



Bibliography of Selected References in Visual Resource Management

By Belinda Arbogast

Open-file Report 2005–1155

U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

For more information about the USGS and its products:
Telephone: 1–888–ASK–USGS
World Wide Web: <http://www.usgs.gov>

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright owners to reproduce any copyrighted materials contained in this report.

Preface

This report is the result of an extensive literature search to determine the knowledge regarding visual resource management, visual assessment, and aesthetics in landscape development (especially, industrial minerals mining and reclamation). There is public and governmental concern for the environmental and visual impact that mining has upon the landscape, such as the loss of flora and fauna, increase in atmospheric particulates and noise, change in land values, or altered scenic value. The human perception of mining (psychological aspect) is as important as the scientific attributes assigned to scenery management. Over seven hundred articles, books, or web sites were examined that dated from 1912 through 2004.

Contents

Introduction.....	3
Summary of Articles Reviewed.....	4
Bibliography	10

Bibliography of Selected References on Visual Resource Management

By Belinda Arbogast

Introduction

The U.S. Geological Survey's Central Region Sustainable Development of Industrial Minerals Project investigates the scientific relations among the geologic, economic, and environment-related characteristics of priority industrial mineral commodities and deposit types. Specific activities include a task regarding geosocietal and reclamation characterization and methods. This task merges the principles of landscape architecture with ecosystems information in a multidisciplinary approach to assess the human perception (especially, visual impact) of reclaiming mineral resource extraction sites. Aesthetics is the one environmental concern that is most closely tied by the public to the appreciation and acceptance of a mining project.

Given the varied disciplines available to researchers in the field of landscape reclamation, it was decided to release gathered information in a bibliographic form. Over 700 reports, articles, or books concerning topics ranging from the cultural landscape and shared human values to the visual elements of form, function, and spatial pattern are listed.

The purpose of this bibliography is twofold: (1) to provide a basic, first-stop resource on visual assessment and aesthetics that the nonspecialist (for example, public, student) can consult and (2) provide reference subjects for the specialist (including landscape architects, land planners, and the mining industry). The bibliography was compiled at the U.S. Geological Survey, Denver,

Colorado, under the auspices of the Minerals Research Program. The bibliography provides a broad catalog (but not exhaustive) of literature citations for researchers concerned with methods of assessing the human perception of environment. Methods of evaluating landscape visual quality to support decisions for other development (recreation, highway, power lines, dams, ski, or forestry) were included as the subject matter may be relevant to mineral reclamation. Art criticism and philosophy also are important in understanding the value and meaning of aesthetics.

Biographies of this type are subjective due, in part, to the compiler's own knowledge, professional orientation, and difficulty in locating and reviewing all published work. Brief annotations are included for some references, may at times be taken verbatim from the abstract or book cover, and are not intended to evaluate the reference. Readers are advised to read the original articles for themselves. The coverage of references includes state and Federal publications, articles and abstracts from scientific and trade journals, and some Internet web pages.

Summary of Articles Reviewed

Table 1 presents the number of articles, web sites, or books listed by discipline in the bibliography. Publishers of reports from state (or provincial) agencies, academia, and journals are listed as such even if an article is available on the web. Articles in this review of the literature were most frequently published in *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *Landscape Research*, *Journal of Environmental Management*, *Environment and Behavior*, *Landscape Journal*, *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, and by The U.S. Department of Agriculture (especially, the U.S. Forest Service).

Some journals fit neatly into their respective categories (for example, *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, *Landscape Design*, and *Architect* within the "Architecture, Design,

and Planning” category) whereas others required subjective decisions to place. The *Journal of Environmental Psychology, Environment and Behavior*, and *Leisure Sciences* were placed under the category “Social Sciences and Psychology” rather than “Natural Science” due to a general emphasis on perception. “Geography and Geomorphology” includes geographic information systems publications. “Natural Sciences” includes such publications as *Bioscience* and *Journal of Forestry* with additional reports from Federal government resources (for example, National Research Council).

Individual journals actually may cover a variety of disciplines. For the purpose of this report, journals were placed under the discipline traditionally associated with it rather than what the article title suggests (books are an exception where the title did determine what discipline to place it under). For example, *Landscape and Urban Planning* has articles dealing with the influence of trees on residential property values, the prediction of scenic beauty using mapped data and geographic-information systems, and cultural preferences. These articles fall under the “Economics,” “Geography,” and “Social Science” disciplines but actually were published in a “Design” discipline journal. The “Multidisciplinary” category includes journals such as *Urban Ecology* (merging design, ecological, social, and economic perspectives) where the publisher claims an interdisciplinary approach.

Table 1. The numbers of visual resource management, landscape perception, and aesthetic articles, books, and web sites listed by discipline.

Discipline	Journal name or origin of publication	Number of articles	Publication period
Architecture, Design, and Planning	Academia	17	1972–2003
	<i>Architecture: The AIA Journal</i>	2	1983–1984
	<i>Architectural Design</i>	2	1976
	Books	18	1912–2002
	<i>Building and Environment</i>	1	1987
	<i>Design Methods and Theories</i>	1	1978
	<i>Journal of the American Institute of Planners</i>	3	1968–1971
	<i>Journal of Architectural and Planning Research</i>	3	1985–1988
	<i>Journal of Regional Science</i>	1	1967
	<i>Journal of Town Planning and Development</i>	1	1969
	<i>Journal of Urban Planning and Development</i>	1	1979
	<i>Land Use Policy</i>	1	1999
	<i>Landscape</i>	4	1966–1985
	<i>Landscape Architect & Specifier News</i>	2	2002
	<i>Landscape Architect</i>	3	1973–1993
	<i>Landscape Australia</i>	2	1980–1995
	<i>Landscape Design</i>	19	1987–2003
	<i>Landscape Journal</i>	25	1980–1995
	<i>Landscape Planning</i>	24	1975–1986
	<i>Landscape Research</i>	58	1979–2003
	<i>Landscape and Urban Planning</i>	84	1986–2002
	<i>The Planner</i>	1	1974
	<i>Progressive Architecture</i>	1	1965
	<i>Regional Studies</i>	1	1982
	State Agencies	7	1968–2001
	<i>Town Planning Review</i>	2	1971–1975
	<i>Urban and Landscape Planning</i>	1	1990
World Wide Web	2	2002	
TOTAL		287	
Social Sciences and Psychology	Academia	7	1967–1989
	<i>American Journal of Psychology</i>	1	1987
	<i>Architecture and Behavior</i>	1	1986
	<i>Australian Journal of Psychology</i>	1	1985
	Books	26	1973–1998
	<i>Canadian Journal of Psychology</i>	1	1992
	<i>ECUMENE</i>	1	1999
	<i>Environment and Behavior</i>	29	1969–2000
	<i>Environmental Psychology and Nonverbal Behavior</i>	1	1978
	<i>International Review of Applied Psychology</i>	1	1982
	<i>Journal of Applied Psychology</i>	2	1974–1976
	<i>Journal of Applied Recreation Studies</i>	1	1995
	<i>Journal of Consciousness Studies</i>	1	2000
	<i>Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology</i>	1	1984

Table 1. The numbers of visual resource management, landscape perception, and aesthetic articles, books, and web sites listed by discipline—Continued.

Discipline	Journal name or origin of publication	Number of articles	Publication period
Social Sciences and Psychology—Cont.			
	<i>Journal of Environmental Psychology</i>	26	1983–2001
	<i>Journal of Experimental Psychology</i>	4	1981–2003
	<i>Journal of Leisure Research</i>	11	1969–1986
	<i>Journal of Rural Studies</i>	3	1994–1999
	<i>Journal of Social Issues</i>	2	1989
	<i>Leisure Sciences</i>	4	1977–1980
	<i>Motivation and Emotion</i>	1	1980
	<i>Perception and Psychophysics</i>	1	1972
	<i>Perceptual and Motor Skills</i>	1	1978
	<i>Population and Environment</i>	1	1982
	<i>Psychology in Spain</i>	1	2000
	<i>Rural Sociology</i>	1	1983
	<i>Scandinavian Journal of Psychology</i>	2	1978
	<i>Sociologia Ruralis</i>	1	2000
	<i>Therapeutic Recreation Journal</i>	1	1999
TOTAL		134	
Natural Sciences			
	Academia	7	1967–2002
	<i>Atmospheric Environment</i>	2	1981
	<i>Bioscience</i>	2	1981–2000
	Bureau of Land Management	1	1998
	<i>Ecology</i>	1	1989
	<i>English Nature</i>	2	1994–2003
	<i>Environmental Conservation</i>	1	1976
	<i>Evolution</i>	1	1963
	<i>Forest Science</i>	6	1980–2002
	<i>GSA Today</i>	1	1994
	<i>Journal of the American Water Resources Association</i>	1	2002
	<i>Journal of Forestry</i>	2	1974–1976
	<i>Landscape Ecology</i>	4	1987–1996
	National Research Council	2	1982
	<i>Natural History</i>	1	1969
	<i>Natural Resources Journal</i>	1	1961
	<i>New Zealand Forestry</i>	1	1997
	<i>Oikos</i>	1	1987
	<i>Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research</i>	1	1999
	<i>Science</i>	1	1991
	<i>Science Education</i>	1	1999
	<i>Science of the Total Environment</i>	1	2003
	State Agencies	8	1968–2003
	<i>The Systematics Association</i>	1	1994
	<i>Trends in Ecology and Evolution</i>	1	1987
	<i>Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences</i>	1	1970
	U.S. Department of Agriculture	28	1968–1999
	U.S. Department of Interior	9	1969–2002
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	1	1973
	<i>Urban Forestry and Urban Greening</i>	1	2003

Table 1. The numbers of visual resource management, landscape perception, and aesthetic articles, books, and web sites listed by discipline—Continued.

Discipline	Journal name or origin of publication	Number of articles	Publication period
Natural Sciences— Cont.			
	<i>Water Resources Research</i>	1	1991
	<i>Western North American Naturalist</i>	1	2001
TOTAL		93	
Multi-disciplinary			
	Academia	19	1976–2003
	Books	28	1967–2001
	<i>Environment and Planning</i>	3	1973–1994
	<i>Environmental Geology</i>	1	2003
	<i>Environmental Impact Assessment Review</i>	1	2000
	<i>Environmental Management</i>	1	1980
	<i>Environmental Science and Policy</i>	1	2001
	<i>Ethology and Sociobiology</i>	1	1995
	<i>Harvard Environmental Law Review</i>	1	1991
	<i>Human Ecology</i>	1	2000
	<i>Journal of Archaeological Science</i>	1	2001
	<i>Journal of Environmental Management</i>	38	1976–2003
	<i>Landscape Archaeology and Ecology</i>	1	1998
	<i>Man–Environment Systems</i>	7	1973–1975
	<i>Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal</i>	1	2004
	<i>Mining Environmental Management</i>	1	1998
	<i>Urban Ecology</i>	2	1984
TOTAL		108	
Mining Industry, Engineering, and Economics			
	<i>AI Applications</i>	2	1994–1995
	<i>Aggregates and Roadbuilding</i>	2	1999–2001
	<i>American Journal for Agricultural Economics</i>	1	1974
	<i>American Society of Engineers</i>	5	1978
	<i>American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation</i>	2	1986–1997
	<i>Engineering News–Record</i>	1	2003
	<i>International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Environment</i>	2	2003
	<i>Journal of Environmental Economics and Management</i>	2	1976–1982
	<i>Land Economics</i>	1	1974
	<i>Mining Engineering</i>	1	2000
	<i>Nonrenewable Resources</i>	1	1995
	<i>Pit and Quarry</i>	2	2001–2002
	<i>Quarry Management</i>	8	2000–2003
	<i>Rock and Ready</i>	2	2003
	<i>Transactions of the Institute of Mining and Metallurgy</i>	4	1994–2002
	U.S. Department of Transportation	2	2002
	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	1	1988
	World Wide Web	8	1998–2003
TOTAL		47	

Table 1. The numbers of visual resource management, landscape perception, and aesthetic articles, books, and web sites listed by discipline—Continued.

Discipline	Journal name or origin of publication	Number of articles	Publication period
Geography and Geomorphology			
	Academia	5	1974–2003
	<i>Annals of the Association of American Geographers</i>	3	1964–2001
	<i>Applied Geography</i>	2	1992–1998
	<i>Appraisal Journal</i>	1	1987
	Books	4	1992–2001
	<i>Canadian Geographer</i>	3	1985–2003
	<i>ECOS</i>	1	2000
	<i>Earth Surface Processes and Landforms</i>	1	2003
	<i>Geographic Information Sciences</i>	1	1996
	<i>The Geographical Review</i>	3	1973–1977
	<i>Geomorphology</i>	1	2002
	<i>GIS World</i>	1	1992
	<i>International Journal Geographical Information Systems</i>	1	1994
	<i>Journal of Urban Regional Information Systems Association</i>	1	1998
	<i>Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing</i>	1	1996
	<i>Progress in Human Geography</i>	2	1978–2002
	<i>Regional Studies</i>	1	1980
	State Agencies	1	2002
	<i>URISA Journal</i>	2	1990–2001
TOTAL		34	
Philosophy and Art Criticism			
	Academia	8	1959–2003
	Books	15	1951–2002
	<i>British Journal of Aesthetics</i>	3	1995–2001
	<i>Journal of Aesthetic Appreciation</i>	1	1984
	<i>Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism</i>	3	1979–1995
	<i>Journal of Aesthetic Education</i>	2	1979–1986
	<i>Philosophy and Geography</i>	1	2001
	<i>Philosophy of Science</i>	1	1942
	World Wide Web	11	1996–2003
TOTAL		45	

Bibliography

Abello, R.P., Bernaldez, F.G., and Galiano, E.F., 1986, Concensus and contrast components in landscape preference: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 18, no. 2, p. 155–178.

Adams, Carolyn, 1983, Landscape design in mined land reclamation: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Landscape Architecture Note 1, 30 p.

Addicott, J.F., Richardson, J.S., Soluk, D.A., Aho., J.M., Antolin, M.F., and Padilla, D.K., 1987, Ecological neighborhoods: Scaling environmental patterns: *Oikos*, v. 49, no. 3, p. 340–346.

Altman, Irwin, and Chemers, Martin, 1980, Culture and environment: Monterey, California, Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 337 p. **** One in a series of books on different areas of the environment and behavior fields.

Altman, Irwin, and Wohwill, J.F., eds., 1976, Human behavior and environment: Advances in theory and research: New York, Plenum Press, v. 1, 301 p. **** This volume covers such topics as environmental attitudes, environmental aesthetics, and perceptual aspects of land use.

Altman, Irwin, and Wohwill, J.F., eds., 1983, Behavior and the natural environment: New York, Plenum Press, v. 6, 346 p. **** Documents the problems, issues, and theories in the study of relationships between natural environments and behavior. Includes chapters on methodological issues in the assessment of landscape quality and aesthetic and affective response to the natural environment.

Amedeo, Douglas, Pitt, D.G., and Zube, E.H., 1989, Landscape feature classification as a determinant of perceived scenic value: *Landscape Journal*, v. 8, no. 2, p. 36–50. **** An investigation of landscape classification, expressed in terms of perceived scenic–value assessments using factor analysis of Q–sort data obtained from 407 subjects and 56 landscape photographs.

- Amedeo, Douglas, and York, R.A., 1990, Indications of environmental schemata from thoughts about environments: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 10, p. 219–253.
- American Society of Landscape Architects, no date, Visual impact assessment for highway projects: Washington, D.C., Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Contract DOT–FH–11–9694, 89 p.
- American Society of Landscape Architects, 1978, Creating land for tomorrow: A guide to landscape architect's participation in planning mineral development: USDA Forest Service, Surface Environment & Mining Program, Landscape Architecture Technical Information Series, v. 1, no. 3, 45 p.
- Amir, S., and Gidalizon, E., 1990, Expert base method for the evaluation of visual absorption capacity of the landscape: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 30, no. 3, p. 251–263.
- Anderson, L.M., 1981, Land use designations affect perception of scenic beauty in forest landscapes: *Forest Science*, v. 27, p. 392–400.
- Anderson, L.M., and Cordell, H.K., 1988, Influence of trees on residential property values in Athens, Georgia (U.S.A.): A survey based on actual sales prices: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 1–2, p. 153–164.
- Anderson, L.M., and Schroeder, H.W., 1983, Application of wildlife scenic assessment methods to the urban landscape: *Landscape Planning*, v. 10, no. 3, p. 219–237. **** Diverse groups of raters evaluated the scenic quality of 240 photographs of a small Georgia city.
- Anderson, M.A., 1980, The land pattern of areas of outstanding natural beauty in England and Wales: *Landscape Planning*, v. 7, no. 1, p. 1–22.
- Antrop, M., and Van Eetvelde, V., 2000, Holistic aspects of suburban landscapes: Visual image interpretation and landscape metrics: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 50, no. 1, p. 43–58.

- Aoki, Y., 1999, Review article: Trends in the study of the psychological evaluation of landscape: *Landscape Research*, v. 24, no. 1, p. 85–94.
- Appleton, Jay, 1990, *The symbolism of habitat: An interpretation of landscape in the arts*: Seattle, University of Washington Press, 128 p.
- Appleton, Jay, 1993, Landscape and architecture, *in* Farmer, Ben, Louw, Hentie, and Napper, Adrian, eds., *Companion to contemporary architectural thought*: London, Routledge, p. 74–77.
- Appleton, Jay, 1994, Running before we can walk: Are we ready to map “beauty”? *Landscape Research*, v. 19, no. 3, p. 112–119. ***** The problems of consistency and meaning associated with classifying landscape types.
- Appleton, Jay, 1996, *The experience of landscape*: New York, John Wiley & Sons, 282 p. ***** Classic book, first published in 1975, proposed and argued a new theoretical approach to landscape aesthetics, including ‘habitat theory’ and ‘prospect–refuge theory.’
- Arbogast, B.F., 2004, Visual resource management for the mining industry—Perceptions and methods: *Proceedings of the 38th Forum on the Geology of Industrial Minerals*: St. Louis, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, April 28–May 3, 2002, p.13–21.
- Arbogast, B.F., 2004, The need for new paradigms in mining reclamation and visual resource management, *in* Castor, S.B., Papke, K.G., and Meeuwig, R.O., eds., *Proceedings of the 39th Forum on the Geology of Industrial Minerals*: Reno/Sparks, Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, May 18–24, 2003, Special Publication 33, p. 16–20.
- Arbogast, B.F., Knepper, D.H., Jr., and Langer, W.H., 2000, *The human factor in mining reclamation*: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1191, 28 p.
- Arbogast, B.F., Knepper, D.H., Jr., Melick, R.A., and Hickman, John, 2001, *Remembering, and reshaping the past: Clear Creek, Colorado*, *in* Kuula–Väisänen, Pirjo, and Uusinoka, Raimo, eds., *Aggregate 2001—Environment and economy*, Helsinki, Finland, 6–8

- August 2001, Publication number 51 of Tampere University of Technology, Laboratory of Engineering Geology, v. 2, p. 371–376.
- Arbogast, B.F., Knepper, D.H., Jr., Melick, R.A., and Hickman, John, 2002, Evolution of the landscape along the Clear Creek corridor, Colorado—Urbanization, aggregate mining, and reclamation: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Investigations Series I–2760, 41 p., 2 map sheets.
- **** This publication builds upon the 2001 publication and examines the landscape along lower Clear Creek between Golden and its confluence with the South Platte River over the last 100 years. Six sites are selected to illustrate the impact of mining and reclamation.
- Arentze, T.A., Borgers, A.W., and Timmermans, H.J.P, 1994, Multistep–based measurement of accessibility in GIS environment: *International Journal Geographical Information Systems*, v. 8, no. 4, p. 343–356.
- Arentze, T.A., Borgers, A.W., and Timmermans, H.J.P, 1996, Integrating GIS into the planning process, *in* Fischer, M., Scholten, H.J., and Unwin, D., eds., *Spatial Analytical Perspectives on GIS*, p. 187–198.
- Argonne National Laboratory, 1978, Integrated mined–area reclamation and land–use planning: Methods and criteria for land use and resources planning in surface mined areas: U.S. Department of the Interior, v. 2, 56 p.
- Arthur, L.M., 1977, Predicting scenic beauty of forest environments: some empirical tests: *Forest Science*, v. 23, p. 151–160.
- Arthur, L.M., and Boster, R.S., 1976, *Measuring Scenic Beauty: A Selected Annotated Bibliography*: Fort Collins, Colorado, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report RM–25, 34 p.
- Arthur, L.M., Daniel, T.C., and Boster, R.S., 1977, Scenic assessment: An overview: *Landscape Planning*, v. 4, no. 2, p. 109–129.

- Aspinall, Richard, and Pearson, Diane, 2000, Integrated geographical assessment of environmental condition in water catchments: Linking landscape ecology, environmental modelling and GIS: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 59, no. 4, p. 299–319.
- Atauri, J.A., Bravo, M.B., and Asuncion, Ruiz, 2000, Visitors' landscape preferences as a tool for management of recreational use in natural areas: A case study in Sierra de Guadarama (Madrid, Spain): *Landscape Research*, v. 25, no. 1, p. 49–62.
- Axelsson–Lindgren, C., and Sorte, G., 1987, Public response to differences between visually distinguishable forest stands in a recreation area: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 14, no. 3, p. 211–217.
- Aylward, G., and Turnbull, M., 1978, Visual analysis: The development and use of visual descriptors. *Design Methods and Theories*, v. 12, no. 2, p. 72–86.
- Aziz, Shahariz bin Abdul, 1996, You fuzzyin' with me? Accessed July 28, 2003, at URL http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~nd/surprise_96/journal/vol11/sbaa/article1.html **** Fuzzy logic is a superset of conventional logic that has been extended to handle the concept of values between “completely true” and “completely false.”
- Baldwin, Jonathan, Fisher, Peter, Wood, Joseph, and Langford, Mitchel, no date, Modeling environmental cognition of the view with GIS: University of Leicester, UK, 10 p. Accessed July 19, 2001, at URL <http://www.sbg.ac.at/geo/idrisi...apers/fisher_peter/baldwin.html>
- Balling, J.D., and Falk, J.H., 1982, Development of visual preference for natural environments: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 14, no. 1, p. 5–28.
- Bate, K.J., 2001, Grand designs: The use of computer–aided modeling in quarrying: *Quarry Management*, v. 28, no. 10, p. 23–24.
- Battelle Memorial Institute, 1997, What is fuzzy logic? Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,

3 p. Accessed July 28, 2003, at URL <http://www.emsl.pnl.gov:2080/proj/neuron/fuzzy/what.html>

**** Lists additional introductory material on fuzzy logic with links to sources.

Bauer, A.M., no date, *Shaping landscapes for tomorrow: Reclamation guidebook for the aggregate industry*: Arlington, Virginia, National Aggregates Association and National Stone Association, 54 p.

Bell, Simon, 1999, *Landscape: Pattern, perception and process*: London, E & FN Spon, 344 p.

**** Since many landscape and environmental problems require multi-disciplinary approaches for their solution, this book demonstrates how integration can be best achieved.

Bell, Simon, 2001, *Landscape pattern, perception and visualization in the visual management of forests*: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1–4, p. 201–211.

Bellamy, J.A., Walker, D.H., McDonald, G.T., and Syme, G.J., 2001, *A systems approach to the evaluation of natural resource management initiatives*: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 63, no. 4, p. 407–423.

Bellmann, K., 2000, *Towards to a system analytical and modeling approach for integration of ecological, hydrological, economical and social components of disturbed landscapes*: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 51, no. 2–4, p. 75–87.

Bennett, E.H., 1985, *A practical approach to visual assessment*: *Landscape*, v. 26, p. 5–8.

Bergin, J., and Price. C., 1994, *The travel cost method and landscape quality*: *Landscape Research*, v. 19, no. 1, p. 21–23.

Berleant, Arnold, 1992, *The aesthetics of environment*: Philadelphia, Temple University Press, 218 p.

Bernaldez, F.G., Abello, R.P., and Gallardo, D., 1989, *Environmental challenge and environmental preference: Age and sex effects*: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 28, no. 1, p. 53–70.

- Bernaldez, F.G., Gallardo, D., and Abello, R.P., 1987, Children's landscape preferences: From rejection to attraction: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 7, p. 169–179.
- Bernaldez, F.G., Ruiz, J.P., Benayas, J., and Abello, R.P., 1988, Real landscapes versus photographed landscapes: Preference dimensions: *Landscape Research*, v. 13, no. 1, p. 10–11.
- Berry, P., and Pistocchi, A., 2003, A multicriterial geographical approach for the environmental impact assessment of open-pit quarries: *International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation and Environment*, v. 17, no. 4, p. 213–226.
- Beveridge, C.E., Rocheleau, Paul, and Larkin, David, 1998, Revised ed., Frederick Law Olmsted: *Designing the American landscape*: Universe Books, 240 p.
- Bingham, Nancy, 2000, Mining's image—What does the public really think? *Mining Engineering*, v. 46, no. 3, p. 200–203.
- Bishop, I.D., 1997, Testing perceived landscape color difference using the Internet: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 37, no. 3–4, p. 187–196.
- Bishop, I.D., and Hull IV, R.B., 1991, Integrating technologies for visual resource management: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 32, no. 4, p. 295–312.
- Bishop, I.D., and Hulse, D.W., 1994, Prediction of scenic beauty using mapped data and geographic information systems: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 30, no. 1–2, p. 59–70.
- Bishop, I.D., and Leahy, P.N.A., 1989, Assessing the visual impact of development proposals: The validity of computer simulations: *Landscape Journal*, v. 8, no. 2, p. 92–100. ***** Comparing the contribution of a single variable, or single landscape element, to visual preference using computer technology and 123 scenes.
- Bishop, I.D., Ye, W.S., and Karadaglis, C., 2001, Experiential approaches to perception response in virtual worlds: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1, p. 117–125.

- Blanchette, Isabelle, and Richards, Anne, 2003, Anxiety and the interpretation of ambiguous information: Beyond the emotion–congruent effect: *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, v. 132, no. 2, p. 294–309.
- Bliss, J.D., Stanley, M.C., and Long, K.R., 2002, Role of megaquarries in future aggregate supply *in* California State Dept. of Transportation and California Geological Survey, sponsors, Proceedings 53rd Annual Highway Geology Symposium, San Luis Obispo, California, August 13–16, 2002, p. 303–315.
- Boone, R.B., and Hunter, M.L.J., 1996, Using diffusion models to simulate the effects of land use on grizzly bear dispersal in the Rocky Mountains: *Landscape Ecology*, v. 11, no. 1, p. 51–64.
- Bourassa, S.C., 1988, Toward a theory of landscape aesthetics: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 3–4, p. 241–252.
- Bourassa, S.C., 1992, Public welfare and the economics of landscape aesthetics: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 22, no. 1, p. 31–40.
- Bowers, J., and Hopkinson, P., 1994, Landscape evaluation, cost–benefit analysis and sustainability: *Landscape Research*, v. 19, no. 1, p. 33–35.
- Brabyn, Lars, 1996, Landscape classification using GIS and national digital databases: *Landscape Research*, v. 21, no. 3, p. 277–300.
- Brancher, D.M., 1968, Critique of K.D. Fines: Landscape evaluation. A research project in East Sussex: *Regional Studies*, v. 3, no. 1, p. 91–92.
- Brancucci, Gerardo, Maniglio Calcagno, A.E., and Mazzino, Francesca, 2002, The geosites’ role and the landscape European convention, *in* Coratz, Paola, and Marchetti, Mauro, eds., *Geomorphological sites: Research, assessment and improvement*, Modena, Italy, 19–22 June 2002, p. 1–8.

- Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus, 2002, Abstracts—Disturbed landscapes: Analysis, modeling and valuation: Germany, September 24–27, 2002, SFB 565.
- Brashaw, Philip, Cripps, Maurice, Czerewko, M.E., and Bradley, Paul, 2001, Limestone landform simulation in quarry restoration: *Quarry Management*, v. 28, no. 12, p. 41–46.
- Briggs, D.J., and France, J., 1980, Landscape evaluation: A comparative study (South Yorkshire, UK): *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 10, no. 3, p. 263–275.
- Briggs, D.J., and France, J., 1981, Assessing landscape attractiveness: A South Yorkshire study: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 2–5.
- Briggs, D.J., and France, J., 1983, Classifying landscapes and habitats for regional environmental planning: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 17, no. 3, p. 249–261.
- Bromley, P., 1981, The role of the public in landscape decisions: A case study in the Peak District National Park: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 2–6.
- Brotherton, I., 1979, Prospect–refuge theory: Is it hazardous? *Landscape Research*, v. 4, no. 3, p. 13–16.
- Broughton, Jack, 2002, Carving a jewel from granite in central Minnesota: *Landscape Architect and Specifier News*, v. 18, no. 3, p. 58–64. **** Transformation of a quarry into a park and nature reserve.
- Brown, G., and Harris, C.C., 2000, The U.S. Forest Service: Whither the new resource management paradigm? *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 58, no. 1, p. 1–19. **** Contrasts attitudes and values of different segments of U.S. Forest Survey employees.
- Brown, T.C., and Daniel, T.C., 1987, Context effects in perceived environmental quality assessment: Scene selection and landscape quality ratings: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 7, p. 233–250.

- Brown, T.C., and Daniel, T.C., 1991, Landscape aesthetics of riparian environments: Relationship of flow quantity to scenic quality along a wild and scenic river: *Water Resources Research*, v. 27, no. 8, p. 1787–1795.
- Brown, T.J., Kaplan, Rachel, and Quaderer, Gail, 1999, Preferred natural environments and people with disabilities (edited version of the article *Beyond accessibility: Preference for natural areas: Therapeutic Recreation Journal*, v. 33, no. 3, p. 209–221.) Accessed August 4, 2003, at URL <http://www.ncaonline.org/ncpad/prefer.shtml>
- Brown, Terry, 1994, Conceptualizing smoothness and density as landscape elements in visual resource management: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 30, no. 1–2, p. 49–58.
- Brown, Terry, Keane, Tim, and Kaplan, Stephen, 1986, Aesthetics and management: Bridging the gap: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 13, no. 1, p. 1–10.
- Brush, R.O., 1976, Space within the woods: managing forest for visual enjoyment: *Journal of Forestry*, November, p. 744–747.
- Brush, R.O., 1981, Landform and scenic preference: A research note: *Landscape Planning*, v. 8, no. 3, p. 301–306.
- Brush, R.O., and Shafer, E.L., 1975, Application of a landscape–preference model to land management, in Zube, E.H., Brush, R.O., and Fabos, J.G., eds., *Landscape Assessment: Values, Perceptions and Resources: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania*, Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross, Inc., p. 168–182.
- Bryant, K.J., 1984, Methodological convergence as an issue within environmental cognition research: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 4, p. 43–60.
- Budd, Malcolm, 1998, Aesthetics, in Craig, E., ed., *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*: London, Routledge. Accessed March 4, 2004, at URL <http://www.rep.routledge.com/article/M046>

- Bugosh, Nicholas, 2002, Slope and channel reclamation using fluvial geomorphic principles at San Juan Coal Company Mines in New Mexico, abstract *in* Approaching bond release: Postmining land use in the arid and semi-arid west, Office of Surface Mining, North Dakota State University, and North Dakota Public Service Commission, August 25–30, 2002, Bismarck, North Dakota, no pagination.
- Buhyoff, G.J., and Arndt, L.K., 1981, Interval scaling of landscape preference by direct-and indirect-measurement methods: *Landscape Planning*, v. 8, no. 3, p. 257–267.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Gauthier, L.J., and Wellman, J.D., 1984, Predicting scenic quality for urban forests using vegetation measurement: *Forest Science*, v. 30, p. 71–82.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Hultman, S., Wellman, J.D., Koch, N.E., and Gauthier, L., 1983, Landscape preference metrics: An international comparison: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 16, no. 2, p. 181–190.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Leuschner, W.A., and Arndt, L.K., 1980, Replication of a scenic preference function: *Forest Science*, v. 26, p. 227–230.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Miller, P.A., Hull, R.B., and Schlagel, D.H., 1995, Another look at expert visual assessment: Validity and reliability: *A1 Applications*, v. 9, no. 1, p. 112–120.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Miller, P.A., Roach, J.W., Zhou, D., and Fuller, L.G., 1994, An A1 methodology for landscape visual assessments: *A1 Applications*, v. 8, no.1, p. 1–13.
- Buhyoff, G.J., and Riesenman, M.F., 1979, Experimental manipulation of dimensionality in landscape preference judgements: A quantitative validation: *Leisure Sciences*, v. 2, no. 3–4, p. 221–238.
- Buhyoff, G.J., and Wellman, J.D., 1979, Seasonality bias in landscape preference research: *Leisure Sciences*, v. 2, no. 2, p. 181–190.

- Buhyoff, G.J., and Wellman, J.D., 1980, The specification of a non-linear psychophysical function for visual landscape dimensions: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 12, no. 3, p. 257–272.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Wellman, J.D., Harvey, H., and Fraser, R.A., 1978, Landscape architect's interpretations of people's landscape preferences: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 6, p. 255–262.
- Buhyoff, G.J., Wellman, J.D., Koch, N.E., Gauthier, L., and Hultman, S., 1983, Landscape preference metrics. An international comparison USA, Netherlands, Sweden, Denmark: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 16, no. 2, p. 181–190.
- Bulcão, L., Ribeiro, L., Arsénio, P., and Abreu, M.M., 2004, The protection of landscapes as a resource: Case study—Monte da Guia protected area (Faial–Azores): *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, v. 15, no. 1, p. 48–54.
- Burley, Jon Bryan, 1985, Gravel Pit Reclamation for Generating Housing Development Through Pre-Mining Form Iteration Analysis, *in* Graves, D.H., ed., *Proceedings 1985 Symposium on Mining, Hydrology, Sedimentology, and Reclamation*: Lexington, University of Kentucky, p. 391–397.
- Burley, Jon Bryan, 1988, Decision tree analysis for selecting post-mining land uses at the Spillum sand and gravel operation, *in* Graves, D.H., ed., *1988 Symposium on Mining, Hydrology, Sedimentology, and Reclamation*: Lexington, University of Kentucky, p. 171–176.
- CAG Management Consultants, 1999, *Environmental capital, sustainability and housing growth: A report to the LGA by CAG Consultants*: Local Government Association, Great Britain, 30 p.
- Calvin, J.S., Dearinger, J.A., and Curtin, M.E., 1972, An attempt at assessing preferences for natural landscapes: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 4, no. 4, p. 447–470.
- Campbell, D., 1987, Landscape design in forestry: *Landscape Design*, no. 166, p. 31–36.
- Carder, Carol, 2003, How green are the concrete and rock industries? *Rock & Ready*, June,

p. 10–13.

Carder, Carol, 2003, Life after mining for pit quarries: Rock & Ready, June, p. 14–16. ****

Recent environmental awards given by the National Stone Sand & Gravel Association are recognized.

Carles, J.L., Barrio, I.L., and de Lucio, J.V., 1999, Sound influence on landscape values:

Landscape and Urban Planning, v. 43, no. 4, p. 191–200.

Carlson, A.A., 1977, On the possibility of quantifying scenic beauty: Landscape Planning, v. 4,

no. 2, p. 131–172. **** Paper is a critical discussion of some current work in the aesthetics of the natural environment. This work is characterized by the themes of objectivity, quantification, public opinion, and formalism. Carlson focuses on E.L. Shafer's research with the U.S. Forest Service.

Carlson, A.A., 1984, On the possibility of quantifying scenic beauty – A response to Ribe:

Landscape Planning, v. 11, no. 1, p. 49–65.

Carlson, Allen, 1979, Appreciation and the natural environment: The Journal of Aesthetics and Art

Criticism, v. 37, no. 3, p. 267–275.

Carlson, Allen, 1979, Formal qualities in the natural environment: Journal of Aesthetic Education,

v. 13, no. 3, p. 99–114.

Carlson, Allen, 1981, Nature, aesthetic judgment, and objectivity: The Journal of Aesthetics and

Art Criticism, v. 40, no. 1, p. 15–27.

Carlson, Allen, 1986, Reconstructing the aesthetics of architecture: Journal of Aesthetic Education,

v. 20, no. 4, p. 21–27.

Carlson, Allen, 1993, On the theoretical vacuum in landscape assessment: Landscape Journal,

v. 12, no. 1, p. 51–56.

Carlson, Allen, 1995, Nature, aesthetic appreciation, and knowledge: *The Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism*, v. 53, no. 4, p. 393–400.

Carlson, Allen, 2001, On aesthetically appreciating human environment: *Philosophy and Geography*, v. 4, no. 1, p. 9–24.

Carlson, Allen, 2002, Environmental aesthetics, in Craig, E., ed., *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*: London, Routledge. Accessed March 4, 2004, from URL <http://www.rep.routledge.com/article/M047>

Carlson, Allen, 2003, Teaching environmental aesthetics: American Society for Aesthetics. Accessed January 8, 2004, at URL <http://www.aesthetics-online.org/ideas/carlson.html>

Cats-Baril, W.L., and Gibson, Linda, 1986, Evaluating aesthetics: The major issues and a bibliography: *Landscape Journal*, v. 5, no. 2, p. 93–102.

Cats-Baril, W.L., and Gibson, Linda, 1987, Evaluating landscape aesthetics: A multi-attribute utility approach: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 14, no. 6, p. 463–480.

Cavalli-Sforza, L.L., and Feldman, M.W., 1981, *Cultural transmission and evolution: A quantitative approach*: Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press, 388 p. **** A series of theoretical models toward a theory describing the dynamics of culturally acquired phenotypes.

Center for Landscape Research InterNetwork [University of Toronto], 2002, LA Theses Database. Accessed July 14, 2003, at URL <http://www.clr.utoronto.ca/cgi-bin/latheses/list> **** 273 abstracts are listed in alphabetical order by author.

Center for Landscape Research InterNetwork [University of Toronto], 2002, CLR Publications and Presentations. Accessed September 16, 2003, at URL <http://www.clr.utoronto.ca/cirpubl.html> **** CLR publications for the years 1990–1996 are listed.

Cerver, F.A., 1995, *Civil engineering (nature conservation and land reclamation)*: Barcelona, World of Environmental Design, 255 p. **** Case studies include mining landscapes.

Chambers, Ruth, 2000, Park life: Will UK national park reforms act as beacons for raised environmental performance or are they a flash in the pan? *Quarry Management*, v. 27, no. 6, p. 29–30, 33–36.

Chenoweth, R.E., and Gobster, P.H., 1990, The nature and ecology of aesthetic experiences in the landscape: *Landscape Journal*, v. 9, no. 1, p. 1–8. ***** Research involving 25 college students recording their aesthetic experience in diaries during a spring semester.

Chenoweth, R.E., Tlusty, W.G., and Niemann, B.J., Jr., 1982, Public rights to scenic resources: Infringement is sufficient cause for denial of lowland sand and gravel operations in Wisconsin, *in* Svedarsky, W.D., and Crawford, R.D., eds., *Wildlife values of gravel pits—Symposium Proceedings*: St. Paul, Minnesota, University of Minnesota, p. 73–79.

Cherem, G.J., and Driver, B.L., 1983, Visitor employed photography: A technique to measure common perceptions of natural environments: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 15, no. 1, p. 65–83.

Cherrill, A.J., 1994, Comparison of three landscape classifications and an investigation into the potential of using remotely sensed land cover data for landscape classification: *Journal of Rural Studies*, v. 10, no. 3, p. 275–289.

Cherrill, A.J., Lane, A., and Fuller, R.M., 1994, The use of classified Landsat–5 thematic mapper imagery in the characterisation of landscape composition: A case study in Northern England: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 40, no. 4, p. 357–377.

Child, I.L., 1978, Aesthetic theories, *in* Carterette, E.C., and Friedman, M.P., eds., *Handbook of Perception*, v. X, *Perceptual Ecology*: New York, Academic Press, Chapter 6, p. 111–131.

Chokor, B.A., 1990, Urban landscape and environmental quality preferences in Ibadan, Nigeria: An exploration: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 19, no. 3, p. 263–280.

- Chorley, R.J., and Haggett, P., 1968, Trend–surface mapping in geographical research, *in* Berry, B.J.L. and Marble, D.F., eds., *Spatial Analysis: A reader in statistical geography*: Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice–Hall. Inc., p. 195–217.
- Cimini, Mirta, and Massacci, Paolo, 2003, Mine and quarry visibility indicator, *in* Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Development Indicators in the Mineral Industries, 21–23 May 2003, Milos Island, Greece, p. 183–186.
- City and Borough of Juneau [Alaska], 1999, Visual Resources: Juneau Public Libraries. Accessed July 14, 2003, at URL <http://www.juneau.lib.ak.us/cdd/Kensington/visual.htm> ***** Mitigation of visual impacts in the Kensington Gold Project northwest of Juneau.
- Clamp, P., 1976, Evaluating English landscapes—Some recent developments: *Environment & Planning*, v. 8, p. 79–92.
- Clamp, P., 1981, The landscape evaluation controversy: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 13–15.
- Clamp, P., and Powell, M., 1982, Prospect–refuge theory under test: *Landscape Research*, v. 7, no. 3, p. 7–8.
- Clare, Tom, 2000, An assessment of the potential of the TWINSPAN program of multi–variate analysis to contribute to classification and management of village landscapes, with reference to historical features: *Landscape Research*, v. 25, no. 1, p. 117–139.
- Clark, P., 1981, Use of environmental assessment techniques in rural planning in Lancashire: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 11–12.
- Clarke, M.L., and Mertes, J.D., no date, Recreation/aesthetics and environmental enhancement, *in* Duryea, Mary, Hubbard, William, McGrath, Deborah, and Marcus, Charles, eds., *Florida’s Forest Stewardship Program: An Opportunity to Manage Your Land for Now and the Future*: University of Florida, 5 p. Accessed July 14, 2003, at URL <http://www.sfrc.ufl.edu/Extension/pubtxt/c1020f.htm>

- Clay, G.R., 2001, la481: Visual resource management methods—Generalized course lecture notes: California Polytechnic State University, 25 p. Accessed July 14, 2003, at URL <http://www.calpoly.edu/~gclay/481lectures.html>
- Clay, G.R., and Daniel, T.C., 2000, Scenic landscape assessment: The effects of land management jurisdiction in public perception of scenic beauty: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 49, no. 1, p. 1–13.
- Clay, G.R., and Gimblett, H.R., 1998, Integrating spatial data with photography for visualizing changes in a forested environment: *Journal of Urban Regional Information Systems Association*, v. 10, p. 22–35.
- Coeterier, J.F., 1983, A photo validity test: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 3, p. 315–323.
- Coeterier, J.F., 1996, Dominant attributes in the perception and evaluation of the Dutch landscape: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 34, no. 1, p. 27–44.
- Cole, Dominic, 2003, Brave new world: Eden Project: *Landscape Design*, no. 319, p. 12–17. ****
A quarry site is transformed into a new landscape.
- Cole, Lyndis, 2003, Topic paper 2: Links to other sustainability tools: Scottish Natural Heritage and The Countryside Agency, 5 p. Accessed March 19, 2004, at URL http://www.ccnetwork.org.uk/ca/LCA_Topic_Paper_2.pdf
- Cole, N.F., Ferraro, Michael, Mallary, Robert, Palmer, J.F., and Zube, E.H., 1976, Visual design resources for surface–mine reclamation, IME Publication No. R–76–15: Amherst, Massachusetts, Institute for Man and Environment, and the University of Massachusetts, 131 p. **** Report concerning the impact of surface mining on the environment (specifically, coal), improvements in reclamation, and focus on the neglect of the aesthetic factor in surface–mine reclamation.
- Coles, R.W., and Bussey, S.C., 2000, Urban forest landscapes in the UK—Progressing the social agenda: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 52, no. 2, p. 181–188.

- Colleran, J.R., and Geering, Donald, 1980, A visual assessment method for Botany Bay: Landscape Australia, v. 3, p. 164–171.
- Collin, Gerald, 1990, Rural society and protected area: Which dialogue? The case study of Cevennes National Park and Biosphere Reserve (France): Landscape and Urban Planning, v. 19, no. 2, p. 173–180.
- Conniff, Richard, 1999, The natural history of art: Possible animal influence on human perception of art: Discover, November, 7 p. Accessed July 23, 2001, at URL http://www.findarticles.com/cf_0/m1511/11_20/57042527/print.jhtml
- Constantino, Darren, 2001, Reclamation: Taking the right steps: Pit & Quarry, v. 93, no. 9, p. 30–34. **** Part one of a two–part special report on reclamation in the aggregates industry.
- Constantino, Darren, 2002, Reclamation: Taking the right steps: Pit & Quarry, v. 93, no. 10, p. 28–34. **** Part two of a two–part special report.
- Cooper, A., and Murray, R., 1992, A structured method of landscape assessment and countryside management: Applied Geography, v. 12, p. 319–338.
- Cooper, David, ed., 2002 (reprint), A companion to aesthetics: Oxford, Blackwell Publishers Ltd., p. 466. ***** Alphabetically arranged, the 130 articles provide comprehensive coverage of the main topics and writers in the area of aesthetics.
- Coratza, Paola, and Marchetti, Mauro, eds., 2002, Proceedings Geomorphological Sites: Research, assessment, and improvement: Modena, Italy, June 19–22, 2002, Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia, 105 p.
- Corner, James, 1992, Most important questions [in landscape architecture]: Landscape Journal, v. 11, no. 2, p. 160–181.

- Cortner, H.J., and Schweitzer, D.L., 1981, Political–institutional values in quantitative models for regional landscape planning: *Regional Landscape Planning, Proceedings of 3 Educational Sessions, 1981 Annual Meeting, American Society of Landscape Architects*, p. 81–102.
- Cosgrove, D., 1990, Landscape studies in geography and cognate fields of the humanities and social sciences: *Landscape Research*, v. 15, no. 3, p. 1–6.
- Coughlin, R.E., Mendes, D.C., and Strong, A.L., 1988, Local programs in the United States for preventing the destruction of trees on private land: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 1–2, p. 165–171.
- Courtney, Ann, 2001, Accelerated Recovery: Bioremediation of the visual impact of limestone quarry scarps: *Quarry Management*, v. 28, no. 12, p. 47–48.
- Craig, K.H., 1968, The comprehension of the everyday physical environment: *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, v. 34, no. 1, p. 29–37.
- Craik, K.H., 1972, Psychological factors in landscape appraisal: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 4, no. 4, p. 255–266.
- Craik, K.H., 1972, Appraising the objectivity of landscape dimensions, *in* Krutilla, J., ed., *Natural Environments*: Baltimore, Maryland, John Hopkins University Press, p. 292–346.
- Crofts, R.S., and Cooke, R.U., 1974, *Landscape evaluation: A comparison of techniques*: London, Department of Geography, University College, Occasional Papers no. 25, 33 p.
- Cummings, R.A., 2002, Highway cut slopes in rock: Specialized excavation and enhancement techniques, *in* Proceedings 53rd Highway Geology Symposium, San Luis Obispo, California, August 13–16, 2002, California State Department of Transportation, and California Geological Survey, p. 336–348.

- Dahms, C.W., and Geils, B.W., eds., 1997, An assessment of forest ecosystem health in the Southwest: Flagstaff, Arizona, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, RM–GTR–295, 97 p.
- Dakin, Susan, 2003, There's more to landscapes than meets the eye: Towards inclusive landscape assessment in resource and environmental management: *The Canadian Geographer*, v. 47, no. 32, p. 185–200.
- Damigos, Dimitris, and Kaliampakos, Dimitris, 2003, Environmental economics and the mining industry: Monetary benefits of an abandoned quarry rehabilitation in Greece: *Environmental Geology*, v. 44, p. 356–362.
- Danahy, J.W., 2001, Technology for dynamic viewing and peripheral vision in landscape visualization: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1–4, p. 125–137.
- Daniel, T.C., 2001, Whither scenic beauty? Visual landscape quality assessment in the 21st century: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1, p. 267–281.
- Daniel, T.C., Anderson, L.M., Schroeder, H.W., and Wheeler, L.W., III, 1977, Mapping the scenic beauty of forest landscapes: *Leisure Sciences*, v. 1, no. 1, p. 35–52.
- Daniel, T.C., and Boster, R.S., 1976, Measuring landscape esthetics: The scenic beauty estimation method: Fort Collins, Colorado, USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Research Paper RM–167, 66 p.
- Daniel, T.C., and Ittelson, W.H., 1981, Conditions for environmental perception research: Comment on "The psychological representation of molar physical environments" by Ward and Russell: *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, v. 110, no. 2, p. 153–157.

- Daniel, T.C., Wheeler, L., Boster, R.S., and Best, P.R. Jr., 1973, Quantitative evaluation of landscapes: An application of signal detection analysis to forest management alternatives: *Man-Environmental Systems*, v. 3, no. 5, p. 330–344.
- Daniel, T.C., and Zube, E.H., 1979, Assessment of Esthetic Resources, *in* *Assessing Amenity Resource Values*, Daniel, T.C., Zube, E.H., and Driver, B.L., eds., U.S. Forest Service, General Technical Report RM–68, p. 2–3.
- Dansereau, Pierre, date unknown, The future of ecology. Accessed August 7, 2003, at URL <http://www.udd.org/francais/dansereau/Documents/565.html> ***** Judges the history of ecology (the sciences of environment) and the need to redefine terms and tasks.
- Darmer, Gerhard, 1990, Landscape and surface mining: Ecological guidelines for reclamation, v. 1, Dietrich, N.L., ed., Capito, M.E., translator, Berlin, Patzer Verlag Gmbh U.Co., assorted pagination.
- Darvill, T., 1998, Landscapes: Myth or reality? *in* Jones, Melvyn, and Rotherham, I.D., eds., *Landscapes—Recognition, perception, and management: Reconciling the impossible?* Conference Proceedings: Landscape Archaeology and Ecology, v. 3, p. 9–18.
- Dasmann, R.F., 1985, Achieving the sustainable use of species and ecosystems: *Landscape Planning*, v. 12, no. 3, p. 211–219.
- Davies, P., and Knipe, T. 1993, Linear Features: *Landscape Design*, no. 223, p. 19–21.
- Davies, P., and Knipe, T., 1993, Features on scenic routes: *Landscape Research*, v. 18, no. 2, p. 92–102.
- Davies, P., and Knipe, T., 1994, Valuing Features: *Landscape Research*, v. 19, no. 1, p. 26–28.
- Davies, P., and Knipe, T., 1999, Gardenesque imagery in the representation of regional and national identity—the Cotswold garden of stone: *Journal of Rural Studies*: v. 15, no. 4, p. 365–376.

- Dearden, P., 1980, A statistical technique for the evaluation of the visual quality of the landscape for land-use planning purposes: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 10, no. 1, p. 51–68.
- Dearden, P., 1980, Landscape assessment: The last decade: *Canadian Geographer*, v. 24, p. 316–325.
- Dearden, P., 1981, Consensus and the Landscape Quality Continuum: A Research Note: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 31.
- Dearden, P., 1981, Public participation and scenic quality analysis: *Landscape Planning*, v. 8, no. 1, p. 3–19.
- Dearden, P., 1984, Factors influencing landscape preferences: An empirical investigation: *Landscape Planning*, v. 11, no. 4, p. 293–306.
- Dearden, P., 1987, Consensus and a theoretical framework for landscape evaluation: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 34, no. 3, p. 267–278.
- Dearden, P., and Rosenblood, L., 1980, Some observations on multivariate techniques in landscape evaluation: *Regional Studies*, v. 14, p. 99–110.
- Dearinger, J.A., 1979, Measuring preferences for natural landscapes: *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, v. 105, p. 63–80.
- Degenhardt, C.C., 1967, An analysis of regional landscape character: SUNY College of Environmental Sciences and Forestry. Accessed July 14, 2003, at URL <http://www.clr.utoronto.ca/cgi-bin/latheses/review-25?> **** Abstract and thesis for a project examining the landscape character of two adjacent areas in the Hudson River Valley.
- de Lucio, J.V., Mohamadian, M., Ruiz, J.P., Banayas, J., and Bernaldez, F.G., 1996, Visual landscape exploration as revealed by eye movement tracking: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 34, no. 2, p. 135–142.

- Devlin, K., and Nasar, J.L., 1989, The Beauty and the Beast: Some Preliminary Comparisons of "High" versus "Popular" Residential Architecture and Public Versus Architect Judgments of Same: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 9, p. 333–344.
- Diedrich, N.L., 1986, Visual landscape analysis of rural Iowa limestone quarries, *in* Proceedings of the American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation “New Horizons in Mined Land Reclamation,” March 17–20, Jackson, Mississippi, p. 1–7.
- Dollman, P.M., Lovett, Andrew, O’Riordan, Tim, and Cobb, Dick, 2001, Designing whole landscapes: *Landscape Research*, v. 26, no. 4, p. 305–335.
- Dramstad, W.E., Olson, J.D., and Forman, R.T.T., 1996, Landscape ecology principles in landscape architecture and land–use planning: Boston, Harvard University Graduate School of Design, Island Press, 80 p.
- Duncan, J.S. Jr., 1973, Landscape taste as a symbol of group identity: A Westchester County village: *The Geographical Review*, v. 63, p. 334–355.
- Duncan, J.S., and Duncan, N.G., 2001, The aestheticization of the politics of landscape preservation: *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, v. 91, no. 2, p. 387–409.
- Dunn, M.C., 1976, Landscape with Photographs: Testing the Preference Approach to Landscape Evaluation: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 4, no. 1, p. 15–26.
- Eaton, M.M., 1989, *Aesthetics and the good life*: London, Associated University Presses, 209 p.
- Eaton, M.M., 2003, Professional aesthetics and environmental reform: American Society for Aesthetics. Accessed January 8, 2003, at URL <http://www.aesthetics-online.org/ideas/eaton.html>
- Egler, F.E., 1942, Vegetation as an object of study: *Philosophy of Science*, v. 9, no. 3, p. 245–260.

- Ellsworth, J.C., 1988, Abstract: Comparison of Landscape Visual Simulation Techniques for Drastically Disturbed Land Rehabilitation, *in* Graves, D.H., ed., 1988 Symposium on Mining, Hydrology, Sedimentology, and Reclamation: Lexington, University of Kentucky, p. 177.
- Ervin, S.M., 1992, Integrating visual and environmental analyses in site planning and design: *GIS World*, Special Issue, p. 26–30.
- Erwin, T.L., 1991, An evolutionary basis for conservation strategies: *Science*, v. 253, p. 750–752.
- Evanisko, Fran, 1991, Dimensions of scale in landscape analysis, *in* USDA Proceedings of the 1991 Systems Analysis in Forest Resources Symposium, Charleston, South Carolina, p. 81–88.
- Evans, G.W., and Wood, K.W., 1980, Assessment of environmental aesthetics in scenic highway corridors: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 12, no. 2, p. 255–273.
- Evans, G.W., and Zube, E.H., 1975, Information processing components of landscape assessment: A preliminary report: *Man–Environment Systems*, v. 5, no. 1, p. 61–62.
- Evernden, Neil, 1983, Beauty and nothingness: Prairie as failed resource: *Landscape*, v. 27, no. 3, p. 1–8. ***** The bias of aesthetic research towards prairies.
- Fabos, J.G., 1979, Planning and landscape evaluation: *Landscape Research*, v. 4, no. 2, p. 4–10.
- Faith, D.P., 2003, Biodiversity, *in* Zalta, E.N., ed., *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, 15 p. Accessed March 4, 2004, at URL <http://www.plato.stanford.edu/entries/biodiversity/>
- Farina, Almo, 2000, The cultural landscape as a model for the integration of ecology and economics: *Bioscience*, April 2000. Accessed July 19, 2001, at URL http://www.findarticles.com/cf_0/m1042/4_50/61557022/print.jhtml
- Feimer, N.R., 1984, Environmental perception: The effects of media, evaluative content, and observer sample: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 4, p. 61–80.
- Feimer, N.R., Smardon, R.C., and Craik, K.H., 1981, Evaluating the effectiveness of observer–based visual resource and impact assessment methods: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 12–16.

- Fenton, D.M., 1985, Dimensions of meaning in the perception of natural settings and their relationship to aesthetic response: *Australian Journal of Psychology*, v. 37, no. 3, p. 325–339.
- Fines, K.D., 1968, Landscape evaluation: A research project in East Sussex: *Regional Studies*, v. 2, no. 1, p. 41–55.
- Finsterbusch, Kurt, and Wolf, C.P., eds., 1981, 2nd ed., *Methodology of Social Impact Assessment*: Shroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Doudin, Hutchinson, & Ross, 399 p.
- Fisher, John, ed., 1983, *Essays on aesthetics: Perspectives on the work of Monroe C. Beardsley*, Temple University Press, 309 p.
- Fisher, P.F., 1996, Extending the applicability of viewsheds in landscape planning: *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, v. 62, no. 11, p. 1297–1302.
- Flint, Kate, and Morphy, Howard, eds., 2000, *Culture, landscape and the environment: The Linacre Lectures 1997*: Oxford, Oxford University Press, 225 p. ***** The contributors to this volume move through time and space to compare the ways in which the environment is differently constructed across cultures.
- Forman, R.T.T., 1987, The ethics of isolation, the spread of disturbance, and landscape ecology, *in* Turner, M., ed., *Landscape Heterogeneity and Disturbance*: New York, Springer–Verlag, p. 213–229.
- Forman, R.T.T., 1990, Ecologically sustainable landscapes: the role of spatial configuration, *in* Zonneveld, I.S and Forman, R.T.T., eds., *Changing Landscapes: An Ecological Perspectives*: New York, Springer–Verlag, p. 173–198.
- Forman, R.T.T., 1995, *Land Mosaics: The Ecology of Landscapes and Regions*: Cambridge University Press, 632 p.
- Forman, R.T.T., 1995, Some general principles of landscape and regional ecology: *Landscape Ecology*, v. 110, no. 3, p. 133–142.

- Forman, R.T.T., and Godron, M., 1981, Patches and structural components for landscape ecology: *BioScience*, v. 31, p. 733–740.
- Fortlage, C.A., 1990, *Environmental assessment: A practical guide*: England, Ashgate Publishing Co., 152 p.
- Foy, G., 1990, Economic sustainability and the preservation of environmental assets: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 14, no. 6, p. 771–778.
- Franklin, J.F., and Forman, R.T.T., 1987, Creating landscape patterns by forest cutting: ecological consequences and principles: *Landscape Ecology*, v. 1, no. 1, p. 5–18.
- Fry, Gary, 2001, Multifunctional landscapes—towards transdisciplinary research: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 57, no. 3–4, p. 159–168.
- Funk, Rex, 1996, Experiencing Albuquerque’s open space: Aesthetics and ethics. Accessed July 19, 2001, at URL < <http://www.cabq.gov/aes/s1opnspc.html> >
- Gadgil, Madhav, 1985, Cultural evolution of ecological prudence: *Landscape Planning*, v. 12, no. 3, p. 285–299.
- Gadgil, Madhav, 1987, Diversity: Cultural and biological trends: *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, v. 2, no. 12, p. 369–373.
- Galindo Galindo, M. Paz, and Corraliza Rodriguez, Jo e Antonio, 2000, Environmental aesthetics and psychological wellbeing: Relationships between preference judgments for urban landscapes and other relevant affective responses: *Psychology in Spain*, v. 4, no. 1, p. 13–27. Accessed August 4, 2003, at URL <http://www.psychologyinspain.com/content/full/2000/2.htm> *****
Results of a photographic questionnaire administered individually to a representative sample of adolescents living in Seville, Spain.

- Galliano, S.J., and Loeffler, G.M., 1999, Place assessment: How people define ecosystems: U.S. Forest Service, General Technical Report PNW–GTR–462, 31 p. Accessed June 17, 2002, at URL http://www.fs.fed.us/pnw/pubs/gtr_462.pdf
- Garcia Pérez, José, 2002, Ascertaining landscape perceptions and preferences with pair–wise photographs: Planning rural tourism in Extremadura, Spain: *Landscape Research*, v. 27, no. 3, p. 297–308.
- Gardner, G.T., and Stern, P.C., 1996, *Environmental problems and human behavior*: Boston, Allyn and Bacon, 369 p. **** Chapter on “Stone age genetic behavioral predispositions in the space age” may be of interest to students of the biophilia hypothesis.
- Gasteyer, S.P., and Butler Flora, C., 2000, Modernizing the savage: Colonization and perceptions of landscape and lifescape: *Sociologia Ruralis*, v. 40, no. 1, p. 128–149.
- Geometry Center Home Page, 1998, Tessellation Resources, 1 p. Accessed April 30, 2003, at URL <http://www.geom.umn.edu/software/tilings/> **** For some people, tessellations (or tilings) exemplify how mathematics can unify the aesthetic, natural, and rational worlds. Additional tessellation resources are included.
- Germino, M.J., Reiners, W.A., Blasko, B.J., McLeod, Donald, and Bastian, C.T., 2001, Estimating visual properties of Rocky Mountain landscapes using GIS: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 53, no. 1–4, p. 71–83.
- Gibson, J.J., 1987, The perception of visual surfaces: *American Journal of Psychology*, v. 100, no. 3–4, p. 646–664. **** Reprints in its original form from J.J. Gibson's 1950 article.
- Gillespie, M., Barr, C., Howard, D., and Bruce, R.G.H., 1999, Describing variation in the spatial pattern of British landscapes using data from Countryside Surveys, *in* Maudsley, M., and Marshall, J., eds., *Heterogeneity in Landscape Ecology–Pattern and Scale*: Aberdeen, International Association for Landscape Ecology (UK), p. 65–74.

- Gimblett, R.H., 1990, Visualizations: Linking dynamic spatial models with computer graphic algorithms for simulating the effects of resource planning and management decisions: *URISA Journal*, v. 2, no. 2, p. 26–34.
- Gimblett, H.R., Itami, R.M., and Fitzgibbon, J.E., 1985, Mystery in an information processing model of landscape preference: *Landscape Journal*, v. 4, no. 2, p. 87–95.
- Glasson, John, Therival, Rick, and Chadwick, Andrew, 1999, 2nd ed., Introduction to environmental impact assessment: Principles and procedures, process, practice, and prospects: London, Taylor and Francis, 496 p.
- Gobster, P.H., and Chenoweth, R.E., 1989, The dimensions of aesthetic preference: A quantitative analysis: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 29, no. 1, p. 47–72.
- Goguen, J.A., 2000, What is art? Editorial introduction to art and the brain, part 2: *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, v. 7, no. 8/9, p. 7–15.
- Goossen, M., and Langers, F., 2000, Assessing quality of rural areas in the Netherlands: Finding the most important indicators for recreation: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 46, no. 4, p. 241–251.
- Goudie, A.S., 2002, Aesthetics and relevance in geomorphological outreach: *Geomorphology*, v. 47, issues 2–4, p. 245–249.
- Goudie, Andrew, 2000, *The human impact on the natural environment: Great Britain*, MIT Press, 511 p.
- Gourlay, D., and Slee, B., 1998, Public preferences for landscape features: A case study of two Scottish environmentally sensitive areas: *Journal of Rural Studies*, v. 14, no. 2, p. 249–263. ****
This paper examines the extent to which the menu of environmental features supported by public policy and those supported by farmers match public preferences.
- Greenbie, B.B., 1982, The landscape of social symbols: *Landscape Research*, v. 7, no. 3, p. 2–6.

- Groat, L., 1983, Measuring the fit of new to old: *Architecture: The AIA Journal*, November, p. 58–69.
- Groat, L., 1984, Public opinions of contextual fit: *Architecture: The AIA Journal*, November, p. 72–75.
- Grossman, W.D., 2000, Realising sustainable development with the information society—The holistic Double Gain–Link approach: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 50, no. 1–3, p. 179–193.
- Groth, Paul, and Bressi, T.W., eds., 1997, *Understanding ordinary landscapes*: New Haven, Yale University Press, 272 p. **** Most of the authors take on urban subjects in order to understand both past and present cultural life. Book includes a historical review of recent trends in landscape studies and an annotated bibliography.
- Groves, D.L., Bell, N.H., and Cauley, V.B., 1975, General population expectations toward public forested land: *Man–Environment Systems*, v. 5, no. 4, p. 257–262.
- Gulinck, Hubert, Múgica, Marta, Vicente de Lucio, José, and Atauri, José, 2001, A framework for comparative landscape analysis and evaluation based on land cover data, with an application in the Madrid region (Spain): *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 55, no. 4, p. 257–270.
- Gunn, John, Bailey, Debra, and Gagen, Peter, 1992, Landform replication as a technique for reclamation of limestone quarries: A progress report: Manchester, Department of the Environment, 38 p. and 3 appendices.
- Gustke, L.D., and Hodgson, R.W., 1980, Rate of travel along an interpretive trail: The effect of an environmental discontinuity: *Environment & Behavior*, v. 12, no. 1, p. 53–63.
- Habron, D., 1998, Visual perception of wild land in Scotland: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 42, no. 1, p. 45–56.
- Hadrian, D.R., Bishop, I.D., and Mitcheltree, R., 1988, Automated mapping of visual impacts in utility corridors: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 16, no. 3, p. 261–282.

- Haines–Young, Roy, Green, D.R., and Cousins, Steven, 1993, Landscape ecology and spatial information systems, *in* Haines–Young, R., Green, D.R., and Cousins, S., eds., Landscape ecology and geographic information systems: Bristol, Taylor & Francis, Inc., p. 3–8.
- Haines–Young, Roy, Green, D.R., and Cousins, Steven, 1999, Role of GIS in landscape assessment using land–use–based criteria for an area of the Chiltern Hills area of outstanding natural beauty: *Land Use Policy*, v. 16, no. 1, p. 23–32.
- Haines–Young, Roy, Green, D.R., and Cousins, Steven, 1999, Using computer graphics for assessing the aesthetic value of large–scale rural landscapes: *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, v. 14, no. 3, p. 282–288.
- Halatchev, Rossen, and Dimitrakopoulos, Roussos, 2003, On the dynamics of mining operations in open pit mines: *International Journal of Surface Mining, Reclamation and Environment*, v. 17, no. 4, p. 246–263.
- Halprin, Lawrence, 1965, Motion: *Progressive Architecture*, July, p. 126–133. ***** This article sets forth a technique of notating movement graphically.
- Hamill, L., 1985, On the persistence of error in scholarly communication: The case of landscape aesthetics: *Canadian Geographer*, v. 29, p. 270–273.
- Hammond, E.H., 1964, Analysis of properties in land form geography: An application to broad–scale land form mapping: *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, v. 54, p. 11–19.
- Hampe, G.D., and Noe, F.P., 1983, A study in the aesthetics of boundaries: Fences along a national parkway: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 17, no. 3, p. 239–248.
- Hancock, G.R., Loch, R.J., and Willgoose, G.R., 2003, The design of post–mining landscapes using geomorphic principles: *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, v. 28, no. 10, p. 1097–1110.

- Handel, S.N., 1997, The role of plant–animal mutualisms in the design and restoration of natural communities, *in* Urbanski, Webb, and Edwards, eds., *Restoration ecology and sustainable development*: Cambridge University Press, p. 111–132.
- Hands, D.E., and Brown, R.D., 2002, Enhancing visual preference of ecological rehabilitation sites: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 58, no. 1, p. 57–70.
- Hanna, K.C., and Culpepper, R.B., 1998, *GIS in site design*: New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 223 p.
- Hannis, Michael, 1998, The last refuge of the unquantifiable: Aesthetics, experience and environmentalism, Lancaster University MAVE Programme. Accessed August 26, 1999, at URL http://148.88.8.181/users/philosophy/mave/mh_3.htm
- Hardt, R.A., and Forman, R.T.T., 1989, Boundary form effects on woody colonization of reclaimed surface mines: *Ecology*, v. 70, no. 5, p. 1252–1260.
- Hares, D., 1992, Lakes from wasteland: *Landscape Design*, no. 207, p. 24–26, 33–34.
- Harner, John, 2001, Place identity and copper mining in Sonora, Mexico: *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, v. 91, no. 4, p. 660–680.
- Harris, Britton, and Batty, Michael, 2001, Locational models, geographic information, and planning support systems, *in* Brail, R.K., and Klosterman, R.E., eds., *Planning support systems: Integrating geographic information systems, models, and visualization tools*: Redlands, California, ESRI Press, p. 24–57.
- Harrison, A.R., and Dunn, R., 1994, Problems of sampling the landscape, *in* Haines–Young, Roy, Green, D.R., and Cousins, Steven, eds., *Landscape Ecology and Geographic Information Systems*: Bristol, Taylor & Francis, Inc., p. 101–110.
- Hartig, T., Mang, M., and Evans, G.W., 1991, Restorative effects of natural environment experience: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 23, no. 1, p. 3–26.

- Haynes, J.S., 1981, A practical perspective on landscape evaluation: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 25.
- Hedfors, Per, and Berg, P.G., 2003, The sounds of two landscape settings: Auditory concepts for physical planning and design: *Landscape Research*, v. 28, no. 3, p. 245–263.
- Hehl, Sigrid, and Lange, Eckart, 2003, Visualizing virtual brown coal landscapes by merging GIS and remote sensing data: Institute for Spatial and Landscape Development, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, ETH Zurich. Accessed on July 14, 2003, at URL <http://www.nsl.ethz.ch/~lange/cottbus/cottbus.html>
- Henderson, J.M., 1992, Object identification in context: The visual processing of natural scenes: *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, v. 46, p. 319–341.
- Hepburn, R.W., 1993, Trivial and serious in aesthetic appreciation of nature, *in* Kemel, Salim, and Gaskell, Ivan, eds., *Landscape, natural beauty, and the arts*: Cambridge, University Press, p. 65–80.
- Herman, J.F., Miller, B.S., and Shiraki, J.H., 1987, The influence of affective associations on the development of cognitive maps of large environments: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 7, p. 89–98.
- Hertfordshire County Council, UK, 2001, A landscape strategy for Hertfordshire, v. 1, Background information, 34 p. Accessed July 14, 2003, at URL <http://enquire.hertscc.gov.uk/landscsh/Volume1.htm>
- Herzog, T.R., 1984, A cognitive analysis of preference for field and forest environments: *Landscape Research*, v. 9, no. 1, p. 10–16.
- Herzog, T.R., 1985, A cognitive analysis of preference for waterscapes: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 5, p. 225–241.

- Herzog, T.R., 1987, A cognitive analysis of preference for natural environments: Mountains, canyons, and deserts: *Landscape Journal*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 140–152.
- Herzog, T.R., 1989, A cognitive analysis of preference for urban nature: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 9, p. 27–43.
- Herzog, T.R., and Bosley, P.J., 1992, Tranquility and preference as affective qualities of natural environments: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 12, p. 115–127. **** Study of 341 undergraduate students using 66 color slides of natural environments with relevance to the concept of tranquility.
- Herzog, T.R., Herbert, E.J., Kaplan, R., and Crooks, C.L., 2000, Cultural and developmental comparisons of landscape perceptions and preferences: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 32, no. 3, p. 323–346.
- Herzog, T.R., Kaplan, S., and Kaplan, R., 1976, The prediction of preference for familiar urban places: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 8, p. 627–645.
- Herzog, T.R., Kaplan, S., and Kaplan, R., 1982, The prediction of preference for unfamiliar urban places: *Population and Environment*, v. 5, p. 43–59.
- Heyligers, P.C., 1981, Prospect/refuge symbolism of dune landscapes: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 7–11.
- Higuchi, Tadahiko (translated by Charles Terry), 1988, *The Visual and Spatial Structure of Landscapes*: MIT Press, 228 p. **** Higuchi applies a methodology similar to Kevin Lynch for investigating perceptual analysis of urban settings to the landscape.
- Hirsch, Eric, and O’Hanlon, Michael, eds., 1995, *The Anthropology of landscape: Perspectives on place and space (Oxford Studies in Social and Cultural Anthropology)*: Oxford, Oxford University Press, 268 p.

- Hodgson, R.W., and Thayer, R.L., Jr., 1980, Implied human influence reduces landscape beauty: *Landscape Planning*, v. 7, no. 2, p. 171–179. ***** Visitors to a ghost town and county park in the Mojave Desert, young people in an apartment complex near the University in Davis, California, and living units within a Sacramento census tract ranked 15 natural versus human influenced landscape photographs.
- Hook, R.L., 1994, On the efficacy of humans as geomorphic agents: *GSA Today*, v. 4, no. 9, p. 1, 224–225.
- Hooper, K., 1978, Perceptual aspects of architecture, *in* Carterette, E.C., and Friedman, M.P., eds., *Handbook of Perception—Perceptual Ecology*: New York, Academic Press, p. 155–189.
- Hooper, L., 1993, Tale of a tip: *Landscape Design*, April, p. 19–21.
- Horton, Philip, 2003, Developing guidelines for identifying Biodiversity Action Plan habitats in quarries: A feasibility study: *English Nature Research Reports*, no. 504, 53 p.
- House, Christine, 1995, Beauty by extraction: *Landscape Design*, no. 238, p. 14–16.
- Howett, C., 1987, Systems, signs, sensibilities: Sources for a new landscape aesthetic: *Landscape Journal*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 1–11.
- Hull, R.B., 1986, Sensitivity of scenic beauty assessment: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 13, no. 4, p. 319–321.
- Hull, R.B., and Buhyoff, G.J., 1983, Distance and scenic beauty: A nonmonotonic relationship: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 15, no. 1, p. 77–91.
- Hull, R.B., Buhyoff, G.J., and Cordell, H.K., 1987, Psychophysical models: An example with scenic beauty perceptions of roadside pine forests: *Landscape Journal*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 113–122.
- Hull, R.B., Buhyoff, G.J., and Daniel, T.C., 1984, Measurement of scenic beauty temporal distribution method: The law of comparative judgment and scenic beauty estimation procedures, *Forest Science*, v. 30, p. 1084–1096.

- Hull, R.B., and Harvey, A., 1989, Explaining the emotion people experience in suburban parks: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 21, no. 3, p. 323–345.
- Hull, R.B., and McCarthy, M.M., 1988, Change in the landscape: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 3–4, p. 265–278.
- Hull, R.B., and Revell, G.R.B., 1989, Issues in sampling landscapes for visual quality assessments: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 17, no. 4, p. 323–330.
- Hull, R.B., and Revell, G.R.B., 1989, Cross-cultural comparisons of landscape scenic beauty evaluations: A case study in Bali: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 9, p. 177–191.
- Hull, R.B., and Stewart, W.P., 1992, Validity of photo-based scenic beauty judgments: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 12, p. 101–114.
- Hunziker, M., 1995, The spontaneous reforestation in abandoned agricultural lands: Perception and aesthetic assessment by locals and tourists: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 31, no. 1, p. 399–410.
- Im, Seung-Bin, 1984, Visual Preferences in Enclosed Urban Spaces: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 16, p. 235–262.
- Ittelson, W.H., 1970, Perception of the large-scale environment: *Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences, Series II*, v. 32, no. 7, p. 807–815.
- Ittelson, W.H., Proshansky, H.M., Rivlin, L.G., and Winkel, G.H., 1974, An introduction to environmental psychology: New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 406 p. **** Chapters range from historical attitudes toward the natural environment, the search for environmental theory to research methods and the built environment.
- Iverson, W.D., Sheppard, S.R.J., and Strain, R.A., 1993, Managing regional scenic quality in the Lake Tahoe Basin: *Landscape Journal*, v. 12, no. 1, p. 23–39.

- Jackson, J.B., 1984, *Discovering the vernacular landscape*: New Haven, Yale University Press, 165 p. **** A tour of landscapes, past and present, and how our surroundings reflect our culture.
- Jackson, J.B., 1994, *A sense of place, a sense of time*: New Haven, Yale University Press, 212 p. **** Argues that our urban environment makes us increasingly concerned with time and movement rather than place and permanence.
- Jackson, R.H., and Hudman, L.E., 1978, Assessment of the environmental impact of high voltage power transmission lines: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 6, p. 153–170.
- Jackson, Russell, and Horyza, Chris, 2001, *Integrating GIS technologies with the visual resource management inventory system*: Denver, Colorado, Bureau of Land Management, Technical Note 407, 27 p.
- Jacobs, Peter, 1971, Landscape development in the urban fringe—A case study of the site planning process: *Town Planning Review*, v. 42, no. 4, p. 342–360.
- Jacobs, Peter, 1974, Urban space: A study of open space in the Canadian urban milieu: *Man—Environment Systems*, v. 4, no. 6, p. 405–406.
- Jacobs, Peter, 1975, The landscape image: Current approaches to the visual analysis of the landscape: *Town Planning Review*, v. 46, no. 1, p. 127–150.
- Jacques, D.L., 1980, Landscape appraisal: The case for a subjective theory: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 10, no. 2, p. 107–113.
- Jacques, D.L., 1981, Landscape appraisal: The "Objective/Subjective" debate: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 32.
- Jakle, J.A., 1987, *The visual elements of landscape*: Amherst, University of Massachusetts Press, 200 p. **** Jakle seeks to incorporate the functional interpretation of cultural landscape in a visual and aesthetic dimension.

- Johnson, L.M., 2000, "A place that's good," *Gitksan landscape perception and ethnoecology: Human Ecology*, v. 28, no. 2, p. 301–325.
- Johnston, Chris, 2002, *Inspirational landscapes framework paper: Australian Heritage Commission*, 15 p. Accessed March 19, 2004, at URL <http://www.heritageforum.truenorth.net/Inspirationallandscapes/otherresources.asp>
- Jordan, L.E., III, and Sperry, S.L., 1992, Visualizing geography: Using a GIS to turn spatial concepts into reality, *in Proceedings from ASPRS/ASCM/RT 92*, v. 5, p. 266–270.
- Kaliampakos, D., and Damigos, D., 1998, Quarry rehabilitation in Attica: Mining Environmental Management, v. 6, no. 1, p. 13–14. **** Four case studies in Greece of abandoned quarries with high visual impact.
- Kaltenborn, B.P., and Bjerke, Tore, 2002, Associations between landscape preferences and place attachment: A study in Røros, Norway: *Landscape Research*, v. 27, no. 4, p. 381–396.
- Kaplan, R., 1975, Some methods and strategies in the prediction of preference, *in Zube, E.H., Brush, R.O., and Fabos, J.G., eds., Landscape Assessment: Values, Perceptions, and Resources: Stroudsberg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson & Ross Inc.*, p. 118–129.
- Kaplan, R., 1977, Patterns for environmental preference: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 9, no. 2, p. 195–216.
- Kaplan, R., 1977, Preference and everyday nature: method and application, *in Stokols, D., ed., Perspective on environment and behavior: Theory research and application: New York, Plenum Press*, p. 235–250.
- Kaplan, R., 1979, A methodology for simultaneously obtaining and sharing information, *Assessing Amenity Resource Value: USDA Forest Service General Technical Report Rm-68*, p. 58–66.
- Kaplan, R., 1983, The role of nature in the urban context, *in Altman, I., and Wohlwill, J.F., eds., Behavior and the Natural Environment: New York, Plenum Press*, v. 6, p. 127–161.

- Kaplan, R., 1984, Impact of urban nature: A theoretical analysis: *Urban Ecology*, v. 8, p. 189–197.
- Kaplan, R., 1985, Nature at the doorstep: Residential satisfaction and the nearby environment: *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research*, v. 2, no. 2, p. 115–127.
- Kaplan, R., 1985, The analysis of perception via preference: A strategy for studying how the environment is experienced: *Landscape Planning*, v. 12, no. 2, p. 161–176.
- Kaplan, R., and Herbert, E.J., 1987, Cultural and sub-cultural comparisons in preferences for natural settings: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 14, no. 4, p. 281–293.
- Kaplan, R., and Kaplan, S., 1989, The visual environment: Public participation in design and planning: *Journal of Social Issues*, v. 45, no. 1, p. 59 – 86.
- Kaplan, Rachel, and Kaplan, Stephen, 1982, *Cognition and environment: Functioning in an uncertain world*: New York, Ulrichs Books, 287 p.
- Kaplan, Rachel, Kaplan, Stephen, and Brown, Terry, 1989, Environmental preference: A comparison of four domains of predictors: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 21, no. 5, p. 509–530.
- Kaplan, Rachel, Kaplan, Stephen, and Ryan, R.L., 1998, *With people in mind: design and management of everyday nature*: Washington D.C., Island Press, 239 p.
- Kaplan, Rachel, and Talbot, J.F., 1987, Ethnicity and preference for natural settings: A review and recent findings: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 1–2, p. 107–117.
- Kaplan, S., 1973, Cognitive maps, human needs and the designed environment, *in* Preiser, W.F.E., ed., *Environmental Design Research*: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross Inc., p. 275–283.
- Kaplan, S., 1975, An informal model for the prediction of preference, *in* Zube, E.H., Brush, R.O., and Fabos, J.G., eds., *Landscape Assessment: Values, Perceptions and Resources*: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross Inc., p. 92–101.

- Kaplan, S., 1976, Adaption, structure and knowledge, *in* Moore, G.T., and Golledge, R.G., eds., *Environmental Knowing: Theories, Perspectives and Methods*: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross Inc., p. 32–45.
- Kaplan, S., 1979, Concerning the power of content identifying methodologies, *in* *Assessing amenity resource values: USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM–68*, p. 4–13.
- Kaplan, S., 1979, Perception and landscape: conception and misconception, *in* *Proceedings of Our National Landscape*, USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PSW–35, p. 241–248.
- Kaplan, S., 1983, A model of person–environment compatibility: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 5, no. 3, p. 311–332.
- Kaplan, S., 1987, Aesthetics, affect, and cognition: Environmental preference from an evolutionary perspective: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 19, no. 1, p. 3–32.
- Kaplan, S., 1995, The restorative benefits of nature: Toward an integrative framework: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 15, p. 169–182.
- Kaplan, S., and Kaplan, R., 1989, *The Experience of Nature: A psychological perspective*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 340 p.
- Kaplan, S., Kaplan, R., and Wendt, J.S., 1972, Rated preference and complexity for natural and urban visual material: *Perception and Psychophysics*, v. 12, no. 4, p. 354–356.
- Kasmar, J., 1970, The development of a usable lexicon of environmental descriptors: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 2, p. 153–169.
- Kasprisin, Ron, and Pettinari, James, 1995, *Visual thinking for architects and designers*: New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 270 p. ***** Authors present three–dimensional drawing as a communication and decision–making tool to be used during the design and planning process.
- Kates, R., 1966, The pursuit of beauty in the environment: *Landscape*, v. 16, p. 21–26.

- Katzer, Jeffrey, Cook, K.H., and Crouch, W.W., 1978, *Evaluating information: A guide for users of social science research*: Reading, Mass., Addison–Wesley Publishing Company, 191 p.
- Kellert, S.R., and Wilson, E.O., 1993, *The biophilia hypothesis*: Washington, D.C., Island Press, 484 p. **** Brings together various perspectives—psychological, biological, cultural, symbolic, and aesthetic—and presents empirical evidence that supports or refutes the biophilia hypothesis (our innate affinity for the natural world).
- Kent, Martin, 1986, *Visibility analysis of mining and waste tipping sites—A review*: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 13, no. 5, p. 101–110.
- Kent, R.L., 1993, *Determining scenic quality along highways: A cognitive approach*: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 27, no. 1, p. 29–45. **** Thirty–six photographs representing nine landscape categories were shown to 249 people from three sample groups.
- Killeen, K., and Buhyoff, G., 1983, *The relation of landscape preference to abstract topography*: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 7, no. 4, p. 381–392.
- Kirkpatrick, Jamie, *A sort of scientist on inspiring landscapes*: Australian Heritage Commission, 3 p. Accessed March 23, 2004, at URL http://heritageforum.truenorth.net.au/Inspirationallandscapes/pdf/perspective_essays/Jamie_Kirkpatrick_FINAL.pdf
- Knapp, R.G., ed., 1992, *Chinese landscapes: The village as place*: Honolulu, Hawaii University Press, 328 p.
- Knepper D.H., Jr., Langer, W.H., and Miller, Susanne, 1995, *A Survey of Natural Aggregate Properties and Characteristics Important in Remote Sensing and Airborne Geophysics*: *Nonrenewable Resources*, v. 4, no. 1 (Spring), p. 99–120.

Koutamanis, Alexander, Timmermans, Harry, and Vermeulen, Ilse, 1995, *Visual Databases in Architecture: Recent advances in design and decision making*: Avebury, Vermont, Ashgate Publishing Co., 262 p.

Krause, C.L., 2001, Our visual landscape—Managing the landscape under special consideration of visual aspects: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1–4, p. 239–254.

Kreimer, Alcira, 1977, Environmental preferences: A critical analysis of some research methodologies: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 9, no. 2, p. 88–97.

Krönert, Rudolf, Steinhardt, Uta, and Volk, Martin, eds., 2001, *Landscape balance and landscape assessment*: Berlin, Springer, 304 p. ***** This volume gives a fundamental representation of the German approach to landscape ecology, including theoretical basis and practical application.

Kwinter, Sanford, 2001, *Architectures of time: Toward a theory of the event in modernist culture*: Cambridge, Massachusetts, The MIT Press, 237 p. ***** The interplay between the physical sciences and the arts.

Lamb, R.J., and Purcell, A.T., 1990, Perception of naturalness in landscape and its relationship to vegetation structure: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 19, no. 4, p. 333–352.

Lamb, R.J., Purcell, A.T., Mainardi Peron, E., and Falchero, S., 1994, Cognitive Categorisation and Preference for Places, *in* Neary, S.J., Symes, M.S., and Brown, F.E., eds., *The Urban Experience: A People–Environment Perspective*: E&FN Spon, London, p. 405 – 416.

Lancaster, Michael, 1994, *The new European landscape*: Oxford, Butterworth–Heinemann Ltd., 162 p. ***** An appraisal of landscape design in Europe with 60 examples.

Landgon, F.J., 1982, Monetary evaluation and attitude scaling of environmental disamenity: A different approach or a different problem: *International Review of Applied Psychology*, v. 31, p. 237–252.

- Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, 2002, Guidelines for landscape and visual impact assessment, Second edition: London, E&FN Spon, 166 p.
- Lange, Eckart, 1994, Integration of computerized visual simulation and visual assessment in environmental planning: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 30, no. 1–2, p. 99–112.
- Lange, Eckart, 2001, The limits of realism: Perceptions of virtual landscapes: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1–4, p. 163–182.
- Lange, Eckart, 2002, Visualization in landscape architecture and planning: Where we have been, where we are now and where we might go from here, *in* Buhmann, Eric, Nothelfer, U., and Pietsch, M., eds., Trends in GIS and virtualization in environmental planning and design—Proceedings at Anhalt University of Applied Science: Heidelberg, Wichmann Verlag, p. 8–18.
- Lange, Eckart, 2003, Reality and computerized visual simulation: An empirical study about the degree of realism of virtual landscapes: *Netzwerk Stadt und Landschaft*, 3 p.
- Lange, Eckart, and Bishop, Ian, 2001, Our visual landscape: Analysis, modeling, visualization and protection: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1–4, p. 1-3
- Lange, Eckart, and Schaeffer, P.V., 2001, A comment on the market value of a room with a view: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 55, no. 2, p. 113–120.
- Langer, S.K., 1951, *Philosophy in a new key: A study in the symbolism of reason, rite, and art*: New York, The New American Library, 256 p.
- Langer, W.H., and Glanzman, V.M., 1993, *Natural Aggregate—Building America’s Future*: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1110, 39 p. ***** General overview of geology, supply and demand, and planning and regulation of the aggregate industry.
- Lapka, Miloslav, and Cudlinova, Eva, 2003, Changing landscapes, changing landscape’s story: *Landscape Research*, v. 28, no. 3, p. 323–328. ***** The role sustainable tourism can play in maintaining European landscapes’ distinctive cultural features.

- Latimer, D.A., Hogo, Henry, and Daniel, T.C., 1981, The effects of atmospheric optical conditions on perceived scenic beauty: *Atmospheric Environment*, v. 15, no. 10/11, p. 1865–1874.
- Laughlin, N.A., and Garcia, M.W., 1986, Attitudes of landscape architects in the USDA Forest Service toward the Visual Management System: *Landscape Journal*, v. 5, no. 2, p. 135–139.
- Lausch, Angela, and Thulke, Hans–Hermann, 2001, The analysis of spatio–temporal dynamics of landscape structures, *in* Krönert, Rudolf, Steinhardt, Uta, and Volk, Martin, eds., *Landscape balance and landscape assessment*: Berlin, Springer, p. 113–136.
- Lavers, C.J., and Haines–Young, R.H., 1993, Equilibrium landscapes and their aftermath: Spatial heterogeneity and the role of the new technology, *in* Haines–Young, R., Green, D.R., and Cousins, S., eds., *Landscape Ecology and Geographic Information Systems*: Bristol, Taylor & Francis Inc., p. 57–74.
- Law, C.S., and Zube, E.H., 1983, Effects of photographic composition on landscape perception: *Landscape Research*, v. 8, no. 1, p. 22–23.
- Leach, Neil, 2000, *The anaesthetics of architecture*: Cambridge, The MIT Press, 101 p. *****
 Critique of the growing preoccupation with images and image making in contemporary architectural culture.
- Leccese, Michael, 1993, Shadow under red rock: *Landscape Architecture*, v. 83, no. 10, p. 91–95.
 ***** A forum of landscape architects and officials from Boulder, Colorado, discuss ideas to deal with a sandstone quarry.
- Leopold, Aldo, 1974, *A Sand County almanac with essays on conservation from Round River*: New York, Sierra Club/Ballantine Book, 295 p. ***** First published in 1949, this publication is an environmental classic with a plea for a wilderness aesthetic.
- Leopold, L.B., 1969, Landscape esthetics: *Natural History*, October, p. 37–44.

- Leopold, L.B., 1969, Quantitative comparison of some aesthetic factors among rivers: Washington, D.C., U.S. Geological Survey Circular 620, 16 p.
- Lewis, B.J., and Slider, T.C., no date, Social systems and the human dimension of ecosystem management, USDA Forest Service, 8 p. Accessed March 13, 2003, at URL <http://www.fs.fed.us/eco/s19bpre.htm>
- Linehan, J.R., and Gross, M., 1998, Back to the future, back to basics: The social ecology of landscapes and the future of landscape planning: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 42, no. 2–4, p. 207–224.
- Litton, R.B., 1968, Forest landscape description and inventories: A basis for land planning and design: Berkeley, California, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service Research Paper PSW–49, p. 709–717.
- Litton, R.B., 1972, Aesthetic dimensions of the landscape, *in* Krutilla, J., ed., *Natural Environments*: Baltimore, Maryland, John Hopkins University Press, p. 262–291.
- Litton, R.B., 1974, Visual vulnerability of forest landscape: *Journal of Forestry*, July, p. 392–397.
- Litton, R.B., 1979, Descriptive approaches to landscape analysis, *in* Elsner, G.H., and Smardon, R.C., eds, *Proceedings of Our National Landscape: A conference on applied techniques for analysis and management of the visual resource*: Berkeley, California, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PSW–35, p. 77–87.
- Litton, R.B., and Kieiger, M., 1971, (A review on) *Design With Nature*: *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, v. 37, no. 1, p. 50–52.
- Litton, R.B., Jr., and Tetlow, R.J., 1978, A landscape inventory framework: Scenic analyses of the Northern Great Plains. USDA Forest Service Research Paper PSW–135.

- Llobera, M., 2001, Building past landscape perception with GIS: Understanding topographic prominence, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, v. 28, no. 9, p. 1005–1014.
- Longbottom, J.E., and Butler, P.H., 1999, Why teach science? Setting rational goals for science education: *Science Education*, V. 83, no. 4, p. 473–492.
- Lothian, A., 1999, Landscape and the philosophy of aesthetics: Is landscape quality inherent in the landscape or in the eye of the beholder? *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 44, no. 4, p. 177–198.
- Louviere, J.J., 1974, Predicting the evaluation of real stimulus objects from abstract evaluation of their attributes: The case of trout streams: *Journal of Applied Psychology*, v. 59, no. 5, p. 572–577.
- Lowenthal, D., 1975, Past time, present place: landscape and memory: *Geographical Review*, v. 65, p. 1–36.
- Lowenthal, D , 1977, The bicentennial landscape: a mirror held up to the past: *Geographical Review*, v. 67, p. 249–267.
- Lowenthal, David, 1978, Finding valued landscapes: *Progress in Human Geography*, v. 2, no. 3, p. 373–418.
- Lowenthal, David, 1997, European landscape transformations, *in* Groth, Paul, and Bressi, T.W., eds., *Understanding ordinary landscapes*: New Haven, Yale University Press, p. 180–188.
- Lyle, J.T., 1994, *Regenerative design for sustainable development*: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 352 p.
- Lyle, J.T., 1999, *Design for human ecosystems: Landscape, land use, and natural resources*: New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 279 p. **** Originally published in 1985, classic text that explores methods of designing landscapes that function in the sustainable ways of natural ecosystems.
- Lyons, E., 1983, Demographic correlates of landscape preference: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 15, no. 4, p. 487–511.

- Macalady, Alison, 2000, Sand and gravel mining in Colorado rivers and riparian areas: Impacts, regulations, and suggestions for the future: Draft Report, Western Slope Environmental Resource Council, 102 p.
- MacArthur, R.H., and Wilson, E.O., 1963, An equilibrium theory of insular biogeography: *Evolution*, v. 17, p. 373–387.
- MacArthur, R.H., and Wilson, E.O., 1967, *The theory of island biogeography*: New Jersey, Princeton University Press, 224 p.
- Macaulay Institute, 2003, Landscape evaluation review: Aberdeen, UK. Accessed August 14, 2003, at URL <http://www.mluri.sari.ac.uk/ccw/task-two/index.html> ***** Includes review of existing methods of landscape evaluation, United Kingdom statutory and nonstatutory designations, and issues of preference and judgment.
- Magill, A.W., 1989, Monitoring environmental change with color slides: Berkeley, California, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report PSW–117, 55 p. ***** Note: this report was obtained from microfilm of such poor reproduction that the illustrations are not discernable.
- Magill, A.W., 1990, Assessing public concern for landscape quality: A potential model to identify visual thresholds: Berkeley, California, Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, Research Paper PSW–203, 48 p.
- Magill, A.W., 1992, Managed and natural landscapes: What do people like? Berkeley, California, Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, Research Paper PSW–RP–213, 28 p.
- Magill, A.W., and Litton, R.B., 1980, A color measuring system for landscape assessment: *Landscape Journal*, v. 5, no. 1, p. 45–54.
- Malm, W., Kelley, K., Molenaar, J., and Daniel, T.C., 1981, Human perception of visual air quality (uniform haze): *Atmospheric Environment*, v. 15, no. 10/11, p. 1875–1890.

- Malpas, Jeff, 2002, Breath and revelation, *in* Inspirational Landscapes—Heritage Places? On-Line Conference, November 6–7, 2002, Australian Heritage Commission, 4 p. Accessed March 19, 2004, at URL http://heritageforum.truenorth.net.au/Inspirationallandscapes/pdf/perspective_essays/Jeff_Malpas_FINAL.pdf
- Manning, O., 1982, Aesthetics and the urban landscape: Landscape Research (Editorial), v. 7, no. 3, p. 1.
- Manning, O., 1982, This is my Place: A sequence of private entry statements: Landscape Research, v. 7, no. 3, p. 26.
- Manning, Owen, 1979, Designing for nature in cities, *in* Laurie, I.C., ed., Nature in cities: New York, John Wiley & Sons, p. 3–36.
- Manning, R.E., 1985, Crowding norms in backcountry settings: A review and synthesis: Journal of Leisure Research, v. 17, no. 2, p. 75–89.
- Marchetti, Mauro, and Rivas, Victoria, eds., 2000, Geomorphology and environmental impact assessment: Lisse, The Netherlands, A.A. Balkema Publishers, 221 p.
- Marcus, C.C., 1982, The aesthetics of family housing: The residents' viewpoint: Landscape Research, v. 7, no. 3, p. 9–13.
- Marr, J.E., 1912, 4th ed., The scientific study of scenery: London, Methuen & Co. Ltd., p. 3–7.
- Martin, J., 1993, Assessing the landscape: Landscape Design, April, p. 21–25.
- Martinez–Falero, Eugenio, and Gonzalez–Alonso, Santiago, eds., 1995, Quantitative Techniques in Landscape Planning: Boca Raton, CRC Press/Lewis Publishers, Inc., 274 p. ***** Contributors from Ciudad Universitaria, Madrid, Spain, cover data analysis and processing information in landscape planning, models, and inventory.

- Matthews, P.M., 2001, Aesthetic appreciation of art and nature: *British Journal of Aesthetics*, v. 41, no. 4, p. 395–410.
- Mattiazzo, R., 1993, Environmental management and mine site rehabilitation in South Australia, *in* Woodcock, J.T., and Hamilton, J.K., eds., *The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metal monograph no. 19: Victoria, Australia*, v. 1, p. 134–140.
- McCall Skutsch, M., and Flowerdew, R.T.N., 1976, Measurement techniques in environmental impact assessment: *Environmental Conservation*, v. 3, no. 3, p. 209–217.
- McHarg, I.L., 1981, Human ecological planning at Pennsylvania: *Landscape Planning*, v. 8, no. 2, p. 109–120.
- McHarg, I.L., 1992, *Design with nature*, 25th anniversary ed., New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 198 p. **** A classic discussion of mankind's place in nature and nature's place in mankind within the physical sciences and humanities.
- Mealey, L., and Theis, P., 1995, The relationship between mood and preferences among natural landscapes: An evolutionary perspective: *Ethology and Sociobiology*, v. 16, no. 3, p. 247–256.
- Meeus, J.H.A., Wijermans, M.P., and Vroom, M.J, 1990, Agricultural landscapes in Europe and their transformation: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 18, no. 3–4, p. 289–352.
- Meinig, D.W., ed., 1979, *The interpretation of ordinary landscapes: Geographical essays*: Oxford, Oxford University Press, 255 p.
- Merefield, J.R., Stone, I., Roberts, J., Dean, A., and Jones, J., 1995, Monitoring airborne dust from quarrying and surface mining operations: *Transactions of the Institute of Mining and Metalurgy, Section A*, v. 104, p. A76–78.
- Merriam, G., 1984, Connectivity: A fundamental characteristic of landscape pattern, *in* Brandt, J., and Agger, P., eds., *Proceedings of the first International Seminar on Methodology in Landscape Ecological Research and Planning: Roskilde, Denmark*, Roskilde Universitetsfolag GeoRuc.,

v. 1, p. 5–15.

Miller, A., 1983, The influence of personal biases on environmental problem–solving: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 17, no. 2, p. 133–142.

Miller, D.R., and Wherrett, J.R., 1998, Visualising changes in historic landscapes, 20 p. Accessed November 27, 2000, at URL <<http://bamboo.mluri.sari.ac.uk/...ns/rt98-david/miller-paper.html>> ***** This paper describes the methods used to visualize landscapes in two study areas in the United Kingdom at multiple dates since 1946.

Miller, Mara, 2003, Teaching Japanese aesthetics whys and hows for non–specialists: *American Society for Aesthetics*. Accessed January 8, 2004, at URL <http://www.aesthetics-online.org/ideas/miller.html>

Miller, Moreen, 2001, Rehabilitating mined sites—Putting MAAP on the map: *Aggregates & Roadbuilding*, 10 p. Accessed April 1, 2002, at URL <http://rocktoroad.com/maap.html> *****A rehabilitation program through a government/industry partnership in Ontario, Canada, lists goals, objectives, documentation, and actual costs for a 6 year period.

Mitchell, Don, 2002, Cultural landscapes: the dialectical landscape—Recent landscape research in human geography: *Progress in Human Geography*, v. 26, no. 3, p. 381–389.

Moffatt, I., 1990, The potentialities and problems associated with applying information technology to environmental management: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 30, no. 3, p. 209–220.

Molnar, D.J., 1986, SCEEN: An interactive computer graphics design system for real–time environmental simulation: *Landscape Journal*, v. 5, no. 2, p. 128–134.

Montgomery, C.A., 2002, Ranking the benefits of biodiversity: An exploration of relative values: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 65, no. 3, p. 313–326.

Moore, Kathy, 2003, Genius loci: Hidden truth or hidden agenda? *Landscape Design*, no. 321, p. 44–49.

- More, T.A., Stevens, T., and Allen, P.G., 1988, Valuation of urban parks: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 1–2, p. 139–152.
- Morgan, Robert 1999, Some factors affecting coastal landscape aesthetic quality assessment: *Landscape Research*, v. 24, no. 2, p. 167–184.
- Morris, D., 1987, Landscape restoration in response to previous disturbance, *in* Turner, M.G., and Gardner, R.H., eds., *Quantitative Methods in Landscape Ecology*: Springer–Verlag, p. 159–172.
- Moss, M.R., and Nickling, W.G., 1980, Landscape evaluation in environmental assessment and land use planning: *Environmental Management*, v. 4, no. 1, p. 57–72. ***** Three established methods (Linton, Leopold, and Newkirk) of landscape evaluation are tested in a section of the Niagara Peninsula of southern Ontario.
- Moy, P.J., 1983, Environmental impact assessment consultants: The case against self–regulation: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 17, no. 4, p. 393–401.
- Mudrak, L.Y., 1982, Sensory mapping and preferences for urban nature: *Landscape Research*, v. 7, no. 2, p. 2–8.
- Muhar, Andreas, 2001, Three–dimensional modeling and visualization of vegetation for landscape simulation: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1–4, p. 5–17.
- Muir, Richard, 1999, *Approaches to landscape*: London, MacMillan Press Ltd., 310 p.
- Munro, Thomas, 1970, *Form and style in the arts: An introduction to aesthetic morphology*: Cleveland, The Press of Case Western Reserve University, 467 p.
- Nasar, J.L., 1983, Adult viewer's preferences in residential scenes: A study of the relationship of environmental attributes to preference: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 15, no. 5, p. 589–614.
- Nasar, J.L., 1984, Visual preference in urban street scenes: A cross–cultural comparison between Japan and the United States: *Journal of Cross–Cultural Psychology*, v. 15, no. 1, p. 79–93.

- Nasar, J.L., 1987, Environmental correlates of evaluative appraisals of Central Business District scenes: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 14, no. 2, p. 117–130.
- Nasar, J.L., 1989, Perception, Cognition, and Evaluation of Urban Places, *in* Altman, Irwin, and Zube, E.H., eds., *Public Places and Spaces, Human Behavior and Environment*: New York, Plenum Press, v. 10, p. 31–53.
- Nasar, J.L., ed., 1992, *Environmental aesthetics: Theory, research, and application*: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 557 p.
- Nasar, J.L., Julian, D., Buchman, S., Humphreys, D., and Mrohaly, M., 1983, The emotional quality of scenes and observation points: A look at prospect and refuge: *Landscape Planning*, v. 10, no. 4, p. 355–361.
- Nassauer, J., 1980, A non-linear model of visual quality: *Landscape Research*, v. 5, no. 3, p. 29–31.
- Nassauer, J.I., 1983, Framing the landscape in photographic simulation: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 17, no. 1, p. 1–16.
- National Park Service, 2001, *Protection of Aesthetic Values*, 6 p. Accessed March 3, 2003, at URL <http://www2.nature.nps.gov/nps77/aesth.new.html>
- National Research Council, 1982, *Report of the Panel on Aesthetic Attributes in Water Resources Projects*: Washington, D.C., Environmental Studies Board, 24 p.
- National Research Council, 1982, *Report of the Panel on Cultural Attributes in Water Resources Projects*: Washington, D.C., Environmental Studies Board, 19 p.
- Naveh, Zev, 2000, What is holistic ecology? A conceptual introduction: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 50, no. 1–3, p. 7–26.
- Naveh, Zev, 2001, Ten major premises for a holistic conception of multifunctional landscapes: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 57, no. 3–4, p. 269–284.

- Nellis, L., 1981, The bottom line: Implementation of regional landscape planning through effective citizen participation and an innovative legal and administrative technique, *in* Regional Landscape Planning, Proceedings of 3 Educational Sessions, 1981 Annual Meeting, American Society of Landscape Architects, p. 72–80.
- Neumann, N.S., 1986, Semiotics of architectural ornament: A method of analysis: Architecture and Behavior, v. 3, no. 1, p. 37–53.
- Newby, P.T., 1979, Towards an understanding of landscape quality: Landscape Research, v. 4, no. 2, p. 11–17.
- Nicholls, D., 1993, Landscape beyond measure: Landscape Design, Oct., p. 42–44.
- Nicholson, D.T., 2002, Identification of deterioration hazard potential for quarried rock slopes: Quarry Management, v. 29, no. 1, p. 43–49.
- Nicolson, M.H., 1959, Mountain gloom and mountain glory: The development of the aesthetic of the infinite: New York, Cornell University Press, 403 p. ***** The history of changing English attitudes regarding mountains since the 1600's.
- Niran, Rosa, 1985, Landscape simulation techniques for quarry design: Landscape Australia, v. 2, p. 120–123.
- Nohl, Werner, 2001, Sustainable landscape use and aesthetic perception—Preliminary reflections on future landscape aesthetics: Landscape and Urban Planning, v. 54, no. 1, p. 223–237.
- Norman, Chris, 2001, A difficult balancing act: The significance of public perception in determining a mineral planning application: Quarry Management, v. 28, no. 11, p. 41–45.
- Norton, B.G., 1994, On what we should save: The role of culture in determining conservation targets, *in* Forey, P.L., Humphries, C.J., and Vane-Wright, R.I., eds., Systematics and Conservation Evaluation: Oxford, Clarendon Press, Special Volume No. 50, p. 23–39. *****

- Discusses the view that there is no objective scientific definition of biodiversity and the shift towards hierarchy theory.
- Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, 2002, Reclamation of limestone quarries by landform simulation: Executive summary. Accessed January 21, 2004, at URL http://www.odpm.gov.uk/stellent/groups/odpm_planning/documents/page/odpm_plan_606286.pdf
- Oh, Kyushik, 2001, LandScape Information System: A GIS approach to managing urban development: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1, p. 79–89. **** This study analyzed visual impacts of proposed development projects in Seoul, the capital of South Korea.
- Ohta, H., 2001, A phenomenological approach to natural landscape cognition: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 21, no. 4, p. 387–403.
- Oostendorp, A., and Berlyne, D.E., 1978, Dimensions in the perception of architecture—I, Identification and interpretation of dimensions of similarity: *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, v. 19, p. 73–82.
- Oostendorp, A., and Berlyne, D.E., 1978, Dimensions in the perception of architecture—II, Measures of exploratory behaviour: *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, v. 19, p. 83–89.
- Oostendorp, A., and Berlyne, D.E., 1978, Dimensions in the perception of architecture—III, Multidimensional preference scaling: *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, v. 19, p. 145–150.
- Orians, G.H., and Heerwagen, J.H., 1992, Evolved responses to landscapes, *in* Barkov, J.H., Cosmides, Leda, and Tooby, John, eds., *The Adapted Mind: Evolutionary Psychology and the Generation of Culture*: New York, Oxford University Press, p. 555–579.
- O’Riordan, T., Lovett, A., Dolman, P.M., Cobb, R., and Sunnenberg, G., 2000, Designing and implementing whole landscapes: *ECOS* v. 21, no. 1, p. 57–68.
- Orland, Brian, 1992, Data visualization techniques in environmental management: A workshop: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 21, no. 4, p. 237–239.

- Orland, Brian, 1992, Data visualization techniques in environmental management: A research, development, and application plan: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 21, no. 4, p. 241–246.
- Orland, Brian, 1992, Evaluating regional changes on the basis of local expectations: A visualization dilemma: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 21, no. 4, p. 257–259.
- Orland, Brian, Weidemann, E., Larsen, L., and Radja, P., 1994, Exploring the relationship between visual complexity and perceived beauty. Abstract, Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Society and Resource Management, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, p. 245.
- O'Rourke, E., 1999, Changing identities, changing landscapes: Human–land relations in transition in the Aspre, Roussillon: *ECUMENE*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 29–50.
- Owens, P.L., 1985, Conflict as a social interaction process in environment and behavior research: The example of leisure and recreation research: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 5, p. 243–259.
- Palang, Hannes, Alumäe, Helen, and Mander, Ülo, 2000, Holistic aspects in landscape development: A scenario approach: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 50, no. 1–3, p. 85–94.
- Palang, Hannes, Mander, Ülo, and Naveh, Zev, 2000, Holistic landscape ecology in action: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 50, no. 1–3, p. 1–6.
- Palmer, J.F., 1978, Citizen assessment of the coastal visual resource, Symposium on Technical, Environmental, Social Economic and Regulatory Aspects of Coastal Zone Management: Coastal Zone 78, San Francisco, California: American Society of Engineers, New York, March 14–16, 1978, v. 2, p. 1019–1037.
- Palmer, J.F., 1984, Neighborhoods as stands in the urban forest: *Urban Ecology*, v. 8, p. 229–241.
- Palmer, J.F., 1997, Suitability of landscape perceptions in the face of landscape change: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 37, no. 1, p. 109–113.

- Palmer, J.F., and Hoffman, R.E., 2001, Rating reliability and representation validity in scenic landscape assessment: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1, p. 149–161.
- Palmer, J.F., and Roos–Klein, Lankhorst, 1998, Evaluating visible spatial diversity in the landscape: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 43, no. 1, p. 65–78.
- Parr, T.W., Sier, A.R.J., Battarbee, R.W., Mackay, A., and Burgess, J., 2003, Detecting environmental change: science and society—Perspectives on long–term research and monitoring in the 21st century: *The Science of the Total Environment*, v. 310, issues 1–3, p. 1–8.
- Parsons, Glenn, 2002, Nature appreciation, science, and positive aesthetics: *British Journal of Aesthetics*, v. 42, no. 3, p. 279–295.
- Parsons, Russ, 1995, Conflict between ecological sustainability and environmental aesthetics: Conundrum, canard or curiosity: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 32, no. 3, p. 227–244.
- Parthiban, Jeyakody, 2003, Surprise 96: Fuzzy logic and its uses, 3 p. Accessed July 28, 2003, at URL http://www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~nd/surprise_96/journal/vo11/jp6/article1.html
- Patsfall, M.R., Feimer, N.R., Buhyoff, G.J., and Wellman, J.D., 1984, The prediction of scenic beauty from landscape context and composition: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 4, p. 7–26.
- Pavlikakis, G.E., and Tsihrintzis, V.A., 2003, A quantitative method for accounting human opinion, preferences and perceptions in ecosystem management: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 68, no. 2, p. 193–205.
- Pearce, D.W., 1973, An incompatibility in planning for a steady state and planning for maximum economic welfare: *Environment and Planning* v. 5, p. 267–271.
- Pearce, D.W., 1994, The great environmental values debate: *Environment and Planning*, v. 26, p. 1329–1338.

- Pedersen, D.M., 1978, Relationship between environmental familiarity and environmental preference: Perceptual and Motor Skills, v. 47, p. 739–743.
- Pendse, Dilip, and Wyckoff, J.B., 1974, Scope for valuation of environmental goods: Land Economics, v. 50, no. 1, p. 89–92.
- Penning–Rowse, E.C., 1974, Landscape evaluation for development plans: The Planner, v. 60, no. 10, p. 930–934.
- Penning–Rowse, E.C., 1981, Assessing the validity of landscape evaluations: Landscape Research, v. 6, no. 2, p. 22–24.
- Penning–Rowse, E.C., 1982, A public preference evaluation of landscape quality: Regional Studies, v. 16, no. 2, p. 97–112.
- Penning–Rowse, E.C., 1989, Landscape evaluation in practice: A survey of local authorities: Landscape Research, v. 14, no. 2, p. 35–37.
- Penning–Rowse, E.C., 1999, Review article—Trends in the study of the psychological evaluation of landscape: Landscape Research, v. 24, no. 1, p. 85–94.
- Peron, E.M., Baroni, M.R., Job, R., and Salmaso, P., 1985, Cognitive factors and communicative strategies in recalling unfamiliar places: Journal of Environmental Psychology, v. 5, p. 325–333.
- Peron, E., Purcell, A.T., Staats, H.J., Falchero, S., and Lamb, R.J., 1998, Models of Preference for Outdoor Scenes: Some Experimental Evidence: Environment and Behavior, v. 30, no. 3, p. 261–281.
- Perrin, Laurent, Beauvais, Nathalie, and Puppo, Marta, 2001, Procedural landscape modeling with geographic information: the IMAGIS approach: Landscape and Urban Planning, v. 54, no. 1, p. 33–47. **** IMAGIS is an interface between the 2–D world of plans and the 3–D world of perspectives. It is intended for creating broad landscape models, from which photorealistic images can be computed.

- Perrings, Charles, 1991, Reserved rationality and the precautionary principle: Technological change, time and uncertainty in environmental decision making, *in* Costanza, Robert, ed., *Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability*: New York, Columbia University Press, p. 154–166.
- Peterson, G.L., 1967, A model of preference: Quantitative analysis of the perception of the visual appearance of residential neighborhoods: *Journal of Regional Science*, v. 7, no. 1, p. 19–31.
- Phillips, C.P., 1998, The badlands of Italy: A vanishing landscape? *Applied Geography*, v. 18, p. 243–257.
- Pomeroy, J.W., Green, M.B, and Fitzgibbon, J.E., 1983, Evaluation of Urban Riverscape Aesthetics in the Canadian Prairies: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 17, no. 3, p. 263–276.
- Porteous, J.D., 1996, *Environmental aesthetics: Ideas, politics and planning*: London, Routledge, 290 p. ***** Brief history of aesthetics and taste; psychology of human–environment relations; influences of literary, artistic, and legal activism on city, countryside and wilderness; and an analysis of the roles of public policy and of planning.
- Porter, R.C., 1982, The new approach to wilderness preservation through benefit–cost analysis: *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, v. 9, p. 59–80.
- Post, N.M., 2003, Architects launch new science dubbed ‘neuro–architecture:’ *Engineering News–Record*, v. 250, no. 19, p. 14, 16.
- Powell, M., 1981, Landscape evaluation and the quest for objectivity: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 16–18.
- Propst, D.B., and Buhyoff, G.J., 1980, Policy capturing and landscape preference quantification: A methodological study: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 11, no. 1, p. 45–59.

- Prosser, Colin, 2003, Conservation of geodiversity: Realizing the industry's potential: *Quarry Management*, v. 30, no. 5, p. 43–44.
- Province of British Columbia, Ministry of sustainable resource management, 2001, Lakes District land and resource management plan: Appendix 5: Visual landscape management strategy, 3 p. Accessed July 14, 2003, at URL <http://srmwww.gov.bc.ca/rmd/lrmp/lakes/app5.htm>
- Pugh, Dailan, 2002, A conservationist's perspective on inspirational landscapes: Australian Heritage Commission, 6 p. Accessed March 19, 2004, at URL http://heritageforum.truenorth.net.au/Inspirationallandscapes/pdf/perspective_essays/Dailah_Pugh_FINAL.pdf
- Punter, John, Carmona, Matthew, and Platts, Adam, 1994, A Practical Guide: Landscape Design, Sept., p. 43–47.
- Purcell, A.T., and Lamb, R.J., 1984, Landscape perception: an examination and empirical investigation of two central issues in the area: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 19, no. 1, p. 31–63.
- Purcell, A.T., 1987, Landscape perception, preference, and schema discrepancy: *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, v. 14, p. 67–92.
- Purcell, A.T., 1987, The relationship between buildings and behaviour: *Building and Environment*, v. 22, p. 215–232.
- Purcell, A.T., and Lamb, R.J., 1998, Preference and naturalness : An ecological approach: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 42, no. 1, p. 57–66.
- Purcell, A.T., Lamb, R.J., Mainardi Peron, E., and Falchero, S., 1994, Preference or Preferences for Landscape: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 14, p. 195–205.
- Purington, J.H., and Miller, A.H., 1978, Wisconsin's citizens think about their coast, *in* Symposium on Technical, Environmental, Socioeconomic and Regulatory Aspects of Coastal Management,

- San Francisco, California: New York, American Society of Engineers, March 14–16, 1978, v. 1, p. 339–350.
- Real, E., Arce, C., and Manuel Sabucedo, J., 2000, Classification of landscapes using quantitative and categorical data, and prediction of their scenic beauty in north–western Spain: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 20, no. 4, p. 355–373.
- Reginster, Isabelle, and Edwards, Geoffrey, 2001, The concept and implementation of perceptual regions as hierarchical spatial units for evaluating environmental sensitivity: *URISA Journal*, v. 13, no. 1, p. 5–16.
- Rendel, Simon, 1991, Hope cement works 1943–89: *Landscape Research*, v. 16, no. 1, p. 31–40.
- Ribe, R.G., 1982, On the possibility of quantifying scenic beauty—A response: *Landscape Planning*, v. 9, no. 1, p. 61–75. **** This article is a rebuttal to A. Carlson’s argument (*Landscape Planning*, 1977, v. 4, no. 2, p. 131–172) against the empirical assessment and quantification of beauty.
- Ribe, R.G., 1986, On the possibility of strong versus weak quantification of scenic beauty—A further response to Carlson: *Landscape Planning*, v. 12, no. 4, p. 421–429.
- Ribe, R.G., 1986, The test of uniqueness and diversity visual assessment factors using judgement–independent measures: *Landscape Research*, v. 11, no. 2, p. 13–15.
- Ribe, R.G., 1990, A General Model for Understanding the Perception of Scenic Beauty in Northern Hardwood Forests: *Landscape Journal*, v. 9, no. 2, p. 86–101.
- Robbins, M.L., 1987, The valuation of large scale natural landscapes using contemporary appraisal theory: *The Appraisal Journal*, v. 55, no. 2, p. 225–244.
- Rodenas, M., Sancho–Royo, F., and Gonzalez–Bernaldez, F., 1975, Structure of landscape preferences: A study based on large dams viewed in their landscape setting: *Landscape Planning*, v. 2, no. 3, p. 159–178.

- Rohde, C.L.E., and Kendle, A.D., 1994, Human well-being, natural landscapes and wildlife in urban areas: A review: University of Reading, UK, *English Nature*, no. 22, 181 p.
- Rolston, Holmes, III, 1995, Does aesthetic appreciation of landscapes need to be science-based? *British Journal of Aesthetics*, v. 35, no. 4, p. 374–386.
- Rolston, Holmes, III, 1998, Technology versus nature: What is natural? Ends and Means: University of Aberdeen, School of Philosophy, Divinity and Religious Studies, v. 2, no. 2, 15 p. Accessed June 22, 2004, at URL <http://www.abdn.ac.uk/philosophy/endandmeans/vol2no2/rolston.shtml>
- Rolston, Holmes, III, 2001, Natural and unnatural: Wild and cultural: *Western North American Naturalist*, v. 61, no. 3, p. 267–276.
- Rolston, Holmes, III, 2002, From beauty to duty: Aesthetics of nature and environmental ethics, in Berleant, Arnold, ed., *Environment and the arts: Perspectives on environmental aesthetics*: Burlington, Vermont, Ashgate Publishing, p. 127–141.
- Roome, N.J., 1983, Preferences of national nature reserve users: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 17, no. 2, p. 143–152.
- Ruddell, E.J., Gramann, J.H., Rudis, V.A., and Westphal, J.M., 1989, The psychological utility of visual penetration in near-view forest scenic-beauty models: *Environment and Behaviour*, v. 21, no. 4, p. 393–412.
- Ruiz, M., and Ruiz, J.P., 1989, Landscape perception and technological change in the central mountains of Spain: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 18, no. 1, p. 1–15.
- Russell, J.A., and Lanius, U.F., 1984, Adaptation level and the affective appraisal of environments: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 4, p. 119–135.
- Russell, J.A., and Ward, L.M., 1981, On the psychological reality of environmental meaning: Reply to Daniel and Ittelson: *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, v. 110, no. 2, p. 163–168.

- Saito, Yuriko, 1984, Is there a correct aesthetic appreciation of nature? *Journal of Aesthetic Appreciation*, v. 18, no. 4, p. 35–46.
- Samuels, John, 2000, A pragmatic approach to archaeology: *Quarry Management*, v. 27, no. 8, p. 29–32.
- Sancar, F.H., 1985, Towards theory generation in landscape aesthetics: *Landscape Journal*, v. 4, no. 2, p. 116–124.
- Sandberg, L.A., 2001, The discourse of rehabilitated and constructed natures: Pit and quarry landscapes of the greater Toronto area: *Leading Edge 2002: Focus on the Biosphere Reserve, Niagara Escarpment Commission*, Oct. 17–19, 2001, 6 p.
- Scenic America, State University of New York, and National Park Service, 1999, O, say, can you see: A visual awareness tool kit for communities: Washington D.C., Rivers, Trails, and Conservation Assistance Program of the National Park Service, assorted pagination.
- Schauman, S., 1988, Countryside scenic assessment: tools and an application: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 3–4, p. 227–239.
- Schellekens, H.M., 1978, Appearance of streets and experiments with them: Report on investigations in the field of environmental psychology: *Nederlands, Tijdschrift–voor–de–Psychologie–en–haar–Grensgebieden*, v. 33, p. 403–431
- Schmid, W.A., 2001, The emerging role of visual resource assessment and visualization in lands planning in Switzerland: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 54, no. 1, p. 213–221.
- School of Wisdom, 1995, Fractal geometry: The story of Benoit B. Mandelbrot and the geometry of chaos, 12 p. Accessed July 28, 2003, at URL <http://www.fractalwisdom.com/FractalWisdom/fractal.html>

- Schreiber, K.F., 1990, The history of landscape ecology in Europe, *in* Zonneveld, I.S., and Forman, R.T.T., eds., *Changing Landscapes: An Ecological Perspective*: New York, Springer-Verlag, p. 21–34.
- Schreyer, R., and Beaulieu, J.T., 1986, Attribute preferences for wildland recreation settings: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 18, no. 4, p. 231–247.
- Schroeder, H.W., 1984, Environmental perception rating scales. A case for simple methods of analysis: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 16, no. 5, p. 573–598.
- Schroeder, H.W., 1986, Estimating park tree densities to maximize landscape esthetics: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 23, no. 4, p. 325–333.
- Schroeder, H.W., 1987, Dimensions of variation in urban park preference: A psychological analysis: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 7, p. 123–141.
- Schroeder, H.W., 1988, Visual impact of hillside development: Comparison of measurements derived from aerial and ground-level photographs: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 1–2, p. 119–126.
- Schroeder, H.W., 1991, Preference and meaning of arboretum landscapes: Combining Quantitative and qualitative data: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 11, p. 321– 248.
- Schroeder, H.W., and Brown, T.C., 1983, Alternative functional forms for an inventory based landscape perception model: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 15, no. 2, p. 156–163.
- Schroeder, H.W., Buhyoff, G.J., and Cannon, W.N., 1986, Cross-validation of predictive models for aesthetic quality of residential streets: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 23, no. 4, p. 309–316.
- Schroeder, H.W., and Daniel, T.C., 1980, Predicting the scenic quality of forest road corridors: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 12, no. 3, p. 349–366.

- Schroeder, H.W., and Daniel, T.C., 1981, Progress in predicting the perceived scenic beauty of forest landscapes: *Forest Science*, v. 27, p. 71–80.
- Scott, Alister, 2002, Assessing public perception of landscape: The LANDMAP experience: *Landscape Research*, v. 27, no. 3, p. 271–295. ***** A technique adopted by the Countryside Council for Wales for identifying distinctive landscape areas.
- Sell, J.L., and Zube, E.H., 1986, Perception of and response to environmental change: *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research*, v. 3, p. 33–54.
- Sell, J.L., Zube, E.H., and Kennedy, C.L., 1988, Perception of land use change in a desert city: *Journal of Architectural and Planning Research*, v. 5, p. 145–162.
- Selman, P.H., 1999, Changing approaches to landscape character evaluation and their implications for landscape ecological planning *in* Maudsley, M.J., and Marshall, E.J.P., eds., *Heterogeneity in Landscape Ecology: Pattern and Scale*: IALE, UK, p. 151–158.
- Sepahi, Ali, 2000, Nature as a model for large-scale planting design: *Landscape Research*, v. 25, no. 1, p. 63–77.
- Sepänmaa, Yrjö, 1993, *The beauty of environment: A general model for environmental aesthetics*: Denton, Texas, Environmental Ethics Books, 191 p. ***** Interrelates environmental aesthetics with the general field of aesthetics and compares art objects with natural objects.
- Serpa, A., and Muhar, A., 1996, Effects of plant size, texture and colour on spatial perception in public green areas—A cross-cultural study: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 36, no. 1, p. 19–25.
- Sexton, W.T., Dull, C.W., and Szaro, R.C., 1998, Implementing ecosystem management: A framework for remotely sensed information at multiple scales: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 40, no. 1–3, p. 173–184.
- Shafer, E.L., 1969, Perception of natural environments: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 1, p. 71–82.

- Shafer, E.L., Jr., and Brush, R.O., 1977, How to measure preferences for photographs of natural landscapes: *Landscape Planning*, v. 4, no. 3, p. 237–256.
- Shafer, E.L., Hamilton, J.F., and Schmidt, E.A., 1969, Natural landscape preference: A predictive model: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 1, no. 1, p. 1–19.
- Shafer, E.L., and Tooby, M., 1973, Landscape preferences: An international replication: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 5, no. 3, p. 60–65.
- Shane, Grahame, 1976, Contextualism: *Architectural Design*, Nov., p. 676–679.
- Shane, Grahame, 1976, Theory versus practice: *Architectural Design*, Nov., p. 680–684.
- Shaw, S.C., Robertson, A.MacG, Maehl, W.C., Kuipers, Jim, and Haight, S., 2001, Review of the multiple accounts analysis alternatives evaluation process completed for the reclamation of the Zortman and Landusky mine sites: Presented at the National Association of Abandoned Mine Lands Annual Conference, August 19–22, 2001, Athens, Ohio, 17 p. Accessed March 19, 2004, at URL <http://www.robertsongeoconsultants.com/papers/papers.asp>
- Sheets, V.L., and Manzer, C.D., 1991, Affect, cognition and urban vegetation: Some effects of adding trees along city streets: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 23, no. 3, p. 285–304.
- Shelby, B., and Harris, R., 1985, Comparing methods for determining visitor evaluations of ecological impacts: Site visits, photography, and written descriptions: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 17, no. 1, p. 57–67.
- Shuttleworth, S., 1980, The use of photographs as an environment perception medium in landscape studies: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 11, no. 1, p. 61–76.
- Shuttleworth, S., 1980, The evaluation of landscape quality: *Landscape Research*, v. 5, no. 1, p. 14–20.
- Shuttleworth, S., 1984, Consensus and the perception of landscape quality: *Landscape Research*, v. 9, no. 1, p. 17–22.

- Sigmund, Karl, 1993, *Games of life: Explorations in ecology, evolution, and behaviour*: Oxford, Oxford University Press, 256 p.
- Simmons, Elizabeth, 1993, Means to restore: *Landscape Design*, April, p. 15–17.
- Simpson, C.H., Rosenthal, T.L., Daniel, T.C., and White, G.M., 1976, Social–influence variations in evaluating managed and unmanaged forest areas: *Journal of Applied Psychology*, v. 61, no. 6, p. 59–763.
- Sinatra, Jim, and Murphy, Phin, 2002, *Living with landscape*: Australian Heritage Commission, 2 p. Accessed March 23, 2004, at URL http://heritageforum.truenorth.net.au/Inspirationallandscapes/pdf/perspective_essays/Jim_Sinatra_and_Phin_Murphy_FINAL.pdf
- Sinden, J.A., 1974, A utility approach to the valuation of recreational and aesthetic experiences: *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, v. 56, no. 1, p. 61–72.
- Smardon, R.C., 1986, Historical evolution of visual resource management within three federal agencies: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 22, no. 4, p. 301–317.
- Smardon, R.C., 1987, Visual access to 1,000 lakes: *Landscape Architecture*, v. 77, no. 3, p. 86–91.
- Smardon, R.C., 1988, Perception and aesthetics of the urban environment: A review of the role of vegetation: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 15, no. 1–2, p. 85–106.
- Smardon, R.C., and Karp, J.P., 1993, *The legal landscape: Guidelines for regulating environmental and aesthetic quality*: New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 287 p. **** This guide explains the legal mechanisms available for maintaining and protecting desired features in various landscape settings. It covers local, state, and Federal land–use controls.
- Smardon, R.C., Palmer, J.F., Knopf, A., Grinde, K., Henderson, J.E., and Peyman–Dove, L.D., 1988, *Visual resources assessment procedure for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*: Vicksburg,

- Mississippi, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Instruction Report EL-88-1, NTIS No. ADA196308, 102 p.
- Smith, G.P., II, and Fernandez, G.W., 1991, The price of beauty: An economic approach to aesthetic nuisance: *The Harvard Environmental Law Review*, v. 15, no. 1, p. 53-84.
- Snellen, D., Borgers, A.W.J., and Timmemans, H.J.P., 2001, Towards an evaluation method for urban concepts, *in* de Roo, G., and Miller, D., eds., *Compact Cities and Sustainable Urban Development*: Ashgate, Aldershot, United Kingdom, p. 53-64.
- Sonnenfeld, Joseph, 1967, Environmental perception and adaptation level in the Arctic, *in* Lowenthal, D., ed., *Environmental Perception and Behavior*: Chicago, Department of Geography, University of Chicago, p. 42-59.
- Sorte, G.J., 1973, Significance of components in environmental settings, *in* Kuller, Rikard, ed., *Architectural Psychology: Proceedings of the Lund Conference*: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson, & Ross Inc., p. 198-210.
- Sorte, G.J., 1975, Methods for presenting planned environment: *Man-Environment Systems*, v. 5, p. 148-154.
- Southworth, Jane, Nagendra, Harini, and Tucker, Catherine, 2002, Fragmentation of a landscape: Incorporating landscape metrics into satellite analyses of land-cover change: *Landscape Research*, v. 27, no. 3, p. 253-269.
- Spirn, A.W., 1988, The poetics of city and nature: Toward a new aesthetic for urban design: *Landscape Journal*, v. 2, no. 2, p. 108-126.
- Spirn, A.W., 1998, *The language of landscape*, London, Yale University Press, 326 p. **** Spirn examines urban, rural, and natural landscapes with examples across thousands of years and five continents.

- Steinitz, Carl, 1968, Meaning and congruence of urban form and activity: *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, v. 34, no. 4, p. 233–247.
- Steinitz, Carl, 1988, Commentary—On “unnatural illusion”: *Landscape Journal*, v. 7, no. 2, Special Issue: Nature, form, and meaning, p. 207. **** Editors solicited responses from individuals knowledgeable in the areas criticized in an article by Denis Wood (*Landscape Journal*, 1988, v. 7, no. 2, p. 192–205)
- Steinitz, Carl, 1990, Toward a sustainable landscape with high visual preference and high ecological integrity: the Loop Road in Acadia National Park, U.S.A.: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 19, no. 3, p. 213–250.
- Stewart, T.R., Middleton, P., Downton, M., and Ely, D., 1984, Judgments of photographs vs. field observations in studies of perception and judgment of the visual environment: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 4, p. 283–302.
- Stilgoe, J.R., 1984, Popular photography, scenery values, and visual assessment: *Landscape Journal*, v. 3, no. 2, p. 111–122.
- Stilgoe, J.R., 1998, *Outside lies magic: Regaining history and awareness in everyday places*: New York, Walker and Company, 187 p.
- Strumse, E., 1996, Demographic differences in the visual preferences for agrarian landscapes in Western Norway: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 16, no. 1, p. 17–31.
- Sullivan, Jack, 2002, Knowing stone: *Landscape Design*, no. 313, p. 33–36.
- Summit, J., and Sommer, R., 1999, Further studies of preferred tree shapes: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 31, no. 4, p. 550–576.
- Swaffield, S.R., and Fairweather, J.R., 1996, Investigation of attitudes toward the effects of land use change using image editing and Q sort method: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 35, no. 4, p. 213–230.

- Swanwick, Carys, 1999, The diverse character of a nation: *Landscape Design*, no. 281, p. 22–26.
- Swanwick, Carys, 2002, New lives, new landscape: *Landscape Design*, no. 292, p. 30–32.
- Swanwick, Carys, 2003, Topic Paper 1: Recent practice and the evolution of landscape character assessment: Scottish Natural Heritage and The Countryside Agency, 10 p. Accessed March 18, 2004, at URL http://www.ccnetwork.org.uk/ca/LCA_Topic_Paper_1.pdf
- Syme, G.J., Seligman, C., and Macpherson, D.K., 1989, Environmental planning and management: An introduction: *Journal of Social Issues*, v. 45, no. 1, p. 1–15.
- Syse, Karen, 2001, Ethics in the woods: *Ethics, Places & Environment*, v. 4, no. 3, p. 226–234.
- Tahvanainen, Liisa, Tyrväinen, Liisa, Ihalainen, Marjut, Vuorela, Niina, and Kolehmainen, Osmo, 2001, Forest management and public perceptions—Visual versus verbal information: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 53, no. 1–4, p. 53–70.
- Talbot, J.F., and Kaplan, R., 1986, Judging the sizes of urban open areas: Is bigger always better? *Landscape Journal*, v. 5, no. 2, p. 83–92.
- Tartaglia–Kershaw, Linda, 1982, The recreational and aesthetic significance of urban woodland: *Landscape Research*, v. 7, no. 3, p. 22–25.
- Tartaglia–Kershaw, Linda, and Fieldhouse, K., 2000, An ambitious commitment to land management: *Landscape Design*, no. 292, p. 34–36.
- Taylor, J.G., Czarnowski, K.J., Sexton, N.R., and Flick, Sarah, 1995, The importance of water to Rocky Mountain National Park Visitors: An adaptation of visitor–employed photography to natural resources management: *Journal of Applied Recreation Research*, v. 20, no. 1, p. 61–85. Accessed July 19, 2001, at URL <http://www.mesc.nbs.gov/pubs/online/rmnp-vep/RMNP-VEP.html>
- Tetlow, R.J., and Sheppard, S.R.J., 1977, Visual resources of the Northeast Coal Study Area 1976–1977: British Columbia, Ministry of the Environment, assorted pagination.

- Thayer, R.L., 1989, The experience of sustainable landscape: *Landscape Journal*, v. 2, p. 101–110.
- Thayer, R.L., Jr. , 1993, *Gray world, green heart: Technology, nature, and the sustainable landscape*: New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 352 p.
- Thayer, R.L., and Atwood, B.G., 1978, Plants, complexity, and pleasure in urban and suburban environments: *Environmental Psychology and Nonverbal Behavior*, v. 3, no. 2, p. 67–76.
- Thayer, R.L., and Freeman, C.M., 1987, Altamont: Public perceptions of a wind energy landscape: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 14, no. 5, p. 379–398.
- Thomas, Keith, 1983, *Man and the natural world: A history of the modern sensibility*: New York, Pantheon Books, 425 p.
- Thompson, I.H., 2000, *Ecology, community, and delight: Sources of values in landscape architecture*: New York, Routledge, 201 p. ***** Combines an analysis of theoretical texts with an investigation into the values of practicing landscape architects.
- Thompson, J.G., and Blevins, A.L., 1983, Attitudes toward energy development in the Northern Great Plains: *Rural Sociology*, v. 48, no. 1, p. 148–158.
- Thompson, J.G., and Reynolds, Robert, Jr., 2002, Cultural evolution and water management in the Salinas River Valley: *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, v. 38, no. 6, p. 1661–1677.
- Thompson, R.J., and Visser, A.T., 2002, Benchmarking and management of fugitive dust emissions from surface–mine haul roads: *Transactions of the Institute of Mining and Metallurgy incorporating The AusIMM Proceedings, Section A, Mining Technology*, v. 111, p. A28–A34.
- Thorn, A.J., Daniel, T.C., Orland, B., and Brabyn, N., 1997, Managing forest aesthetics in production forests: *New Zealand Forestry*, v. 42, p. 21–29.
- Thurstone, L.L., 1959, *The measurement of values*: The University of Chicago Press, 322 p.

- Tiedtke, R., 1980, Contributions of applied psychology to city planning: Exploration of the relationship between perception and impressions of urban environments: *Zeitschrift fur Experimentelle und Angewandte Psychologie*, v. 27, no. 2, p. 295–325.
- Tips, W.E.J., 1984, A review of landscape evaluation in Belgium and some implications for future research: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 18, no. 1, p. 57–71.
- Tips, W.E.J., and Savasdisara, T., 1986, The influence of the environmental background of subjects on their landscape preference evaluation (Asia): *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 13, no. 2, p. 125–133.
- Tips, W.E.J., and Savasdisara, T., 1986, The influence of the socioeconomic background of subjects on their landscape preference evaluation (Asians): *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 13, no. 3, p. 225–230.
- Tishler, W.H., 1982, Historical landscapes: An international preservation perspective, *Landscape Planning*, v. 9, no. 2, p. 91–103.
- Toth, R.E., 1988, Theory and language in landscape analysis, planning, and evaluation: *Landscape Ecology*, v. 1, no. 4, p. 193–201.
- Toupal, R.S., Zedeno, M.N., Stoffle, R.W., and Barabe, P., 2001, Cultural landscapes and ethnographic cartographies: Scandinavian–American and American Indian knowledge of the land: *Environmental Science and Policy*, v. 4, no. 4, p. 171–184.
- Tract Consultants Pty Ltd, and Chris Dance Land Design Pty Ltd, 1988, Siting and design guidelines for the Victorian Coast: 3.2 Cultural and aesthetic guidelines: The Victorian Coastal Council. Accessed September 16, 2003, at URL <http://www.vcc.vic.gov.au/siting/culture.htm>
- Trall, A.L., 1981, The Application of Regression Analysis to Problems of Landscape Evaluation: *Landscape Research*, v. 6, no. 2, p. 19–21.

- Travis, M.R., Elsner, G.H., Iverson, W.D., and Johnson, C.G., 1975 , VIEWIT: Computation of seen areas, slope and aspect for land–use planning: Berkeley, California, Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service Technical Report PSW–11, 70 p.
- Treib, Marc, 1995, Must landscapes mean? Approaches to significance in recent landscape architecture: *Landscape Journal*, v. 14, no.1, p. 47–62.
- Trent, R.B., Neumann, E., and Kuashny, A., 1987, Presentation mode and question format artifacts in visual assessment research: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 14, no. 3, p. 225–235.
- Trimble, K.D., 1997, Scientific soundness and socio–economic realities in reclamation for habitat, *in* Brandt, J.E., and others (eds.), *Proceedings 14th Annual National Meeting American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation*: Austin, Texas, ASSMR, p. 317–324.
- Tuan, Yi–Fu, 1977, *Space and place: The perspective of experience*: Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press, 235 p.
- Tuan, Yi–Fu, 1990, reprint, *Topophilia: A study of environmental perception, attitudes and values*: New York, Columbia University Press, 260 p.
- Tuan, Yi–Fu, 1993, *Passing strange and wonderful: Aesthetics, nature, and culture*: Washington, D.C., Island Press, 288 p.
- Turner, Tom, 1985, Comment on Philip Dearden, "Factors influencing landscape preference: An empirical investigation" (*Landscape Planning*, v. 11, no. 4, p. 293–306): *Landscape Planning*, v. 12, no. 1, p. 93–94.
- Turner, Tom, 2002, *Running Water: Landscape Design*, no. 315, p. 20–23.
- Tyrväinen, L., Silvernoinen, H., and Kolehmainen, O., 2003, Ecological and aesthetic values in urban forest management: *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening*, v. 1, no. 3, p. 135–149.
- Ulrich, R.S., 1977, Visual landscape preference: A model and application: *Man–Environment Systems*, v. 7, no. 5, p. 279–293.

- Ulrich, R.S., 1979, Visual landscapes and psychological well-being: *Landscape Research*, v. 4, no. 1, p. 17–23.
- Ulrich, R.S., 1981, Natural versus urban scenes; Some psychological effects: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 13, no. 5, p. 523–556.
- Uhlrich, R.S., 1986, Human responses to vegetation and landscapes: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 13, no. 1, p. 29–44.
- Ulrich, R.S., Simons, R.F., Losito, B.D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M.A., and Zelson, M., 1991, Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 11, p. 201–230.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, 1984, Visual resource management: Washington, D.C., BLM Manual Handbook H–8400, Rel. 8–24. **** There also is a webpage on VRM accessed April 18, 2002, at URL <http://www.blm.gov/nstc/VRM/Sitemap.html>
- U.S. Department of the Interior, and U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1999, Off-highway vehicle environmental impact statement and plan amendment for Montana, North Dakota, and portions of South Dakota: Bureau of Land Management, Montana State Office, and Forest Service, Northern Region, 126 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1973, Aesthetics in environmental planning: Washington D.C., Office of Research and Development, Grant No. 802441, Program Element 1HA098, 187 p.
- U.S. Forest Service, 1974, National Forest Landscape Management: Volume 2: Washington, D.C., Government Printing Office, Chapter 1: The Visual Management System, Agriculture Handbook Number 462, 44 p. **** Replaced by the revised Landscape Aesthetics Handbook, 1995.
- U.S. Forest Service, 1975, Utilities–National Forest Landscape Management: Washington D.C., Agricultural Handbook No. 478, v. 2, chap. 2.

- U.S. Forest Service, 1977, Landscape Management Visual Display Techniques Handbook: Washington D.C., Forest Service Handbook 2309.17, chap. 1.
- U.S. Forest Service, 1977, Roads–National Forest Landscape Management: Washington D.C., Agricultural Handbook No. 483, v. 2, chap. 4.
- U.S. Forest Service, 1977, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Range–National Forest Landscape Management: Washington D.C., Agricultural Handbook Number 478, v. 2, chap. 3.
- U.S. Forest Service, 1979, Proceedings of our national landscape: A conference on applied techniques for analysis and management of the visual resource: General Technical Report PSW–35, 752 p.
- U.S. Forest Service, 1980, Timber–National Forest Landscape Management: Washington D.C., Agricultural Handbook Number 559, v. 2, chap. 5.
- U.S. Forest Service, 1995, Landscape aesthetics: A handbook for scenery management: Agricultural Handbook Number 701, various pagination. ***** This handbook supersedes AH–462 issued 1974.
- Uzzell, D.L. and Lewand, K.L., 1990, The psychology of landscape: Landscape Design, no. 189, p. 34–35.
- Venuri, Robert, 1977, Complexity and contradiction in architecture: New York, The Museum of Modern Art, 132 p.
- Vining, Joanne, Daniel, T.C., and Schroeder, H.W., 1984, Predicting scenic values in forested residential landscapes: Journal of Leisure Research, v. 16, no. 2, p. 124–135.
- Viscusi, W.K., and Zeckhauser, R.J., 1976, Environmental policy choice under uncertainty: Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, v. 3, p. 97–112.
- Vroom, M.J., 1986, The perception of dimensions of space and levels of infrastructure and its application in landscape planning: Landscape Planning, v. 12, no. 4, p. 337–352.

- Vuorela, Niina, Alho, Petteri, and Kalliola, Risto, 2002, Systematic assessment of maps as source information in landscape–change research: *Landscape Research*, v. 27, no. 2, p. 141–166.
- WBB Minerals, 2003, Biodiversity plan beginnings: *Quarry Management*, v. 30, no. 5, p. 47.
- Wade, Glenn, 1982, The relationship between landscape preference and looking time: A methodological investigation: *Journal of Leisure Research*, v. 14, no. 3, p. 217–222.
- Walter, J.A., 1983, You’ll love the Rockies: *Landscape*, v. 27, no. 2, p. 43–47. ***** Two different ways of seeing beauty are observed in the United States and England/Europe.
- Walton, Geoffrey, and Allington, Ruth, 1994, Landform replication in quarrying: *Transactions of the Institution of Mining and Metallurgy: Section A: Mining industry*, v. 103, p. A55–A66.
- Ward, L.M., 1981, Cognitive set and the perception of place: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 13, no. 5, p. 610–632.
- Ward, L.M., Ashmore, R.D., and Wexler, D.A., 1975, Psychological principles for the design of dwellings: *Man–Environment Systems*, v. 5, no. 6, p. 395–405.
- Ward, L.M., and Russell, J.A., 1981, The psychological representation of molar physical environments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, v. 110, no. 2, p. 121–152.
- Wardrop, D.R., and Walton, G., 1996, Coastal superquarry: Design and proposed development of Rodel quarry on south Harris, Outer Hebrides, Scotland: *Transactions of the Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Section A, Mining Industry*, v. 105, p. A81–A92.
- Weddle, A.E., 1969, Techniques in landscape planning: *Landscape evaluation: Journal of Town Planning Institution*, v. 35, no. 4, p. 387–389.
- Weinmayr, V. Michael, 1996, Big Picture View of State Highway Design: *Landscape Architect & Specifier News*, v. 12, no. 11 (November), p. 28.
- Weinstein, N.D., 1976, The statistical prediction of environmental preferences: Problems of validity and application: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 8, no. 4, p. 611–626.

- Wellman, J.D., and Buhyoff, G.J., 1980, Effects of regional familiarity on landscape preferences: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 11, no. 2, p. 105–110.
- Westmacott, Richard, 1987, “Real” farming and the landscape: *Landscape Design*, no. 169, p. 45–48.
- Wherrett, J.R., 1996, “Visualization Techniques for Landscape Evaluation Literature Review.” Accessed November 11, 2000, at URL <http://bamboo.miuri.sari.ac.uk/~jo/litrev> ***** A research paper on landscape preference and perception, visualization techniques, visual–impact assessment, decision–support systems, environmental models, visualization systems, and GIS.
- Wherrett, J.R., 1997, Natural Landscape Scenic Preference: Predictive Modelling and the World Wide Web. Accessed November 27, 2000, at URL <http://bamboo.mluri.sari.ac.uk/~jo/publications/athens/paper.html>
- Wherrett, J.R., 1998, Managing Scenic Resources: Modeling Natural Landscape Preferences. Accessed November 27, 2000, at URL <http://bamboo.mluri.sari.ac.uk/~jo/publications/rt98/paper.html>
- Wherrett, J.R., 1999, Issues in using the Internet as a medium for landscape preference research: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 45, no. 4, p. 209–217.
- Wherrett, J.R., 2000, Creating landscape preference models using internet survey techniques: *Landscape Research*, v. 25, no. 1, p. 79–96.
- Wherrett, J.R., and Baldwin, Jonathan, 1998, The Role of Landscape in the Constitution of National Identity. Accessed November 27, 2000, at URL <http://bamboo.mluri.sari.ac.uk/...cations/pecsrl/nationality.html> ***** Examines how people from England, Scotland, and Wales react to landscapes which are considered to have some nationally relevant meaning.
- White, G.F., 1961, The choice of use in resource management: *Natural Resources Journal*, v. 1,

no. 1, p. 447–470.

Whitmore, William, Cook, Edward, and Steiner, Frederick, 1995, Public involvement in visual assessment: The Verde River corridor study: *Landscape Journal*, v. 14, no. 1, p. 27–45.

Wiens, J.A., 1995, Landscape mosaics and ecological theory, *in* Hansson, Lennart, Fahrig, Lenore, and Merriam, Gray, eds., *Mosaic Landscapes and Ecological Processes*: London, Chapman & Hall, p. 1–26.

Williams, A.T., 1986, Landscape aesthetics of the River Wye: *Landscape Research*, v. 11, no. 2, p. 25–30.

Williams, G.W., 1998, Ecosystem management: Putting the people back in: Slightly revised paper presented April 7th at the Bureau of Land Management’s “Arid Land Management: An Ecosystem Approach Workshop” in Hood River, Oregon, April 6–9, 1993.

Willis, K.G., and Garrod, G.D., 1993, Valuing landscape: A contingent valuation approach: *Journal of Environmental Management*, v. 37, no. 1, p. 1–22.

Wilson, C.B., 1993, The aesthetics of the good life: *Edinburgh Architecture Research*, v. 20, p. 113–132.

Wilson, Sue, 2002, Analysis—Guidelines for landscapes and visual analysis: Second edition: *Landscape Design*, no. 308, p. 3.

Wohlwill, J.F., 1978, What belongs where: Research on the fittingness of man–made structures in natural settings: *Landscape Research*, v. 3, no. 3, p. 3–5.

Wohlwill, J.F., 1980, The place of order and uncertainty in art and environmental aesthetics: *Motivation and Emotion*, v. 4, no. 2, p. 133–142.

Wohlwill, J.F., and Harris, G., 1980, Response to congruity or contrast for man–made features in natural–recreation settings: *Leisure Sciences*, v. 3, no. 4, p. 349–365.

- Wohlwill, J.F., and Kohn, Imre, 1976, Dimensionalizing the environmental manifold, *in* Wapner, Seymour, Cohen, S.E., and Kaplan, Bernard, eds., *Experiencing the Environment*: New York, Plenum Press, p. 19-54.
- Wood, Denis, 1988, Unnatural illusions: Some words about visual resource management: *Landscape Journal*, v. 7, no. 2, p. 192–205.
- Wood, G., 2000, Is what you see what you get? Post development auditing of methods used for predicting the zone of visual influence in EIA: *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, v. 20, no. 5, p. 537–556.
- Woodcock, D.M., 1984, A functionalist approach to landscape preference: *Landscape Research*, v. 9, no. 2, p. 24–27.
- Xu, Ping, 1990, (abstract) Feng–shui: A model for landscape analysis (China): Boston, Harvard University, 2 p. [Doctoral Dissertation, 339 p.] Abstract accessed on June 28, 2004, at URL <http://environment.harvard.edu/academics/dissertations/dissertation.php?id=62&pw=1030>
- Yang, Byoung–E, and Kaplan, Rachel, 1990, The perception of landscape style: A cross–cultural comparison: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 19, no. 3, p. 251–262.
- Yu, K–J., 1992 , Experience of basin landscapes in Chinese agriculture has led to ecologically prudent engineering, *in* Hansson, L.O., and Jungen, B., eds., *Human Responsibility and Global Change: Proceedings of the International Conference on Human Ecology*: University of Gothenburg, Sweden, p. 289–299.
- Yu, K–J., 1995, Cultural variations in landscape preference: comparisons among Chinese sub–groups and Western design experts: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 32, no. 2, p. 107–126.
- Yu, K–J., 1996, Ecological security patterns in landscape and GIS application: *Geographic Information Sciences*, v. 1, no. 2, p. 88–102.

- Yundt, Sherry, and Lowe, Sarah, 1999, Landform replication/simulation in the United Kingdom: *Aggregates & Roadbuilding*, May–June Issue, p. 44–46.
- Zangwill, Nick, 2003, Aesthetic judgment, *in* Zalta, E.N., ed., *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Accessed March 4, 2004, at URL <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/aesthetic-judgment/>
- Zee, Anthony, 1986, *Fearful symmetry: The search for beauty in modern physics*: New York, Macmillan Publishing Company, 356 p.
- Zeitlin–Hale, L., and Olsen, Stephen, 1978, Public participation: Parallels to political campaigning *in* *Symposium on Technical, Environmental, Socioeconomic and Regulatory Aspects of Coastal Zone Management*: San Francisco, American Society of Engineers, March 14–16, 1978, v. 1, p. 351–359.
- Zube, E.H., 1973, Scenery as a natural resource: Implications of public policy and problems of definition, description, and evaluation: *Landscape Architecture*, January, p. 126–132.
- Zube, E.H., 1974, Cross–disciplinary and intermode agreement on the description and evaluation of landscape resources: *Environment and Behavior*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 69–89.
- Zube, E.H., ed., 1975, *Landscape assessment: Values, perceptions, and resources*: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson, and Ross, Inc., 367 p. **** Technical reference book; includes models for assessing landscapes.
- Zube, E.H., 1976, Perception of landscape and land use, *in* Altman, J., and Wohlwill, J.F., eds., *Human Behavior and Environment: Advances in Theory and Research*: New York, Plenum Press, v. 1, p. 87–121.h.
- Zube, E.H., 1980, *Environmental evaluation: Perception and Public Policy*: Monterey, California, Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 148 p. **** Third in a series of books on environment and behavior.

- Zube, E.H., 1984, Themes in landscape assessment theory: *Landscape Journal*, v. 3, no. 2, p. 104–109.
- Zube, E.H., Brush, R.O., and Fabos, J.G., 1975, *Landscape assessment: Values, perceptions, and resources*: Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Dowden, Hutchinson, & Ross, Inc., 367 p.
- Zube, E.H., and Craik, K.H., 1978, Indices of perceived coastal quality, *in* *Symposium on Technical, Environmental, Socioeconomic and Regulatory Aspects of Coastal Zone Management*: San Francisco, American Society of Engineers, March 14–16, 1978, v. 2, p. 1008–1018.
- Zube, E.H., and McLaughlin, M., 1978, Assessing perceived values of the coastal zone, *in* *Symposium on Technical, Environmental, Socioeconomic and Regulatory Aspects of Coastal Zone Management*: San Francisco, American Society of Engineers, March 14–16, 1978, v. 1, p. 360–371.
- Zube, E.H., and Mills, L.V., 1976, Cross-cultural explorations in landscape perception, *in* Zube, E.H., ed., *Studies in Landscape Perception*: Amherst, University of Massachusetts, Publication R-76-1, p. 162–169.
- Zube, E.H., and Pitt, D.G., 1981, Cross-cultural perceptions of scenic and heritage landscapes: *Landscape Planning*, v. 8, no. 1, p. 69–87.
- Zube, E.H., Pitt, D.G., and Evans, G.W., 1983, A life-span developmental study of landscape assessment: *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, v. 3, p. 115–128.
- Zube, E.H., Sell, J.L., and Taylor, J.G., 1982, Landscape perception: Research, application, and theory: *Landscape Planning*, v. 9, no. 1, p. 1–33.
- Zube, E.H., Simcox, D.E., and Law, C.S., 1986, The oasis image in two desert cities: *Landscape Research*, v. 11, no. 3, p. 7–11.

Zube, E.H., Simcox, D.E., and Law, C.S., 1987, Perceptual landscape simulations: History and Prospect: *Landscape Journal*, v. 6, no. 1, p. 62–80.