U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

Open-File Report 2015–1191
Sheet 7 of 10
Pamphlet accompanies map



Onshore elevation data from California Coastal Conservancy (available from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA] Coastal Service Center's Digital Coast at http://www.csc.noaa.gov/digitalcoast/data/coastallidar/) and from U.S. Geological Survey's National Elevation Dataset (available at http://ned.usgs.gov/). Offshore shaded-relief bathymetry from map on sheet 2, this report. California's State Waters limit from NOAA Office of Coast Survey.

Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 10N

NOT INTENDED FOR NAVIGATIONAL USE

13½°
TRUE NORTH
MAGNETIC NORTH
APPROXIMATE MEAN DECLINATION, 2015

SCALE 1:24 000

1 ½ 0 1 MILE

1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 FEET

1 0 1 KILOMETER

BATHYMETRIC CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 METERS

ONE MILE = 0.869 NAUTICAL MILES



MAP LOCATION

Potential marine benthic habitats mapped by Bryan E. Dieter, Charles A. Endris, and H. Gary Greene, 2011. Bathymetric contours by Mercedes D. Erdey, 2014

GIS database and digital cartography by Mercedes D. Erdey and Bryan E. Dieter

Manuscript approved for publication September 30, 2015

Potential Marine Benthic Habitats, Offshore of Scott Creek Map Area, California

By

Charles A. Endris,[1] H. Gary Greene,[1] Bryan E. Dieter,[1] and Mercedes D. Erdey[2]

2015

[1]Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, Center for Habitat Studies;
[2]U.S. Geological Survey

DESCRIPTION OF MAP UNITS

UNCONSOLIDATED CONTINENTAL SHELF SEDIMENTS

- **Ss(s/m)_r/u** — Soft, unconsolidated sediment (fine sand and mud), predominantly rippled
- **Ss(s)_r/u** — Soft, unconsolidated sediment (sand), predominantly rippled
- **Ss(s)m/w_u** — Unconsolidated, dynamic mound of soft sediment (sand)
- **Ss(s)m/w/y_u** — Unconsolidated, dynamic mound of soft sediment (sand), in delta zone
- **Ss(s/y_r/u** — Soft, unconsolidated delta sediment (sand), predominantly rippled
- **Ss(s/g)h/w_r/s/u** — Soft, mobile sediment window that has unconsolidated and rippled sediment waves, overlying scoured lag pavement of sand and gravel (sorted bedforms)

MIXED SUBSTRATE ON CONTINENTAL SHELF

- **Sme_c/u** — Mixed habitat of soft, unconsolidated sediment, locally overlying hard, consolidated sedimentary bedrock

HARD SUBSTRATE ON CONTINENTAL SHELF

- **Shd_cl/df** — Hard, consolidated sedimentary rock, boulders, or pinnacle
- **Sh(b)/p_c** — Hard, consolidated boulders or pinnacles of sedimentary rock

EXPLANATION OF MAP SYMBOLS

Contact

Area of "no data"—Areas near shoreline not mapped owing to insufficient high-resolution seafloor mapping data; areas beyond 3-nautical-mile limit of California's State Waters were not mapped as part of California Seafloor Mapping Program

3-nautical-mile limit of California's State Waters

20 **Bathymetric contour (in meters)**—Derived from modified 2-m-resolution bathymetry grid. Contour interval: 10 m

DISCUSSION

This map shows "potential" marine benthic habitats in the Offshore of Scott Creek map area. Marine benthic habitats represent a particular type of substrate, geomorphology, seafloor process, or any other attribute that may provide a habitat for a specific species or an assemblage of organisms. Such maps are based largely on seafloor geology, and this map integrates seafloor geology (sheet 10) with information depicted on several other thematic maps of the Offshore of Scott Creek map area: high-resolution bathymetry (sheet 1); shaded-relief imagery (sheet 2); backscatter (sheet 3); seafloor character (sheet 5); and ground-truth information (sheet 6). This map also uses information from the usSEABED bottom-sampling compilation by Reid and others (2006). The combination of remotely observed data (for example, multibeam bathymetry and backscatter, seismic-reflection profiles) and directly observed data (for example, camera transects, sediment samples) translates to higher confidence in the ability to interpret broad areas of the seafloor (fig. 1).

To avoid any possible misunderstanding of the term "habitat," the term "potential habitat" (as defined by Greene and others, 2005) is used herein to describe a set of distinct seafloor conditions that in the future may qualify as an "actual habitat." Once habitat associations of a species are determined, they can be used to create maps that depict actual habitats, which then need to be confirmed by "ground-truth" surveying using in situ observations, video, and (or) photographic documentation.

Marine benthic habitats are classified using the Benthic Marine Potential Habitat Classification Scheme, a mapping-attribute code developed by Greene and others (1999, 2007). In this map series, habitat-classification codes are based on the deepwater habitat-characterization scheme developed by Greene and others (1999), which was created to not only easily distinguish marine benthic habitats but also to facilitate ease of use and queries within GIS and database programs. The code, which is summarized in chapter 6 in the accompanying pamphlet, is derived from several categories of the Benthic Marine Potential Habitat Classification Scheme (Greene and others, 1999, 2007), and it can be subdivided on the basis of the spatial scale of the data.

High-resolution, multibeam-sonar data, converted to bathymetric depth grids (seafloor digital elevation models; sheet 1), are essential to development of the habitat map, as is shaded-relief imagery (sheet 2), which allows for visualization of seafloor terrain, and provides a foundation for interpretation of submarine landforms. Areas of seafloor bedrock exposures are identified by their common sharp edges and high relative relief, these may be contiguous outcrops, isolated parts of outcrop protruding through sediment cover (pinnacles or knobs), or isolated boulders.

Backscatter maps (sheet 3) also are essential for developing potential benthic habitat maps. High backscatter is further indication of "hard" bottom, consistent with interpretation as rock or coarse sediment. In many locations, areas within or around a rocky feature appear to be covered by a thin veneer of sediment, identified on the habitat map as "mixed" induration (in other words, containing both rock and sediment). Broad, generally smooth areas of the seafloor that lack sharp and angular edge characteristics are mapped as "sediment" and are further defined by various sedimentary features such as erosional scours and depressions, as well as depositional features such as dunes, mounds, or sand waves. Low backscatter, indicative of a "soft" bottom, also significantly aids identification and classification of sedimentary habitats.

Delineated in the Offshore of Scott Creek map area are 9 potential marine benthic habitat types, all of which are located on the continental shelf ("Shelf" megahabitat). The mesio- and macrohabitats include soft unconsolidated sediment (6 habitat types) such as fine sand and mud, sand, and dynamic features such as mobile sand sheets, sediment waves, and rippled sediment depressions; mixed substrate (1 habitat type) such as soft sand and gravels overlying consolidated sedimentary bedrock and gravel pavement; and hard substrate (2 habitat types) such as deformed and differentially eroded bedrock, as well as pinnacles and boulders.

Backscatter data (see sheet 3) show that most of the area is underlain by "soft" materials, consistent with the interpretation that unconsolidated sediments dominate habitat in the map area. Sedimentary processes are quite active, especially on the inner shelf just south of Point Año Nuevo, just north of the map area (see fig. 1–1 in pamphlet), and, thus, habitats are highly dynamic, with sediment transport primarily to the southeast. An extensive bedrock exposure of deformed and differentially eroded bedrock is found offshore just south of Point Año Nuevo, and it extends along the nearshore to Davenport, potentially providing good habitat for rockfish (*Sebastes* spp.).

Of the 128.49 km^2 on the continental shelf in the map area, soft, unconsolidated sediment is the dominant habitat type, covering 109.01 km^2 (84.8 percent). Hard rock covers 18.58 km^2 (14.5 percent), whereas 0.91 km^2 (0.7 percent) consists of mixed hard-soft substrate.

REFERENCES CITED

Greene, H.G., Bizzarro, J.J., O'Connell, V.M., and Brylinsky, C.K., 2007, Construction of digital potential marine benthic habitat maps using a coded classification scheme and its application, *in* Todd, B.J., and Greene, H.G., eds., Mapping the seafloor for habitat characterization: Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 47, p. 141–155.

Greene, H.G., Bizzarro, J.J., Tilden, J.E., Lopez, H.L., and Erdey, M.D., 2005, The benefits and pitfalls of geographic information systems in marine benthic habitat mapping, *in* Wright, D.J., and Scholz, A.J., eds., Place matters: Portland, Oregon State University Press, p. 34–46.

Greene, H.G., Yoklavich, M.M., Starr, R.M., O'Connell, V.M., Wakefield, W.W., Sullivan, D.E., McRea, J.E., and Cailliet, G.M., 1999, A classification scheme for deep seafloor habitats: Oceanologica Acta, v. 22, p. 663–678.

Reid, J.A., Reid, J.M., Jenkins, C.J., Zimmerman, M., Williams, S.J., and Field, M.E., 2006, usSEABED—Pacific Coast (California, Oregon, Washington) offshore surficial-sediment data release: U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 182, available at http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/2006/182/.





Figure 1. Map showing video-observation locations and sample localities for Offshore of Scott Creek map area.

Coastal Conservancy

CALIFORNIA OCEAN PROTECTION COUNCIL

NOAA

US Army Corps of Engineers

BOEM Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government

This map was printed on an electronic plotter directly from digital files. Dimensional calibration may vary between electronic plotters and between X and Y directions on the same plotter, and paper may change size due to atmospheric conditions; therefore, scale and proportions may not be true on plots of this map.

For sale by U.S. Geological Survey, Information Services, Box 25286, Federal Center, Denver, CO 80225, 1–888–ASK–USGS

Digital files available at http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151191

Suggested Citation: Endris, C.A., Greene, H.G., Dieter, B.E., and Erdey, M.D., 2015, Potential marine benthic habitats, Offshore of Scott Creek map area, California, *sheet 7 in* Cochrane, G.R., Dartnell, P., Johnson, S.Y., Greene, H.G., Erdey, M.D., Dieter, B.E., Golden, N.E., Endris, C.A., Hartwell, S.R., Kvitek, R.G., Davenport, C.W., Watt, J.T., Krigsman, L.M., Ritchie, A.C., Sliter, R.W., Finlayson, D.P., and Maier, K.L. (S.R. Cochrane and S.A. Cochran, eds.), California State Waters Map Series—Offshore of Scott Creek, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2015–1191, pamphlet 40 p., 10 sheets, scale 1:24,000, http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151191.

ISSN 2331-1258 (online)
http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151191