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Surficial Geologic Map Database for the Amboy 30x60 Minute Quadrangle, California

By David R. Bedford, David M. Miller, and Geoffrey A. Phelps

Introduction

The surficial geologic map database of the Amboy 30x60 minute quadrangle presents characteristics of surficial materials for an area approximately 5,000 km² in the eastern Mojave Desert of California. This map consists of new surficial mapping conducted between 2000 and 2005, as well as compilations of previous surficial mapping. Surficial geology units are mapped and described based on depositional process and age categories that reflect the mode of deposition, pedogenic effects occurring post-deposition, and, where appropriate, the lithologic nature of the material.

The physical properties recorded in the database focus on those that drive hydrologic, biologic, and physical processes such as particle size distribution (PSD) and bulk density. This version of the database is distributed with point data representing locations of samples for both laboratory determined physical properties and semi-quantitative field-based information. Future publications will include the field and laboratory data as well as maps of distributed physical properties across the landscape tied to physical process models where appropriate.

The database is distributed in three parts: documentation, spatial map-based data, and printable map graphics of the database. Documentation includes this file, which provides a discussion of the surficial geology and describes the format and content of the map data, a database “readme” file, which describes the database contents, and FGDC metadata for the spatial map information. Spatial data are distributed as Arc/Info coverage in ESRI interchange (e00) format, or as tabular data in the form of DBF3-file (.DBF) file formats. Map graphics files are distributed as Postscript and Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) files, and are appropriate for representing a view of the spatial database at the mapped scale.

Physiographic and Geologic Setting

The Amboy 30x60 minute (1:100,000 scale) quadrangle occupies 5,000 km² in the eastern Mojave Desert of Southern California (Figure 1). The area encompasses several mountain ranges including the Providence, Granite, Marble, Bristol, Piute and Clipper Mountains (Figure 2). Piedmonts are prevalent on the landscape between the two major drainages in the area, Kelso Wash and Fenner Wash, each of which lead to closed basins (Soda and Bristol Dry Lakes, respectively). The Colorado River lies approximately 35 km east of the map area but only drains a small portion of the northeastern map area. The Devil’s Playground, Kelso Dunes, and Amboy Crater are notable features in the map area both as public attractions and significant landmarks.

Elevations in the map area range from 180 m to 2,182 m. The climate is arid to semi-arid. Although the area lies within the indefinite transition between Mojave (winter precipitation) and Sonoran (winter and summer monsoon precipitation) climatic regimes, the majority of rainfall apparently occurs in the winter months (Hereford and others, 2003)
The Amboy quadrangle is partially in the Eastern California Shear Zone, spanning the transition from the tectonically active Mojave Desert Block and the inactive Eastern Mojave Desert Block of Dokka and Travis (1990), with the approximately western quarter of the map area within the Mojave Desert Block. The Mojave Desert Block is expressed by northwest-striking, right-lateral strike-slip faults active in the late Cenozoic, that accommodated varied amounts of motion related to the Pacific-North American transform boundary along the greater San Andreas Fault System (Dokka and Travis, 1990).

The southwest portion of the map area is traversed by the Bristol-Danby trough, a structural depression trending west-northwest (Miller and others, 1982). Gravity modeling shows the deepest portion of the trough is centered on Bristol Lake (Jachens and Howard, 1992). Surficial deposits and topography match the gravity lows of the Danby and Cadiz basins, where playas occupy the valley axis. The Bristol-Danby trough is an ambiguous feature because it seems to bisect the northwest striking faults of the Eastern California Shear Zone. This suggests that the Bristol-Danby trough is a major crustal structure (Miller and others, 1982).

Several previous works have summarized the pre-Quaternary geologic history of the map area (Bassett and Kupfer, 1964; Bishop, 1963; Howard and others, 1997; Loyd, 1988; Miller, 1993; Miller and others, 1982; Miller and others, 1991), which briefly consists of the following: Deposition and metamorphism of Proterozoic rocks was followed by erosion and deposition of Cambrian through Permian miogeoclinal sediments. Jurassic and Cretaceous plutons intruded into magmatic arcs and were interrupted by folding and faulting. Crustal deformation likely occurred prior to late Cretaceous plutonsism, although timing of Cordilleran thrusting is ambiguous. Following erosion and deposition, a volcanic sequence was deposited in the Tertiary (largely Miocene); it consists of basalt through rhyolite, with most but not all basalt near the base of the section, the exception being the upper Peach Springs Tuff. Volcanism was followed by detachment, causing tilting and rotation of all deposits during extension. Clastic sedimentation occurred through the Tertiary as well, evidenced by thick sequences of alluvial material and small outcrops of late Miocene-Pliocene lacustrine deposits interbedded in alluvial deposits north of the town of Amboy.

**Previous Quaternary Studies**

Previous surficial geology mapping (McDonald, 1994; McDonald and others, 1995, 2003) is primarily along the southwestern Providence Mountains. This work developed detailed alluvial and eolian chronosequences for the western Providence Mountains, incorporating the effects of dust and lithology into the variability of the soil sequence. Eolian sands in the alluvial deposits allowed for thermoluminescence dating of the alluvial deposits, helping refine timing on the chronosequence.

Numerous authors have also worked on the timing and sand provenance of the Kelso Dunes and the associated Devil’s Playground eolian system (Clarke, 1994; Lancaster, 1995; Ramsey and others, 1999; Sharp, 1966). Sharp (1966) performed the first detailed studies of Kelso Dunes and developed a model in which the dunes were derived from sands transported by the Mojave River following deposition as the Mojave River exits Afton Canyon and loses carrying capacity, along with minor inputs from local alluvial fans. This model has largely been validated but with varied interpretations concerning the abundance of local sources from fans of the Providence Mountains and Kelso Wash (Lancaster, 1995; Ramsey and others, 1999). Sand in the Kelso Dunes eolian system date as early as 17 ka (Clarke, 1994), and Lancaster (1995) established a chronology of five dune activation events: 25-16.8 ka, 12.5-3.5 ka, 3-1.5 ka, 0.8-0.4 ka, and 0.25-0.15 ka. The Kelso Dunes are active on a minor scale at present.

Studies of Quaternary climate change have recently focused on the relationships of lacustrine and eolian deposits to elucidate wet and dry climates respectively. Tchakerian and Lancaster (2002) tied the histories of Lake Manix and eolian systems through the Mojave River, and have hypothesized that many of the eolian pulses are tied to fluctuating lake levels, which are driven by climatic change. In cool, wet periods, sediments in lake basins, hillslopes and piedmonts accumulate. During dry periods, very low lake levels and reduced vegetation cover allow lake sediments to be desiccated as well as potentially allowing hillslope and piedmont materials to be mobilized by eolian processes. This relationship is complex but provides a reasonable process-response model for eolian activity in the region.
**Surficial Deposits**

Surficial deposits are mapped based on depositional or erosional process, and relative age classifications based on characteristic soil development, geomorphic relationships, and surface characteristics. These techniques have been developed by numerous authors in semi-arid and arid regions and are largely based on the work of Bull (1991). These surficial geology units, termed geomorphic surfaces or soil-geomorphic surfaces, are the product of erosion, sedimentation, and soil development. The rates and magnitudes of these processes are functions of five main factors: climate, biologic activity, topography, parent (or initial) material, and time (Birkeland, 1999). Therefore, geomorphic surfaces with similar characteristics can be interpreted to have been deposited under grossly similar conditions and experienced similar post-deposition alterations. Depositional processes (e.g. overland flow, eolian, mass wasting) largely govern the nature of the original deposit, which themselves are governed by physical processes involving some aspect of topography. In many cases, particularly in tectonically inactive areas, climate is considered to be the dominant factor through time, and as such similar soils developed in deposits created by the same inferred depositional process can in many cases be adequately considered contemporaneous, although variations, particularly from lithologic, biologic and climatic variations with elevation, can render deposits of the same age to have different soil-geomorphic characteristics.

In, and largely north of the map area, numerous researchers have conducted detailed studies that led to the development of several groups of soil units or soil chronosequences for the eastern Mojave Desert (Bedford, 2003; McDonald, 1994; Menges and others, 2001; Reheis and others, 1989; Wells and others, 1990; Yount and others, 1994). These chronosequences have all tended to have similar soil unit characteristics, leading to the assumption that a regionally extensive chronosequence can be developed. In the absence of age control, early chronosequences in southwestern United States had been typically based on a relative time scale, or a time scale based on few numeric ages. Geochronologic information at many of these sites has shown that regional correlations of soils in these chronosequences can be conducted successfully (Menges and others, 2001; Wells and others, 1990). The ability to map similar soils from basin to basin in a broad area and correlate them to similar factors affecting the landscape allows one to make inferences about broad climatological, vegetative, and tectonic histories both across a broad area and through time. Menges and others, (2001) provide a useful discussion and correlation of map units used throughout California and Nevada.

We present a set of geomorphic surface descriptions that fit largely into the work by other authors working in the eastern Mojave Desert, but have tailored ours to adequately represent units mappable at a regional scale (1:100,000). The descriptions presented here are for geologic deposits that undergo pedogenesis and surface modifications and as such depart somewhat from the geomorphic surface concept. We describe deposits by depositional process (e.g. lacustrine, eolian, fluvial, etc) and then further subdivide by age as elucidated by pedogenic characteristics. Subdivisions in general are based on geologic breaks (e.g. periods of deposition), as well as those important for describing hydrologic and biologic differences.

**Material Properties**

Only locations of physical property measurements are included in the database. A future publication will include the complete field and laboratory determined values, as well as distributed maps of some of the properties. These data, gathered during the 2000 to 2005 field seasons, are intended to be applicable to a wide range of scientific and land-use pursuits, and therefore describe deposits with characteristics that are the most widespread. Holocene alluvium is the most widespread surficial deposit in the map area, and also tends to show the most biological activity. These surfaces have been specifically targeted as study areas to determine the factors important for hydrological, biological, physical response to disturbance. Soil texture (particle size distribution and bulk density) and source lithology are important physical characteristics that directly affect the hydrologic, biologic, and physiologic behavior of desert soils (Miller and others, 2002). We focused on these characteristics in the Holocene alluvial settings to better understand dynamics in this environment. This work will lead to physical property models that use process-based models to extrapolate measured deposit characteristics both across the landscape and at depth within the soil column. The process-based models will address the depositional process that formed
the deposit and soil development to allow natural variability in soil texture to be represented. These models can provide base physical properties, such as particle size distribution, lithology, and bulk density. Derivative products that can be determined from those properties are infiltration rates, soil moisture through time, and compaction characteristics. These datasets can then be used to assist models such as soil erosion and vegetation dynamics.

**Landscape History**

**Quaternary Faulting and Tectonic Geomorphology**

Four strike-slip faults that are known to have ruptured during the Quaternary are located in the Amboy quadrangle. From east to west, and oldest to youngest, they are the Bristol-Granite Mountains, south Bristol Mountains, Broadwell Lake, and Ludlow faults (Howard and Miller, 1992). Each fault strikes northwest and is dextral (Figure 3). The permissible translation on each, from west to east, is 0 to 10, 6.5, 6, and >6 km on the basis of offset marker lithologies (Howard and Miller, 1992). Each fault, where well exposed, exhibits several sub-parallel strands. A brief discussion of new faults is presented following discussion of the known faults.

The Bristol-Granite Mountains (BGM) fault appears to cut middle to early Pleistocene deposits mapped as unit Qoa (see Description of Map Units below) in the Marble Mountains (Figure 3A), although relations are ambiguous due to the thin Qoa deposits lying on Miocene and older rock. The scars in this setting might represent inherited topography from scarsps expressed in the older rocks, tectonic scarsps formed after Qoa was deposited, or both. Unit Qia, including its oldest subdivision that is mappable regionally (Qia3 of Yount and others, 1994), is not visibly cut by the fault. The fault last ruptured adjacent to the Marble Mountains in the middle Pleistocene or earlier. In the pass between the Bristol and Granite Mountains exists subtle geomorphic evidence for late Quaternary faulting in the form of lineaments that may represent scarsps as young as late Pleistocene, many of which show apparent exhumation of pediment along the trend of faults typical of the area. North of the Amboy Quadrangle, in the northern end of the Bristol Mountains (Figure 3B), the BGM fault cuts Pleistocene materials (Brady, 1992). Air photography analysis and field reconnaissance show that surfaces of probable late or latest Pleistocene age (unit Qia1 of Bedford, 2003; Yount and others, 1994) are cut.

The South Bristol Mountains fault cuts Quaternary materials in several places where it flanks the southwest side of the range (Figure 3C); farther northwest it lies within the bedrock of the range and probably cuts colluvial deposits but unambiguous relations are rare. The fault cuts older Qia deposits (mapped as Qiao, and correlates to unit Qia3 of Yount and others, 1994) but not the younger deposits of the unit Qia (Qia2 of Yount and others, 1994, not mapped separately in this publication), and thus last ruptured during the middle or late Pleistocene. The 4.83 Ma Lawlor Tuff was identified by tephrochronology by A. M. Sarna-Wojcicki (written communication 2003) from a sample taken at the base of a lake sequence interbedded with fan gravels 3.5 km north of the town of Amboy (mapped as unit Qha/pc). A maximum age to the fault is provided by the tilting of these gravel and lake sediments, which dip south probably as a result of deformation associated with dextral faulting on the South Bristol fault.

The Broadwell Lake fault was first identified on the basis of satellite imagery (Ford and others, 1989). It is most evident where it cuts Miocene volcanic rocks in the Lava Hills and forms broad breccia and gouge zones in granitic rocks (Figure 3D). In the Lava Hills, the fault cuts unit Qoa surfaces in small deposits that are too small to show at the map scale. Northwest of the Lava Hills, deposits mapped as Qiao(?) are warped adjacent to the fault and younger Qia (Qia2) deposits are not cut by the fault. The fault apparently last ruptured during the middle or late Pleistocene.

The Ludlow fault lies in the southwest corner of the Amboy quadrangle (Figure 3E). The fault cuts older Qia (Qiao) deposits but not younger Qia (Qia2) deposits (Howard and Miller, 1992).

Two previously unmapped faults are recognized in the northwestern portion of the map area, although access to the locations was not permissible. The first fault parallels the Bristol-Granite Mountains fault (i.e. strikes northwest-southeast), while the second has an east-west trend.

The Hidden Valley fault parallels the Bristol-Granite Mountains fault and is present ~ 4 km to the southwest of the BGM fault (Figure 3). The fault cuts deposits mapped as Qiag, Qia, as well as bedrock
units, and has apparent right-lateral offset of Qiag deposits of approximately 300 m. The fault is likely a major splay of the BGM fault as it emerges from the highlands between the northern Bristol Mountains and Granite Mountains. The fault was not visited on the ground, and there is no clear faulting of Holocene deposits from air photography, limiting a minimum age of rupture to late Pleistocene. Understanding the partitioning of slip across the BGM fault and the Hidden Valley fault, particularly through time, may reveal important dynamics of the northern part of the eastern California Shear Zone.

A prominent scarp cutting Qia deposits exists within the northern Bristol Mountains, and lies west of the Amboy quadrangle. The scarp trends nearly east-west and shows several geomorphic indicators for north side up offset and also for probable sinistral offset. It appears to extend east into the Amboy quadrangle, where relations are not as clear on aerial photographs because most exposure is within granitic rocks. The location is remote and we have not investigated it in the field. The questioned fault, labeled 'E-W fault' in Figure 3 is mapped along a series of east-trending linear zones that appear to correspond to decompacted rock, possible representing fault gouge. Facies of granitic rock jog in a sinistral sense along this zone, supporting an interpretation that this late Pleistocene fault is left-lateral and is broadly related to the Cady fault to the west.

Subtle evidence for Quaternary faulting is found on the northwestern side of the Granite Mountains (Figure 3F). Preliminary fieldwork suggests that surfaces of unit Qia may be cut and deformed by northwest-striking faults. Along the west side of the low hills northwest of the Granite Mountains, consistently northwest striking benches and ramps in deposits mapped as unit Qia are observed. Apparent folding and gouging of unit Qia deposits are also observed. While benches and ramps may be inherited features from older deformation, the presence of gouge and folds infers deformation after unit Qia was deposited. Faulting may be as young as earliest Holocene based on possible offset of Qiag deposits in the wash emanating from the northwest Granite Mountains, but may be a result of complex incision patterns, and as such should be considered tentative at best.

Linear mountains are prominent in the western part of the Amboy quadrangle but not elsewhere in the map area, and coincide with locations of Quaternary dextral faults. The linear mountains exhibit asymmetrical topography, with low-gradient, deeply embayed piedmonts on the northeast and steep mountain fronts with narrow canyons and little embayment on the southwest. This asymmetry is probably the result of the interplay of two features: (1) A long overall gently sloping gradient from the high Granite and Providence Mountains on the north to Bristol and Cadiz Lakes on the south, and (2) northwest-trending linear mountains athwart this gradient that disrupt southward transport of sediment. Each feature is probably tectonically controlled, with the long gradient possibly owing to broad folding of the upper crust (Howard and Miller, 1992), and the linear mountains reveal a down-to-the-southwest component of slip on the primarily dextral faults.

Further evidence for youthful tectonics is in Qiag deposits of the northern Marble Mountains. These deposits consist of sediment derived from felsic plutonic rocks of the Granite Mountains to the north, yet are presently deposited on felsic volcanic rocks that form a bowl-shaped basin almost entirely around the deposits. Presently there is no physiographic connection of the deposits to their source area. This suggests that the drainage system at the time of deposition was configured such that watersheds of the Granite Mountains drained across the Marble Mountains, rather than around them. This configuration is also supported by older Tertiary sediments that locally dip towards the northern Marble Mountains.

Non-linear mountain patterns, broad pediments and pediment-controlled piedmonts, and deep embayment of mountain fronts in the northeastern part of the quadrangle testify to lack of pronounced recent tectonic influence on those landforms. Large, integrated drainages, such as Fenner Wash also suggest that tectonics has not been a factor in drainage basin evolution in the eastern parts of the quadrangle.

Quaternary Volcanism

Quaternary volcanic rocks crop out in the southwest portion of the map area. The most notable of those is Amboy Crater, a series of basaltic cinder and lava flow deposits capped by a 75 m tall breached cinder cone (Parker, 1963). Six periods of eruption are identified by Parker (1963) and have loosely been attributed to very short eruption periods, on the order of decades (Glazner and others, 1991). The rocks at Amboy Crater appear to have been deposited on playa deposits of Bristol Lake (Bassett and Kupfer, 1964), and were in turn, shallowly buried on southern and eastern margins by younger playa deposits (Parker, 1963).
Basaltic rocks crop out at Dish Hill and just northeast of Dish Hill in the Lava Hills north of Amboy Crater (Miller, 1993). Dish Hill shows characteristic morphology of a breached cone. Quantitative numeric ages for the volcanic deposits in the map area are sparse and most workers have attributed the age as Quaternary through stratigraphic relationships with Quaternary surficial units, with the exception of Dish Hill, which are dated at 1.9 and 2.1 Ma (Miller, 1993). Amboy crater has recently been dated at 79±5 ka with cosmogenic $^{36}$Cl methods (Phillips, 2003). Previous to cosmogenic dating at Amboy Crater, estimates of weathering rates on the volcanics have been used to infer dates as young as mid-Holocene (Parker, 1963), and ranging up to Late Pleistocene (Glazner and others, 1991). Basaltic deposits having similar weathering characteristics in the east Mojave Desert have a broad range of numeric ages ranging from 60 ka to nearly 6.5 Ma (Turrin and others, 1985). Therefore, ages based solely on weathering characteristics should be considered as tentative. The absence of extensive burial of lake sediments and absence of shoreline deposits associated with Bristol Lake suggests that Amboy Crater post-dates the last glacial period when it is inferred that the Bristol basin contained a large lake (Parker, 1963). The new numeric dates from Phillips (2003), mapping for this report, reconnaissance work of other authors (Bassett and Kupfer, 1964) and drill logs in Bristol Lake (Bassett and others, 1959) show that there is no evidence that a large lake existed in the Bristol Lake basin in the Late Pleistocene.

**Eolian History**

A fairly large eolian system exists east of Fenner Wash, from the Ship Mountains north to the central Piute Mountains near the town of Fenner. The source of sand for this system is Fenner Wash, not Bristol Lake playa. There is no evidence for active or Holocene eolian sediments west of Fenner Wash, and immediately eastward of Fenner Wash are active, modern, and mid-Holocene eolian deposits. The local current wind regime is eastward, and the degree of eolian activity decreases to the east away from the wash based on qualitative assessments of fine-grained sediments in soil profiles and surface expressions of eolian features such as coppice mounds. The eolian deposits are increasingly younger to the south as illustrated by deposits near the town of Fenner where the deposits consist of fine-grained, sand-dominated, mixed eolian-alluvial origin that lack surface expressions of modern eolian processes. Southward, the eolian processes and materials begin to dominate the system, gradually becoming more active along the wash margin near the towns of Essex and Danby.

The southward transition from older to younger eolian sediments may reflect the sediment carrying capacity of Fenner Wash for size fractions capable of being entrained by wind, or may reflect fluvial responses to base level change of the larger Fenner Wash/Bristol Lake system.

**New Age Data in Fenner Wash and Bristol Lake**

We collected five samples for optically stimulated luminescence analysis and two samples for $^{14}$C analysis along Fenner Wash and concentrating on groundwater discharge (GWD) deposits in the Bristol Lake area. The stratigraphic relations of these deposits allow for the determination of timing of backfilling of Qyao deposits into an incised Fenner Wash, and to compare to timing of GWD activity lower in the catchment in Bristol Lake.

Luminescence samples were collected under opaque cloth in tubes inserted horizontally into vertical excavations of the deposits. Luminescence analysis was performed by Shannon Mahan in the U.S. Geological Survey Luminescence Lab in Denver, CO. Locations of samples are shown in Figure 4A, and the preliminary data from the analysis is presented in Table 1.

Near the town of Essex (Figure 4A), two luminescence samples (B02AM-393, B02AM-394) were collected in Fenner Wash. Inset relationships suggest that valley-filling Qia (Qia1 of Bedford, 2003) deposits occupy the broad center of Fenner Valley. Along the 1-1.5 m deep incision along the modern drainage are found Qyao (Qya4 deposits of Bedford, 2003) deposits apparently deposited within the incised channel of Fenner Wash. Thus knowing the age of the Qia deposit allows a determination of the maximum age of incision, and the age of the Qyao deposit gives the minimum age of incision, and the age of valley back-filling. We collected one sample from each of these deposits in a location with adjacent deposits (Figure 4B). IRSL ages (Table 1) for these samples suggest that the inset Qyao deposit (Sample B02AM-393) is older than the Qia deposit (Sample B02AM-394). However, the OSL age on the Qia deposit yields
an older age, consistent with stratigraphic and inset relations. We attribute this inconsistency to the differences in textures used for luminescence analysis (silt for IRSL and fine sand for OSL). The Qia deposit contains a large amount of illuvial fines, as well as possible incorporation of eolian material. Because silt is more likely to be illuviated down into the soil profile, the samples may have been “diluted” with younger silt fractions that skewed the IRSL results.

Two luminescence samples (B02AM-391A, B02AM-391B) were collected from a vertical section of GWD deposits near the town of Chambless (Figure 4A). These deposits are described in the section “Groundwater Discharge Deposits in Bristol Lake Basin.” Figure 4C shows a sketch of the deposits and locations of samples. The age data in Table 1 are stratigraphically consistent, and suggest that the stratigraphic section was formed relatively swiftly, on the order of 500 years.

One luminescence sample (M05SM-28) was collected to the south of Cadiz, along the Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railroad, in similar deposits as those near Chambless (Figure 4A). Deposits at this site also show similar ages (although with different luminescence techniques) as those near Chambless.

Preliminary $^{14}$C dates from snails at the Atchison Railroad site are younger than OSL dates for the same deposits. Two samples revealed $^{14}$C ages of $11,720 \pm 60$ and $12,110 \pm 140$ years for Fossaria and Pupillid snails respectively (Vicki Pedone, Written Communication, 2005).

There are two possible explanations for dissimilarities between OSL and $^{14}$C dates. The first is simply that the two methods do not agree, or there may be calibration uncertainty. The other explanation is that the two methods are correct, but are capturing two stages of deposit formation. The $^{14}$C data may represent a period of time with significant groundwater discharge and potentially large areas of open water, consistent with a climax in discharge. The carbonate-rich deposits in which luminescence samples were collected are likely indicative of a drying phase of discharge in which lowered discharge and/or increased aridity comittant with evaporation and precipitation of carbonates. Thus our data may suggest that the peak, or final, period of large open water marsh environments may have persisted until approximately 11-12 kya, followed by drying and carbonate platform formation around 10 kya.
### Table 1: Preliminary Feldspar Infrared Stimulated Luminescence (IRSL) and Quartz Blue-light OSL Ages for Fenner Wash/Bristol Lake Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample information</th>
<th>Deposit(^a)</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>IRSL Dose Rate</th>
<th>Equivalent dose</th>
<th>IRSL Age(^b)</th>
<th>n(^c)</th>
<th>Blue Dose Rate</th>
<th>Equivalent dose</th>
<th>Blue Age</th>
<th>Best Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cm</td>
<td>(%(^d))</td>
<td>((10^{-3})\ Gy/yr)</td>
<td>(Gy)</td>
<td>(yr)</td>
<td>(Gy/yr)</td>
<td>(Gy)</td>
<td>(yr)</td>
<td>(yr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02AM-391A</td>
<td>Qyg</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.77 ± 0.05</td>
<td>45.1 ± 0.45</td>
<td>9,456 ± 260</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.48 ± 0.05</td>
<td>35.1 ± 1.07</td>
<td>10,074 ± 530</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>below carbonate ledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.2 ± 0.53</td>
<td>11,134 ± 309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02AM-391B</td>
<td>Qyg</td>
<td>45-50</td>
<td>20 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>50.2 ± 0.78</td>
<td>10,239 ± 415</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>base below nodules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53.0 ± 0.92</td>
<td>10,819 ± 468</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02AM-393</td>
<td>Qya (Qya4)</td>
<td>25-30</td>
<td>10 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.82 ± 0.05</td>
<td>61.8 ± 0.56</td>
<td>10,619 ± 255</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum age on incision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64.8 ± 0.71</td>
<td>11,120 ± 303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B02AM-394</td>
<td>Qia (Qia1)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.05</td>
<td>29.0 ± 1.45</td>
<td>5,296 ± 538</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.26 ± 0.04</td>
<td>51.4 ± 1.59</td>
<td>12,052 ± 768</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reddened, older soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41.7 ± 0.46</td>
<td>7,623 ± 212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M05SM-28</td>
<td>Qyg</td>
<td>20 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.07</td>
<td>30.5 ± 0.92</td>
<td>9,365 ± 673</td>
<td>9,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>below carbonate ledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Deposit designations follow those of this report and those in parentheses follow Bedford, 2003.
- Field moistures at 1%, ages based on 10% (sands)-20% (silts) moisture content through time as an average between field and saturation moisture values.
- Silt fraction (4-11 micron size) for IRSL as multiple aliquot additive dose technique (MAAD).
- Number of replicated equivalent dose (De) estimates used to calculate the mean. Figures in parentheses indicate total number of measurements made including failed runs with unusable data.
- Lab used fine sand grains (105-90-105 micron size) for blue-Light OSL as single aliquot regeneration technique (SAR).
- Lab used fine sand grains (125-105 micron size) for blue-light OSL as single aliquot regeneration technique (SAR).
Bristol Lake/Playa History

There has been speculation about the presence of large lakes occupying the basins associated with the Bristol-Danby trough. Bassett and Kupfer (1964) cite the need for through-going drainage from the Mojave River and Death Valley areas to the Colorado River. Evidence for large lakes in the basins during the late Miocene to Pliocene is largely from tilted lakebed deposits containing the 4.83 Ma Lawlor Tuff. This system of lakes may have also been connected with lakes occupying the Barstow Basin (Robert Reynolds, personal communication 2002). Fossils from Cadiz Basin suggest a lake in the early history of that basin, but there are no dates from that stratigraphic interval (Bassett and others, 1959).

However, there is little evidence for large lakes in the Bristol Lake basin in the Quaternary. Alluvial deposits of a variety of Quaternary ages show no known shoreline features preserved on piedmonts, and cores from the Bristol and Cadiz basins consist of large amounts of salt and gypsum (Bassett and others, 1959), which are more consistent with ephemeral playa environments, or short-lived shallow lakes.

Groundwater Discharge Deposits in Bristol Lake Basin

Extensive groundwater discharge events in the Bristol Basin are shown by deposits east and south of the town of Chambless (Figure 4C). There are two types of deposits in outcrop and each represents a different period and inferred magnitude of groundwater discharge.

Surface expressions of older and more extensive groundwater discharge deposits (GWD) consist of a mixed assemblage of platforms of calcium carbonate deposits, and incipient pavements above fine-grained sand and silt with calcium-carbonate clast coatings. The platform deposits consist of white to cream colored, moderate to well-indurated calcium carbonate with ‘popcorn’ textured calcium carbonate nodules. Late Pleistocene mammalian fossils are present within the upper platform deposits. These deposits consist of a very weak pavement of gravel clasts, some of which are identifiable with a provenance of the upper portions of Fenner Wash. Below the layer of surface clasts is a 3 cm weak A horizon, consistent with soils developed on Qyao deposits. Excavation and hand cores below the A horizon reveal mixed sand and silt deposits with various amounts of calcium carbonate coatings and nodules, and basal (?) fine-grained silty sand (Figure 4C).

Excavations and geomorphic relations show that the platform deposits are intercalated with the sandy deposits. The basal silty sand deposits resembles fine-grained lacustrine or groundwater discharge deposits, but samples analyzed for paleo-botanical content from the core lacked ostracodes and consists primarily of root fragments, root casts and molds, and calcareous rhizoliths (Richard Forester, written communication, 2003). Correlative deposits approximately 4 km south near the town of Cadiz contain ostracode species as well as micrite and ostracode oxygen and carbon isotopic ratios consistent with wetland environments and deposits (Pedone and Rivera, 2003). Two preliminary luminescence dates from the fine-grained section near Chambless suggest that the deposits were formed around 9.5 to 11 ka (see above).

The older deposits near Chambless are interpreted to be formed in wetland environments containing mixtures of small open water pools and marshes similar to deposits and paleoenvironments termed ‘wet meadows’ and described in southern Nevada by Quade and others (1995). Further interpretations can be made on the paleoenvironments for the two types of older deposits near Chambless. We suggest that the carbonate platform deposits may have formed through precipitation of carbonate as patches of open water dried. Small patches of vegetation may have persisted, as evidenced by root molds and casts, but the majority of vegetation may have been retarded due to a hardpan of carbonate rich sediments. The thicker, and finer grained deposits that presently are capped by weak soils and incipient pavements may have persisted as wet areas, which would have accumulated fine grained deposits, as well as being ideal environments for vegetation. This may correlate to the ‘phreatophyte flat’ of Quade and others (1995). As groundwater levels dropped, presumably at the end of the Younger Dryas, precipitation
of minor carbonates may have continued as carbonate coatings in the upper portions of the deposits. In southern Nevada, similar stratigraphic sections and relationships to those described here are typically capped by organic rich ‘black mat’ deposits (Quade and others, 1998), but no black mat deposits were observed at the site near Chambless. At the Chambless site, black mat deposits may have never formed, or may have been subsequently eroded. Organic rich deposits are observed capping similar stratigraphy near the town of Cadiz (Pedone and Rivera, 2003) and may indeed be black mat deposits.

Younger calcium carbonate deposits also present near Chambless are expressed by a broad, flat platform of massive to punky calcium carbonate disseminated in mid-Holocene alluvial surfaces of unit Qya. The calcium carbonate is present in the older Holocene deposits (unit Qya3 of Bedford, 2003), but are absent in the youngest deposits (units Qya1 and Qya2 of Bedford, 2003). The carbonates are present on top of, as well as through the soil profile, suggesting that the carbonates were deposited after deposition of the alluvial unit, perhaps as percolation through the deposits. The abundance of calcium carbonate within the alluvial fan units decreases to the east, as well as decreases in stratigraphic depth in the up-fan direction (Figure 4). These deposits are interpreted as being the result of a rise in groundwater levels at or above the ground level in the lower Bristol Basin following the deposition of the alluvial fan deposits. Percolation through or wetting of the deposits was likely followed by evaporation, deposited calcium carbonate in the alluvial soil. Timing of the groundwater rise can only be constrained by the following: it is unlikely to have occurred during the mid-Holocene altithermal, and since it is interpreted that soil development occurred prior to carbonate deposition, and may have in fact have been erased during presumed vegetative activity during the rise in groundwater. Therefore, the groundwater rise appears to have occurred sometime after the mid-Holocene altithermal to allow minor soil development (A, and weak B horizons) and before the younger suite of inset Holocene alluvial units were deposited. Ages of the younger alluvial deposits in the area are unknown, but regionally they range from 100 to 2000 ya (Bedford, 2003; McDonald, 1994).

The deposits near the town of Chambless have obvious affinities to spring and wetland deposits in southern Nevada, and thus may be interpreted in the same paleoenvironmental and paleoclimatic manner. The preliminary IRSL dates presented here are on the order of 500 to 1000 years younger than most of the similar deposits in southern Nevada, which range from 11,800 to 6,300 ¹⁴C years and cluster at about 10,000 ¹⁴C years (Quade and others, 1998), which is roughly 9,500 calendar years. Quade and others (2003) report that desiccation of black mat and other spring deposits in southern Nevada occurred between 9,500 and 7,000 ¹⁴C ya, which is roughly 8,800 to 6,000 calendar years. If our preliminary IRSL date of 9.5 to 10.5 ka is accurate and interpreted as a result of initial drying of the wetland deposits, then it seems apparent that the wetlands at Chambless were active at an earlier time than those in southern Nevada. If the Younger-Dryas climatic shift is responsible for the increased regional moisture levels, then one would expect the carbonate caps at southern (i.e. Chambless) deposits to form (dry out) before the northern deposits as aridity returned to more northern latitudes in a time-transgressive manner.

**Evolution of Fenner Wash and Adjacent Piedmonts**

Alluvial fan and wash deposits along Fenner Wash reveal dynamics of Late Quaternary erosion and deposition (Figure 5). Late Pleistocene deposits (Qia) grade gently down to the center of Fenner Valley, which is occupied by Fenner Wash. Since Late Pleistocene deposits mimic the present topography of the basin and extend to the valley center, this suggests that the base level of the valley was approximately that of the central valley through much of the Pleistocene. Near the town of Essex is a suite of late Pleistocene alluvial deposits with minor eolian addition (Qia) that are correlative to unit Qia1 of Bedford (2003), which is most likely a younger, latest Pleistocene deposit. The present wash bottom is incised approximately 2 meters below this surface, and has relatively limited lateral extent along a few primary wash systems such as Fenner, Black Canyon, Woods, and Watson Washes. South of Essex these washes merge into Fenner Wash. The oldest deposit in the incised Fenner Wash is a late Pleistocene to Early Holocene alluvial deposit (Qyao), which suggests that between latest Qia depositional time and Qyao depositional time, a fairly large base level change occurred to cause incision followed by backfilling of the incised channel. The timing of incision is approximately 12-10 kya and may have been in response to rapid cooling and increased moisture at the onset of the Younger Dryas. A deposit of unit Qyao near the town of Essex contains a moderate amount of fine-grained material, of which a preliminary IRSL age of 10.8 ka (see above). The similarity of the timing of deposition in the incised Fenner Wash and groundwater deposition at the terminus of Fenner Wash in Bristol Lake suggests that the blockage of mouth of Fenner Wash by wetlands and phreatophyte flats is responsible for deposition in the valley. Wetlands and
PHREATOPHYTE FLATS EFFECTIVELY BLOCK SEDIMENT TRANSPORT THROUGH THEM (QUADE AND OTHERS, 1995) AND IN EFFECT WOULD HAVE ACTED TO RAISE THE EFFECTIVE BASE LEVEL OF FENNER WASH BY AS MUCH AS 50 TO 60 METERS (THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE MODERN ELEVATION OF BRISTOL LAKE AND THE HIGHEST ELEVATION OF GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE DEPOSITS). THE YOUNGER DEPOSITS (QYW, QAW, QYV, QAV) OF FENNER WASH ARE NOT DRAMATICALLY INCISED INTO THE QYAO DEPOSITS, SUGGESTING THAT BASE LEVEL HAS NOT CHANGED DRAMATICALLY FOLLOWING DEPOSITION OF QYAO. THESE YOUNGER DEPOSITS ARE COMBINATIONS OF STREAM CHANNEL, BAR, AND OVERBANK FINES, OF WHICH THE FINE-GRAINED DEPOSITS ARE OFTEN REWORKED INTO EOLIAN DEPOSITS IN THE WASH AND ALONG THE EASTERN FLANK OF THE WASH ALONG THE PIUTE AND OLD WOMAN MOUNTAINS. AS DISCUSSED ABOVE, THESE EOLIAN DEPOSITS HAVE MOVED DOWN FENNER WASH ALONG THE EASTERN PIEDMONT IN A TIME TRANSGRESSIONAL MANNER, BUT IT IS UNKNOWN IF THIS OCCURRED IN A CONTINUOUS OR STEPWISE MANNER. THE PERIODS OF HOLOCENE EOLIAN ACTIVITY IN AND FLANKING FENNER WASH CAN POSSIBLY BE CORRELATED TO REGIONAL EOLIAN SAND RAMP AND DUNE ACTIVITY, AND LOGICALLY BE LINKED TO PERIODS OF ARIDITY. MID HOLOCENE EOLIAN DEPOSITS ARE KNOWN AND DATED AT BIG MARIAS SAND RAMP AT 6 TO 8 KA AND AT SOLDIER MOUNTAIN AT 6.7 KA (RENDELL AND SHEFFER, 1996), AND AT KELSO DUNES BETWEEN 10 TO 4 KA (CLARKE, 1994; LANCASTER AND TCHAKERIAN, 2003), AND COULD CORRELATE TO THE EOLIAN MATERIALS INCORPORATED INTO MID HOLOCENE ALLUVIAL SEDIMENTS ALONG THE WESTERN PIUTE MOUNTAINS PIEDMONT NEAR THE TOWN OF FENNER. LATE HOLOCENE DEPOSITS ARE ALSO KNOWN REGIONALLY AT THE WEST CRONERE BASIN BETWEEN 2 TO 1 KA, OLD DAD MOUNTAIN BETWEEN 3 TO 2.5 KA (RENDELL AND SHEFFER, 1996), AND AT KELSO DUNES AROUND 1.5 KA AND BETWEEN 0.8 TO 0.5 KA (CLARKE, 1994; LANCASTER AND TCHAKERIAN, 2003). ALL OF THESE DATES ARE POSSIBLE CORRELATES TO WASH DEPOSITS INSET IN FENNER WASH, AND YOUNGER TO ACTIVE EOLIAN AND MIXED ALLUVIAL DEPOSITS ALONG THE SOUTHEAST FLANK OF FENNER WASH.

IN SUMMARY, THERE APPEARS TO BE LITTLE EVIDENCE THAT PULSES OF FAN AGGRADATION, WHICH MAY BE CLIMATELY LINKED, AND EOLIAN ACTIVITY, INHERENTLY CLIMATELY LINKED, HAD SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE GEOMETRY OF THE FENNER BASIN. BASE LEVEL FLUCTUATIONS, CAUSED BY RAISED GROUNDWATER LEVELS AND SIGNIFICANT VEGETATIVE ACTIVITY IN WETLAND AND PHREATOPHYTE FLAT ENVIRONMENTS, AT THE TERMINUS OF FENNER WASH WAS LIKELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE INCISION AND SUBSEQUENT BACK-FILLING OF SEDIMENTS ALONG THE AXIAL FENNER WASH SYSTEM.

DESCRIPTION OF MAP UNITS

INTRODUCTION


**Surficial Deposits**

**Anthropogenic Deposits**

**ml**  Made land— Material moved for construction purposes and agricultural disturbance sufficiently extensive to make landforms and deposits difficult to identify

**Alluvial Deposits**

**Qaa**  Active alluvial fan deposit (Holocene)— Alluvial fan deposits characterized by surfaces and channels that actively receive or have received sediments within the last few years or decades. Composed of unconsolidated poorly sorted gravel and sand in channels, fine sands and silts in overbank deposits. Deposit consists of active channel and young terrace or bar deposits. The annually active channel surfaces are a small part of the unit, and form discrete channels that are commonly smooth. Terrace deposits expressed with rough microtopography; strongly developed bar and swale throughout much of the extent of fan; less pronounced in distal fan. No soil development in active channels, and little or no soil development, which may be expressed as accumulations of silt in the upper horizon, in terrace deposits. Surfaces active on a decadal scale form terraces 10 to 60 cm above active channels. Deposits inset into most of older alluvial deposits. Surfaces commonly lack annual and perennial vegetation on surfaces active on annual to decadal scale, and moderately to heavily inhabited with annual and perennial vegetation on surfaces or channels active on decadal to centennial scale. Perennial vegetation commonly consists of creosotebush (*Larrea tridentata*) and white bursage (*Ambrosia dumosa*). Surfaces are prone to flooding and sheet flow during intense or long-lasting precipitation events. Active channels inferred to be less than 10 to 20 years or less based on flooding frequency, terrace and bar deposits range from 20 to 100 years based on flooding frequency from air photography at different times, and burial of 19th and 20th century tracks and trails by these deposits. **Qaag**, alluvial fan composed predominately of clasts from granitic source that weathers to grus. Surface undulating with smoother microtopography than unit Qaa; depths of channel incision is smaller; typically 10-40 cm separating active surfaces from centennial active surfaces

**Qya**  Young alluvial fan deposit (Holocene and latest Pleistocene)— Alluvial fan deposits characterized by surfaces that are abandoned or receive flood materials on a centennial to millennial basis. Moderately- to poorly-sorted, loose to slightly compact, sand and sandy gravel. Coarser-grained especially near non-granitic mountain fronts where boulders and cobbles are common. No or very weak desert pavement. Incipient to weak varnish on clasts. Soil development consists of 1 to 3 cm thick incipient to weak fine sand and silt A, and occasional reddening of subsurface (cambic B) horizons, stage I calcic development. Microtopography ranges from 20 to 60 cm in much of fan, and consists of moderate to faint remnants of bar and swale topography. Moderately to sparsely inhabited with creosotebush and smaller shrubs, typically white bursage. Can contain abundant patches of cryptobiotic soil crusts. Surfaces typically 0.3 to 1.5 m above active channels. This unit can contain any of the following: Qaa, Qyay, Qyaq, Qyad. **Qyad**, young alluvial deposits dominated by debris flows of bouldery, matrix-supported material. Bar and swale microtopography is well pronounced on the order of 0.5 to 1 m high. Mapped only where determined from field study; deposits are much more widespread than shown. Common along west side of Providence Mountains. **Qyaf**, alluvial deposits dominated by fine-grained sediments in the extreme distal portions of fans or where wash deposits build fans onto playas such as the terminus of Fenner Wash. Commonly very subdued microtopography, very sparsely or unvegetated and mixed with eolian deposits. **Qyay**, younger alluvial deposits, mapped as areas that lack deposits of unit Qyaq. **Qyaq**, older young alluvial deposits, characterized by 1 to 5 m² patches of weakly to moderately developed pavements with weak varnish on clasts that develop varnish. Soil development consists of 1 to 4 cm thick A, horizon, weak cambic to Bw, horizon, stage I to II calcic horizon. Deposits inset into
older (e.g. Qia) deposits and typically are incised by younger deposits (younger Qya and Qaa). Dated at about 10 ka by OSL in Fenner Wash near the town of Fenner (Shannon Mahan, written comm. 2003; see text), and lie on groundwater discharge deposits dated at 13 ka by luminescence methods (Shannon Mahan, written comm. 2000; see text) in lower Kelso Wash just north of the map area. Mapped only where determined from field study; deposits are much more widespread than shown. **Qyaog**, older alluvial fan deposits made up of clasts from granitic source that weathers to grus. Surface undulating and smooth with smaller magnitudes of channel dissection. Soil development is weak with sandy incipient to weak sand and silt *A*<sub>t</sub>, poorly developed cambic horizons, stage I to I+ calcic horizons. Surfaces typically 20 to 50 cm above active channels. Very common downslope of Cretaceous granite outcrops. **Qyaog**, older alluvial deposits made up of clasts from granitic source that weathers to grus, characterized by weakly developed pavements that generally lack varnish. Soil development is weaker than Qyaog, particularly as weak sandy *A*<sub>tk</sub> horizons. Mapped only where determined from field study (mostly in the northwestern Granite Mountains); deposits are much more widespread than shown.

**Qia**  
**Intermediate alluvial fan deposit (late to middle Pleistocene)**—Poorly sorted, sandy gravel alluvial fan deposits characterized by surfaces abandoned for tens of thousands of years. Compact. Characterized by moderately- to well-developed desert pavement with moderate to strong varnish on clasts, and flat smooth surface that is partly incised by narrow channels. Well-developed platy 4–10 cm thick *A*<sub>t</sub> horizon composed of silt, very fine sand, and clay. Moderate to strongly developed *B*<sub>t</sub> horizon and Stage I+ to III+ calcic horizon. Pavement, varnish, and *A*<sub>tk</sub> horizon subdued to absent at high altitudes (above approximately 1100 m); *B*<sub>t</sub> horizon typically thicker at high altitude, calcic horizon thin. Very sparsely vegetated on flat surfaces with creosotebush, white bursage, and Mojave yucca (*Yucca schidigera*), more densely vegetated along rounded transitions to incised areas. Moderately vegetated at high altitude with Mojave yucca, blackbrush (*Coleogyne ramosissima*), and Joshua tree (*Yucca brevifolia*). **Qiaog**, alluvial fan made up of clasts from granitic source that weathers to grus; surface commonly is poorly developed with weak to no pavement and *A*<sub>tk</sub> horizon; *B*<sub>t</sub> horizon well developed, calcic horizon ranges from stage II to III+ and may be 2-3 m below the surface. **Qiao**, older intermediate alluvial deposits; surface expression of 2 main types: degraded pavement including exposure of the *A*<sub>t</sub>, below disaggregated pavement clasts, or in the presence of a moderately-developed intact pavement, *B*<sub>t</sub> horizons than for younger Qia deposits, to nearly absent. Both types with extreme rounding of incised edges. Stage II+ to IV- calcic horizon. Moderately vegetated with similar assemblages as unit Qia, but may be more dense. Mapped only where determined from field study; deposits are more widespread than shown. **Qiaog**, older intermediate deposits made up of clasts from granitic source that weather to grus; degraded weak pavements with moderate- to well-developed *A*<sub>tk</sub> horizon, well-developed *B*<sub>t</sub> horizon with stage III calcic development. Mapped only where determined from field study.

**Qoa**  
**Old alluvial fan deposit (middle to early Pleistocene)**—Alluvial fan deposits characterized by degraded remnants of abandoned surfaces forming bouldery ridges, or ballenas, after Peterson (1981). Poorly sorted sand and gravel, compact to well cemented. Commonly forms pale-colored ballenas above active washes in upper parts of alluvial fans near mountain fronts or rounded, deeply-dissected terrane with little or no remnant depositional geomorphology; a few meters to tens of meters higher than surrounding surfaces. Most upper soil horizons stripped off by erosion but commonly has superimposed weak soils developed directly petrocalcic horizon. In places may have remnant varnished pavement clasts at the surface, including disaggregated pieces of calcic horizon, with a very thin or absent *B*<sub>t</sub> horizon suggesting the surface once had pavement characteristics that have since degraded. Stage IV and greater calcic horizons 2 to 6 m thick. Moderately vegetated. The 0.74 Ma Bishop Tuff is deposited in lower part of the unit in the western Providence Mountains (McDonald and others, 1995), and is the only age control in the map area. **Qoad**, old alluvial deposits dominated by debris flows of bouldery, matrix-supported material. Mapped only where determined from field study; deposits are much more widespread than shown. **Qoag**, old alluvial fan made up of clasts from granitic source that weathers to grus; surface commonly modified by overland flow and lacks *A*<sub>tk</sub> horizon; *B*<sub>t</sub> horizon rarely remains, pronounced stage III+-IV+ calcic horizon. Typically deeply incised and rounded with moderate vegetation.

**QToa**  
**Extremely old alluvial fan deposit (early Pleistocene to Pliocene)**—Alluvial fan deposits characterized by complete lack of original landform and general lack of soil horizons at the surface. Poorly sorted compact bouldery gravel and sand. Forms deeply dissected terrane with little or no remnant depositional geomorphology; deposits generally did not form in present topography, as indicated by source directions or clast composition. Younger, superimposed, soil horizons locally developed, and may have several sets of paleosols exposed in wash-cut profiles. Moderately to well vegetated. Between
Lava Hills and Bristol Mountains, commonly contains thick calcic horizons and is distinguished from unit Qoa by generally deeper dissection and presence of abundant exotic rhyolite clasts.

**Wash Deposits**

**Qaw** *Active wash deposit (Holocene)*— Alluvial wash deposits characterized by surfaces and channels actively receiving sediments within the last few decades. Similar in character to unit Qaa, but generally better sorted and bedded, deposited in larger, more frequently flowing, integrated drainages. Composed of loose moderately- to poorly-sorted sand and gravel, moderately- to poorly-bedded. No soil development in active channels, and little or no soil development, which may be expressed as accumulations of silt in the upper horizon, in terrace deposits. Commonly lacks vegetation on active channel surfaces and moderately vegetated on decadal to centennial scale surfaces with creosotebush, commonly cheesbush (*Hymenoclea salsola*), and smoke tree (*Psorothamnus spinosus*) at low altitudes. Surfaces are prone to flooding and sheet flow during intense or long-lasting precipitation events. Mapped mainly where ephemeral stream flow is channelized; distributed stream flow generally mapped as active alluvial fan deposit (Qqa) or valley-axis deposits (Qav). Major washes include Fenner Valley, Kelso Valley and Orange Blossom Wash. *Qawg*— wash deposits made up of clasts from granitic source materials that weather to grus. Typically moderately to well-sorted sand and gravel with decreased magnitude of inset relationships.

**Qyw** *Young wash deposit (Holocene and latest Pleistocene)*— Largely inactive alluvial wash deposits in terraces above active wash surfaces. Composed of loose, moderately- to poorly-sorted, sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders common in close proximity to bedrock outcrops. Poorly- to moderately-bedded with common alternating beds of coarse-grained wash and fine-grained overbank sediments. Soil development typically consists of 1 to 3 cm thick incipient to weak fine sand and silt Av, weak to moderate Bw to weak Bt horizons, stage I calcic development. Microtopography ranges from 10 to 50 cm. Moderately vegetated, commonly with chessbush and smoke tree at low elevations, creosotebush and white bursage at higher elevations. Generally forms terraces flanking active washes, approximately 50 to 100 cm above active wash. Smaller alluvial wash tracts of similar age and characteristics generally mapped as alluvial fan deposit (Qya), particularly where distributed across alluvial fans rather than in confined axial channel, but designation somewhat arbitrary. *Qywg*— wash deposits made up of clasts from granitic source that weathers to grus. Soils more immature, pavements and moderately developed Av horizon rare. Surface undulating and smooth; with decreased magnitude of channel dissection compared to unit Qyw.

**Qiw** *Intermediate wash deposit (late to middle Pleistocene)*— Inactive remnant alluvial wash sediments generally forming high terraces along edges of major washes. Moderate to well sorted, well bedded sand and gravel, soil development similar to that for unit Qia. Sparsely vegetated. Smaller alluvial wash tracts generally designated alluvial fan deposit (Qia)

**Eolian Deposits**

**Que** *Active eolian sand deposit (Holocene)*— Eolian sand deposits that are active and subject to migration. Composed of loose, moderately to well-sorted sand. Generally lack vegetation, but may be inhabited by grasses such as galleta (*Hilaria rigida*) or ricegrass (*Oryzopsis hymenoides*). Most active eolian sand deposits lie within Devils Playground, from south of Soda Lake to Kelso dunes, where they are derived from Mojave River flood materials. Deposits also lie on lee side of large wash systems such as Fenner Wash where fine-grained wash materials are mobilized. *Qed*— dune deposits

**Qye** *Young eolian sand deposit (Holocene and latest Pleistocene)*— Eolian sand deposits that are generally inactive. Loose, well to moderately well sorted, moderately to weakly bedded fine to medium grained sand. Sparsely vegetated, typically with perennial or annual grasses, and less common with shrubs. Little or no soil development. Dated in Kelso Dunes area as general pulses of eolian sand deposition from 8 to 10, 3.5 to 3.7, and 0.5 to 1.5 ka (Clarke, 1994; Lancaster, 1995). *Qyed*— dune deposits. Commonly steep, well bedded, and with corresponding steep slip faces; *Qyer*— ramp deposits generally on inclined surface over bedrock. Weak to moderately-bedded, may be well- to poorly-sorted based on mixing with colluvial materials from upper slopes; *Qyes*— well- to moderately-sorted sand sheet deposits generally forming sub-horizontal surface over unconsolidated deposits.
Qie  Intermediate eolian sand deposit (late to middle Pleistocene)— Eolian sand sediments that are generally inactive, characterized by one or more Bt horizons and calcic horizons. Surface very flat and moderately compact. Sparsely vegetated

Mixed Eolian and Alluvial Deposits

Qyea  Young mixed eolian sand and alluvial deposit (Holocene and late Pleistocene)— Eolian and alluvial sediments that are thoroughly mixed, with eolian processes dominant. Deposit predominately loose mixed sand with sparse gravel in interfingering, layered, or thoroughly mixed beds. Little or no soil development. Forms broad, flat surfaces with alluvial channels muted or invisible. Sparsely vegetated, generally with grasses dominant and commonly no creosotebush

Qyae  Young mixed alluvial and eolian sand deposit (Holocene and late Pleistocene)— Alluvial and eolian sediments that are thoroughly mixed, with alluvial processes dominant. Loose, gravelly sand with vague to well-defined thin bedding. Little or no soil development. Forms flatter surfaces than alluvial systems lacking significant eolian sand because eolian sand additions mute topography. Sparsely vegetated with grasses and shrubs, generally supporting creosotebush communities. Contacts with units Qyea and Qya gradational

Qiea  Intermediate mixed eolian and alluvial sand deposit (late to middle Pleistocene)— Eolian sand and alluvial deposits that are thoroughly mixed, with eolian processes dominant. Exhibits inconsistently developed surface pavement and Bt and calcic horizons. Forms moderately compact, very flat surfaces with sparse vegetation. Contacts with units Qia and Qiae or Qiea gradational over tens to hundreds of meters

Qiae  Intermediate mixed alluvial and eolian sand deposit (late to middle Pleistocene)— Alluvial and eolian sand sediments that are thoroughly mixed, with alluvial processes dominant. Gravelly sand with vague to well-defined thin bedding. Exhibits inconsistently developed surface pavement and Bt and calcic horizons. Forms moderately compact, flat surfaces with sparse vegetation. Contacts with units Qia and Qiae or Qiea gradational over tens to hundreds of meters

Groundwater Discharge Deposits

Qyg  Young groundwater discharge deposit (Holocene and late Pleistocene)— Silt and fine sand in zones of former groundwater discharge. Commonly forms light-colored, flat areas or dissected badlands. Loose to compact silt, fine sand and calcium carbonate materials. Commonly exhibits capping massive to punky ‘popcorn-like’ calcium carbonate in upper exposures above fine sand and silt with diffuse calcium carbonate. Soil development similar to unit Qya, but horizons may be shallower in places due to effects of calcium carbonate and fine-grained materials. Soil development commonly more pronounced than unit Qya in finer-grained deposits, and less developed in more massive carbonate exposures. Amount of vegetation depends on extent of calcium carbonate, but is generally sparse. Dated at 9.5 to 10 ka near the town of Chambless (Shannon Mahan, written comm. 2003; see text), wetland deposits in lower Kelso Wash dated at 13 to 14 ka by IRSL (Shannon Mahan, written comm., 2000)

Playa Deposits

Qyp  Young playa deposit (Holocene and late Pleistocene)— Playa deposits that are rarely flooded. Composed of moderately to well-sorted silt, clay, commonly compact. Generally flat, to very gently undulating and lacks vegetation. In Bristol Lake, age determinations are difficult due to disturbance and diversion of flow from mineral mining operations; much may be active. Qypf, playa fringe deposits of complexly mixed eolian, lacustrine, playa, alluvial, groundwater discharge origins. Forms low gradient surface that is moderately well vegetated by grasses with sparse creosotebush

Axial Valley Deposits

Qav  Active valley-axis deposit (Holocene)— Fine-grained deposits in valley axes characterized by
anastomosing washes, diffuse interfluves, and complexly interfingering wash and eolian sediments. Composed of loose moderately- to poorly-sorted fine gravel, sand, silt, and clay. Active channels typically lack vegetation, older terraces commonly inhabited by moderately dense vegetation such as creosotebush, white bursage, smoke tree, and annual grasses. Areas with increased eolian activity may be inhabited by perennial plants such as desert trumpet (Eriogonum inflatum) and grasses such as galleta, and annuals such as skeleton weed (Eriogonum deflexum). Contacts with active wash and fan deposits commonly gradational and somewhat arbitrary. Surfaces are prone to flooding and sheet flow during intense or long-lasting precipitation events.

**Qyv  Young valley-axis deposit** (Holocene and late Pleistocene)—Fine-grained deposits in largely inactive valley axis locations characterized by anastomosing washes, gentle interfluves, and complexly interfingering eolian sediments. Composed of loose moderately- to poorly-sorted sand, silt and clay. Soil development similar to unit Qya. Moderately vegetated with creosotebush communities, and eolian-related grasses such as galleta and desert trumpet in eolian-rich environments. **Qyvo**, older valley-axis deposits characterized by moderately developed B and A horizons. Deposits located locally under parts of Kelso Dunes, as observed by the authors of this report and Yeend and others (1984).

### Erosional Surfaces and Related Hillslope Deposits

**Hillslope environment**—characterized by patchy distribution of bare rock, thin deposits weathered from rock, and materials transported short distances by gravity and carried by water. Identified with appended substrate rock type (following hyphen in unit symbol). Divided into:

**Hillslope Deposits**

**Qha  Abundant hillslope deposits** (Holocene and Pleistocene)—Hillslope materials such as colluvium, talus, weathering products, and landslide deposits; disaggregated cover greater than rock exposure. Generally less than 2 m thick or patchy distribution with small fraction of area covered by deposits thicker than 2 m.

**Qhs  Sparse hillslope deposits** (Holocene and Pleistocene)—Hillslope materials such as colluvium, talus, weathering products, and landslide deposits; disaggregated cover less than rock exposure. Generally less than 2 m thick and patchy distribution.

**Mass Wasting Colluvial Deposits**

**Qmc  Mass-movement colluvial deposits, undivided** (Holocene and Pleistocene)—Colluvial materials thicker than 2 m covering a wide area; age undetermined. Rocky and poorly sorted. Soil development ranges from weak to strongly developed B and calcic horizons.

**Qymc  Young mass-movement colluvial deposits** (Holocene)—Colluvial materials thicker than 2 m and covering a wide area. Rocky and poorly sorted. Little pedogenic soil development.

**Qimc  Intermediate mass-movement colluvial deposits** (Pleistocene)—Colluvial materials thicker than 2 m and covering a wide area. Rocky and poorly sorted; strongly developed B horizon; generally strongly varnished. Local development of Stage II to III pedogenic calcic horizon.

**Pediment Surfaces**

Gently sloping erosional surfaces in various stages of erosion and burial. Generally forms in grussy granite (fpg) and partly consolidated (pc) materials. Divided into three general classes by surface characteristics and appended by a dash and the underlying substrate type (e.g. Qpv-fpg for a veneered pediment on grus-weathering felsic plutonic rocks:

**Qpv  Veneered pediment**—Fairly smooth veneer of sediment commonly alluvial in nature, generally less than 2 m thick on the pediment surface; soil development variable depending on the age of sediment. Mapped where bedrock is exposed in small knolls, roadcuts or wash exposures. Thicker deposits on pediments south of Granite Mountains consist of Qiag deposits, which is mapped as Qiag+Qpv-fpg, with
Qiag deposited on the pediment surface

Qpi Incised pediment— Incised pediment with most of the surface expressed as flat surfaces of bare rock with patchy cover of veneer and 1 to several meters deep channels cut into rock that transport eroded sediment through the pediment

Qpd Deeply dissected pediment— Deeply dissected pediment identifiable by similar heights of isolated parts expressed in bedrock pinnacles and tors 3 to tens of meters high, may be up to 1km² in areal extent in the southern Granite Mtns. Area between pinnacles may be covered with sediment or nearly bare rock

Volcanic rocks:

Volcanic flows, cinder cones, and other deposits emplaced during the Quaternary are distinguished because they interfinger with surficial sediments and affect surface processes


QTmv Mafic volcanic rocks (Quaternary to Tertiary)— Ejecta and lava flows of volcanic rocks of mafic composition. Age unknown

Substrate materials

Substrate materials (pre-Quaternary)— Shallowly buried rock and partly consolidated materials that lie under surficial deposits, and under pediment and hillslope veneers. Ages range from Pliocene to early Proterozoic. Units mapped with overlying hillslope deposit type (e.g. Qha-mv) and colored accordingly. Subdivided into categories based on weathering and erosional products:

pc Partly consolidated— Moderately to weakly consolidated sedimentary deposits; locally includes volcanic rocks or highly altered rocks. May form badland topography. Weathered materials include common silt and clay. Typically Tertiary in age. North of the town of contains the 4.83 Ma Lawlor Tuff (A. M. Sarna-Wojcicki, written commun. 2003) interbedded with lacustrine sediments and alluvial fan gravels. General unit may be mapped as QToa by some authors in parts of the map

mv Mafic volcanic rocks— Volcanic rocks less than about 68 percent SiO₂, such as dacite, andesite, and basalt. Includes flows and ejecta. Weathered materials include common clay; alluvial fans with mafic volcanic source commonly very bouldery

fv Felsic volcanic rocks— Volcanic rocks greater than about 68 percent SiO₂, such as rhyolite, rhyodacite, and felsite. Includes flows and ejecta. Weathered materials include quartz, feldspar, and clay

mp Mafic plutonic rocks— Plutonic rocks less than about 68 percent SiO₂, such as gabbro, diorite, monzodiorite, syenite, and alkalic rocks. Weathered materials chiefly feldspar, amphiboles, and micas

fp Felsic plutonic rocks— Plutonic rocks greater than about 68 percent SiO₂, such as granite and granodiorite. fpf, felsic plutonic rocks that weather to produce grus, mostly Cretaceous in age. Weathered materials chiefly quartz, feldspar, and micas

sl Siliciclastic rocks— Silicic sedimentary and metamorphic rocks, such as sandstone and quartzite, shale, and siltstone. Weathered materials commonly quartz, silt, and clay

mr Metamorphic rocks— Metamorphic rocks of complexly mixed lithology, such as gneiss, migmatite, and structurally mixed rocks. Weathered materials variable

cr Carbonate rocks— Carbonate-mineral rocks such as marble, dolomite, and limestone. Weathered materials include common silt
Explanatory notes

Mapping methods

This map represents primarily new mapping at the sale of 1:100,000 by standard field methods and interpretation of remote sensing images including aerial photography and Landsat 7 imagery. Field methods included examining the geomorphology, landscape position, surface features, and soil development. Locations of field observations were typically recorded with GPS with an accuracy of ±5-10 meters.

Composite symbols

Surficial geologic units commonly exist as thin (<2 m) veneers over older units. In areas where this relationship is common the unit designators are shown on the map separated by a slash (/). The younger, or overlying, unit is indicated first. Thus, Qya/Qoa indicates an area where a veneer of young alluvial fan deposits overlies old alluvial fan deposits and Qya/fpg indicates an area where a veneer of young alluvial fan deposits overlies felsic grussy granite.

The lateral extent of individual deposits is commonly so small that each deposit cannot be shown individually at the database map scale. Areas made up of deposits too small to show individually (representing more than 20 percent of the area) are indicated by deposits separated by a plus sign (+), with the most common deposit listed first. Thus, Qya + Qia indicates an area with both Qya and Qia deposits and associated surfaces, and that Qya is more common than Qia; other deposits in the area compose less than 20 percent.

Conventions for erosional environments

Erosional environments such as mountains, areas underlain by bedrock, and pediments are widespread and represent generally thin surficial sediment distributed irregularly among rock exposures. Materials in mountains are largely formed in place by weathering of bedrock, but may be transported short distances by mass-wasting and fluvial processes. We designate such materials as “hillslope materials”, regardless of transport mechanism. Thicker, areally consistent, and mappable hillslope sediment is distinguished as colluvium and landslide deposits. Pediments are classified into three categories based on degree of dissection. Both pediments and hillslope deposits are indicated in the map unit with underlying bedrock type. Bedrock is classified into ten units based on chemical composition and weathering characteristics.

Pediment surfaces are gently-sloping erosional surfaces: substrate materials indicated after hyphen (-) in unit symbol. Examples of pediments can be found at the Granite Mountains, the Fenner Hills, and the Old Woman Mountains.

Quaternary faults

Faults that ruptured Quaternary deposits are recognized in the southwest portion of the map area. From east to west, they are the Bristol-Granite Mountains, south Bristol Mountains, Broadwell Lake, and Ludlow faults (Howard and Miller, 1992). Each strikes northwest and has dextral offset. Fault patterns and timing are discussed in the text.

Data stations

Point data in the database indicate locations of field observations made during the duration of fieldwork for this report (1999-2005). Many more observations were made prior to that time but are not included due to lack of detailed location information and non-uniformity of descriptions.

At some locations, quantitative data on landscape parameters, surface characteristics, and sediment texture were collected. The data collected are separated into broad categories, and a future report will include this data. For this
In this report, we provide a Boolean field indicating whether this further information was collected at a given location. The data types collected, and the database fields indicating the presence of this information are:

- **Landscape surface characteristics**: Surface information was quantified to improve GIS analyses and calibrate remote sensing data. The following data were collected:
  - Surface clast size – estimates of maximum, mean, and minimum clast distribution
  - Surface clast lithology – estimates of dominant and subordinate clast lithology
  - Surface microtopography – estimates of amplitude and frequency of microtopography
  - Dominant plant species and relative cover – estimates of average size and size range (height, width, spacing) of dominant perennial species, estimates of ground cover for annual vegetation.
  - Lichen cover
  - Influence of eolian sand
  - Degree of mammal burrowing.

Boolean field: Surf_desc (clast size, microtopography, lichen, eolian)
Boolean field: Lithology (clast compositions)
Boolean field: Vegetation (vegetation size and spacing)

- **Texture sample**: Texture (particle size distribution) samples at three depths (0 to 1, 1 to 5, and 5 to 10 cm) were collected to capture deposit texture and upper pedogenic soil development primarily for Holocene deposits. Most samples were taken on the principal alluvial fan surfaces of interest, Qya, because those fans occupy much of the landscape, they are highly variable and difficult to characterize other ways, and they are the most biologically active part of the landscape. Particle size analysis (PSA) was determined for 10 size fractions using sieve and hydrometer laboratory techniques. In many PSA sample locations, bulk density and field moisture content samples were also taken. Bulk density was determined by weighing the amount of sediment from a core driven 10 cm into the soil. Moisture content is determined by subtracting the dry weight from the field weight of the bulk density sample.

Boolean field: PSA
Boolean field: BD_Moist

- **Photographs**: Digital photographs were taken to (1) characterize the surface for quantifying remote sensing data, and (2) to illustrate notable features. Photographs for remote sensing purposes are typically vertical ground photos at two zoom levels and contain a color and size scale as well as location information. Other photographs are typically landscape style images at varying zoom levels.

Boolean field: Photos

- **Chronology sample**: Sediment samples taken for chronology studies include: ^14^C, luminescence, teprochronology, and fossils.

Boolean field: Chronology
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Figure 1: Location of the Amboy 30x60 Minute Quadrangle
Figure 2: Shaded relief image of the Amboy 30x60 Minute Quadrangle noting prominent physiographic features
Figure 3: Quaternary faults in the Amboy 30x60 Minute Quadrangle. A) Location of the Bristol-Granite Mountains fault cutting middle to early Pleistocene deposits, B) Location of the Bristol-Granite Mountains fault cutting late Pleistocene deposits, C) South Bristol Mountains fault cuts Pleistocene deposits, D) The Broadwell Lake fault cuts mid Pleistocene deposits, E) Ludlow fault cuts older Pleistocene deposits, F) Unnamed fault in the Northern Granite Mountains shows evidence of late Pleistocene rupture. ‘E-W’ fault and Hidden Valley fault are newly named faults.
**Figure 4**: Locations and relationships of chronologic information. A) Map of sample locations, B) Stratigraphic relations of alluvial deposits and luminescence sample in Fenner Wash, C) Stratigraphic relations of groundwater discharge deposits and luminescence samples near the town of Chambless.
Figure 5: Evolution of Fenner Valley and Bristol Basin determined from surficial geologic mapping and field studies suggests the following chronology:

1) A large lake has not occupied Bristol Basin in the Late Pleistocene shown by the lack of lake features cutting Amboy Crater, dated at ~79 ka by Phillips (2003), and the absence of shoreline features on piedmonts flanking the basin. The youngest known lake deposit contains the 4.83 Ma Lawlor Tuff (A. M. Sarna-Wojcicki, written communication 2003).
2) Mid to Late Pleistocene deposits along Fenner Valley grade down to the valley center suggesting that base level along the valley has not varied greatly in the Late Pleistocene.
3) The youngest of these deposits, unit Qia1, is correlated to deposits dated at 27-29 ka by McDonald et al (2003), is present in the valley bottom near the town of Essex.
4) Following deposition of unit Qia1, a period of stability with introduction of an eolian system occupied the valley, as seen in soil development with increased fine sand fraction in unit Qia1 near Essex and Danby. The eolian deposition may correlate to sand ramp activity between 14-15 ka at Iron Mountain (Lancaster and Tchakerian, 2003)
5) ~2m incision occurred basin wide in the late Pleistocene shown by entrenchment of Fenner, Woods, and Black Canyon Washes. Causes for this may be decrease groundwater discharge activity in Bristol Basin, or a combination of increased precipitation with low sediment availability following Qia1 deposition.

6) Groundwater Discharge resumes in Bristol Basin between 14 and 9.5 ka. Ages of these deposits are based on luminescence dates of similar deposits in the Kelso Valley (Shannon Mahan, written communication). Preliminary luminescence dates on these surfaces is ~9.5-11 ka.

7) Contemporaneous (?) to groundwater discharge activity in Bristol Basin, deposition of unit Qyao occurred in the incised channel of Fenner Valley and in other places in the basin. These deposits are correlated to the 12.4-10.4 ka unit Qf5 of McDonald (1994), and are the same unit that lies on top of 13 ka deposits in Kelso Valley. Deposition of unit Qyao may be a result of backfilling of Fenner Valley due to raised base levels or blockage of channels by groundwater discharge deposits. See inset photo.

8) Fan Aggradation and penecontemporaneous eolian activity began in all parts of the basin at some point in the mid-Holocene. Alluvial fan activity typically resulted in burial of older Qia surfaces, particularly along Fenner Wash northeast of the town of Fenner. Sediments continued to be deposited in the incised Fenner Wash channels. Sources of eolian sand and silt are dominantly from Fenner Wash because of the lack of eolian sediments on the leeward side of Fenner Wash.

9) Groundwater Discharge activity resumed following mid-Holocene fan building near Chambless, expressed as a wide platform of massive and disseminated calcium carbonate accumulations deposited in mid-Holocene fan sediments.

10) Eolian activity in the Mid to Late Holocene is time transgressive down Fenner Valley. Soils in areas near Fenner are enriched in eolian sized sediments, and lack any active eolian activity at the surface. Eolian sediments on the east (windward) side of Fenner Valley become less soil stabilized and more active to the south.

11) Minor (~0.2-.03 m) incision along most of Fenner Valley channels predates deposition of ~20th century terrace sediments. Headwaters of Watson Wash have been incised up to 2-3m (Richard Hereford written communication, 2001)