for example -0.30 ± 1.6 —are for total concentrations in picocuries per liter. Analytical uncertainties are reported as 2s. Concentrations that equal or exceed the reporting level of 3 times 1s are shown in bold face type. Symbol: *, quality-assurance sample (MV-08 is a replicate of MV-18). Results for MV-11 and MV-41 are averages of split analyses. Results for MV-24A are averages of lab recounts or reevaporations]

Site identifier	Cesium-137	Potassium-40
MV-01	-0.30 ± 1.6	-22 ± 56
MV-04	$.60 \pm 2.0$	5 ± 69
MV-08*	1.0 ± 2.9	11 ± 49
MV-11	$.75 \pm 2.2$	45 ± 71
MV-12	$-.80 \pm 2.8$	9 ± 49
MV-15	1.7 ± 2.4	28 ± 70
MV-18	$.20 \pm 1.7$	-8 ± 60
MV-21	1.8 ± 2.4	12 ± 67
MV-23	$-.40 \pm 2.0$	78 ± 71
MV-24A	$-.30 \pm 2.0$	-3 ± 46
MV-29	$.00 \pm 1.9$	78 ± 49
MV-30	-1.2 ± 1.7	7 ± 58
MV-37	$.70 \pm 3.4$	53 ± 69
MV-40	$.80 \pm 2.1$	27 ± 61
MV-41	$.15 \pm 2.3$	22 ± 55
MV-43	-1.0 ± 2.0	63 ± 71
MV-51	$-.60 \pm 2.4$	32 ± 72
MV-56	$-.20 \pm 2.3$	-30 ± 56
MV-59	$-.40 \pm 2.0$	31 ± 61
MV-61	$-.10 \pm 1.9$	32 ± 70

Table 7. Maximum or secondary maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected trace elements in drinking water

[The maximum contaminant levels are for total measurements and were established pursuant to the recommendations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1994; 1999, p. 340, 408) for community water systems and are for comparison purposes only. Secondary maximum contaminant levels—in brackets—are from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1999, p. 559). Units are in micrograms per liter (µg/L). Symbols: #, arsenic has a proposed maximum contaminant level of 5 µg/L (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000, written commun.). ∞, maximum contaminant level has not been established; *, lead has an action level of 15 µg/L. Trace elements with two minimum reporting levels were analyzed by two methods]

Trace element	Maximum or secondary maximum contaminant level	Minimum reporting level
Arsenic#	50	1
Barium	2,000	1
Beryllium	4	1.6
Cadmium	5	8, 1
Chromium	100	14
Cobalt	∞	13, 7
Copper	[1,000]	10
Iron	[300]	10
Lead	*	100
Lithium	∞	6
Manganese	[50]	3
Mercury	2	.1
Molybdenum	∞	50, 34
Nickel	100	40
Selenium	50	1
Silver	[100]	4, 7
Strontium	∞	1
Vanadium	∞	10
Zinc	[5,000]	20

Table 8. Concentrations of dissolved trace elements in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain

[See figure 2 for location of sites. Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory. Analytical results in micrograms per liter. Symbols: <, concentration is less than the respective minimum reporting level; *, quality-assurance sample (MV-08 is a replicate of MV-18; MV-22 is a blank of inorganic-free water); --, results not available; E, estimated result]

Site Identifier	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Lithium
MV-01	3	70	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	44
MV-04	3	47	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	42
MV-08*	3	--	--	<1	--	--	--	<10	--	--
MV-11	3	104	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	52
MV-12	2	47	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	40
MV-15	2	78	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	34
MV-18	3	60	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	45
MV-21	2	19	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	14
MV-22*	<1	<1	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	<6
MV-23	3	91	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	40
MV-24A	3	151	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	7E	<100	57
MV-29	1	19	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	21
MV-30	3	67	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	37
MV-37	2	32	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	6E	5E	<100	6E
MV-40	2	17	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	13
MV-41	3	58	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	9
MV-43	2	93	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	43
MV-51	3	56	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	14	<100	37
MV-56	3	22	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	27
MV-59	1	10	<1.6	<8	<14	<7	<10	<10	<100	14
MV-61	2	20	<1.6	<8	<14	<13	<10	<10	<100	26

Table 8. Concentrations of dissolved trace elements in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain—Continued

Site identifier	Manganese	Mercury	Molybdenum	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Strontium	Vanadium	Zinc
MV-01	<3	<0.1	<50	<40	<1	2E	323	6E	<20
MV-04	<3	<1	<50	<40	1	<4	315	9E	7E
MV-08*	<3	<1	--	--	1	--	--	--	--
MV-11	<3	<1	<50	<40	2	<4	461	9E	<20
MV-12	<3	<1	<50	<40	2	<4	320	<10	9E
MV-15	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	308	6E	<20
MV-18	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	352	13	<20
MV-21	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	168	9E	17E
MV-22*	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	<1	<10	<20
MV-23	<3	<1	<50	<40	1	<4	354	6E	43
MV-24A	<3	<1	<50	<40	2	<4	470	6E	42
MV-29	<3	<1	<50	<40	1	<4	169	12	<20
MV-30	<3	<1	<50	<40	1	<4	326	6E	<20
MV-37	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	152	10	104
MV-40	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	165	10	<20
MV-41	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	293	15	10E
MV-43	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	404	6E	<20
MV-51	<3	<1	<50	<40	1	<4	307	6E	38
MV-56	<3	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	195	10	32
MV-59	2E	<1	<50	<40	<1	<4	125	9E	333
MV-61	<2.2	<1	<34	<40	<1	<7	172	<10	53

Table 9. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected common ions and nutrients in drinking water

[The maximum contaminant levels are for total measurements and were established pursuant to the recommendations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1999, p. 408) for community water systems and are for comparison purposes only. Minimum reporting levels are from Timme (1995). Units are in milligrams per liter (mg/L). Symbol: **, maximum contaminant level has not been established]

Constituent	Maximum contaminant level	Minimum reporting level
Calcium	**	0.02
Magnesium	**	.01
Silica	**	.05
Sodium ¹	**	.06
Ammonia (as nitrogen)	**	.02
Nitrite (as nitrogen)	1	.01
Nitrite plus nitrate (as nitrogen)	10	.05
Orthophosphate (as phosphorus)	**	.01

¹Idaho Department of Health and Welfare (1989) recommends an optimum concentration of 20 mg/L for public drinking-water supplies.

Table 10. Concentrations of dissolved common ions and nutrients in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain

[See figure 2 for location of sites. Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory. Analytical results in milligrams per liter. Symbols: <, concentration is less than the respective minimum reporting level; *, quality-assurance sample (MV-08 is a replicate of MV-18; MV-22 is a blank of inorganic-free water; --, results not available; E, estimated result; SL, sample lost]

Site identifier	Calcium	Magnesium	Silica	Sodium	Ammonia (as nitrogen)	Nitrite (as nitrogen)	Nitrite plus nitrate (as nitrogen)	Orthophosphate (as phosphorus)
MV-01	54	21	40	46	<0.02	<0.01	1.5	<0.01
MV-04	54	24	33	52	<.02	<.01	2.5	.01
MV-08*	--	--	--	--	<.02	<.01	2.7	.01
MV-11	76	30	36	55	<.02	<.01	5.5	.01
MV-12	58	21	34	38	<.02	<.01	1.7	.02
MV-15	57	20	41	37	<.02	<.01	1.9	.01
MV-18	64	26	39	38	<.02	<.01	2.7	.01
MV-21	29	15	33	17	.03	<.01	1.0	.01
MV-22*	<.02	.002E	<.05	<.06	<.02	<.01	<.05	<.01
MV-23	77	23	31	33	<.02	<.01	5.9	.04
MV-24A	81	37	37	84	<.02	<.01	8.2	.02
MV-29	30	14	33	17	<.02	<.01	.49	<.01
MV-30	61	23	37	39	.03	<.01	2.4	.02
MV-37	36	13	31	16	.02	<.01	1.1	.06
MV-40	31	15	33	18	<.02	<.01	.96	.02
MV-41	57	24	40	38	<.02	<.01	2.4	.06
MV-43	73	28	41	41	<.02	<.01	4.9	.01
MV-51	57	22	34	40	<.02	<.01	2.0	.04
MV-56	36	16	33	20	<.02	<.01	1.1	.01
MV-59	26	13	35	17	<.02	<.01	.78	<.01
MV-61	38	15	33	17	SL	SL	SL	SL

Table 11. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected purgeable organic compounds in drinking water

[Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory using an analytical method equivalent to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency method 524.2. Abbreviations: MCL, maximum contaminant level; MRL, minimum reporting level. MCLs were established pursuant to the recommendations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1994; 1999, p. 405-406) for community water systems and are included for comparison purposes only. MRLs are from Timme (1995). Units are in micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$). Symbols: **, MCL has not been established or proposed; *, total trihalomethanes—which include bromoform, chlorodibromomethane, chloroform, and dichlorobromomethane—in community water systems serving 10,000 or more persons cannot exceed 100 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999, p. 341)]

Compound	MCL	MRL	Compound	MCL	MRL
Acrylonitrile	**	2.5	1,3-Dichloropropane	**	0.2
Benzene	5	.2	2,2-Dichloropropane	**	.2
Bromobenzene	**	.2	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	**	.2
Bromochloromethane	**	.2	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	**	.2
Bromoform	*	.2	1,1-Dichloropropene	**	.2
Bromomethane	**	.2	Ethylbenzene	700	.2
n-Butylbenzene	**	.2	Hexachlorobutadiene	**	.2
sec-Butylbenzene	**	.2	Isopropylbenzene	**	.2
tert-Butylbenzene	**	.2	p-Isopropyltoluene	**	.2
Carbon tetrachloride	5	.2	Methylene chloride	5	.2
Chlorobenzene	100	.2	Methyl tert-butylether	**	.2
Chlorodibromomethane	*	.2	Naphthalene	**	.2
Chloroethane	**	.2	n-Propylbenzene	**	.2
Chloroform	*	.2	Styrene	100	.2
Chloromethane	**	.2	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	**	.2
2-Chlorotoluene	**	.2	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	**	.2
4-Chlorotoluene	**	.2	Tetrachloroethylene	5	.2
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	.2	1	Toluene	1,000	.2
1,2-Dibromoethane	.05	.2	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	**	.2
Dibromomethane	**	.2	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	70	.2
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	600	.2	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	200	.2
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	600	.2	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	.2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	75	.2	Trichloroethene	5	.2
Dichlorobromomethane	*	.2	Trichlorofluoromethane	**	.2
Dichlorodifluoromethane	**	.2	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	**	.2
1,1-Dichloroethane	**	.2	1,1,2-Trichloro 1,2,2-trifluoroethane	**	.2
1,2-Dichloroethane	5	.2	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	**	.2
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70	.2	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	**	.2
1,1-Dichloroethene	7	.2	Vinyl chloride	2	.2
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	100	.2	Xylenes, total ortho, meta, and para	10,000	.2
1,2-Dichloropropane	5	.2			

Table 12. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected insecticides and gross polychlorinated compounds in drinking water

[Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory. Abbreviations: MCL, maximum contaminant level; MRL, minimum reporting level; MDL, method detection limit. MCLs were established pursuant to the recommendations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1999, p. 407) for community water systems and are included for comparison purposes only. MRLs are from Timme (1995). MDLs are from Zaugg and others (1995). Units are in micrograms per liter. Symbols: **, MCL has not been established or proposed; *, samples analyzed using two different laboratory schedules and different MRLs. **, chlorthalonil is a fungicide, DNOC is listed as an insecticide and herbicide]

Carbamate insecticides					
Insecticide	MCL	MRL	Insecticide	MCL	MRL
Aldicarb	3	0.55	Methiocarb	**	0.026
Aldicarb sulfone	2	.10	Methomyl	**	.017
Aldicarb sulfoxide	4	.021	Oxamyl	200	.018
*Carbaryl (Sevin)	**	.008	Propham	**	.035
*Carbofuran	40	.028	Propoxur	**	.035
Organophosphate insecticides					
Insecticide	MCL	MRL	Insecticide	MCL	MRL
*Chlorpyrifos; Dursban	**	0.01	*Malathion	**	0.01
DEF	**	.01	Methylparathion	**	.01
*Diazinon	**	.01	Parathion	**	.01
*Di-Syston (Disulfoton)	**	.01	*Phorate	**	.01
Ethion	**	.01	Trithion	**	.01
*Fonofos	**	.01			
Organochlorine insecticides					
Insecticide	MCL	MRL	Insecticide	MCL	MRL
Aldrin	**	0.01	Heptachlor	0.4	0.01
Chlordane, technical	2	.1	Heptachlor epoxide	.2	.01
DDD, p,p'-	**	.01	*Lindane	.2	.01
*DDE, p,p'-	**	.01	Methoxychlor, p,p'-	40	.01
DDT, p,p'-	**	.01	Mirex	**	.01
*Dieldrin	**	.01	Perthane	**	.1
Endosulfan I	**	.01	Toxaphene	3	1.0
Endrin	2	.01			
Gross polychlorinated compounds					
Compound			MCL	MRL	
Gross polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)			0.5	0.1	
Gross polychlorinated naphthalenes (PCNs)			**	.1	

Table 12. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of selected insecticides and gross polychlorinated compounds in drinking water—Continued

Additional insecticides							
Insecticide	MCL	MRL	MDL	Insecticide	MCL	MRL	MDL
Azinphos methyl-	••	0.038	0.001	*Fonofos	••	0.008	0.003
*Carbaryl (Sevin)	••	.046	.003	HCH, alpha-	••	.007	.002
*Carbofuran	40	.12	.003	*HCH, gamma- (Lindane)	.2	.011	.004
*Chlorpyrifos	••	.005	.004	Hydroxycarbofuran, 3-	••	.014	.014
**Chlorthalonil	••	.48	.035	*Malathion	••	.010	.005
*DDE, p,p'-	••	.010	.006	Parathion, ethyl-	••	.022	.004
*Diazinon	••	.008	.002	Parathion, methyl-	••	.035	.006
*Dieldrin	••	.008	.001	Permethrine, cis-	••	.019	.005
Dinoseb	••	.035	.035	*Phorate	••	.011	.002
*Disulfoton	••	.028	.017	Propargite I & II	••	.006	.013
**DNOC	••	.42	.035	Terbufos	••	.012	.013
Ethoprop	••	.012	.003				

Table 13. Maximum contaminant levels and minimum reporting levels of chlorophenoxy-acid herbicides and other herbicides in drinking water

[Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory. Abbreviations: MCL, maximum contaminant level; MRL, minimum reporting level; MDL, method detection limit. MCLs were established pursuant to the recommendations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1999, p. 407) for community water systems and are included for comparison purposes only. MRLs are from Timme (1995). MDLs are from Zaugg and others (1995). Units are in micrograms per liter. Symbols: **, MCL has not been established or proposed. *, samples analyzed using two different laboratory schedules with different MRLs]

Chlorophenoxy-acid herbicides						
Herbicide	MCL	MRL	Herbicide	MCL	MRL	
*2,4-D	70	0.01	*Silvex	50	0.01	
(dissolved)	70	.15	(dissolved)	**	.021	
2,4-DB	**	.24	*2,4,5-T	**	.01	
2,4-DP	**	.01	(dissolved)	**	.035	

Other herbicides							
Herbicide	MCL	MRL	MDL	Herbicide	MCL	MRL	MDL
Acetochlor	**	0.009	0.002	*Linuron	**	0.039	0.002
Acifluorfen	**	.035	.035	(dissolved)	**	.018	.018
Alachlor	2	.009	.002	MCPA	**	.17	.050
Atrazine	3	.017	.001	MCPB	**	.14	.035
Atrazine, desethyl-	**	.007	.002	Metolachlor	**	.009	.002
Benfluralin	**	.013	.002	Metribuzin	**	.012	.004
Bentazon	**	.014	.014	Molinate	**	.007	.004
Bromacil	**	.035	.035	Napropamide	**	.010	.003
Bromoxynil	**	.035	.035	Neburon	**	.015	.015
Butylate	**	.008	.002	Norflurazon	**	.024	.024
Chloramben	**	.42	.011	Oryzalin	**	.31	.019
Clopyralid	**	.23	.050	Pebulate	**	.009	.004
Cyanazine	**	.013	.004	Pendimethalin	**	.018	.004
*DCPA (Dacthal)	**	.004	.002	Picloram	500	.050	.050
(dissolved)	**	.017	.017	Prometon	**	.008	.018
Dicamba	**	.035	.035	Pronamide	**	.009	.003
Dichlobenil	**	1.2	.020	Propachlor	**	.015	.007
Dichlorprop	**	.032	.032	Propanil	**	.016	.004
Diethylaniline	**	.006	.003	Simazine	4	.008	.005
Diuron	**	.020	.020	Tebuthiuron	**	.015	.010
EPTC (Eptam)	**	.005	.002	Terbacil	**	.030	.007
Ethalfuralin	**	.013	.004	Thiobencarb	**	.008	.002
Fenuron	**	.013	.013	Triallate	**	.008	.001
Fluometuron	**	.035	.035	Triclopyr	**	.25	.050
				Trifluralin	**	.012	.002

Table 14. Concentrations of selected herbicides in water from selected wells and springs, eastern Snake River Plain [See figure 2 for location of sites. Analyses were performed by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory. Units are in micrograms per liter. Symbols: <, concentration is less than the respective minimum reporting level; *, quality-assurance sample (MV-08 is a replicate of MV-18; MV-22 is a blank of organic free water); E, concentration was estimated and needs to be evaluated carefully because of variable performance. Concentrations of herbicides not listed were less than the minimum reporting levels and method detection limits in all samples; SR, not analyzed, sample ruined]

Site identifier	Atrazine	Chloramben	Desethyl atrazine	Metribuzin	Prometon	Simazine
MV-01	0.004	<0.42	0.003E	<0.004	<0.018	<0.005
MV-04	.006	<.42	.003E	<.004	<.018	.003E
MV-08*	.004	<.14	.008E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-11	.012	<.42	.018E	<.004	<.018	.008
MV-12	.003E	<.42	.004E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-15	<.001	SR	.005E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-18	.003E	<.42	.007E	.003E	<.018	<.005
MV-21	<.001	<.42	.007E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-22*	<.006	<.42	<.002	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-23	.019	<.42	.023E	<.004	.004E	.025
MV-24A	.010	<.42	.016E	<.004	<.018	.007
MV-29	<.001	<.42	<.002	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-30	<.001	.06	.006E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-37	<.001	<.42	.004E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-40	<.006	<.42	<.002	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-41	.015	.08	.030E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-43	<.001	<.42	.006E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-51	<.001	<.42	.006E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-56	.003E	<.42	.003E	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-59	<.001	<.14	<.002	<.004	<.018	<.005
MV-61	<.001	<.14	<.002	<.004	<.018	<.005