

Particle-Size, CaCO_3 , Chemical, Magnetic, and Age Data from Surficial Deposits in and around Canyonlands National Park, Utah

By Harland Goldstein,¹ Richard Reynolds,¹ Marith Reheis,¹ James Yount,¹ Paul Lamothe,² Helen Roberts,³ and John McGeehin⁴

¹ U.S. Geological Survey, MS-980, Federal Center, Box 25046, Denver, CO 80225

² U.S. Geological Survey, MS-964, Federal Center, Box 25046, Denver, CO 80225

³ Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, University of Wales, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DB, Wales, U.K.

⁴ U.S. Geological Survey, MS-926A, National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Dr., Reston, VA 20192

Open-File Report 2005-1186

Particle-Size, CaCO₃, Chemical, Magnetic, and Age Data from Surficial Deposits in and around Canyonlands National Park, Utah

By Harland Goldstein,¹ Richard Reynolds,¹ Marith Reheis,¹ James Yount,¹ Paul Lamothe,² Helen Roberts,³ and John McGeehin⁴

¹ U.S. Geological Survey, MS-980, Federal Center, Box 25046, Denver, CO 80225

² U.S. Geological Survey, MS-964, Federal Center, Box 25046, Denver, CO 80225

³ Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, University of Wales, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DB, Wales, U.K.

⁴ U.S. Geological Survey, MS-926A, National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Dr., Reston, VA 20192

Open-File Report 2005-1186

U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

U.S. Department of the Interior

Gale A. Norton, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey

Charles G. Groat, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2005

For sale by U.S. Geological Survey, Information Services
Box 25286, Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225

For more information about the USGS and its products:
Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS
World Wide Web: <http://www.usgs.gov/>

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright owners to reproduce any copyrighted materials contained within this report.

Contents

Introduction	1
Methods	1
Site Selection	1
Virginia Park	1
Squaw Flat	2
Needles	2
Mustard Patch.....	2
Gray's Pasture	2
The Grabens	2
Dugout Ranch	2
Corral Pocket	3
Meteorological Stations	3
Sampling	3
Laboratory Analysis.....	3
Hygroscopic Moisture Factor	4
Calcium Carbonate Percent.....	4
Particle-Size Analysis	4
Geochemical Analysis.....	4
Magnetic Properties	4
Magnetic Susceptibility	4
Laboratory Induced Magnetization	4
Age Determinations.....	5
C-14	5
Optically Stimulated Luminescence	5
Acknowledgments	5
References	5
Figure 1. Location map of sampling sites in and around Canyonlands National Park.....	7
Figure 2. Location map of Virginia Park sampling sites	8
Figure 3. Location map of Squaw Flat sampling sites	9
Figure 4a. Location map of Needles site located north of Canyonlands National Park visitor center	10
Figure 4b. Location map of Needles site located near park residences	11
Figure 5. Location map of Mustard Patch site	12
Figure 6. Location map of Gray's Pasture site	13
Figure 7a. Location map of southernmost Graben site	14
Figure 7b. Location map of northern most Graben site	15
Figure 8. Location map of the Dugout Ranch site	16
Figure 9. Location map of the Corral Pocket site	17
Table 1. Site locations	18
Table 2. Soil descriptions	20
Table 2a-1. Virginia Park.	20
Table 2a-2. Auger holes 8U-10, 8U-11, and 8U-12.	21

Table 2a-3. Auger hole 9U-22	22
Table 2a-4. Auger hole 9U-23	23
Table 2a-5. Auger hole 00U-27	23
Table 2b-1. Auger holes 8U-18 and 8U-20	24
Table 2c-1. 9U-21 graben fill exposure	25
Table 3. Summary data	26
Table 3a-1. 00U-29 transect	26
Table 3a-2. 00U-30 transect	27
Table 3a-3. VP-1 soil pit	28
Table 3a-4. VP-2 soil pit	28
Table 3a-5. 8U-13 arroyo exposure	29
Table 3a-6. 8U-14 arroyo exposure	29
Table 3a-7. 8U-10 auger hole	30
Table 3a-8. 8U-11 auger hole	31
Table 3a-9. 8U-12 auger hole	32
Table 3a-10. 9U-22 auger hole	33
Table 3a-11. 9U-23 auger hole	34
Table 3a-12. 00U-27 auger hole	35
Table 3a-13. 00U-31 auger hole	36
Table 3a-14. 00U-31 auger hole	36
Table 3b-1. 01U-1 transect	37
Table 3b-2. 04U transect	37
Table 3c-1. Auger hole 00U-38	38
Table 3c-2. Transect 00U-39	39
Table 3c-3. Auger hole 00U-40	40
Table 3d-1. 00U-35 transect	41
Table 3d-2. Auger hole 00U-36	42
Table 3d-3. Auger hole 00U-37	42
Table 3d-4. JN-1 soil pit	43
Table 3d-5. JN-2 soil pit	43
Table 3d-6. JN-3 soil pit	43
Table 3e-1. GP transect	44
Table 3f-1. 00U-41 transect	45
Table 3f-2. Auger hole 00U-42	46
Table 3f-3. Auger hole 00U-43	46
Table 3g-1. Auger hole 8U-18	47
Table 3g-2. Auger hole 8U-20	48
Table 3h-1. Auger hole 8U-15	49
Table 3h-2. Auger hole 8U-16	50
Table 3h-3. Auger hole 9U-21	50
Table 4. Particle size data	51
Table 4a-1. 00U-29 transect	52
Table 4a-2. 00U-30 transect	53
Table 4a-3. Soil pits VP-1 and VP-2	57
Table 4a-4. Arroyo exposure sites 8U-13 and 8U-14	58

Table 4a-5. Auger hole 8U-10	59
Table 4a-6. Auger hole 8U-11	61
Table 4a-7. Auger hole 8U-12	63
Table 4a-8. Auger hole 9U-22	66
Table 4a-9. Auger hole 9U-23	69
Table 4a-10. Auger hole 00U-27	71
Table 4b-1. 01U-1 transect	73
Table 4b-2. 04U transect	74
Table 4c-1. Auger hole 00U-38	75
Table 4c-2. Transect 00U-39	76
Table 4c-3. Auger hole 00U-40	79
Table 4d-1. Transect 00U-35	80
Table 4d-2. Auger hole 00U-36	82
Table 4d-3. Auger hole 00U-37	83
Table 4d-4. Soil pits JN-1, JN-2 and JN-3	84
Table 4e-1. GP transect	85
Table 4f-1. 00U-41 transect	87
Table 4f-2. Auger hole 00U-42	89
Table 4f-3. Auger hole 00U-43	90
Table 4g-1. Particle size data for auger hole 8U-18.	91
Table 4g-2. Particle size data for auger hole 8U-20.	93
Table 4h-1. Particle size data for arroyo exposure site 9U-21. Data is displayed in accordance with the USDA scale.	95
Table 5. Geochemical data	96
Table 5a-1a. ICP-AES data for the 00U-30 transect.....	96
Table 5a-1b. ICP-MS for the 00U-30 transect	98
Table 5a-2a. ICP-AES data for the VP-1 soil pit	101
Table 5a-2b. ICP-MS data for soil pit VP-1	101
Table 5a-3a. ICP-AES data for soil pit VP-2.....	102
Table 5a-3b. ICP-MS data for soil pit VP-2	102
Table 5a-4a. ICP-AES data for the 8U-10 auger hole	103
Table 5a-4b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 8U-10	104
Table 5a-5a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 8U-11.....	107
Table 5a-5b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 8U-11	108
Table 5a-6a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 8U-12.....	111
Table 5a-6b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 8U-12	112
Table 5a-7a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 00U-27	115
Table 5a-7b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 00U-27	116
Table 5b-1a. ICP-AES data for the 01U-1 transect	119
Table 5b-1b. ICP-MS data for the 01U-1 transect	119
Table 5b-2a. ICP-AES data for the 04U transect	121
Table 5b-2b. ICP-MS data for the 04U transect	121
Table 5c-1a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 00U-38	122
Table 5c-1b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 00U38	123
Table 5c-2a. ICP-AES data for the 00U-39 transect.....	125
Table 5c-2b. ICP-MS data for the 00U-39 transect	126

Table 5c-3a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 00U-40	129
Table 5c-3b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 00U-40	129
Table 5d-1a. ICP-AES data for the 00U-35 transect.....	132
Table 5d-1b. ICP-MS data for the 00U-35 transect	133
Table 5d-2a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 00U-36	137
Table 5d-3a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 00U-37	139
Table 5d-3b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 00U-37	139
Table 5e-1a. ICP-AES data for the GP transect	142
Table 5e-1b. ICP-MS data for the GP transect	143
Table 5f-1a. ICP-AES data for the 00U-41 transect	146
Table 5f-1b. ICP-MS data for the 00U-41 transect	147
Table 5f-2a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 00U-42	150
Table 5f-2b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 00U-42.....	150
Table 5f-3a. ICP-AES data for auger hole 00U-43	152
Table 5f-3b. ICP-MS data for auger hole 00U-43.....	152
Table 6. Magnetic properties	154
Table 6a-1. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for the 00U-29 transect	154
Table 6a-2a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for the 00U-30 transect	155
Table 6a-2b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for the 00U-30 transect	156
Table 6a-3a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for soil pit VP-1 ...	157
Table 6a-3b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for soil pit VP-1	157
Table 6a-4a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for soil pit VP-2 ...	158
Table 6a-4b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for soil pit VP-2	158
Table 6a-5. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 8U-10	159
Table 6a-6. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 8U-11	160
Table 6a-7. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 8U-12	161
Table 6a-8. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 9U-22	162
Table 6a-9. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 9U-23	163
Table 6a-10a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-27	164
Table 6a-10b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for auger hole 00U-27	165
Table 6a-11a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-31	166
Table 6a-11b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for auger hole 00U-31	166
Table 6a-12a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-33	167

Table 6a-12b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for auger hole 00U-33	167
Table 6b-1a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for the 01U-1 transect	168
Table 6b-1b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for the 01U-1 transect	169
Table 6b-2. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for the 04U transect	169
Table 6c-1. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-38	170
Table 6c-2a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for the 00U-39 transect	171
Table 6c-2b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for the 00U-39 transect	172
Table 6c-3a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-40	173
Table 6c-3b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for the 00U-40 transect	173
Table 6d-1a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for the 00U-35 transect	174
Table 6d-1b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for the 00U-35 transect	175
Table 6d-2a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-36	176
Table 6d-2b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for auger hole 00U-36	176
Table 6d-3a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-37	177
Table 6d-3b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for auger hole 00U-37	177
Table 6d-4a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for soil pit JN-1 ...	178
Table 6d-4b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for soil pit JN-1	178
Table 6d-5a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for soil pit JN-2 ...	179
Table 6d-5b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for soil pit JN-2	179
Table 6d-6a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for soil pit JN-3 ...	180
Table 6d-6b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for soil pit JN-3	180
Table 6e-1. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for the GP transect	181
Table 6f-1a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for the 00U-41 transect	182
Table 6f-1b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for the 00U-41 transect	183
Table 6f-2a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-42	184
Table 6f-2b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for auger hole 00U-42	184

Table 6f-3a. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 00U-43	185
Table 6f-3b. Magnetic properties of the less than 63-micron size fraction for auger hole 00U-43	185
Table 6g-1. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 8U-18	186
Table 6g-2. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 8U-20	187
Table 6h-1. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 8U-15	188
Table 6h-2. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for auger hole 8U-16	189
Table 6h-3. Magnetic properties of the less than 2-mm size fraction for arroyo exposure 9U-21	189
Table 7. Age data	190
Table 7-1. ¹⁴ C age from Virginia Park	190
Table 7-2. Optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) ages	191

Particle-Size, CaCO₃, Chemical, Magnetic, and Age Data from Surficial Deposits in and around Canyonlands National Park, Utah

By Harland Goldstein,¹ Richard Reynolds,¹ Marith Reheis,¹ James Yount,¹ Paul Lamothe,² Helen Roberts,³ and John McGeehin⁴

Introduction

This report presents data and describes the methodology for magnetic, geochemical, and textural measurements of sediment and soil, as well as age determinations of sites located in and around Canyonlands National Park, on the Colorado Plateau, southeastern Utah (fig. 1).

The data presented in this report are results of ongoing studies of American drylands, where numerous sites have been investigated to assess how climate change and land use affect the landscape, largely through geomorphic processes. In particular, the influence of eolian and fluvial processes on ecosystem dynamics, ranging from nutrient inputs to landscape evolution, is a central focus of these studies (Neff and others, in press; Reheis and others, in press; Reynolds and others, 2001; Reynolds and others, in press).

Methods

Site Selection

Many sites in and around Canyonlands National Park, Utah, were selected to include different geomorphic settings that contain sand sheets/dunes, playas, and fluvial/alluvial deposits (table 1; fig. 1). Site selection was determined by strategies to examine a variety of plant communities, ecotones, occurrences of plant invasion, especially by cheat grass (*Bromus tectorum*), as well as different land-use histories and local bedrock.

Virginia Park

Virginia Park is located in Canyonlands National Park (figs. 1 and 2). It is a sheltered basin of vegetated, stabilized sand dunes surrounded on three sides by walls of the Cedar Mesa Sandstone and bounded on the southwest by a canyon. This site was sampled from the fall of 1998 through the spring of 2000. The deposits sampled at this site consist of multiple sequences of locally derived sand separated by poorly to moderately developed soil that formed in the sand layers. The shallowest samples (0–10 cm) represent the most recent episode of significant eolian modification of the landscape, including dune formation, which likely ceased about 2 ka. Difficult access and lack of water have precluded livestock grazing at this site (Kleiner and Harper, 1972). It is the only such ungrazed site in this study.

¹ U.S. Geological Survey, MS-980, Federal Center, Box 25046, Denver, CO 80225

² U.S. Geological Survey, MS-964, Federal Center, Box 25046, Denver, CO 80225

³ Institute of Geography and Earth Sciences, University of Wales, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DB, Wales, U.K.

⁴ U.S. Geological Survey, MS-926A, National Center, 12201 Sunrise Valley Dr., Reston, VA 20192

Squaw Flat

The Squaw Flat site is located in Canyonlands National Park (figs. 1 and 3). The transect sampling and the soil pit sampling at this site occurred during the spring of 2000 and the fall of 2001, respectively. The upper sampling sites in the transect are in a low-angle (1–3°) sand-sheet that slopes away from the Cedar Mesa Sandstone. The lower sampling sites are from mixed alluvial-eolian deposits in a poorly drained area near the middle of a meadow. This site was grazed until 1974 (M.E. Miller, U.S. Geological Survey, oral commun., 2004).

Needles

The Needles area is located in Canyonlands National Park (figs. 1, 4a, and 4b). The sites in this area are in playa deposits interbedded with eolian sand sheets and were sampled in the fall of 1998. These sites were auger sampled to about 4 meters in depth. The bedrock in this area is the Cedar Mesa Sandstone. This site was grazed until 1974 (M.E. Miller, U.S. Geological Survey, oral commun., 2004).

Mustard Patch

The Mustard Patch site (figs. 1 and 5) is near the eastern boundary of Canyonlands National Park and consists of eolian sand on a surface sloping away from Cedar Mesa Sandstone. This site was sampled during the spring of 2000. The upper part of this transect occupies a surface characterized by small coppice dunes representing recent eolian activity. The surface over the middle part of the transect generally is featureless, thereby representing a sand-sheet environment. The lowest sampling sites are in a mixed eolian sand-alluvial setting at the margin of a poorly drained valley oriented nearly 90° to the trend of the sampling transect. Grazing by domestic livestock ceased at this site in 1974 (M.E. Miller, U.S. Geological Survey, oral commun., 2004).

Gray's Pasture

Gray's Pasture (figs. 1 and 6) is located in the Island in the Sky area of Canyonlands National Park. Samples were collected in the spring of 2003 along a shallow-gradient slope away from the Navajo Sandstone. The sampled deposits consist mainly of eolian sand in small dune-forms in the upper half of the transect and in a mostly featureless surface in the lower half. The site previously was grazed until 1974 (M.E. Miller, U.S. Geological Survey, oral commun., 2004).

The Grabens

The Grabens sites (figs. 1 and 7) are located in Canyonlands National Park and consist of alluvium, colluvium, and eolian sediment deposited within closed, fault-related depressions formed in the Cedar Mesa Sandstone. The auger hole samples were taken during the fall of 1998. The arroyo exposure was sampled during the fall of 1999, and the optically stimulated luminescence samples were collected during the fall of 2002. Grazing practices for the Grabens area are not directly known; however, nearby Chesler Park was previously grazed until 1964, and the Grabens area grazing practices likely followed those at Chesler Park (M.E. Miller, U.S. Geological Survey, oral commun., 2004).

Dugout Ranch

The Dugout Ranch site (figs. 1 and 8) is located approximately 7 km east of the Canyonlands National Park boundary. This site consists mainly of eolian sand deposited on a nearly flat surface. The bedrock in this area is the Chinle Formation, the Wingate Sandstone, and the Kayenta Formation. This site initially was sampled during the fall of 2001 and was resampled in the spring 2004 to assess the influence of documented wind erosion between sampling times. This site and the surrounding areas have been grazed by domestic livestock since the arrival of Anglo-American settlers in the 1880s (Loope, 1977) and is currently grazed, mostly in the spring.

Corral Pocket

The Corral Pocket site (figs. 1 and 9) is located approximately 4 km east of the Canyonlands National Park boundary and consists of a sand-sheet and alluvial fan complex. The local bedrock consists of small exposures of Cedar Mesa Sandstone and overlying cliffs of Organ Rock Shale. The Organ Rock Shale contributes nearly all of the locally derived sediment to the sampled slope. This transect was sampled during the fall of 2000. The site is on Bureau of Land Management land and is currently grazed (M.E. Miller, U.S. Geological Survey, oral commun., 2004).

Meteorological Stations

The majority of sites presented in this report are in close proximity to climate monitoring equipment. Virginia Park, Corral Pocket, and Dugout Ranch sampling sites have Climate Impact Meteorological Stations (CLIM-MET) on site that are operated and maintained by the Central Region Earth Surface Processes team of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) (<http://climchange.cr.usgs.gov/info/sw/clim-met/>). Another USGS CLIM-MET station is located in the Needles district of Canyonlands National Park near the staff residence area, approximately 1–2 km from the Needles sampling sites (<http://climchange.cr.usgs.gov/info/sw/clim-met/needles.html>). The closest CLIM-MET station to the Squaw Flat and Mustard Patch sampling sites is located in Needles; however, the Mustard Patch sampling site also is in close proximity (~6 km) to the Corral Pocket CLIM-MET station (<http://climchange.cr.usgs.gov/info/sw/clim-met/corral.html>). The Virginia Park CLIM-MET station (<http://climchange.cr.usgs.gov/info/sw/clim-met/virgpark.html>) is closest to the Grabens sampling sites. The nearest weather monitoring station to the Gray's Pasture sampling site is located in the Island in the Sky area of Canyonlands National Park and is operated and maintained by the National Park Service (<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?utcank>).

Sampling

Three sampling strategies were employed throughout the study area: (1) isolated deep auger holes, (2) transects that consist of several shallow (50 cm), small soil pits anchored by deep auger holes, and (3) soil pits (table 1). In addition, when possible, arroyo exposures were utilized for stratigraphic relations and sampling.

The isolated deep auger holes were sampled approximately every 10 to 20 cm and ranged from 2.5 to 4.0 meters deep. The shallow, small soil pits and deep auger hole transects are the most common sampling arrangement. The shallow, small soil pits and the top of the deep auger holes were sampled fairly consistently at 0–10 cm, 10–30 cm, and 30–50 cm. The deep auger holes that anchor the transects are as much as 2.29 meters deep and below 50 cm were sampled approximately every 10 cm. Five larger soil pits (VP-1, VP-2, JN-1, JN-2, JN-3) were excavated at selected sites within the study area. The soil pits are all approximately 1 meter deep and were channel sampled based on soil horizon designations. Arroyo exposures also were sampled based on soil horizon designations.

The arroyo and graben exposures and the VP-soil pits were described (table 2) following Birkenland (1999). In some cases, the soil auger sequences were described similarly, but with less precision due to the lack of visible exposure and to mixing when the auger was reinserted into the hole. Approximate soil horizon boundaries were identified during the augering using changes in soil color, carbonate morphology, effervescence in HCl, and sediment texture.

Laboratory Analysis

Samples were analyzed at the USGS Earth Surface Processes soils and magnetism laboratories (Denver, Colo., United States of America) for hygroscopic moisture factor, calcium carbonate percent, particle size, and magnetic properties (table 3). The soil analyses followed the protocols outlined in Singer and Janitzky, (1986). Geochemical analysis was performed by the USGS Geologic Division geochemistry lab (Denver, Colo., United States of

America). All samples were analyzed at <2-mm size fraction and in some cases magnetic properties were measured on the <63- μ m size fraction (silt + clay).

Age determinations were made by optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) and Carbon-14 (¹⁴C) methods. Optically stimulated luminescence ages were determined at the Aberystwyth Luminescence Research Laboratory (Wales, United Kingdom). Radiocarbon samples were prepped at the ¹⁴C laboratory of the U.S. Geological Survey (Reston, Va.), and ages were determined by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) at the NSF-University of Arizona AMS laboratory (Tucson, Ariz.). Age data presented in this report, as well as other age data from the area, have been placed in stratigraphic and geomorphic context and can be found in Reheis and others, (in press).

Hygroscopic Moisture Factor

The hygroscopic moisture factor (table 3) is a measure of the moisture content of a sample at or near field conditions and is calculated by:

$H = OD/AD$, where

H = Hygroscopic Moisture Factor

OD = Oven-dry sample weight (105°C; 16 hrs)

AD = Air-dry sample weight

Calcium Carbonate Percent

Calcium carbonate percent (table 3) was measured using a Chittick apparatus (Dreimanis, 1962) as described by Machette (1986) whereby 6*N* HCl is applied to the sample and the gas evolved from the reaction displaces fluid within the Chittick apparatus. The volume of liquid displaced is used to calculate the percent of calcium carbonate in the sample.

$\text{CaCO}_3 \text{ \%} = (V_{\text{CO}_2} * C)/W_s$, where

$\text{CaCO}_3 \text{ \%}$ = Calcium carbonate percent

V_{CO_2} = The volume of liquid displaced during reaction in presence of HCl

C = Constant; $(P_B/T_K)*0.16$, where

P_B = Barometric Pressure in Hg mm

T_K = Temperature in degrees Kelvin

W_s = Oven-dry sample weight (105°C; 16 hrs)

Particle-Size Analysis

Particle-size analysis was performed on the <2-mm size fraction using a Malvern laser analyzer (tables 3 and 4). Prior to analysis, all samples were prepared by digesting organic matter and CaCO₃ using 30% H₂O₂ and 15% HCl, respectively. Prior to analysis, sodium hexametaphosphate was added to each sample to deflocculate clays.

Geochemical Analysis

Samples were analyzed for chemical properties by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) techniques (tables 3 and 5) (Lichte and others, 1987). All samples were analyzed on the <2-mm size fraction and were finely ground using a shatterbox.

Magnetic Properties

Magnetic properties were determined on a majority of samples, both <2-mm and, in some cases, <63- μ m size fractions (tables 3 and 6). Magnetic properties determined included low frequency and high frequency magnetic susceptibility (MS_{lf} , MS_{hf}), anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM), and isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM).

Magnetic Susceptibility

Magnetic susceptibility (MS) was measured by using a susceptometer with a sensitivity better than about $4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^3/\text{kg}$. Samples were measured in a 0.1 mT induction at a low frequency of 600 Hz (MS_{lf}) and high frequency of 6000 Hz (MS_{hf}). For each sample, the MS value was determined as the mean of four measurements. Frequency dependent susceptibility was calculated as:

$$FDMS = (MS_{lf} - MS_{hf})/MS_{lf}$$

Laboratory Induced Magnetization

Measurements of anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM) and isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) experiments were made by using a high-speed spinner magnetometer. Anhysteretic remanent magnetization was imparted in a decreas-

ing alternating field from a peak induction of 100 mT and a DC bias of 0.1 mT. IRM magnetizations were generated at room temperature by using an impulse magnetizer. First, IRM was imparted in a 1.2T induction ($IRM_{1.2T}$). The samples then were magnetized in the opposite direction by using an induction of 0.3T ($IRM_{-0.3T}$). Hard isothermal remanent magnetization (HIRM) and the S-parameter were calculated as follows (King and Channel, 1991):

$$HIRM = (IRM_{1.2T} + IRM_{-0.3T})/2;$$

$$S = IRM_{-0.3T}/IRM_{1.2T}$$

Age Determinations

C-14

Charcoal within the alluvial deposits at the Virginia Park site was sampled and placed into plastic bags using metal trowels. The charcoal received a standard acid-alkali-acid pretreatment to remove post-depositional contamination. One milligram of carbon was collected from the charcoal by converting it to graphite over an iron catalyst. The graphite was pressed into a target and ^{14}C dated using accelerator mass spectrometry. The raw ^{14}C ages later were converted to calibrated years B.P. (cal yr B.P.) by using the CALIB program of Stuiver and others (2003) (table 7). Calibration of raw ^{14}C ages corrects for known time intervals when atmospheric carbon concentrations deviated from modern values causing the appearance of an age that was too old or too young.

Optically Stimulated Luminescence

For OSL dating, samples were taken from outcrops and pits using PVC pipe hammered horizontally into freshly cleaned faces. Laboratory OSL measurements were performed using an automated Risø TL/OSL reader, equipped with blue LEDs (470 nm) providing about 17 mW cm⁻² power density and a beta source for irradiations. Three 3mm Hoya U-340 filters were used to detect the OSL signal. To determine the equivalent dose (D_e) of each sample, a minimum of 24 aliquots were examined for a range of preheat temperatures between 160–300°C

using the single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) measurement procedure of Murray and Wintle (2000), which corrects for changes in the luminescence sensitivity. All OSL measurements were made at 125°C. Each aliquot yields an independent estimate of D_e ; the mean D_e of between 13–23 aliquots was used to determine each 90–125-μm coarse-grained OSL age, and the 4–11-μm fine-grained OSL ages were calculated using the mean D_e of between 19–22 aliquots (table 7). The uncertainty on D_e was calculated as the standard error of the mean for all samples. For further discussion of OSL methods, see Reheis and others, (in press).

Acknowledgments

Many people have contributed to the compilation of data presented in this report. We thank Rich Tigges, Marie Bernatchez, and the Bobby's Hole crew for field assistance; Eric Fisher, Jiang Xiao, Isla Castaneda, Yarrow Axford, and Ken Takagi for sediment analyses; Darren "Paco" Van Sistine for GIS work; and Jeremy Havens and Lisa Rukstales for their stellar formatting and editorial assistance. Thanks to Gary Skipp and Jossh Beann for review of this report and helpful suggestions for improvement.

References

- Birkeland, P.W., 1999, *Soils and Geomorphology* [third ed.]: New York, Oxford University Press, 430 p.
- Dreimanis, Aleksis, 1962, Quantitative gasometric determination of calcite and dolomite by using Chittick apparatus: *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, v. 32, no. 3, p. 520–529.
- King, J.W., and Channel, J.E.T., 1991, Sedimentary magnetism, environmental magnetism, and magnetostratigraphy: *Reviews of Geophysics, Supplement*, p. 358–370.
- Kleiner, E.F., and Harper, K.T., 1972. Environment and community organization in grasslands of Canyonlands National Park: *Ecology*, 53, p. 299–309.

- Lichte, F.E., Golightly, D.W., Lamothe, P.J., 1987, Inductively coupled plasma-atomic spectrometry, *in* Baedeker, P.A., ed., *Methods for geochemical analysis*, U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1770, p. B1-B10.
- Loope, W.L., 1977, Relationships of vegetation to environment in Canyonlands National Park, Ph.D. Thesis: Utah State University, Logan, Utah, USA, 142 p.
- Machette, Michael, 1986, Calcium and magnesium carbonates, *in* Singer, M.J., and Janitzky, Peter, eds., *Field and laboratory procedures used in a soil chronosequence study: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1648*, p. 30-33.
- Murray, A.S., and Wintle, A.G., 2000, Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliquot regenerative-dose protocol: *Radiation Measurements*, v. 32, p. 57-73.
- Neff, J.C., Reynolds, R.L., Belnap, Jayne, and Lamothe, Paul, in press, Multi-decadal impacts of grazing on soil physical and biogeochemical properties in Southeast Utah: *Ecological Applications*.
- Prescott, J.R. and Hutton, J.T., 1994, Cosmic ray contributions to dose rates for luminescence and ESR dating: large depths and long-term time variations: *Radiation Measurements*, v. 23, p. 497-500.
- Rees-Jones, Julie, 1995, Optical dating of young sediments using fine-grain quartz: *Ancient TL*, v. 13, p. 9-13.
- Reheis, M.C., Reynolds, R.L., Goldstein, Harland, Roberts, H.M., Yount, J.C., Axford, Yarrow, Cummings, L.S., and Shearin, N., in press, Late Quaternary eolian and alluvial response to paleoclimate, Canyonlands, southeastern Utah: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*.
- Reynolds, R.L., Neff, J.C., Reheis, M.C., Lamothe, Paul, in press, Atmospheric dust in modern soil on aeolian sandstone, Colorado Plateau (USA): Variation with landscape position and contribution to potential plant nutrients: *Geoderma*.
- Reynolds, R.L., Belnap, Jayne, Reheis, M.C., Lamothe, Paul, and Luiszer, Fred, 2001, Aeolian dust in Colorado Plateau soils: Nutrient inputs and recent change in source: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, v. 98, no. 13, p. 7123-7127.
- Singer, Michael J., and Janitzky, Peter, eds., 1986, *Field and laboratory procedures used in a soil chronosequence study: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1648*, 49 pp.
- Soil Survey Staff, 1975, *Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys: USDA-SCS Agricultural Handbook 436 (2nd Edition)*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C.
- Stuiver, Minze, Reimer, P.J., and Reimer, Ron, 2003, CALIB Radiocarbon Calibration: <http://radiocarbon.pa.qub.ac.uk/calib/>.
- Wentworth, C.K., 1922, A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments: *Journal of Geology*, v. 30, p. 377-392.