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Flow Chart for Ranking and Prioritization

- Waste Pile & Water Sampling
- Characterization
  - Regulatory
    - Requirements vary
    - Protocols exist for some regulatory tests
  - Detailed
    - If water is present, collect it
    - Probabilistic vs. nonprobabilistic
    - Record keeping and field notes
- Reconnaissance
  - Degree of confidence vs. cost
  - Probabilistic vs. nonprobabilistic
  - Average properties vs. hot spots
  - Surficial vs. 3-D
  - Water filtration and filter size
  - Sampling plan
  - Sampling design
  - Protocols (USEPA, USGS, etc.)
  - Record keeping and field notes

Scale

Site

Watershed
What? Why?

- What to sample
  - Define target population
- Reasons for sampling
- Question(s) to be answered
- Desired degree of confidence in the answer(s)
Examples of Questions

- Are there hot spots?
- What is the average behavior of a mine-waste pile?
- Are these two waste piles different?
- Are concentrations above baseline conditions?
- Is a remediation approach working?
Target Population

- Defined by objectives of study
- Must be identified prior to sampling
- Scale of observation matters
Desired Degree of Confidence

- Must be identified prior to sampling
- Low degree of confidence can lead to erroneous data and flawed decisions
- High degree of confidence can be expensive
Sampling Concerns

- Sampling error
- Precision requirements
  - Field sampling methods and equipment
  - Sample preparation
  - Laboratory subsampling
  - Analyses
- Sample containers
- Sample preservation and storage
- Sample holding times
Sampling Error

- Improper collection
  - Target population
  - Sampling location
  - Spatial or temporal changes
  - Sampling media
  - Sampling tools
  - Sample containers

- Contamination

- Sample preservation and storage

- Inadequate sample mass
Sampling Error Example—Diel Cycling
(from Nimick, 2001)

Arsenic: 22-33 ug/L (50%)
Cadmium: 1.4-3.0 ug/L (110%)
Manganese: 35-142 ug/L (306%)
Zinc: 214-634 ug/L (196%)
Copper: 3.0-4.3 ug/L (43%)
Fundamental Error

- The source of most sampling errors
- Cannot be eliminated, but can be estimated
- Due to the fact that not all particles have the same composition
- Results in variability and a lack of precision
- Particle size, sample mass, and degree of heterogeneity are important factors
Desired degree of confidence

Particle size

Heterogeneity
Fundamental Error

- Mineralogical factor
- Liberation factor
- Shape factor
- Granulometric factor
- Maximum particle size
- Sample mass
Grouping and Segregation Error

- Due to the fact that not all particles are randomly distributed
  - Size, shape, concentration
  - Temporal differences
  - Waste-pile segregation
- Can be reduced
  - Random sampling
  - Collection of multiple increments
How many samples?

Assumes a normal distribution
Sampling Media

- Solid
- Liquid
- Biological
- Air

Choice of media depends on:
- Regulatory requirements
- Anticipated sources
- Transport mechanisms
- Receptors
- Climate
Solid Sampling Objectives

- Assess potential for acid generation
- Assess potential for contaminant release
- Identify contaminant source(s)
- Provide input for modeling
- Determine lithologic variability
- Establish baseline conditions
- Meet regulatory requirements
Liquid Sampling Objectives

- Assess water quality
- Provide data for contaminant loading calculations
- Identify contaminant source(s)
- Provide input for modeling
- Use in toxicological testing
- Establish baseline conditions
- Meet regulatory requirements
Biological Sampling Objectives

- Detect changes in community composition
- Trace contaminant pathways
- Provide input for modeling
- Use in toxicological testing or bioassays
- Establish baseline conditions
- Meet regulatory requirements
Air Sampling Objectives

- Monitor for hazardous gases, vapors, or particulates
- Monitor for combustible gases or vapors
- Monitor for oxygen deficiency
- Determine total suspended particulates
- Establish exposure levels
- Meet regulatory requirements
Things to Keep in Mind

- Focusing sampling activities solely on regulated constituents often results in incomplete or incorrect characterization, which could lead to costly problems later.
- Most modeling requires complete information (e.g., Biotic Ligand Model, geochemical speciation models).
Sampling Methods

- **Probabilistic**
  - (Each member of the target population has a known probability of being selected)
    - Random sampling
    - Systematic random sampling
    - Stratified random sampling

- **Nonprobabilistic**
  - Convenience sampling
  - Purposive sampling
Adaptive sampling

• Sampling regions are selected based on values of the variables of interest observed during a sampling survey.

• Because sampling is based on prior data, different estimators must be used in the adaptive sampling technique to guarantee lack of bias.
**Sampling Methods**

- **Composite sampling**
  - Use when average values are of interest
  - Can significantly reduce analytical costs

- **Hot spot sampling**
  - Use when need to distinguish areas of different concentrations
  - Can result in expensive sampling and analytical costs
Mining Wastes Heterogeneity
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Mining Wastes Heterogeneity

3-D variation

Gold King Mine, Cripple Creek, Colorado

(photo by William Henry Jackson; Western History/Genealogy Dept., Denver Public Library)
Sampling Strategy for Screening Mining Wastes

- Screening and prioritizing for AML studies
- Regional or watershed-based assessments
- Average properties of mine-waste pile
- Statistically based
- Field friendly
- Cost effective

- Heterogeneity
  - Compositional, spatial, particle size
- Sampling errors
Sampling Strategy for Screening Mining Wastes

- Surficial material (upper 15 cm)
- < 2 mm size fraction

Pitard (1993)
- Interplay between particle size and sample mass
- Collect many small increments
- Awareness of segregation mechanisms
Sampling Strategy for Screening Mining Wastes

Divide mine-waste dump into at least **30 cells** of roughly equal surface area

Collect a surficial sample from each cell (multiple samples from each cell if possible and a total weight of at least 100 g)

Combine cell samples into a mine-dump composite sample

Dry sieve the mine-dump composite sample to < 2 mm (final composite sample should weigh at least 1,000 g (1 kg) after sieving)
Sampling Strategy for Screening Mining Wastes

Stainless steel trowel

Plastic bucket
Sampling Strategy for Screening Mining Wastes

One 30-increment dump-composite sample collected using this sampling strategy contains as much information, relative to average value, as 30 individual grab samples at $\frac{1}{30}$ of the analytical cost.
Sampling Strategy for Screening Mining Wastes

This sampling strategy could be adapted to the sampling of other target populations, such as

- Individual waste-dump lobe
- Pit bench
- Dump lift
- Geologic unit
- Other "operational" units
Particle Size Distribution

from Smith, Ramsey, and Hageman (2000)
pH versus Particle Size

from Smith, Ramsey, and Hageman (2000)
Zinc versus Particle Size

from Smith, Ramsey, and Hageman (2000)