A Multi-Metric Assessment of Environmental Contaminant Exposure and Effects in an Urbanized Reach of the Charles River near Watertown, Massachusetts

Open-File Report 2012–1195

Cover photo credits Steven Smith and Patrick Anderson, U.S. Geological Survey.

A Multi-Metric Assessment of Environmental Contaminant Exposure and Effects in an Urbanized Reach of the Charles River near Watertown, Massachusetts

By, Stephen B. Smith, Patrick J. Anderson, Paul C. Baumann, Lawrence R. Deweese, Steven L. Goodbred, James J. Coyle, David R. Smith

Open-File Report 2012–1195

**U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey**

U.S. Department of the Interior
KEN SALAZAR, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey
Marcia K. McNutt, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia 2012

For product and ordering information:
World Wide Web: <http://www.usgs.gov/pubprod>
Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth,
its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment:
World Wide Web: <http://www.usgs.gov>
Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

Suggested citation: Smith, S.B., Anderson, P.J., Baumann, P.C., Deweese, L.R., Goodbred,
S.L., Coyle, J.J., and Smith, D.R., 2012, A multi-metric assessment of environmental contaminant
exposure and effects in an urbanized reach of the Charles River near Watertown, Massachusetts:
U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2012–1195, 116 p.

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply
endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright
owners to reproduce any copyrighted material contained within this report.

Contents

Abstract	1
Introduction and Background	2
Goals and Objectives	3
Study Area Description	3
NAWQA & BEST Objectives and Methods	3
Supplemental Methods and Measurements	6
Field Methods and Laboratory Analyses	13
Fish Collection	13
On-Site Fish Processing	13
Determining Fish Age	14
Sediment and Water Chemistry	14
Semi-Permeable Membrane Device (SPMD) and Polar Organic Contaminant Integrated Sampler (POCIS)	14
Whole-Sediment Residue Chemistry	15
Inorganic Chemistry Analysis of Sediments	15
Organic Chemistry Analysis of Sediments	15
Gas Chromatographic Analysis of PCBs	16
Gas Chromatographic Analysis of OCPs and PBDEs	16
Gas chromatographic/Mass Spectrometric Analysis (GC/MS) of PAHs	17
Pore Water Chemistry	18
Fish Residue Chemistry	18
Fish Tissue Homogenization and Compositing	18
Fish Tissue Inorganic Contaminant Analyses	18
Fish Tissue Organic Contaminant Analyses (PCBs, OCPs, and Toxaphene)	18
Muscle Plug Hg Chemistry	19
Bile Metabolites	19
Plasma Chemistry	19
Toxicity Tests Associated with Sediment and Extracts from SPMD and POCIS Samplers	20
Whole-Sediment Chronic Toxicity	20
Microtox® Bioassay	21
Fish Health Biomarkers	21
Quantitative Organism-Level Indicators	21
Sex Steroid Hormones: 17 B Estradiol and 11 Ketotestosterone	21
Yeast Assay	22
Vitellogenin	22
Histological Determination of Sexual Maturation	23
Fecundity	24
Sperm Quality	24
Histopathology	24
Bacteria and Viruses Collected from Fish	25
Comet Assay	25
Indicators of Chemical Exposure	25
Fish Immune System Responses	27
Fish and Invertebrate Communities	27
Invertebrates Communities	27
Fish Communities	28
Results	28

Field Fish Health Assessment.....	28
Sediment and Water Chemistry	28
Fish Residue Chemistry	28
Toxicity of Sediments and Extracts from SPMD and POCIS Samplers.....	29
Fish Health Biomarkers and Indicators of Chemical Exposure	29
Fish and Invertebrate Communities	29
Acknowledgments	109
References Cited.....	109

Figures

1. The sampling reach for this study is located in upper portions of the lower Charles River Watershed near Watertown, Mass.....	4
2. Semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) and polar organic contaminant integrated samplers (POCIS) were placed at Sites A and B.....	6

Tables

1. Drainages and dominant land use of the lower Charles River, Mass., from the Watertown Dam to the Brighton drainage outlet	5
2. Methods used by the Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) and National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) programs used to assess contaminant exposure and effects in fish residing in the Charles River, Mass	7
3. Charles River sampling locations and retrieval dates for data collected by semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) and polar organic contaminant integrated samplers (POCIS) and collection of bed sediments and associated pore water	9
4. Supplementary methods and measurements used to assess ecological condition, invertebrate and fish communities, and contaminant exposure and effects in fish residing in the Charles River, Mass	10
5. Gender, body weight, length, and age of fish collected from the Charles River sorted by species and gender	30
6. Location and number of fish with lesions, anomalies, and parasites observed during the field health assessment in common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers from the Charles River (August, 2005)	33
7. Types of lesions and anomalies and their frequency of detection in common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	34
8. Organosomatic indices, condition factor, and health assessment index (HAI) for common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	35
9. Organochlorine pesticide (OCP) and total polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) analyte concentrations from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005).....	39
10. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) analyte concentrations from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMDs) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005).....	41
11. Pesticide and hormone concentrations from polar organic chemical integrative samplers (POCIS) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005).	43
12. Analytes associated with total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), and polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) concentrations (ng/g dry weight) from three sediment samples collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	45
13. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) analyte concentrations (ng/g dry weight) from three sediment samples collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	46

14. Measured concentrations (ng/mL) of elements in filtered sediment pore water from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	47
15. Measured concentrations (µg/g dry weight) of total recoverable elements in Charles River sediments collected August, 2005	49
16. Concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and percent lipids in composite samples of whole fish collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	51
17. Concentrations of inorganic contaminants (µg/g dry weight) in composite samples of whole fish collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	52
18. Mercury concentrations (µg/g dry weight) as determined from muscle tissue (plug) collection from largemouth bass collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	54
19. Concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and percent lipids in individual whole white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	55
20. Bile concentrations (µg/mL) of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) metabolites from common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	59
21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	62
22. Concentrations (ng/g wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August 2005).....	72
23. Mean survival and growth of the amphipod <i>Hyalella azteca</i> in 28-day exposures and of the midge <i>Chironomus dilutus</i> in 10-day exposures to sediment samples from the Charles River and to a control sediment.....	84
24. Potential toxicity of extracts from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) and from polar organic contaminant integrated samplers (POCIS) using the Microtox® bioassay and the yeast estrogen screen (YES) bioassay.....	85
25. Gender, stage, sex steroid hormones, vitellogenin, and thyroid hormones in blood plasma of common carp (CC), largemouth bass (LMB), and white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	86
26. Fecundity (estimated total number of oocytes per gonad) of female common carp collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	90
27. Sperm-quality metrics estimated for male common carp collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	91
28. Types of lesions and anomalies observed during histological examination and the percentage of common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers affected.....	92
29. Density and mean surface size of melanomacrophage centers (MMC) ¹ located in the liver, spleen, and renal kidney from common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	93
30. Comet assay measurements taken on blood and liver cells collected from common carp (CC) and white suckers (WS) from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	95
31. H4IIE bioassay-derived 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents (TCDD-EQ), standard deviation, limit of detection (LOD), and limit of quantitation (LOQ) of composite fish samples collected from the Charles River (August, 2005).....	97
32. Mean hepatic ethoxyresorufin-o-deethylase (EROD) activity rate determined from liver samples collected from common carp (CC) and largemouth bass (LMB) in the Charles River (August, 2005). (pmols/min/mg, picomole per minute per milligram; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error; CV, coefficient of variation; LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantitation).....	98

33. Cytochrome P4501A enzyme activity measured as ethoxyresorufin-o-deethylase (EROD) activity in gill filament tips from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	99
34. Concentration of vitamin C (ascorbic acid, AA) and vitamin E (α -tocopherol) as measured in liver tissue from common carp and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005)	100
35. Abundance of invertebrates collected from depositional areas from the Charles River on August 25, 2005	102
36. Abundance of invertebrates collected from riffles as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) protocol from the Charles River during 2002 and 2003 (NAWQA Station 01104615).....	104
37. Abundance of fish collected as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) protocol from the Charles River during 2002 and 2003 (NAWQA Station #01095220)	108

Conversion Factors

Inch/Pound to SI

Multiply	By	To obtain
Length		
inch (in.)	2.54	centimeter (cm)
inch (in.)	25.4	millimeter (mm)
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter (m)
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer (km)
mile, nautical (nmi)	1.852	kilometer (km)
yard (yd)	0.9144	meter (m)
Area		
acre	4,047	square meter (m ²)
acre	0.4047	hectare (ha)
acre	0.4047	square hectometer (hm ²)
acre	0.004047	square kilometer (km ²)
square foot (ft ²)	929.0	square centimeter (cm ²)
square foot (ft ²)	0.09290	square meter (m ²)
square inch (in ²)	6.452	square centimeter (cm ²)
section (640 acres or 1 square mile)	259.0	square hectometer (hm ²)
square mile (mi ²)	259.0	hectare (ha)
square mile (mi ²)	2.590	square kilometer (km ²)
Volume		
barrel (bbl), (petroleum, 1 barrel=42 gal)	0.1590	cubic meter (m ³)
ounce, fluid (fl. oz)	0.02957	liter (L)
pint (pt)	0.4732	liter (L)
quart (qt)	0.9464	liter (L)
gallon (gal)	3.785	liter (L)
gallon (gal)	0.003785	cubic meter (m ³)
gallon (gal)	3.785	cubic decimeter (dm ³)
million gallons (Mgal)	3,785	cubic meter (m ³)
cubic inch (in ³)	16.39	cubic centimeter (cm ³)
cubic inch (in ³)	0.01639	cubic decimeter (dm ³)
cubic inch (in ³)	0.01639	liter (L)
cubic foot (ft ³)	28.32	cubic decimeter (dm ³)
cubic foot (ft ³)	0.02832	cubic meter (m ³)
cubic yard (yd ³)	0.7646	cubic meter (m ³)

cubic mile (mi ³)	4.168	cubic kilometer (km ³)
acre-foot (acre-ft)	1,233	cubic meter (m ³)
acre-foot (acre-ft)	0.001233	cubic hectometer (hm ³)
<hr/>		
Mass		
<hr/>		
ounce, avoirdupois (oz)	28.35	gram (g)
pound, avoirdupois (lb)	0.4536	kilogram (kg)
ton, short (2,000 lb)	0.9072	megagram (Mg)
ton, long (2,240 lb)	1.016	megagram (Mg)
ton per day (ton/d)	0.9072	metric ton per day
ton per day (ton/d)	0.9072	megagram per day (Mg/d)
ton per day per square mile [(ton/d)/mi ²]	0.3503	megagram per day per square kilometer [(Mg/d)/km ²]
ton per year (ton/yr)	0.9072	megagram per year (Mg/yr)
ton per year (ton/yr)	0.9072	metric ton per year

Temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) may be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) as follows:

$$^{\circ}\text{F}=(1.8\times^{\circ}\text{C})+32$$

Specific conductance is given in microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius (μS/cm at 25°C).

Concentrations of chemical constituents in water are given either in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter (μg/L).

Acronyms Used in This Document

AHTN	Tonalide, a polycyclic musk used in fragrances (6-Acetyl-1,1,2,4,4,7-Hexamethyltetraline, and related isomers)
AMTL	Army Materials Technology Laboratory
ASE	Accelerated solvent extractor
AVS	Acid volatile sulfide
BDE	Brominated diphenyl ether
BEST	Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends project
BHIA	Brain heart infusion agar
BKD	Bacterial kidney disease
BRD	Biological Resources Discipline (of the U.S. Geological Survey)
CBP	Contaminant Biology Program
CC	Common carp
CERC	Columbia Environmental Research Center
CF	Condition factor
CPRG	Chlorophenol red- β -D-galactopyranoside
DAD	Diode array detector (with high-performance liquid chromatography; see HPLC below)
DDE	Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene
DMA	Direct mercury analysis
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxide
EC	Effect concentration
ECD	Electron-capture detection
ECNICI	Electron-capture negative ion chemical ionization
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EQ	Equivalents
EROD	Ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase
FWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
GC	Gas chromatograph
GC-MSD	Gas chromatography with mass selective detector
GS/MS	Gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy
GSI	Gonadosomatic index
HAI	Health assessment index
HC	HEPES-Cortland buffer
HHCB	Galaxolide, a polycyclic musk used in fragrances (1,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydro-4,6,6,7,8,8-hexamethylcyclopenta- γ -2-benzopyran and related isomers)
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatograph with diode array detector
HPSEC	High-pressure size-exclusion chromatography
HSI	Hepatosomatic index
ICC	Immunocytochemistry
IIS	Instrumental internal standards
IPA	Isopropanol
KT	Ketotestosterone
LMB	Largemouth bass
LOD	Limit of detection
LOI	Loss on ignition
LOQ	Limit of quantitation

MDL	Method detection limit
MMC	Melano-macrophage centers
MLQ	Method quantitation limit
NAWQA	National Water-Quality Assessment
NCI	Negative charge ionization
NWQL	National Water Quality Laboratory
OCP	Organochlorine pesticide
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PBDE	Polybrominated diphenyl ether
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
PHH	Planar halogenated hydrocarbons
POCIS	Polar organic contaminant integrated sampler
PSI	Pounds per square inch
RIA	Radioimmunoassay
SEM	Simultaneously extractable metals
SPMD	Semi-permeable membrane device
SSI	Splenosomatic index
TBST	Tris-buffered saline (containing 0.5 percent Tween)
TCDD-EQ	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents
TU	Toxic units
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WRD	Water Resources Discipline
WS	White sucker
YES	Yeast estrogen screen

A Multi-Metric Assessment of Environmental Contaminant Exposure and Effects in an Urbanized Reach of the Charles River near Watertown, Massachusetts

By Stephen B. Smith, Patrick J. Anderson, Paul C. Baumann, Lawrence R. Deweese, Steven L. Goodbred, James J. Coyle, David R. Smith¹

Abstract

The Charles River Project provided an opportunity to simultaneously deploy a combination of biomonitoring techniques routinely used by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water-Quality Assessment Program, the Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends Project, and the Contaminant Biology Program at an urban site suspected to be contaminated with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. In addition to these standardized methods, additional techniques were used to further elucidate contaminant exposure and potential impacts of exposure on biota. The purpose of the study was to generate a comprehensive, multi-metric data set to support assessment of contaminant exposure and effects at the site. Furthermore, the data set could be assessed to determine the relative performance of the standardized method suites typically used by the National Water-Quality Assessment Program and the Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends Project, as well as the additional biomonitoring methods used in the study to demonstrate ecological effects of contaminant exposure. The Contaminant Effects Workgroup, an advisory committee of the U.S. Geological Survey/Contaminant Biology Program, identified polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons as the contaminant class of greatest concern in urban streams of all sizes. The reach of the Charles River near Watertown, Massachusetts, was selected as the site for this study based on the suspected presence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon contamination and the presence of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), and white sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*). All of these fish have extensive contaminant-exposure profiles related to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and other environmental contaminants. This project represented a collaboration of universities, Department of the Interior bureaus including former components of the USGS

¹Stephen B. Smith, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Discipline, Reston, Va. (retired)

Patrick J. Anderson, U.S. Geological Survey, Fort Collins Science Center, Fort Collins, Colo.

Paul C. Baumann, U.S. Geological Survey, Leetown Science Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio (retired)

Lawrence R. DeWeese, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Discipline, Denver, Colo. (retired)

Steven L. Goodbred, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Discipline, Sacramento, Calif. (retired)

James J. Coyle, U.S. Geological Survey, Fort Collins Science Center, Fort Collins, Colo. (retired)

David R. Smith, U.S. Geological Survey, Leetown Science Center, Leetown, W. Va.

(Biological Resources Discipline and Water Resources Discipline Science Centers, the Contaminant Biology Program, and the Status and Trends of Biological Resources Program), and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Samples for analyzing water chemistry, sediment chemistry and toxicity, fish community structure, tissue chemistry, and fish (20 carp, 20 bass, and 40 white sucker) and invertebrate pathology were collected in late August, 2005. This report provides results from the analyses of fish pathology, biomarkers of exposure and effects (reproductive, carcinogenic, genotoxic, and immunologic), sediment chemistry, toxicity, and fish and invertebrate community structure.

Introduction and Background

Anthropogenic sources of pollution are recognized as a global problem that contributes to the degradation of ecosystem quality, loss of numerous plant and animal species, and adverse human health effects. Freshwater ecosystems are the ultimate sink for many anthropogenic chemicals that potentially affect aquatic communities and the terrestrial species that depend on them (Gross and others, 2003; Hoffman and others, 2003; Schmitt, Zajicek and others, 1999). There are multiple sources of these environmental contaminants with both point and non-point inputs from agriculture, industry, and residences. Increasingly, emerging contaminants (for example, pharmaceuticals including antibiotics, psychotropic medications, human reproductive modulators, pesticides, and personal care products) are suspected of contributing to adverse ecological effects. Changing demographics of the U.S. population have resulted in rapid urbanization of many areas with water resources being used for multiple purposes. For example, treated wastewater increasingly represents a significant source of riparian recharge water used for recreation, consumption, and maintaining viable ecosystems (Alvarez and others, 2006).

This study provided an opportunity to simultaneously collect data using two method suites used by two operational aquatic monitoring and assessment programs of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) along with additional selected measurements of exposure and effect. The deployment of these techniques was conducted at an urban site presumably impacted by polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). The two method suites applied at the site were derived from the USGS National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program and the Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) Project of the former Biological Resources Discipline (BRD). In addition to these method suites, a set of additional assessment techniques was applied to document contaminant exposure or effects at the site. The additional techniques were selected based on best professional judgment relative to the anticipated contaminant profile of the selected site. Aside from the technical value of this comparative study, it also provided comparative data which could be used to address USGS programmatic recommendations to assess the compatibility of the method suites and minimize redundancy and maximize integration between two ongoing aquatic monitoring and assessment efforts within the agency.

The upper reach of the lower Charles River near Watertown, Mass., was selected as the study site based on documentation of past PAH contamination and the presence of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), and white sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*), which have extensive contaminant exposure profiles related to PAHs and other contaminants. This reach is also considered “impaired” by state regulators because of contaminants contributed upstream by 18 combined sewer overflows serving heavily urbanized areas. Acute sediment toxicity and bioaccumulation of organic contaminants in fish from the Lower Charles River also have been reported (Friorentino and others, 2000).

This project (hereafter the Charles River Project) addressed recommendations of an internal USGS review, which strongly encouraged additional interaction between USGS programs to monitor fish health (Contaminant Biology Program, 2002).

Goals and Objectives

River ecosystems are influenced by multiple chemical (that is, contaminants), physical (that is, habitat alteration), and biological (that is, exotic species) stressors. Understanding the relative importance of these various stressors is fundamental for effective resource management. Unfortunately, inferences about the relative importance of these stressors often are complicated due to spatial and temporal data gaps. Existing data gaps can be alleviated by the application of appropriate ecotoxicological indicators that demonstrate contaminant effects.

The objectives of the Charles River Project included (1) deploying the operational BEST and NAWQA trends site bioassessment methods plus additional techniques capable of documenting PAH exposure and effects; (2) assessing toxicological, chemical, biological, and physical measurements in relation to sources and exposure pathways; and (3) generating an expanded data set to document exposure and effects at the site.

Study Area Description

The Charles River is a small river fed by numerous smaller brooks and streams and by several major aquifers. The Charles River meanders for 129 kilometers (km) (starting at Echo Lake in Hopkinton, Mass.) to the Boston Harbor (fig. 1). It is often considered one of the most densely populated river basins in New England (Weiskel and others, 2005). Most of the Charles River watershed includes high-density residential and commercial developments (table 1).

Sampling locations were based on their proximity to known pollutant sources and urban development. Near the upper end of the sampling reach and just downstream of the Watertown dam is Laundry Brook, which drains areas of high- and medium-density housing with high percentages of impervious surfaces (roads, parking lots, and buildings). The Army Materials Technology Laboratory (AMTL) Superfund site is located near the lower end of the sampling reach (near site 3 in fig. 2). Established in 1816, the original 47-acre AMTL facility was used for the storage, cleaning, repair, and issuance of small arms. From the mid-1800s until after World War II, the AMTL continued to expand, ultimately encompassing 131 acres and 53 buildings and structures and employing over 10,000 workers. Arms Manufacturing continued until an operational phase-down was initiated in 1967. A nuclear research reactor was constructed at the site to investigate molecular and atomic structure and operated until it was decommissioned in 1992 and removed in 1994. The AMTL was officially closed in 1995. Contaminants of concern on-site included polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), PAHs, metals, semi-volatiles and volatile organics, and pesticides (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2001).

NAWQA & BEST Objectives and Methods

The objective for the Status and Trends component of the NAWQA program is to provide an assessment of the ecological condition of the sampled reach. Existing protocols are used to sample biological communities and describe basic reach- and transect-level habitat (Moulton and others, 2002; Fitzpatrick and others, 1998).

The objective of the BEST Program large river fish-health assessments was to document the presence of contaminants in fish tissue and to measure contaminant-related biological effects in fish inhabiting selected reaches. This information can identify reaches where contaminant threats to

Figure 1. The sampling reach for this study is located in upper portions of the lower Charles River Watershed near Watertown, Mass.

Table 1. Drainages and dominant land use of the lower Charles River, Mass., from the Watertown Dam to the Brighton drainage outlet. Table adapted from Weiskel and others (2005).

Drainage	Drainage area (acres)	Dominant land uses
Laundry Brook	3,038	High-density, single-family residential; medium-density, single-family residential; forested
Watertown West local drainage	153	High-density, single-family residential; urban open space; commercial
Watertown Square drainage	560	High-density, single-family residential; urban open space
Newton West local drainage	71	High-density, single-family residential; commercial
Hyde Brook	439	High-density, single-family residential; urban open space
Newton East local drainage	58	High-density, single-family residential; transportation; spectator or participant recreation
Watertown Central local drainage	205	High-density, single-family residential; industrial
Watertown East local drainage	97	Transportation; spectator or participant recreation
Brighton local drainage	190	High-density, single-family residential; transportation; commercial

Figure 2. Semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) and polar organic contaminant integrated samplers (POCIS) were placed at Sites A and B. Sediments, pore water, and invertebrates were obtained at sampling sites 1, 2, and 3. Fish were sampled along the entire reach (Charles River, Watertown, Mass.).

biological resources warrant further investigation. The information can also provide evidence regarding the effectiveness of guidelines set for the protection of species and their habitats. Results from the large river fish health assessments are integrated with information from other sources to better understand potential associations between contaminant exposures and impacts to the nation's biological resources and habitat quality (National Research Council, 1995, National Research Council, 2002). The methods used in the fish health assessments include 20 individuals with at least ten individuals of each sex from both a benthic and a piscivorous species, age, histopathology, somatic indices, biomarkers of reproductive effects (for example, plasma hormones), biomarkers of biochemical response to contaminant exposure (for example, ethoxyresorufin O-deethylase [EROD] activity, H4IIE rat hepatoma assay), and composite fish residue analysis for persistent and bio-accumulative organic and inorganic contaminants (Schmitt, Blazer and others, 1999; Schmitt and Dethloff, 2000). Established NAWQA and BEST methods used in this study are provided in table 2.

Supplemental Methods and Measurements

In addition to the established NAWQA and BEST methods, additional supplemental methods were used in the Charles River study to augment the assessment of ecological conditions in the sampled reach. Water chemistry was supplemented with semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) and polar organic contaminant integrated samplers (POCIS) and the collection and analyses of bed sediments and associated pore water are provided in table 3. Supplementary methods and measurements used to assess ecological condition, invertebrate and fish communities, and contaminant exposure and effects in fish that are not currently used by NAWQA or BEST are provided in table 4.

Table 2. Methods used by the Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) and National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) programs used to assess contaminant exposure and effects in fish residing in the Charles River, Mass.

Method	Description	Metric	Reference
BEST Program			
Gonadal stage	Evaluation of gonad development	Histological examination of testis or ovary	Goodbred and others (1997), Schmitt, Blazer and others (1999)
Fish tissue chemical residue analyses	Measurements of a suite of inorganic and organic chemicals in fish tissue	Concentrations of OCPs, total PCBs, elements and priority metals in whole body composites based on species and gender	Orazio and others (2006), May and others (2006)
EROD activity	Enzyme induction by planar hydrocarbons	PCBs; chlorinated dioxins and furans; PAHs as measured from liver tissue	Pohl and Fouts (1980); Kennedy and Jones (1994); Whyte and others (2000)
Necropsy-based fish health assessment	Assessment of anomalies as they relate to overall health	Visual assessment of external and internal anomalies on skin, fins, gills, peritoneal cavity, and select organs and overall condition factor	Adams and others (1993); Adams (1990); Smith, Donahue and others (2002)
Somatic indices	Indication of overall health and growth	SSI, HSI, GSI	Grady and others (1992)
Histopathology	Indication of chemical exposure	Microscopic examination for the presence of lesions and anomalies	Hinton and others (1992); Hinton (1993); Goodbred and others (1997)
Macrophage aggregate analyses	Cellular immune response to multiple contaminants including PAHs and metals	Density and mean surface size of melanomacrophage cell centers located in the liver, spleen, and renal kidney	Blazer and others (1994, 1997)
Vitellogenin	A protein precursor of egg yolk, normally synthesized in the liver of female fish. Elevation in male fish indicates exposure to endocrine-modulating substances	Concentration of vitellogenin in plasma (male and female)	Denslow and others (1999)

Table 2. Methods used by the Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) and National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) programs used to assess contaminant exposure and effects in fish residing in the Charles River, Mass.—Continued

Method	Description	Metric	Reference
NAWQA Program			
Water chemistry	Measurement of a suite of water quality parameters and select nutrients and organic and inorganic chemicals	Analyte dependent	Shelton (1994)
Fish communities	Measurement of fish communities associated with riffle habitats	Abundance and number of species collected associated with riffle habitats	Moulton and others (2002)
Invertebrate communities	Measurement of invertebrate species collected in riffle areas of defined reaches	Abundance and number of species collected	Moulton and others (2002), Cuffney and others (1993)

(EROD, ethoxyresorufin O-deethylase; GSI, mass of gonad; HSI, mass of liver; OCP, organochlorine pesticides; PCB, polychlorinated biphenyls; PAH, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; SSI, mass of spleen)

Table 3. Charles River sampling locations and retrieval dates for data collected by semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) and polar organic contaminant integrated samplers (POCIS) and collection of bed sediments and associated pore water.

Site	Sample	Collection date	Deployment duration (days)	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Depth (meters)
SPMD/POCIS						
Site A	SPMD A	08/22/2005	33	42:36:373	71:18:758	2.4
Site B	SPMD B	08/22/2005	33	42:36:481	71:18:685	2.4
Site A	POCIS A	08/22/2005	33	42:36:373	71:18:758	2.4
Site B	POCIS B	08/22/2005	33	42:36:481	71:18:685	2.4
Bed Sediment						
Site 1	sample 1 (a, b, c)	08/25/2005	not applicable	42:36:069	71:18:168	0.8
Site 2	sample 2	08/25/2005	not applicable	42:35:997	71:17:196	0.9
Site 3	sample 3	08/25/2005	not applicable	42:36:007	71:16:534	0.9

Table 4. Supplementary methods and measurements used to assess ecological condition, invertebrate and fish communities, and contaminant exposure and effects in fish residing in the Charles River, Mass. Acronyms used in table are footnoted below.

Method	Description	Metric	Reference
Fish Health			
Sperm quality	Sperm quality assessment (reproductive health)	Sperm motility, activation, movement, and concentration collected from common carp	Patino and others (2003), Kime and Nash (1999)
Fecundity	Egg quality and quantity (reproductive health)	Examination and measurements of eggs collected from ovaries of common carp	Patino and others (2003)
Comet assay (fish blood / liver)	DNA integrity as an indicator of contaminant exposure	Examination and measurements of genetic damage of blood and liver cells	Meier and others (2001)
Vitamins C & E (fish liver)	Indicator of reproductive and overall health	Concentrations of vitamins C (ascorbic acid) and E (α -tocopherol) in liver tissue as an indicator for reproductive function	Dabrowski and Hinterleitner (1989)
Thyroid hormones	Indication of growth and metabolic function	Concentrations of triiodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4) in plasma	Grau (1987)
Fish tissue organic-chemical residue analyses	Direct measurement of a suite of organic chemicals in fish tissue	Concentrations of OCPs, total PCBs in individual white suckers	Orazio and others (2006)
Mercury residue analyses of fish muscle plugs	Direct measurement of methyl mercury in fish muscle plugs	Concentration of methyl mercury in muscle plugs extracted from largemouth bass	May and others (2006)
Fish plasma residue chemistry	Direct measurement of contaminants in blood plasma	Concentrations of emerging contaminants and select pharmaceuticals in plasma	Leiker and others (2006)

Table 4. Supplementary methods and measurements used to assess ecological condition, invertebrate and fish communities, and contaminant exposure and effects in fish residing in the Charles River, Mass. Acronyms used in table are footnoted below.—Continued

Method	Description	Metric	Reference
Bile metabolites	Indication of recent exposure to PAH contaminants	Concentrations of PAH metabolites in bile from gall bladder	Yang and others (2003)
EROD activity	Indication of exposure to planar hydrocarbons	PCBs; chlorinated dioxins and furans; PAHs as measured from gill tissue	Jonsson and others (2002)
Fish pathogens	Evaluation on the presence of fish pathogens associated with lesions and internal organs	Presence of bacteria and viruses associated with lesions and the kidney, spleen, and air bladder	Coll (2006)
YES	Evaluation on the presence of estrogenic active substances	Measurement of color change (calculated as EC50, a 50 percent change in color) of yeast cells after exposure to SPMD and POCIS extracts	Alvarez and others (2006)
Biotic Community Assessments			
Invertebrate community	Assessment of benthic invertebrates residing in depositional sediments as an indication of habitat health and contaminant exposure	Species abundance and diversity, and contaminant tolerance	Neilson and others (2003)
Fish community	Assessment of fish communities associated with depositional habitats	Species abundance and diversity associated with depositional habitats	Moulton and others (2002)
Chironomid deformities	Determination of head capsule deformities as an indication of exposure to contaminants	Number of chironomid head capsule deformities for each species	Moulton and others (2000)
Sediment and Water Chemistry			
Organic analysis—bulk sediments	Direct measurement of organic contaminants associated with sediment	Concentrations of total PCBs, OCPs, PBDEs, and PAHs	Orazio and others (2006)

Table 4. Supplementary methods and measurements used to assess ecological condition, invertebrate and fish communities, and contaminant exposure and effects in fish residing in the Charles River, Mass. Acronyms used in table are footnoted below.—Continued

Method	Description	Metric	Reference
Artificial Samplers			
SPMD	Hydrophobic organic compounds associated with water column	Measurement of PCBs, PAHs, and OCPs passively accumulated from surface water over 33 days	Alvarez and others (2006)
POCIS	Water-soluble organic compounds associated with water column	Measurement of water-soluble (polar) organic compounds accumulated by passive sampler placed in surface water for a minimum of 33 days	Alvarez and others (2006)
Biotic Toxicity			
Toxicity tests—bulk sediment	Growth and survival of aquatic invertebrates after direct exposure to contaminants associated with sediment	Growth and survival of <i>Hyaella azteca</i> and <i>Chironomus dilutus</i> after 10-day and 28-day exposures	Ingersoll (1995)
Microtox® bioassay on SPMD and POCIS extracts	Evaluation of toxicity of extracts from SPMD and POCIS samplers	Measurement of bioluminescent output of bacteria following exposure to extracts expressed as EC 50 (concentration of extract producing a 50 percent reduction in light compared to controls)	Alvarez and others (2006)

(EC, effect concentration; EROD, ethoxyresorufin O-deethylase; OCP, organochlorine pesticides; PAH, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; PCB, polychlorinated biphenyls; PBDE, polybrominated diphenyl ethers; POCIS, polar organic contaminant integrated sampler; SPMD, semi-permeable membrane device; YES, yeast estrogen screen)

Field Methods and Laboratory Analyses

Fish Collection

The fish collection and processing procedures used for the Charles River Project followed those applied in previous BEST projects (Schmitt, 2002; Schmitt and others, 2004; Smith, Donahue, and others, 2002; Hinck and others, 2004). Two trophic guilds of fish (benthic and piscivorous) were targeted for sampling to reflect different contaminant exposure profiles associated with feeding strategies. Benthic-feeding fish tend to be exposed to persistent hydrophobic contaminants accumulated in sediments, whereas piscivorous fish are exposed to contaminants that accumulate in their prey. The BEST studies have focused on collecting common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) as the benthic species and largemouth or smallmouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides* and *M. dolomieu*) as the piscivorous species. For this study, the white sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*, which is also a benthic species) was sampled since it is locally prevalent and has been used as a sentinel species to document contaminant pathologies, particularly in the Great Lakes and Canada. These species were selected because of their availability throughout the Charles River and their previously reported biomarker responses (Baumann and others, 1996). These species also correspond to guild selection criteria established by the BEST Program's Large River Program. The collection goal for each site was ten target species of each sex (ten males and ten females for a total $n = 20$ per species). Fish were collected by electrofishing from a boat along both sides of the shoreline covering the entire river reach during August 8–23, 2005. Fish were held in live-wells prior to having their length and weight measured.

On-Site Fish Processing

Fish examination and tissue collection procedures are described in detail in previously published field protocols (Schmitt, Blazer, and others, 1999; Smith, Gross, and others, 2002). Approximately 5 milliliters (mL) of whole blood was obtained from each fish via caudal vein puncture using a heparinized needle and syringe. One mL of the whole blood was placed in a sealable plastic vial, stored on wet ice, and shipped chilled (0 to 4°C in coolers by overnight express mail to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ecological Exposure Research Division / National Exposure Research Laboratory in Cincinnati, Ohio) for the comet assay used to assess genetic damage. The remainder of the blood was centrifuged, and plasma from each fish was transferred to a labeled cryovial and then immediately frozen on dry ice for assessing levels of vitellogenin (an egg yolk protein) and sex steroid hormones (17 β estradiol and 11 ketotestosterone).

After blood samples were drawn, fish were euthanized with MS-222, and remaining tissue samples were collected in the order described below. Samples of gill tissue were taken for analysis of EROD activity, an indicator of biochemical response to exposure to planar hydrocarbons. A subsample of axial muscle from largemouth bass was collected for mercury analysis. After gill and muscle plug samples were removed, fish were examined externally using a quantitative evaluation for external gross anomalies (Smith, Gross, and others, 2002). Skin growths and other external pathology were preserved in 10-percent buffered formalin for subsequent histopathology.

Necropsy procedures (Baumann and others, 1990) followed the external exam. Bile was extracted from the gall bladder via syringe and frozen in liquid nitrogen or dry ice for PAH metabolite analysis. Prior to examination for abnormalities, whole organs were weighed to the nearest gram using an electronic scale. Spleens and gonads were weighed for all three species, but livers (hepatosomatic index) were weighed only for bass. Samples of liver, spleen, gonad, kidney (both head and trunk), gill,

and any lesion were taken and preserved for histopathological examination. An immunocytochemistry analysis was conducted on liver tissue to determine whether oncogenes (ras) and tumor suppressor genes (Rb and p53) were present. Sections from the liver, spleen, and head kidney were examined microscopically to measure the proliferation of melanomacrophage centers (MMC). Gonad samples were examined for fecundity (females), stage of maturation, and the presence of anomalies. Duplicate sub-samples of liver tissue from each fish were obtained and frozen immediately in a dry ice/ethanol slurry for analysis of EROD activity, another indicator of exposure to planar hydrocarbons. Additional liver sub-samples also were frozen for assays of vitamins C and E. Spleen tissue was examined for macrophage aggregates.

Otoliths and scales were collected and labeled for subsequent age determination in the laboratory. Carp and bass carcasses were composited according to species and gender (10 samples per site) and frozen for analysis of persistent organochlorine compounds and metals. Organic extracts were also assayed for exposure to dioxin and dioxin-like compounds by using the H4IIE rat hepatoma bioassay. All other metrics were measured on individual fish.

Determining Fish Age

Ages of largemouth bass, carp, and white suckers were determined by counting annual rings on scales collected from the base of the dorsal fin above the lateral line or from the otoliths (Popper and Lu, 2000) collected from the brain cavity. Otoliths grow by the continuous deposition of calcium carbonate with no evidence of resorption. The age of the fish is estimated by counting the annuli, or opaque bands, with the aid of a dissecting microscope. The darker bands represent periods of fast growth. The lighter bands represent periods of slower growth. Fish scales grow annually and are used to determine fish age in much the same way annual growth rings are counted on otoliths. Typically, at least two separate readings of annual rings are performed and reconciled to confirm the ages determined from otoliths and scales (Campana and Nielson, 1985).

Sediment and Water Chemistry

Semi-Permeable Membrane Device (SPMD) and Polar Organic Contaminant Integrated Sampler (POCIS)

The semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) are designed to mimic key aspects of the bioconcentration process, which results in elevated contaminant concentrations in organism tissues after exposure to trace hydrophobic organic contaminants in aquatic environments. Sampling of compounds with moderate to high octanol-water partition coefficients (K_{ow}) greater than 3 is integrative (that is, extracted residues are constantly accumulated without significant losses back into the environment) and analyte concentrations are reported as time-weighted averages. Like the (SPMD), the polar organic contaminant integrated sampler (POCIS) is designed to mimic key aspects of the bioconcentration process and an organism's exposure to hydrophilic organic contaminants. The POCIS consists of a solid-phase sorbent or mixture of sorbents contained between two sheets of a microporous polyethersulfone membrane. Sampling compounds with low to moderate octanol-water partition coefficients (K_{ow}) less than 3 is integrative and analyte concentrations are reported as time-weighted averages (Alvarez and others, 2006).

Both SPMD and POCIS (two replicates of each type) were deployed at a depth of 2.5 m in July, 2005, and recovered after 33 days (August 22, 2005). Locations where the devices were deployed are shown in figure 2.

Whole-Sediment Residue Chemistry

Whole-sediment residue chemistry identifies contaminants present in depositional sediments that may be toxic to aquatic biota. Bulk sediments were collected using methods described below in the “Fish and Invertebrate Communities” Section of this report. Several samples were homogenized into a single bulk sample, chilled on wet ice, and shipped to the Columbia Environmental Research Center (CERC), Columbia, Missouri. Methods for metal, organic, and inorganic chemical residue analysis and related information are found in Fishman and others (1994), Furlong and others (1996), Olson and others (2004), Skougstad and others (1979), and Van Metre and others (2000). Locations of bulk sediments used for sediment chemistry, toxicity, pore-water chemistry, and depositional invertebrate samples are shown in figure 2. Location coordinates are provided in table 3.

Inorganic Chemistry Analysis of Sediments

Sediments were homogenized and approximately 5-mL aliquots were analyzed for acid volatile sulfide (AVS) and simultaneously extractable metals (SEM). To quantify AVS, homogenized sediment aliquots were reacted for one hour with 1N hydrochloric acid in an oxygen-free atmosphere. The resulting hydrogen sulfide was purged with nitrogen into a sodium hydroxide trapping solution. Free sulfide ion is rapidly formed in the trap and measured by a sulfide-specific electrode. During the AVS sample preparation, an SEM fraction was generated (Brumbaugh and Arms, 1996). Forty mL of each extract was filtered through a 0.45-micrometer (μm) pore-size polypropylene cartridge. A portion of each filtered SEM extract (10 mL) was heated in a microwave oven with nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide. Evaporative digestion utilizing 50 mL Zymark1 tubes was performed on the extracts. Final dilution volume for the digestates was 100 mL in a matrix of one percent nitric acid.

An aliquant of homogenized sediment was dried at 95°C to constant weight in a convection oven to determine percent moisture. The dried sediment was then placed in a muffle furnace to find loss on ignition at 450°C (expressed as percent of dry weight). The remainder of each wet sediment sample was lyophilized, and then homogenized in a glass container by stirring with a glass rod until a uniform material was produced. To measure total recoverable metals, homogenized aliquots (approximately 0.25 gram (g)) were digested in 50-mL quartz reaction vessels by adding 5.5 mL HNO₃ and 0.5 mL HCl. The sealed vessel was heated in a Perkin-Elmer multiwave oven. This digestion procedure allows one to measure total recoverable metals only, as it will not dissolve refractory mineral phases. The digestate liquid and all undissolved mineral components were transferred into a 125-mL polyethylene bottle with ultrapure water to a final weight of 101.5 g (100 mL). The final acid matrix was 5.5 percent HNO₃/0.5 percent HCl (percent by volume). An additional aliquant (approximately 0.25 g), of each dried sediment was subjected to a magnesium nitrate-nitric acid dry-ashing procedure followed by hydrochloric acid reduction for the amounts of arsenic (As) and selenium (Se). Samples were boiled with nitric acid to solubilize and partially oxidize inorganic target analytes and were then ashed at 500°C with magnesium nitrate to complete the oxidation and decompose remaining organic matter. HCl was added to dissolve the ash and reduce Se to the Se+4 oxidation state required for hydride generation. Following reduction, digestates were diluted to approximately 25 mL (fish) or 100 mL (other matrices) with deionized water, yielding a final acid matrix of 10 percent hydrochloric acid (May and others, 2006).

Organic Chemistry Analysis of Sediments

The sediment samples were analyzed for PAHs, organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Separate 5-g portions (dry weight) of

sediment were prepared for the PCB and OCP analyses. Ten-g (dry weight) aliquots were required for PAH/PBDE analysis. Sediment portions of similar consistency were sampled, although the portions of sample taken for analysis had visibly varying amounts of rock, silt, sand, and detritus. The samples were added to baked glass fiber soxhlet thimbles, spiked with deuterated surrogate standards, and extracted for 20 hours (hr) with 300 mL of dichloromethane with a cycle of 16 minutes (min). The extracts were rotary evaporated in a 35°C water bath to approximately 2 mL and quantitatively transferred to test tubes. The volume was reduced to 1 mL under a stream of nitrogen (N₂), and then any remaining dichloromethane was removed by adding 2 mL of hexane and once again reducing the volume to 1 mL under an N₂ stream. Separate portions of extracts required different clean-up methods.

Gas Chromatographic Analysis of PCBs

Sample extracts were adjusted to a volume of 1 mL. Extracts were spiked with PCB congeners 030 and 207 (40 nanograms (ng) each) as instrumental internal standards (IIS). Total PCBs were measured by gas chromatograph/electron-capture detection (GC/ECD). Analyses were performed using Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II GCs with cool on-column capillary injection systems and Hewlett-Packard model 7673 autosamplers. For all analyses, a 3-m section of a 0.53-mm (interior diameter) uncoated and deactivated capillary retention gap (Agilent, Palo Alto, California) was attached to each analytical column by a Press-Tight® (Restek Corp., Bellefonte, Pennsylvania) union. The analytical column was packed with DB-17HT (0.25µm 50 percent phenyl-, 50 percent methylsilicone; Agilent, Palo Alto, Calif.). The H₂-carrier gas was pressure regulated at 25 pounds per square inch (psi). The temperature program for the PCB analysis was as follows: initial temperature 60°C, immediately increased to 150°C at 15°C per minute (min), then raised to 260°C at 1°C/min, and finally raised to 300°C at 10°C/min, and held for 15 min. Electron capture detector temperature was 330°C.

Polychlorinated biphenyls were determined by the sum of congener's method using a GC capillary column. The capillary GC/ECD data were collected and archived in digital form. Data were processed using a PerkinElmer chromatography data system, which included the model 970 interface and version 6.2 of Totalchrom Workstation chromatography microcomputer software. A mixture of several Aroclors is used to produce the PCB calibration standards. These standards have been quantified based on pure primary PCB standards (Accustandard, New Haven, Connecticut) and are used as secondary standards. Up to nine levels of calibration are used to quantify total PCBs in the samples. The PCB calibration curve spanned from 10 to 8,000 ng/mL.

Gas Chromatographic Analysis of OCPs and PBDEs

Organochlorine pesticides were determined in the two fractions of each set at a final volume of 1 mL. PBDEs and toxaphene was determined in fraction SODS-2. Internal standards for PCBs 030 and 207 were added. Individual OCPs were measured by GC/ECD. Analyses were performed using Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II GCs with cool on-column capillary injection systems and Hewlett-Packard model 7673 autosamplers. For all analyses, a 3-m section of 0.53-mm (interior diameter) uncoated and deactivated capillary retention gap (Agilent, Palo Alto, Calif.) was attached to each analytical column by a Press-Tight® (Restek Corp., Bellefonte, Penn.) union. The analytical columns were 60-m × 0.25-mm × 0.25-µm DB-5 and DB-17HT phase columns. The H₂-carrier gas was pressure regulated at 25 psi. The temperature program for the pesticide analysis was as follows: initial temperature 60°C, immediately raised to 150°C at 15°C/min, then increased to 260°C at 1°C/min, and finally raised to 300°C at 10°C/min, and held for 15 min. Electron capture detector temperature was 330°C.

The dual column GC/ECD method is used to identify and quantify OCP peaks from one column or the other based upon known standards. The GC/ECD data were collected, archived in digital form, and processed using a PerkinElmer chromatography data system. The system included model 970 interface and Version 6.2 Totalchrom Workstation Chromatography software. Six levels of OCP standards (29 components) were used for calibration, with each pesticide at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 80 ng/mL. Organochlorine pesticide results are presented in tables designated by their CERC database number and are cross-referenced to their field identification number. Concentrations are expressed as nanograms of analyte per gram (ng/g) of sample (wet weight). Detection limits were calculated as discussed above for PCBs. Nine PBDE congeners were quantified by GC/ECD: PBDEs #28, #47, #66, #85, #99, #100, #153, #154, and #183. These are the typical congeners of the penta-BDE and oct-BDE formulations accumulated in fish tissue. These PBDEs were separated from the pesticides by the dual column GC/ECD method. Total toxaphene concentrations were determined semi-quantitatively in the SODS-2 fraction on the DB17HT column. The method quantifies twenty significant peaks in the standards as a group. Four levels of toxaphene standards were used for calibration, ranging from 50 to 500 ng/mL concentrations. Detection limits were calculated from the procedure blanks run with these samples by using the method of Keith and others (1983).

Gas chromatographic/Mass Spectrometric Analysis (GC/MS) of PAHs

The PAH fraction was adjusted to a final volume of approximately 100 microliters (μL) to which the instrumental internal standard p-terphenyl-d14 (100 ng) was added. The sixteen perdeuterated and twenty-seven native PAHs were measured by GC/MS using a CE Instruments 8000Top GC with cool on-column capillary injection system and an AS800 auto-sampler (2 μL injected) interfaced with a Voyager quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo-Finnigan Corp., San Jose, Calif.) A 2.5-m section of 0.53-mm (internal diameter) uncoated and deactivated (Restek Corp., Bellefonte, Penn.) capillary retention gap was attached to the front of each analytical column by a Press-Tight® (Restek Corp., Bellefonte, Penn.) union. The analytical column was a 50-m $\times$ 0.20-mm Ultra-2 (0.11 μm 5 percent phenyl-, 95 percent methyl-silicone, Agilent, Palo Alto, Calif.). Helium carrier gas was flow regulated at 1 mL per minute. The temperature program for the PAH analysis was as follows: initial temperature 60°C, hold time 2.5 min, increased to 300°C at 5°C/min, and held for 15 min. The direct transfer line to the mass spectrometer was maintained at 305°C.

The mass-spectrometric method acquired full scan data mass and archived in digital form, and processed using the Thermo-Finnigan XCalibur GC/MS data system. Depending on the dynamic range required, calibration of up to eleven levels of calibration standards, ranging from 1–2,500 picograms per microliter (pg/ μL), were analyzed with an analytical set. Method detection limits were estimated from low-level standards and determined by both the signal-to-noise ratio of the peak in the quantitation ion channel and the gradual loss of unique characteristics of the background-corrected mass spectrum.

The concentration of PAHs contained in the 100 μL of final extract prepared from the field-collected sediment samples were too concentrated and were diluted and analyzed again by GC/MS for accurate quantification. Sediment extracts were analyzed with recovery-tracking deuterated PAHs. However, due to the extremely high levels of native PAHs in the sediments, and the need to dilute the extracts, recoveries could not be monitored in each sample as is typically the case. Recovery information was obtained from the quality control samples.

Pore Water Chemistry

Inorganic Chemistry Analysis

Filtered sediment pore-water samples were digested for subsequent analysis by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP–MS), both quantitative and semi-quantitative, by placing 5 mL of sample into a 50-mL quartz reaction vessel, adding 1 mL nitric acid, and heating the sealed high pressure vessel assembly in a PerkinElmer Multiwave oven according to specifications in a pre-programmed method. After cooling, digestates were transferred to a storage container and diluted to a final volume of approximately 50 mL. Final acid matrix was 2 percent (by volume) nitric acid (May and others, 2006).

Fish Residue Chemistry

Fish Tissue Homogenization and Compositing

Chemical residues in resident fish tissues provide evidence as to whether or not sediment-bound contaminants are cycling through the food web. Whole-fish chemical residue analyses reflect a mixture of contaminants and metabolites absorbed from three sources: diet, water, and contact with sediments. These body burdens may relate to toxicity, biomarker responses, and changes in fish health/community structure (Hoffman, 1996). Composite tissue samples prepared from whole fish for carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers were analyzed for metals and other inorganic contaminants. Composite tissue samples prepared from whole fish for carp and largemouth bass, and 30 individual white sucker tissue samples prepared from whole fish, were analyzed for organic contaminants.

Individual fish were partially thawed, sliced into 3- to 5-cm sections, and passed through a Hobart® commercial meat grinder equipped with an extrusion disk with approximately 0.5-cm orifices. The resultant ground tissue was then re-mixed and passed through the grinder again. After three cycles of grinding and mixing, the samples were refrozen. Processed tissues from the three species (carp, white sucker, and largemouth bass) were composited by gender and prepared by weighing equal portions of each individual ground fish followed by manual mixing.

Fish Tissue Inorganic Contaminant Analyses

To prepare digestates of whole-body fish composites for analysis by ICP–MS semi-quantitative scan, an aliquant of each dried sample (about 0.25 g) was heated with 6 mL nitric acid in a sealed, low-pressure Teflon vessel in a microwave oven. The cooled digestate liquid was transferred into a 125-mL polyethylene bottle with ultrapure water to a final weight of 101.5 g (100 mL). Final acid matrix was 6 percent HNO₃. To prepare a digestate for As and Se, about 0.25 g of dried whole-body fish was dry-ashed following the same procedures as described previously for sediment samples. For the determination of Hg, no chemical preparation was required, as the tissue was combusted directly as part of the analytical sequence (May and others, 2006).

Fish Tissue Organic Contaminant Analyses (PCBs, OCPs, and Toxaphene)

Ten-gram aliquots of the fish samples were dehydrated by blending with anhydrous sodium sulfate. Tissue mass was recorded to 0.01-g accuracy. After drying, recovery compounds were added and fish samples were thoroughly extracted in glass columns with dichloromethane. A 1-percent portion of the extract was used to gravimetrically determine percent lipid after evaporation of solvent. Lipids and co-extracted biogenic materials were removed from the extracts by low-pressure gel permeation chromatography, followed by high-pressure size-exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) for total PCBs and OCP analyses. The

extracts were then applied to a two-layered octadecyl silica/activated silica (SODS) gel column and separated into two fractions: one fraction containing PCBs and six of the targeted OCPs (SODS-1), and a second fraction containing the remainder of the OCPs (SODS-2) (Orazio and others, 2006).

Muscle Plug Hg Chemistry

Ten muscle-plug samples (Waddel and May, 1995) from each largemouth bass were combusted directly to a dried tissue state for the determination of Hg. A DMA-80 analyzer was used to conduct direct mercury analysis (DMA) of fish tissue. The process incorporates thermal combustion, amalgamation, and atomic absorption spectroscopy. Quality control included calibration verification checks, reference tissues, replicates, method spikes, and blanks. All quality control results were tabulated to provide an overview of quality assurance and to facilitate interpretation. Reference fish tissues were used to confirm calibration of the DMA-80. Dried samples (30–40 mg) were combusted in a stream of oxygen. All Hg in the samples was volatilized and trapped by amalgamation on a gold substrate, followed by thermal desorption and quantification by using atomic absorption spectrophotometry (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). The entire sequence was conducted with a Milestone DMA-80 analyzer equipped with an automated sample carousel. Hg produced recoveries ranging from 97 to 108 percent. Spikes of Hg in a reference muscle tissue ranged from 86 to 119 percent. The detection level for mercury in muscle plugs was 0.005 micrograms per gram ($\mu\text{g/g}$) dry weight (May and others, 2006).

Bile Metabolites

Since PAHs are metabolized by vertebrates, tissue concentrations in fish are not correlated with exposure. However, PAH metabolites in bile indicate exposure and correlate well to abnormalities and tumors associated with PAHs (Yang and others, 2003). Estimation of selected metabolites of aromatic compounds in bile followed the reverse phase HPLC technique with fluorescence detection reported in Krahn and others (1984). The fluorescence detectors were set at excitation/emission wavelength pairs for both benzo[a]pyrene and naphthalene. PAH metabolite concentrations were normalized to protein content by a modified Lowry method (Lowry and others, 1951).

Plasma Chemistry

Chlorinated organic compounds in fish plasma were extracted and analyzed with gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) according to the following procedures. A 2-g (about 2 mL) sample of plasma was stirred with 27 g of granular anhydrous sodium sulfate to remove water from the sample. Extraction cells of a DionexR Model 200 Accelerated Solvent Extractor (ASE) were prepared by adding 5 g of florisil. The sodium sulfate homogenate was then added to the top of the extraction cell. Two fractions were generated using the ASE. The first fraction was produced by extracting the 2-g sample of plasma with hexane. The sample was then re-extracted on the ASE using a 5-percent isopropanol/hexane (IPA/hexane) solution to produce a second fraction. Fraction 1 (hexane extraction) contained PCBs, DDEs, BDEs, and other nonpolar organics. Fraction 2 (IPA/hexane) contained endosulfan compounds and other, more polar, organic compounds. Both fractions were combined and concentrated to a volume of 500 μL by using a Kuderna-Danish (K-D) apparatus, followed by nitrogen evaporation. Duplicate analysis of target compounds was performed using capillary-column GC/MS detection under electron-capture negative ion chemical ionization (ECNICI) conditions (Lieber and others, 2008).

Toxicity Tests Associated with Sediment and Extracts from SPMD and POCIS Samplers

Whole-Sediment Chronic Toxicity

The potential toxicity of sediments to resident biological organisms can be assessed using a variety of approaches. In the current study, sediment toxicity was assessed by exposing lab-cultured, sediment dwelling macroinvertebrates to field collected sediment samples under controlled laboratory conditions (Ingersoll, 1995). Toxicity tests were performed on three field collected sediment samples collected from depositional zones within the study area. Each bed sediment sample represented a composite of three sediment grabs taken in close proximity to one another (fig. 2). The toxicity of the field-collected sediment samples was evaluated using two macroinvertebrates species: an amphipod (*Hyalella azteca*) and the larval form of a midge (*Chironomus dilutus*). Laboratory analysis included the use of control sediments as described by Ankley and others (1994) and Ingersoll and others (2005). All sediment toxicity tests were started within three weeks of sample collection from the field. Sediments were not sieved to remove indigenous organisms during homogenization of samples in the laboratory before the start of the toxicity test.

Whole sediment toxicity tests were conducted with *Hyalella azteca* for 28 days according to methods described in American Society for Testing and Materials (2007) and Burton and others, (1996). Endpoints measured in the amphipod exposures included survival and growth (length) on Day 28. Test sediments were homogenized and added to exposure beakers one day before test organisms were added. Amphipods were exposed to 100 mL of sediment with 175 mL of overlying water in 300-mL beakers. A total of eight replicates/sediment treatments were conducted. The photoperiod was 16 hr of light: 8 hr of dark at an intensity of about 200 lux at the surface of the exposure beakers. The exposure temperature was 23°C. Each beaker received 2-volume additions/day of overlying water starting on Day -1, and then 50 mL of water was delivered automatically to each beaker every 4 hr (plus or minus 15 min). Tests were initiated (Day 0) by placing 10 amphipods into each beaker using an eyedropper. Amphipods in each beaker were daily fed 1.0 mL of Yeast-Cerophyll-trout chow (YCT; 1.7 to 1.9 grams per liter (g/L) in a water suspension. Beakers were observed daily for the presence of animals, signs of animal activity (burrowing), and to monitor test conditions (mainly water clarity). On Day 28, amphipods were recovered from each beaker by decanting overlying water, gently swirling remaining water and upper layer of sediment, and washing the sediment through a no. 50 (300-µm opening) U.S. standard stainless steel sieve. The retained materials were washed into a glass pan and the surviving amphipods were removed. Amphipods from 4 replicates of each sediment sample were counted and preserved in 8-percent sugar formalin for subsequent length measurements (Ingersoll and others, 2002). The length of amphipods was measured along the dorsal surface from the base of the first antenna to the tip of the third uropod along the curve of the dorsal surface. Amphipod length measurements were made using an EPIX imaging system (PIXCI® SV4 imaging board and XCAP software; EPIX Inc., Buffalo Grove, Illinois) connected to a computer and a microscope (Ingersoll and others, 2002).

Midge exposures were similar to amphipod exposures with the following exceptions: (1) due to collection time, only 2 field collected samples were evaluated, (2) exposures were 10 days, (3) midge were fed 1.5 mL of Tetrafin, and (4) the grow endpoint was ash-free dry weight. On Day 10, surviving midge were collected following procedures described above and placed into a pre-weighed weigh pan (organisms that had reached the pupal stage were counted as surviving but not used in growth determination). Samples were dried at 60°C for 24 hr and weighed (dry weight). Samples were then ashed for 2 hr at 550°C and then reweighed.

Microtox® Bioassay

The Microtox assay uses a suspension of luminescent marine bacteria: *Vibrio fischeri* (NRRL B-11177, also referred to as *Photobacterium phosphoreum*, Strategic Diagnostics, Inc., Newark, Delaware). *V. fischeri* produces light as a by product of cellular respiration. When exposed to certain toxicants, the rate of light production is reduced in proportion to the sample toxicity. The Microtox® bioassay was completed on subsamples extracted from SPMD and POCIS samples. An aliquot of the bacterial suspension was transferred to a test vial containing the standard diluent (2 percent NaCl) and equilibrated at 15°C using a temperature-controlled photometer. Light readings of each test vial were taken before the addition of test samples and following a 5-min and 15-min incubation period. Light loss was expressed as a gamma value and defined as the ratio of light loss to light remaining. The relative sensitivity of Microtox® has been reported by Kaiser and Palabrica (1991) and Johnson and Long (1998). To determine the dose response and the concomitant toxicity, each sample solution was diluted into four test concentrations. The log of the gamma values from these four dilutions was plotted and compared with the log of the sample concentrations. The concentration of the extract that was determined to inhibit luminescence by 50 percent after the 5-min exposure period (EC₅₀) was determined and expressed as mg equivalent of sample. Data were analyzed using the Microtox Omni software package (version 1.18, Strategic Diagnostics, Inc., Newark, Del.). Phenol was used as the positive toxicity control for the Microtox® bioassay. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was used as the carrier vehicle for the samples and as the negative control. Results are reported in terms of an effective concentration (EC), which is the estimated concentration of sample required to produce the desired level of metabolic inhibition (light loss). The EC₅₀ value is the typical endpoint used to report and compare Microtox® data. EC₅₀ values were reported as the means of three replicate determinations with variability expressed as standard deviations.

Fish Health Biomarkers

Quantitative Organism-Level Indicators

Gross pathologies were selected for consistency with other monitoring programs (Fournie and others, 1996). Gross abnormalities included grossly visible disorders of the eye (exophthalmia, hemorrhage, opacity, emboli), opercles (shortening, deformities, parasites), body surface (ulcers, parasites, discolored areas, raised growths), and disorders of the fins and skeleton. The health assessment index (HAI) was the method used to identify internal and external lesions for each fish during field necropsy. Numerical values were assigned to internal and external observations of anomalies and lesions recorded in the field, and a necropsy-based fish health assessment index (HAI) score was calculated for each fish by summing these values for all organs (Blazer and others, 2002). Body and organ weights were used to compute condition factor (CF) and organosomatic indices according to the following formulae: CF = body weight in g/(length in cm)³; hepatosomatic index (HSI) = liver weight/(total body weight – gonad weight) × 100; splenosomatic index (SSI) = spleen weight/(total body weight – gonad weight) × 100; and gonadosomatic index (GSI) = (gonad weight/total body weight) × 100. The weight of the gonads was subtracted from the body weight to minimize the effect of the reproductive cycle on the HSI and SSI. The HSI was calculated only for fish species that have a discrete liver (largemouth bass).

Sex Steroid Hormones: 17 B Estradiol and 11 Ketotestosterone

Plasma samples from largemouth bass were analyzed for 17β-estradiol and 11-ketotestosterone using radioimmunoassay (RIA) procedures. Plasma samples (50 μl) were extracted twice with 5-mL diethyl ether prior to RIA analysis. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate for both 17β-estradiol and 11-

ketotestosterone and corrected for extraction efficiencies of 92 plus or minus 2.8 percent and 86 plus or minus 3.3 percent, respectively. Standard curves were prepared in a buffer with known amounts of radioinert 17 β -estradiol or 11-ketotestosterone (1, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, and 1,000 picograms (pg)). The minimum concentration detectable was 6.4 pg/mL for 17 β -estradiol and 8.1 pg/mL for 11-ketotestosterone. Cross-reactivities with other steroids for the 17 β -estradiol antiserum were as follows: 11.2 percent for estrone, 1.7 percent for estriol, less than 1.0 percent for 17 α -estradiol and androstenedione, and less than 0.1 percent for all other steroids examined. Cross-reactivities of the 11-ketotestosterone antiserum with other steroids were 9.7 percent for testosterone, 3.7 percent for α -dihydrotestosterone, less than 1.0 percent for androstenedione, and less than 0.1 percent for all other steroids examined. A pooled sample (approximately 275 pg of 17 β -estradiol/mL and 220 pg of 11-ketotestosterone/mL) was assayed serially in 10-, 20-, 30-, 40-, and 50- μ L volumes (final volume of 50 μ L with charcoal-stripped plasma). Further characterization of the assays involved measurement of known amounts (1, 2, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, and 500 pg) of 17 β -estradiol or 11-ketotestosterone in 50 μ L of charcoal-stripped plasma. Correlation values (R^2) between actual and measured amounts during the calibration were 0.93 for 17 β -estradiol and 0.88 for 11-ketotestosterone. Inter-assay and intra-assay coefficients of variation were 7.3 and 9.5 percent for plasma 17 β -estradiol, respectively, and 9.1 and 8.7 percent for plasma 11-ketotestosterone, respectively (Bevans and others, 1996; Goodbred and others, 1997; Schmitt and Dethloff, 2000).

Yeast Assay

The yeast estrogen screen (YES) uses recombinant yeast cells transfected with the human estrogen receptor. The recombinant yeast cells also contain expression plasmids carrying a reporter gene (*lac-z*). Following the binding of a suitable agonist, the yeast cells undergo a cascade of events that results in the release of β -galactosidase into the growth media. The β -galactosidase interacts with a chromogenic substrate (chlorophenol red- β -D-galactopyranoside [CPRG]) in the media, producing a color change that can be measured spectrophotometrically. This color change is a measure of the estrogenic potential of chemicals in the sample.

Yeast cultures were removed from storage and grown for 24 hr at 30°C to determine their viability prior to inoculating the test plates. Sample extracts were solvent exchanged into ethanol to prevent damage to the test plate. The test plates were prepared by adding a positive control in the first row and alternating negative controls (200 μ L ethanol) and test sample (100 μ L extract diluted with 100 μ L ethanol in triplicate) in the following rows. All samples and controls were then serially diluted across the test plate. The liquid in each well was allowed to evaporate prior to adding 200 μ L of assay medium containing approximately 4×10^7 recombinant yeast cells and CPRG. The plates were gently agitated, sealed, and incubated at 30°C for up to 72 hr. Each day, the plates were inspected for the conversion of CPRG in the positive controls to determine the speed of plate development. After 48 hr, the plates were allowed to finish developing at room temperature. The plates were read using a Labsystems Multiskan MS type 352 with the Genesis II software (Labsystems, Finland) measuring the absorbance at 540 and 620 nanometers (nm) (Alvarez and others, 2006).

Vitellogenin

Vitellogenin concentrations in largemouth bass plasma were assayed and quantified by an Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), as described by Goodbred and others (1997) and summarized below. Initially, vitellogenin from bass was purified by ion-exchange chromatography (Denslow and others, 1999) for use in the standard. The monoclonal antibody Mab HL 1080 1C8-3C11 was used in the

ELISA assay. This antibody reacts specifically to largemouth bass vitellogenin and not with other plasma proteins. Purified antibody was diluted to 10 milligrams per milliliter ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) in phosphate-buffer saline and coated onto 96-well plates ($50 \mu\text{g/mL/well}$), and stored overnight at 4°C . Plates were washed with tris-buffered saline containing 0.05 percent Tween (TBST) blocked with 0.1 percent bovine serum albumin in TBST for 2 hr at room temperature, and thoroughly washed again three times with TBST. Plasma samples were diluted from 1:100 to 1:5,000 in 0.1 percent bovine serum albumin in TBST, and 50 micrograms (μg) of these samples were added in duplicate to plate wells and incubated overnight. Standard curves were from 10 to 1,000 ng/mL , and were constructed in male plasma at the same dilution as the sample to be analyzed. Of this solution, only $50 \mu\text{g}$ were placed in the well. Although the assay itself is very sensitive, plasma samples need to be diluted at least to 1:100 to eliminate interferences that affect the assay, thus making the assay sensitive to 0.001 milligrams per milliliter (mg/mL). Male control plasma was made from composites of plasma from fish collected at an uncontaminated site, which was shown by Western Blot assay to have no vitellogenin. The next day, plates were washed with TBST, incubated with $50 \mu\text{g}$ per well rabbit anti-vitellogenin polyclonal antibody UF114 (produced and characterized by the University of Florida), diluted to 1:500, and incubated for 2 hr at room temperature. This discloses the vitellogenin captured by the monoclonal antibody in the first step. The polyclonal antibody was in turn disclosed by a goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (IgG) linked to alkaline phosphatase and incubated for 2 hr at room temperature.

After a final series of washes with TBST, $100 \mu\text{g}$ of p-nitro-phenyl-phosphate in carbonate buffer ($\text{pH } 9.6$) was added to each well and incubated for 30 min. The intensity of yellow color that developed was quantified at 405 nm with an automated ELISA reader. Vitellogenin concentrations were calculated from standard curves after subtracting the small nonspecific color reaction (around 0.2 A, 405 nm) with male control plasma. The ELISA assay used in this study can detect between 10 and 100 ng of vitellogenin per well, resulting in a sensitivity of about 0.001 mg/mL . Each ELISA assay included a positive control (plasma with a known vitellogenin concentration) to test for inter-assay and intra-assay variation. The coefficient of variation was calculated for each duplicate sample and, if it exceeded 10 percent, samples were rerun. Standard curves fit by linear regression were used to calculate vitellogenin concentration, with R^2 values usually between 0.95 and 0.99 (Denslow, Chow, Chow, and others, 1996; Denslow, Chow, Kroll, and others, 1996; Denslow and others, 1997; Schmitt and Dethloff, 2000).

Histological Determination of Sexual Maturation

Samples of male and female gonads were dissected in the field, fixed in Bouins' solution or 10 percent neutral buffered (sodium phosphate) formalin, and transferred to 100-percent ethanol in the laboratory prior to processing. Testes were cut longitudinally and ovaries were cut transversely. Samples were embedded in paraffin, sectioned to $5 \mu\text{m}$, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological evaluation. All tissue slides were evaluated for reproductive stage, anomalies, and gonadal parasites.

Gonads of female fish were classified according to four stages of sexual maturation, based on evaluation of histological slides (Blazer, 2002). Ovaries containing mostly perinucleolar oocytes at various stages of pre-vitellogenic growth were classified as undeveloped (stage 0). Ovaries showing a mixture of both perinucleolar and cortical alveoli oocytes were classified as early vitellogenic (stage 1). Ovaries classified as early-mid vitellogenic (stage 2) had some vitellogenic oocytes of various sizes and development, with few to moderate numbers of vitelline granules, and few fully developed oocytes. Late vitellogenesis was classified as stage 3 or 4: ovaries in which most oocytes were at or near maximum size and contained numerous, densely packed vitelline granules. Stage 4 ovaries were considered vitellogenic but post-ovulatory. Stage 5 females were post vitellogenic.

Male gonads were classified according to three stages of sexual maturation. Undeveloped testes with no spermatogenesis were considered Stage 0. Testes that were classified as early spermatogenic (stage 1) had thick germinal epithelium, with diffuse, pronounced proliferation and maturation of spermatozoa. Mid-spermatogenic (stage 2) testes had germinal epithelium of moderate thickness, with diffuse moderate proliferation and maturation of sperm. Testes classified as late spermatogenic (stage 3) had mostly thin germinal epithelium, with only scattered spermatogenic activity characteristic of testes during late stage maturity (Goodbred and others, 1997; Schmitt and Dethloff, 2000).

Fecundity

The total yearly production of viable eggs in female fish is a critical factor in maintaining sustainable populations. Many studies have shown that exposure to contaminants increases the number of atretic (non-viable) eggs, reduces egg size (which reduces chances of fertilization and larval success), and lowers the gonadosomatic index (the weight of the ovaries as a percent of body weight; Kumar and Pant, 1988; Rastogi and Kulshrestha, 1990; Collier and others, 1992). In addition, the body burdens of environmental contaminants in adult female fish are transferred to developing eggs. An estimate of potential fecundity (number of viable eggs likely to be produced) can be derived by taking sub-samples from the ovary in the field; preserving the tissue; and conducting assessments of egg numbers, size distribution, and stage of gonadal maturation (Patino and others, 2003).

Sperm Quality

Successful reproduction is essential to maintaining viable fish populations. Recent studies have shown that male fish exposed to environmental contaminants have impaired reproduction and sperm quality (Patino and others, 2003; Sepulveda and others, 2003; Toft and others, 2003). Detailed methods used to evaluate sperm quality have been developed for fish by Kime and Nash (1999). Sperm can be collected easily in the field at appropriate times of the year by using abdominal palpation. Semen was placed in a salt solution and analyzed with a field microscope to determine activation and several additional measurements: sperm motility (estimate of percent of total sperm actively moving), sperm progressive status (estimate of sperm movement/status on a scale of 1 to 5), sperm concentration (utilization of fixed sample for cell counts), and sperm morphology (evaluation of fixed and stained preparation to evaluate for normal versus abnormal morphology).

Histopathology

Histopathology is crucial in linking carcinogenic contaminants to tumors and other pathology in fish. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons have been associated with tumors in seven species of freshwater fish from 17 different wild populations in eastern North America (Baumann, 1998). Preparation of tissues for histopathology followed the protocol of the Registry of Tumors in Lower Animals as previously published (Baumann and others, 1990). Tissue samples were taken from all visible lesions, and from the liver, gonad, spleen, and kidney, and preserved in 10 percent buffered formalin. After 5–7 weeks of fixation, tissue samples were processed for paraffin embedding, sectioned at 4-mm thickness, mounted on glass slides, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Three to six slides were examined for each fish. Additional diagnostic techniques, such as immunocytochemistry (ICC), including markers for oncogenes (ras) and tumor suppressor genes (Rb and p53), were applied to evaluate histological lesions. Commercially available ICC reagent was applied according to manufacturer's directions. Representative, tumor-free organ samples from the same fish were used as negative controls; positive controls consisted of appropriate tumor samples from the collection of vertebrate tumors in the archives of the Veterinary

Diagnostic Laboratory, University of Connecticut. In addition, sections from the liver, spleen, and head kidney were examined morphometrically to measure the proliferation of MMCs. The areas of MMC were calculated within 10 randomly chosen, high-power fields HPF (400 magnification) in each section (3 sections per organ per fish), 30 fields for each organ. The mean value of all 30 measurements constitutes the final result for each fish. A digital camera and morphometric software (SPOT Insight and SPOT SOFTWARE 4.1, Diagnostic Instruments, Inc., Sterling Heights, Michigan) were used. All tissues were examined blindly by a veterinary pathologist using an Olympus BX41 light microscope with the SPOT Insight camera. Slides are archived at the Northeastern Research Center for Wildlife Diseases at the University of Connecticut, and sections of tumors will be archived at the Registry of Tumors in Lower Animals, at the Environmental Pathology Laboratories, Sterling, Virginia.

Bacteria and Viruses Collected from Fish

All fish were sampled for microbiology as soon after collection as possible. Fish were euthanized upon collection and held on ice until samples could be taken. Between individuals sampled, dissecting tools and surfaces were cleaned and then disinfected with 70 percent isopropyl alcohol. Bacteriological samples were taken first to reduce the chance of contamination. When external lesion(s) were observed, a brain heart infusion agar (BHIA) slant was streaked directly from the lesion, using a sterile inoculating loop. The loop and recap slant were discarded, leaving the cap loosened. With sterile scissors or scalpel, a cut into the abdomen was made at the base of the pectoral fin and continued dorsally to just below lateral line. The incision was started again at the base of the pectoral fin and continued towards the posterior of the fish along the ventral abdominal wall to the vent. The kidney was then stabbed with the sterile loop end and streaked onto BHIA slant. Small amounts of kidney tissue from each fish were collected and combined with kidney tissues from other fish for a total of five tissue samples in a Whirlpak bag. Samples were kept chilled on ice (4°C) during collection and shipment. Virology samples for bass included kidney, spleen, and air bladder (K/S/A); samples for carp and white sucker included only kidney/spleen (K/S). Samples were kept on ice during sampling and shipment (Coll, 2006).

Comet Assay

Using whole blood cells, this assay has been developed as a sensitive marker of contaminant-caused genetic damage in fish (Meier and others, 2001). The single cell gel or “comet assay” uses electrophoresis to separate and measure intact and fragmentary DNA within a single cell nucleus. The term “comet” comes from the shape the genetic material forms during the assay, with the intact DNA in a tight center sphere while the fragmentary pieces stream back like a comet tail. The comet assay was performed at the EPA National Exposure Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio, and was based on the method developed by Singh and others (1988). Two slides were prepared for each fish and 50 cells scored on each slide. Slides were put into a lysing solution, immersed in electrophoresis buffer to allow unwinding of DNA, and then subjected to electrophoresis and stained. Slides were observed under a fluorescent microscope and image analysis was used to quantify tail length and percent tail DNA.

Indicators of Chemical Exposure

Liver EROD Activity

Measurement of ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase (EROD) activity in fish is a well-established biomarker of exposure and response to certain planar halogenated hydrocarbons (PHHs) and PAHs and other structurally similar compounds (Whyte and others, 2000). Liver EROD activity is performed on

small liver samples dissected from fish immediately after being euthanized. Liver tissue was immediately cryogenically frozen in the field and stored at -78°C until it could be processed at the laboratory. The procedures for laboratory preparation and analysis are described by Schmitt and others (2002).

H4IIE Bioassay

The H4IIE rat hepatoma cell line bioassay is used to detect and semi-quantify specific classes of PHHs and related compounds. The H4IIE bioassay was performed on the fish composite-sample extracts according to the method described by Birke and Tillitt (2000). Use of quality control techniques, development of standard dose-response curves, and the establishment of toxic equivalents are detailed in Schmitt and others (2002).

Gill EROD

Gill cytochrome P4501A activity was measured as EROD by modifying the method of Jonsson and others (2002). Gill EROD may be more sensitive to low concentrations of water-borne planar hydrocarbon contaminants than liver EROD (Jonsson and others, 2006). Gill filaments were collected in two ways to determine which was optimal for field collections. For half the fish, whole gill arches were taken, two per fish, one arch from each side. Arches were placed inside tissue cassettes and immersed in a bottle containing ice-cold HEPES-Cortland (HC) buffer (0.38 g of KCl, 7.74 g of NaCl, 0.23 g of MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.23 g of CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.41 g of NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 1.43 g of HEPES, and 1 g of glucose per L of dH₂O; pH 7.7). For the remaining fish, filament tips approximately 2 mm long were clipped from the gill arches immediately above the septum and placed in vials containing ice-cold HC buffer, at a ratio of one vial per fish. Gill filaments and arches were maintained at 4°C for 48 hours prior to processing. The 2-mm-long gill filament tips appeared healthier (bright pink to red, little to no mucus coating) than the filaments on the whole arches and were used for all assays. For each fish, 10 filament tips of 2-mm length were placed into each of two wells in a 12-well plate (CoStar, Corning #3512) containing HC buffer and kept on ice.

Once all filaments were in the plates, the HC buffer was replaced with 0.5 mL of reaction buffer (HC buffer supplemented with 10⁻⁶ M 7-ethoxyresorufin [the substrate for CYP1A, resorufin ethyl ether, Sigma] and 10⁻⁵ M dicoumarol; both solutions were prepared from stocks made in DMSO). Filaments were pre-incubated with continuous shaking in the dark at room temperature (to reflect the temperature of the water from which the fish were collected). After 10 min, the reaction buffer was discarded and replaced with 0.7 mL of fresh reaction buffer. Because all fish were assumed to have fairly low CYP1A activities, filaments were incubated for 50 min, the longest time period described in Jonsson and others (2002) protocol, with 200-μL aliquots removed after 30 and 50 min. Aliquots were transferred to a 96-well plate (FluoroNunc/LumiNunc white polystyrene plates, untreated). A resorufin (resorufin sodium salt, Sigma) stock solution (10 millimolar concentration in methanol) was used to prepare standard solutions in reaction buffer; these were run as a standard curve, in duplicate, on each plate along with duplicate wells of reaction buffer alone. Fluorescence was measured in a multi-well plate reader (Perkin Elmer Fusion) with 535/590 EX/EM filters. EROD activity was calculated as picomoles of resorufin per filament tip per minute using the following formula: (fluorescence signal of 50-min aliquot minus fluorescence signal of 30-min aliquot) divided by 20 min; multiplied by 0.0005L (correction factor for initial 0.5-mL volume in well); divided by the slope of the resorufin (pmol RR) standard curve; divided by the number of gill filament tips in the well; multiplied by 1,000 pmol RR/nmol RR (converting fluorescence signal from nmol resorufin standard curve to pmol resorufin).

Fish Immune System Responses

Vitamins C and E (ascorbate and α -tocopherol) Assay

Vitamins C and E are known to be important antioxidants in fish as well as in mammals. Reduced levels of vitamin C can cause reproductive dysfunction in fish (Dabrowski and Ciereszko, 2001), while vitamin E is necessary for the immune system. Samples of liver were used to assess α -tocopherol (α T) metabolism and long-term storage (White and others, 1993). Liver α T concentration was measured using a reverse-phase HPLC method coupled to a fluorescence detector. Ascorbate concentrations were also analyzed in fish liver. Total ascorbate was determined using the colorimetric method (Roe and Kuether, 1943), modified as in Dabrowski and Hinterleitner (1989).

Thyroid Hormones (T3 and T4)

The thyroid system in fish regulates many metabolic processes that are critical during embryonic growth, metamorphosis, and reproduction in adults. Disruption or modulation of thyroid function from environmental contaminants could severely compromise fitness and survival. A review of this potential (Zhou and others, 2000; Brown and others, 2004) has indicated that numerous environmental contaminants (including chlorinated hydrocarbons, PAHs, PCBs, pesticides, phenols, metals, and pharmaceuticals) are likely to impact thyroid function. Thyroid biomarkers serve as indicators of exposure and potential effects. Two important thyroid hormones, the tetra-iodinated form (T4), and the tri-iodinated form (T3), were measured in fish plasma using standard RIA or enzyme immunoassay procedures (Grau, 1987).

Fish and Invertebrate Communities

Invertebrates Communities

Riffle Habitat

Collections from riffle substrates were made using a modified Surber sampler following methods outlined by Moulton and others (2002). Each sample was taken from a 0.25-square meter area immediately upstream from the sampler. The area was disturbed by digging or shuffling to dislodge organisms to wash them downstream into the sampler. Five sub samples were collected in the same type of habitat to compose a single composite sample. These samples were rinsed and placed in a container with buffered formalin. Identification and enumeration were made by the National Water Quality Laboratory (NWQL), Denver, Colorado.

Depositional Habitat

Grab samplers, such as Ponar and Eckman, were used to collect the depositional sediments. Individual grab samples were composited in a decontaminated stainless-steel container. Each of the samples to be composited was taken within the same in-stream habitat type but at a distance sufficient for avoiding interference among samples. The bulk sediments collected for chemistry were homogenized and subsampled for invertebrates and placed in a sample jar with 10 percent neutral buffered (sodium phosphate) formalin for preservation. The invertebrates were identified and enumerated by the NWQL, Denver, Colo.

Depositional Zone Invertebrate Deformities

The presence of mouthpart deformities in benthic species provides evidence of exposure and sub-lethal effects in the primary consumers of streamfood webs. Chironomid deformities were evaluated from benthic samples collected in depositional zones. Deformities were assessed on all individuals mounted as a routine part of sample identification and enumeration by using published methods for members of sub-family Tanypodinae and the family Chironomidae (Dermott, 1991; Dickman and others, 1992).

Fish Communities

Boat-based electrofishing was used to collect fish in the Charles River. Two separate passes, one along each bank, were made in the designated reach. Fish collected in the first pass were processed before the second electrofishing pass. The fishcommunity data for each pass were kept separate (Moulton and others, 2002).

Results

Field Fish Health Assessment

Twenty common carp, 20 largemouth bass, and 40 white suckers were collected in the study area. We were able to obtain 10 male and 10 female common carp and 12 male and 8 female largemouth bass. Twenty-nine of the 40 white suckers collected were female. Length, weight, and age for common carp, largemouth bass, and white sucker collected for this study are provided in table 5. The number, location, and type of lesions, anomalies, and parasites observed during the field health assessment of common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers are provided in tables 6 and 7. The condition factor, calculated somatic indices, and health assessment index scores are provided in table 8.

Sediment and Water Chemistry

Organochlorine pesticide concentrations, total PCB concentrations, and PAH concentrations (ng/L) are provided in tables 9 and 10 for SPMD samples, and pesticide and hormone concentrations (ng/L) are reported in table 11 for POCIS samples. Total PCBs, organochlorine pesticides, PBDE, and PAH concentrations (ng/g dry weight) from sediment samples are reported in tables 12 and 13. Measured concentrations (ng/mL) of elements in filtered sediment pore water are provided in table 14, and concentrations of total recoverable elements ($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight) in Charles River sediments are reported in table 15.

Fish Residue Chemistry

To determine the concentration of PCBs, organochlorine pesticides, and inorganic contaminants, fish were composited by species and gender. Concentrations (ng/g wet weight) of PCBs and organochlorine pesticides are reported in table 16, and concentrations ($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight) of inorganic contaminants are reported in table 17. In addition to the mercury concentrations measured from composited samples, mercury also was measured in muscle tissue collected from largemouth bass (table 18). Individual white suckers were analyzed for concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total PCBs and organochlorine pesticides (table 19). PAH metabolite concentrations ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) associated with the bile of common carp, largemouth bass, and white sucker are provided in table 20. Concentrations of organochlorines, PBDEs, and PCBs and other contaminants associated with plasma of common carp, largemouth bass, and white sucker are provided in tables 21 and 22.

Toxicity of Sediments and Extracts from SPMD and POCIS Samplers

Information on the survival and growth of the amphipod *Hyaella azteca* and the midge *Chironomus dilutus* after being exposed to sediment extracts are provided in table 23. The Microtox® acute toxicity screen and the yeast estrogen screen (YES) were conducted on sediment and passive sampler extracts. Table 24 provides a comparison between controls and the potential toxicity of extracts from SPMDs and POCISs using the Microtox® bioassay and the YES bioassay.

Fish Health Biomarkers and Indicators of Chemical Exposure

Reproductive biomarkers associated with common carp, largemouth bass, and white sucker are provided in tables 25 through 27. Biomarkers associated with histological examination of tissues are provided in tables 28 and 29. Results from comet assay measurements taken on blood and liver cells collected from common carp and white suckers are reported in table 30. H4IIE bioassay-derived 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents (TCDD-EQ) and cytochrome P4501A enzyme activity measured as EROD activity in liver and in gill filament tips from white suckers, common carp, and largemouth bass are reported in tables 31 through 33. Concentration of vitamin C (ascorbic acid and vitamin E (α -tocopherol), as measured in liver tissue from common carp and white suckers, are reported in table 34.

Fifty-nine bacterial cultures obtained from 8 largemouth bass, 13 common carp, and 9 white suckers were isolated and investigated biochemically. The majority of these were motile aeromonas bacteria, which are commonly found in water. None of the listed bacterial pathogens were identified. Kidney tissues also were assayed for *Renibacterium salmoninarum*, the causative agent for bacterial kidney disease (BKD). As is often the case with running this test on non-salmonids, the preliminary ELISA test was positive for the presence of a component of this bacterium; however, the collaboratively run specific DNA-based test (polymerase chain reaction [PCR]) was negative, so the BKD status of these fish were determined to be negative.

Fish and Invertebrate Communities

Invertebrate diversity and abundance associated with depositional habitats are presented in table 35. Chironomid species collected from these samples were evaluated for contaminant related head capsule deformities. All of the 68 specimens examined had structural symmetry and none of the typical deformities associated with chemical contamination. The NAWQA Program has previously collected information on invertebrates from riffle areas in the Charles River near Laundry Brook (NAWQA Station 01104615) during the course of their ongoing studies. The last two years in which data were collected from this site were 2002 and 2003. Results from these efforts are provided in table 36. Fish were also collected from NAWQA Station 01095220 as part of our study and as part of the NAWQA Program's study protocols (table 37).

Table 5. Gender, body weight, length, and age of fish collected from the Charles River sorted by species and gender. (g, grams; mm, millimeters)

Species	Gender	Fish ID	Collection date	Body weight (g)	Body length (mm)	Age (years)
Common carp	F	CC03	8/23/2005	4,095	646	4
Common carp	F	CC04	8/23/2005	4,625	678	8
Common carp	F	CC06	8/23/2005	3,245	612	7
Common carp	F	CC07	8/23/2005	4,195	665	5
Common carp	F	CC09	8/23/2005	6,045	648	6
Common carp	F	CC16	8/24/2005	4,860	644	7
Common carp	F	CC17	8/24/2005	3,905	678	3
Common carp	F	CC18	8/24/2005	3,665	637	6
Common carp	F	CC19	8/24/2005	1,725	475	5
Common carp	F	CC20	8/24/2005	3,200	595	7
Common carp	M	CC01	8/23/2005	2,685	573	3
Common carp	M	CC02	8/23/2005	4,145	652	4
Common carp	M	CC05	8/23/2005	3,955	635	3
Common carp	M	CC08	8/23/2005	3,315	595	3
Common carp	M	CC10	8/23/2005	4,920	682	6
Common carp	M	CC11	8/23/2005	3,890	660	7
Common carp	M	CC12	8/23/2005	3,915	645	4
Common carp	M	CC13	8/23/2005	4,485	651	6
Common carp	M	CC14	8/23/2005	3,125	586	3
Common carp	M	CC15	8/23/2005	5,555	699	7
Largemouth bass	F	LMB01	8/23/2005	1,610	457	14
Largemouth bass	F	LMB02	8/23/2005	710	363	6
Largemouth bass	F	LMB03	8/23/2005	1,360	462	10
Largemouth bass	F	LMB07	8/23/2005	225	257	2
Largemouth bass	F	LMB11	8/24/2005	465	322	3
Largemouth bass	F	LMB12	8/24/2005	1,285	432	9
Largemouth bass	F	LMB14	8/24/2005	705	355	3
Largemouth bass	F	LMB16	8/24/2005	1,040	415	5
Largemouth bass	M	LMB04	8/23/2005	835	396	13
Largemouth bass	M	LMB05	8/23/2005	595	347	4
Largemouth bass	M	LMB06	8/23/2005	245	259	2
Largemouth bass	M	LMB08	8/23/2005	375	297	3

Table 5. Gender, body weight, length, and age of fish collected from the Charles River sorted by species and gender. (g, grams; mm, millimeters) —Continued

Species	Gender	Fish ID	Collection date	Body weight (g)	Body length (mm)	Age (years)
Largemouth bass	M	LMB09	8/23/2005	295	277	2
Largemouth bass	M	LMB10	8/24/2005	1,275	427	6
Largemouth bass	M	LMB13	8/24/2005	685	369	5
Largemouth bass	M	LMB15	8/24/2005	740	391	5
Largemouth bass	M	LMB17	8/24/2005	605	344	6
Largemouth bass	M	LMB18	8/24/2005	320	293	4
Largemouth bass	M	LMB19	8/24/2005	530	334	2
Largemouth bass	M	LMB20	8/24/2005	445	315	4
White sucker	F	WS01	8/23/2005	1,201	494	15
White sucker	F	WS02	8/23/2005	1,455	518	17
White sucker	F	WS03	8/23/2005	1,460	519	16
White sucker	F	WS04	8/23/2005	1,405	527	15
White sucker	F	WS05	8/23/2005	1,145	488	9
White sucker	F	WS06	8/23/2005	1,395	519	14
White sucker	F	WS07	8/23/2005	1,320	516	13
White sucker	F	WS08	8/24/2005	1,105	475	9
White sucker	F	WS09	8/24/2005	1,455	522	12
White sucker	F	WS10	8/24/2005	885	422	7
White sucker	F	WS11	8/24/2005	1,305	515	8
White sucker	F	WS12	8/24/2005	1,030	448	7
White sucker	F	WS13	8/24/2005	1,280	512	9
White sucker	F	WS14	8/24/2005	1,175	491	10
White sucker	F	WS15	8/24/2005	1,045	464	10
White sucker	F	WS17	8/24/2005	1,340	497	6
White sucker	F	WS18	8/24/2005	1,150	486	12
White sucker	F	WS19	8/24/2005	1,045	486	9
White sucker	F	WS21	8/24/2005	1,245	492	13
White sucker	F	WS24	8/24/2005	1,005	469	6
White sucker	F	WS25	8/24/2005	955	484	14
White sucker	F	WS26	8/24/2005	745	406	5
White sucker	F	WS29	8/24/2005	895	442	15
White sucker	F	WS30	8/24/2005	1,470	518	15

Table 5. Gender, body weight, length, and age of fish collected from the Charles River sorted by species and gender. (g, grams; mm, millimeters) —Continued

Species	Gender	Fish ID	Collection date	Body weight (g)	Body length (mm)	Age (years)
White sucker	F	WS31	8/24/2005	775	405	4
White sucker	F	WS32	8/24/2005	1,315	521	17
White sucker	F	WS34	8/24/2005	1,065	470	6
White sucker	F	WS34	8/24/2005	1,670	551	15
White sucker	F	WS37	8/24/2005	1,165	475	5
White sucker	M	WS16	8/24/2005	1,060	481	15
White sucker	M	WS20	8/24/2005	1,130	474	10
White sucker	M	WS22	8/24/2005	835	445	13
White sucker	M	WS23	8/24/2005	1,040	468	17
White sucker	M	WS27	8/24/2005	810	431	5
White sucker	M	WS28	8/24/2005	845	422	5
White sucker	M	WS33	8/24/2005	825	437	5
White sucker	M	WS35	8/24/2005	985	455	10
White sucker	M	WS38	8/24/2005	975	473	14
White sucker	M	WS39	8/24/2005	770	408	7
White sucker	M	WS40	8/24/2005	895	443	6

Table 6. Location and number of fish with lesions, anomalies, and parasites observed during the field health assessment in common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers from the Charles River (August, 2005). (n, total number of fish examined)

Species	n	Location and number of lesions, anomalies, and parasites on fish									
		Body	Eyes	Opercles	Gills	Fins	Liver	Gonads	Spleen	Kidney	Peritoneal cavity
Common carp	20	0	0	0	0	7	15	2	0	0	0
Largemouth bass	20	1	0	0	4	14	7	3	2	2	9
White sucker	40	4	1	3	3	10	7	2	1	3	2

Table 7. Types of lesions and anomalies and their frequency of detection in common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers from the Charles River (August, 2005). The body surface, eyes, opercula, gills, fins, liver, gonad, spleen, and kidney of each fish were examined during the health assessment for the presence of lesions, parasites, and anomalies.

Lesions and anomalies	Frequency of detection		
	Common carp	Largemouth bass	White sucker
Body surface (skin)			
Lesions	0	1	1
Focal discoloration	0	0	1
Scale anomalies	0	0	2
Eyes			
Exophthalmia	0	0	1
Opercula			
Slight shortening	0	0	2
Severe shortening	0	0	1
Gills			
Frayed, ragged appearance	0	0	1
Pale, very light color	0	1	2
Parasites	0	3	1
Fins			
Frayed	5	12	4
Mild erosion	0	4	1
Sever erosion	1	1	1
Deformed/damaged rays	5	1	3
Nodules	0	0	1
Liver			
“Fatty liver”	13	0	7
Focal discoloration	0	5	0
Nodules	0	2	0
Parasites	2	3	1
Gonads			
Asymmetric	1	0	0
Egg adhesions	1	0	0
Parasites	0	3	2
Spleen			
Granular	0	1	0
Nodular	0	1	0
Enlarged	0	0	1
Kidney			
Swollen	0	0	2
Mottled	0	1	0
Granular	0	1	1
Peritoneal cavity			
Mesenteric nodules\cysts	0	2	0
Parasites	0	9	2

Table 8. Organosomatic indices, condition factor, and health assessment index (HAI) for common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (ND, not determined)

Species	Fish ID	Organosomatic indices ¹			Condition factor	HAI ²
		Hepatosomatic index (HSI)	Gonadosomatic index (GSI)	Splenosomatic index (SSI)		
Common carp	CC01	ND	4.57	0.24	1.43	0
Common carp	CC02	ND	7.17	.21	1.50	30
Common carp	CC03	ND	3.53	.17	1.52	10
Common carp	CC04	ND	3.35	.15	1.48	10
Common carp	CC05	ND	3.82	.16	1.54	0
Common carp	CC06	ND	7.09	.14	1.42	30
Common carp	CC07	ND	6.45	.15	1.43	30
Common carp	CC08	ND	3.47	.21	1.57	30
Common carp	CC09	ND	14.14	.15	2.22	40
Common carp	CC10	ND	5.10	.14	1.55	30
Common carp	CC11	ND	7.19	.17	1.35	70
Common carp	CC12	ND	6.69	.25	1.46	30
Common carp	CC13	ND	5.00	.15	1.63	30
Common carp	CC14	ND	3.62	.11	1.55	30
Common carp	CC15	ND	4.71	.18	1.63	40
Common carp	CC16	ND	7.07	.18	1.82	30
Common carp	CC17	ND	4.71	.12	1.25	30
Common carp	CC18	ND	4.33	.14	1.42	10
Common carp	CC19	ND	12.37	.09	1.61	30
Common carp	CC20	ND	4.79	.17	1.52	30
Largemouth bass	LMB01	0.57	.70	.13	1.69	10
Largemouth bass	LMB02	0.86	.75	.06	1.48	0
Largemouth bass	LMB03	0.70	.85	.08	1.38	30
Largemouth bass	LMB04	0.69	.13	.20	1.34	10
Largemouth bass	LMB05	0.80	.11	.21	1.42	100

Table 8. Organosomatic indices, condition factor, and health assessment index (HAI) for common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (ND, not determined)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Organosomatic indices ¹			Condition factor	HAI ²
		Hepatosomatic index (HSI)	Gonadosomatic index (GSI)	Splenosomatic index (SSI)		
Largemouth bass	LMB06	0.71	.07	.07	1.41	0
Largemouth bass	LMB07	0.91	.50	.30	1.33	0
Largemouth bass	LMB08	.76	.07	.06	1.43	0
Largemouth bass	LMB09	.66	.10	.08	1.39	10
Largemouth bass	LMB10	.81	.15	.08	1.64	10
Largemouth bass	LMB11	1.04	.52	.11	1.39	0
Largemouth bass	LMB12	.74	.77	.09	1.59	10
Largemouth bass	LMB13	.79	.06	.08	1.36	10
Largemouth bass	LMB14	.84	.60	.10	1.58	10
Largemouth bass	LMB15	1.38	.17	.11	1.24	40
Largemouth bass	LMB16	.64	.65	.08	1.46	40
Largemouth bass	LMB17	.77	.06	.13	1.49	10
Largemouth bass	LMB18	.76	.04	.27	1.27	0
Largemouth bass	LMB19	.61	.08	.11	1.42	10
Largemouth bass	LMB20	.85	.04	.05	1.42	20
White sucker	WS01	ND	2.45	.17	1.00	0
White sucker	WS02	ND	1.54	.07	1.05	100
White sucker	WS03	ND	1.70	.09	1.04	30
White sucker	WS04	ND	2.07	.23	.96	60
White sucker	WS05	ND	.35	.21	.99	10
White sucker	WS06	ND	1.63	.08	1.00	10
White sucker	WS07	ND	2.01	.15	.96	60
White sucker	WS08	ND	2.42	.16	1.03	30
White sucker	WS09	ND	2.22	.20	1.02	0
White sucker	WS10	ND	2.42	.14	1.18	100
White sucker	WS11	ND	3.55	.15	.96	0

Table 8. Organosomatic indices, condition factor, and health assessment index (HAI) for common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (ND, not determined)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Organosomatic indices ¹			Condition factor	HAI ²
		Hepatosomatic index (HSI)	Gonadosomatic index (GSI)	Splenosomatic index (SSI)		
White sucker	WS12	ND	2.33	.07	1.15	30
White sucker	WS13	ND	2.66	.19	.95	0
White sucker	WS14	ND	3.54	.18	.99	30
White sucker	WS15	ND	2.92	.29	1.05	0
White sucker	WS16	ND	.71	.19	.95	10
White sucker	WS17	ND	2.68	.23	1.09	0
White sucker	WS18	ND	2.80	.24	1.00	0
White sucker	WS19	ND	2.88	.12	.91	30
White sucker	WS20	ND	1.74	.14	1.06	0
White sucker	WS21	ND	2.24	.13	1.05	0
White sucker	WS22	ND	.76	.20	.95	0
White sucker	WS23	ND	1.54	.26	1.01	10
White sucker	WS24	ND	2.20	.22	.97	40
White sucker	WS25	ND	2.74	.26	.84	0
White sucker	WS26	ND	2.63	.17	1.11	0
White sucker	WS27	ND	1.58	.23	1.01	0
White sucker	WS28	ND	.64	.23	1.12	10
White sucker	WS29	ND	2.38	.24	1.04	0
White sucker	WS30	ND	1.92	.23	1.06	0
White sucker	WS31	ND	2.51	.17	1.17	10
White sucker	WS32	ND	3.16	.12	.93	30
White sucker	WS33	ND	1.16	.27	.99	0
White sucker	WS34	ND	1.58	.13	1.03	0
White sucker	WS35	ND	2.07	.29	1.05	0
White sucker	WS36	ND	3.70	.15	1.00	0
White sucker	WS37	ND	1.60	.18	1.09	10

Table 8. Organosomatic indices, condition factor, and health assessment index (HAI) for common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (ND, not determined)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Organosomatic indices ¹			Condition factor	HAI ²
		Hepatosomatic index (HSI)	Gonadosomatic index (GSI)	Splenosomatic index (SSI)		
White sucker	WS38	ND	2.21	.18	.92	10
White sucker	WS39	ND	.49	.11	1.13	0
White sucker	WS40	ND	1.18	.22	1.03	30

¹The Organosomatic index scores were calculated by dividing an organ's weight by the fish's body weight. Somatic indices were calculated for the liver (HSI), gonads (GSI), and spleen (SSI). HSI was only calculated only for fish species (largemouth bass) that have a discrete liver.

²Numerical values were assigned to internal and external observations of anomalies and lesions recorded in the field, and a necropsy-based fish HAI score was calculated for each fish by summing these values for all organs.

Table 9. Organochlorine pesticide (OCP) and total polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) analyte concentrations from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005). (ND, not determined; ng/SPMD, nanograms per SPMD; pg/L, picograms per liter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits; MDL, method detection limit; MQL, method quantitation limit)

Analyte ¹	MDL ² (ng/SPMD)	MQL ³ (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #1 (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #2 (ng/SPMD)	Mean (ng/SPMD)	Mean aqueous concentration (pg/L)
Trifluralin	0.05	0.25	0.42	0.35	0.39	ND
Hexachlorobenzene	.18	.47	13.00	14.00	14.00	96
Pentachloroanisole	.20	1.00	58.00	72.00	65.00	240
α -BHC	3.20	9.50	<9.50	<9.50	<9.50	ND
Diazinon	5.80	17.00	<5.80	25.00	<17.00	ND
Lindane	3.50	6.60	<3.50	<3.50	<3.50	ND
β -BHC	.20	1.00	1.30	1.80	1.50	46
Heptachlor	.20	1.00	<.20	<.20	<.20	ND
δ -BHC	5.90	16.00	<5.90	<5.90	<5.90	ND
Dacthal	.30	.89	24.00	26.00	25.00	650
Chlorpyrifos	.23	.67	<.23	<.23	<.23	ND
Oxychlordane	.89	4.30	20.00	23.00	21.00	150
Heptachlor epoxide	.46	1.10	26.00	28.00	27.00	270
<i>trans</i> -Chlordane	1.20	2.50	87.00	98.00	93.00	540
<i>trans</i> -Nonachlor	.20	1.00	56.00	63.00	59.00	360
<i>o,p'</i> -DDE	.20	1.00	<.20	<.20	<.20	ND
<i>cis</i> -Chlordane	1.20	3.00	150.00	170.00	160.00	880
Endosulfan	.20	1.00	<.20	<.20	<.20	ND
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	5.10	9.20	52.00	62.00	57.00	210
Dieldrin	3.40	9.10	62.00	66.00	64.00	380
<i>o,p'</i> -DDD	6.50	17.00	32.00	37.00	34.00	230
Endrin	3.30	8.90	<3.30	<3.30	<3.30	ND
<i>cis</i> -Nonachlor	2.30	6.40	23.00	25.00	24.00	180
<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	.20	1.00	12.00	14.00	13.00	150

Table 9. Organochlorine pesticide (OCP) and total polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) analyte concentrations from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005). (ND, not determined; ng/SPMD, nanograms per SPMD; pg/L, picograms per liter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits; MDL, method detection limit; MQL, method quantitation limit)
—Continued

Analyte ¹	MDL ² (ng/SPMD)	MQL ³ (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #1 (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #2 (ng/SPMD)	Mean (ng/SPMD)	Mean aqueous concentration (pg/L)
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	5.60	15.00	70.00	79.00	74.00	440
Endosulfan-II	1.20	2.10	43.00	48.00	46.00	ND
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	1.40	1.90	51.00	59.00	55.00	520
Endosulfan Sulfate	.89	2.60	8.70	4.80	6.80	ND
Methoxychlor	1.80	4.90	9.50	5.70	7.60	140
Mirex	.20	1.00	<.20	<.20	<.20	ND
<i>cis</i> -Permethrin	5.30	15.00	<5.30	<5.30	<5.30	ND
<i>trans</i> -Permethrin	340.00	930.00	<340.00	<340.00	<340.00	ND
Total PCBs	17.00	43.00	380.00	440.00	410.00	4,400

¹OCPs and total PCBs determined by dual-column, high-resolution capillary gas chromatography with electron-capture detector. Total PCB aqueous concentration was estimated based on a water temperature of 18°C due to the lack of replicate data at 26°C. The actual concentration may have been somewhat greater due to increased sampling at increased temperatures. Pyrene-*d*₁₀ ($k_{\text{epc}} = 0.014 \text{ d}^{-1}$) was used for the replicate adjustments.

²MDL is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus three standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

³MQL is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus ten standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

Table 10. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) analyte concentrations from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMDs) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005). (ND, not determined; ng/SPMD, nanograms per SPMD; ng/L, nanograms per liter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits; MDL, method detection limit; MQL, method quantitation limit)

Analyte ¹	MDL ² (ng/SPMD)	MQL ³ (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #1 (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #2 (ng/SPMD)	Mean (ng/SPMD)	Mean aqueous concentration (ng/L)
Naphthalene	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND
Acenaphthylene	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND
Acenaphthene	4	20	90	150	120	1.40
Fluorene	4	20	100	150	130	.90
Phenanthrene	26	76	1,300	1,500	1,400	11.00
Anthracene	4	20	230	200	220	1.10
Fluoranthene	26	76	8,800	9,800	9,300	67.00
Pyrene	26	76	5,700	6,200	6,000	39.00
Benz[a]anthracene	4	20	690	800	750	7.00
Chrysene	4	20	3,500	3,700	3,600	25.00
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	4	20	870	1,000	940	14.00
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	4	20	680	820	750	6.30
Benzo[a]pyrene	4	20	260	290	280	2.60
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	26	76	170	180	180	1.90
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	26	76	<76	<76	<76	ND
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	26	76	170	180	180	3.60
Benzo[b]thiophene	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND
2-Methylnaphthalene	4	20	<4	<20	<20	ND
1-Methylnaphthalene	4	20	<4	<20	<20	ND
Biphenyl	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND
1-Ethylnaphthalene	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND
1,2-Dimethylnaphthalene	240	680	<240	<240	<240	ND
4-Methylbiphenyl	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND

Table 10. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) analyte concentrations from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMDs) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005). (ND, not determined; ng/SPMD, nanograms per SPMD; ng/L, nanograms per liter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits; MDL, method detection limit; MQL, method quantitation limit)—Continued

Analyte ¹	MDL ² (ng/SPMD)	MQL ³ (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #1 (ng/SPMD)	Replicate #2 (ng/SPMD)	Mean (ng/SPMD)	Mean aqueous concentration (ng/L)
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	4	20	70	70	70	.62
1-Methylfluorene	26	76	90	110	100	.93
Dibenzothiophene	4	20	50	70	60	.49
2-Methylphenanthrene	4	20	360	410	390	3.50
9-Methylanthracene	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND
3,6-Dimethylphenanthrene	4	20	100	140	120	1.40
2-Methylfluoranthene	4	20	320	350	340	4.10
Benzo[b]naphtha-[2,1-d]thiophene	4	20	440	460	450	ND
Benzo[e]pyrene	4	20	700	710	710	19.00
Perylene	4	20	70	90	80	ND
3-Methylcholanthrene	4	20	<4	<4	<4	ND

¹Determined by dual-column, high-resolution, capillary gas chromatography with mass selective detector (GC-MSD).

²MDL is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus three standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

³MQL is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus ten standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

Table 11. Pesticide and hormone concentrations from polar organic chemical integrative samplers (POCIS) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005). (ND, not determined; ng/POCIS, nanograms per POCIS; ng/L, nanograms per liter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits; MDL, method detection limit; MQL, method quantitation limit)

Analyte ¹	MDL ² (ng/POCIS)	MQL ³ (ng/POCIS)	Replicate #1 (ng/POCIS)	Replicate #2 (ng/POCIS)	Mean (ng/POCIS)	Mean aqueous concentration (ng/L, n=2)
<i>Pesticides</i>						
Atrazine	1	3	<1	<1	<1	ND
Ametryn	15	20	<15	<15	<15	ND
Atraton	33	57	<33	<33	<33	ND
Metribuzin	12	17	<12	<12	<12	ND
Prometon	23	47	<23	<23	<23	ND
Prometryn	19	23	<19	<19	<19	ND
Propazine	5	10	<5	<10	<10	ND
Simazine	3	17	<17	<17	<17	ND
Simetryn	15	20	<15	<15	<15	ND
Terbuthylazine	9	13	<13	<13	<13	ND
Terbutryne	22	27	<22	<22	<22	ND
Desethylatrazine	3	17	<3	<3	<3	ND
Desisopropylatrazine	7	33	<7	<7	<7	ND
Acetochlor	1	3	<1	<1	<1	ND
Alachlor	12	17	<12	<12	<12	ND
Metolachlor	15	20	<15	<20	<20	ND
Chlorpyrifos	1	3	13	13	13	ND
Diazinon	12	17	<12	<12	<12	ND
Fonofos	12	17	<12	<12	<12	ND
Malathion	3	17	<3	<3	<3	ND
Methyl Parathion	1	3	<1	<1	<1	ND
Dacthal	5	10	<5	<5	<5	ND
EPTC	1	3	<1	<1	<1	ND
Fipronil	25	30	<25	<25	<25	ND
Pendimethalin	1	3	47	47	47	5.5
Trifluralin	1	3	30	30	30	ND
<i>Hormones- HPLC Method</i>						
17β-Estradiol	13	67	<13	<13	<13	ND
17α-Ethynylestradiol	13	67	<13	<13	<13	ND
Estriol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table 11. Pesticide and hormone concentrations from polar organic chemical integrative samplers (POCIS) collected from the Charles River after 33 days of deployment (August, 2005). (ND, not determined; ng/POCIS, nanograms per POCIS; ng/L, nanograms per liter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits; MDL, method detection limit; MQL, method quantitation limit)—Continued

Analyte ¹	MDL ² (ng/POCIS)	MQL ³ (ng/POCIS)	Replicate #1 (ng/POCIS)	Replicate #2 (ng/POCIS)	Mean (ng/POCIS)	Mean aqueous concentration (ng/L, n=2)
Estrone	13	67	<13	<13	<13	ND
<i>Hormones- GC-MSD Method</i>						
17β-Estradiol	13	37	<13	<13	<13	ND
17α-Ethynylestradiol	13	37	<13	<13	<13	ND
Estriol	10	33	<10	<10	<10	ND
Estrone	13	37	<13	<13	<13	ND

¹Pesticides determined by dual-column, high-resolution, capillary gas chromatography with mass selective detector (GC-MSD). Hormones determined by GC-MSD and liquid chromatograph with diode array detector (HPLC).

²MDL is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus three standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

³MQL is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus ten standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

Table 12. Analytes associated with total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), and polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) concentrations (ng/g dry weight) from three sediment samples collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (ND, not determined; ng/g, nanograms per gram; <, concentrations below the reporting limits)

Analytes ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3
Total PCBs	68.00	74.00	110.00	3,400.00	5,000.00
Pentachloro-benzene	.20	.29	<.20	.56	.92
Hexachloro-benzene	.18	.31	.53	.76	1.60
Pentachloro-anisole	.17	.25	.24	.64	.97
α -BHC(a-HCH)	.11	.15	<.11	<.11	<.11
β -BHC(b-HCH)	.16	.23	1.70	14.00	8.10
Lindane(g-HCH)	.11	.16	.13	1.80	1.00
δ -BHC(d-HCH)	.11	.19	<.11	<.11	<.11
Heptachlor	.14	.19	<.14	<.14	<.14
Heptachlor epoxide	.14	.16	<.14	<.14	<.14
Aldrin	.11	.12	<.11	<.11	<.11
Dacthal	.21	.37	<.21	<.21	<.21
Dieldrin	.13	.17	3.10	11.00	3.10
Endrin	.14	.19	1.90	5.70	4.10
Oxychlordane	.14	.14	<.14	<.14	<.14
<i>cis</i> -Chlordane	.17	.26	10.00	97.00	34.00
<i>trans</i> -Chlordane	.31	.64	11.00	122.00	54.00
<i>cis</i> -Nonachlor	.14	.19	2.10	18.00	11.00
<i>trans</i> -Nonachlor	.15	.20	7.30	46.00	18.00
<i>o,p'</i> -DDE	.14	.18	<.14	20.00	10.00
<i>o,p'</i> -DDD	.24	.48	13.00	233.00	79.00
<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	.19	.36	<.19	<.19	<.19
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	.13	.18	9.10	240.00	130.00
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	.12	.19	22.00	1,044.00	330.00
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	.25	.51	8.10	30.00	94.00
Endosulfan I	.16	.25	<.16	<.16	<.16
Endosulfan II	.16	.25	<.16	<.16	<.16
Endosulfate	.14	.20	<.14	<.14	<.14
Methoxychlor	.16	.21	<.16	<.16	<.16
Mirex	.21	.30	<.21	1.90	.64
Toxaphene	12.00	28.00	84.00	227.00	98.00
PBDE-28	2.10	ND	<2.10	<2.10	<2.10
PBDE-47	2.70	ND	<2.70	<2.70	<2.70
PBDE-85	3.20	ND	<3.20	<3.20	<3.20
PBDE-66	3.40	ND	<3.40	<3.40	<3.40
PBDE-99	4.60	ND	<4.60	<4.60	1.60
PBDE-100	5.50	ND	<5.50	<5.50	<5.50
PBDE-153	3.90	ND	<3.90	<3.90	<3.90
PBDE-154	5.70	ND	<5.70	<5.70	<5.70
PBDE-183	4.10	ND	<4.10	<4.10	<4.10

¹PCBs, OCPs, and PBDEs determined by dual-column, high-resolution capillary gas chromatography with electron-capture detector.

²Method detection limit (MDL) is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus three standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

³Method quantitation limit (MQL) is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus ten standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

Table 13. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) analyte concentrations (ng/g dry weight) from three sediment samples collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Priority PAH compounds are presented in bold text (Eisler, 1987). Sample 1 was divided prior to sample analysis into thirds (1A, 1B, and 1C) because of the non-homogenous nature (stone material composition) of the sediment sample. (ng/g, nanograms per gram; <, concentrations below the reporting limits)

Analyte ¹	Field blank ² (2.5 g EQ)	Sample 1A (0.05 g EQ)	Sample 1B (0.05 g EQ)	Sample 1C (0.05 g EQ)	Sample 2 (0.05 g EQ)	Sample 3 (0.05 g EQ)
Naphthalene	5	340	130	1,200	1,700	970
2-Methyl_Naphthalene	13	130	78	360	1,100	1,100
1-Methyl_Naphthalene	7	63	55	240	650	760
Acenaphthylene	< 1	110	41	170	180	160
Acenaphthene	< 1	170	72	1,200	1,200	2,900
Fluorene	1	440	130	1,100	1,600	2,900
Dibenzothiophene	< 1	330	96	680	1,200	1,500
Phenanthrene	6	4,300	1,500	6,900	6,400	9,300
Anthracene	< 1	2,250	220	2,400	3,300	4,400
2-Methyl_Anthracene	< 1	770	70	490	1,100	1,400
45-Methylene_Phenanthrene	< 1	1,310	300	1,900	3,200	4,100
1-Methyl_Phenanthrene	< 1	840	180	850	2,200	2,600
Fluoranthene	2	5,400	2,600	8,300	8,400	11,000
Pyrene	3	5,700	3,000	8,000	9,100	12,500
Retene	< 1	29	27	30	530	860
1-Methyl_Pyrene	< 1	640	410	640	1,600	1,800
Chrysene	1	5,400	2,200	8,000	8,300	8,200
Benzo[b]naphtho[21-d]thiophene	< 1	1,120	390	1,800	2,000	2,700
Benz[a]anthracene	< 1	5,900	2,100	7,900	8,500	10,600
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	< 1	7,500	3,300	12,100	13,800	16,900
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	< 1	3,200	1,300	4,200	4,100	7,600
Benzo[e]pyrene	1	4,600	2,000	7,100	7,800	8,600
Benzo[a]pyrene	< 1	8,500	4,000	12,200	15,400	19,400
Perylene	< 1	2,020	860	3,400	2,800	3,200
Indeno[123-cd]pyrene	< 1	4,800	1,900	8,100	9,000	11,200
Dibenz[ah]anthracene	< 1	1,240	480	2,000	1,700	2,300
Benzo[ghi]perylene	< 1	5,800	2,500	10,200	12,100	16,000

¹Determined by dual-column, high-resolution capillary gas chromatography with mass selective detector (GC-MSD).

²Equivalents (EQ) derived from a split and diluted sample mass.

Table 14. Measured concentrations (ng/mL) of elements in filtered sediment pore water from the Charles River (August, 2005). Priority pollutants are presented in bold. Method detection limits (MDL) and method quantitation limits (MQL) are provided for elements determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy ICP–MS quantitative scan. All other elements determined by ICP–MS semi-quantitative scan. (NA, not applicable, ng/mL, nanogram per milliliter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits)

Elements	MDL ¹	MQL ²	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3
Li	NA	NA	2	0.9	2
Be	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Na	NA	NA	80	60	90
Mg	NA	NA	10	30	20
Al	NA	NA	20	100	100
K	NA	NA	5	4	5
Ca	NA	NA	60	70	40
Ti	NA	NA	3	8	7
V	NA	NA	.6	4	.9
Cr	NA	NA	200	8	400
Mn	NA	NA	7,000	700	1,000
Fe	NA	NA	200	20	1,000
Co	NA	NA	3	.3	.3
Ni	.35	1.16	1.44	1.52	1.59
Cu	1.90	6.27	< 1.9	< 6.27	< 6.27
Zn	25.00	82.50	< 25.00	< 25.00	< 25.00
Ga	NA	NA	1	.3	.3
As	NA	NA	3	7	3
Se	NA	NA	1	< 1	3
Ge	NA	NA	< .1	.7	.1
Rb	NA	NA	5	2	5
Sr	NA	NA	400	400	300
Y	NA	NA	< .1	.1	.1
Zr	NA	NA	.6	.4	.7
Nb	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Mo	NA	NA	3	3	1
Ru	NA	NA	.2	< .1	< .1
Pd	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Ag	NA	NA	< .1	.1	.8
Cd	.08	.26	.2	.7	.2
In	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Sn	NA	NA	.2	1	.8
Sb	NA	NA	.2	7	1
Te	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Cs	NA	NA	.2	< .1	.1
Ba	NA	NA	200	100	50
La	NA	NA	< .1	.1	.1
Ce	NA	NA	< .1	.2	.2
Pr	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Nd	NA	NA	< .1	.1	.1
Sm	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Eu	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Gd	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Tb	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Dy	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1

Table 14. Measured concentrations (ng/mL) of elements in filtered sediment pore water from the Charles River (August, 2005). Priority pollutants are presented in bold. Method detection limits (MDL) and method quantitation limits (MQL) are provided for elements determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy ICP–MS quantitative scan. All other elements determined by ICP–MS semi-quantitative scan. (NA, not applicable, ng/mL, nanogram per milliliter; <, concentrations below the reporting limits)
—Continued

Elements	MDL ¹	MQL ²	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3
Er	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Tm	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Yb	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Lu	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Hf	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Ta	NA	NA	< .1	.1	< .1
W	NA	NA	.2	1	.4
Re	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Os	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Ir	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Pt	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Au	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Tl	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
Pb	.18	.59	.6	10	8
Bi	NA	NA	< .1	< .1	< .1
U	NA	NA	2	.6	.2

¹MDL, computed as $3 \times (SD_b^2 + SD_{st}^2)^{1/2}$ where SD_b = standard deviation of a reagent blank diluted $10 \times (n = 3)$ and SD_{st} = standard deviation of a low level standard diluted $100 \times (n = 3)$.

²MQL, computed as $3.3 \times$ the MDL.

Table 15. Measured concentrations (µg/g dry weight) of total recoverable elements in Charles River sediments collected August, 2005. Priority pollutants are presented in bold. Method detection limits (MDL) and method quantitation limits (MQL) are provided for elements determined by quantitative methods. (NA, not applicable; µg/g, microgram per gram; <, concentrations below the reporting limits)

Elements ¹	MDL ²	MQL ³	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3
Li	NA	NA	10	20	20
Be	NA	NA	.60	1	.80
Na	NA	NA	300	500	700
Mg	NA	NA	4,000	6,000	6,000
Al	NA	NA	9,000	15,000	16,000
K	NA	NA	1,000	3,000	3,000
Ca	NA	NA	4,000	7,000	7,000
Ti	NA	NA	400	400	600
V	NA	NA	30	70	80
Cr	NA	NA	30	100	100
Mn	NA	NA	400	300	400
Fe	NA	NA	12,000	15,000	17,000
Co	NA	NA	6	10	10
Ni	NA	NA	10	40	50
Cu	NA	NA	30	200	300
Zn	NA	NA	100	500	500
Ga	NA	NA	7	10	10
As	.034	.11	3.29	9.29	11.8
Se	.036	.12	.13	.97	.93
Ge	NA	NA	.20	.50	.40
Rb	NA	NA	7	20	20
Sr	NA	NA	30	70	70
Y	NA	NA	8	10	10
Zr	NA	NA	4	10	10
Nb	NA	NA	< .04	< .04	< .04
Mo	NA	NA	.70	1	2
Ru	NA	NA	< .04	< .04	< .04
Pd	NA	NA	.10	< .04	< .04
Ag	NA	NA	.09	5	20
Cd	NA	NA	.30	20	10
In	NA	NA	< .04	.70	.40
Sn	NA	NA	5	30	20
Sb	NA	NA	.20	.06	< .04
Te	NA	NA	< .04	.30	< .04
Cs	NA	NA	.50	1	1
Ba	NA	NA	50	200	200
La	NA	NA	7	20	20
Ce	NA	NA	20	30	40
Pr	NA	NA	2	5	6
Nd	NA	NA	8	20	20
Sm	NA	NA	2	3	4
Eu	NA	NA	.40	.80	.80

Table 15. Measured concentrations (µg/g dry weight) of total recoverable elements in Charles River sediments collected August, 2005. Priority pollutants are presented in bold. Method detection limits (MDL) and method quantitation limits (MQL) are provided for elements determined by quantitative methods. (NA, not applicable; µg/g, microgram per gram; <, concentrations below the reporting limits)—Continued

Elements ¹	MDL ²	MQL ³	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3
Gd	NA	NA	2	4	4
Tb	NA	NA	.30	.50	.50
Dy	NA	NA	2	3	3
Ho	NA	NA	.30	.50	.50
Er	NA	NA	.80	1	1
Tm	NA	NA	.20	.20	.20
Yb	NA	NA	.70	1	1
Lu	NA	NA	.09	.20	.20
Hf	NA	NA	.10	.30	.30
Ta	NA	NA	< .04	< .04	< .04
W	NA	NA	< .04	.60	< .04
Re	NA	NA	< .04	< .04	< .04
Os	NA	NA	< .04	< .04	< .04
Ir	NA	NA	< .04	< .04	< .04
Pt	NA	NA	< .04	< .04	< .04
Au	NA	NA	< .04	.06	.06
Tl	NA	NA	.10	.30	.30
Pb	NA	NA	60	600	500
Hg	.007	.022	.042	.94	.94
Bi	NA	NA	.10	.80	.80
U	NA	NA	.80	2	2

¹As and Se determined by flow injection hydride generation atomic absorption spectroscopy. Hg determined by combustion-gold amalgamation atomic absorption spectroscopy. All other elements determined by ICP-MS semi-quantitative scan.

²MDL, computed as $3 \times (SD_b^2 + SD_s^2)^{1/2}$ where SD_b = standard deviation of a blank and SD_s = standard deviation of a low level sample or spiked sample ($n = 3$).

³MQL (ng/mL), computed as $3.3 \times$ the MDL.

Table 16. Concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and percent lipids in composite samples of whole fish collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (*n*, number of fish in composite sample; NA, not applicable; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, concentrations below the reporting limits)

Analytes ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	Female Common carp (<i>n</i> = 10)	Male common carp (<i>n</i> = 10)	Female largemouth bass (<i>n</i> = 8)	Male largemouth bass (<i>n</i> = 12)
Percent lipid	NA	NA	8.14	9.11	2.79	1.68
Pentachloro-benzene	.19	.31	1.31	1.51	.57	.38
Hexachloro-benzene	.17	.23	3.47	4.99	1.16	.84
Pentachloro-anisole	.16	.25	4.56	7.27	1.14	.96
α-BHC	.12	.21	4.6	.33	.14	< .12
β-BHC	.17	.33	.94	< .17	< .17	< .17
Lindane	.11	.15	< .11	.64	< .11	< .11
δ-BHC	.12	.23	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12
Heptachlor	.12	.15	.20	.22	< .12	< .12
Heptachlor epoxide	.14	.20	4.87	6.04	3.09	1.97
Aldrin	.41	1.00	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41
Dacthal	.18	.32	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18
Dieldrin	.17	.38	14.03	16.38	9.01	6.03
Endrin	.29	.71	< .29	< .29	.82	< .29
Oxychlordane	.14	.18	7.72	9.52	10.90	9.75
<i>cis</i> -Chlordane	.36	.99	75.67	88.46	29.44	19.50
<i>trans</i> -Chlordane	.30	.83	45.42	50.12	11.57	7.98
<i>cis</i> -Nonachlor	.17	.49	25.80	30.68	22.33	23.73
<i>trans</i> -Nonachlor	.37	.96	57.18	71.45	51.57	53.76
<i>o,p'</i> -DDE	.87	1.60	3.52	5.36	2.37	1.55
<i>o,p'</i> -DDD	.25	.71	25.02	26.57	11.49	6.49
<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	.13	.36	7.16	6.98	4.60	3.25
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	6.30	15.00	230.00	310.00	180.00	230.00
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	1.10	3.00	160.00	200.00	92.87	72.95
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	.12	.37	5.17	6.97	15.28	16.92
Endosulfan I	.22	.66	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22
Endosulfan II	.45	1.30	.62	< .45	< .45	< .45
Endosulfate	.28	.83	.35	.34	< .28	< .28
Methoxychlor	.56	1.50	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56
Mirex	.15	.17	1.29	1.98	1.24	1.42
Toxaphene	19.00	52.00	54.56	99.94	40.01	26.18
Total PCBs	28.00	59.00	2,166.67	2,500.00	1,800.00	2,100.00

¹Total PCBs and OCPs determined by dual-column, high-resolution, capillary gas chromatography with electron-capture detector.

²Method detection limit (MDL) is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus three standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

³Method quantitation limit (MQL) is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus ten standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

Table 17. Concentrations of inorganic contaminants (µg/g dry weight) in composite samples of whole fish collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). *n*, number of fish in composite; µg/g, microgram per gram; <, concentrations below the detection limit)

Elements ¹	Female common carp (<i>n</i> = 10)	Male common carp (<i>n</i> = 10)	Female largemouth bass (<i>n</i> = 8)	Male largemouth Bass (<i>n</i> = 12)	Female white sucker (<i>n</i> = 29)	Male white sucker (<i>n</i> = 11)
Li	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.10
Be	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Na	3,000	3,000	5,000	5,000	4,000	4,000
Mg	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	1,000	2,000
Al	30	10	10	30	50	50
K	9,000	9,000	11,000	12,000	10,000	10,000
Ca	22,000	15,000	43,000	38,000	28,000	48,000
Ti	20	20	40	40	30	40
V	.20	.20	.08	.10	.80	.40
Cr	1	1	2	5	2	3
Mn	10	10	8	20	30	40
Fe	90	80	50	70	300	100
Co	.10	.10	.06	.10	.10	.10
Ni	.40	< .40	1	2	.90	2
Cu	5	4	3	2	2	2
Zn	200	200	50	60	70	90
Ga	.20	.20	.20	.20	.20	.30
As ²	.41	.42	.40	.12	.29	.21
Se ²	1.64	1.48	1.74	1.42	1.34	1.44
Hg ²	.20	.26	1.18	.93	.46	.45
Ge	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Rb	6	6	10	10	7	7
Sr	60	40	80	70	50	70
Y	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	.05	.06
Zr	.07	< .04	.20	< .04	.04	< .04
Nb	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Mo	.06	.1	.07	.08	.07	.1
Ru	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Pd	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Ag	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Cd	.1	.2	< .04	.05	.1	.07
In	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Sn	5	10	1	.10	.20	.20
Sb	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Te	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Cs	< .04	< .04	.10	.10	.07	.06
Ba	5	4	3	4	4	5
La	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	.06	.05
Ce	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	.10	.09
Pr	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Nd	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	.05	.04
Sm	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Eu	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Gd	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Tb	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Dy	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04

Table 17. Concentrations of inorganic contaminants (µg/g dry weight) in composite samples of whole fish collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). *n*, number of fish in composite; µg/g, microgram per gram; <, concentrations below the detection limit)—Continued

Elements ¹	Female common carp (<i>n</i> = 10)	Male common carp (<i>n</i> = 10)	Female largemouth bass (<i>n</i> = 8)	Male largemouth Bass (<i>n</i> = 12)	Female white sucker (<i>n</i> = 29)	Male white sucker (<i>n</i> = 11)
Ho	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Er	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Tm	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Yb	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Lu	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Hf	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Ta	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
W	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Re	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Os	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Ir	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Pt	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Au	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Tl	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
Pb	2	2	.30	.60	20	3
Bi	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04
U	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04	< .04

¹As and Se determined by flow injection hydride generation atomic absorption spectroscopy. Hg determined by combustion-gold amalgamation atomic absorption spectroscopy. All other elements determined by ICP–MS semi-quantitative scan.

²Method detection limit (MDL) for As and Se is 0.029 and 0.005 for Hg. Method quantitation limit (MQL) is 0.1 for As and Se and 0.02 for Hg. MDL computed as $3 \times (SD_b^2 + SD_s^2)^{1/2}$ where SD_b = standard deviation of a blank and SD_s = standard deviation of a low-level sample or spiked sample (*n* = 3). MQL is computed as $3.3 \times$ the MDL.

Table 18. Mercury concentrations ($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight) as determined from muscle tissue (plug) collection from largemouth bass collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (mm, millimeters; g, grams; Hg, mercury; $\mu\text{g/g}$, microgram per gram)

Fish ID	Gender	Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Age (years)	Hg muscle plug ¹
LMB01	F	457	1,610	14	3.42
LMB02	F	363	710	6	1.14
LMB03	F	462	1,360	10	4.36
LMB04	M	396	835	13	4.43
LMB05	M	347	595	4	1.00
LMB06	M	259	245	2	.46
LMB07	F	257	225	2	.52
LMB08	M	297	375	3	.41
LMB09	M	277	295	2	.59
LMB10	M	427	1,275	6	3.21
LMB11	F	322	465	3	.51
LMB12	F	432	1,285	9	2.48
LMB13	M	369	685	5	.92
LMB14	F	355	705	3	.57
LMB15	M	391	740	5	2.93
LMB16	F	415	1,040	5	1.41
LMB17	M	344	605	6	1.32
LMB18	M	293	320	4	.69
LMB19	M	334	530	2	.81
LMB20	M	315	445	4	.54

¹Hg determined by combustion-gold amalgamation atomic absorption spectroscopy. Method detection limit (MDL) for Hg is 0.005 ($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight). Method quantitation limit (MQL) is 0.02 ($\mu\text{g/g}$ dry weight). MDL computed as $3 \times (\text{SD}_b^2 + \text{SD}_s^2)^{1/2}$ where SD_b = standard deviation of a blank and SD_s = standard deviation of a low-level sample or spiked sample ($n = 3$). MQL is computed as $3.3 \times$ the MDL.

Table 19. Concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and percent lipids in individual whole white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (NA, not applicable; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, concentrations below the detection limit)

Contaminant ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	WS01	WS02	WS03	WS04	WS05	WS06	WS07	WS08	WS09	WS10
Percent lipid	NA	NA	9.57	5.27	12.10	7.00	10.85	2.95	4.94	3.65	6.25	8.50
Pentachloro-benzene	.19	.31	1.55	1.05	1.81	1.44	1.76	.68	1.09	1.06	1.18	1.39
Hexachloro-benzene	.17	.23	4.06	2.24	6.34	3.31	3.65	1.58	1.99	1.79	1.70	2.58
Pentachloro-anisole	.16	.25	9.61	5.14	10.04	8.77	7.96	4.35	4.93	3.74	3.71	6.67
α -BHC	.12	.21	.49	.31	.24	.47	.54	.27	.56	< .12	.13	.36
β -BHC	.17	.33	.88	.31	1.50	1.46	1.04	.35	.51	1.50	.19	.66
Lindane	.11	.15	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11
δ -BHC	.12	.23	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12
Heptachlor	.12	.15	.48	.24	.64	.51	.54	.29	.40	.31	.31	.54
Heptachlor-epoxide	.14	.20	6.20	4.09	7.40	6.49	9.04	3.64	5.12	3.88	5.56	6.23
Aldrin	.41	1.00	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41	.42	< .41	.47	< .41	< .41	< .41
Dacthal	.18	.32	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18
Dieldrin	.17	.38	170.00	14.35	210.00	27.18	43.03	11.58	20.92	11.85	20.51	20.44
Endrin	.29	.71	1.02	< .29	.91	.40	< .29	< .29	< .29	< .29	< .29	< .29
Oxychlordane	.14	.18	9.30	12.65	17.68	17.11	16.50	6.34	10.04	9.20	11.54	14.59
<i>cis</i> -Chlordane	.36	.99	68.98	73.46	96.90	96.78	102.49	36.51	69.08	43.50	65.77	72.70
<i>trans</i> -Chlordane	.30	.83	36.32	28.50	40.67	51.73	59.14	19.98	39.32	27.06	35.56	46.66
<i>cis</i> -Nonachlor	.17	.49	24.50	36.94	38.14	36.28	30.12	11.70	24.65	16.41	21.75	22.08
<i>trans</i> -Nonachlor	.37	.96	52.68	90.07	84.29	77.87	73.57	24.96	66.95	41.32	55.48	54.30
<i>o,p'</i> -DDE	.87	1.60	1.49	1.61	3.49	3.35	3.10	< .87	1.69	< .87	< .87	1.48
<i>o,p'</i> -DDD	.25	.71	17.19	15.51	24.75	16.16	21.94	6.01	15.06	7.91	8.83	14.28
<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	.13	.36	11.77	9.94	17.46	10.67	12.31	4.12	10.11	5.65	8.17	9.67
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	6.30	15.00	180.00	320.00	260.00	280.00	220.00	96.37	240.00	150.00	180.00	160.00
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	1.10	3.00	120.00	180.00	200.00	130.00	160.00	50.46	130.00	66.45	75.43	89.78
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	.12	.37	43.97	70.48	68.37	53.27	50.91	20.07	54.37	29.99	42.13	34.84
Endosulfan I	.22	.66	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22
Endosulfan II	.45	1.30	.68	1.33	1.47	1.58	.53	.73	.58	< .45	1.01	.61
Endosulfate	.28	.83	.53	< .28	.57	.33	.45	< .28	< .28	< .28	< .28	< .28

Table 19. Concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and percent lipids in individual whole white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (NA, not applicable; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, concentrations below the detection limit)—Continued

Contaminant ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	WS01	WS02	WS03	WS04	WS05	WS06	WS07	WS08	WS09	WS10	
Methoxychlor	.56	1.50	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	
Mirex	.15	.17	.87	1.38	1.52	1.29	.92	.55	1.27	.75	.90	.68	
Toxaphene	19.00	52.00	78.13	47.45	65.64	74.58	40.88	18.33	36.20	< 19	51.30	25.92	
Total PCBs	28.00	59.00	1,700.00	2,600.00	2,900.00	2,500.00	2,100.00	1,023.33	1,700.00	960.00	1,300.00	1,100.00	
Contaminant ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	WS11	WS12	WS13	WS14	WS15	WS16	WS17	WS18	WS19	WS20	WS21
Percent lipid	NA	NA	7.16	7.73	3.93	12.17	10.85	2.78	9.43	8.10	8.56	11.15	9.87
Pentachloro- benzene	.19	.31	1.73	1.36	.76	2.03	1.85	.71	2.08	1.74	1.90	1.82	1.93
Hexachloro-benzene	.17	.23	2.21	3.43	1.74	7.07	3.10	1.08	4.00	8.18	6.65	5.06	5.71
Pentachloro-anisole	.16	.25	6.04	6.86	3.34	7.34	8.79	3.56	12.52	7.56	4.56	7.73	8.12
α-BHC	.12	.21	.30	.32	.23	.71	.69	.39	.96	.83	.78	.50	.87
β-BHC	.17	.33	< .17	< .17	< .17	< .17	< .17	< .17	< .17	< .17	< .17	< .17	1.80
Lindane	.11	.15	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11
δ-BHC	.12	.23	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .02	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12
Heptachlor	.12	.15	.49	.42	.32	.55	.56	.31	.82	.46	.56	.33	.72
Heptachlor epoxide	.14	.20	5.68	5.11	3.57	9.20	8.33	3.28	9.24	7.08	6.97	8.71	6.99
Aldrin	.41	1.00	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41	.45	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41	.56
Dacthal	.18	.32	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18
Dieldrin	.17	.38	19.75	62.32	15.17	40.32	26.47	10.43	32.42	34.32	32.16	100.00	53.35
Endrin	.29	.71	.80	< .29	< .29	< .29	.77	< .29	< .29	< .29	< .29	< .29	.30
Oxychlordane	.14	.18	13.67	7.04	7.44	21.49	21.59	8.49	45.44	16.22	14.05	19.93	12.68
cis-Chlordane	.36	.99	70.79	43.76	45.29	93.08	105.70	46.00	141.49	72.49	80.14	89.22	79.59
trans-Chlordane	.30	.83	40.89	27.45	26.11	39.83	64.84	22.44	51.17	31.97	41.18	32.11	45.75
cis-NoNDchlor	.17	.49	23.08	12.44	15.64	30.76	37.39	20.49	59.11	22.74	24.48	36.31	27.25
trans-NoNDchlor	.37	.96	55.32	31.46	38.05	72.82	89.58	51.55	160.00	54.23	62.95	73.80	56.12
o,p'-DDE	.87	1.60	3.20	2.08	.98	3.85	4.29	ND	4.01	4.49	3.21	3.21	5.75
o,p'-DDD	.25	.71	13.15	11.41	7.35	20.55	21.44	6.01	23.04	23.62	19.94	20.18	27.61

Table 19. Concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and percent lipids in individual whole white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (NA, not applicable; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, concentrations below the detection limit)—Continued

Contaminant ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	WS11	WS12	WS13	WS14	WS15	WS16	WS17	WS18	WS19	WS20	WS21
<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	.13	.36	7.81	6.47	4.82	10.96	11.95	4.26	17.96	16.16	8.14	12.00	6.90
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	6.30	15.00	180.00	99.39	120.00	250.00	280.00	230.00	450.00	170.00	210.00	300.00	170.00
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	1.10	3.00	100.00	78.22	73.73	160.00	160.00	70.86	250.00	140.00	160.00	210.00	160.00
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	.12	.37	45.99	21.84	25.11	49.09	55.76	44.83	120.00	44.68	40.35	62.69	29.82
Endosulfan I	.22	.66	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22
Endosulfan II	.45	1.30	< .45	.46	< .45	.57	.91	ND	1.10	.99	.67	< .45	.83
Endosulfate	.28	.83	< .28	< .28	< .28	.35	.31	< .28	.34	.34	.29	.48	.37
Methoxychlor	.56	1.50	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56
Mirex	.15	.17	.89	.44	.59	1.05	1.26	1.46	2.03	.84	.82	1.39	.86
Toxaphene	19.00	52.00	42.56	29.72	< 19	39.19	87.94	33.58	46.48	72.38	48.67	41.68	52.95
Total PCBs	28.00	59.00	1,700.00	1,100.00	1,200.00	2,300.00	2,100.00	1,600.00	3,000.00	1,800.00	2,600.00	2,500.00	2,100.00
Contaminant ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	WS22	WS23	WS24	WS25	WS26	WS27	WS28	WS29	WS30		
Percent lipid	NA	NA	5.08	9.50	8.25	9.37	8.54	7.17	8.23	12.51	5.71		
Pentachloro- benzene	.19	.31	1.58	1.48	1.51	1.53	1.33	1.22	1.34	1.94	.76		
Hexachloro- benzene	.17	.23	1.80	3.85	4.98	2.55	2.63	2.05	5.48	3.85	2.51		
Pentachloro- anisole	.16	.25	6.87	7.05	6.66	8.85	7.30	6.75	8.12	10.85	4.81		
α -BHC	.12	.21	.49	.24	.54	.47	.50	.55	.49	.92	.44		
β -BHC	.17	.33	.89	1.05	1.12	1.76	1.86	1.68	2.12	1.81	2.44		
Lindane	.11	.15	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11	< .11		
δ -BHC	.12	.23	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12	< .12		
Heptachlor	.12	.15	.68	.30	.31	.29	.36	.23	.57	1.12	.20		
Heptachlor epoxide	.14	.20	4.63	6.12	6.51	7.92	5.82	5.57	5.38	8.56	3.27		
Aldrin	.41	1.00	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41	< .41	.47	< .41	< .41		
Dacthal	.18	.32	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18	< .18		

Table 19. Concentration (ng/g wet weight) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) and percent lipids in individual whole white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (NA, not applicable; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, concentrations below the detection limit)—Continued

Contaminant ¹	Method detection limit ²	Method quantitation limit ³	WS22	WS23	WS24	WS25	WS26	WS27	WS28	WS29	WS30
Dieldrin	.17	.38	14.48	160.00	43.95	96.16	33.49	29.78	110.00	29.26	92.38
Endrin	.29	.71	< .29	.70	< .29	.31	ND	< .29	.69	.50	.47
Oxychlordane	.14	.18	17.61	14.63	12.48	12.34	9.64	11.09	11.55	30.00	7.33
<i>cis</i> -Chlordane	.36	.99	75.18	73.52	66.51	61.84	53.18	51.60	54.60	128.94	39.74
<i>trans</i> -Chlordane	.30	.83	31.20	25.55	35.49	23.42	27.64	30.23	35.05	72.78	18.09
<i>cis</i> -NoNDchlor	.17	.49	38.94	30.42	23.51	22.78	14.96	16.15	16.22	51.86	16.31
<i>trans</i> - NoNDchlor	.37	.96	110.00	58.49	52.23	46.41	35.57	39.30	37.29	130.00	30.77
<i>o,p'</i> -DDE	.87	1.60	3.43	3.64	4.43	3.28	3.43	4.01	2.56	7.88	2.31
<i>o,p'</i> -DDD	.25	.71	14.64	18.30	16.78	12.70	14.97	11.69	14.73	22.10	10.91
<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	.13	.36	7.61	6.68	6.02	6.07	4.66	5.16	4.00	12.39	4.25
<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	6.30	15.00	490.00	250.00	160.00	160.00	92.80	140.00	130.00	480.00	150.00
<i>p,p'</i> -DDD	1.10	3.00	170.00	160.00	120.00	98.18	93.11	90.38	89.37	190.00	86.50
<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	.12	.37	92.22	49.04	33.14	37.80	17.56	24.77	19.70	98.72	31.55
Endosulfan I	.22	.66	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22	< .22
Endosulfan II	.45	1.30	.54	.46	.66	< .45	ND	.50	.90	2.04	< .45
Endosulfate	.28	.83	< .28	.54	< .28	.52	< .28	< .28	.31	.37	< .28
Methoxychlor	.56	1.50	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56	< .56
Mirex	.15	.17	2.22	1.57	.61	.81	.35	.48	.47	1.87	.66
Toxaphene	19.00	52.00	86.18	65.01	32.57	64.72	34.81	31.25	29.91	100.00	43.73
Total PCBs	28.00	59.00	3,300.00	2,500.00	2,200.00	1,700.00	1,100.00	1,300.00	1,700.00	3,600.00	1,500.00

¹Total PCBs and OCPs determined by dual-column, high-resolution, capillary gas chromatography with electron-capture detector.

²Method detection limit (MDL) is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus three standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

³Method quantitation limit (MQL) is defined as the mean of procedural field blanks plus ten standard deviations of coincident peaks in field blanks (Keith, 1991).

Table 20. Bile concentrations ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) metabolites from common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined by reverse phase HPLC with fluorescence detection (Krahn and others, 1984). Method detection limits were 0.6 and 0.05 ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) for naphthalene and benzo[a]pyrene metabolites respectively. (ND, not determined; $\mu\text{g/mL}$, micrograms per milliliter)

Species	Fish ID	Naphthalene ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Benzo[a]pyrene ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Naphthalene ¹ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$ protein)	Benzo[a]pyrene ¹ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$ protein)
Common carp	CC01	150	1.6	93.8	1
Common carp	CC02 ²	21	0.1	0.6	0
Common carp	CC03	160	1.5	106.1	1
Common carp	CC04	240	2.1	258.8	2.26
Common carp	CC05	130	1.2	51.7	0.48
Common carp	CC06	130	0.9	182	1.27
Common carp	CC07	120	1	223.8	1.83
Common carp	CC08	92	0.8	29.9	0.27
Common carp	CC09	170	1.5	167.1	1.47
Common carp	CC10	160	1.6	147.4	1.47
Common carp	CC11	91	1	71.9	0.79
Common carp	CC12	150	1.2	181.5	1.45
Common carp	CC13	160	1.6	217.2	2.17
Common carp	CC14	160	1.4	152.2	1.33
Common carp	CC15	240	1.5	68.5	0.43
Common carp	CC16	160	0.9	15.5	0.09
Common carp	CC17	ND	ND	ND	ND
Common carp	CC18	180	1.6	256	2.28
Common carp	CC19	140	1.8	80	1.03
Common carp	CC20	190	1.8	160	1.52
Largemouth bass	LMB01	890	17	98.6	1.88
Largemouth bass	LMB02	410	5.7	81.4	1.13
Largemouth bass	LMB03	510	12	58	1.36
Largemouth bass	LMB04	430	6.3	77	1.13
Largemouth bass	LMB05	360	5.8	90.8	1.46
Largemouth bass	LMB06	280	3.3	119.3	1.41
Largemouth bass	LMB07	260	3.2	47.2	0.58
Largemouth bass	LMB08	ND	ND	ND	ND
Largemouth bass	LMB09	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table 20. Bile concentrations ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) metabolites from common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined by reverse phase HPLC with fluorescence detection (Krahn and others, 1984). Method detection limits were 0.6 and 0.05 ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) for naphthalene and benzo[a]pyrene metabolites respectively. (ND, not determined; $\mu\text{g/mL}$, micrograms per milliliter)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Naphthalene ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Benzo[a]pyrene ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Naphthalene ¹ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$ protein)	Benzo[a]pyrene ¹ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$ protein)
Largemouth bass	LMB10	300	3.7	144.5	1.78
Largemouth bass	LMB11	100	1.2	101.7	1.22
Largemouth bass	LMB12	500	6.5	125.4	1.63
Largemouth bass	LMB13	ND	ND	ND	ND
Largemouth bass	LMB14	470	5.9	113.9	1.43
Largemouth bass	LMB15	400	5	123.1	1.54
Largemouth bass	LMB16	1,000	15	199.4	2.99
Largemouth bass	LMB17	290	3.9	88.9	1.2
Largemouth bass	LMB18	500	5.6	112.9	1.26
Largemouth bass	LMB19	540	7.3	80.6	1.09
Largemouth bass	LMB20	280	2.6	184.3	1.71
White sucker	WS01	1,200	15	172.5	2.16
White sucker	WS02	870	10	151.9	1.75
White sucker	WS03	600	7.3	114.3	1.39
White sucker	WS04	280	3.7	117.5	1.55
White sucker	WS05	430	5.3	119.2	1.47
White sucker	WS06	320	5	84.4	1.32
White sucker	WS07	380	5.6	113.9	1.68
White sucker	WS08	270	3.8	69.1	0.97
White sucker	WS09	ND	ND	ND	ND
White sucker	WS10	ND	ND	ND	ND
White sucker	WS11	340	3.2	169.5	1.6
White sucker	WS12	350	3.9	143.2	1.6
White sucker	WS13	420	5	44.2	0.53
White sucker	WS14	370	2.9	159.4	1.25
White sucker	WS15	490	4.4	138.8	1.25
White sucker	WS16	490	4.4	153.9	1.38
White sucker	WS17	490	5.7	130.2	1.51
White sucker	WS18	1,000	15	110	1.65
White sucker	WS19	650	5.5	174.5	1.48

Table 20. Bile concentrations ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) metabolites from common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined by reverse phase HPLC with fluorescence detection (Krahn and others, 1984). Method detection limits were 0.6 and 0.05 ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) for naphthalene and benzo[a]pyrene metabolites respectively. (ND, not determined; $\mu\text{g/mL}$, micrograms per milliliter)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Naphthalene ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Benzo[a]pyrene ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	Naphthalene ¹ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$ protein)	Benzo[a]pyrene ¹ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$ protein)
White sucker	WS20	550	4.9	209.2	1.86
White sucker	WS21	370	8.3	75.4	1.69
White sucker	WS22	ND	ND	ND	ND
White sucker	WS23	1,600	36	167.8	3.77
White sucker	WS24	250	4.8	100.3	1.93
White sucker	WS25	ND	ND	ND	ND
White sucker	WS26	190	3.8	145.3	2.91
White sucker	WS27	400	11	70.5	1.94
White sucker	WS28	350	7.8	123.2	2.74
White sucker	WS29	470	10	107.1	2.28
White sucker	WS30	320	8	74.5	1.86
White sucker	WS31	280	6.9	66.2	1.63
White sucker	WS32	890	15	88.5	1.49
White sucker	WS33	830	15	141.4	2.56
White sucker	WS34	280	3.7	30.8	0.41
White sucker	WS35	210	4.2	66.5	1.33
White sucker	WS36	930	14	247	3.72
White sucker	WS37	610	12	105.4	2.07
White sucker	WS38	320	7.3	118.9	2.71
White sucker	WS39	380	8	65.1	1.37
White sucker	WS40	290	6.8	50.1	1.18

¹PAH metabolite concentrations were normalized to protein content by a modified Lowry method.

²Sample was contaminated with other biological tissues resulting in having significantly higher levels of protein content.

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Anthracene to d-Limonene. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)

Sample	Anthracene	Anthraquinone	BHA	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzophenone	Bisphenol A	Caffeine	Cotinine	Cumene	d-Limonene
MQL	12.5	25	50	25	12.5	1,000	25	25	12.5	12.5
Blank 1	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
Blank 2	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
Blank 3	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
Blank 4	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
Blank 5	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS02	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	128.2	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS03	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	106.3	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS04(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS04(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	158.4	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS05	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS06(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS06(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	116.2	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS07(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS07(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	727.8	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS08(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS08(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	1,175.0	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS09	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS11	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS12(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS12(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	191.2	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS13	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS16	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS17(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS17(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	1083.3	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS21(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS21(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	328.2	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS23	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS26	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Anthracene to d-Limonene. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)—Continued

Sample	Anthracene	Anthraquinone	BHA	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzophenone	Bisphenol A	Caffeine	Cotinine	Cumene	d-Limonene
WS27(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS27(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	128.1	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS30(#1)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS30(#2)	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS35	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	313.9	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS36	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS37	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	289.7	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
WS39	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC01	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	71.7	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	33.3
CC02	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC04	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	103.6	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC05	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC07	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC09	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	108.0	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC10	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC13	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	39.4
CC16	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
CC17	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	107.3	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
LMB01	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	126.7	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5
LMB02	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	116.8	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	39.0
LMB05	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	125.0	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	133.7
LMB12	<12.5	< 25	<50	<12.5	167.6	<1,000	< 25	< 25	<12.5	<12.5

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Equilenin to Menthol. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole) —Continued

Sample	Equilenin	Fluoranthene	Galoxolide (HHCB)	Indole	Isoborneol	Isophorone	Isoquinoline	Metalaxyl	Menthol
MQL	500	25	25	12.5	25	12.5	12.5	50	25
Blank 1	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
Blank 2	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
Blank 3	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
Blank 4	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
Blank 5	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS02	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS03	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS04(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS04(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS05	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS06(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS06(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS07(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS07(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS08(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS08(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS09	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS11	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS12(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS12(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS13	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS16	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS17(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS17(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS21(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS21(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS23	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS26	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS27(#1)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS27(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Equilenin to Menthol. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole) —Continued

Sample	Equilenin	Fluoranthene	Galaxolide (HHCB)	Indole	Isoborneol	Isophorone	Isoquinoline	Metalaxyl	Menthol
WS30(#2)	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS35	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS36	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS37	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
WS39	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC01	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC02	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC04	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC05	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC07	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC09	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC10	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC13	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC16	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
CC17	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
LMB01	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
LMB02	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
LMB05	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25
LMB12	<500	<25	<25	<12.5	<25	<12.5	<12.5	<50	<25

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Methyl salicylate to Penta-chlorophenol. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)—Continued

Sample	Methyl salicylate	Naphthalene	NPEO-1	NPEO-2	OPEO	Oxybenzone	Para-cresol	Para-nonylphenol	Penta-chlorophenol
MQL	12.5	12.5	1,000	1,000	500	25	12.5	1,000	250
Blank 1	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
Blank 2	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
Blank 3	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
Blank 4	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
Blank 5	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS02	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS03	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS04(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS04(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS05	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS06(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS06(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS07(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS07(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS08(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS08(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS09	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS11	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS12(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS12(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS13	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS16	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS17(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS17(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS21(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS21(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS23	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Methyl salicylate to Penta-chlorophenol. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)—Continued

Sample	Methyl salicylate	Naphthalene	NPEO-1	NPEO-2	OPEO	Oxybenzone	Para-cresol	Para-nonylphenol	Penta-chlorophenol
WS26	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS27(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS27(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS30(#1)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS30(#2)	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS35	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS36	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS37	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
WS39	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC01	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC02	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC04	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC05	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC07	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC09	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC10	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC13	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC16	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
CC17	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
LMB01	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
LMB02	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
LMB05	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250
LMB12	<12.5	<12.5	<1,000	<1,000	<500	<25	12.5	<1,000	<250

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Phenanthrene to Triphenyl phosphate. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)—Continued

Sample	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	Tonalide (AHTN)	<i>tris</i> -(2-Chloroethyl)-phosphate	<i>tris</i> (2-Butoxyethyl)-phosphate	Tributyl-phosphate	Triclosan (Irgasan)	Triphenyl phosphate
MQL	12.5	25	25	50	50	12.5	500	12.5
Blank 1	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
Blank 2	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
Blank 3	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
Blank 4	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
Blank 5	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS02	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS03	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS04(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS04(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS05	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS06(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS06(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS07(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS07(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS08(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS08(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS09	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS11	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS12(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS12(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS13	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS16	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS17(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS17(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS21(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS21(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS23	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Phenanthrene to Triphenyl phosphate. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)—Continued

Sample	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	Tonalide (AHTN)	tris-(2-Chloroethyl)-phosphate	tris (2-Butoxyethyl)-phosphate	Tributyl-phosphate	Triclosan (Irgesan)	Triphenyl phosphate
WS26	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS27(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS27(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS30(#1)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS30(#2)	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS35	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS36	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS37	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
WS39	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC01	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC02	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC04	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC05	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC07	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC09	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC10	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC13	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC16	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
CC17	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
LMB01	96.2	70.9	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
LMB02	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
LMB05	35.9	40.0	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5
LMB12	<12.5	<25	<25	<50	<50	<12.5	<500	<12.5

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: 2-Methyl naphthalene to 4-Cumylphenol. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)—Continued

Sample	2-Methyl naphthalene	3,4-Dichlorophenyl isocyanate	1-Methyl naphthalene	3-Methyl-1(H)- indole (skatol)	2,6-Dimethyl naphthalene	5-Methyl-1H- benzotriazole	4-Tert- octylphenol	4- Octylphenol	4- Cumylphenol
MQL	12.5	500	12.5	12.5	12.5	250.0	125.0	125.0	125.0
Blank 1	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
Blank 2	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
Blank 3	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
Blank 4	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
Blank 5	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS02	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS03	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS04(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS04(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS05	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS06(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS06(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS07(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS07(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS08(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS08(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS09	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS11	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS12(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS12(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS13	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS16	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS17(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS17(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS21(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS21(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS23	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125

Table 21. Concentrations of contaminants (ng/g wet weight) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from electron ionization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: 2-Methyl naphthalene to 4-Cumylphenol. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level; BHA, Butylated Hydroxyanisole)—Continued

Sample	2-Methyl naphthalene	3,4-Dichlorophenyl isocyanate	1-Methyl naphthalene	3-Methyl-1(H)- indole (skatol)	2,6-Dimethyl naphthalene	5-Methyl-1H- benzotriazole	4-Tert- octylphenol	4- Octylphenol	4- Cumylphenol
WS26	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS27(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS27(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS30(#1)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS30(#2)	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS35	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS36	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS37	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
WS39	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC01	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC02	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC04	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC05	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC07	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC09	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC10	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC13	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC16	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
CC17	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
LMB01	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
LMB02	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
LMB05	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125
LMB12	<12.5	<500	<12.5	<12.5	<12.5	<250	<125	<125	<125

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Values with a less than sign (<) are below the method quantitation limit (MQL). Analytes: Aldrin to DCPA (Dacthal).

Sample	Aldrin	Benfluralin	Chlorpyrifos	<i>trans</i> -chlordane	<i>cis</i> -chlordane	<i>trans</i> -nonachlor	<i>cis</i> -nonachlor	Oxychlordane	DCPA (dacthal)
MQL	10	5	10	10	5	5	5	25	5
Blank 1	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
Blank 2	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
Blank 3	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
Blank 4	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
Blank 5	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS02	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS03	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS04(#1)	<10	<5	<10	19.3	24.1	20.2	16.7	<25	<5
WS04(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS05	<10	<5	<10	21.4	27.6	22.0	17.6	<25	<5
WS06(#1)	<10	<5	<10	19.7	25.0	19.5	16.6	<25	<5
WS06(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS07(#1)	<10	<5	<10	22.6	31.0	23.8	18.1	<25	<5
WS07(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS08(#1)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS08(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS09	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS11	<10	<5	<10	18.2	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS12(#1)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS12(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS13	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS16	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS17(#1)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS17(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS21(#1)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS21(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS23	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Values with a less than sign (<) are below the method quantitation limit (MQL). Analytes: Aldrin to DCPA (Dacthal). —Continued

Sample	Aldrin	Benfluralin	Chlorpyrifos	trans-chlordane	cis-chlordane	trans-nonachlor	cis-nonachlor	Oxychlordane	DCPA (dacthal)
WS26	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS27(#1)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS27(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS30(#1)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS30(#2)	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS35	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS36	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS37	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
WS39	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC01	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC02	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC04	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC05	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC07	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC09	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC10	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC13	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC16	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
CC17	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
LMB01	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	20.4	12.0	<25	<5
LMB02	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
LMB05	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5
LMB12	<10	<5	<10	<10	<5	<5	<5	<25	<5

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: *p,p'*-DDE to endrin ketone. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	<i>o,p'</i> -DDE	<i>o,p'</i> -DDD	<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	Dieldrin	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II	Endrin ketone
MQL	100	25	75	100	100	25	10	5	75
Blank 1	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
Blank 2	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
Blank 3	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
Blank 4	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
Blank 5	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS02	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS03	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS04(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS04(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS05	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS06(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS06(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS07(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS07(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS08(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS08(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS09	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS11	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS12(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS12(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS13	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS16	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS17(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS17(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS21(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS21(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS23	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: *p,p'*-DDE to endrin ketone. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	<i>p,p'</i> -DDE	<i>o,p'</i> -DDE	<i>o,p'</i> -DDD	<i>o,p'</i> -DDT	<i>p,p'</i> -DDT	Dieldrin	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II	Endrin ketone
WS26	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS27(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS27(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS30(#1)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS30(#2)	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS35	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS36	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS37	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
WS39	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC01	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC02	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC04	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC05	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC07	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC09	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC10	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC13	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC16	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
CC17	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
LMB01	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
LMB02	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
LMB05	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75
LMB12	<100	<25	<75	<100	<100	<25	<10	<5	<75

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Fipronil to Octachlorostyrene. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	Fipronil	α -HCH	β -HCH	γ -HCH	δ -HCH	Heptachlor epoxide	HCB	Mirex	Octachlorostyrene
MQL	25	10	50	25	25	25	5	10	5
Blank 1	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
Blank 2	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
Blank 3	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
Blank 4	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
Blank 5	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS02	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS03	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS04(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS04(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS05	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS06(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS06(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS07(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS07(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS08(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS08(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS09	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS11	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS12(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS12(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS13	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS16	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS17(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS17(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS21(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS21(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS23	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: Fipronil to Octachlorostyrene. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	Fipronil	α -HCH	β -HCH	γ -HCH	δ -HCH	Heptachlor epoxide	HCB	Mirex	Octachlorostyrene
WS26	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS27(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS27(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS30(#1)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS30(#2)	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS35	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS36	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
WS37	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	6.9	<10	6.2
WS39	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC01	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC02	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC04	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC05	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC07	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC09	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC10	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC13	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC16	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
CC17	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
LMB01	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
LMB02	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
LMB05	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5
LMB12	<25	<10	<50	<25	<25	<25	<5	<10	<5

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: PCA to PBDE 183. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	PCA	Trifluralin	PBDE 47	PBDE 66	PBDE 71	PBDE 85	PBDE 99	PBDE 100	PBDE 138	PBDE 153	PBDE 154	PBDE 183
MQL	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Blank 1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 2	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 3	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 4	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS02	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS03	<5	<5	14.5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS04(#1)	<5	<5	9.3	<5	<5	<5	6.7	5.5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS04(#2)	<5	<5	10.3	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS05	<5	<5	17.5	<5	20.4	<5	7.0	6.5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS06(#1)	<5	<5	17.6	18.3	19.8	<5	6.6	6.2	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS06(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS07(#1)	<5	<5	25.0	19.7	24.4	<5	7.2	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS07(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS08(#1)	<5	<5	7.7	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS08(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS09	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS11	<5	<5	5.0	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS12(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS12(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS13	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS16	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS17(#1)	<5	<5	5.9	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS17(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS21(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS21(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS23	<5	<5	13.1	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: PCA to PBDE 183. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	PCA	Trifluralin	PBDE 47	PBDE 66	PBDE 71	PBDE 85	PBDE 99	PBDE 100	PBDE 138	PBDE 153	PBDE 154	PBDE 183
WS26	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS27(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS27(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS30(#1)	<5	<5	4.9	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS30(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS35	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS36	<5	<5	11.6	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS37	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS39	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC01	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC02	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC04	<5	<5	7.7	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC05	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC07	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC09	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC10	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC13	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC16	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC17	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
LMB01	<5	<5	16.9	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
LMB02	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
LMB05	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
LMB12	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: PCB 70 to PCB 180. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	PCB 70	PCB 101	PCB 110	PCB 118	PCB 138	PCB 146	PCB 149	PCB 151	PCB 170	PCB 174	PCB 177	PCB 180
MQL	100	75	75	5	5	5	25	10	5	5	5	5
Blank 1	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 2	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 3	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 4	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 5	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS02	<100	<75	<75	13.5	24.2	<5	<25	<10	6.84	<5	<5	9.79
WS03	<100	<75	<75	13.7	20.5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	8.33
WS04(#1)	<100	<75	<75	24	39.5	13	<25	<10	13.8	14.9	<5	13.9
WS04(#2)	<100	<75	<75	17.9	31.6	<5	<25	<10	7.82	<5	<5	11.2
WS05	<100	<75	<75	31.6	63.6	14.7	<25	<10	16.3	17.9	12.2	17.4
WS06(#1)	<100	<75	<75	28.8	47.2	13.5	<25	<10	14.4	15.5	<5	14.1
WS06(#2)	<100	<75	<75	12.9	21.3	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	8.54
WS07(#1)	<100	<75	<75	37	73.2	15.6	<25	<10	16.9	19.2	12.7	20.4
WS07(#2)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS08(#1)	<100	<75	<75	25.6	44.4	13.6	<25	<10	14.3	15.5	11.1	13.7
WS08(#2)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS09	<100	<75	<75	<5	26.6	<5	<25	<10	11.9	12.9	<5	9.4
WS11	<100	<75	<75	23.5	37.7	<5	<25	<10	13.2	14.7	11	12.6
WS12(#1)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS12(#2)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	5.38
WS13	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	8.2
WS16	<100	<75	<75	<5	32.9	12.7	<25	<10	13.4	14.7	10.8	12.5
WS17(#1)	<100	<75	<75	22	32.4	<5	<25	<10	12.5	13.8	<5	10.4
WS17(#2)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS21(#1)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS21(#2)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS23	<100	<75	<75	<5	33.6	<5	<25	<10	12.7	14.2	<5	11.4
WS26	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	8.88
WS27(#1)	<100	<75	<75	<5	28.9	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	9.24

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: PCB 70 to PCB 180. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	PCB 70	PCB 101	PCB 110	PCB 118	PCB 138	PCB 146	PCB 149	PCB 151	PCB 170	PCB 174	PCB 177	PCB 180
WS27(#2)	<100	<75	<75	<5	16.3	<5	<25	<10	5.61	<5	<5	6.67
WS30(#1)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS30(#2)	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	5.93
WS35	<100	<75	<75	10.3	16.9	<5	<25	<10	5.73	<5	<5	7.21
WS36	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS37	<100	<75	<75	7.1	13.2	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS39	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	12.7	<5	<5	11.2
CC01	<100	<75	<75	25.7	50.8	<5	<25	<10	11.4	<5	<5	18.2
CC02	<100	<75	<75	<5	18.4	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	7.72
CC04	<100	<75	<75	15.6	32.7	<5	<25	<10	8.98	<5	<5	14.4
CC05	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC07	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	6.18
CC09	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC10	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC13	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	5.01
CC16	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC17	<100	<75	<75	<5	<5	<5	<25	<10	<5	<5	<5	<5
LMB01	<100	<75	<75	31.9	57.2	8.91	<25	<10	12.8	8.86	<5	25.6
LMB02	<100	<75	<75	10.6	15	<5	<25	<10	5.39	<5	<5	6.66
LMB05	<100	<75	<75	47	78.4	12.1	<25	<10	14.2	11.1	<5	27.9
LMB12	<100	<75	<75	13.9	20.5	<5	<25	<10	5.83	<5	<5	10.8

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: PCB 183 to PCB 206. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	PCB 183	PCB 187	PCB 194	PCB 206
MQL	5	5	5	5
Blank 1	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 2	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 3	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 4	<5	<5	<5	<5
Blank 5	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS02	<5	6.2	<5	<5
WS03	<5	5.51	<5	<5
WS04(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS04(#2)	<5	7.56	<5	<5
WS05	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS06(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS06(#2)	<5	5.4	<5	<5
WS07(#1)	16.2	16.3	<5	<5
WS07(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS08(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS08(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS09	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS11	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS12(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS12(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS13	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS16	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS17(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS17(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS21(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS21(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS23	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS26	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS27(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5

Table 22. Concentrations (ng/g, nanogram per gram wet weight) of organochlorines, polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in plasma from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Concentrations determined from negative charge ionization (NCI) gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytes: PCB 183 to PCB 206. (MQL, method quantitation limit; ng/g, nanogram per gram; <, values below the detection level)—Continued

Sample	PCB 183	PCB 187	PCB 194	PCB 206
WS27(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS30(#1)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS30(#2)	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS35	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS36	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS37	<5	<5	<5	<5
WS39	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC01	<5	12.2	<5	<5
CC02	<5	5.17	<5	ND
CC04	<5	9.37	<5	ND
CC05	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC07	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC09	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC10	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC13	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC16	<5	<5	<5	<5
CC17	<5	<5	<5	<5
LMB01	7.79	16	9.14	7.86
LMB02	<5	4.68	<5	<5
LMB05	9.13	22.1	7.68	6.37
LMB12	<5	6.71	5.46	<5

Table 23. Mean survival and growth of the amphipod *Hyalella azteca* in 28-day exposures and of the midge *Chironomus dilutus* in 10-day exposures to sediment samples from the Charles River and to a control sediment. Standard deviation is reported within the parentheses ($n = 3$ replicate assays; mm, millimeters; mg, milligrams).

Treatment	<i>Hyalella azteca</i>		<i>Chironomus dilutus</i>	
	Survival (percent)	Growth (mm)	Survival (percent)	Growth (mg) ¹
Control	93 (9.57)	3.76 (0.40)	85 (12.58)	1.10 (0.48)
Charles River sample 1	90 (14.14)	4.01 (.59)	78 (18.93)	.72 (.19)
Charles River sample 2	58 (23.63)	3.35 (.68)	78 (5.00)	.29 (.12)
Charles River sample 3	80 (8.16)	3.49 (.61)	98 (17.32)	.26 (.04)

¹Ash free dry weight.

Table 24. Potential toxicity of extracts from semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMD) and from polar organic contaminant integrated samplers (POCIS) using the Microtox® bioassay and the yeast estrogen screen (YES) bioassay. Standard deviations derived from three replicates are reported within the parentheses for the Microtox® bioassay. The concentration of the extract that inhibited luminescence by 50 percent after a 5-minute exposure period (the EC₅₀) was determined and expressed as milligram (mg) equivalent sediment wet weight. The 17β-estradiol equivalents (EEQ) screened by the YES bioassay are shown for SPMD and POCIS extracts. (NA, not applicable; mg/mL, milligrams per milliliter; mL, milliliter; ng/mL, nanogram per milliliter)

Bioassay treatment	SPMD				POCIS			
	Field SPMD blank	Deployed SPMD #1	Deployed SPMD #2	Phenol (toxicity surrogate)	Field POCIS blank	Deployed POCIS #1	Deployed POCIS #2	Phenol (toxicity surrogate)
Microtox®								
EC ₅₀ (mg/mL)	58(16)	21(3.6)	19(2.4)	0.02(0.002)	18(6.8)	4.2(3.5)	7.3(1.5)	0.02(0.001)
TU ₅₀ (mg/mL) ¹	1.76	4.99	5.42	4,720	5.7	24	14	6,580
Yeast Estrogen Screen (YES)								
EC ₅₀ E2 (M E2) ²	6.7×10 ⁻¹⁰	1.2×10 ⁻⁹	6.7×10 ⁻¹⁰	NA	1.1×10 ⁻⁹	1.2×10 ⁻⁹	1.2×10 ⁻⁹	NA
EC ₅₀ E2 (ng/mL E2)	180	330	180	NA	290	310	310	NA
EC ₅₀ test (mg SPMD or mg POCIS) ³	510	140	61	NA	77	4.4	4.2	NA
EC ₅₀ test (percent SPMD or percent POCIS)	11	3.1	1.4	NA	19	1.1	1	NA
EEQ (ng E2/SPMD or ng E2/POCIS) ⁴	1.6	11	13	NA	1.5	29	30	NA

¹Toxic units (TU) = (1/EC₅₀) × 100. This provides a measure of toxicity in the extract. Higher TU number indicates more potential toxicity.

²EC₅₀ E2: effective median concentration of 17β-estradiol producing 50 percent of the maximum response for the expression of lac-Z reporter gene of the yeast assay.

³EC₅₀ test: concentration of sample needed to give a response equivalent to the EC₅₀ E2.

⁴EEQ (ng E2/sampler) = EC₅₀ E2 (ng E2/mL)/EC₅₀ test (sampler/mL), where sampler/mL = percent sampler × 1 mL sample.

Table 25. Gender, stage, sex steroid hormones, vitellogenin, and thyroid hormones in blood plasma of common carp (CC), largemouth bass (LMB), and white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (E2, 17 β -estradiol; 11KT, 11-ketotestosterone; T3, triiodothyronine; T4, thyroxine; ND, Not Determined; pg/mL, picograms per millilitre; mg/mL, milligrams per milliliter; ng/mL, nanograms per milliliter)

Species	Gender	Stage ¹	Fish ID	Sex steroid hormones ²			Vitellogenin ³ (mg/mL)	Thyroid hormones ⁴	
				E2 (pg/mL)	11KT (pg/mL)	E2/11KT		T3 (ng/mL)	T4 (ng/mL)
Common carp	F	3	CC03	266	371	0.72	2.739	10.4	1.1
Common carp	F	3	CC04	354	120	2.95	3.021	11.1	3.4
Common carp	F	3	CC06	284	333	.85	3.3	12.7	9.9
Common carp	F	3	CC07	471	169	2.79	3.598	11.1	12.3
Common carp	F	ND	CC09	316	148	2.14	5.148	8.9	14.5
Common carp	F	3	CC16	328	229	1.43	5.594	8	8.7
Common carp	F	3	CC17	599	279	2.15	1.59	9.9	15.9
Common carp	F	3	CC18	409	155	2.64	4.183	8.2	20.9
Common carp	F	3	CC19	290	264	1.1	2.383	12.4	17.5
Common carp	F	3	CC20	277	284	.98	2.727	12.4	30.2
Common carp	M	2	CC01	287	374	.77	.017	1.9	14.2
Common carp	M	3	CC02	334	404	.83	.008	1.8	4.4
Common carp	M	3	CC05	266	261	1.02	.002	13.2	12.5
Common carp	M	late 2	CC08	180	154	1.17	.004	9.1	6.2
Common carp	M	2	CC10	203	102	1.99	.006	8.5	14.3
Common carp	M	3	CC11	453	150	3.02	.007	6.8	22.8
Common carp	M	3	CC12	517	824	.63	.005	5.7	13.6
Common carp	M	late 2	CC13	187	104	1.8	.005	7	19.4
Common carp	M	2	CC14	195	356	.55	.009	6	9.3
Common carp	M	late 2	CC15	144	119	1.21	.012	10.5	34.3
Largemouth bass	F	1	LMB01	407	407	1	0	38.3	9.5
Largemouth bass	F	2	LMB02	373	448	.83	0	30.2	13.1
Largemouth bass	F	3	LMB03	298	429	.69	0	39.5	9.9
Largemouth bass	F	0	LMB07	345	397	.87	0	26.4	9.8

Table 25. Gender, stage, sex steroid hormones, vitellogenin, and thyroid hormones in blood plasma of common carp (CC), largemouth bass (LMB), and white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (E2, 17 β -estradiol; 11KT, 11-ketotestosterone; T3, triiodothyronine; T4, thyroxine; ND, Not Determined; pg/mL, picograms per millilitre; mg/mL, milligrams per milliliter; ng/mL, nanograms per milliliter)—Continued

Species	Gender	Stage ¹	Fish ID	Sex steroid hormones ²			Vitellogenin ³ (mg/mL)	Thyroid hormones ⁴	
				E2 (pg/mL)	11KT (pg/mL)	E2/11KT		T3 (ng/mL)	T4 (ng/mL)
Largemouth bass	F	1	LMB11	329	347	.95	0	42.1	8.9
Largemouth bass	F	0	LMB12	482	454	1.06	0	41.5	5.7
Largemouth bass	F	1	LMB14	ND	ND	ND	0	36.5	7.8
Largemouth bass	F	2	LMB16	379	351	1.08	.003	25.6	4.6
Largemouth bass	M	3	LMB04	328	470	.7	0	15.4	10.5
Largemouth bass	M	3	LMB05	302	471	.64	0	28.9	12.6
Largemouth bass	M	2	LMB06	370	559	.66	0	34.6	7.5
Largemouth bass	M	2	LMB08	385	366	1.05	0	25.3	13.3
Largemouth bass	M	2	LMB09	339	478	.71	0	31.5	7.9
Largemouth bass	M	2	LMB10	381	389	.98	.03	43.8	10.5
Largemouth bass	M	3	LMB13	431	449	.96	0	31.7	6.9
Largemouth bass	M	1	LMB15	405	416	.97	0	35.3	5.6
Largemouth bass	M	1	LMB17	278	312	.89	0	19.9	3.3
Largemouth bass	M	3	LMB18	ND	ND	ND	.011	29.9	9.9
Largemouth bass	M	2	LMB19	333	218	1.53	0	54.5	20.5
Largemouth bass	M	1	LMB20	ND	ND	ND	0	1.1	13.4
White sucker	F	2	WS01	380	179	2.12	.466	6	12.2
White sucker	F	2	WS02	445	128	3.48	.38	3.2	5.4
White sucker	F	2	WS03	647	136	4.76	.726	5.5	11.5
White sucker	F	2	WS04	398	123	3.24	.314	8.9	7.6
White sucker	F	late 2	WS05	412	142	2.9	2.26	19.9	19.4
White sucker	F	2	WS06	383	122	3.14	.066	7.6	7.5
White sucker	F	late 2	WS07	411	124	3.31	.244	5.5	6.7
White sucker	F	late 2	WS08	226	419	.54	.563	9.7	1.5

Table 25. Gender, stage, sex steroid hormones, vitellogenin, and thyroid hormones in blood plasma of common carp (CC), largemouth bass (LMB), and white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (E2, 17 β -estradiol; 11KT, 11-ketotestosterone; T3, triiodothyronine; T4, thyroxine; ND, Not Determined; pg/mL, picograms per millilitre; mg/mL, milligrams per milliliter; ng/mL, nanograms per milliliter)—Continued

Species	Gender	Stage ¹	Fish ID	Sex steroid hormones ²			Vitellogenin ³ (mg/mL)	Thyroid hormones ⁴	
				E2 (pg/mL)	11KT (pg/mL)	E2/11KT		T3 (ng/mL)	T4 (ng/mL)
White sucker	F	2	WS09	752	193	3.9	.69	8.1	5.9
White sucker	F	late 2	WS10	286	120	2.38	.504	11.5	6.9
White sucker	F	late 2	WS11	116	217	.53	.074	13.4	11.5
White sucker	F	2	WS12	207	195	1.06	.722	11.2	15.4
White sucker	F	2	WS13	432	163	2.65	.35	10.8	12.6
White sucker	F	late 2	WS14	289	134	2.16	.552	10.7	12.9
White sucker	F	2	WS15	212	125	1.7	.846	15.1	10.3
White sucker	F	2	WS17	226	506	.45	.624	8.9	11.8
White sucker	F	ND	WS18	254	95	2.67	.584	11	14.8
White sucker	F	2	WS19	266	157	1.69	.845	6.1	17.5
White sucker	F	2	WS21	126	161	.78	0	12.3	22.7
White sucker	F	2	WS24	315	451	.7	1.35	10.7	11.9
White sucker	F	2	WS25	225	241	.93	.243	4.4	4.5
White sucker	F	2	WS26	114	303	.38	.907	20.2	17.9
White sucker	F	late 2	WS29	159	735	.22	.695	5.7	20.5
White sucker	F	2	WS30	226	105	2.15	.415	7.3	5.5
White sucker	F	2	WS31	155	127	1.22	.982	6.6	10.3
White sucker	F	2	WS32	174	873	.2	.456	2.6	7.1
White sucker	F	early 2	WS34	153	136	1.13	1.159	8.1	21.3
White sucker	F	early 2	WS36	35	118	.3	.664	4.8	11.1
White sucker	F	2	WS37	260	101	2.57	1.114	4.6	2.9
White sucker	M	1	WS16	438	224	1.96	0	8.1	16.4
White sucker	M	early 1	WS20	360	983	.37	0	2.4	20.7
White sucker	M	early 1	WS22	47	1099	.04	0	5.1	5.9
White sucker	M	early 1	WS23	184	514	.36	.009	2.1	10.1

Table 25. Gender, stage, sex steroid hormones, vitellogenin, and thyroid hormones in blood plasma of common carp (CC), largemouth bass (LMB), and white suckers (WS) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). ((E2, 17 β -estradiol; 11KT, 11-ketotestosterone; T3, triiodothyronine; T4, thyroxine; ND, Not Determined; pg/mL, picograms per milliliter; mg/mL, milligrams per milliliter; ng/mL, nanograms per milliliter)—Continued

Species	Gender	Stage ¹	Fish ID	Sex Steroid Hormones ²			Vitellogenin ³ (mg/mL)	Thyroid Hormones ⁴	
				E2 (pg/mL)	11KT (pg/mL)	E2/11KT		T3 (ng/mL)	T4 (ng/mL)
White sucker	M	early 1	WS27	174	866	.20	0	9.2	21.0
White sucker	M	early 1	WS28	218	491	.44	0	6.2	14.2
White sucker	M	1	WS35	288	297	.97	0	6.7	25.4
White sucker	M	late 1	WS38	159	731	.22	0	2.2	19.3
White sucker	M	early 1	WS39	107	1,142	.09	0	3.9	4.4
White sucker	M	1	WS40	452	735	.61	.005	12.0	21.7

¹**Females:** Stage 0 = undeveloped ovaries; Stage 1= early vitellogenic; Stage 2 = early-mid vitellogenic; Stage 3 = late vitellogenic; Stage 4 = late vitellogenic, post ovulatory; Stage 5 = post vitellogenic. **Males:** Stage 0 = undeveloped; Stage 1 = early spermatogenic; Stage 2 = mid spermatogenic; Stage 3 = late spermatogenic.

²17 β -estradiol (E2) and 11-ketotestosterone (11KT) determined using radioimmunoassay (RIA).

³Vitellogenin assayed and quantified by capture ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay). Level of detection was 0.001 mg/mL for bass and 0.0005 mg/mL for carp and white suckers.

⁴T3 and T4 were determined using RIA and ELISA procedures.

Table 26. Fecundity (estimated total number of oocytes per gonad) of female common carp collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (ND, Not Determined; g, grams)

Fish ID	Stage ¹	Age (years)	Ovary weight (g)	Fecundity
CC03	3	4	144.7	226,094
CC07	5	7	270.6	465,749
CC09	ND	6	855.0	467,213
CC16	3	7	343.7	533,696
CC17	3	3	184.1	481,937
CC18	3	6	158.5	170,797
CC19	3	5	213.4	229,957
CC20	3	7	153.3	189,259

¹Stage 0 = undeveloped ovaries; Stage 1= early vitellogenic; Stage 2 = early-mid vitellogenic; Stage 3 = late vitellogenic; Stage 4 = late vitellogenic, post ovulatory; Stage 5 = post vitellogenic.

Table 27. Sperm-quality metrics estimated for male common carp collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (g, grams)

Fish ID	Testes weight (g)	Age	Stage	Sperm concentration ¹	Progressive status ²	Percent motility ³
CC01	122.7	3	2	intermediate	2.5	45
CC02	297.0	4	3	high	4	80
CC05	151.0	3	3	high	2	65
CC08	115.0	3	late 2	high	2.5	75
CC10	251.0	6	2	high	3	55
CC12	261.8	4	3	high	3.5	85
CC13	224.1	6	late 2	high	3.5	70
CC14	113.2	3	2	high	2.5	55
CC15	261.7	7	late 2	high	2	45

¹Qualitative estimate of sperm concentration based on a fixed sample.

²Estimate of sperm movement/status on a scale of 1 to 5.

³Estimate of the percent of total sperm actively moving.

Table 28. Types of lesions and anomalies observed during histological examination and the percentage of common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers affected.

Tissues examined	Observations and types of lesions and anomalies	Percentage of fish affected ¹		
		Common carp	Largemouth bass	White sucker
Liver	Hepatocellular changes			
	Megalocytosis	5	5	0
	Karyomegaly	1	0	0
	Diffuse vacuolation	15	5	55
	Focal vacuolation	0	0	18
	Spongiosis	5	0	18
	Oval cell foci	15	0	33
	Inflammation	100	65	40
	Biliary Lesions	20	20	45
	Tumors	0	5	7
Peritoneum	Peritonitis (inflammation)	85	45	60
Gonads	Parasitic Orchitis	70	25	18
	Follicular Atresia	38	90	7
Spleen	Necrosis/lymphoid depletion	5	0	0
	Parasitic granulomas	80	0	2.5
	Oval cell foci	5	0	36
	Hemosiderosis	5	0	2.5
	Hemorrhage	5	0	2.5
	Hematomas	0	5	2.5
	Sponiosis	0	5	0
Kidney	Inflammation/necrosis	37	0	2.5
	Calcinosis	5	0	0
	Plerocercoids	63	0	0
	Myxosporean	37	0	0
	Nematode granulomas	63	11	10
	Oval cell foci	5	0	43
	Tubular/glomerular degeneration	0	11	8

¹Number of fish examined for liver and peritoneum lesions and anomalies was: 20 largemouth bass, 20 common carp, and 40 white suckers. Spleen tissue from 20 largemouth bass, 19 common carp, and 40 white suckers was examined. Kidney tissue from 19 largemouth bass, 19 common carp, and 40 white suckers was examined and gonad tissue from 20 largemouth bass, 20 common carp and 39 white suckers. Gonad tissue from 8 female largemouth bass, 10 female common carp, and 28 female was suckers were also examined for follicular atresia.

Table 29. Density and mean surface size of melanomacrophage centers (MMC) located in the liver, spleen, and renal kidney from common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (NC, tissue not collected; NO, MMCs containing at least 12 cells were not observed)

Species	Fish ID	Liver		Spleen		Renal kidney	
		MMC ¹ density ²	Mean surface ³	MMC density ²	Mean surface ³	MMC density ²	Mean surface ³
Common carp	CC01	3.9	6,420.5	8.0	9,638	NO	NO
Common carp	CC02	6.2	18,369.2	10.0	15,910.7	NC	NC
Common carp	CC03	1.9	10,105.5	14.2	17,973.7	.3	5,699.0
Common carp	CC04	4.2	2,864.9	9.8	28,005.2	NC	NC
Common carp	CC05	.2	3,541.1	11.6	5,172.8	.7	15,518.0
Common carp	CC06	.2	4,873.3	6.6	4,667.8	.2	6,403.5
Common carp	CC07	2.8	2,589.5	9.0	8,862	NC	NC
Common carp	CC08	.6	4,451.7	6.1	5,784	1.3	4,614.4
Common carp	CC09	1.7	4,525.7	10.7	12,541.7	1.9	5,508.2
Common carp	CC10	3.1	7,464.6	10.9	12,793.7	NC	NC
Common carp	CC11	4.2	5,489.8	10.4	7,969.8	1.0	6,847.3
Common carp	CC12	5.0	5,322.1	10.1	4,397	1.7	11,523.8
Common carp	CC13	1.0	3,843.0	4.2	3,539.9	NC	NC
Common carp	CC14	1.3	5,131.8	1.9	1,940.7	1.0	5,585.8
Common carp	CC15	2.0	5,773.1	12.2	6,023.7	2.6	4,196.5
Common carp	CC16	1.0	4,206.2	5.6	5,404.1	NC	NC
Common carp	CC17	1.2	6,645.3	8.2	6,877.4	NC	NC
Common carp	CC18	1.2	3,168.9	9.3	11,077.4	NC	NC
Common carp	CC19	.4	2,625.3	NC	NC	NO	NO
Common carp	CC20	.6	4,848.2	8.3	11,161.3	NC	NC
Largemouth bass	LMB01	5.3	15,088.2	7.6	9,602.6	7.0	11,458.3
Largemouth bass	LMB02	1.1	4,331.2	3.8	3,619.9	5.2	3,193.2
Largemouth bass	LMB03	4.8	15,847.6	10.2	5,889.6	7.2	4,678.3
Largemouth bass	LMB04	8.2	14,102.9	6.7	9,499.2	NC	NC
Largemouth bass	LMB05	.3	3,037.9	1.9	2,142.9	1.9	1,475.1
Largemouth bass	LMB06	.0	1,824.0	2.7	1,502.4	.9	1,454.7
Largemouth bass	LMB07	.0	5,426.0	.3	1,228.1	.4	1,399.0
Largemouth bass	LMB08	.1	1,598.3	.9	1,418	1.6	1,711.9
Largemouth bass	LMB09	.0	581.0	1.2	835.8	.9	1,338.1
Largemouth bass	LMB10	3.7	9,612.1	11.4	5,177.3	10.9	6,199.7
Largemouth bass	LMB11	1.2	3,705.9	4.3	2,773.3	NC	NC
Largemouth bass	LMB12	5.9	5,309.2	9.2	2,671.7	9.4	4,386.8
Largemouth bass	LMB13	2.0	4,638.9	14.7	3,027.7	4.9	4,387.1
Largemouth bass	LMB14	1.3	3,973.7	1.7	1,330.8	3.0	1,996.0
Largemouth bass	LMB15	3.2	13,297.8	18.0	4,558.7	6.7	5,069.6
Largemouth bass	LMB16	3.6	9,305.9	6.7	2,595.8	6.3	2,553.8
Largemouth bass	LMB17	1.4	3,353.2	8.0	4,079.2	5.2	3,165.5
Largemouth bass	LMB18	.6	2,964.5	4.8	3,471.7	2.6	2,786.0
Largemouth bass	LMB19	1.4	5,411.2	8.2	2,877.3	3.4	2,950.0
Largemouth bass	LMB20	.4	1,968.4	12.3	3,011.8	3.9	2,372.7
White sucker	WS01	7.0	4,893.9	4.8	9,209.6	6.6	3,137.1
White sucker	WS02	6.6	3,126.3	8.0	9,447	4.7	7,671.0

Table 29. Density and mean surface size of melanomacrophage centers (MMC)¹ located in the liver, spleen, and renal kidney from common carp, largemouth bass, and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). (NC, tissue not collected; NO, MMCs containing at least 12 cells were not observed)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Liver		Spleen		Renal kidney	
		MMC density ²	Mean surface ³	MMC density ²	Mean surface ³	MMC density ²	Mean surface ³
White sucker	WS03	11.3	8,416.5	11.7	5,697.1	4.0	2,843.3
White sucker	WS04	2.2	3,772.1	19.0	8,125.2	5.7	6,299.5
White sucker	WS05	.1	992.0	19.8	3,210.7	4.0	1,804.9
White sucker	WS06	3.3	1,643.1	12.4	6,073.4	7.9	4,039.5
White sucker	WS07	.8	2,111.2	15.2	5,676.4	7.7	5,976.9
White sucker	WS08	.0	702.0	15.1	2,496.6	2.8	2,704.3
White sucker	WS09	2.8	2,355.4	17.0	3,901.3	3.9	4,011.2
White sucker	WS10	NO	NO	2.7	1,304.3	NO	NO
White sucker	WS11	NO	NO	11.4	2,614.7	3.0	3,131.1
White sucker	WS12	NO	NO	6.0	1,836.1	.6	2,043.0
White sucker	WS13	.2	1,081.0	9.1	5,290	2.1	2,707.4
White sucker	WS14	NO	NO	8.9	3,400.2	NC	NC
White sucker	WS15	.3	2,174.0	10.0	5,096.6	2.6	2,551.8
White sucker	WS16	2.0	5,327.2	15.4	7,044.9	12.1	3,470.8
White sucker	WS17	.3	3,894.1	14.9	18,569.1	5.9	4,849.3
White sucker	WS18	.3	1,248.3	7.8	2,690.7	2.3	3,131.3
White sucker	WS19	NO	NO	5.2	3,240.8	7.6	4,230.0
White sucker	WS20	1.4	19,030.4	15.4	4,752.5	6.9	4,658.8
White sucker	WS21	NO	NO	4.8	905.3	3.3	2,098.0
White sucker	WS22	13.2	20,186.4	20.7	23,781.4	9.8	4,716.1
White sucker	WS23	1.2	2,853.5	7.6	8,689.2	5.9	3,662.0
White sucker	WS24	.7	14,416.3	8.6	5,221.1	.2	6,505.0
White sucker	WS25	1.8	2,200.9	11.9	7,897.8	4.6	3,502.3
White sucker	WS26	NO	NO	2.3	2,294.1	.8	1,526.5
White sucker	WS27	NO	NO	12.2	2,981.2	2.9	2,129.0
White sucker	WS28	.9	3,082.0	22.8	20,309.1	7.0	4,084.5
White sucker	WS29	NO	NO	10.1	4,824.8	2.1	1,950.3
White sucker	WS30	5.3	4,074.8	10.3	2,850.9	4.4	2,536.8
White sucker	WS31	.0	1,768.4	3.4	1,635.1	NO	NO
White sucker	WS32	3.1	15,392.2	15.1	7,104.7	5.9	5,154.4
White sucker	WS33	4.3	5,424.9	18.6	4,424.2	2.6	3,787.1
White sucker	WS34	2.3	2,421.7	8.6	2,655.9	3.1	2,341.9
White sucker	WS35	1.7	8,745.1	14.1	8,930.5	5.1	2,742.0
White sucker	WS36	2.7	2,564.8	10.4	8,519.9	1.6	2,171.4
White sucker	WS37	13.6	7,836.2	8.1	2,359.7	2.7	2,497.4
White sucker	WS38	10.2	6,954.5	12.2	5,748	6.2	3,580.8
White sucker	WS39	NO	NO	8.1	3,075.7	5.0	3,214.3
White sucker	WS40	NO	NO	5.8	2,713.4	3.1	2,857.6

¹MMC: melano-macrophage centers of at least 12 pigmented cells.

²MMC density: calculated as average # of MMC in three fields in three sections (9 measurements) at 200×

³Mean surface: mean surface of 10 MMC fields (in microns) measured at 200×

Table 30. Comet assay measurements taken on blood and liver cells collected from common carp (CC) and white suckers (WS) from the Charles River (August, 2005). (TL, tail length; TEM, tail extent moment; TDNA, percent damaged or fragmented deoxyribonucleic acid in comet tail; μm , micrometer)

Species	Fish ID	Blood TDNA (percent)	Blood TEM (μm)	Blood TL (μm)	Liver TDNA (percent)	Liver TEM (μm)	Liver TL (μm)
Common carp	CC01	21.63	11.63	37.19	19.71	7.58	25.77
Common carp	CC02	41.66	29.94	65.35	14.19	4.71	20.38
Common carp	CC03	16.38	8.62	37.22	21.20	10.12	37.43
Common carp	CC04	13.21	4.83	25.40	19.89	8.79	32.99
Common carp	CC05	8.41	1.13	6.98	26.86	13.37	38.87
Common carp	CC06	24.12	13.21	45.75	22.07	8.81	26.72
Common carp	CC07	19.54	10.51	34.37	22.11	8.98	27.35
Common carp	CC08	20.67	9.61	30.22	22.61	10.28	31.58
Common carp	CC09	15.16	7.83	35.36	24.17	10.35	28.64
Common carp	CC10	24.42	12.69	35.43	35.57	14.97	34.58
Common carp	CC11	24.44	10.48	28.43	18.24	7.43	32.17
Common carp	CC12	21.81	10.04	29.57	15.67	5.81	23.30
Common carp	CC13	27.95	14.96	38.92	14.05	6.90	32.75
Common carp	CC14	25.47	12.10	37.46	20.93	7.60	26.62
Common carp	CC15	26.02	12.03	35.43	26.52	11.17	33.41
Common carp	CC16	19.66	10.16	33.33	29.78	14.38	41.95
Common carp	CC17	24.52	13.97	44.54	34.01	17.40	41.58
Common carp	CC18	12.40	4.93	27.92	22.11	11.19	41.41
Common carp	CC19	13.21	5.16	28.85	27.99	12.66	36.32
Common carp	CC20	12.95	4.90	28.59	20.97	7.61	29.25
White sucker	WS01	12.46	4.46	30.18	15.31	7.40	24.83
White sucker	WS02	20.62	8.52	31.63	22.53	8.76	30.14
White sucker	WS03	18.89	8.00	32.21	15.12	5.74	28.93
White sucker	WS04	8.76	2.54	22.41	25.41	13.26	39.32
White sucker	WS05	8.44	2.10	19.10	15.41	6.79	28.12
White sucker	WS06	9.55	3.52	29.45	9.65	5.58	55.45
White sucker	WS07	8.43	1.95	15.14	19.41	10.37	35.96
White sucker	WS08	12.29	4.61	31.03	15.53	6.88	37.78
White sucker	WS09	41.60	31.27	62.27	9.65	5.58	55.45
White sucker	WS10	10.85	4.36	28.41	23.43	9.40	27.07
White sucker	WS11	14.68	6.09	38.42	12.06	4.34	23.01
White sucker	WS12	16.27	7.32	39.24	9.24	2.46	22.57
White sucker	WS13	15.79	6.76	38.20	16.90	8.54	31.58
White sucker	WS14	11.18	3.83	29.27	15.32	5.92	25.90
White sucker	WS15	8.60	1.86	18.09	15.98	6.60	24.00
White sucker	WS16	9.44	3.44	33.21	12.30	3.92	18.59
White sucker	WS17	10.76	3.58	29.77	19.32	8.26	32.15
White sucker	WS18	9.90	2.29	18.53	10.15	2.94	19.71
White sucker	WS19	10.35	2.71	22.83	14.85	5.90	25.04
White sucker	WS20	8.93	2.12	20.07	13.96	5.20	24.54
White sucker	WS21	6.64	1.14	14.09	8.62	2.34	17.62
White sucker	WS22	7.49	1.22	13.29	10.15	2.93	17.35
White sucker	WS23	8.04	1.78	20.51	15.25	4.98	25.49
White sucker	WS24	6.11	1.75	20.86	11.20	3.77	20.07
White sucker	WS25	8.16	2.03	20.17	18.96	7.74	26.73
White sucker	WS26	6.98	2.04	24.26	21.75	10.69	35.79
White sucker	WS27	11.00	3.27	23.76	23.82	12.57	34.11
White sucker	WS28	8.26	2.39	26.17	14.85	5.90	22.99

Table 30. Comet assay measurements taken on blood and liver cells collected from common carp (CC) and white suckers (WS) from the Charles River (August, 2005). (TL, tail length; TEM, tail extent moment; TDNA, percent damaged or fragmented deoxyribonucleic acid in comet tail; μm , micrometer)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Blood TDNA (percent)	Blood TEM (μm)	Blood TL (μm)	Liver TDNA (percent)	Liver TEM (μm)	Liver TL (μm)
White sucker	WS29	9.97	3.10	26.94	12.67	4.52	20.82
White sucker	WS30	8.13	2.56	29.41	21.78	10.88	31.37
White sucker	WS31	10.46	3.56	30.76	14.90	6.45	29.94
White sucker	WS32	5.83	1.18	18.23	13.44	6.01	27.05
White sucker	WS33	6.11	1.51	23.16	11.61	4.02	24.88
White sucker	WS34	6.53	1.32	16.28	9.65	5.58	55.45
White sucker	WS35	4.85	1.06	20.18	12.50	4.91	21.44
White sucker	WS36	6.47	1.40	17.90	12.96	5.54	26.50
White sucker	WS37	6.19	1.12	17.51	9.59	3.14	19.34
White sucker	WS38	7.61	1.62	18.78	9.82	3.31	18.48
White sucker	WS39	8.20	1.76	18.68	16.20	5.82	22.59
White sucker	WS40	5.51	1.65	26.15	9.65	5.58	55.45

Table 31. H4IIE bioassay-derived 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents (TCDD-EQ), standard deviation, limit of detection (LOD), and limit of quantitation (LOQ) of composite fish samples collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Composite samples were based on species and gender. (pg/g, picogram per gram)

Sample	Gender	Number of fish	LOD	LOQ	TCDD-EQ (pg/g wet weight)	Standard deviation
Common carp	F	10	1.2	2.5	15.6	2.5 ¹
Common carp	M	10	1.2	2.5	23.1	4.7
Largemouth bass	F	8	1.2	2.5	17.0	3.6
Largemouth bass	M	12	1.2	2.5	29.9	3.8

¹ Indicates samples that were extracted in triplicate and assayed in triplicate; all other samples were assayed in duplicate.

Table 32. Mean hepatic ethoxyresorufin-o-deethylase (EROD) activity rate determined from liver samples collected from common carp (CC) and largemouth bass (LMB) in the Charles River (August, 2005). (pmols/min/mg, picomole per minute per milligram; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error; CV, coefficient of variation; LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantitation)

Species	Gender	Fish ID	Mean EROD rate	SD	CV	LOD ¹	LOQ ²
Common carp	F	CC16	20.4	2.2	10.8	0.4	1.5
Common carp	F	CC17	1.1	.1	8.5	.4	1.5
Common carp	F	CC18	42	4.1	9.9	.4	1.5
Common carp	F	CC19	53.7	6.2	11.6	.1	.8
Common carp	F	CC20	88.1	8.1	9.2	.1	.8
Common carp	F	CC3	81.6	1.6	2	.4	1.5
Common carp	F	CC4	57.3	1.3	2.3	.4	1.5
Common carp	F	CC6	6.6	.9	13.5	.4	1.5
Common carp	F	CC7	2.9	.6	19.3	.4	1.5
Common carp	F	CC9	54.5	5.4	10	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC1	73.4	13.5	18.4	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC10	25.6	4.2	16.2	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC11	85.4	16	18.7	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC12	106.5	17.8	16.7	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC13	108	16.4	15.2	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC14	1.8	.3	16.8	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC15	47.7	9.4	19.7	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC2	262.1	24.3	9.3	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC5	41.4	4.2	10.2	.4	1.5
Common carp	M	CC8	28.4	2.4	8.5	.4	1.5
Largemouth bass	F	LMB1	5	1.2	23.7	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	F	LMB11	6.3	1.2	19.2	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	F	LMB12	94.4	.9	.9	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	F	LMB14	79.5	5.4	6.9	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	F	LMB16	13.1	1.3	9.9	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	F	LMB2	153	14.6	9.5	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	F	LMB3	8	1.1	14.1	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	F	LMB7	136.5	8.8	6.5	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB 19	53.9	11.6	21.6	0	.7
Largemouth bass	M	LMB 20	4.1	.9	21.8	0	.7
Largemouth bass	M	LMB10	210.7	14.6	6.9	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB13	172.6	20.6	11.9	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB15	6.2	.9	14.1	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB17	166.5	29.4	17.7	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB18	162.4	14.1	8.7	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB4	223.4	28.5	12.8	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB5	6.6	.5	7.5	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB6	84.4	12.7	15	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB8	171.1	17.1	10	.1	.8
Largemouth bass	M	LMB9	167.2	6.3	3.8	.1	.8

¹The LOD was calculated by adding the average basal EROD rate to three times the SD of that rate.

²The LOQ was calculated by adding the average basal EROD rate to ten times the SD of that rate.

Table 33. Cytochrome P4501A enzyme activity measured as ethoxyresorufin-o-deethylase (EROD) activity in gill filament tips from white suckers (WS), common carp (CC), and largemouth bass (LMB) collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Values are presented as mean plus or minus standard deviation (SD) for two replicate wells per fish. Activities are expressed as picomoles (pmols) of resorufin formed/min/filament.

Species	Gender	Fish ID	Gill EROD (pmol/min/filament)	SD
Common carp	F	CC16	0.475 ¹	0.041
Common carp	F	CC17	.405 ¹	.102
Common carp	F	CC18	.535 ¹	.09
Common carp	F	CC19	.223	.02
Common carp	F	CC20	.488 ¹	.096
Largemouth bass	F	LMB11	.127	.031
Largemouth bass	F	LMB12	.257	.047
Largemouth bass	F	LMB13	.242	.005
Largemouth bass	F	LMB14	.302	.059
Largemouth bass	F	LMB15	.118	.008
White sucker	F	WS1	.175	.039
White sucker	F	WS10	.21	.006
White sucker	F	WS11	.181	.032
White sucker	F	WS12	.006	.001
White sucker	F	WS13	.115	.035
White sucker	F	WS2	.064	.022
White sucker	F	WS3	.101	.05
White sucker	F	WS31	.252	.052
White sucker	F	WS32	.235	.027
White sucker	F	WS34	.293	.022
White sucker	F	WS36	.747	.034
White sucker	F	WS37	.242	.033
White sucker	F	WS4	.261	.008
White sucker	F	WS5	.097	.012
White sucker	F	WS6	.111	.013
White sucker	F	WS7	.45	.166
White sucker	F	WS9	.362	.07
White sucker	M	WS16	.316	.011
White sucker	M	WS20	.182	.038
White sucker	M	WS22	.609	.205
White sucker	M	WS23	.359	.018
White sucker	M	WS27	.152	.008
White sucker	M	WS28	.303	.096
White sucker	M	WS33	.317	.126
White sucker	M	WS35	.474	.007
White sucker	M	WS38	.074	.021
White sucker	M	WS39	.121	.018

¹Exceeds highest value in standard curve.

Table 34. Concentration of vitamin C (ascorbic acid, AA) and vitamin E (α -tocopherol) as measured in liver tissue from common carp and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Standard deviation from two replicates is presented in parentheses. (TAA, total ascorbic acid; DHA, dehydroascorbic acid; $\mu\text{g/g}$, micrograms per gram)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Ascorbic acid ¹ ($\mu\text{g/g}$ wet weight)		Reduced AA (percent)	α -Tocopherol ² ($\mu\text{g/g}$ wet weight)
		TAA	DHA		
Common carp	CC01	17.33 ($\pm$ 3.00)	16.69 ($\pm$ 1.79)	4.6 ($\pm$ 6.4)	1.25 ($\pm$.05)
Common carp	CC02	9.75 ($\pm$ 3.15)	8.24 ($\pm$ 2.10)	14.6 ($\pm$ 6.0)	2.04 ($\pm$.03)
Common carp	CC03	23.98 ($\pm$ 3.16)	26.62 ($\pm$.79)	.0	1.63 ($\pm$.03)
Common carp	CC04	20.58 ($\pm$ 2.81)	18.77 ($\pm$ 7.70)	14.1 ($\pm$ 20.0)	.69 ($\pm$.14)
Common carp	CC05	12.98 ($\pm$ 1.20)	12.23 ($\pm$ 1.00)	8.3 ($\pm$ 11.8)	.84 ($\pm$.00)
Common carp	CC06	19.83 ($\pm$.95)	17.11 ($\pm$.69)	13.5 ($\pm$ 7.7)	1.47 ($\pm$.01)
Common carp	CC07	14.50 ($\pm$.48)	12.16 ($\pm$.44)	16.0 ($\pm$.1)	2.15 ($\pm$.28)
Common carp	CC08	14.84 ($\pm$ 3.27)	13.30 ($\pm$ 1.87)	11.9 ($\pm$ 3.9)	1.88 ($\pm$.03)
Common carp	CC09	16.44 ($\pm$ 3.00)	13.95 ($\pm$ 2.55)	18.5 ($\pm$ 22.8)	.87 ($\pm$.00)
Common carp	CC10	13.93 ($\pm$ 2.24)	12.38 ($\pm$ 1.19)	12.7 ($\pm$ 18.0)	1.18 ($\pm$.30)
Common carp	CC11	9.30 ($\pm$.42)	9.52 ($\pm$.36)	1.8 ($\pm$ 2.5)	3.29 ($\pm$.37)
Common carp	CC12	17.65 ($\pm$.42)	17.03 ($\pm$.10)	6.3 ($\pm$ 3.0)	1.62 ($\pm$.11)
Common carp	CC13	5.49 ($\pm$.98)	4.97 ($\pm$ 2.91)	26.4 ($\pm$ 37.2)	1.21 ($\pm$.00)
Common carp	CC14	26.05 ($\pm$.86)	24.81 ($\pm$.90)	4.7 ($\pm$ 6.6)	2.62 ($\pm$.03)
Common carp	CC15	15.87 ($\pm$.61)	14.62 ($\pm$.67)	7.9 ($\pm$.7)	3.84 ($\pm$.02)
Common carp	CC16	17.61 ($\pm$ 2.62)	13.60 ($\pm$ 1.89)	22.5 ($\pm$.1)	.64 ($\pm$.00)
Common carp	CC17	20.91 ($\pm$ 2.31)	18.91 ($\pm$ 2.43)	9.6 ($\pm$ 1.7)	.70 ($\pm$.18)
Common carp	CC18	33.04 ($\pm$ 3.32)	32.64 ($\pm$ 5.22)	4.0 ($\pm$ 5.6)	2.99 ($\pm$.07)
Common carp	CC19	26.02 ($\pm$ 1.72)	25.57 ($\pm$.75)	2.3 ($\pm$ 2.5)	.88 ($\pm$.02)
Common carp	CC20	43.61 ($\pm$ 1.55)	40.96 ($\pm$ 2.59)	6.1 ($\pm$ 2.6)	2.20 ($\pm$.01)
White sucker	WS01	67.52 ($\pm$ 3.31)	61.54 ($\pm$ 7.90)	15.7 ($\pm$ 6.0)	12.89 ($\pm$.10)
White sucker	WS02	46.34 ($\pm$ 2.18)	40.67 ($\pm$ 1.75)	12.3 ($\pm$.4)	1.73 ($\pm$.06)
White sucker	WS03	70.78 ($\pm$ 3.65)	60.60 ($\pm$.09)	14.3 ($\pm$ 4.5)	4.26 ($\pm$.26)
White sucker	WS04	44.24 ($\pm$ 2.68)	39.89 ($\pm$.67)	5.4 ($\pm$ 7.7)	2.39 ($\pm$.25)
White sucker	WS05	61.00 ($\pm$ 3.45)	56.64 ($\pm$ 4.81)	7.3 ($\pm$ 2.6)	2.56 ($\pm$.04)
White sucker	WS06	16.40 ($\pm$.77)	15.71 ($\pm$.18)	5.7 ($\pm$ 1.2)	2.77 ($\pm$.09)
White sucker	WS07	14.85 ($\pm$ 1.82)	14.17 ($\pm$.38)	5.3 ($\pm$ 7.5)	2.06 ($\pm$.08)
White sucker	WS08	10.87 ($\pm$.24)	10.45 ($\pm$.22)	6.5 ($\pm$.2)	2.83 ($\pm$.23)
White sucker	WS09	29.74 ($\pm$ 6.20)	23.52 ($\pm$ 7.54)	21.9 ($\pm$ 9.0)	3.35 ($\pm$.09)
White sucker	WS10	9.77 ($\pm$.45)	8.83 ($\pm$.36)	9.7 ($\pm$.5)	3.89 ($\pm$.69)
White sucker	WS11	28.49 ($\pm$ 1.84)	25.31 ($\pm$.25)	15.8 ($\pm$ 8.2)	4.03 ($\pm$.14)

Table 34. Concentration of vitamin C (ascorbic acid, AA) and vitamin E (α -tocopherol) as measured in liver tissue from common carp and white suckers collected from the Charles River (August, 2005). Standard deviation from two replicates is presented in parentheses. (TAA, total ascorbic acid; DHA, dehydroascorbic acid; $\mu\text{g/g}$, micrograms per gram)—Continued

Species	Fish ID	Ascorbic acid ¹ ($\mu\text{g/g}$ wet weight)		Reduced AA (percent)	α -Tocopherol ² ($\mu\text{g/g}$ wet weight)
		TAA	DHA		
White sucker	WS12	41.38 ($\pm$ 6.50)	41.95 ($\pm$ 2.44)	7.1 ($\pm$ 10.1)	3.91 ($\pm$.11)
White sucker	WS13	34.13 ($\pm$ 1.85)	28.86 ($\pm$ 6.31)	15.6 ($\pm$ 22.0)	4.12 ($\pm$.16)
White sucker	WS14	62.24 ($\pm$ 12.86)	55.25 ($\pm$ 2.97)	17.1 ($\pm$ 24.2)	4.47 ($\pm$.240)
White sucker	WS15	55.27 ($\pm$ 2.56)	51.42 ($\pm$ 3.71)	30.2 ($\pm$ 26.4)	5.27 ($\pm$.100)
White sucker	WS16	48.72 ($\pm$ 2.79)	42.27($\pm$ 11.99)	41.8 ($\pm$ 12.4)	4.81 ($\pm$.05)
White sucker	WS17	36.67 ($\pm$ 4.39)	35.78 ($\pm$.78)	7.5 ($\pm$ 1.8)	2.28 ($\pm$.040)
White sucker	WS18	55.19 ($\pm$ 9.15)	52.04 ($\pm$ 6.52)	11.5 ($\pm$ 16.3)	4.41 ($\pm$.05)
White sucker	WS19	61.05 ($\pm$ 8.37)	59.64 ($\pm$ 6.38)	2.7 ($\pm$ 2.0)	3.10 ($\pm$.34)
White sucker	WS20	68.83 ($\pm$ 5.23)	66.63 ($\pm$ 7.20)	4.1 ($\pm$ 2.0)	7.99 ($\pm$.04)
White sucker	WS21	20.52 ($\pm$.62)	19.64 ($\pm$ 1.85)	6.3 ($\pm$ 8.8)	5.30 ($\pm$.05)
White sucker	WS22	65.26 ($\pm$ 1.41)	60.55 ($\pm$.52)	7.2 ($\pm$ 2.9)	13.12 ($\pm$.38)
White sucker	WS23	60.99 ($\pm$ 2.95)	47.92($\pm$ 10.17)	21.0 ($\pm$ 21.0)	5.21 ($\pm$.29)
White sucker	WS24	37.93 ($\pm$ 1.63)	35.69 ($\pm$.73)	5.86 ($\pm$ 2.11)	2.80 ($\pm$.52)
White sucker	WS25	39.50 ($\pm$ 4.96)	34.55 ($\pm$ 7.22)	12.3 ($\pm$ 6.4)	3.53 ($\pm$.06)
White sucker	WS26	60.26 ($\pm$ 2.29)	58.94 ($\pm$ 4.15)	2.2 ($\pm$ 3.2)	2.96 ($\pm$.04)
White sucker	WS27	42.56 ($\pm$ 8.94)	29.45 ($\pm$ 8.19)	31.2 ($\pm$ 4.7)	4.70 ($\pm$.00)
White sucker	WS28	43.36 ($\pm$ 1.30)	37.13 ($\pm$.76)	14.4 ($\pm$.1)	5.40 ($\pm$ 1.08)
White sucker	WS29	53.26 ($\pm$ 3.48)	35.95 ($\pm$.57)	32.3 ($\pm$ 5.5)	4.08 ($\pm$.05)
White sucker	WS30	39.81 ($\pm$ 3.16)	26.17 ($\pm$ 7.70)	34.3 ($\pm$ 14.3)	5.88 ($\pm$.21)
White sucker	WS31	77.63 ($\pm$ 4.23)	76.59 ($\pm$ 1.60)	1.7 ($\pm$ 2.4)	7.23 ($\pm$.41)
White sucker	WS32	38.47 ($\pm$ 3.33)	30.86 ($\pm$ 1.04)	19.0 ($\pm$ 9.2)	4.55 ($\pm$.05)
White sucker	WS33	77.17 ($\pm$ 1.51)	44.07 ($\pm$.93)	42.9 ($\pm$ 2.3)	6.04 ($\pm$.09)
White sucker	WS34	33.79 ($\pm$ 6.33)	30.57 ($\pm$ 3.88)	9.0 ($\pm$ 5.6)	5.14 ($\pm$.14)
White sucker	WS35	74.29 ($\pm$ 1.53)	71.72 ($\pm$.31)	3.8 ($\pm$.1)	3.86 ($\pm$.02)
White sucker	WS36	38.95 ($\pm$ 1.90)	31.52 ($\pm$ 8.07)	19.6 ($\pm$ 16.9)	2.15 ($\pm$.04)
White sucker	WS37	50.36 ($\pm$ 1.57)	46.52 ($\pm$ 15.39)	15.4 ($\pm$ 21.7)	15.27 ($\pm$.24)
White sucker	WS38	88.83($\pm$ 12.76)	83.45 ($\pm$ 7.60)	5.7 ($\pm$ 5.0)	15.71 ($\pm$.70)
White sucker	WS39	65.27 ($\pm$ 1.13)	47.94 ($\pm$ 9.19)	26.6 ($\pm$ 12.8)	6.39 ($\pm$.04)
White sucker	WS40	86.42 ($\pm$ 1.29)	81.19 ($\pm$ 1.35)	6.1 ($\pm$.2)	8.34 ($\pm$.19)

Table 35. Abundance of invertebrates collected from depositional areas from the Charles River on August 25, 2005.

Site	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Taxon	Abundance
Site 1	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Tubificidae	Tubificidae	161
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cladopelma</i> sp.	39
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Procladius</i> sp.	22
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironomini	13
Site 1	Nematoda				Nematoda	10
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Polypedilum</i> sp.	7
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Dicrotendipes</i> sp.	4
Site 1	Arthropoda	Arachnida			Acari	3
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cryptotendipes</i> sp.	3
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Einfeldia</i> sp.	3
Site 1	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Corbiculidae	<i>Corbicula</i> sp.	3
Site 1	Annelida	Hirudinea	Rhynchobdellae	Glossiphoniidae	Glossiphoniidae	1
Site 1	Annelida	Hirudinea	Rhynchobdellae	Glossiphoniidae	<i>Desserobdella phalera</i>	1
Site 1	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Naididae	Naididae	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Caenidae	<i>Caenis</i> sp.	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Odonata	Corduliidae	Corduliidae	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydroptilidae	<i>Hydroptila</i> sp.	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironominae	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cryptochironomus</i> sp.	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Paratendipes</i> sp.	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Tribelos</i> sp.	1
Site 1	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Amphipoda	Gammaridae	<i>Gammarus</i> sp.	1
Site 1	Mollusca	Bivalvia			Bivalvia	1
Site 1	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	<i>Pisidium</i> sp.	1
Site 2	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Tubificidae	Tubificidae	28
Site 2	Arthropoda	Arachnida			Acari	5
Site 2	Nematoda				Nematoda	5
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cladopelma</i> sp.	3
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Polypedilum</i> sp.	3
Site 2	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Corbiculidae	<i>Corbicula</i> sp.	3
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Procladius</i> sp.	2
Site 2	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	<i>Micromenetus dilatatus</i>	2

Table 35. Abundance of invertebrates collected from depositional areas from the Charles River on August 25, 2005.—Continued

Site	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Taxon	Abundance
Site 2	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	Sphaeriidae	2
Site 2	Annelida	Hirudinea			Hirudinea	1
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Caenidae	<i>Caenis</i> sp.	1
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Dubiraphia</i> sp.	1
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironominae	1
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cryptochironomus</i> sp.	1
Site 2	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Dicrotendipes</i> sp.	1
Site 2	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Physidae	Physa sp.	1
Site 3	Mollusca	Bivalvia			Bivalvia	107
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Tribelos</i> sp.	38
Site 3	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Corbiculidae	<i>Corbicula</i> sp.	29
Site 3	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Tubificidae	Tubificidae	16
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Polypedilum</i> sp.	10
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cladopelma</i> sp.	5
Site 3	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	<i>Pisidium</i> sp.	4
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Chironomus</i> sp.	3
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Procladius</i> sp.	3
Site 3	Annelida	Hirudinea	Rhynchobdellae	Glossiphoniidae	Glossiphoniidae	2
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Stenelmis</i> sp.	2
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Micropsectra/Tanytarsus</i> sp.	2
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Tanytarsus</i> sp.	2
Site 3	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Mesogastropoda	Hydrobiidae	Hydrobiidae	2
Site 3	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	<i>Micromenetus dilatatus</i>	2
Site 3	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Amphipoda		Amphipoda	1
Site 3	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Amphipoda	Gammaridae	<i>Gammarus</i> sp.	1
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Odonata	Corduliidae	<i>Epiptera princeps</i>	1
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironomini	1
Site 3	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Orthocladiinae	1
Site 3	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Ancylidae	<i>Laevapex</i> sp.	1
Site 3	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	Planorbidae	1
Site 3	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	<i>Gyraulus</i> sp.	1

Table 36. Abundance of invertebrates collected from riffles as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) protocol from the Charles River during 2002 and 2003 (NAWQA Station 01104615).

Collection Date	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Taxon	Abundance
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Rheotanytarsus</i> sp.	3,705
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydroptilidae	<i>Leucotrichia pictipes</i>	3,351
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Dicrotendipes</i> sp.	2,735
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Polypedilum</i> sp.	2,206
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Philopotamidae	<i>Chimarra</i> sp.	1,678
September 17, 2002	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Tubificidae	Tubificidae	1,236
September 17, 2002	Nematoda				Nematoda	1,235
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cricotopus</i> sp.	1,148
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironominae	1,146
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cricotopus/Orthocladius</i> sp.	970
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	Planorbidae	794
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	<i>Cheumatopsyche</i> sp.	619
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Amphipoda	Gammaridae	<i>Gammarus</i> sp.	618
September 17, 2002	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Naididae	Naididae	530
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	<i>Hydropsyche depravata</i> group	442
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	<i>Hydropsyche</i> sp.	442
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	<i>Musculium</i> sp.	442
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Arachnida			Acari	354
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Stenelmis</i> sp.	354
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Tvetenia</i> sp.	354
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Empididae	<i>Hemerodromia</i> sp.	353
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Orthoclaadiinae	353
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Physidae	<i>Physa</i> sp.	267
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironomidae	265
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cricotopus bicinctus</i> group	265
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Thienemanniella</i> sp.	265
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Physidae	Physidae	265
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Ancylidae	Ancylidae	264
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Ancylidae	<i>Laevapex fuscus</i>	178
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Mesogastropoda	Hydrobiidae	Hydrobiidae	177
September 17, 2002	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Enchytraeida	Enchytraeidae	Enchytraeidae	176
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cardiocladius</i> sp.	176
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironomini	176
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	Hydropsychidae	176
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	Baetidae	89
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydroptilidae	<i>Hydroptila</i> sp.	89
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Pentaneura</i> sp.	89

Table 36. Abundance of invertebrates collected from riffles as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) protocol from the Charles River during 2002 and 2003 (NAWQA Station 01104615).—Continued

Collection Date	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Taxon	Abundance
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Tipulidae	<i>Antocha</i> sp.	88
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cricotopus trifascia</i> group	88
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	Elmidae	88
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydroptilidae	Hydroptilidae	88
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Philopotamidae	Philopotamidae	88
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	Sphaeriidae	88
September 17, 2002	Annelida	Hirudinea	Arhynchobdellae	Erpobdellidae	Erpobdellidae	3
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Philopotamidae	<i>Chimarra obscura</i>	2
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Veliidae	<i>Rhagovelia obesa</i>	2
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Isopoda	Asellidae	<i>Caecidotea</i> sp.	2
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Ablabesmyia</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Baetis flavistriga</i>	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Baetis intercalaris</i>	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Baetis</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Belostomatidae	<i>Belostoma lutarium</i>	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera		Brachycera	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Odonata	Coenagrionidae	Coenagrionidae	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Odonata	Corduliidae	Corduliidae	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Heterocloeon</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Tipulidae	<i>Limonia</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Mesoveliidae	<i>Mesovelia</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Gerridae	<i>Metrobates hesperius</i>	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Microcylloepus</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Parachironomus</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Phaenopsectra/Tribelos</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Nepidae	<i>Ranatra fusca</i>	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Scirtidae	Scirtidae	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Simuliidae	Simuliidae	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Simuliidae	<i>Simulium</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Heptageniidae	<i>Stenacron</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Leptohyphidae	<i>Tricorythodes</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Amphipoda	Crangonyctidae	<i>Crangonyx</i> sp.	1
September 17, 2002	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	<i>Helisoma anceps</i>	1
September 17, 2002	Platyhelminthes	Turbellaria			Turbellaria	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Philopotamidae	<i>Chimarra</i> sp.	3,996
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	<i>Hydropsyche depravata</i> group	1,050
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	Hydropsychidae	1,048

Table 36. Abundance of invertebrates collected from riffles as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) protocol from the Charles River during 2002 and 2003 (NAWQA Station 01104615).—Continued

Collection Date	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Taxon	Abundance
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Rheotanytarsus</i> sp.	969
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	<i>Hydropsyche</i> sp.	848
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydroptilidae	<i>Leucotrichia pictipes</i>	847
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydroptilidae	<i>Hydroptila</i> sp.	686
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	<i>Cheumatopsyche</i> sp.	565
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	Baetidae	403
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Amphipoda	Gammaridae	<i>Gammarus</i> sp.	364
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Naididae	Naididae	324
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydroptilidae	Hydroptilidae	323
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Tvetenia</i> sp.	283
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	<i>Musculium</i> sp.	283
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Polypedilum</i> sp.	243
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Stenelmis</i> sp.	243
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Mesogastropoda	Hydrobiidae	Hydrobiidae	203
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Arachnida			Acari	202
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Tipulidae	<i>Antocha</i> sp.	202
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Empididae	<i>Hemerodromia</i> sp.	162
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Tubificida	Tubificidae	Tubificidae	161
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	Sphaeriidae	161
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Ancylidae	<i>Laevapex</i> sp.	161
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Philopotamidae	<i>Chimarra obscura</i>	122
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cricotopus/Orthocladius</i> sp.	122
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Simuliidae	Simuliidae	122
August 20, 2003	Nematoda				Nematoda	122
August 20, 2003	Platyhelminthes	Turbellaria			Turbellaria	122
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cardiocladius</i> sp.	121
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Dicrotendipes</i> sp.	121
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Orthoclaadiinae	121
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Simuliidae	<i>Simulium</i> sp.	83
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	Chironomidae	81
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Hirudinea	Arhynchobdellae	Erpobdellidae	Erpobdellidae	43
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Macronychus glabratus</i>	42
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	<i>Pisidium</i> sp.	41
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Enchytraeida	Enchytraeidae	Enchytraeidae	40
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Empididae	Hemerodromiinae	40
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Pentaneura</i> sp.	40
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Glossosomatidae	<i>Protoptila</i> sp.	40

Table 36. Abundance of invertebrates collected from riffles as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) protocol from the Charles River during 2002 and 2003 (NAWQA Station 01104615).—Continued

Collection Date	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Taxon	Abundance
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	Planorbidae	40
August 20, 2003	Nemertea	Enopla	Hoplonemertea	Tetrastemmatidae	<i>Prostoma</i> sp.	40
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Hirudinea	Rhynchobdellae	Glossiphoniidae	Glossiphoniidae	3
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Ancyronyx variegata</i>	2
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Hirudinea	Rhynchobdellae	Glossiphoniidae	<i>Helobdella stagnalis</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Oligochaeta	Lumbriculida	Lumbriculidae	Lumbriculidae	1
August 20, 2003	Annelida	Oligochaeta			Megadrile	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Ablabesmyia</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Baetis flavistriga</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Baetis intercalaris</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Baetis</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cricotopus</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Cryptochironomus</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Dubiraphia</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	Elmidae	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Glyptotendipes</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	<i>Heterocloeon</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	<i>Hydropsyche betteni</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Heptageniidae	<i>Maccaffertium modestum</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Coleoptera	Elmidae	<i>Microcylloepus pusillus</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Phaenopsectra</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Ephemeroptera	Heptageniidae	<i>Stenacron</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Tanytarsus</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Insecta	Diptera	Chironomidae	<i>Tribelos</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Isopoda	Asellidae	<i>Caecidotea</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Amphipoda	Crangonyctidae	<i>Crangonyx</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Decapoda	Cambaridae	<i>Orconectes</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Bivalvia	Veneroida	Sphaeriidae	<i>Sphaerium</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	<i>Helisoma anceps</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Ancylidae	<i>Laevapex fuscus</i>	1
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Planorbidae	<i>Micromenetus</i> sp.	1
August 20, 2003	Mollusca	Gastropoda	Basommatophora	Physidae	<i>Physa</i> sp.	1

Figure 37. Abundance of fish collected as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) protocol from the Charles River during 2002 and 2003 (NAWQA Station #01095220).

Collection Date	Family	Scientific name	Common name	Abundance
September 18, 2002	Cyprinidae	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Common carp	65
September 18, 2002	Percidae	<i>Perca flavescens</i>	Yellow perch	24
September 18, 2002	Catostomidae	<i>Catostomus commersonii</i>	White sucker	22
September 18, 2002	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Bluegill	20
September 18, 2002	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis auritus</i>	Redbreast sunfish	19
September 18, 2002	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Largemouth bass	18
September 18, 2002	Anguillidae	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	American eel	11
September 18, 2002	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>	Smallmouth bass	10
September 18, 2002	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	Pumpkinseed	8
September 18, 2002	Clupeidae	<i>Alosa sapidissima</i>	American shad	6
September 18, 2002	Cyprinidae	<i>Carassius auratus</i>	Goldfish	3
September 18, 2002	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis cyanellus</i>	Green sunfish	2
September 16, 2003	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Bluegill	127
September 16, 2003	Catostomidae	<i>Catostomus commersonii</i>	White sucker	64
September 16, 2003	Moronidae	<i>Morone americana</i>	White perch	57
September 16, 2003	Clupeidae	<i>Alosa sapidissima</i>	American shad	30
September 16, 2003	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	Pumpkinseed	26
September 16, 2003	Percidae	<i>Perca flavescens</i>	Yellow perch	26
September 16, 2003	Cyprinidae	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Common carp	21
September 16, 2003	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis auritus</i>	Redbreast sunfish	21
September 16, 2003	Anguillidae	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	American eel	11
September 16, 2003	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Largemouth bass	7
September 16, 2003	Cyprinidae	<i>Notemigonus crysoleucas</i>	Golden shiner	3
September 16, 2003	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>	Smallmouth bass	2
August 31, 2004	Cyprinidae	<i>Notropis hudsonius</i>	Spottail shiner	57
August 31, 2004	Catostomidae	<i>Catostomus commersonii</i>	White sucker	35
August 31, 2004	Percidae	<i>Perca flavescens</i>	Yellow perch	28
August 31, 2004	Cyprinidae	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Common carp	25
August 31, 2004	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis auritus</i>	Redbreast sunfish	25
August 31, 2004	Anguillidae	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	American eel	18
August 31, 2004	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>	Smallmouth bass	14
August 31, 2004	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Largemouth bass	12
August 31, 2004	Clupeidae	<i>Alosa aestivalis</i>	Blueback herring	11
August 31, 2004	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Bluegill	11
August 31, 2004	Moronidae	<i>Morone americana</i>	White perch	11
August 31, 2004	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	Pumpkinseed	9
August 31, 2004	Centrarchidae	<i>Pomoxis nigromaculatus</i>	Black crappie	4
August 31, 2004	Moronidae	<i>Morone saxatilis</i>	Striped bass	2
August 31, 2004	Cyprinidae	<i>Notemigonus crysoleucas</i>	Golden shiner	2
August 31, 2004	Ictaluridae	<i>Ameiurus natalis</i>	Yellow bullhead	1
August 31, 2004	Ictaluridae	<i>Ameiurus nebulosus</i>	Brown bullhead	1
August 23, 2005	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis auritus</i>	Redbreast sunfish	54
August 23, 2005	Anguillidae	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	American eel	38
August 23, 2005	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Bluegill	37
August 23, 2005	Centrarchidae	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	Pumpkinseed	22
August 23, 2005	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus dolomieu</i>	Smallmouth bass	21
August 23, 2005	Centrarchidae	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Largemouth bass	12
August 23, 2005	Percidae	<i>Perca flavescens</i>	Yellow perch	9
August 23, 2005	Catostomidae	<i>Catostomus commersonii</i>	White sucker	7
August 23, 2005	Cyprinidae	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Common carp	3

Acknowledgments

Many individuals provided invaluable field and laboratory expertise for a timely completion of the collections and analyses. This includes John Coll (Lamar Fish Health Center, Lamar, Penn), Carla Wieser, Tim Gross, Janet Scarborough associated with the USGS Florida Integrated Science Center at Gainesville, Fla., Tom May, Mike Walther, Bill Brumbaugh, Dave Alvarez, Walt Cranor, Stephanie Perkins, Vickie Schroeder, Randy Clark, Carl Orazio, John Meadows, George Tegerdine, Robert Gale, Kathy Echols, Chris Ingersoll, and Don Tillitt associated with the USGS Columbia Environmental Research Center,) Adria Elskus from the USGS Field Research Station located at the University of Maine, Tom Leiker from the National Water Quality Laboratory, Lakewood, Colo., Kim Campo, Dave Armstrong, Megan Chaisson, Sara Flanagan and Jonathan Kennon Karen Beaulieu associated with the former USGS Northeast Area Offices, Jim Coles and John Meier with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 1 (New England), ; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Concord, N.H.; Ken Munney, Drew Major); USGS S.C. Fish and Wildlife Cooperative Research Unit at Clemson University (Jeff Isley); Ohio State University (Konrad Dabrowski), University of Florida (Nancy Denslow, Kevin Kroll). We would also like to acknowledge and thank Tim Frick (WRD Conn.) and Deborah Iwanowicz (Leetown Science Center) for graciously reviewing the report. Numerous scientists with the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program were consulted and provided valuable insights.

References Cited

- Adams, S.M., 1990, Status and use of biological indicators for evaluating the effects of stress on fish, *in* Adams, S.M., ed, Biological indicators of stress in fish: American Fisheries Society Symposium 8, p. 1–8.
- Adams, S.M., Brown, A.M., Goede, R.W., 1993, A quantitative health assessment index for rapid evaluation of fish condition in the field: Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, v. 122, p. 63–73.
- Alvarez, D.A., Cranor, W.L., Perkins, S.D., Schroeder, V.L., and Clark, R.C., 2006, Use of passive samplers for monitoring organic contaminants in the Charles River: U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, Columbia, Mo., Final Report, 45 p.
- Ankley, G.T., Benoit, D.A., Balogh, J.C., Reynoldson, T.B., Day, K.E., 1994, Evaluation of potential confounding factors in sediment toxicity tests with three freshwater benthic invertebrates: Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, v. 13, no. 4, p. 627–635.
- American Society for Testing and Materials E729 – 96, 2007, Standard guide for conducting acute toxicity tests on test materials with fishes, macroinvertebrates, and amphibians: West Conshohocken, Penn., American Society for Testing and Materials.
- Baumann, P.C., Smith, I.R., and Metcalfe, C.D., 1996, Linkages between chemical contaminants and tumors in benthic Great Lakes fishes: Journal of Great Lakes Research, v. 22, no. 2, p. 131–152.
- Baumann, P.C., 1998, Epizootics of cancer in fish associated with genotoxins in sediment and water—Reviews in mutation research: Mutation Research, v. 411, p. 227–233.
- Baumann, P.C., Harshbarger, J.C., and Hartman, K.J., 1990, Relations of liver tumors to age structure of brown bullhead populations from two Lake Erie tributaries: Science of the Total Environment, v. 94, p. 71–88.

- Bevans, H.E., Goodbred, S.L., Miesner, J.F., Watkins, S.A., Gross, T.S., Denslow, N.D., and Schoeb, T., 1996, Synthetic organic compounds and carp endocrinology and histology in Las Vegas wash and Las Vegas Callville Bays of Lake Mead, Nevada, 1992 and 1995: U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 96-4266, 12 p.
- Blazer, V.S., 2002, Histopathological assessment of gonadal tissue in wild fishes: *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, v. 26, p. 85–106.
- Blazer, V.S., Facey, D.E., Fournie, J.W., Courtney, L.A., and Summers, J.K., 1994, Macrophage aggregates as indicators of environmental stress, *in* Stolen, J.S., Fletcher, T.C., Modulators of fish immune responses, Volume 1—Models for environmental toxicology, biomarkers, immunostimulators: Fair Haven, N.J., SOS publications, p. 169–186.
- Blazer, V.S., Dethloff, G.M., Wright, B., 2002, Fish health indicators: U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Information and Technology Report, USGS/BRD/ITR—2002-0004, p. 89–134.
- Blazer, V.S., Fournie, J.W., Weeks-Perkins, B.A., 1997, Macrophage aggregates—Biomarker for immune function in fishes?, *in* Dwyer, F.J., Doane, T.R., Hinman, M.L., eds., Environmental toxicology and risk assessment—Modeling and risk assessment, Volume 6: Philadelphia, Penn., American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM STP 1317, p. 360–375
- Birke, S.R., and Tillitt, D.E., 2000, Determination of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin equivalents (TCDD-EQ) with H4IIE bioassay on fish tissue samples collected from the Columbia and Lower Rio Grande river basins as part of the 1997 Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) Program: U.S. Geological Survey, Biochemistry and Physiology Branch Report.
- Brown, S.B., Adams, B.A., Cyr, D.G., and Eales, J.G., 2004, Contaminant effects on the teleost fish thyroid: *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, v. 23, p. 1680–1701.
- Brumbaugh, W.G., Arms, J.W., 1996, Quality control considerations for the determination of acid-volatile sulfide and simultaneously extracted metals in sediments: *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, v. 15, no. 3, p. 282–285.
- Burton, G.A., Jr., Norberg-King, T.J., Benoit, D.A., Ankley, G.T., Winger, P.V., Kubitz, J., Lazorchak, J.M., Smith, M.E., Call, D. I J., Ingersoll, C.G., Greer, E., Dwyer, F.J., Day, K.E., Kennedy, P., and Stinson, M., 1996, Interlaboratory study of precision—*Hyalella azteca* and *Chironomus tentans* freshwater sediment toxicity assays: *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, v. 15, no. 8, p. 1335–1343.
- Campana, S.E., and Neilson, J.D., 1985, Microstructure of fish otoliths: *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, v. 42, p. 1014–1032.
- Coll, J.A., 2006, Fish health analyses for Charles River study: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lamar, Penn., Lamar Fish Health Center Case 05-280.
- Collier, T.K., Stein, J.E., Sanborn, H.R., Hom, T., Meyers, M.S., and Varanasi, U., 1992, Field studies of reproductive success and bioindicators of maternal contaminant exposure in English sole (*Parophrys vetulus*): *Science of the Total Environment*, v. 116, p. 169–185.
- Contaminant Biology Program, 2002, From laboratory to landscape: U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Discipline, Program Review, 123 p.
- Cuffney, T.F., Gurtz, M.E., and Meador, M.R., 1993, Methods for collecting benthic invertebrate samples as part of the national water-quality assessment program: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 93-406, 66 p.
- Dabrowski, K., and Ciereszko, A., 2001, Ascorbic acid and reproduction in fish—Endocrine regulation and gamete quality: *Aquaculture Research*, v. 32, p. 623–638.

- Dabrowski, K., Hinterleitner, S., 1989, Simultaneous analysis of ascorbic acid, dehydroascorbic acid and ascorbic sulphate in biological materials: *Analyst*, v. 114, p. 83–87.
- Denslow, N.D., Chow, M., Chow, M.C., Folmar, L.C., Monomelli, S., Heppell, S.A., and Sullivan, C.V., 1996, Development of antibodies to teleost vitellogenins—Potential biomarkers for environmental estrogens, *in* Bengston, D.A., and Henshel, D.S., eds., *Environmental toxicology and risk assessment: American Society for Testing and Materials*, v. ASTM STP13065, p. 23–36.
- Denslow, N.D., Chow, M.C., Kroll, K.J., and Green, L., 1996, Vitellogenin as a biomarker of exposure for estrogen or estrogen mimics: *Ecotoxicology*, v. 8, p. 385–398.
- Denslow, N.D., Chow, M., Chow, M.C., Bonomelli, S., Folmar, L.C., Heppell, S.A., and Sullivan, C.V., 1997, Development of biomarkers for environmental contaminants affecting fish, *in* Rolland, R.M., Gilbertson, M., and Peterson, R.E., eds., *Chemically induced alterations in functional development and reproduction of fishes: Pensacola, Fla., Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) Press*, p. 73–86.
- Denslow, N.D., Chow, M.C., Groll, K.F., and Green, L., 1999, Vitellogenin as a biomarker for estrogen or estrogen mimics: *Ecotoxicology*, v. 8, p. 385–398.
- Dermott, R.M., 1991, Deformities in larval *Procladius* spp. and dominant Chironomini from the St. Clair River: *Hydrobiologia*, v. 219, p. 171–175.
- Dickman, M., Brindle, I., and Benson, M., 1992, Evidence of teratogens in sediments of the Niagara River Watershed as reflected by chironomid (Diptera: Chironomidae) deformities: *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, v. 18, p. 467–480.
- Eisler, R., 1987, Polyaromatic aromatic hydrocarbon hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates: a synoptic review. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85 (1:11).
- Fitzpatrick, F.A., Waite, I.R., Arconte, P.J., Meador, M. R., Maupin, M.A., and Gurtz, M.E., 1998, Revised methods for characterizing stream habitat in the National Water-Quality (NAWQA) program: U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations Report 98-4052, 67 p.
- Fishman, M.J., Raese, J.W., Gerlitz, C.N., and Husband, R.A., 1994, U.S. Geological Survey approved inorganic and organic methods for the analysis of water and fluvial sediment, 1954–94: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 94–351, 55 p.
- Fournie, J.W., Summers, J.K., and Weisberg, S.B., 1996, Prevalence of gross pathological abnormalities in estuarine fishes: *Transaction of the American Fisheries Society*, v. 125, p. 581–590.
- Friorentino, J.F., Kennedy, L.E., and Weinstein, M.J., 2000, Charles River watershed 1997/1998 water quality assessment report: Boston, Mass., Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, report no. 72-AC-3.
- Furlong, E.T., Vaught, D.G., Merten, L.M., Foreman, W.T., and Gates, P.M., 1996, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Determination of semivolatile organic compounds in bottom sediment by solvent extraction, gel permeation chromatographic fractionation, and capillary-column gas chromatography/mass spectrometry: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95–719, 67p.
- Goodbred, S.L., Gilliom, R.J., Gross, T.S., Denslow, N.D., Bryant, W.B., and Schoeb T.R., 1997, Reconnaissance of 17 β -estradiol, 11-ketotestosterone, vitellogenin, and gonad histopathology in common carp of United States streams—Potential for contaminant induced endocrine disruption: U.S. Geological Survey, Open File Report 96–627, 47 p.
- Grady A.W., McLaughlin R.M., Caldwell C.W., Schmitt C.J., Stalling D.L. 1992, Flow cytometry, morphometry and histopathology as biomarkers of benzo(a)pyrene exposure in brown bullheads (*Ameiurus nebulosus*): *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, v. 12, p. 165–77.

- Grau, E. G., 1987, Thyroid hormones, *in* Pang, P.K., and Schreiber, M.P., eds., *Vertebrate endocrinology—Fundamentals and biomedical implications*: San Diego, California, Academic Press, v. 2, p. 85–102.
- Gross, T.S., Arnold, B.S., Sepulevda, M.S., and McDonald, K., 2003, Endocrine disrupting chemicals and endocrine active agents, *in* Hoffman, D.J., Rattner, B.A., Burton, G.A., Jr., and Cairns, J., Jr, eds., *Handbook of ecotoxicology*: Washington, D.C., Lewis Publishers, p. 1033–1098.
- Hinck, J.E., Schmitt, C.J., Bartish, T.M., Denslow, N.D., Blazer, V.S., Anderson, P.J., Coyle, J.J., Dethloff, G.M., and Tillitt, D.E., 2004, Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) Program—Environmental contaminants and their effects on fish in the Columbia River Basin: U.S. Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Report 2004–5154, 125 p.
- Hinton, D.E., Teh, S.J., Okihira, M.S., Cooke, J.B., and Parker, L.M., 1992, Phenotypically altered hepatocyte populations in diethylnitrosamine-induced medaka liver carcinogenesis—Resistance, growth, and fate: *Marine Environmental Research*, v. 34, p. 1–5.
- Hinton, D.E., 1993, Toxicologic histopathology of fishes—A systemic approach and overview, *in* Couch, J.A., and Fournie, J.W., eds., *Pathobiology of marine and estuarine organisms*: Boca Raton, Fla., CRC Press, p. 177–215.
- Hoffman, D.J., Rattner, B.A., Burton, G.A., Jr., and Cairns, J., Jr., (eds.), 2003, *Handbook of ecotoxicology*: Boca Raton, Fla., Lewis Publishers, 1260 p.
- Hoffman, G.L., 1996, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Preparation procedure for aquatic biological material determined for trace metals: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 96–362, 42 p.
- Ingersoll, C.G., 1995, Sediment tests, *in* Rand, G.M., ed., *Fundamentals of aquatic toxicology*: Washington, D.C., Taylor and Francis, p. 231–256.
- Ingersoll, C.G., Wang, N., Hayward, J.M.R., Jones, J.R., Jones, S.B. and Ireland, D.S., 2005, A field assessment of long-term laboratory sediment toxicity tests with the amphipod *Hyaella azteca*: *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, v. 24, no. 11, p. 2853–2870.
- Ingersoll, C.G., MacDonald, D.D., Brumbaugh, W.G., Johnson, B.T., Kemble, N.E., Kunz, J.L., May, T.W., Wang, N., Smith, J., Sparks, D., and Ireland, D.S., 2002, Toxicity assessment of sediments from the Grand Calumet River in Indiana Harbor Canal in northwestern Indiana, USA: *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, v. 43, no. 2, p. 156–167.
- Johnson, B.T., and Long, E.R., 1998, Rapid toxicity assessment of sediments from estuarine ecosystems—A new tandem in vitro testing approach: *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, v. 17, p. 1099–1106.
- Jonsson, E.M., Brandt, I., and Brunstrom, B., 2002, Gill filament-based EROD assay for monitoring waterborne dioxin-like pollutants in fish: *Environmental Science & Technology*, v. 36, no. 15, p. 3340–3344.
- Jonsson, E.M., Abrahamson, A., Brunstrom, B., Brandt, I., 2006, Cytochrome P4501A induction in rainbow trout gills and liver following exposure to waterborne indigo, benzo[a]pyrene and 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl: *Aquatic Toxicology*, v. 79, p. 226–232.
- Kaiser, K.L.E., and Palabrica, V.S., 1991, *Photobacterium phosphoreum* toxicity data index: *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada*, v. 26, no. 33, p. 361–431.
- Keith, L.H., 1991, *Environmental sampling and analysis—A practical guide*: Boca Raton, Fla., CRC Press, 160 p.
- Keith, L.H., Crummett, W., Deegan, J., Jr., Libby, R.A., Taylor, J.K., and Wentler, G., 1983, *Principles of environmental analysis: Analytical Chemistry*, v. 55, p. 2210–2218.

- Kennedy, S.W., and Jones, S.P., 1994, Simultaneous measurement of cytochrome P4501A catalytic activity and total protein concentration with a fluorescence plate reader: *Analytical Biochemistry*, v. 222, no. 1, p. 217–223.
- Kime, D.E., and Nash, J.P., 1999, Gamete viability as an indicator of reproductive endocrine disruption in fish: *The Science of the Total Environment*, v. 233, p. 123–129.
- Krahn, M.M., Myers, M.S., Burrows, D.G., and Malins, D.C., 1984, Determination of metabolites of xenobiotics in bile of fish from polluted waterways: *Xenobiotica*, v. 14, p. 633–646.
- Kumar, S., and Pant, S.C., 1988, Comparative sublethal ovarian pathology of some pesticides in the telost, *Puntius conchonus* Hamilton: *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, v. 41, p. 227–232.
- Leiker, T.J., Madsen, J.E., Deacon, J.R., and Foreman, W.T., 1995, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Determination of chlorinated pesticides in aquatic tissue by capillary-column gas chromatography with electron-capture detection: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 94–710, 42 p.
- Leiker, T.J., Abney, S.R., Goodbred, S.L., and Rosen, M.R., 2008, Identification of methyl triclosan and halogenated analogues in mail carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) from Las Vegas Bay and semipermeable membrane devices from Las Vegas Wash, Nevada: *Science of the Total Environment*, 13 p.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosbrough, N.J., Farr, A.L., and Randall, R.J., 1951: *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, v. 193, p. 265.
- May, T.W., Walther, M., and Brumbaugh, W.G., 2006, Determination of metals in pore water, sediment and fish from the Charles River, Massachusetts: U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, Final Report CERC-8335-FY06-32-02, 15 p.
- Meier, J.R., Chang, L.W., Daniel, F.B., Franson, S.E., and Wernsing, P., 2001, Genetic damage indicators in fish exposed to varying stream conditions in an agricultural watershed: *Environmental Molecular Mutagenesis*, v. 37 (supplement 32), p. 52.
- Moulton, S.R., Kennen, J.G., Goldstein, R.M., and Hambrook, J.A., 2002, Revised protocols for sampling algal, invertebrate, and fish communities as part of the National Water Quality (NAWQA) Program: U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report 02-150, 87 p. (Also available at <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-150/>.)
- Moulton, S.R., Carter, J.L., Grotheer, S.A., Cuffney, T.F., and Short, T.M., 2000, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey, National Water Quality Laboratory—Processing, taxonomy, and quality control of benthic macroinvertebrate samples: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 00-212, 49 p.
- Neilson, M.A., Painter, D.S., Warren, G., Hites, R.A., Basu, I., Weseloh, D.V.C., Whitte, D.M., Christic, G., Barbeiru, R., Tuchman, M., Johannsson, D.E., Nalepa, T.F., Edsall, T.A., Fleischer, G., Bronte, C., Smith, S.B., and Baumann, P.C., 2003, Ecological monitoring for assessing the state of the nearshore and open waters of the Great Lakes: *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, v. 88, no. 1-3, p. 103–117.
- National Research Council, 2002, Opportunities to improve the U.S. Geological Survey National Water-Quality Assessment Program: Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, 238 p.
- National Research Council, 1995, A review of the biomonitoring of environmental status and trends program—The draft detailed plan: Committee to Review the Department of the Interior's Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends Program Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, 74 p.

- Olson, M.C., Iverson, J.L., Furlong, E.T., and Schroeder, M.P., 2004, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory—Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon compounds in sediment by gas chromatography /mass spectrometry: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 03–4318, 45 p.
- Orazio, C., Echols, K., Meadows, J., Feltz, K., Tegerdine, G., Peterman, P., 2006, Organic contaminants in fish and sediments collected from the Charles River in 2005: U.S. Geological Survey, Columbia Environmental Research Center, Final Report, 15 p. [plus tables].
- Patino, R., Goodbred, S.L., Draugelis-Dale, R., Barry, C.E., Foott, J.S., Wainscott, M.R., Gross, T.S., and Covay, K.J., 2003, Morphometric and histological parameters of gonadal development in adult common carp from contaminated and reference sites in Lake Mead, Nevada: *Journal of Aquatic Animal Health*, v. 15, p. 55–68.
- Popper, A.N., and Lu, Z., 2000, Structure-function relationships in fish otolith organs: *Fisheries Research*, v. 46, p.15–25.
- Pohl, R.J., and Fouts, J.R., 2007, A rapid method for assaying the metabolism of 7-ethoxyresorufin by microsomal subcellular fractions: *Analytical Biochemistry*, v. 107, no. 1, p. 150–155.
- Rastogi, A., and Kulshrestha, S.K, 1990, Effect of sublethal doses of three pesticides on the ovary of a carp minnow *Tasbora daniconius*: *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, v. 45, p. 742–747.
- Roe, J.H., and Kuether, C.A., 1943, The determination of ascorbic acid in whole blood and urine through the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine derivative of dehydroascorbic acid: *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, v. 147, p. 399–407.
- Schmitt, C.J., Blazer, V.S., Dethloff, G.M., Tillett, D.E., Gross, T.S., Bryant, W.L., Jr., DeWeese, V., Smith, S.B., Goede, R.W., Bartish, T.M., and Kubiak, T.J., 1999, Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) Program—Field procedures for assessing the exposure of fish to environmental contaminants: Columbia, Mo., U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Information and Technology Report, USGS/BRD-1999-000-7, 68 p.
- Schmitt, C.J., Zajicek, J.L., May, T.W., and Cowman, D.F., 1999, Organochlorine residues and elemental contaminants in U.S. freshwater fish, 1976-1986—National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program: *Review of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, v. 162, p. 43–104.
- Schmitt, C.J., and Dethloff, G.M., 2000, Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) Program—Selected methods for monitoring chemical contaminants and their effects in aquatic ecosystems: Columbia, Mo., U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Information and Technology Report, USGS/BRD-2000-0005, 81 p.
- Schmitt, C.J., ed., 2002, Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) Program—Environmental contaminants and their effects on fish in the Mississippi River Basin: U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Science Report 2002–0004.
- Schmitt, C., Dethloff, G., Hinck, J., Bartish, T., Blazer, V., Coyle, J., Denslow, N., Tillitt, D., 2004, Biomonitoring of Environmental Status and Trends (BEST) Program—Environmental contaminants and their effects on fish in the Rio Grande Basin: U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division, Scientific Investigations Report 2004–5108, 117 p.
- Sepulveda, M.S., Quinn, P.B., Denslow, N.D., Holm, S.E., and Gross, T.S., 2003, Effects of pulp and paper mill effluents on reproductive success of largemouth bass: *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, v. 22, p. 205–213.

- Shelton, L.R., 1994, Field guide for collecting and processing stream-water samples for the National Water-Quality Assessment Program: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 94-455, 42 p.
- Singh, N.P., McCoy, M.T., Tice, R.R., and Schneider, E.L., 1988, A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells: *Experimental Cell Research*, v. 175, no. 1, p. 184–191.
- Skougstad, M.W., Fishman, M.J., Friedman, L.C., Erdmann, D.E., and Duncan, S.S., 1979, Methods for determination of inorganic substances in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques of Water Resources Investigations, book 5, chap. A1, 626 p.
- Smith, S.B., Donahue, A.P., Lipkin, R.J., Blazer, V.S., Schmitt, C.J., and Goede, R.W., 2002, Illustrated field guide for assessing external and internal anomalies in fish: U.S. Geological Survey, Information and Technology Report 2002-0007, 46 p.
- Smith, S.B., Gross, T.S., and Denslow, N.D., 2002, Endocrine biomarkers in largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) related to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in Woods Pond, Housatonic River, Massachusetts: U.S. Geological Survey, Florida Integrated Science Center, Report 001-2002, 18 p.
- Toft, G., Edward, T.M., Baatrup, E., and Gillette, L.J., Jr., 2003, Disturbed sexual characteristics in male mosquitofish (*Gambusia holbrooki*) from a lake contaminated with endocrine disruptors: *Environmental Health Perspectives*, v. 11, p. 695–701.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000, National guidance—Guidance for assessing chemical contaminant data for use in fish advisories—Volume 1—Sampling and analysis (3d ed.): Washington D.C., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 823-B-00-007, 485 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2001, EPA Superfund Explanation of Significant Differences: US Army Material Technology Laboratory (USARMY) EPA ID: MA0213820939, Watertown MA. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 1 EPA/ESD/R01-01/014/2001, 39 p.
- Van Metre, P.C., Mahler, B.J., and Furlong, E.T., 2000, Urban sprawl leaves its PAH signature: *Environmental Science and Technology*, v. 34, p. 4064–4070.
- Waddell, B., and May, T., 1995, Selenium concentrations in the razorback sucker (*Xyrauchen texanus*)—Substitution of non-lethal muscle plugs for muscle tissue in contaminant assessment: *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, v. 28, p. 321–326.
- Weiskel, P.K., Barlow, L.K., and Smieskel, T.W., 2005, Water resources and the urban environment, Lower Charles River watershed, Massachusetts, 1630–2005: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1280, 46 p.
- White, A., Fletcher, T.C., Secombes, C.J., and Houlihan, D.F., 1993, The effect of different dietary levels of vitamins C and E on their tissue levels in the Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., in Kaushik, S.J., and Luquet, P., eds., *Fish nutrition in practice*: Paris, Les Colloques, p. 203–207.
- Whyte, J.J., Jung, R.E., Schmitt, C.J., and Tillitt, D.E., 2000, Ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase (EROD) activity in fish as a biomarker of chemical exposure: *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, v. 30, p. 347–570.
- Yang, X., Peterson, D.S., Baumann, P.C., and Lin, E.L.C., 2003, Fish biliary PAH metabolites estimated by fixed-wavelength fluorescence as an indicator of environmental exposure and effects: *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, v. 29, no. 1, p. 116–123.
- Zhou T., John-Alder, H.B., and Weis, J.S., 2000, Endocrine disruption—Thyroid dysfunction in mummichogs from a polluted habitat: *Marine Environmental Research*, v. 50, p. 393–397.

Publishing support provided by:
Denver Publishing Service Center

For more information concerning this publication, contact:
Center Director, USGS Fort Collins Science Center
2150 Centre Ave., Bldg. C
Fort Collins, CO 80526-8118
(970) 226-9398

Or visit the Fort Collins Science Center Web site at:
<http://www.fort.usgs.gov/>