USGS
science for a changing world

U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

Open-File Report 2015–1098
Sheet 3 of 10
*Pamphlet accompanies map*







## DISCUSSION

This acoustic-backscatter map of the Offshore of Salt Point map area in northern California was generated from backscatter data collected by California State University, Monterey Bay (CSUMB) (fig. 1) and by Fugro Pelagos. Mapping was completed between 2007 and 2010, using a combination of 200-kHz and 400-kHz Reson 7125 and 244-kHz Reson 8101 multibeam echosounders, as well as a 468-kHz SEA SWATHplus bathymetric sidescan-sonar system. These mapping missions combined to collect acoustic-backscatter data from about the 10-m isobath to beyond the 3-nautical-mile limit of California's State Waters.

During the mapping missions, an Applanix POS MV (Position and Orientation System for Marine Vessels) was used to accurately position the vessels during data collection, and it also accounted for vessel motion such as heave, pitch, and roll (position accuracy, ±2 m; pitch, roll, and heading accuracy, ±0.02°; heave accuracy, ±5%, or 5 cm). To account for tidal-cycle fluctuations, CSUMB used NavCom 2050 GPS receiver (CNAV) data, and Fugro Pelagos used KGPS data (GPS data with real-time kinematic corrections); in addition, sound-velocity profiles were collected with an Applied Microsystems (AM) SVPlus sound velocimeter. Soundings were corrected for vessel motion using the Applanix POS MV data, for variations in water-column sound velocity using the AM SVPlus data, and for variations in water height (tides) using vertical-position data from the KGPS receivers

The multibeam-echosounder backscatter data were postprocessed using CARIS 7.0/Geocoder software. Within Geocoder, the backscatter intensities were radiometrically corrected (including despeckling and angle-varying gain adjustments), and the position of each acoustic sample was geometrically corrected for slant range on a line-by-line basis. After the lines were corrected, they were mosaicked into 1- or 2-m resolution images. Overlap between parallel lines was resolved using a priority table whose values were based on the distance of each sample from the ship track, with the samples that were closest to and furthest from the ship track being given the lowest priority. An anti-aliasing algorithm was also applied. The mosaics were then exported as georeferenced TIFF images, imported into a geographic information system (GIS), and converted to GRIDs at 2-m resolution.

The SWATHplus backscatter data were postprocessed using USGS software (D.P. Finlayson, written commun., 2011) that normalizes for time-varying signal loss and beam-directivity differences. Thus, the raw 16-bit backscatter data were gain-normalized to enhance the backscatter of the SWATHplus system. The resulting normalized-amplitude values were rescaled to 16-bit and gridded into GeoJPEGs using GRID Processor Software, then imported into a GIS and converted to GRIDs

The acoustic-backscatter imagery from each different mapping system and processing method were merged into their own individual grids. These individual grids, which cover different areas, were displayed in a GIS to create this map, on which brighter tones indicate higher backscatter intensity, and darker tones indicate lower backscatter intensity. The intensity represents a complex interaction between the acoustic pulse and the seafloor, as well as characteristics within the shallow subsurface, providing an indication of seafloor texture and sediment type. Backscatter intensity depends on the acoustic source level; the frequency used to image the seafloor; the grazing angle; the composition and character of the seafloor, including grain size, water content, bulk density, and seafloor roughness; and some biological cover. Harder and rougher bottom types such as rocky outcrops or coarse sediment typically return stronger intensities (high backscatter, lighter tones), whereas softer bottom types such as fine sediment return weaker intensities (low backscatter, darker tones). The differences in backscatter intensity that are apparent in some areas of the map are due to the different frequencies of the mapping systems, as well as different processing techniques. Note that the parallel lines of higher backscatter intensity throughout the map area are data-collection artifacts.

Bathymetric contours were generated at 10-m intervals from a merged 2-m-resolution bathymetric surface. The merged surface was smoothed using the Focal Mean tool in ArcGIS and a circular neighborhood that has a radius of 20 to 30 m (depending on the location). The contours were generated from this smoothed surface using the Spatial Analyst Contour tool in ArcGIS. The most continuous contour segments were preserved; smaller segments and isolated island polygons were excluded from the final output. The contours were then clipped to the boundary of the map area.

The onshore-area image was generated by applying the same illumination (azimuth of 300° and from 45° above the horizon) to 2-m-resolution topographic-lidar data from OpenTopography (available at http://www.opentopography.org/), and to 10-m-resolution data from the U.S. Geological Survey's, National Elevation Dataset (available at http://ned.usgs.gov/).

## EXPLANATION

**Backscatter intensity**

**High**

**Low**

**Area of "no data"**—Areas near shoreline not mapped owing to insufficient high-resolution seafloor mapping data; areas beyond 3-nautical-mile limit of California's State Waters were not mapped as part of California Seafloor Mapping Program

**3-nautical-mile limit of California's State Waters**

**Bathymetric contour (in meters)**—Derived from modified 2-m-resolution bathymetry grid. Contour interval: 10 m




**Figure 1.** Map showing areas of multibeam-echosounder and bathymetric-sidescan surveys (pink shading) and onshore topographic data (yellow shading). Also shown are data-collecting agencies (CSUMB, California State University, Monterey Bay, Seafloor Mapping Lab; FP, Fugro Pelagos) and dates of surveys if known.

Onshore elevation data from National Aeronautics and Space Administration and U.S. Geological Survey, available at http://www.opentopography.org/; and from U.S. Geological Survey, National Elevation Dataset, available at http://ned.usgs.gov/. California's State Waters limit from NOAA Office of Coast Survey
Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 10N

**NOT INTENDED FOR NAVIGATIONAL USE**

14°
APPROXIMATE MEAN DECLINATION, 2015

SCALE 1:24 000
1 1/2 0 1 MILE
1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 FEET
1 .5 0 1 KILOMETER
BATHYMETRIC CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 METERS
ONE MILE = 0.869 NAUTICAL MILES

CALIF.
MAP LOCATION

Acoustic-backscatter data collected by Fugro Pelagos in 2007 and by California State University, Monterey Bay, Seafloor Mapping Lab in 2007-2010. Bathymetric contours by Mercedes D. Erdey, 2013
GIS database and digital cartography by Nadine E. Golden
Manuscript approved for publication May 12, 2015

# Acoustic Backscatter, Offshore of Salt Point Map Area, California

By
**Peter Dartnell,[1] Mercedes D. Erdey,[1] and Rikk G. Kvitek[2]**

**2015**

[1]U.S. Geological Survey;
[2]California State University Monterey Bay, Seafloor Mapping Lab



Digital files available at http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151098 or http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2015/1098
Dartnell, P., Erdey, M.D., and Kvitek, R.G., 2015, Acoustic backscatter, Offshore of Salt Point map area, California, sheet 3 in Johnson, S.Y., Dartnell, P., Golden, N.E., Hartwell, S.R., Erdey, M.D., Greene, H.G., Cochrane, G.R., Kvitek, R.G., Manson, M.W., Endris, C.A., Dieter, B.E., Watt, J.T., Krigsman, L.M., Sliter, R.W., Lowe, E.N., and Chin, J.L. (S.Y. Johnson and S.A. Cochran, eds.), California State Waters Map Series—Offshore of Salt Point, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2015–1098, pamphlet 37 p., 10 sheets, scale 1:24,000, http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151098.

ISSN 2331-1258 (online)
http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151098