MAGNETOTELLURIC DATA ACROSS BOULDER VALLEY AND THE HUMBOLDT RIVER, NEVADA

by

Jackie M. Williams
and
Brian D. Rodriguez ¹

Open-File Report 01-279

on-line edition

2001

This report is preliminary and has not been reviewed for conformity with U.S. Geological Survey editorial standards and stratigraphic nomenclature. Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**

¹ Denver, Colorado

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
MAGNETOTELLURIC METHOD	3
MAGNETOTELLURIC SURVEY	5
MAGNETOTELLURIC DATA	6
REFERENCES CITED	9
APPENDIX - MAGNETOTELLURIC DATA PLOTS	12

INTRODUCTION

Many sediment-hosted gold deposits occur along linear trends in northern Nevada. The distribution and genesis of these deposits along the Battle Mountain-Eureka and Carlin gold trends is not fully understood. In general, most models agree that regional structures played an important role in the spatial distribution of these deposits (e.g. Arehart and others, 1993; Ilchik and Barton, 1997; Radtke, 1985; Shawe, 1991; Sillitoe and Bonham, 1990; Tosdal, 1998). To investigate crustal structures that may be related to the genesis of gold deposits along these trends, west-east, north-south, and southwest-northeast profiles of magnetotelluric (MT) soundings were acquired in 1999 (lines MT6-MT6', MT7-MT7', and MT8-MT8', [Figure 1](#)) across Boulder Valley and the Humboldt River. Resistivity modeling of the MT data can be used to infer the deep resistivity structure of the crust to investigate possible tectonic controls on the emplacement of mineral deposits along these linear trends that may be used to help improve critical gold endowment estimates in the Humboldt River Basin. The purpose of this report is to release the MT sounding data; no interpretation of the data is included.

MAGNETOTELLURIC METHOD

The magnetotelluric (MT) method is a passive surface geophysical technique, which uses the earth's natural electromagnetic fields to investigate the electrical resistivity structure of the subsurface. The resistivity of geologic units is largely dependent upon their fluid content, porosity, degree of fracturing, temperature, and conductive mineral content (Keller, 1989). Saline fluids within the pore spaces and fracture openings can reduce resistivities in a resistive rock matrix. Also, resistivity can be lowered by the presence of conductive clay minerals, carbon, and metallic mineralization. It is common for altered volcanic rocks to contain authigenic minerals that have resistivities ten times lower than those of the surrounding rocks (Nelson and Anderson, 1992). Increased temperatures cause higher ionic mobility and mineral activation energy, reducing rock resistivities significantly. Unaltered, unfractured igneous rocks are normally very resistive (typically 1,000 ohm-m or greater), whereas fault zones will show low resistivity (less than 100 ohm-m) when they are comprised of rocks fractured enough to have hosted fluid transport and consequent mineralogical alteration (Eberhart-Phillips and others, 1995). Carbonate rocks are moderately to highly resistive (hundreds to thousands of ohm-m) dependent upon their fluid content, porosity, fracturing, and impurities. Marine shales,

mudstones, and clay-rich alluvium are normally very conductive (a few ohm-m to tens of ohm-m). Unaltered, metamorphic rocks (non-graphitic) are moderately to highly resistive (hundreds to thousands of ohm-m). Tables of electrical resistivity for a variety of rocks, minerals and geological environments may be found in Keller (1987) and Palacky (1987).

The MT method can be used to probe the crust from depths of tens of meters to depths of tens of kilometers (Vozoff, 1991). Natural variations of the Earth's magnetic and electric field are measured and recorded at each MT station. The primary frequency bands used by the MT method are 10,000 Hz to 1 Hz from worldwide lightning activity and 1 Hz to 0.0001 Hz from geomagnetic micro-pulsations. Natural electric and magnetic fields propagate vertically in the earth because the very large resistivity contrast between the air and the earth causes a vertical refraction of both fields transmitted into the earth (Vozoff, 1972).

The natural electric and magnetic fields are recorded in two orthogonal, horizontal directions. The vertical magnetic field ("tipper") is also recorded. The resulting time-series signals are used to derive the tensor apparent resistivity and phase. First the signals are converted to complex cross-spectra using FFT (fast-Fourier-transform) techniques. Then, least-squares, cross-spectral analysis (Bendat and Piersol, 1971) is used to solve for a transfer function that relates the observed electric fields to the magnetic fields under the assumption that the Earth consists of a two-input, two-output, linear system with the magnetic fields as input and the electric fields as output (Rodriguez and others, 1996). Prior to conversion to apparent resistivity and phase, the tensor is normally rotated into principal directions that correspond to the direction of maximum and minimum apparent resistivity. For a two-dimensional (2-D) Earth, the MT fields can be decoupled into transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) modes; 2-D modeling is generally done to fit both modes. When the geology satisfies the 2-D assumption, the MT data for the TE mode is for the electric field parallel to geologic strike, and the data for the TM mode is for the electric field across strike. The MT method is well suited for studying complicated geological environments because the electric and magnetic relations are sensitive to vertical and horizontal variations in resistivity. The method is capable of establishing whether the electromagnetic fields are responding to subsurface terranes of effectively 1-, 2-, or 3-dimensions. An introduction to the MT method and references for a more

advanced understanding are contained in Dobrin and Savit (1988) and Vozoff (1991).

MAGNETOTELLURIC SURVEY

Thirteen MT soundings were located along profiles MT6-MT6', MT7-MT7', and MT8-MT8' (Figure 1) with spacing that varied from 2.9 to 8.5 kilometers. The profile orientations of MT6-MT6' and MT7-MT7' are perpendicular to each other and both oblique to the Battle Mountain-Eureka and Carlin trends, while the profile orientation of MT8-MT8' is roughly perpendicular to the Battle Mountain-Eureka trend. All stations were collected with a portable EMI MT-1 system (EMI, 1996). Horizontal electric fields were sensed using an L-shaped, three-electrode array with dipole lengths of 30 meters. The orthogonal, horizontal magnetic fields in the direction of the electric-field measurement array were sensed using permalloy-cored induction coils (Stanley and Tinkler, 1983). Frequencies sampled ranged from 100 to 0.009 Hz using single station recordings of both orthogonal horizontal components of the electric and magnetic fields. The vertical magnetic field was recorded at all stations except 72 and 70. Sampling this frequency range in previous areas of widely varying geology (Eberhart-Phillips and others, 1990; Stanley and others, 1991; Stanley and others, 1997; Rodriguez, 1998) has allowed us to probe the crust from depths of hundreds of meters to depths of tens of kilometers.

The recorded time-series data were transformed to the frequency domain and processed to determine a two-dimensional apparent resistivity and phase tensor at each site. Rotation of the impedance tensor to maximum and minimum directions allows for decoupling into the TE and TM modes. Local reference sensors to help reduce bias in the impedance determinations due to instrument or environmental noise (Gamble and others, 1979a; Clarke and others, 1983) were used at all stations except stations 73-76. Although true remote reference techniques were not used in our survey, we did sort cross-power files to select optimal signal-to-noise data sets (see Appendix).

The effects of near-surface resistivity anomalies cause "static shifts" (Sternberg and others, 1988) in the data. Static shifts of this data set ranged from 0.0 to 0.4 of a log decade. Only stations 67, 69, and 73 had static shifts of 0.4 of a log decade. The remainder of the stations had an average of 0.1 of a log decade static shift.

MAGNETOTELLURIC DATA

The following table shows thirteen magnetotelluric (MT) station locations (by profile). Coordinates are referenced to the 1866 Clarke spheroid and North American 1927 Western United States datum. Longitude and latitude format below is decimal degrees. Elevation is in meters.

<u>Station</u>	<u>Longitude</u>	<u>Latitude</u>	<u>Elev(m)</u>
MT6			
72	-116.65770	40.76352	1400
67	-116.59319	40.76856	1400
65	-116.52693	40.76426	1410
64	-116.49056	40.75211	1420
MT7			
63	-116.46887	40.69744	1450
66	-116.49212	40.79785	1415
68	-116.50533	40.84150	1430
69	-116.49231	40.89135	1470
70	-116.47627	40.94122	1530
MT8			
76	-116.98241	40.58591	1400
75	-116.96516	40.60841	1400
74	-116.90120	40.66956	1374
73	-116.86531	40.70358	1463

The figures in the Appendix represent the field-processed MT data for each station after the time series data were converted to the frequency domain and the tensor-transfer function was rotated into principal directions as described above in the "Magnetotelluric Method" section.

For each station, except 72 and 70, nine separate plots are given:

1. Apparent Resistivity for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes
2. Impedance Phase for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes
3. Rotation Angle for the impedance tensor (corresponds to the direction of maximum apparent resistivity)
4. Impedance Skew for the impedance tensor
5. Multiple Coherency for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes of the electric field
6. Impedance Polar Plots (at 12 selected frequencies)
7. Tipper Magnitude for the vertical magnetic field
8. Tipper Strike for the vertical magnetic field, and
9. HzHx (x symbol) and HzHy (o symbol) Coherency

Error bars on the Apparent Resistivity, Impedance Phase, Skew, Tipper Magnitude, and Tipper Strike plots represent probable errors within one standard deviation of the sample variance (Gamble and others, 1979b).

Apparent resistivity is a measure of the magnitude of the electric field strength over the magnetic field strength for a given frequency. The impedance phase is proportional to the slope of the apparent resistivity curve on a log-log plot, but from a baseline at -45 degrees (Vozoff, 1991). A measure of the dimensionality for MT data is provided by the impedance skew of the impedance tensor (Vozoff, 1972). If the effective measured resistivity response to the geology beneath a MT station is truly 1-D or 2-D, then the skew will be zero. Both instrument and environmental sources of noise contribute to non-zero skew values, but are typically small (about 0.1) for relatively low noise level recordings. Higher skews (above 0.2) are an indication of either the resistivity response to 3-D geology or higher levels of noise. Man-made electrical noise, such as power lines, power generators, moving vehicles and trains can have a negative effect on MT data quality. All these local disturbances produce an incoherent noise mainly affecting frequencies above 1 Hz. Other man-made electrical noise, such as direct current electric trains and active cathodic protection of pipelines produce coherent electromagnetic signals mainly affecting frequencies below 1 Hz.

In the survey area, noise from a number of small power lines and small moving vehicles was negligible at distances of 0.4 km and greater from the noise source. Power line levels were measured at each site and were typically less than 20% of the maximum recordable signals. Noise from larger power lines, power generators, pipelines, railroads, and steam-driven trains, mostly near mining operations, was negligible at least 5 km from them. Recordings were not made when noise from moving vehicles affected the magnetic signals. Local lightning, wind, and rainstorms can also degrade data quality, but these were avoided by not recording during active thunderstorm periods. Wind noise was minimized by burying the magnetic induction coils.

Predicted values of the electric field can be computed from the measured values of the magnetic field (Vozoff, 1991). The coherence of the predicted electric field with the measured electric field is a measure of the signal-to-noise ratio provided in the multiple coherency plot. Values are

normalized between 0 and 1, where values at 0.5 signify signal levels equal to noise levels. For this data set, coherencies were generally at an acceptable level, except at times in the "dead band" (0.1 to 1 Hz) and at times in the lower frequencies (0.009 to 0.1). The lower frequency ionospheric signals are related to sunspot activity whose levels typically follow an 11-year cycle. The sunspot activity was near the highest level of the cycle during the 1999 survey.

The figures in the Appendix represent the field-processed MT data at each station, which includes some data scatter and poor signal-to-noise ratios. Our only effort at removing noisy data points was to visually inspect and select the best signal-to-noise field data to combine into the final data plots.

The impedance polar plots provide a measure of the MT data dimensionality (Reddy and others, 1977). For 1-D resistivity structures, the principal impedance polar diagram (dashed line) is a circle. For 2-D or 3-D resistivity structures, the principal impedance polar diagram (dashed line) elongates either parallel or perpendicular to strike direction. Over resistors, the principal impedance polar diagram elongates perpendicular to strike direction and over conductors, the principal impedance polar diagram elongates parallel to strike direction. Also, for 2-D resistivity structures, the additional impedance polar diagram (solid line) attains the shape of a symmetric clover leaf. For 3-D resistivity structures, the additional impedance polar diagram (solid line) elongates in one direction and its amplitude is comparable to that of the principal impedance polar diagram (dashed line). Sites whose polar plots indicated 3-D character in the lower frequencies were MT stations 72, 67, 64, 63, 70, and 74 ([Figure 1](#)).

The tipper can be solved for when the vertical component of the magnetic field is measured. The tipper magnitude is a measure of the tipping of the magnetic field out of the horizontal plane (Vozoff, 1991). The magnitude is zero for the 1-D case and typically increases between 0.1 to 0.5, and rarely as great as 1, as it responds to vertical and sub-vertical structures. The tipper strike is typically used to help resolve the 90-degree ambiguity in the impedance rotation angle. The vertical component of the magnetic field was measured at all stations except 72 and 70. The tipper magnitude of these stations was typically 0.1 to 0.4 over the lower frequencies indicating vertical structure at depth. Stations 73 and 76 had even larger tipper magnitudes (over

0.5) in the higher frequencies indicating nearby vertical structure at depth. The HzHx and HzHy coherency is a measure of the signal-to-noise ratio of the vertical magnetic field with respect to each of the orthogonal horizontal magnetic field directions. Values are normalized between 0 and 1, where values at 0.5 signify signal levels equal to noise levels. These three-component magnetic field coherencies provide a check on the signal-to-noise ratio of the measured values in the tipper magnitude and tipper strike plots.

REFERENCES CITED

- Arehart, G.B., Foland, K.A., Naeser, C.W., and Kesler, S.E., 1993, 40Ar/39Ar, K/Ar, and fission track geochronology of sediment-hosted disseminated gold deposits at Post-Betze, Carlin Trend, northeastern Nevada: *Economic Geology*, vol. 88, no. 3, p. 622-646.
- Bendat, J.S., and Piersol, A.G., 1971, *Random Data: Analysis and Measurement Procedures*: New York, Wiley Interscience, 407 p.
- Clarke, J., Gamble, T.D., Goubau, W.M., Koch, R.H., and Miracky, R.F., 1983, Remote-reference magnetotellurics: Equipment and procedures: *Geophysical Prospecting*, vol. 31, p. 149-170.
- Dobrin, M.D., and Savit, C.H., 1988, *Introduction to Geophysical Prospecting* (4th ed.): New York, McGraw-Hill, 867 p.
- Eberhart-Phillips, D., Labson, V.F., Stanley, W.D., Michael, A.J. and Rodriguez, B.D., 1990, Preliminary velocity and resistivity models of the Loma Prieta Earthquake region: *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 1235-1238.
- Eberhart-Phillips, D., Stanley, W. D., Rodriguez, B. D. and Lutter, W. J., 1995, Surface seismic and electrical methods to detect fluids related to faulting: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, vol. 100, no. B7, pp. 12,919 - 12,936.
- EMI, 1996, MT-1 magnetotelluric system operation manual, version 3.2: *ElectroMagnetic Instruments, Inc.*, Richmond, California, 220 p.

- Gamble, T.D., Goubau, W.M. and Clarke, J., 1979a, Magnetotellurics with a remote magnetic reference: Geophysics, v. 44, no. 1, p. 53-68.
- Gamble, T.D., Goubau, W.M. and Clarke, J., 1979b, Error analysis for remote reference magnetotellurics: Geophysics, v. 44, no. 5, p. 959-968.
- Ilchik, R.P. and Barton, M.D., 1997, An amagmatic origin of Carlin-type gold deposits: Economic Geology, vol. 92, no. 3, p. 269-288.
- Keller, G.V., 1987, Rock and mineral properties, in Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics Theory: M.N. Nabighian, Ed., Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, Oklahoma, v. 1, p. 13-51.
- Keller, G.V., 1989, Electrical properties, in Carmichael, R.S., Ed., Practical handbook of physical properties of rocks and minerals: CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, p. 359-427.
- Nelson, P.H. and Anderson, L.A., 1992, Physical properties of ash flow tuff from Yucca Mountain, Nevada: Journal of Geophysical Research, vol. 97, no. B5, p. 6823-6841.
- Palacky, G.J., 1987, Resistivity characteristics of geologic targets, in Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics Theory: M.N. Nabighian, Ed., Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, Oklahoma, vol. 1, p. 53-129.
- Radtke, A.S., 1985, Geology of the Carlin gold deposit, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1267, 124 p.
- Reddy, I.K., Rankin, D., and Phillips, R.J., 1977, Three-dimensional modelling in magnetotelluric and magnetic variational sounding: Geophysics Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, vol. 51, p. 313-325.
- Rodriguez, B.D., Stanley, W.D., and Williams, J.M., 1996, Axial structures within the Reelfoot rift delineated with magnetotelluric surveys: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1538-K, 30 p.

- Rodriguez, B.D., 1998, Regional crustal structure beneath the Carlin Trend, Nevada, based on deep electrical geophysical measurements, in Tosdal, R.M., ed., Contributions to the Gold Metallogeny of Northern Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-338, pp.15-19, 290 p.
- Shawe, D.R., 1991, Structurally controlled gold trends imply large gold resources in Nevada, in Geology and ore deposits of the Great Basin, Symposium Proceedings: Raines, G.L., Lisle, R.E., Schafe, R.W., Wilkinson, W.H., Eds., Geological Society of Nevada, Reno, vol. 1, p. 199-212.
- Sillitoe, R.H. and Bonham, H.F., 1990, Sediment-hosted gold deposits: distal products of magmatic-hydrothermal systems: Geology, vol. 18, no. 2, p. 157-161.
- Stanley, W.D., and Tinkler, R.D., 1983, A practical, low-noise coil system for magnetotellurics: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 83-85, 18 p.
- Stanley, W.D., Hoover, D.B., Sorey, M.L., Rodriguez, B.D. and Heran, W.D., 1991, Electrical geophysical investigations in the Norris-Mammoth corridor, Yellowstone National Park, and the adjacent Corwin Springs Known Geothermal Resources Area, in Sorey, M., ed., Effects of Potential Geothermal Development in the Corwin Springs KGRA, Montana, on the Thermal Features of Yellowstone National Park: Water Resources Division Report on Investigations No. 91-4052, pp. D1-D18, 205 p.
- Stanley, W.D., Benz, H.M., Walters, M.A., and Rodriguez, B.D., 1997, Tectonic controls on magmatism and geothermal resources in The Geysers-Clear Lake region, California: integration of new geologic, earthquake tomography, seismicity, gravity and magnetotelluric data: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97-95, 40 p.
- Sternberg, B.K., Washburne, J.C., and Pellerin, L., 1988, Correction for the static shift in magnetotellurics using transient electromagnetic soundings: Geophysics, vol. 53, p. 1459-1468.
- Struhsacker, E.M., Jones, E., and Green, S.M., 1996, Roadside geology and precious-metal mineralization along the I-80 corridor, Reno to Elko, Nevada, in Struhsacker, E.M. and

Green, S.M., eds., Geology and ore deposits of the American Cordillera - Field Trip Guidebook Compendium: Geological Society of Nevada, Reno, Nevada, p. 3.

Tosdal, R.M., 1998, Contributions to the gold metallogeny of northern Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-338, 290 p.

Vozoff, K., 1972, The magnetotelluric method in the exploration of sedimentary basins: Geophysics, vol. 37, p. 98-141.

Vozoff, K., 1991, The magnetotelluric method, in Electromagnetic methods in applied geophysics: M.N. Nabighian, Ed., Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, Oklahoma, vol. 2, part B, p. 641-711.

APPENDIX

MAGNETOTELLURIC DATA PLOTS

There are nine separate plots for all stations except 72 and 70, which do not have the last three Tipper plots:

1. Apparent Resistivity for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes
2. Impedance Phase for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes
3. Rotation Angle for the impedance tensor (corresponds to the direction of maximum apparent resistivity)
4. Impedance Skew for the impedance tensor
5. Multiple Coherency for the rotated maximum (x symbol) and minimum (o symbol) modes of the electric field
6. Impedance Polar Plots (at 12 selected frequencies)
7. Tipper Magnitude for the vertical magnetic field
8. Tipper Strike for the vertical magnetic field, and
9. HzHx (x symbol) and HzHy (o symbol) Coherency

Stations 72 and 70 have only the first six plots above, since the vertical magnetic field data (Tipper, Hz) was not acquired. Refer to the "Magnetotelluric Data" section in this report for an explanation of these plots.

Figure 1. Index map. Magnetotelluric transects (MT6-MT6', MT7-MT7', and MT8-MT8') acquired in 1999 in northeastern Nevada. Shaded zones are two northwest-trending mineralized belts in northeastern Nevada, the well-known Carlin trend and the Battle Mountain-Eureka trend. Base map adapted from Struhsacker and others (1996).

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:5 Jul 31, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr72.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:56 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:5 Jul 31, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr72.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:56 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:5 Jul 31, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr72.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:56 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:5 Jul 31, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr72.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:56 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:5 Jul 31, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr72.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:56 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
 Remote: local
 Acquired: 14:5 Jul 31, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr72.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 14:56 Feb 06, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 67

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:

Remote: local

Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr67.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER STRIKE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:0 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr67.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

.0088 Hz

.0244 Hz

.0566 Hz

.120 Hz

.172 Hz

.345 Hz

.566 Hz

1.758 Hz

2.930 Hz

7.617 Hz

16.602 Hz

34.375 Hz

Client:

Remote: local

Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr65.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER STRIKE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:1 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr65.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:57 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

.0088 Hz

.0244 Hz

.0566 Hz

.120 Hz

.172 Hz

.345 Hz

.566 Hz

1.758 Hz

2.930 Hz

7.617 Hz

16.602 Hz

34.375 Hz

Client:

Remote: local

Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr64.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER STRIKE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 15:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr64.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 14:58 Feb 06, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr63.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr63.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr63.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr63.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 63

Client:
 Remote: local
 Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr63.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
 Remote: local
 Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr63.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr63.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr63.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 63

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 11:2 Jul 27, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr63.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:06 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 66

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 66

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

.0088 Hz

.0244 Hz

.0566 Hz

.120 Hz

.172 Hz

.381 Hz

.830 Hz

1.719 Hz

2.930 Hz

4.883 Hz

16.602 Hz

34.375 Hz

Client:

Remote: local

Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr66.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 66

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 13:5 Jul 28, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr66.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:12 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

.0088 Hz

.0244 Hz

.0566 Hz

.120 Hz

.172 Hz

.345 Hz

.566 Hz

1.758 Hz

2.930 Hz

7.617 Hz

16.602 Hz

34.375 Hz

Client:

Remote: local

Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr68c.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER STRIKE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 17:4 Jul 29, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr68c.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 69

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
 Remote: local
 Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr69.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER STRIKE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 69

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 10:3 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr69.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:07 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Station 70

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:2 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr70.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:08 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:2 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr70.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:08 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:2 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr70.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:08 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:2 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr70.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:08 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 14:2 Jul 30, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr70.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 10:08 Mar 08, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
 Remote: local
 Acquired: 14:2 Jul 30, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr70.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 10:08 Mar 08, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:

Remote: none

Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr76.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr76.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr76.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
 Remote: none
 Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr76.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 76

Client:

Remote: none

Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr76.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

.0088 Hz

.0244 Hz

.0566 Hz

.120 Hz

.172 Hz

.345 Hz

.566 Hz

1.758 Hz

2.930 Hz

7.617 Hz

16.602 Hz

34.375 Hz

Client:

Remote: none

Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr76.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr76.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr76.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 12:0 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr76.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:25 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

.0088 Hz
.172 Hz
2.930 Hz

.0244 Hz
.345 Hz
7.617 Hz

.0566 Hz
.566 Hz
16.602 Hz

.120 Hz
1.758 Hz
34.375 Hz

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER STRIKE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 08:4 Aug 02, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr75b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:31 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

APPARENT RESISTIVITY

Boulder Valley, NV

Station 74

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE PHASE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

.0088 Hz

.0244 Hz

.0566 Hz

.120 Hz

.172 Hz

.345 Hz

.566 Hz

1.758 Hz

2.930 Hz

7.617 Hz

16.602 Hz

34.375 Hz

Client:

Remote: none

Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999

Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:

Filename: hr74b.avg

Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4

Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001

< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

TIPPER MAGNITUDE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: none
Acquired: 14:0 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr74b.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:36 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr73all.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
 Remote: local
 Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr73all.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

ROTATION ANGLE

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr73all.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

IMPEDANCE SKEW

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr73all.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

E MULT Coh.

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr73all.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

POLAR PLOTS

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
 Remote: local
 Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
 Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
 Filename: hr73all.avg
 Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
 Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
 < EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr73all.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr73all.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >

HzHx.x Coh HzHy.o

Boulder Valley, NV

Client:
Remote: local
Acquired: 09:3 Aug 01, 1999
Survey Co:USGS

Rotation:
Filename: hr73all.avg
Channels: Ch1 Ch2 Ch3 Ch4 Ch5 Ch3 Ch4
Plotted: 12:40 Jan 25, 2001
< EMI - ElectroMagnetic Instruments >