# **Geogenic Water-Quality Effects on Beneficial Uses of Water**

By Melinda L. Erickson, Douglas B. Kent, John K. Böhlke, and Christopher H. Conaway

#### Chapter C of

### Knowledge Gaps and Opportunities for Understanding Water-Quality Processes Affecting Water Availability for Beneficial Uses

Edited by Anthony J. Tesoriero, Melinda L. Erickson, Christopher H. Conaway, Elizabeth J. Tomaszewski, and Christopher T. Green

Open-File Report 2023–1086

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

#### U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2024

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment—visit https://www.usgs.gov or call 1–888–ASK–USGS (1–888–275–8747).

For an overview of USGS information products, including maps, imagery, and publications, visit https://store.usgs.gov.

Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this information product, for the most part, is in the public domain, it also may contain copyrighted materials as noted in the text. Permission to reproduce copyrighted items must be secured from the copyright owner.

Suggested citation:

Erickson, M.L., Kent, D.B., Böhlke, J.K., and Conaway, C.H., 2024, Geogenic water-quality effects on beneficial uses of water, chap. C *of* Tesoriero, A.J., Erickson, M.L., Conaway, C.H., Tomaszewski, E.J., and Green, C.T., eds., Knowledge gaps and opportunities for understanding water-quality processes affecting water availability for beneficial uses: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2023–1086, 29 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20231086.

ISSN 2331-1258 (online)

### Contents

Purpose and Scope	1
Statement of the Problem	1
Status of Knowledge and Capabilities	3
Geogenic Constituent Sources and Distribution Processes	3
Geogenic Constituent Risk	3
Anthropogenic Activity Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution	4
Climate Change Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution	5
Capabilities	5
Gap Analysis and Approaches	5
Gap 1. Geogenic Constituent Sources and Distribution Processes	5
Knowledge Gaps	6
Analytical Forensic Methods	6
Advanced Geochemical Modeling	6
Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps	6
Develop and Deploy New Chemical and Isotopic Analytical Forensic Methods	6
Augment Geochemical Modeling Capabilities and Thermodynamic Databases	6
Laboratory and Field Experiments to Identify and Quantify Controlling Processes an	d
Parameters	7
Delineate Regional Background Concentrations	7
Gap 2. Geogenic Constituent Risk	7
Knowledge Gaps	7
Co-Occurrence and Distribution Patterns	7
Exposure Risk Assessments	8
Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps	8
Constituent Co-Occurrence and Mixture Analysis	8
New Machine-Learning Applications and Modeling Methods	8
Delineate Crucial Geochemical Boundaries, Timescales, and Processes	8
Quantify Risk to Vulnerable Populations	9
Gap 3. Anthropogenic Activity Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution	9
Knowledge Gaps	9
How Anthropogenic Activities Mobilize Geogenic Constituents	9
Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps	11
Field Studies Targeting the Effects of Anthropogenic Activities on Mobilizing Geogenic Constituents	11
Incorporate Human Activities into Hydrologic and (or) (Bio)Geochemical Models	11
Gap 4. Climate Change Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution	11
Knowledge Gaps	11
Evolving Effects of Geogenic Constituents Under Changing Climatic Conditions and	11
Miligation Strategies	۱۱ ۱۹
Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps	LI
See Level Bios	۲۱ 10
Sea-Level nise	LIL
Carbon Dioxide Sequestration	IJ 12
Experieu outcomes	5 I
Annondiv C1 Additional Information Polated to Geograpic Constituents in Mater	14 רב
Appendix of Auditional information related to deogenic constituents in water	∠∠ 
ulussaly References Cited	22 70
ווטוטוטווטט טונכע	∠1

### Tables

C1.	Average abundance of selected geogenic elements in the upper crust, the equivalent	
	pore-water concentration, human health benchmark, and the percent release to	
	achieve exceedance	2
C2.	Summary table for geogenic constituent gap analysis for understanding water-quality	
	processes affecting water availability for beneficial uses in the United States	4

### **Conversion Factors**

U.S. customary units to International System of Units

Multiply	Ву	To obtain					
	Length						
inch (in.)	2.54	centimeter (cm)					
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter (m)					
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer (km)					
	Area						
acre	0.004047	square kilometer (km <sup>2</sup> )					
square mile (mi <sup>2</sup> )	2.590	square kilometer (km <sup>2</sup> )					
	Volume						
gallon (gal)	3.785	liter (L)					
billion gallons (Ggal)	3,785	cubic kilometer (km <sup>3</sup> )					
	Flow rate						
gallon per day (gal/d)	0.003785	cubic meter per day (m <sup>3</sup> /d)					
billion gallons per day (Ggal/d)	43.81	cubic meter per second (m <sup>3</sup> /s)					
Mass							
ounce, avoirdupois (oz)	28.35	gram (g)					
pound, avoirdupois (lb)	0.4536	kilogram (kg)					
	Pressure						
atmosphere, standard (atm)	101.3	kilopascal (kPa)					
	Density						
pound per cubic foot (lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )	0.01602	gram per cubic centimeter (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )					
pound per gallon (lb/gal)	0.1198	kilogram per liter (kg/L)					
	Energy						
kilowatthour (kWh)	3,600,000	joule (J)					
	Radioactivity						
picocurie per liter (pCi/L)	0.037	becquerel per liter (Bq/L)					

International System of Units to U.S. customary units

Multiply	Ву	To obtain
	Length	
centimeter (cm)	0.3937	inch (in.)
meter (m)	3.281	foot (ft)
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile (mi)
	Area	
square kilometer (km <sup>2</sup> )	247.1	acre
square kilometer (km <sup>2</sup> )	0.3861	square mile (mi <sup>2</sup> )
	Volume	
liter (L)	0.2642	gallon (gal)
cubic centimeter (cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.06102	cubic inch (in <sup>3</sup> )
	Flow rate	
liter per second (L/s)	0.2642	gallon per second (gal/s)
cubic kilometer per day (km3/d)	264.2	billion gallon per day (Ggal/d)
	Mass	
gram (g)	0.03527	ounce, avoirdupois (oz)
kilogram (kg)	2.205	pound avoirdupois (lb)
	Pressure	
kilopascal (kPa)	0.009869	atmosphere, standard (atm)
	Density	
gram per cubic centimeter (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	62.4220	pound per cubic foot (lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )
kilogram per liter (kg/L)	8.345	pound per gallon (lb/gal)
	Energy	
joule (J)	0.0000002	kilowatthour (kWh)
	Radioactivity	
becquerel per liter (Bq/L)	27.027	picocurie per liter (pCi/L)

Temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) may be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) as °F =  $(1.8 \times °C) + 32$ . Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit (°F) may be converted to degrees Celsius (°C) as °C = (°F - 32) / 1.8.

### **Supplemental Information**

Concentrations of chemical constituents in water are given in either milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter ( $\mu$ g/L).

Activities for radioactive constituents in water are given in picocuries per liter (pCi/L).

### **Abbreviations**

- BTEX a group of volatile organic compounds: benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes
- EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- HBSL health-based screening level
- IWS Integrated Water Science
- MAR managed aquifer recharge
- MCL maximum contaminant level
- SAR sodium adsorption ratio
- SC specific conductance
- TDS total dissolved solids
- USGS U.S. Geological Survey
- WMA Water Resources Mission Area
- WSS Water Science Strategy

### **Chemical Symbols**

Al	aluminum	N	nitrogen
As	arsenic	0	oxygen
Ba	barium	Р	phosphorus
В	boron	Ро	polonium
Са	calcium	К	potassium
С	carbon	Ra	radium
CI	chlorine	Rn	radon
Cr	chromium	Rb	rubidium
Cu	copper	Sm	samarium
F	fluorine	Se	selenium
Н	hydrogen	Na	sodium
Fe	iron	Sr	strontium
Pb	lead	S	sulfur
Mg	magnesium	Th	thorium
Mn	manganese	U	uranium
Hg	mercury	V	vanadium
Ni	nickel	Zn	zinc

#### **Chapter C**

Knowledge Gaps and Opportunities for Understanding Water-Quality Processes Affecting Water Availability for Beneficial Uses Edited by Anthony J. Tesoriero, Melinda L. Erickson, Christopher H. Conaway, Elizabeth J. Tomaszewski, and Christopher T. Green [Also see https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20231086]

## **Geogenic Water-Quality Effects on Beneficial Uses of Water**

By Melinda L. Erickson, Douglas B. Kent, John K. Böhlke, and Christopher H. Conaway

### **Purpose and Scope**

This chapter is focused on identifying knowledge and data gaps, that if filled, could improve the ability to incorporate, model, and predict the effect of geogenic water-quality problems on intended beneficial uses. The gaps identified in this chapter are not intended to be comprehensive but are instead focused on key opportunities for the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Water Resources Mission Area (WMA, https://www.usgs.gov/mission-areas/water-resources). Geogenic constituent effects on ecosystems are not addressed in this chapter but are covered in the companion Open-File Report to this publication (Harvey and others, 2024) in their chapter C "Anthropogenic and Geogenic Contaminant Bioexposures Impacting Aquatic Ecosystems" and chapter E "Freshwater Salinization—An Expanding Impairment of Aquatic Ecosystem Health."

### Statement of the Problem

Water availability is dependent on both water quantity and water quality, as described in the 2013 USGS Water Science Strategy (WSS) (Evenson and others, 2013). Geogenic constituents, which are constituents related to geologic sources (although they can also have other sources), are among the most prevalent contaminants limiting water availability in the United States and globally. Geogenic constituents generally occur in groundwater due to geochemical conditions of water relative to the aquifer material. For example, concentrations of many constituents in the upper-crustal materials are sufficient so that dissolution of small fractions during water-rock interaction can cause concentrations of constituents to exceed a threshold for a beneficial use, as illustrated in table C1. Naturally occurring radioisotopes, including potassium-40 (40K), rubidium-87 (<sup>87</sup>Rb), samarium-147 (<sup>147</sup>Sm), thorium isotopes (<sup>228</sup>Th, <sup>230</sup>Th, <sup>232</sup>Th), uranium isotopes (<sup>234</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>238</sup>U), polonium-210 (<sup>210</sup>Po), lead-210 (210Pb), radium-226 (226Ra), and 228Ra, are also present at levels that, if released, can exceed a threshold for a beneficial use (appendix C1, table C1.1). Geogenic constituents can also occur in surface water. Geogenic constituents may also occur due to environmental conditions such as an accumulation from atmospheric deposition in areas of arid climate or if redox or pH conditions in the aquifer facilitate the constituent to occur in a

more mobile state (appendix C1, fig C1.1). Many anthropogenic activities can exacerbate geogenic constituent mobilization.

As described in chapter A, water-quality requirements differ by beneficial use category (table A1). Drinking-water use requires consideration of total dissolved solids (TDS) concentration and a large list of geogenic constituents, which may be toxic, and (or) interfere with treatment efficacy or distribution system function. Water use for irrigation requires consideration of boron (B), iron (Fe), and TDS concentrations as well as the sodium (Na) abundance relative to magnesium (Mg) and calcium (Ca) (the sodium adsorption ratio [SAR]). Water use for livestock requires consideration of the pH, alkalinity, and concentrations of sulfate and TDS. Water use for cooling requires consideration of pH, hardness (sum of the concentrations of Mg and Ca), and concentrations of alkalinity, Fe, hydrogen sulfide, sulfate, silica, and TDS. Water use for oil and gas development requires considering hardness and concentrations of barium (Ba), B, Fe, sulfate, and TDS. The distributions and relative abundances of various waterquality parameters will affect water availability in different ways, depending on intended use and treatment options (McMahon and others, 2016a). Both surface water (fig. A3A) and groundwater (fig. A3B) are used for public water supply. In many areas both water sources are used for public supply (fig. A3D). In substantial portions of the country, domestic well water is the dominant or only potable drinking water source available (fig. A3C), estimated to serve 42.5 million people (Dieter and others, 2018).

There are many examples of how mobilized geogenic constituents can limit water availability in the United States, including existing and proposed USGS Integrated Water Science (IWS) Basins. In the Delaware River Basin, important concerns include geogenic metalloids and metals (arsenic [As], Fe, manganese [Mn], aluminum [Al]), radionuclides (radon-222 [222Rn]), and saltwater intrusion into public and domestic supply wells (Scott and others, 2019). Along with sea-level rise, salt-water intrusion can mobilize geogenic constituents that are present in an aquifer matrix. In the Colorado River Basin, non-metals and metals from abandoned mines, including selenium (Se), mercury (Hg), U, and Rn, as well as geogenic nitrate are major threats to water availability (Spahr and others, 2000; Conaway and others, 2005; Naftz and others, 2008; Vengosh, 2014). The Illinois River Basin intensive study identified as major issues:

**Table C1.** Average abundance of selected geogenic elements in the upper crust, the equivalent pore-water concentration, human health benchmark, and the percent release to achieve exceedance.

[Avg., average; EPA MCL, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency maximum contaminant level; HBSL, health-based screening level; µmol/kg, micromole per kilogram; µmol/L, micromole per liter; —, human-health based benchmark or data are not available; g/cm<sup>3</sup>, gram per cubic centimeter; kg/L, kilogram per liter.]

Element <sup>a</sup>	Avg. crustal abundance <sup>b</sup> (µmol/kg)	Equivalent pore-water concentration° (µmol/L)	Human health benchmark (µmol/L (mg/L))	Human health benchmark <sup>d</sup>	Percent release to achieve exceedance <sup>e</sup>
Antimony (Sb)	3.3	13	0.05 (0.006)	EPA MCL	0.4
Arsenic (As)	65	260	0.13 (0.01)	EPA MCL	0.05
Barium (Ba)	4,500	18,000	15 (2)	EPA MCL	0.08
Cadmium (Cd)	0.08	3.2	0.04 (0.005)	EPA MCL	1
Chlorine (Cl)	10,000	41,000			
Chromium (Cr)	1,800	7,000	1.9 (0.1)	EPA MCL	0.03
Cobalt (Co)	290	1,200	0.03 (0.002)	HBSL	0.003
Copper (Cu)	440	1,800	20 (1.3)	EPA MCL	0.03
Fluoride (F)	29,000	120,000	210 (4)	EPA MCL	0.2
Iron (Fe)	7.0×10 <sup>5</sup>	$2.8 \times 10^{6}$	72 (4)	HBSL	0.003
Lead (Pb)	82	330	0.07 (0.015)	EPA MCL	0.02
Lithium (Li)	3,000	12,000	1.4 (0.01)	HBSL	0.01
Manganese (Mn)	14,000	56,000	5.5 (0.3)	HBSL	0.01
Mercury (Hg)	0.25	0.99	0.01 (0.002)	MCL	1
Molybdenum (Mo)	11	46	0.31 (0.03)	HBSL	0.7
Nickel (Ni)	800	3,200	1.7 (0.1)	HBSL	0.05
Nitrogen (N)	5,900	24,000	—		—
Phosphorus (P)	21,000	84,000	—		—
Potassium (K)	420,000	1,700,000	—		—
Rubidium (Rb)	980	3,900	—		—
Selenium (Se)	1.1	4.5	0.6 (0.05)	EPA MCL	14
Strontium (Sr)	3,700	15,000	46 (4)	HBSL	0.3
Sulfur (S)	19,000	77,000	—		—
Thallium (Tl)	4.4	18	0.01 (0.002)	EPA MCL	0.06
Thorium (Th)	45	180	—		—
Uranium (U)	11	45	0.13 (0.03)	EPA MCL	0.3
Vanadium (V)	1,900	7,600			
Zinc (Zn)	1,000	4,100	31 (2)	HBSL	0.8

"Selected based on at least one known effect from a geogenic source and has an indirect effect on human health (for example, N, P), or has radioactive isotopes whose activities are sufficiently high to have potential effects on human health (table C1.1).

<sup>b</sup>Rudnick and Gao (2003).

<sup>c</sup>Equivalent pore-water concentration is the average crustal abundance times a representative ratio of solids to pore volume in sediments, calculated by multiplying the sediment-bound concentration in column 2 by the bulk density of the sediments and dividing by the pore volume (Appelo and Postma, 2005, p. 84). A porosity of 0.4 and solid-phase density of 2.65g/cm<sup>3</sup> were used for the purposes of these calculations, generating a ratio of solid to pore volume of 4 kg/L of pore volume. Assuming a lower porosity, such as 0.2 (for example, Appelo and Postma, 2005, p. 84), will yield higher porewater concentrations. On the other hand, concentrations are based on assuming complete release of each element, and, therefore, represent the maximum possible concentrations at a porosity of 0.4. The extent to which the element is released depends on its chemical form and reactivity with respect to the ambient groundwater composition.

<sup>d</sup>EPA MCL, EPA (2018). HBSL, Norman and others (2018).

"The percent of the geogenic element that, if released, would cause an exceedance of the human-health-based benchmark.

As, Mn, radionuclides, and salinity in the major drinkingwater aquifers (Mahomet, Glasford, glacial, and Cambrian– Ordovician) as well as application of Chicago area (upper basin) dredge sediment to agricultural areas (lower basin), redistributing metals and industrial chemicals (Warner and others, 2003; Warner and Ayotte, 2015; Erickson and others, 2019; Szabo and others, 2020).

This chapter highlights some of the most important issues related to geogenic constituents that can limit water availability in current IWS Basins and elsewhere in the Nation. The chapter includes descriptions of vital gaps in methods, approaches, and process understanding related to addressing these issues.

### **Status of Knowledge and Capabilities**

Major water-quality issues related to geogenic constituents are summarized in table C2. The broad categories of the most significant issues based on current understanding include the following:

- 1. Geogenic constituent sources and distribution processes,
- 2. Geogenic constituent risk,
- 3. Anthropogenetic activity effects on geogenic constituent distribution, and
- 4. Climate change effects on geogenic constituent distribution.

#### Geogenic Constituent Sources and Distribution Processes

Geogenic constituents can also have anthropogenic sources and can be mobilized by both natural and anthropogenic processes (see "Gap 1. Geogenic Constituent Sources and Distribution Processes" section of this chapter). Many geogenic constituents commonly occur in groundwater and surface water because of natural processes such as soil leaching and rock weathering. For example, natural weathering reactions drive mass transfer of As from sulfide minerals or U from oxides and aluminosilicate minerals to Fe oxyhydroxide coatings on mineral-grain surfaces, from which they can be mobilized (fig. C1.1) (Smedley and Kinniburgh, 2002; Ayotte and others, 2007). However, As contamination can result from the manufacture and application of chemicals used for agriculture and in meat production (Arai and others, 2003; O'Day and others, 2004) and U contamination can result from disposal of waste from nuclear weapons manufacture or activities related to the nuclear fuel cycle (Zachara and others, 2013). Chromate and perchlorate are also produced both naturally and industrially (Dasgupta and others, 2006; Hausladen and others, 2018). Geochemical and isotopic analyses can be used to distinguish natural versus

#### What are Geogenic Constituents?

We define geogenic water-quality constituents as any chemical or isotope that has a natural source (for example, soil leaching, water-rock interaction, atmospheric deposition). Many geogenic constituents are, however, also manufactured or concentrated by humans. Although geogenic constituents commonly occur in water because of natural processes, their distribution and concentration can be affected by human activities (for example, mining, dispersal of mineral products, irrigation, pumping practices). Effects of human activities can be direct (for example, application of fertilizers on the land surface) or indirect (for example, alteration of geochemical or hydrologic conditions, which in turn affects constituent solubility or mobility).

To understand geogenic constituent distributions, we must distinguish between at least three source categories: (1) natural sources distributed by natural processes; (2) natural sources distributed by human activities; and (3) artificial sources distributed by natural processes or human activities. These categories can overlap and change, creating ongoing challenges. Understanding the distribution of geogenic water-quality constituents is crucial for evaluating water availability and beneficial use, establishing practical regulations, and optimizing remediation or treatment strategies.

anthropogenic sources, as well as natural versus anthropogenic distribution processes (McMahon and Böhlke, 2006; Manning and others, 2015; Hatzinger and others, 2018).

#### **Geogenic Constituent Risk**

Geogenic constituents, as compared to anthropogenic constituents, are more commonly found at high concentrations (the word "high" is used herein to refer to concentrations exceeding a human health benchmark) in groundwater sources supplying drinking water (DeSimone, 2009; DeSimone and others, 2015) (table A2). Arsenic, for example, is among the most common constituents to cause a public water system violation (Allaire and others, 2018, Foster and others, 2019). To understand the distributions of geogenic constituents, we can consider three generalized categories of sources: (1) natural sources distributed by natural processes (for example, As contamination in glacial aquifers in the upper Midwest [Erickson and Barnes, 2005]); (2) natural sources distributed by human activities (for example, As contamination in bedrock aquifers due to water table drawdown in Wisconsin [Gotkowitz and others, 2004]); and (3) artificial sources distributed by natural processes or human activities (for example, heavy metal contamination from mining or contamination from leaks and spills of oil and gas production

**Table C2**. Summary table for geogenic constituent gap analysis for understanding water-quality processes affecting water availability for beneficial uses in the United States.

[TDS, total dissolved solids; CO2, carbon dioxide.]

Торіс	Knowledge Gap	Importance	Proposed approaches
Geogenic constituent sources and distribution processes	Methods to distinguish naturally occurring sources or distribution processes from anthropogenic sources or distribution processes	Crucial for development of appropriate models, regulations, remediation strategies, responses, or other management tools.	Develop and deploy new chemical and isotopic analytical forensic methods; conduct field and laboratory experiments, and augment thermodynamic databases, to improve parameterization for advanced geochemical models; apply new machine-learning modeling methods.
Geogenic constituent risk	Ability to quantify, model, map occurrence, and map risk from constituent mixtures and complex distribution patterns	To provide more comprehensive assessments of geogenic limitations on water availability for multiple uses (beyond constituent-by-constituent exceedance probabilities), a key step toward more appropriate methods, models, and strategies to manage/ optimize water resources and to quantify human exposure risk.	Use existing data, advanced statistical tools, and geochemical modeling tools to assess and predict co-occurrence and toxicity of geogenic constituents, delineate regional background concentrations, determine crucial geochemical (for example, redox, pH, TDS) boundaries, and quantify risk to vulnerable populations.
Anthropogenic activity effects on geogenic constituent distribution	Knowledge of groundwater availability and sustainability in conditions of enhanced constituent mobilization and redistribution from irrigation, managed aquifer recharge, pumping, and chemical inputs from agriculture, landfills, wastewater releases, organic chemical spills, oil/gas production, and mining	Water use demands are increasing, and human- induced exacerbation of water availability problems from high concentrations of geogenic constituents are poorly understood. Crucial for development of appropriate methods, models, strategies, and other management tools to anticipate, quantify, and respond.	Coordinate with stakeholders to plan field and laboratory studies to quantify effects of specific human activities on occurrence, fate, transport of constituents; develop hydrologic and biogeochemical models that incorporate human activities to predict potential water-quality limitations to beneficial use.
Climate change effects on geogenic constituent distribution	Understanding of processes and parameters is needed to develop the ability to predict how climate change will directly or indirectly affect beneficial uses due to redistribution or mobilization of constituents.	To anticipate and mitigate climate-related limitations on water availability for intended beneficial use	Develop basic understanding or conceptual models for the effects of climate change (flood inundation frequency and extent, extended drought conditions, sea level change and inundation) and how the changes redistribute or change mobility of geogenic constituents either directly or indirectly; conduct field studies of water-quality responses to water table changes, pumping, water mixing, CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration, and other climate-related activities.

wastes [Fashola and others, 2016; Cozzarelli and others, 2021]). In many settings, these general source categories can overlap or change. These source categories can lead to geogenic constituent occurrence in water resources that range from widespread areas of risk due to chronic exposure to localized areas of risk due to acute exposure. They can also lead to the occurrence of complex mixtures of constituents that could increase substantially the risk associated with beneficial uses. These issues are documented, and gaps related to them are discussed in the section "Gap 2. Geogenic Constituent Risk" in this chapter.

#### Anthropogenic Activity Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution

High concentrations of geogenic constituents in drinking water can result from natural conditions in the aquifers, human activities such as irrigation, pumping, and managed aquifer recharge, all of which can change geochemical, biogeochemical and hydrogeologic conditions to enhance constituent mobilization (Erickson and Barnes, 2006; McMahon and others, 2006; Ayotte and others, 2015; Rosen and others, 2019; Tesoriero and others, 2019; Degnan and others, 2020). Point sources of geogenic constituents from ongoing or former mining and fossil fuel extraction activities, for example, can result in very high concentrations locally. The effects on mobility of geogenic constituents based on aquifer geochemistry are well recognized (illustrated in fig. C1.1), including: (1) redox conditions, (2) pH, (3) sorption processes and ion competition for sorption sites, (4) formation of soluble complexes, (5) evaporative concentration, and (6) mixing and dilution (Hem, 1985; Drever, 1988; Welch and others, 2000; Böhlke, 2002; Stollenwerk, 2003; Appelo and Postma, 2005; McMahon and others, 2016; Jurgens and others, 2019; Yuan and others, 2021). Human activities can alter all these conditions in various ways. For example, recharge of landfill leachates and some types of wastewater can introduce large amounts of organic carbon or other electron donors, which can reduce iron oxyhydroxides and As(V) to As(III), promoting arsenic mobilization (Welch and others, 2000) in otherwise oxic aquifers (fig. C1.1). Conversely, increased recharge of electron acceptors such as agricultural nitrate and dissolved oxygen can cause enhanced oxidation of reduced phases such as pyrite, mobilizing chalcophile elements like As and Se in otherwise anoxic aquifers (fig. C1.1) (Fakhreddine and others, 2021).

# Climate Change Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution

Projected effects of climate change in the United States include warmer air temperatures, regionally and seasonally varying changes in precipitation and streamflow, increased frequency and intensity of floods and droughts, and sea-level rise affecting coastal regions (Wuebbles and others, 2017). Coastal rivers, estuaries, and aquifers may undergo increased saltwater intrusion due to rising sea level, storm surges, and hydrological changes (Paul and others, 2019). The geologic materials in aquifer systems are a substantial source of geogenic contaminants, and these contaminants (for example, Mn, As) are susceptible to mobilization by changes in temperature, pH, or redox condition all of which may be influenced by changes in recharge temperature and recharge geochemistry (Riedel, 2019). Groundwater is an important source of drinking water supply, irrigation supply, and baseflow to streams and rivers, so the effect of climate change on the quantity and quality of the groundwater system must be considered in conjunction with the other parts of the hydrologic cycle (Aizebeokhai and others, 2017; Amanambu and others, 2020). Reduced groundwater recharge from precipitation pattern changes could lead to longer residence times in aquifers; increased residence time allows greater water-rock interaction, which can lead to increased salinity (Hem, 1985; Kayane, 1997). Increased recharge can also result in increased groundwater salinity due to increased flushing of salts from the unsaturated zone (Sugita and Nakane, 2007). Leaching of the large reservoirs of nitrate, perchlorate, and other salts that accumulated by natural processes in arid areas may be especially problematic (Walvoord and others, 2003; Rao and others, 2007; Graham and others, 2008; Jackson and others, 2015). Sea-level rise is expected to increase saltwater intrusion in coastal areas and volcanic islands (Vengosh, 2014) and to increase up-stream advancement of salt wedges in coastal rivers (Hurdle, 2020).

#### **Capabilities**

The USGS has a long history of contributions to understanding the sources, transport, and transformations of geogenic constituents in water, for example:

- Developing chemical and isotopic tools for determining sources of solutes and processes influencing transformations and mobility.
- Carrying out laboratory characterizations and experiments to understand properties of natural materials that influence transformations and mobility of geogenic constituents.
- Carrying out field-scale experiments and studies that elucidate the important controls on transformations and mobility of geogenic constituents.
- Carrying out field-scale measurements required to characterize flow systems, an essential component to understanding movement of anthropogenic and geogenic constituents.

The continued application of these capabilities will help to address the crucial gaps in assessing the effects of geogenic constituents on water availability.

### **Gap Analysis and Approaches**

Our ability to simulate processes and to model, map, and predict geogenic constituent occurrence in water supplies is limited by some fundamental gaps in understanding. Some of the major issues related to geogenic constituents that can limit water availability are summarized in table C2. Some of the most critical gaps in addressing these issues will be described in detail in this section, but this chapter is not an exhaustive presentation of knowledge gaps. The narrative is organized around the following broad knowledge categories:

- 1. Geogenic constituent sources and distribution processes,
- 2. Geogenic constituent risk,
- 3. Anthropogenetic activity effects on geogenic constituent distribution, and
- 4. Climate change effects on geogenic constituent distribution.

# Gap 1. Geogenic Constituent Sources and Distribution Processes

A key gap to understanding constituent sources and distribution is the absence of adequate methods to distinguish naturally occurring sources or distribution processes from anthropogenic sources or distribution processes. This section will present examples of significant gaps related to geogenic constituent sources and distribution processes, and then approaches to fill the gaps.

#### **Knowledge Gaps**

#### Analytical Forensic Methods

Methods to separate the "people signal" from the "natural signal" (for example, natural background concentrations) are necessary because source and distribution processes fundamentally affect how to appropriately model, manage, regulate, or remediate a contamination problem. Many chemicals that occur naturally are also produced artificially for various purposes. For example, chromate is widely distributed in soils and groundwaters in some areas as a result of natural weathering of chromium (Cr)-bearing minerals, but chromate is also produced for industrial use and there are sites where high concentrations of chromate are a result of industrial waste disposal (Manning and others, 2015; Hausladen and others, 2018). Similarly, elevated perchlorate concentrations in public supply wells in some areas of the United States can be from at least three different sources: (1) synthetic perchlorate from industrial products; (2) natural perchlorate in imported nitrate fertilizer from the Atacama Desert; and (3) natural perchlorate accumulated locally from atmospheric deposition (Jackson and others, 2010; Hatzinger and others, 2018). Other constituents can also have both geologic or atmospheric sources and anthropogenic sources (for example, nitrate, ammonium, methane, Cd, Pb, copper [Cu], radioactive isotopes, and so forth.).

For some geogenic constituents, like <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Ra, it can be difficult to determine whether elevated concentrations are from sources of contamination or natural background. <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Ra are part of the <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th decay series (table C1.1). Anthropogenic sources or mobilization of these isotopes can be identified by determining whether they are in secular equilibrium with their parent isotopes. Concentrations above the radioactive decay concentration are likely to result from anthropogenic sources or mobilization of Ra, such as inputs of wastewater from oil and gas production (Akob and others, 2016; Cozzarelli and others, 2017; Cozzarelli and others, 2021). Distinguishing between anthropogenic sources of geogenics, such as wastes from oil and gas development and legacy coal mining is a gap that requires further investigation (Chambers and others, 2015).

#### Advanced Geochemical Modeling

In addition to improved source attribution, it is also important to improve understanding of geochemical conditions and processes that control the chemical forms and mobility of geogenic constituents to model their distribution, co-occurrence, and movement. Some geogenics are more mobile in reduced conditions, others in more oxidized conditions. Geochemical modeling to understand and characterize the quantity of geogenic constituents that can be mobilized in response to changing chemical conditions requires field, lab, and theoretical data for mineral dissolution, oxidation-reduction reactions, sorption properties, and so forth. Better understanding of processes could lead to better modeling, which could lead to improved assessment and prediction at multiple scales.

#### Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps

#### Develop and Deploy New Chemical and Isotopic Analytical Forensic Methods

Measurements of stable isotope ratios can be used to distinguish sources of geogenic constituents (for example, rock weathering, atmospheric, synthetic) and track their movement through groundwater and surface water. For example, isotopic ratios of light elements such as hydrogen (H) and carbon (C) (for example, in carbon dioxide, methane, oil), nitrogen (N) (for example, in nitrate, ammonium, organic matter, and so forth), oxygen (O) (for example, in oxyanions such as nitrate, sulfate, phosphate, chlorate, perchlorate), sulfur (S), and chlorine (Cl) commonly vary depending on the source of the constituent and as a result of fractionation during transport and transformation reactions. Isotope ratios of heavier elements such as Fe, Cu, Pb, strontium (Sr), Hg, and Cr can provide similar information but have been used less extensively. Development of new analytical methods could include more constituents and elements, with better precision and accuracy, faster throughput, reduced cost, and exploration of new isotopic principles for resolving water-quality questions.

Several different types of isotopic analyses are in various stages of development, and some could be applied more extensively for environmental forensics and process studies of important geogenic constituents. For example, non-statistical isotopic distributions (isotopic "clumping") in compounds such as methane and oxyanions including nitrate can potentially provide clues about their formation mechanisms and degradation processes (Ono and others, 2014; Hilkert and others, 2021). Non-mass-dependent isotopic variations in O, Hg, S, and other elements in various compounds can indicate their sources and transport processes (Thiemens, 2006; Jackson and others, 2008; Jackson and others, 2010; Bindeman and Pack, 2020; Tsui and others, 2020). Oxygen isotopic analyses of phosphate may be useful in some situations for understanding the sources and distribution of this important nutrient (Davies and others, 2014; Jaisi and Blake, 2014). High-precision isotopic measurements of heavy elements could be used more routinely for similar studies (Teng and others, 2017), and could also be combined with O isotopic analyses when in the form of oxyanions.

#### Augment Geochemical Modeling Capabilities and Thermodynamic Databases

Geochemical modeling, which combines theoretical principles and empirical data, is important for developing both conceptual understanding and quantitative predictions of water quality.

If constituents and alternative physical conditions were added to existing thermodynamic databases, geochemical modeling tools such as PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013) could be used for more comprehensive process modeling and scenario testing. The current thermodynamic databases could be improved with more data for geochemical modeling at temperatures higher or lower than 25 degrees Celsius (°C); for modeling solutions that are brackish or brines and not dilute solutions; for modeling in conditions other than 1 standard atmosphere (atm); and for additional chemical species and redox reactions that control solubility and mobility of geogenic constituents. In future climate and water scarcity conditions, modeling alternative physical settings could be decisive in evaluating scenarios.

Using more complete thermodynamic databases and isotopic composition information, geochemical and other modeling techniques could be used to apportion types of water, sources of water (Teeple, 2017), and source composition to appropriately simulate geogenic constituent mobilization risk. Salinity can mobilize geogenic constituents, so understanding the composition of the salinity (for example, solute chemistry and elemental ratios) can help identify the salinity source. Road salt, produced water, and saltwater intrusion are all examples of salinity sources directly or indirectly caused by human activities—but each source would require a different type of management strategy to reduce impact or risk.

#### Laboratory and Field Experiments to Identify and Quantify Controlling Processes and Parameters

Processes such as sorption, transformation, and biologic uptake can determine the persistence and distribution of contaminants in groundwater and surface water. Controlled experiments in laboratory and field settings are essential for discovering and quantifying such processes and parameters that need to be included in water-quality prediction models. Although lab experiments are relatively simple and controllable, they may not correspond to natural conditions. Experiments carried out in field settings may be more difficult, but they may reveal additional processes and more realistic rates that correspond more directly with environmental monitoring or modeling requirements.

Experiments using tracers enriched in specific stable (non-radioactive) isotopes (for example, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>15</sup>N, <sup>18</sup>O, <sup>34</sup>S) can be especially useful for determining reaction parameters for constituents that participate in complex biogeochemical transformations by tracking both reactants and products. For example, field experiments with compounds enriched in the <sup>15</sup>N isotope can help resolve processes that simultaneously transport, produce, and consume various nitrogen compounds in streams and aquifers (Smith and others, 2004; Böhlke and others, 2006; Smith and others, 2015). Isotopic tracer experiments with compounds containing heavy metals can be used to determine processes and transport rates of those constituents (for example, using isotopically enriched metals or oxygen, depending on the compounds). Similarly, labeled organic compounds, dissolved gases, and other constituents can be tracked in carefully designed field experiments. Corroborative analysis of biologic communities and biomolecules in such experiments can improve understanding of biologic controls of constituent concentrations and mobilities.

#### **Delineate Regional Background Concentrations**

Existing data and tools could be used systematically to delineate regional background concentrations of selected geogenic constituents. An understanding of regional background concentrations and their dependence on geology and climate should provide important information about the "natural signal," which can inform more effective and appropriate modeling tools, management strategies, and regulatory frameworks for geogenic constituents. These applications would require the compilation of existing data plus collection of new data, including solid-phase chemistry (and mineralogy).

#### Gap 2. Geogenic Constituent Risk

Although comprehensive understanding of geologic controls on water quality was highlighted as an objective in the 2013 USGS Water Science Strategy (Evenson and others, 2013), we have not yet characterized the national, spatial distributions of most geogenic constituents. Assessing the co-occurrence of geogenic constituents is a crucial step toward developing holistic approaches to manage and optimize water availability and to quantify human exposure risk. This section will present examples of significant gaps related to geogenic constituent distribution and risk, and then approaches to fill the gaps.

#### **Knowledge Gaps**

#### **Co-Occurrence and Distribution Patterns**

At present, there have not been many comprehensive attempts to quantify, model, and map the occurrence of mixtures of geogenic constituents, and we are unable to map exposure risks from constituents or constituent mixtures. We also lack complete understanding of background concentrations (concentrations present from geologic sources due to natural processes) and complex distribution patterns of geogenic constituents. A comprehensive analysis of constituent co-occurrence-mixtures of geogenic and other constituents at concentrations near or above human health benchmarks-has not yet been completed. Evaluations of mixtures of some organic constituents have been completed, but comprehensive assessments and evaluations of geogenic constituents are less common so little is known about potential combined effects. Geogenic constituents commonly co-exist, and their aggregated potential health effects may not be reflected in their individual regulatory exceedances.

Geogenic constituent mobility is substantially controlled by geochemical conditions, such as redox boundaries, for example, transitions from oxic to suboxic and anoxic conditions. Understanding and mapping these boundaries or transition zones requires understanding of three-dimensional hydrogeologic characteristics with relevant vertical resolution to reflect small-scale but important spatial heterogeneity.

#### **Exposure Risk Assessments**

Methods are improving for predicting the likelihood of high concentrations of geogenic constituents (for example, As, Mn, Fe, salinity, and so forth) in groundwater in drinking water aquifers (Ayotte and others, 2016; Rosecrans and others, 2017; Knierim and others, 2020; Erickson and others, 2021a; Erickson and others, 2021b; Stackelberg and others, 2021). However, few studies have quantified the risk of single or mixed constituents to overall populations or for population socioeconomic subgroups (rural population, median income, underserved communities, and so forth).

Enforceable drinking water standards are developed within a framework of balancing health risk, economics, and practical application. Some standards, therefore, are not comprehensive with respect to exposure risk reduction. Two examples are <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>210</sup>Po (Szabo and others, 2020), which are regulated indirectly through the gross beta-particle activity screening level and gross alpha-particle activity maximum contaminant level (MCL) rather than having individual MCLs. These regulatory screening levels are not protective for <sup>210</sup>Pb or <sup>210</sup>Po in drinking water based on lifetime fatal cancer risk levels (Seiler, 2016).

#### Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps

USGS has access to a large amount of existing waterquality data and related information, and it has built substantial scientific capabilities and expertise. Further analysis of existing data with advanced statistical tools including machine-learning methods, and targeted additional sampling could help address some key knowledge gaps.

#### **Constituent Co-Occurrence and Mixture Analysis**

Existing tools could be used by current scientists to analyze existing data on mixtures and co-occurrence of geogenic constituents. In addition to advanced statistical tools, geochemical modeling tools can also be employed to assess and predict co-occurrence of geogenic constituents that are related through their dependence on local or regional geochemical conditions (for example, geology, redox state, pH, and so forth). Specific groups of geogenic constituents, with or without co-existing anthropogenic constituents, could be identified by common occurrence and potentially related to common health effects at low individual concentrations.

Evaluation of constituent mixtures would also contribute to characterizing water availability based on water-quality resource characteristic requirements. For example, water-quality requirements for irrigation may be less stringent than water-quality requirements for domestic drinking water supply. Comprehensive water-quality assessments can put water resources into the context of what is needed.

#### New Machine-Learning Applications and Modeling Methods

Big data and machine learning approaches have been applied to studies of impaired drinking water resources

including those affected by methane, salinity, Mn, and As (Knierim and others, 2020; Erickson and others, 2021b; Lombard and others, 2021a; Wen and others, 2021). Refinement of these models to better represent geogenic contaminant concentrations is an active area of research in USGS (Lombard and others, 2021a), and represents a key opportunity for the USGS. Lombard and others (2021a, p. 5019) specifically call out for the "development and inclusion of relevant predictor variables that represent geochemical mechanisms responsible for arsenic mobilization in groundwater such as pH and redox conditions." Predictions of high As and Mn concentrations at relevant drinking water depths across the subcontinental-scale glacial aquifer system (Lombard and others, 2021b) illustrate the efficacy of developing models of the geochemical drivers of geogenic contaminant concentration (pH, Stackelberg and others, 2021; redox condition, Lombard and others, 2021a) and then using the models of drivers in developing a high-performing machine-learning groundwater contaminant model.

#### Delineate Crucial Geochemical Boundaries, Timescales, and Processes

Existing data, advanced statistical and geochemical modeling tools, and process understanding can be used to identify and delineate crucial geochemical boundaries in selected high-priority aquifers. This will require threedimensional data for subsurface geology, including formation boundaries, rock types, mineralogy, geochemistry, and weathering history, as described in 2013 USGS Water Science Strategy (Evenson and others, 2013, see Goal 2, Objective 1). Geologic boundaries and structures are likely to control boundaries between water masses with different chemical characteristics. Mineralogy and geochemistry of aquifer solids are likely to control the distribution of geogenic constituent sources and concentrations. Within geologic units, groundwater flow patterns will affect gradients in water-quality constituents by various progressive reactions between water and rocks.

For important drinking water aquifers, there is a need to quantify to what extent geogenic constituents have been flushed through the sub-surface system. Climate data, chemistry and mineralogy of soils and aquifers, and other existing geochemical measures can be used to evaluate the number of pore volumes that have flowed through systems in the context of the geologic reservoir of geogenic constituents, for example in the humid east as compared to the arid west. There are timescale and process perspectives that must be considered. Additionally, even if the reservoirs of labile geogenic constituents have been flushed through a system, a change in conditions (for example, precipitation chemistry, input of anthropogenic chemicals, water level change or fluctuation, saltwater intrusion caused by sea-level rise in coastal areas), could cause formerly immobile geogenic constituents to be mobilized. As illustrated in table C1, mobilization of just a small fraction of a geogenic constituent reservoir can result in water-quality and wateravailability problems. There are also important unknowns and

questions to be investigated about the role of surface chemistry in reestablishment of steady-state concentrations in aquifers in different settings.

Information about flushing factors and pore volumes to reach new steady-state conditions between aqueous solutions and aquifer material can help answer questions such as "How long are we going to face the problem?" and "What could happen to trigger a change away from a current steady-state?"

#### Quantify Risk to Vulnerable Populations

Several regional and national-scale drinking water-quality models have recently been published (Knierim and others, 2020; Erickson and others, 2021b; Ransom and others, 2021). These water-quality models, or new water-quality models that could be developed using existing information, could be used as a basis for predicting populations served by impaired drinking-water quality. A better understanding of human health risk could be formulated by building on these recent predictions of drinking-water quality. For example, constituent concentrations above a human health benchmark could be predicted for selected socioeconomic groups. Socioeconomic groups could include categories such as drinking water source (rural domestic well water) or median income, to explore factors driving the findings.

A systematic and comprehensive assessment of drinking water source-water would enhance understanding of human health risks. One example, discussed above, is whether human health risks from <sup>210</sup>Pb and <sup>210</sup>Po are adequately addressed by the current regulatory approach, especially in Gulf and Atlantic coastal aquifers, carbonate, and interior sandstone or carbonate aquifers.

Some effects of water quality on water availability may be indirect, for example when source-water chemistry can interact or interfere with treatment processes or distribution systems resulting in unintended consequences. Examples include production of potentially harmful organic compounds during chlorination of water sources containing dissolved organic compounds, or release of Pb by corrosion in water distribution systems. Such risks can be evaluated in various ways, including assessments of source-water characteristics, such as Pb solubility potential (Jurgens and others, 2019), and geochemical modeling to assess the consequences of mixing different waters on corrosivity, sorption/desorption, and mineral precipitation/dissolution.

# Gap 3. Anthropogenic Activity Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution

Natural geochemical conditions can cause high concentration occurrence of geogenic constituents, which can in turn affect the availability of groundwater and surface water for beneficial uses. However, even where geogenic constituents have natural sources, their distributions and concentrations can be altered substantially by human activities such as irrigation, artificial recharge, pumping practices, oil and gas exploration and extraction, and many other activities.

Well vulnerability to geogenic constituents depends in part on: (1) the sources of geogenic constituents in proximity to water supply wells, (2) the physical properties of the aquifer and (or) the well, and (3) the biogeochemistry of the aquifer system (Focazio and others, 2002; Frind and others, 2006; Eberts and others, 2013). A geologic source in the aquifer system is the most fundamental risk factor for the potential mobilization of in-situ geogenic constituents. The traditional model of "source water protection" or "capture zone protection" is unlikely to fully protect groundwater supplies from geogenic constituents because these constituents are mobilized from within the geologic materials, not transported from surface sources. Many anthropogenic activities, however, can influence and alter the biogeochemistry of the aquifer system, potentially mobilizing or changing the distribution of geogenic constituents in the system. This section will present examples of significant knowledge gaps related to the effects of anthropogenetic activities on geogenic constituent distribution, and then approaches to fill the gaps.

#### **Knowledge Gaps**

#### How Anthropogenic Activities Mobilize Geogenic Constituents

There are many unknowns about how the geochemistry of irrigation water or enhanced recharge rates mobilize, immobilize, or redistribute geogenic constituents. Enhanced recharge rates from water well pumping or irrigation return can flush accumulations of geogenic salts such as nitrate or perchlorate from the unsaturated zone (Rao and others, 2007; Scanlon and others, 2009). Elevated total salinity in these enhanced recharge situations also can release sorbed constituents like phosphorus (P), Ra, Sr, and so forth (Szabo and others, 1997; Stackelberg and others, 2018; Musgrove, 2021).

The chemistry of irrigation water or enhanced recharge can also mobilize geogenic constituents via oxidation of reduced phases driven by the introduction of nitrate or dissolved oxygen. Uranium can be mobilized in this way. Sulfate, As, and metals like Cu and Pb can be mobilized during enhanced sulfide-mineral oxidation. Geogenic constituents can also undergo bioaccumulation into food crops, for example, As can occur at concentrations of concern in rice (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2016) and perchlorate can be enriched in vegetables (Yu and others, 2004).

An influx of organic carbon or other electron donors can mobilize As, Mn, and other elements that are often stable under oxic conditions but mobilized under reducing conditions. Comprehensive maps of locations and geochemical composition of these types of anthropogenic carbon sources are not available. Examples include abandoned landfills (Degnan and Harte, 2013), petroleum leak or spill sites (Cozzarelli and others, 2016), wastewater disposal (domestic and larger septic systems) (Kent and Fox, 2004; Erickson and others, 2014; Elliott and others, 2018a), wastewater reuse for irrigation (Elliott and others, 2018b), and so forth. Despite the voluminous literature on As and Mn fate and transport, significant gaps remain in understanding relationships between speciation and mobility of As, particularly in sulfidic environments (O'Day and others, 2004; Wilkin and others, 2019) and Mn, particularly the role of Mn(III) aqueous and sorbed species (Madison and others, 2013).

Human activities such as intensive groundwater pumping can result in groundwater level fluctuations or upwelling of groundwater that can mobilize or redistribute geogenic constituents. Flushing or redistribution of geogenic contaminants can result from human appropriation of water flows (Degnan and others, 2020; Lindsey and others, 2021; Minsley and others, 2021).

The development and extraction of both conventional and unconventional fossil energy sources and effects on beneficial uses of water resources is an active and highly visible research area (McMahon and others, 2018a; McMahon and others, 2019; McMahon and others, 2021a). The effects of oil spills and well stimulation for unconventional oil and gas production are at the forefront of this area of research (McMahon and others, 2018b; Kulongoski and McMahon, 2019). The effects of intentional and unintentional releases of oil and gas wastewater into the environment are also an important area of study (Wright and others, 2019; Rosecrans and others, 2021). Liquids (hydrocarbons and water) and gases move and behave differently in the subsurface. Also unknown is the composition of fracking fluids. Oil and gas wastewater is disposed via deep injection wells that may leak and via beneficial re-use applications such as deicing or irrigation of crops (Tasker and others, 2018; Redmon and others, 2021). Because water and contaminant transport through the vadose zone and groundwater is slow, it can be decades before contaminants are present in drinking water wells or in groundwater discharge (McMahon and others, 2017). The distribution of geogenic hydrocarbons and other organic contaminants mobilized by oil and gas resource extraction is also unknown (Morrill and others, 2013; McMahon and others, 2017).

Managed aquifer recharge (MAR) offers the opportunity to improve the quality of the injected water through filtration of pathogens, degradation of organics, sorption of trace elements, or precipitation of solids containing undesirable solutes; however, it can also be associated with unintended consequences. There are several typical types of MAR, including: river-bank filtration; surface-water spreading; aquifer storage and recovery; soil aquifer treatment (also known as stormwater infiltration or tertiary wastewater treatment by infiltration); infiltration basins; and aquifer storage, transfer, and recovery (Dillon, 2005). Aquifer storage and recovery could be an increasingly important means of impeding saltwater intrusion exacerbated by sea-level rise (Hussain and others, 2019). The interaction of fresh, oxygenated water into a salty aquifer can result in reactions with the native water and aquifer solids, including cation exchange, and mineral dissolution and precipitation. Geochemical modeling has been used to simulate hydrologic and geochemical effects of aquifer storage recovery in many coastal areas, including a carbonate and sand aquifer in South Carolina (Petkewich and others, 2004), ironrich, carbonate-poor coastal plain aquifers in the New York City area (Brown and Misut, 2010), and several carbonate aquifers in southern Florida (Mirecki, 2006).

MAR types are expanding to include novel water sources and purposes, such as use of diverse sources of water (reclaimed wastewater or recycled wastewater); lower quality water (for example, treated wastewater from oil and gas development); injection of fresh water into brackish aquifers; and injection of water to store thermal energy. Injection of water that differs in composition from the ambient groundwater can, however, induce geochemical changes that degrade water quality due to mobilization of geogenic constituents. A recent survey shows that 26 percent of the MAR projects in the United States have been abandoned, with 21 percent of the wells abandoned because of water-quality problems caused by vanadium (V), Mn, Fe, nickel (Ni), zinc (Zn), As, fluorine (F), and U. Half of the wells were abandoned because of elevated As concentrations (Fakhreddine and others, 2021). Table C1 provides a summary of geologic abundance of selected elements, and the average upper-crustal abundance of each element serves as a proxy for the concentration in aquifer material; only a small fractional release from solid materials may be required to trigger a water-quality concern. For example, geologic materials have a range of As concentrations from 40 to 134 micromoles per kilogram (µmol/kg) dry weight. Assuming a porosity of 0.4 and solid-phase density of 2.65 grams per cubic centimeter (g/cm<sup>3</sup>), the ratio of solids to porewater is 4.0 kilograms per liter (kg/L). If all the As bound to the geologic material was released, the dissolved concentration would be 160–540 micromoles per liter (µmol/L) (123–415 micrograms per liter [µg/L]), compared to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) drinking water standard of 0.13 µmol/L  $(10 \,\mu\text{g/L})$  (table C1).

Geogenic constituent mobilization is controlled by the chemical forms of As and other constituents in geologic materials, the difference in geochemical conditions between injected water and ambient groundwater, and the resulting groundwater geochemical conditions. Without sampling and geochemical analyses, little would be known about the chemical forms of geogenic constituents in site-specific geologic materials. The very small percentage release to achieve exceedance in table C1 illustrates that there is substantial potential for geogenic elements to deteriorate the quality of the water introduced in MAR to the point where water cannot be put to its intended beneficial use. The extent to which each element will be released depends on its chemical forms in the sediments, contact between sediments and water introduced during MAR (controlled by hydrology), and potential for the chemical composition of the introduced water to induce release. For example, the dominant forms of As in sediments are pyrite and other sulfide minerals in anaerobic groundwater or a mix of pyrite and As(V) sorbed to hydrous ferric oxides and other reactive constituents in aerobic groundwater. Thus, water with oxygen and (or) nitrate introduced to anaerobic groundwater will mobilize As if hydrologic conditions are favorable for the introduced water to come into contact with pyrite and other sulfide minerals. Conversely, when anaerobic water with electron donors is introduced into an aerobic aquifer, As adsorbed to iron oxides may mobilize over time during MAR (fig. C1.1).

#### Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps

# Field Studies Targeting the Effects of Anthropogenic Activities on Mobilizing Geogenic Constituents

Field studies of human effects could be planned and coordinated with stakeholders who are engaged in various practices to manage water supplies and transfers. Improved coordination between researchers and stakeholders could provide new opportunities to learn how well various water resource management efforts (for example, "best management practices") actually work, and what sorts of unintended consequences may occur (see Strategic Action 12, in Evenson and others, 2013). Understanding and modeling the effects of human activities on water quality commonly rely on available data and literature, which may be incomplete or not directly relevant. Field studies that provide comprehensive information about water-quality responses to specific human activities are difficult to carry out, in part because they require advance planning and coordination, as well as long-term commitments in many cases.

Studies should begin before implementation of a targeted plan to manipulate water supplies and continue throughout and afterwards, to provide records suitable for trend and effect analysis. Empirical studies could be integrated with modeling studies, to provide feedback for adaptive modeling and data collection. Studies could be multi-disciplinary (for example, hydrology, chemistry, biology, microbiology) to provide a comprehensive way to monitor and explain water movement and water-quality changes. Studies would require various combinations of data gathering techniques including high-frequency monitoring with remote sensors (for example, temperature, specific conductance, pH, dissolved oxygen, dissolved organic matter, nitrate, and so forth), laboratory analyses of discrete samples (for example, chemistry, isotopes, biometrics), and remote sensing (for example, geophysics, land cover, lidar), among others. Additional topical research may be needed to investigate unexplained or poorly parameterized processes that affect system-wide modeling.

Studies that combine geochemical samples, geophysical surveys, geologic mapping, and hydrogeologic modeling have demonstrated the need for, and efficacy of, multidisciplinary and multiscale techniques to understand and model complex water resource problems. This includes transport of geogenic constituents from deeper groundwater flow through upwelling to drinking water aquifer depths (Gallegos and others, 2021; Minsley and others, 2021). It is also essential to carefully choose the sampling frequency for the purpose and question. Novel high-frequency sampled groundwaterquality measurements (Saraceno and others, 2018) can be used in combination with high-frequency sampled water level measurements and frequent (bi-monthly) discrete sampling of a comprehensive suite of water-quality parameters. The water-quality monitoring results can be combined with climate data and other information. Outcomes can include development of proxies for suites of contaminants, predictions of constituent speciation, understanding of mobilization drivers, interconnectivity and responsiveness between layered aquifers, and numerous other applications relevant to development of predictive models or other management tools (Levitt and others, 2019; Musgrove and others, 2019; Degnan and others, 2020).

Quantifying and understanding groundwater-age distributions can elucidate geogenic constituent sources, anthropogenic stressor contributions to enhance mobilization, and mobilization mechanisms, thereby informing appropriate management responses (McMahon and others, 2015; Kingsbury and others, 2017; Stackelberg and others, 2018; Levitt and others, 2019; Musgrove and others, 2019; McMahon and others, 2021b). Chemical and isotopic analyses of field samples can identify sources of water and dissolved constituents in unconventional oil and gas produced water (Gallegos and others, 2021). Comprehensive field studies could evaluate sources of organic compounds (for example, methane, benzene) in groundwater to differentiate geogenic sources from anthropogenic sources; proper identification of source is imperative for selection and implementation of an appropriate management action (McMahon and others, 2016b, 2017, 2019; Wright and others, 2019).

#### Incorporate Human Activities into Hydrologic and (or) (Bio)Geochemical Models

For proposed MAR sites and designs—as well as other human activities—comprehensive a priori geochemical modeling and field studies could be carried out to quantify how the expanding use of MAR or other practices could affect water quality and intended beneficial use.

There are many hydrologic and geochemical unknowns associated with typical types of MAR, resulting in a high rate of facility abandonment. Improved understanding and comprehensive modeling of MAR practices could reveal unanticipated consequences related to coupled hydrologic and biogeochemical processes that can cause mobilization of geogenic constituents, which in turn can deteriorate water quality to the point where the water will not meet standards for its intended beneficial use.

#### Gap 4. Climate Change Effects on Geogenic Constituent Distribution

#### **Knowledge Gaps**

#### Evolving Effects of Geogenic Constituents Under Changing Climatic Conditions and Mitigation Strategies

Change in wet and dry cycles can result in constituent build-ups during dry or drought conditions followed by flushing of constituents through the system during wet or flood conditions (Nordstrom, 2009). The pattern and concentrations of fluxes of constituents would vary with changes in distribution and flushing patterns. Also, influx of carbon from expanded or more frequent flooding, or redistribution of geogenic constituents in

sediment repositories, could also change the mobilization and occurrence patterns of geogenic constituents. The effect of drought on geogenic constituent mobilization requires much additional understanding and study. Lombard and others (2021b) estimated that in drought conditions, an additional 1.4 million domestic well users would be using a groundwater source with As concentrations exceeding the EPA MCL—a 54 percent increase from the scenario in non-drought condition. Bondu and others (2016) describe how climate change, land use change, increased water well pumping, and water table declines could mobilize As via oxidation of arsenic-bearing sulfides in bedrock aquifers. Drought could substantially change the distribution of irrigation or irrigation source water in agricultural areas.

Sea-level rise is expected to affect water availability in coastal areas, but the effects are not well understood. Census data show that in 2017, 94.7 million people (29 percent of the United States population) lived in coastline counties (Cohen, 2019). Thus, understanding the effects of sea-level rise on beneficial uses of water is critically important. Vengosh (2014, p. 328-329) describes saltwater intrusion as "...one of the most widespread and important processes that degrades water-quality to levels exceeding acceptable drinking and irrigation standards." Sealevel rise can be expected to accelerate saltwater intrusion in groundwater and to promote the up-stream advancement of the salt-water wedge in coastal rivers. In the Delaware River, upstream advancement of salt water may require moving the intake for the water supply of Philadelphia (Hurdle, 2020). Sodium and Mg are the dominant cations in seawater but saline groundwater affected by seawater intrusion is typically dominated by Ca owing to cation exchange reactions between the intruding sea water and sediments (Appelo and Postma, 2005). Calcium is potentially more reactive than Na, which may promote mobilization of geogenic constituents on exchange sites or sequester geogenic constituents if carbonate-mineral precipitation occurs. Inundation of coastal soils can lead to As mobilization, but the potential magnitude of the problem and effect on other geogenic constituents is unknown (LeMonte and others, 2017). Studies on Jeju Island, a volcanic island south of the Korean peninsula where groundwater management practices are similar to those used for the Hawaiian Islands, have shown that sea-water intrusion can occur up to 2.5 km inland owing to the permeability of the basaltic aquifer (Vengosh, 2014). Thus, the drinking and irrigation water on the Hawaiian and other volcanic islands may be particularly vulnerable to the effects from sea-level rise.

Carbon dioxide sequestration, which involves injection and storage of large volumes of carbon dioxide in deep formations, can have water-quality effects, but the extent of these effects is unknown. Injection of carbon dioxide causes a decrease in pH (Carroll and others, 2009; Wilkin and DiGiulio, 2010), which can threaten the integrity of the cap rock by dissolving cements, increasing dissolved salt concentrations, and increasing the concentrations of BTEX (a group of volatile organic compounds; benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylene) and other hydrophobic organic compounds (Kharaka and others, 2006). Decreases in pH can mobilize metal ions like Cu, Cd, and Pb, and increases in dissolved salt concentrations can mobilize oxyanions like As (Kharaka and others, 2010). Whether mobilization of toxic inorganic and organic solutes will be far reaching (Zheng and others, 2012) or shortrange and transient (Plampin and others, 2021) is unknown. Considering the potential for widespread application of deep injection of carbon dioxide for sequestration, understanding possible consequences is an important research area that needs to be addressed.

#### Approaches to Fill Knowledge Gaps

#### Wet and Dry Cycles

Improved understanding of the effects of spatial and temporal hydrologic variability can be gained from long-term studies where hydrologic and water-quality data are collected along a gradient of sites from the headwaters to lower parts of the watershed. Water-quality data would include geogenic constituents, particularly those generated during sulfide mineral weathering (for example, As, Cu, Se, Zn). Hydrologic data would include rainfall, groundwater levels, and streamflow along with water temperature and specific conductance in streams and dissolved oxygen in wells. Findings from an investigation of changes in water quality over time carried out in the upper Snake River watershed (Manning and others, 2013) suggest that the Upper Colorado River Basin would provide an excellent location for such a study in the semi-arid west. Companion studies could be conducted in humid areas and areas subject to flooding. Such studies can provide longterm datasets for examining long-term temporal and spatial trends and whether trends are linked to climate change, decadal oscillations, or both.

#### Sea-Level Rise

Approaches to understanding the effects of sea-level rise involve assessing vulnerability, understanding effects of sea-level rise on the mobility of geogenic constituents, and improving models for carrying out vulnerability assessments. The vulnerability assessments could be carried out for fresh-water intakes to advancing salt-water wedges in coastal rivers and for sea-water intrusion to groundwater in coastal aquifers. Concentrations and chemical forms of geogenic constituents in coastal soils and sediments could be characterized. Understanding the concentrations and chemical forms can identify the geogenic constituents most likely to be mobilized by sea-level rise. Laboratory and well-controlled field experiments of the effects of inundation on mobility of geogenic constituents are needed to develop and improve models used in vulnerability assessments. The Hawaiian and other islands rely on careful management of groundwater to maintain fresh water for beneficial uses. Management models can prove useful for assessing the effect of sea-level rise on maintaining fresh water for beneficial uses, but the effects of agricultural, industrial, and domestic return flows and discharges could also be considered carefully.

#### Carbon Dioxide Sequestration

Gaps in understanding water-quality effects of carbon dioxide sequestration could be addressed with a combination of field, modeling, and laboratory studies. Field studies could be carried out in coordination with carbon dioxide sequestration activities (Kharaka and others, 2006; Kharaka and others, 2010). Findings from such field studies can identify constituents with the greatest potential to affect water quality and spur improvements in models required to predict potential effects (Zheng and others, 2012; Plampin and others, 2021). Application of models to describe results of field investigations can identify processes and parameters for which improved understanding is needed. Processes and parameters needed to improve predictive modeling can be examined in laboratory and small-scale field experimental studies, which allow controls to be put in place that will allow specific sets of processes to be examined and parameters needed to describe those processes to be quantified.

There is a need to develop basic understanding or conceptual models for the effects of climate change (flood inundation frequency and extent, extended drought conditions, sea level change and inundation) and how the changes redistribute or change mobility of geogenic constituents. For example, in semiarid climates (Upper Colorado River Basin and San Joaquin Valley in California), climate-change-driven drought will drive decreases in the water table elevation both directly, owing to lower precipitation and higher evapotranspiration, and indirectly, owing to increased pumping of groundwater for irrigation and other beneficial uses (Alley and others, 1999). Questions include whether sulfide-rich sediments will be exposed to oxygen, increasing sulfide-mineral oxidation rates, which, in turn, will drive decreases in pH mobilizing toxic metal ions like Cu and Pb and oxyanions like As.

### **Expected Outcomes**

Numerous publications document point and non-point sources of geogenic constituents, which are ubiquitous across the nation. Most importantly, geogenic constituents at high concentrations can limit or threaten water availability for numerous beneficial uses. Specific processes and drivers that mobilize geogenic constituents, including mobilization by anthropogenic activities such as agriculture and groundwater pumping, are not understood well enough to model and map effectively. Completion of the outlined activities as part of the current IWS basin activities will add knowledge and capabilities applicable to water availability understanding in the study basins—and to other basins.

Specific results from completing these activities would include the following:

 Expertise and methods to distinguish naturally occurring sources or distribution process from anthropogenic sources or distribution processes. Distinguishing natural from anthropogenic sources and processes is crucial for the development of appropriate models, regulations based on sound science, remediation strategies, responses, or other management tools for geogenic constituents.

- The ability to quantify, model, map occurrence, and map risk from constituent mixtures and complex distribution patterns. These can provide more comprehensive assessments of geogenic limitations on water availability for multiple uses (beyond constituent-by-constituent exceedance probabilities), which is a key step toward developing more appropriate methods, models, and strategies to manage/optimize water resources and to quantify human exposure risk.
- Knowledge of groundwater availability and sustainability in conditions of enhanced constituent mobilization and redistribution from irrigation, managed aquifer recharge, pumping, and chemical inputs from agriculture, landfills, wastewater releases, organic chemical spills, oil and gas production, and mining. As water use demands increase, we can strive to understand how human activities exacerbate water availability problems from high concentrations of geogenic constituents. This understanding is fundamental for development of appropriate methods, models, strategies, and other management tools to anticipate, quantify, and respond to water availability needs.
- Understanding of processes and parameters can facilitate predictions of how climate change will directly or indirectly affect beneficial uses due to redistribution or mobilization of constituents. We should be able to better anticipate and mitigate climate-related limitations on water availability for intended beneficial use.

Outcomes from this research can provide the basis for incorporating the effects of geogenic constituents on water resource assessment. Improved understanding can improve matching resource-water quality with the water-quality needs of specific beneficial uses, and identifying what is affecting or limiting required uses. The new knowledge can also allow for more effective management of groundwater and surface water resources, and for planning needed treatment or blending strategies to meet beneficial use water-quality requirements.

There are limitations inherent in this report, which is focused on a selection of important scientific knowledge gaps and suggested approaches for improving understanding that are relevant to current and potential USGS directions and capabilities. Success in these areas will depend on maintaining capabilities for water-quality research in topics such as chemistry, geochemistry, microbiology, hydrology, geology, geochronology, and modeling large datasets. Development of next-generation forensic tools, and other chemical and isotopic approaches for field and laboratory studies will require expanding research laboratory methods beyond current analytical schedules. Analysis of the effects of water management will depend on our ability to coordinate with stakeholders and to support long-term studies—before, during, and after implementation. Although this chapter touches on a variety of information needs required to understand and predict how geogenic constituents impact water availability, it is incomplete. Additional issues exist, and new ones will emerge, so it will be important to maintain broad-based expertise and flexibility to remain nimble.

### **References Cited**

- Aizebeokhai, A.P., Oyeyemi, K.D., and Adeniran, A., 2017, An overview of the potential impacts of climate change on groundwater resources, *in* The role of science in novel research and advances in technology, International Conference on Science and Sustainable Development (ICSSD), Covenant University, Nigeria, June 20–22, 2017, Proceedings: Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences; v. 9, no. 2, p. 437–453, accessed November 1, 2021, at https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/ An-Overview-of-the-Potential-Impacts-of-Climate-on-Aizebeokhai-Oyeyemi/97e4022bc54eb3f7b0b0e9b17aadfaa 90fc9eaf2.
- Akob, D.M., Mumford, A.C., Orem, W., Engle, M.A., Klinges, J.G., Kent, D.B., and Cozzarelli, I.M., 2016, Wastewater disposal from unconventional oil and gas development degrades stream quality at a West Virginia injection facility: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 50, no. 11, p. 5517– 5525, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00428.
- Allaire, M., Wu, H., and Lall, U., 2018, National trends in drinking water quality violations: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 115, no. 9, p. 2078–2083, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719805115.
- Alley, W.M., Reilly, T.E. and Franke, O.L., 1999, Sustainability of ground-water resources: US Geological Survey Circular 1186, 86 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/cir1186.
- Amanambu, A.C., Obarein, O.A., Mossa, J., Li, L., Ayeni,
  S.S., Balogun, O., Oyebamiji, A., and Ochege, F.U.,
  2020, Groundwater system and climate change—Present status and future considerations: Journal of Hydrology,
  v. 589, article 125163, p. 1–24, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
  jhydrol.2020.125163.
- Appelo, C.A.J., and Postma, D., 2005, Geochemistry, groundwater, and pollution (2d ed.): Boca Raton, CRC Press, 649 p.
- Arai, Y., Lanzirotti, A., Sutton, S., Davis, J.A., and Sparks, D.L., 2003, Arsenic speciation and reactivity in poultry litter: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 37, no. 18, p. 4083–4090, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0340580.
- Ayotte, J.D., Belaval, M., Olson, S.A., Burow, K.R., Flanagan, S.M., Hinkle, S.R., and Lindsey, B.D., 2015, Factors affecting temporal variability of arsenic in groundwater used for drinking water supply in the United States: Science of the Total Environment, v. 505, p. 1370–1379, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2014.02.057.

- Ayotte, J.D., Flanagan, S.M., and Morrow, W.S., 2007, Occurrence of uranium and <sup>222</sup>radon in glacial and bedrock aquifers in the northern United States, 1993–2003: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007–5037, 85 p., 6 app., https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20075037.
- Ayotte, J.D., Nolan, B.T., and Gronberg, J.A., 2016, Predicting arsenic in drinking water wells of the Central Valley, California: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 50, p. 7555–7563, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01914.
- Bindeman, I.N., and Pack, A., eds., 2020, Triple oxygen isotope geochemistry, volume 86 *of* Reviews in mineralogy and geochemistry: Mineralogical Society of American, 496 p.
- Böhlke, J.K., 2002, Groundwater recharge and agricultural contamination: Hydrogeology Journal, v. 10, p. 153–179, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-001-0183-3.
- Böhlke, J.K., Smith, R.L., and Miller, D.N., 2006, Ammonium transport and reaction in contaminated groundwater— Application of isotope tracers and isotope fractionation studies: Water Resources Research, v. 42, no. 5, 19 p., https://doi. org/10.1029/2005WR004349.
- Bondu, R., Cloutier, V., Rosa, E., and Benzaazoua, M., 2016, A review and evaluation of the impacts of climate change on geogenic arsenic in groundwater from fractured bedrock aquifers: Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, v. 227, article 296, 14 p., https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-2936-6.
- Brown, C.J., and Misut, P.E., 2010, Aquifer geochemistry at potential aquifer storage and recovery sites in coastal plain aquifers in the New York city area, USA: Applied Geochemistry, v. 25, no. 9, p. 1431–1452, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2010.07.001.
- Carroll, S., Hao, Y., and Aines, R., 2009, Geochemical detection of carbon dioxide in dilute aquifers: Geochemical Transactions, v. 10, no. 1, 18 p., https://doi.org/10.1186/1467-4866-10-4.
- Chambers, D.B., Kozar, M.D., Messinger, T., Mulder, M.L., Pelak, A.J., and White, J.S., 2015, Water quality of groundwater and stream base flow in the Marcellus Shale Gas Field of the Monongahela River Basin, West Virginia, 2011–12 (ver. 1.1 June 25, 2015): U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2014–5233, 75 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ sir20145233.
- Cohen, D., 2019, About 60.2M live in areas most vulnerable to hurricanes, U.S. Census Bureau website, accessed November 1, 2021, at https://www.census.gov/library/ stories/2019/07/millions-of-americans-live-coastlineregions.html.
- Conaway, C.H., Pride, D.E., Faure, G., and Tettenhorst, R.T., 2005, Mineralogical and geochemical investigation of sediment in the Snake River arm of the Dillon Reservoir, Summit County, Colorado, USA: Lakes & Reservoirs, v. 10, no. 4, p. 235–242, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1770.2005.00276.x.

Cozzarelli, I.M., Kent, D.B., Briggs, M., Engle, M.A., Benthem, A., Skalak, K.J., Mumford, A.C., Jaeschke, J., Farag, A., Lane, J.W., and Akob, D.M., 2021, Geochemical and geophysical indicators of oil and gas wastewater can trace potential exposure pathways following releases to surface waters: Science of the Total Environment, v. 755, part 1, 16 p., https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142909.

Cozzarelli, I.M., Schreiber, M.E., Erickson, M.L., and Ziegler, B.A., 2016, Arsenic cycling in hydrocarbon plumes: Secondary effects of natural attenuation: Groundwater, v. 54, no. 1, p. 35–45, https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12316.

Cozzarelli, I.M., Skalak, K.J., Kent, D.B., Engle, M.A., Benthem, A., Mumford, A.C., Haase, K., Farag, A., Harper, D., Nagel, S.C., Iwanowicz, L.R., Orem, W.H., Akob, D.M., Jaeschke, J.B., Galloway, J., Kohler, M., Stoliker, D.L., and Jolly, G.D., 2017, Environmental signatures and effects of an oil and gas wastewater spill in the Williston Basin, North Dakota: Science of the Total Environment, v. 579, p. 1781–1793, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.157.

Dasgupta, P.K., Dyke, J.V., Kirk, A.B., and Jackson, W.A., 2006, Perchlorate in the United States—Analysis of relative source contributions to the food chain: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 40, no. 21, p. 6608–6614, https://doi. org/10.1021/es061321z.

Davies, C.L., Surridge, B.W.J., and Gooddy, D.C., 2014, Phosphate oxygen isotopes within aquatic ecosystems: Global data synthesis and future research priorities: Science of the Total Environment, v. 496, p. 563–575, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2014.07.057.

Davis, J.A., and Kent, D.B., 1990, Surface complexation modeling in aqueous geochemistry, chap. 5 of Hochella, M.F., and White, A.F., eds., Mineral-water interface geochemistry, volume 23 of Reviews in minerology and geochemistry: Mineralogical Society of America, p. 177–260, https://doi. org/10.1515/9781501509131-009.

Degnan, J.R., and Harte, P.T., 2013, Hydrogeologic framework, arsenic distribution, and groundwater geochemistry of the glacial-sediment aquifer at the Auburn Road landfill superfund site, Londonderry, New Hampshire: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2013–5123, 58 p., https://doi. org/10.3133/sir20135123.

Degnan, J.R., Levitt, J.P., Erickson, M.L., Jurgens, B.C., Lindsey, B.D., and Ayotte, J.D., 2020, Time scales of arsenic variability and the role of high-frequency monitoring at three water-supply wells in New Hampshire, USA: Science of the Total Environment, v. 709, article 135946, 13 p., https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135946.

DeSimone, L.A., 2009, Quality of water from domestic wells in principal aquifers of the United States, 1991–2004: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5227, 139 p., http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5227. DeSimone, L.A., McMahon, P.B., and Rosen, M.R., 2015, The quality of our Nation's waters—Water quality in principal aquifers of the United States, 1991–2010: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1360, 150 p., 4 appendixes, data archive, https://doi.org/10.3133/cir1360.

Dieter, C.A., Maupin, M.A., Caldwell, R.R., Harris, M.A., Ivahnenko, T.I., Lovelace, J.K., Barber, N.L., and Linsey, K.S., 2018, Estimated use of water in the United States in 2015: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1441, 65 p., https:// doi.org/10.3133/cir1441. [Supersedes USGS Open-File Report 2017–1131.]

Dillon, P., 2005, Future management of aquifer recharge: Hydrogeology Journal, v. 13, no. 1, p. 313–316, https://doi. org/10.1007/s10040-004-0413-6.

Drever, J.I., 1988, The geochemistry of natural waters (2nd ed.): Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall, 437 p.

Dzombak, D.A., and Morel, F.M.M., 1990, Surface complexation modeling—Hydrous ferric oxide: New York, N.Y., John Wiley, 393 p.

Eberts, S.M., Thomas, M.A., and Jagucki, M.L., 2013, The quality of our Nation's waters—Factors affecting publicsupply-well vulnerability to contamination—understanding observed water quality and anticipating future water quality: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1385, 132 p., https://doi. org/10.3133/cir1385.

Elliott, S.M., Erickson, M.L., Krall, A.L., and Adams, B.A., 2018a, Concentrations of pharmaceuticals and other micropollutants in groundwater downgradient from large on-site wastewater discharges: PLoS ONE, v. 13, 17 p., https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0206004.

Elliott, S.M., Erickson, M.L., Krall, A.L., and Adams, B.A., 2018b, Wastewater indicators, hormones, sterols, antibiotics, and pharmaceuticals in soil at an agricultural field irrigated with domestic septage, central Minnesota, September 2014: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2018–5100, 24 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20185100.

Erickson, M.L., and Barnes, R.J., 2005, Glacial sediment causing regional-scale elevated arsenic in drinking water: Groundwater, v. 43, no. 6, p. 796–805, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2005.00053.x.

Erickson, M.L., and Barnes, R.J., 2006, Arsenic concentration variability in public water system wells in Minnesota, USA: Applied Geochemistry, v. 21, no. 2, p. 305–317, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2005.12.005.

Erickson, M.L., Elliott, S.M., Brown, C.J., Stackelberg, P.E., Ransom, K.M., and Reddy, J.E., 2021a, Machine learning predicted redox conditions in the glacial aquifer system, northern continental United States: Water Resources Research, v. 57, no. 4, 19 p., https://doi. org/10.1029/2020WR028207.

Erickson, M.L., Elliott, S.M., Brown, C.J., Stackelberg, P.E., Ransom, K.M., Reddy, J.E., and Cravotta, C.A., 2021b, Machine-learning predictions of high arsenic and high manganese at drinking water depths of the glacial aquifer system, northern continental United States: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 55, no. 9, p. 5791–5805, https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06740.

Erickson, M.L., Langer, S.K., Roth, J.L., and Kroening,
S.E., 2014, Contaminants of emerging concern in ambient groundwater in urbanized areas of Minnesota, 2009–12: U.S.
Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2014–5096, 38 p., with appendix, https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20145096.

Erickson, M.L., Yager, R.M., Kauffman, L.J., and Wilson, J.T., 2019, Drinking water quality in the glacial aquifer system, northern USA: Science of the Total Environment, v. 694, article 133735, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133735.

Evenson, E.J., Orndorff, R.C., Blome, C.D., Böhlke, J.K., Hershberger, P.K., Langenheim, V.E., McCabe, G.J., Morlock, S.E., Reeves, H.W., Verdin, J.P., Weyers, H.S., and Wood, T.M., 2013, U.S. Geological Survey water science strategy—Observing, understanding, predicting, and delivering water science to the Nation: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1383-G, 49 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ cir1383G.

Fakhreddine, S., Prommer, H., Scanlon, B.R., Ying, S.C., and Nicot, J.-P., 2021, Mobilization of arsenic and other naturally occurring contaminants during managed aquifer recharge—A critical review: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 55, no. 4, p. 2208–2223, https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.0c07492.

Fashola, M.O., Ngole-Jeme, V.M., and Babalola, O.O., 2016, Heavy metal pollution from gold mines: Environmental effects and bacterial strategies for resistance: International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, v. 13, no. 11, 20 p., https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13111047.

Focazio, M.J., Reilly, T.E., Rupert, M.G., and Helsel, D.R., 2002, Assessing ground-water vulnerability to contamination—Providing scientifically defensible information for decision makers: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1224, 33 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/cir1224.

Foster, S.A., Pennino, M.J., Compton, J.E., Leibowitz, S.G., and Kile, M.L., 2019, Arsenic drinking water violations decreased across the United States following revision of the maximum contaminant level: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 53, no. 19, p. 11478–11485, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. est.9b02358.

Frind, E.O., Molson, J.W., and Rudolph, D.L., 2006, Well vulnerability—A quantitative approach for source water protection: Groundwater, v. 44, no. 5, p. 732–742, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2006.00230.x.

Gallegos, T.J., Doolan, C., Caldwell, R., Engle, M.A., Varonka, M., Birdwell, J., Jolly, G., Coplen, T.B., and Oliver, T., 2021, Insights on geochemical, isotopic, and volumetric compositions of produced water from hydraulically fractured Williston Basin oil wells: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 55, no. 14, p. 10025–10034, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06789.

Gotkowitz, M.B., Schreiber, M.E., and Simo, J.A., 2004, Effects of water use on arsenic release to well water in a confined aquifer: Groundwater, v. 42, no. 4, p. 568–575, https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2004.tb02625.x.

Graham, R.C., Hirmas, D.R., Wood, Y.A., and Amrhein, C., 2008, Large near-surface nitrate pools in soils capped by desert pavement in the Mojave Desert, California: Geology, v. 36, no. 3, p. 259–262, https://doi.org/10.1130/G24343A.1.

Harvey, J.A., Conaway, C.H., Dornblaser, M., Gellis, A., Stewart, R., and Green, C.T., 2024, Knowledge gaps and opportunities in water quality drivers of aquatic ecosystem health: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2023–1085, XX p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20231085.

Hatzinger, P.B., Böhlke, J.K., Sturchio, N.C., Izbicki, J., and Teague, N., 2018, Four-dimensional isotopic approach to identify perchlorate sources in groundwater: Application to the Rialto-Colton and Chino subbasins, southern California (USA): Applied Geochemistry, v. 97, p. 213–225, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.08.020.

Hausladen, D.M., Alexander-Ozinskas, A., McClain, C., and Fendorf, S., 2018, Hexavalent chromium sources and distribution in California groundwater: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 52, no. 15, p. 8242–8251, https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.7b06627.

Hem, J.D., 1985, Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water: U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2254, 4 pl., https://doi.org/10.3133/wsp2254.

Hilkert, A., Böhlke, J.K., Mroczkowski, S.J., Fort, K.L., Aizikov, K., Wang, X.T., Kopf, S.H., and Neubauer, C., 2021, Exploring the potential of electrospray-orbitrap for stable isotope analysis using nitrate as a model: Analytical Chemistry, v. 93, no. 26, p. 9139–9148, https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.analchem.1c00944.

Hurdle, J., 2020, As sea levels rises, will drinking water supplies be at risk?: New Haven, Conn., Yale School of Environment, YaleEnvironment-360 web site, accessed November 1, 2021, at https://e360.yale.edu/features/as-sea-levels-rise-will-drinkingwater-supplies-be-at-risk.

Hussain, M.S., Abd-Elhamid, H.F., Javadi, A.A., and Sherif, M.M., 2019, Management of seawater intrusion in coastal aquifers—A review: Water, v. 11, no. 12, article no. 2467, 20 p., https://doi.org/10.3390/w11122467. Jackson, W.A., Böhlke, J.K., Andraski, B.J., Fahlquist, L., Bexfield, L., Eckardt, F.D., Gates, J.B., Davila, A.F., McKay, C.P., Rao, B., Sevanthi, R., Rajagopalan, S., Estrada, N., Sturchio, N., Hatzinger, P.B., Anderson, T.A., Orris, G., Betancourt, J., Stonestrom, D., Latorre, C., Li, Y., and Harvey, G.J., 2015, Global patterns and environmental controls of perchlorate and nitrate co-occurrence in arid and semi-arid environments: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 164, p. 502–522, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.016.

Jackson, W.A., Böhlke, J.K., Gu, B., Hatzinger, P.B., and Sturchio, N.C., 2010, Isotopic composition and origin of indigenous natural perchlorate and co-occurring nitrate in the southwestern United States: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 44, no. 13, p. 4869–4876, https://doi.org/10.1021/es903802j.

Jackson, T.A., Whittle, D.M., Evans, M.S., and Muir, D.C.G., 2008, Evidence for mass-independent and mass-dependent fractionation of the stable isotopes of mercury by natural processes in aquatic ecosystems: Applied Geochemistry, v. 23, no. 3, p. 547–571, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apgeochem.2007.12.013.

Jaisi, D.P., and Blake, R.E., 2014, Advances in using oxygen isotope ratios of phosphate to understand phosphorus cycling in the environment, chap. 1 *of* Sparks, D.L., ed., Advances in agronomy: Academic Press, v. 125, p. 1–53, https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800137-0.00001-7.

Jurgens, B.C., Parkhurst, D.L., and Belitz, K., 2019, Assessing the lead solubility potential of untreated groundwater of the United States: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 53, no. 6, p. 3095–3103, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b04475.

Kayane, I., 1997, Global warming and groundwater resources in arid lands, chap. 6 of Uitto, J.I., and Schneider, J., eds., Freshwater resources in arid lands, UNU global environmental forum V: Tokyo, United Nations University Press, p. 70–80.

Kent, D.B., and Fox, P.M., 2004, The influence of groundwater chemistry on arsenic concentrations and speciation in a quartz sand and gravel aquifer: Geochemical Transactions, v. 5, no. 1, 12 p., https://doi.org/10.1186/1467-4866-5-1.

Kharaka, Y.K., Cole, D.R., Hovorka, S.D., Gunter, W.D., Knauss, K.G., and Freifeld, B.M., 2006, Gas-water-rock interactions in Frio Formation following CO<sub>2</sub> injection—Implications for the storage of greenhouse gases in sedimentary basins: Geology, v. 34, no. 7, p. 577–580, https://doi.org/10.1130/G22357.1.

Kharaka, Y.K., Thordsen, J.J., Kakouros, E., Ambats, G., Herkelrath, W.N., Beers, S.R., Birkholzer, J.T., Apps, J.A., Spycher, N.F., Zheng, L., Trautz, R.C., Rauch, H.W., and Gullickson, K.S., 2010, Changes in the chemistry of shallow groundwater related to the 2008 injection of CO<sub>2</sub> at the ZERT field site, Bozeman, Montana: Environmental Earth Sciences, v. 60, no. 2, p. 273–284, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0401-1. Kingsbury, J.A., Barlow, J.R.B., Jurgens, B.C., McMahon, P.B., and Carmichael, J.K., 2017, Fraction of young water as an indicator of aquifer vulnerability along two regional flow paths in the Mississippi embayment aquifer system, southeastern USA: Hydrogeology Journal, v. 25, no. 6, p. 1661–1678, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-017-1566-4.

Knierim, K.J., Kingsbury, J.A., Haugh, C.J., and Ransom, K.M., 2020, Using boosted regression tree models to predict salinity in Mississippi embayment aquifers, central United States: Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 56, no. 6, p. 1010–1029, https://doi. org/10.1111/1752-1688.12879.

Kulongoski, J.T., and McMahon, P.B., 2019, Methane emissions from groundwater pumping in the USA: Nature Partner Journals (npj) Climate and Atmospheric Science, v. 2, no. 1, 8 p., https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-019-0068-6.

LeMonte, J.J., Stuckey, J.W., Sanchez, J.Z., Tappero, R., Rinklebe, J., and Sparks, D.L., 2017, Sea level rise induced arsenic release from historically contaminated coastal soils: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 51, no. 11, p. 5913–5922, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06152.

Levitt, J.P., Degnan, J.R., Flanagan, S.M., and Jurgens, B.C., 2019, Arsenic variability and groundwater age in three water supply wells in southeast New Hampshire: Geoscience Frontiers, v. 10, no. 5, p. 1669–1683, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gsf.2019.01.002.

Lindsey, B.D., Belitz, K., Cravotta, C.A., Toccalino, P.L., and Dubrovsky, N.M., 2021, Lithium in groundwater used for drinking-water supply in the United States: Science of the Total Environment, v. 767, article 144691, 15 p., https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144691.

Lombard, M.A., Bryan, M.S., Jones, D.K., Bulka, C., Bradley, P.M., Backer, L.C., Focazio, M.J., Silverman, D.T., Toccalino, P., Argos, M., Gribble, M.O., and Ayotte, J.D., 2021a, Machine learning models of arsenic in private wells throughout the conterminous United States as a tool for exposure assessment in human health studies: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 55, no. 8, p. 5012–5023, https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05239.

Lombard, M.A., Daniel, J., Jeddy, Z., Hay, L.E., and Ayotte, J.D., 2021b, Assessing the impact of drought on arsenic exposure from private domestic wells in the conterminous United States: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 55, no. 3, p. 1822–1831, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b05835.

Madison, A.S., Tebo, B.M., Mucci, A., Sundby, B., and Luther, G.W., 2013, Abundant porewater Mn(III) is a major component of the sedimentary redox system: Science, v. 341, no. 6148, p. 875–878, https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1241396.

Manning, A.H., Mills, C.T., Morrison, J.M., and Ball, L.B., 2015, Insights into controls on hexavalent chromium in groundwater provided by environmental tracers, Sacramento Valley, California, USA: Applied Geochemistry, v. 62, p. 186–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apgeochem.2015.05.010.

Manning, A.H., Verplanck, P.L., Caine, J.S., and Todd, A.S., 2013, Links between climate change, water-table depth, and water chemistry in a mineralized mountain watershed: Applied Geochemistry, v. 37, p. 64–78, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apgeochem.2013.07.002.

McMahon, P.B., Belitz, K., Barlow, J.R.B., and Jurgens, B.C., 2017, Methane in aquifers used for public supply in the United States: Applied Geochemistry, v. 84, p. 337–347, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.07.014.

McMahon, P.B., and Böhlke, J.K., 2006, Regional patterns in the isotopic composition of natural and anthropogenic nitrate in groundwater, High Plains, U.S.A: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 40, no. 9, p. 2965–2970, https://doi.org/10.1021/ es052229q.

McMahon, P.B., Böhlke, J.K., Dahm, K.G., Parkhurst, D.L., Anning, D.W., and Stanton, J.S., 2016a, Chemical considerations for an updated national assessment of brackish groundwater resources: Groundwater, v. 54, no. 4, p. 464–475, https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12367.

McMahon, P.B., Caldwell, R.R., Galloway, J.M., Valder, J.F., and Hunt, A.G., 2015, Quality and age of shallow groundwater in the Bakken Formation production area, Williston Basin, Montana and North Dakota: Groundwater, v. 53, no. S1, p. 81–94, https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12296.

McMahon, P.B., Dennehy, K.F., Bruce, B.W., Böhlke, J.K., Michel, R.L., Gurdak, J.J., and Hurlbut, D.B., 2006, Storage and transit time of chemicals in thick unsaturated zones under rangeland and irrigated cropland, High Plains, United States: Water Resources Research, v. 42, no. 3, https://doi. org/10.1029/2005WR004417.

McMahon, P.B., Galloway, J.M., Hunt, A.G., Belitz, K., Jurgens, B.C., and Johnson, T.D., 2021a, Geochemistry and age of groundwater in the Williston Basin, USA: Assessing potential effects of shale-oil production on groundwater quality: Applied Geochemistry, v. 125, 49 p. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104833.

McMahon, P.B., Kulongoski, J.T., Wright, M.T., Land, M.T., Landon, M.K., Cozzarelli, I.M., Vengosh, A., and Aiken, G.R., 2016b, Preliminary results from exploratory sampling of wells for the California oil, gas, and groundwater program, 2014–15 (ver. 1.1 January 2017): U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2016–1100, 8 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ ofr20161100. McMahon, P.B., Landon, M.K., Davis, T.A., Wright, M.T., Rosecrans, C.Z., Anders, R., Land, M.T., Kulongoski, J.T., and Hunt, A.G., 2021b, Relative risk of groundwater-quality degradation near California (USA) oil fields estimated from <sup>3</sup>H, <sup>14</sup>C, and <sup>4</sup>He: Applied Geochemistry, v. 131, 15 p., https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2021.105024.

McMahon, P.B., Kulongoski, J.T., Vengosh, A., Cozzarelli, I.M., Landon, M.K., Kharaka, Y.K., Gillespie, J.M., and Davis, T.A., 2018a, Regional patterns in the geochemistry of oil-field water, southern San Joaquin Valley, California, USA: Applied Geochemistry, v. 98, p. 127–140, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apgeochem.2018.09.015.

McMahon, P.B., Thomas, J.C., Crawford, J.T., Dornblaser, M.M., and Hunt, A.G., 2018b, Methane in groundwater from a leaking gas well, Piceance Basin, Colorado, USA: Science of the Total Environment, v. 634, p. 791–801, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2018.03.371.

McMahon, P.B., Vengosh, A., Davis, T.A., Landon, M.K., Tyne, R.L., Wright, M.T., Kulongoski, J.T., Hunt, A.G., Barry, P.H., Kondash, A.J., Wang, Z., and Ballentine, C.J., 2019, Occurrence and sources of radium in groundwater associated with oil fields in the southern San Joaquin Valley, California: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 53, no. 16, p. 9398–9406, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02395.

Minsley, B.J., Rigby, J.R., James, S.R., Burton, B.L., Knierim, K.J., Pace, M.D.M., Bedrosian, P.A., and Kress, W.H., 2021, Airborne geophysical surveys of the lower Mississippi Valley demonstrate system-scale mapping of subsurface architecture: Communications Earth and Environment, v. 2, no. 1, 14 p., https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00200-z.

Mirecki, J.E., 2006, Arsenic mobilization and sequestration during successive aquifer storage recovery (ASR) cycle tests in the carbonate Upper Floridan aquifer, South Florida, *in* Recharge systems for protecting and enhancing groundwater resources, 5th International Symposium on Management of Aquifer Recharge, ISMAR5, Berlin, Germany, 11–16 June 2005, Proceedings: Paris, France, The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), p. 304–310, accessed November 1, 2021, at https://www.ircwash.org/sites/ default/files/UNESCO-IHP-2006-Recharge.pdf.

Morrill, P.L., Kuenen, J.G., Johnson, O.J., Suzuki, S., Rietze, A., Sessions, A.L., Fogel, M.L., and Nealson, K.H., 2013, Geochemistry and geobiology of a present-day serpentinization site in California—The Cedars: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 109, p. 222–240, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gca.2013.01.043.

Musgrove, M., 2021, The occurrence and distribution of strontium in U.S. groundwater: Applied Geochemistry, v. 126, 18 p., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2020.104867.

#### Chapter C. Geogenic Water-Quality Effects on Beneficial Uses of Water 19

Musgrove, M., Solder, J.E., Opsahl, S.P., and Wilson, J.T., 2019, Timescales of water-quality change in a karst aquifer, southcentral Texas: Journal of Hydrology X, v. 4, 16 p., https://doi. org/10.1016/j.hydroa.2019.100041.

Naftz, D.L., Bullen, T.D., Stolp, B.J., and Wilkowske, C.D., 2008, Utilizing geochemical, hydrologic, and boron isotopic data to assess the success of a salinity and selenium remediation project, Upper Colorado River Basin, Utah: Science of the Total Environment, v. 392, no. 1, p. 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2007.10.047.

Nordstrom, D.K., 2009, Acid rock drainage and climate change, *in* Cidu, R., and Frau, F., eds., Abandoned and active mining sites—From contamination to remediation: Journal of Geochemical Exploration, Special Issue v. 100, no. 2–3, p. 97–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2008.08.002.

Norman, J.E., Toccalino, P.L., and Morman, S.A., 2018, Health-based screening levels for evaluating water-quality data (2d ed.). U.S. Geological Survey web page, https://doi. org/10.5066/F71C1TWP.

O'Day, P.A., Vlassopoulos, D., Root, R., and Rivera, N., 2004, The influence of sulfur and iron on dissolved arsenic concentrations in the shallow subsurface under changing redox conditions: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, v. 101, no. 38, p. 13703–13708, https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0402775101.

Ono, S., Wang, D.T., Gruen, D.S., Sherwood Lollar, B., Zahniser, M.S., McManus, B.J., and Nelson, D.D., 2014, Measurement of a doubly substituted methane isotopologue, <sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>D, by tunable infrared laser direct absorption spectroscopy: Analytical Chemistry, v. 86, no. 13, p. 6487–6494, https://doi.org/10.1021/ ac5010579.

Parkhurst, D.L., and Appelo, C.A.J., 2013, Description of input and examples for PHREEQC version 3—A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 6, chap. A43, 497 p., https://doi. org/10.3133/tm6A43.

Paul, M.J., Coffey, R., Stamp, J., and Johnson, T., 2019, A review of water quality responses to air temperature and precipitation changes 1—Flow, water temperature, saltwater intrusion: Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 55, no. 4, p. 824–843, https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12710.

Petkewich, M., Parkhurst, D.L., Conlon, K.J., Campbell, B.G., and Mirecki, J.E., 2004, Hydrologic and geochemical evaluation of aquifer storage recovery in the Santee Limestone/Black Mingo Aquifer, Charleston, South Carolina, 1998–2002: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2004–5046, 92 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20045046. Plampin, M.R., Blondes, M.S., Sonnenthal, E.L., and Craddock, W.H., 2021, Potential Pb<sup>2+</sup> mobilization, transport, and sequestration in shallow aquifers impacted by multiphase CO<sub>2</sub> leakage—A natural analogue study from the Virgin River Basin in SW Utah: Petroleum Geoscience, v. 27, no. 3, p. 15, https://doi.org/10.1144/petgeo2020-109.

Ransom, K.M., Nolan, B.T., Stackelberg, P.E., Belitz, K., and Fram, M.S., 2021, Machine learning predictions of nitrate in groundwater used for drinking supply in the conterminous United States: Science of the Total Environment, v. 807, part 3, 11 p., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151065.

Rao, B., Anderson, T.A., Orris, G.J., Rainwater, K.A., Rajagopalan, S., Sandvig, R.M., Scanlon, B.R., Stonestrom, D.A., Walvoord, M.A., and Jackson, W.A., 2007, Widespread natural perchlorate in unsaturated zones of the southwest United States: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 41, no. 13, p. 4522–4528, https://doi. org/10.1021/es062853i.

Redmon, J.H., Kondash, A.J., Womack, D., Lillys, T., Feinstein, L., Cabrales, L., Weinthal, E., and Vengosh, A., 2021, Is food irrigated with oilfield-produced water in the California Central Valley safe to eat? A probabilistic human health risk assessment evaluating trace metals exposure: Risk Analysis, v. 41, no. 8, p. 1463–1477, https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13641.

Riedel, T., 2019, Temperature-associated changes in groundwater quality: Journal of Hydrology, v. 572, p. 206–212, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.02.059.

Rosecrans, C.Z., Landon, M.K., McMahon, P.B., Gillespie, J.M., Kulongoski, J.T., Stephens, M.J., Hunt, A.G., Shimabukuro, D.H., and Davis, T.A., 2021, Groundwater quality of aquifers overlying the Oxnard oil field, Ventura County, California: Science of the Total Environment, v. 771, article 144822, 17 p., https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.144822.

Rosecrans, C.Z., Nolan, B.T., and Gronberg, J.A.M., 2017, Prediction and visualization of redox conditions in the groundwater of Central Valley, California: Journal of Hydrology, v. 546, p. 341–356, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhydrol.2017.01.014.

Rosen, M.R., Burow, K.R., and Fram, M.S., 2019, Anthropogenic and geologic causes of anomalously high uranium concentrations in groundwater used for drinking water supply in the southeastern San Joaquin Valley, CA: Journal of Hydrology, v. 577, p. 124009, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhydrol.2019.124009.

Saraceno, J., Kulongoski, J.T., and Mathany, T.M., 2018, A novel high-frequency groundwater quality monitoring system: Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, v. 190, no. 8, p. 477-477, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-6853-6.

Scanlon, B.R., Jolly, I., Sophocleous, M., and Zhang, L., 2007, Global impacts of conversions from natural to agricultural ecosystems on water resources—Quantity versus quality: Water Resources Research, v. 43, no. 3, 18 p., https://doi. org/10.1029/2006WR005486.

Scanlon, B.R., Stonestrom, D.A., Reedy, R.C., Leaney, F.W., Gates, J., and Cresswell, R.G., 2009, Inventories and mobilization of unsaturated zone sulfate, fluoride, and chloride related to land use change in semiarid regions, southwestern United States and Australia: Water Resources Research, v. 45, no. 7, 17 p., https://doi. org/10.1029/2008WR006963.

Scott, T.-M., Nystrom, E.A., and Reddy, J.E., 2019, Groundwater quality in the Delaware, Genesee, and St. Lawrence River Basins, New York, 2015: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2019–1005, 42 p., 2 app., https://doi.org/10.3133/ ofr20191005.

Seiler, R., 2016, <sup>210</sup>Po in drinking water, its potential health effects, and inadequacy of the gross alpha activity MCL: Science of the Total Environment, v. 568, p. 1010–1017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.044.

Smedley, P.L., and Kinniburgh, D.G., 2002, A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters: Applied Geochemistry, v. 17, no. 5, p. 517–568, https://doi. org/10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00018-5.

Smith, R.L., Böhlke, J.K., Garabedian, S.P., Revesz, K.M., and Yoshinari, T., 2004, Assessing denitrification in groundwater using natural gradient tracer tests with <sup>15</sup>N— In situ measurement of a sequential multistep reaction: Water Resources Research, v. 40, no. 7, 17 p., https://doi. org/10.1029/2003WR002919.

Smith, R.L., Böhlke, J.K., Song, B., and Tobias, C.R., 2015, Role of anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in nitrogen removal from a freshwater aquifer: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 49, no. 20, p. 12169–12177, https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02488.

Spahr, N.E., Apodaca, L.E., Deacon, J.R., Bails, J.B., Bauch, N.J., Smith, C.M., and Driver, N.E., 2000, Water quality in the upper Colorado River Basin, 1996–98: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1214, 33 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/cir1214.

Stackelberg, P.E., Belitz, K., Brown, C.J., Erickson, M.L., Elliott, S.M., Kauffman, L.J., Ransom, K.M., and Reddy, J.E., 2021, Machine learning predictions of pH in the glacial aquifer system, northern USA: Groundwater, v. 59, no. 3, p. 352–368, https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.13063.

Stackelberg, P.E., Szabo, Z., and Jurgens, B.C., 2018, Radium mobility and the age of groundwater in public-drinking-water supplies from the Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system, northcentral USA: Applied Geochemistry, v. 89, p. 34–48, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.11.002. Stollenwerk, K.G., 2003, Geochemical processes controlling transport of arsenic in groundwater—A review of adsorption, chap 3 of Welch, A.H., and Stollenwerk, K.G., eds., Arsenic in ground water: Boston, Springer, p. 67–100, https://doi. org/10.1007/0-306-47956-7\_3.

Sugita, F., and Nakane, K., 2007, Combined effects of rainfall patterns and porous media properties on nitrate leaching: Vadose Zone Journal, v. 6, no. 3, p. 548–553, https://doi. org/10.2136/vzj2006.0095.

Szabo, Z., Rice, D.E., MacLeod, C.L., and Barringer, T.H., 1997, Relation of distribution of radium, nitrate, and pesticides to agricultural land use and depth, Kirkwood-Cohansey aquifer system, New Jersey coastal plain, 1990–91: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 96–4165A, 119 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ wri964165A.

Szabo, Z., Stackelberg, P.E., and Cravotta, C.A., III, 2020, Occurrence and geochemistry of lead-210 and polonium-210 radionuclides in public-drinking-water supplies from principal aquifers of the United States: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 54, no. 12, p. 7236–7249, https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00192.

Tasker, T.L., Burgos, W.D., Piotrowski, P., Castillo-Meza, L., Blewett, T.A., Ganow, K.B., Stallworth, A., Delompré, P.L.M., Goss, G.G., Fowler, L.B., Vanden Heuvel, J.P., Dorman, F., and Warner, N.R., 2018, Environmental and human health impacts of spreading oil and gas wastewater on roads: Environmental science and technology, v. 52, no. 12, p. 7081–7091, https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00716.

Teeple, A.P., 2017, Geophysics- and geochemistry-based assessment of the geochemical characteristics and groundwaterflow system of the U.S. part of the Mesilla Basin/Conejos-Médanos aquifer system in Doña Ana County, New Mexico, and El Paso County, Texas, 2010–12: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2017–5028, 183 p., https://doi. org/10.3133/sir20175028.

Teng, F.Z., Watkins, J., and Dauphas, N., eds., 2017, Nontraditional stable isotopes, volume 82 of Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry: Mineralogical Society of America, 885 p.

Tesoriero, A.J., Burow, K.R., Frans, L., Haynes, J.V., Hobza, C.M., Lindsey, B.D., and Solder, J.E., 2019, Using age tracers and decadal sampling to discern trends in nitrate, arsenic and uranium in groundwater beneath irrigated cropland: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 53, no. 24, p. 14152–14164, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03459.

Thiemens, M.H., 2006, History and applications of massindependent isotope effects: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, v. 34, no. 1, p. 217–262, https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405.125026.

#### Chapter C. Geogenic Water-Quality Effects on Beneficial Uses of Water 21

Tsui, M.T.-K., Blum, J.D., and Kwon, S.Y., 2020, Review of stable mercury isotopes in ecology and biogeochemistry: Science of the Total Environment, v. 716, article 135386, 17 p., https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135386.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration [FDA], 2016, Arsenic in rice and rice products risk assessment: U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 269 p., accessed June 20, 2023, at https://www.fda.gov/food/risk-safety-assessment/arsenic-rice-and-rice-products-risk-assessment.

Vengosh, A., 2014, 9.09—Salinization and saline environments, in Lollar, B.E., ed., Environmental geochemistry, volume 9 of Holland, H.D., and Turekian, K.K., eds., Treatise on Geochemistry, (2d ed.): Oxford, Elsevier, p. 325–378, https:// doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/09051-4.

Walvoord, M.A., Phillips, F.M., Stonestrom, D.A., Evans, R.D., Hartsough, P.C., Newman, B.D., and Striegl, R.G., 2003, A reservoir of nitrate beneath desert soils: Science, v. 302, no. 5647, p. 1021–1024, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1086435.

Warner, K.L., and Ayotte, J.D., 2015, The quality of our Nation's waters—Water quality in the glacial aquifer system, northern United States, 1993–2009: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1352, 116 p., data archive, https://doi.org/10.3133/cir1352.

Warner, K.L., Martin, A., and Arnold, T., 2003, Arsenic in Illinois ground water—Community and private supplies: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 2003–4103, 12 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/wri034103.

Welch, A.H., Westjohn, D.B., Helsel, D.R., and Wanty, R.B., 2000, Arsenic in ground water of the United States—Occurrence and geochemistry: Ground Water, v. 38, no. 4, p. 589–604, https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2000.tb00251.x.

Wen, T., Liu, M., Woda, J., Zheng, G., and Brantley, S.L., 2021, Detecting anomalous methane in groundwater within hydrocarbon production areas across the United States: Water Research, v. 200, article 117236, 11 p., https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2021.117236.

Wilkin, R.T., and DiGiulio, D.C., 2010, Geochemical impacts to groundwater from geologic carbon sequestration—Controls on pH and inorganic carbon concentrations from reaction path and kinetic modeling: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 44, no. 12, p. 4821–4827, https://doi.org/10.1021/es100559j. Wilkin, R.T., Ford, R.G., Costantino, L.M., Ross, R.R., Beak, D.G., and Scheckel, K.G., 2019, Thioarsenite detection and implications for arsenic transport in groundwater: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 53, no. 20, p. 11684– 11693, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b04478.

Wright, M.T., McMahon, P.B., Landon, M.K., and Kulongoski, J.T., 2019, Groundwater quality of a public supply aquifer in proximity to oil development, Fruitvale oil field, Bakersfield, California: Applied Geochemistry, v. 106, p. 82–95, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2019.05.003.

Wuebbles, D.J., Fahey, D.W., and Hibbard, K.A., Dokken, D.J., Stewart, B.C., and Maycock, T.K., eds., 2017, Climate Science Special Report—Fourth National Climate Assessment, volume I: U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP), 470 p., https://doi.org/10.7930/ j0j964j6.

Yu, L., Cañas, J.E., Cobb, G.P., Jackson, W.A., and Anderson, T.A., 2004, Uptake of perchlorate in terrestrial plants: Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, v. 58, no. 1, p. 44–49, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513(03)00108-8.

Yuan, Y., Zhu, X., Mushet, D.M., Solensky, M.J., and Otte, M.L., 2021, Chemical connectivity and multi-element composition of groundwater in depressional wetlands: Wetlands, v. 41, no. 73, 16 p., https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13157-021-01469-8.

Zachara, J.M., Long, P.E., Bargar, J., Davis, J.A., Fox, P., Fredrickson, J.K., Freshley, M.D., Konopka, A.E., Liu, C., McKinley, J.P., Rockhold, M.L., Williams, K.H., and Yabusaki, S.B., 2013, Persistence of uranium groundwater plumes—Contrasting mechanisms at two DOE sites in the groundwater-river interaction zone: Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, v. 147, p. 45–72, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jconhyd.2013.02.001.

Zheng, L., Apps, J.A., Spycher, N., Birkholzer, J.T., Kharaka, Y.K., Thordsen, J., Beers, S.R., Herkelrath, W.N., Kakouros, E., and Trautz, R.C., 2012, Geochemical modeling of changes in shallow groundwater chemistry observed during the MSU-ZERT CO<sub>2</sub> injection experiment: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 7, p. 202–217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2011.10.003.

# Appendix C1. Additional Information Related to Geogenic Constituents in Water

### Glossary

**activity** Rate of decay of a radioactive isotope, typically expressed as becquerels or curies. The activity equals the number of radionuclides times the decay constant ( $a_i = N_i \lambda_i$ , where  $a_i$  is the activity,  $N_i$  is the number of radionuclides of type *i*, and  $\lambda_i$  is the decay constant of radionuclide *i*).

**alpha decay** ( $\alpha$ ) The mode of radioactive decay where an alpha particle, containing two protons and two neutrons, is released from the nucleus of a radioactive isotope. Alpha decay generates a new element with an atomic number and nominal atomic mass two units and four units, respectively, less than the parent radioactive isotope.

**becquerel (Bq)** The unit for activity in the International System of Units (SI), (Newell and Tiesinga, 2019). One becquerel equals one disintegration per second ( $s^{-1}$ ).

**beta decay (\beta)** The mode of radioactive decay where a beta particle (a high-energy electron) is released from the nucleus of a radioactive isotope. Beta decay generates a new element with the same nominal atomic mass and an atomic number one unit higher than the parent radioactive isotope.

**cosmogenic radioisotope** Cosmogenic radioisotopes are created by interactions between cosmic radiation and elements in the atmosphere, hydrosphere, or lithosphere. Examples include tritium (<sup>3</sup>H), beryllium-10 (<sup>10</sup>Be), carbon-14 (<sup>14</sup>C), chlorine-36 (<sup>36</sup>Cl). Cosmogenic radioisotopes are unlikely to occur at activities high enough to affect water availability. Anthropogenic sources, such as atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons and spills or leaks of radioactive wastes, are the most likely sources that can affect water availability.

**curie (Ci)** The traditional unit for activity. One curie equals  $3.7 \times 10^{10}$  Bq. Originally the curie was defined as the activity of one gram (g) of radium-226 (<sup>226</sup>Ra), but the decay constant of <sup>226</sup>Ra was subsequently revised so that definition no longer applies.

**daughter (radioactive isotope)** A radioactive isotope generated by radioactive decay of one or more other, generally heavier, radioactive isotopes. A radioactive isotope that produces the daughter radioisotope is called the "parent" radioisotope. For examples, see parent (radioactive isotope).

**decay constant** ( $\lambda_i$ ) The rate constant in the radioactive decay equation:  $N_i$ ,  $t / N_{i,0} = e^{-\lambda_i t}$ , where  $N_i t$  is the number of radionuclides at time t and  $N_{i,0}$  is the initial number of radionuclides. The decay constant is often expressed in per year (yr<sup>-1</sup>), but it is often difficult to ascertain whether the reported value is consistent with the SI definition of year (Newell and Tiesinga, 2019). The SI unit for the decay constant is per second (s<sup>-1</sup>) (Newell and Tiesinga, 2019).

**dose equivalent, or equivalent dose (rem, millirem, or sievert [Sv])** A measure of the energy from ionizing radiation deposited in tissues. The traditional unit is the rem; the SI unit is the sievert.

**electron capture (EC)** The mode of radioactive decay where an electron, typically an electron from a shell close to the nucleus (core electron), is captured by the nucleus of a radioactive isotope. EC is accompanied by the release X-rays and, in some cases, by electrons similar in energy to X-rays (Auger electrons).

**gamma ray (\gamma)** High-energy electromagnetic radiation that typically accompanies radioactive decay via alpha, beta, positron, or EC decay. Gamma decay does not change either the atomic number or nominal atomic mass of the original radioactive isotope.

**gross alpha** Sum of activities of all alpha-emitting radioisotopes in a sample. The maximum contaminant level (MCL) for gross alpha in drinking water established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is 0.6 becquerel per liter (Bq/L), which equals 15 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) (EPA, 2018).

**gross beta** The sum of activities of all beta-emitting radioactive isotopes in a sample. The MCL for gross beta in drinking water established by the EPA is the activity that yields a dose equivalent of 4 millirems per year. The MCL is based on calculations of the energy from beta and gamma emissions from 179 anthropogenic radioisotopes along with risk assessment estimates based on exposure to drinking water (EPA, 2002).

**half-life** ( $\mathbf{t}_{1/2}$ ) The time required for half of the radionuclides initially present to decay away. Although the half-life is often expressed in years for convenience, it is often difficult to ascertain whether values are consistent with the SI definition of the year (Newell and Tiesinga, 2019).  $t_{i,1/2} = \ln(2) / \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the decay constant of radioisotope *i*.

**millirem** One millirem is equal to one thousandth of a rem.

**parent (radioactive isotope)** A radioactive isotope that decays to produce other (daughter) isotopes that may be either stable or radioactive isotopes. For example, uranium-238 (<sup>238</sup>U) decays through four intermediate radioactive isotopes to produce <sup>226</sup>Ra, which decays to radon-222 (<sup>222</sup>Rn), which decays through two intermediates to lead-210 (<sup>210</sup>Pb), which decays through an intermediate to polonium-210 (<sup>210</sup>Po), which decays to stable <sup>206</sup>Pb. So, <sup>238</sup>U is the parent to the daughter radioisotopes <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>222</sup>Rn, <sup>210</sup>Pb, and <sup>210</sup>Po. <sup>226</sup>Ra can be considered both parent and daughter.

**primordial radioisotopes** Primordial radioisotopes have halflives that are long enough compared to the age of the earth that substantial fractions of the isotopes present after the formation of the earth remain.

**positron decay** ( $\beta^+$ ) The mode of radioactive decay where a positron particle (a high-energy anti-electron) is released from the nucleus of a radioactive isotope following electron capture. Positron decay generates a new element with the same nominal

atomic mass and an atomic number one unit lower than the original radioactive isotope.

**rem** The rem is the traditional unit for dose equivalent, which is a measure of the energy from ionizing radiation deposited in tissues. One rem is equal to 0.01 joules per kilogram (J/kg) of tissue. The SI unit for dose equivalent is the sievert.

**secular equilibrium** A situation where a steady state has been achieved so that the activity of a daughter radioisotope is essentially equal to the activity of the parent radioisotope. In other words, the daughter radioisotope is being produced at essentially the same rate as it is decaying away. Secular equilibrium can only occur when the half-life of the daughter radioisotope is less than that of the parent radioisotope. It is considered to occur after passage of time equivalent to several (for example, five) half-lives of the daughter. At secular equilibrium,  $N_D / N_P = \lambda_P / \lambda_D = t_{1/2,D} / t_{1/2,P}$ , where N is the number of radioactive nuclides and the subscripts D and P refer to the daughter and parent, respectively.

**SI (unit)** The International System of Units, also known as the modern metric system (Newell and Tiesinga, 2019).

**sievert (Sv)** The SI unit for dose equivalent. One Sv is equal to 1 J/kg of tissue. 1 Sv=100 rem.

**trigger level** The gross beta activity above which further investigation is required to determine whether activities in drinking water exceed the MCL. The trigger level established by the EPA is 2 Bq/L, which equals 50 picoCi/L ( $pCi=10^{-12}$  Ci).

**year (unit of time)** The SI definition of the year is based on the tropical year for 1900, from which the length of time corresponding to the second has been defined (Newell and Tiesinga, 2019): 365.2422 days. **Table C1.1.** Primordial radioisotopes and daughters, their half-lives, decay constants, abundance in upper crustal materials, equivalent porewater activities, and, for comparison, human-health benchmark activities.

[Decay modes and half-lives from the NUBASE2020 evaluated nuclear data library (Kondev and others, 2021). Half-lives in the NUBASE2020 library are reported using the SI definition of year (see glossary). More than thirty additional isotopes are listed in the library as stable but with one or more references in the peer-reviewed literature that report half-lives for the isotopes. These are not included in this compilation. For the purpose of calculating activities from concentrations, decay constants in years were converted to seconds using the SI definition of the second: 31,556,925.97 per year (Newell and Tiesinga, 2019). Daughters belonging to the uranium-235 ( $^{235}$ U), uranium-238 ( $^{238}$ U), and thorium-232 ( $^{232}$ Th) decay chains are color-coded red, blue, and green, respectively. Radioisotopes that are not color-coded decay directly to isotopes considered stable.  $t_{1/2}$ , half-life;  $\lambda$ , decay constant; µmol/kg, micromole per kilogram; Bq/kg, becquerel per kilogram; Bq/L, becquerel per liter;  $\beta$   $\beta$ , double beta decay;  $\beta^+\beta^+$ , double positron decay;  $\alpha$ , alpha decay; MCL, maximum contaminant level established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 2002); mrem/yr, millirems per year; pCi/L, picocuries per liter; %, percent; SI, International System of Units; —, no data.]

Nuclide	Decay mode	t <sub>1/2</sub> (years)	λ (years⁻¹)	Abundanceª (µmol/kg)	Activity⁵ (Bq/kg)	Equivalent porewaterº activity (Bq/L)	Human health benchmark (Bq/L <sup>d</sup> )
				Primordial			-
<sup>130</sup> Ba <sup>e</sup>	$\beta^+ \beta^+$	$1 \times 10^{21}$	6.9×10 <sup>-22</sup>	5.0	6.5×10 <sup>-11</sup>	2.6×10 <sup>-10</sup>	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
<sup>132</sup> Ba <sup>e</sup>	$\beta^+ \beta^+$	$>3 \times 10^{20}$	<2.3×10 <sup>-21</sup>	4.5	$2.0 \times 10^{-10}$	$7.9 \times 10^{-10}$	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
<sup>209</sup> Bi <sup>g</sup>	α	2.0×1019	$3.5 \times 10^{-20}$	0.17	$1.1 \times 10^{-10}$	$4.5 \times 10^{-10}$	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>48</sup> Ca <sup>i</sup>	ββ	5.6×1019	$1.2 \times 10^{-20}$	1,200	2.9×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.2 \times 10^{-6}$	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{113}Cd^{j}$	β	8.0×1015	$8.6 \times 10^{-17}$	0.0098	1.6×10 <sup>-8</sup>	6.4×10 <sup>-8</sup>	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{116}Cd^{j}$	ββ	$2.7 \times 10^{19}$	2.6×10 <sup>-20</sup>	0.0060	3.0×10 <sup>-12</sup>	$1.2 \times 10^{-11}$	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{151}\mathrm{Eu}^{\mathrm{k}}$	α	4.6×1018	$1.5 \times 10^{-19}$	3.2	9.1×10 <sup>-9</sup>	3.6×10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>152</sup> Gd <sup>1</sup>	α	$1.1 \times 10^{14}$	$6.4 \times 10^{-15}$	0.051	6.2×10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.5×10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>76</sup> Ge <sup>m</sup>	ββ	1.9×10 <sup>24</sup>	3.7×10 <sup>-25</sup>	1.5	$1.1 \times 10^{-14}$	$4.2 \times 10^{-14}$	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{174}\mathrm{Hf^{h}}$	α	2.0×1015	$3.5 \times 10^{-16}$	0.048	3.2×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
$^{115}$ In $^{\circ}$	β	4.4×10 <sup>14</sup>	$1.6 \times 10^{-15}$	0.47	1.4×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.6×10 <sup>-5</sup>	11 <sup>f</sup>
$^{40}\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{p}}$	$\beta^{f}$	$1.2 \times 10^{9}$	5.6×10 <sup>-10</sup>	49	520	2,100	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{138}La^q$	$\beta^+$	1.0×10 <sup>11</sup>	$6.7 \times 10^{-12}$	0.19	0.025	0.10	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{176}Lu^{r}$	β	$3.7 \times 10^{10}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-11}$	0.047	0.017	0.067	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{100}\mathrm{Mo^{s}}$	ββ	$7.1 \times 10^{18}$	9.8×10 <sup>-20</sup>	1.1	2.0×10 <sup>-9</sup>	8.0×10 <sup>-9</sup>	2 <sup>h</sup>
$^{144}Nd^t$	α	2.3×1015	$3.0 \times 10^{-16}$	45	2.6×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0010	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
$^{150}Nd^t$	ββ	9.3×1018	$7.5 \times 10^{-20}$	11	$1.5 \times 10^{-8}$	6.1×10 <sup>-8</sup>	2 <sup>h</sup>
$^{184}Os^{u}$	α	1.1×10 <sup>13</sup>	$6.2 \times 10^{-14}$	3.2×10 <sup>-8</sup>	$3.8 \times 10^{-11}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-10}$	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
$^{186}Os^{u}$	α	2.0×1015	$3.5 \times 10^{-16}$	2.5×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$1.7 \times 10^{-11}$	6.7×10 <sup>-11</sup>	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
$^{190}\mathrm{Pt^{v}}$	α	4.8×10 <sup>11</sup>	$1.4 \times 10^{-12}$	3.4×10 <sup>-7</sup>	9.2×10 <sup>-9</sup>	$3.7 \times 10^{-8}$	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>87</sup> Rb <sup>w</sup>	β	$5.0 \times 10^{10}$	$1.4 \times 10^{-11}$	270	73	290	11 <sup>f</sup>
$^{187}$ Re <sup>x</sup>	β	$4.2 \times 10^{10}$	$1.7 \times 10^{-11}$	0.0007	0.00022	0.0009	330 <sup>f</sup>
<sup>82</sup> Se <sup>y</sup>	ββ	$8.8 \times 10^{19}$	7.9×10 <sup>-21</sup>	0.10	$1.5 \times 10^{-11}$	5.9×10 <sup>-11</sup>	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{147}\mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{z}}$	α	$1.1 \times 10^{11}$	6.5×10 <sup>-12</sup>	4.7	0.58	2.3	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
$^{148}\mathrm{Sm}^{\mathrm{z}}$	α	6.3×1015	$1.1 \times 10^{-16}$	3.5	$7.3 \times 10^{-6}$	2.9×10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
128Teaa	ββ	$2.3 \times 10^{24}$	$3.1 \times 10^{-25}$	1.4	$8.2 \times 10^{-15}$	$3.3 \times 10^{-14}$	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
<sup>130</sup> Te <sup>aa</sup>	ββ	$7.9 \times 10^{20}$	$8.8 \times 10^{-22}$	1.5	2.5×10 <sup>-11</sup>	$1.0 \times 10^{-10}$	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{232}\mathrm{Th}^{\mathrm{ab}}$	α	$1.4 \times 10^{10}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-11}$	45	42	170	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>235</sup> U <sup>ac</sup>	α	$7.0 \times 10^{8}$	$9.8 \times 10^{-10}$	0.079	1.5	6.0	0.4
<sup>238</sup> Uac	α	4.5×10 <sup>9</sup>	$1.6 \times 10^{-10}$	11	32	130	0.4
$^{50}V^{ad}$	$\beta^+$	$2.7 \times 10^{17}$	$2.6 \times 10^{-18}$	4.8	2.3×10 <sup>-7</sup>	9.3×10 <sup>-7</sup>	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
$^{180}W^{ae}$	α	$1.6 \times 10^{18}$	$4.4 \times 10^{-19}$	0.012	$1.0 \times 10^{-10}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-10}$	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>136</sup> Xe <sup>af</sup>	ββ	$2.2 \times 10^{21}$	3.2×10 <sup>-22</sup>	—	—	_	—
<sup>96</sup> Zr <sup>ag</sup>	ββ	2.3×10 <sup>19</sup>	3.0×10 <sup>-20</sup>	59	3.3×10 <sup>-8</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>-7</sup>	2 <sup>f</sup>
				Daughter			
<sup>227</sup> Ac <sup>ah</sup>	β	21.8	0.032	2.5×10 <sup>-9</sup>	1.5	6.0	$2^{\mathrm{f}}$
<sup>210</sup> Pb	β	22.2	0.031	5.4×10 <sup>-8</sup>	32	130	2 <sup>f</sup>
<sup>231</sup> Pa	α	32,650	$2.1 \times 10^{-5}$	3.7×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.5	6.0	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>210</sup> Po	α	0.379	1.8	9.3×10 <sup>-10</sup>	32	130	0.6 <sup>h</sup>
<sup>222</sup> Rn	α	0.010	66				af
<sup>226</sup> Ra	α	1,600	4.3×10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.9×10 <sup>-6</sup>	32	130	$0.7^{\mathrm{ai}}$
<sup>228</sup> Ra	β	5.75	0.12	$1.8 \times 10^{-8}$	42	170	$0.7^{\mathrm{ai}}$
<sup>228</sup> Th	α	1.91	0.36	$6.1 \times 10^{-9}$	42	170	$0.6^{h}$

Nuclide	Decay mode	t <sub>1/2</sub> (years)	λ (years⁻¹)	Abundanceª (µmol/kg)	Activity⁵ (Bq/kg)	Equivalent porewater° activity (Bq/L)	Human health benchmark (Bq/Lª)
<sup>230</sup> Th	α	75,400	9.2×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$1.8 \times 10^{-4}$	32	130	0.6 <sup>j</sup>
<sup>234</sup> Th	β	0.066	10.5	$1.6 \times 10^{-10}$	32	130	2 <sup>f</sup>
<sup>234</sup> U	α	246,000	2.8×10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.0×10 <sup>-4</sup>	32	130	$0.4^{\mathrm{ac}}$

#### Table C1.1.—Continued

<sup>a</sup>The abundance of primordial isotopes was calculated from the corresponding elemental abundance (table C1 or, for elements not included in table C1, as reported in the footnotes) and the mole fraction for the isotope (Holden and others, 2018). None of the isotopes in this table was affected by the recent revisions to ranges in isotope abundances in Prohaska and others (2022). The abundance of daughters was calculated assuming secular equilibrium with the corresponding primordial parent using the equation in the Glossary under "secular equilibrium."

<sup>b</sup>The abundance in column 5 was converted to activity as described in the Glossary under "activity."

<sup>c</sup>The equivalent activity in porewater was calculated assuming instantaneous release of the specific activity in the sediments as described in table C1.

<sup>d</sup>Where there are potential effects on water availability from chemical toxicity of the element corresponding to the radioisotope, they are presented in table C1. For all other elements in this table, risks associated with radiological health hazards exceed those from toxicity of the element, as far as is currently known.

<sup>c</sup>The average abundance of barium (Ba) in the upper crust is reported in table C1. The mole fractions of <sup>130</sup>Ba and <sup>132</sup>Ba are 0.0011 and 0.001, respectively (Holden and others, 2018). Kondev and others (2021) list both isotopes as stable but cite literature that reports the half-lives and modes of decay shown here. Prohask and others (2021), which includes many of the same authors as Kondev and others (2021), report the same half-lives as those included in the table.

<sup>6</sup>The human health benchmark for positron and beta emitters is the MCL for gross beta plus gamma activity, which is expressed as a dose-equivalent of 4 mrem/yr based on risk of cancer. See the Glossary for definitions of dose equivalent and millirems. The relationship between mrem/yr and Bq/L varies with the energy of the beta-gamma emissions of the isotope and assumptions about human exposure. EPA reports the equivalent activities in drinking water for a few isotopes in the table (<sup>115</sup>In, <sup>87</sup>Rb and <sup>187</sup>Re), and these were used as the human health benchmark for those isotopes (EPA, 2002). For other beta-emitters, the trigger level of 2 Bq/L (50 pCi/L) was used for the humanhealth benchmark. Exceedance of this activity triggers further investigation to determine if the MCL is exceeded.

<sup>g</sup>The average abundance of bismuth (Bi) in the upper crust is estimated to be 0.17 µmol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>209</sup>Bi in naturally occurring Bi is 1 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>b</sup>The human-health benchmark for alpha emitters other than uranium (U) isotopes and radium-226 ( $^{226}$ Ra) is the MCL for gross alpha (0.56 Bq/L, 15 pCi/L).

<sup>i</sup>The average abundance of calcium (Ca) in the upper crust is estimated to be  $6.5 \times 10^5 \,\mu\text{mol/kg}$  (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>48</sup>Ca in naturally occurring Ca is 0.00187 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>j</sup>The average abundance of cadmium (Cd) in the upper crust is reported in table C1. The mole fractions of <sup>113</sup>Cd and <sup>116</sup>Cd are 0.12227 and 0.07512, respectively (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>k</sup>The average abundance of europium (Eu) in the upper crust is estimated to be 6.6 µmol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>151</sup>Eu in naturally occurring Eu is 0.4781 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>1</sup>The average abundance of gadolinium (Gd) in the upper crust is estimated to be 25 µmol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>152</sup>Gd in naturally occurring Gd is 0.0020 (Holden and others, 2018). Not included in the compilation of radioactive isotopes that can drive variations in the atomic weight of Gd (Holden and others, 2018; Prohaska and others, 2021).

<sup>m</sup>The average abundance of germanium (Ge) in the upper crust is estimated to be 19 µmol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>76</sup>Ge in naturally occurring Ge is 0.0775 (Holden and others, 2018).

"The average abundance of hafnium (Hf) in the upper crust is estimated to be 30  $\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>174</sup>Hf is 0.0016 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>o</sup>The average abundance of indium (In) in the upper crust is estimated to be 0.49 µmol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>115</sup>In is 0.95719 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>p</sup>The average abundance of potassium (K) in the upper crust is reported in table C1. The mole fraction of <sup>40</sup>K is 0.000117 (Holden and others, 2018). <sup>40</sup>K exhibits branched decay: 89% decaying to <sup>40</sup>Ca by beta decay and 11% to argon-40 (<sup>40</sup>Ar) by EC.

 $^q$ The average abundance of lanthanum (La) in the upper crust is estimated to be 220  $\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of  $^{138}$ La is 0.000881 (Holden and others, 2018). Positron decay accounts for 65.5 percent and beta decay for 34.5 percent of the radioactive decay of  $^{138}$ La.

<sup>r</sup>The average abundance of lutetium (Lu) in the upper crust is estimated to be 1.8  $\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>176</sup>Lu is 0.02599 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>s</sup>The average abundance of molybdenum (Mo) in the upper crust is reported in table C1. The mole fraction of <sup>100</sup>Mo is 0.09744 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>t</sup>The average abundance of neodymium (Nd) in the upper crust is estimated to be 190  $\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fractions of <sup>144</sup>Nd and <sup>150</sup>Nd are 0.23798 and 0.05638, respectively (Holden and others, 2018). <sup>144</sup>Nd is a daughter of samarium-148 (<sup>148</sup>Sm), but given that the half-life of <sup>144</sup>Nd is on the order of 10<sup>6</sup> times the age of the Earth they are not in secular equilibrium and their activities can be considered to be independent of one another.

<sup>u</sup>The average abundance of osmium (Os) in the upper crust is estimated to be  $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fractions of <sup>184</sup>Os and <sup>186</sup>Os are 0.0002 and 0.0159, respectively (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>v</sup>The average abundance of platinum (Pt) in the upper crust is estimated to be  $2.8 \times 10^{-3} \mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>193</sup>Pt is 0.00012 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>w</sup>The average abundance of rubidium (Rb) in the upper crust is estimated to be 980 μmol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>87</sup>Rb is 0.2783 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>s</sup>The average abundance of rhenium (Re) in the upper crust is estimated to be  $1.1 \times 10^{-3} \mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>187</sup>Re is 0.6260 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>y</sup>The average abundance of selenium (Se) in the upper crust is reported in table C1. The mole fraction of <sup>82</sup>Se is 0.0882 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>2</sup>The average abundance of samarium (Sm) in the upper crust is estimated to be 31  $\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fractions of <sup>147</sup>Sm and <sup>148</sup>Sm are 0.1500 and 0.1125, respectively (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>aa</sup>The average abundance of tellurium (Te) in the upper crust is estimated to be 4.4  $\mu$ mol/kg (Goldfarb and others, 2017). The mole fractions of <sup>128</sup>Te and <sup>130</sup>Te are 0.3174 and 0.3408, respectively (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>ab</sup>The average abundance of thorium in the upper crust is estimated to be 45  $\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>232</sup>Th is 0.9998 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>ac</sup>The average abundance of uranium in the upper crust is reported in table C1. The mole fractions of <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U are 0.007204 and 0.992742, respectively (Holden and others, 2018). Human-health benchmark activities for uranium isotopes were calculated from the MCL for uranium (0.13  $\mu$ mol/L, 30  $\mu$ g U/L, table C1).

#### Table C1.1.—Footnote continued

 $^{ad}The$  average abundance of vanadium (V) in the upper crust is reported in table C1. The mole fraction of  $^{50}V$  is 0.0025 (Holden and others, 2018).

 $^{\rm ac}$ The average abundance of tungsten (W) in the upper crust is estimated to be 10  $\mu$ mol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of  $^{180}$ W is 0.0012 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>af</sup>As inert gases, hazards associated with xenon (Xe) and radon (Rn) are from inhalation rather than consumption of water.

<sup>se</sup>The average abundance of zirconium (Zr) in the upper crust is estimated to be 2,100 µmol/kg (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). The mole fraction of <sup>96</sup>Zr is 0.028 (Holden and others, 2018).

<sup>ah</sup>Beta and alpha decay account for 98.62 and  $1.38 \pm 0.36$  percent, respectively.

 $^{ai}\text{Human-health}$  benchmark activities were calculated from the MCL for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  plus  $^{228}\text{Ra}$  (0.7 Bq/L, 20 pCi/L).

Geogenic constituent mobilization often occurs as the result of changes in local chemical conditions that promote mineral dissolution or desorption. Sorption here refers to the association of one or more chemical species with moieties at or near the boundary between an inorganic or organic solid phase and a solution. The dominant sorption mechanisms are surface complexation (Davis and Kent, 1990) and ion exchange (Helfferich, 1962).

As depicted in figure C1.1*A*, free metal ions like copper (Cu<sup>+2</sup>) and lead (Pb<sup>+2</sup>) sorb extensively to sediments. They can be mobilized by formation of weakly sorbing aqueous complexes with geogenic ligands, like dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (Soeder and Miller, 2003), or anthropogenic ligands like ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Davis and others, 2000; Jardine and others, 2002). Lead oxide in pipes can be reductively dissolved by geogenic constituents, like natural organic matter, manganese(II) (Mn[II]), and iron(II) (Fe[II]) (Shi and Stone, 2009). These processes contribute to the potential for exceedance of the drinking water standard for Pb (Jurgens and others, 2019).

As depicted in figure C1.1*B*, uranium(VI) (U[VI]) exists in aqueous solution as the uranium oxycation  $UO_2^{+2}$ , which sorbs strongly to sediment grains. Uranium(VI) can be mobilized by formation of weakly sorbing Ca-U(VI)-CO<sub>3</sub><sup>-2</sup> aqueous species, which are favored by increasing pH, calcium (Ca<sup>+2</sup>), and carbonate concentrations (Stoliker and others, 2011; Stoliker and others, 2013; Ma and others, 2014). Uranium(VI) is generated by oxidation of uraninite (UO<sub>2[s]</sub>) (Campbell and others, 2011) and, more slowly, by U(IV) released during weathering of aluminosilicate minerals.

As depicted in figure C1.1*C*, oxidation-reduction reactions can result in mobilization or immobilization of redox-reactive geogenic constituents. Some chalcophiles, like copper (Cu) and lead (Pb), can be incorporated into aluminosilicate minerals but others, like arsenic (As), selenium (Se), and molybdenum (Mo, not shown), occur almost exclusively in sulfide minerals. These elements, plus geogenic sulfate, are released during oxidation of pyrite and other sulfide minerals during natural weathering or anthropogenically enhanced weathering driven by, for example,



Figure C1.1. Schematic diagram of factors affecting mobilization and sorption of geogenic constituents: A, formation of weakly sorbing dissolved organic carbon complexes; B, formation of weakly sorbing inorganic complexes, such as with aqueous carbonate species favored at alkaline pH values; C, oxidation-reduction reactions; D, changes in salinity and pH; "–" represents a negatively charged surface, and ">" represents surface complexes. As, arsenic; Ca, calcium; Cd, cadmium; Cr, chromium; Cu, copper; DOC, dissolved organic carbon; e<sup>−</sup>, electron; F, fluorine; Fe, iron; H, hydrogen; N, nitrogen; O, oxygen; Pb, lead; Ra, radium; S, sulfur; Se, selenium; U, uranium.

fertilizer application (Postma and others, 1991; Böhlke, 2002; Böhlke and others, 2002), managed aquifer recharge (Fakhreddine and others, 2021), or in-situ leaching of uranium (Bullock and Parnell, 2017). Thus, sulfide-mineral weathering results in mass transfer of these elements from within the sulfide mineral structure to surfaces of iron Fe(III) oxyhydroxides, where they can be mobilized under favorable chemical conditions. Oxidationreduction reactions transform As between strongly sorbing As(V) and more weakly sorbing As(III); and Se between strongly sorbing Se(IV) and weakly sorbing Se(VI), which profoundly affects the mobility of As and Se (Hayes and others, 1988; Höhn and others, 2006; Postma and others, 2007). Reduction of chromium(VI) (Cr[VI]) to Cr(III) by Fe(II) or other electron donors (reductants) results in immobilization (Friedly and others, 1995) and oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) by electron acceptors (oxidants) can mobilize geogenic Cr (Robertson, 1975; Chung and others, 2001).

As depicted in figure C1.1D, the extent to which metal ions like Cu<sup>+2</sup>, cadmium (Cd<sup>+2</sup>), and Pb<sup>+2</sup> sorb to mineral grains in sediments increases with increasing pH (arrows pointing in same direction) owing to the formation of surface complexes that displace hydrogen (H<sup>+</sup>) ions from sorption sites (Davis and Kent, 1990; Dzombak and Morel, 1990). In contrast, the extent to which anions like fluorine (F<sup>-</sup>) and many oxyanions like Cr(VI), As(V), As(III), and Se(VI) sorb decreases with increasing pH and dissolved salt concentrations (arrows pointing in opposite directions) (Davis and Kent, 1990; Dzombak and Morel, 1990; Kent and others, 1994, 1995; Davis and others, 2000). The extent to which geogenic constituents like Ra<sup>+2</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> bind to cation exchangers decreases with increasing dissolved salt concentration (Gonneea and others, 2013; Cozzarelli and others, 2017). Radium sorption on cation exchangers increases with increasing pH owing to the formation of surface complexes (Sajih and others, 2014; Missana and others, 2017) but NH<sub>4</sub>+ is expected to desorb as pH values increase owing to the conversion of  $NH_4^+$  to  $NH_3$ .

### **References Cited**

- Böhlke, J.K., 2002, Groundwater recharge and agricultural contamination: Hydrogeology Journal, v. 10, p. 153–179, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-001-0183-3.
- Böhlke, J.K., Wanty, R., Tuttle, M., Delin, G., and Landon, M., 2002, Denitrification in the recharge area and discharge area of a transient agricultural nitrate plume in a glacial outwash sand aquifer, Minnesota: Water Resources Research, v. 38, no. 7, p. 10-1–10-26, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001WR000663.
- Bullock, L.A., and Parnell, J., 2017, Selenium and molybdenum enrichment in uranium roll-front deposits of Wyoming and Colorado, USA: Journal of Geochemical Exploration, v. 180, p. 101–112, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2017.06.013.
- Campbell, K.M., Veeramani, H., Ulrich, K.-U., Blue, L.Y., Giammar, D.E., Bernier-Latmani, R., Stubbs, J.E., Suvorova, E., Yabusaki, S., Lezama-Pacheco, J.S., Mehta, A., Long, P.E., and Bargar, J.R., 2011, Oxidative dissolution of biogenic uraninite in groundwater at Old Rifle, CO: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 45, no. 20, p. 8748–8754, https:// doi.org/10.1021/es200482f.

Chung, J.-B., Burau, R.G., and Zasoski, R.J., 2001, Chromate generation by chromate depleted subsurface materials: Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, v. 128, p. 407–417, https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1010302514283.

- Cozzarelli, I.M., Skalak, K.J., Kent, D.B., Engle, M.A., Benthem, A., Mumford, A.C., Haase, K., Farag, A., Harper, D., Nagel, S.C., Iwanowicz, L.R., Orem, W.H., Akob, D.M., Jaeschke, J.B., Galloway, J., Kohler, M., Stoliker, D.L., and Jolly, G.D., 2017, Environmental signatures and effects of an oil and gas wastewater spill in the Williston Basin, North Dakota: Science of the Total Environment, v. 579, p. 1781– 1793, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.157.
- Davis, J.A., and Kent, D.B., 1990, Surface complexation modeling in aqueous geochemistry, chap. 5 of Hochella, M.F., and White, A.F., eds., Mineral-water interface geochemistry, volume 23 of Reviews in minerology and geochemistry: Mineralogical Society of America, p. 177–260, https://doi. org/10.1515/9781501509131-009.
- Davis, J.A., Kent, D.B., Coston, J.A., Hess, K.M., and Joye, J.L., 2000, Multispecies reactive tracer test in an aquifer with spatially variable chemical conditions: Water Resources Research, v. 36, no. 1, p. 119–134, https://doi. org/10.1029/1999WR900282.
- Dzombak, D.A., and Morel, F.M.M., 1990, Surface complexation modeling—Hydrous ferric oxide: New York, N.Y., John Wiley, 393 p.
- Fakhreddine, S., Prommer, H., Scanlon, B.R., Ying, S.C., and Nicot, J.-P., 2021, Mobilization of arsenic and other naturally occurring contaminants during managed aquifer recharge—A critical review: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 55, no. 4, p. 2208–2223, https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.est.0c07492.
- Friedly, J.C., Davis, J.A., and Kent, D.B., 1995, Modeling hexavalent chromium reduction in groundwater in fieldscale transport and laboratory batch experiments: Water Resources Research, v. 31, no. 11, p. 2783–2794, https:// doi.org/10.1029/95WR02104.
- Goldfarb, R.J., Berger, B.R., George, M.W., and Seal, R.R., II, 2017, Tellurium, chap. R *of* Schulz, K.J., DeYoung, J.H., Jr., Seal, R.R., II, and Bradley, D.C., eds., Critical mineral resources of the United States—Economic and environmental geology and prospects for future supply: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1802, p. R1–R27, https://doi. org/10.3133/pp1802R.
- Gonneea, M.E., Mulligan, A.E., and Charette, M.A., 2013, Seasonal cycles in radium and barium within a subterranean estuary—Implications for groundwater derived chemical fluxes to surface waters: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 119, p. 164–177, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.05.034.

Hayes, K.F., Papelis, C., and Leckie, J.O., 1988, Modeling the ionic strength effects on anion adsorption at hydrous oxide/ solution interfaces: Journal of colloid and interface science, v. 125, no. 2, p. 717–726, https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(88)90039-2.

Höhn, R., Isenbeck-Schröter, M., Kent, D.B., Davis, J.A., Jakobsen, R., Jann, S., Niedan, V., Scholz, C., Stadler, S., and Tretner, A., 2006, Tracer test with As(V) under variable redox conditions controlling arsenic transport in the presence of elevated ferrous iron concentrations: Journal of contaminant hydrology, v. 88, no. 1–2, p. 36–54, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jconhyd.2006.06.001.

Helfferich, F.G., 1962, Ion Exchange: New York, New York, United States, McGraw-Hill, 507 p.

Holden, N.E., Coplen, T.B., Böhlke, J.K., Tarbox, L.V., Benefield, J., de Laeter, J.R., Mahaffy, P.G., O'Connor, G., Roth, E., Tepper, D.H., Walczyk, T., Wieser, M.E., and Yoneda, S., 2018, IUPAC Periodic table of the elements and isotopes (IPTEI) for the education community—IUPAC Technical Report: Pure and Applied Chemistry, v. 90, no. 12, 260 p., https://doi.org/10.1515/pac-2015-0703.

Jardine, P.M., Mehlhorn, T.L., Larsen, I.L., Bailey, W.B., Brooks, S.C., Roh, Y., and Gwo, J.P., 2002, Influence of hydrological and geochemical processes on the transport of chelated metals and chromate in fractured shale bedrock: Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, v. 55, nos. 1–2, p. 137–159, https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7722(01)00190-5.

Jurgens, B.C., Parkhurst, D.L., and Belitz, K., 2019, Assessing the lead solubility potential of untreated groundwater of the United States: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 53, no. 6, p. 3095–3103, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b04475.

Kent, D.B., Davis, J.A., Anderson, L.C.D., and Rea, B.A., 1995, Transport of chromium and selenium in a pristine sand and gravel aquifer—Role of adsorption processes: Water Resources Research, v. 31, no. 4, p. 1041–1050, https://doi. org/10.1029/94WR02981.

Kent, D.B., Davis, J.A., Anderson, L.C.D., Rea, B.A., and Waite, T.D., 1994, Transport of chromium and selenium in the suboxic zone of a shallow aquifer—Influence of redox and adsorption reactions: Water Resources Research, v. 30, no. 4, p. 1099– 1114, https://doi.org/10.1029/93WR03244.

Kondev, F. G., Wang, M., Huang, W. J., Naimi, S., and Augi, G., 2021, The NUBASE2020 evaluation of nuclear physics properties: Chinese Physics C, v. 45, article no. 030001, 181 p., https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abddae.

Ma, R., Zheng, C., Liu, C., Greskowiak, J., Prommer, H., and Zachara, J.M., 2014, Assessment of controlling processes for field-scale uranium reactive transport under highly transient flow conditions: Water Resources Research, v. 50, no. 2, p. 1006– 1024, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR013835. Missana, T., Colàs, E., Grandia, F., Olmeda, J., Mingarro, M., García-Gutiérrez, M., Munier, I., Robinet, J.-C., and Grivé, M., 2017, Sorption of radium onto early cretaceous clays (Gault and Plicatules Fm). Implications for a repository of low-level, longlived radioactive waste: Applied Geochemistry, v. 86, p. 36–48, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2017.09.009.

Newell D., and Tiesinga, E., 2019, The International System of Units (SI), 2019 ed., Special Publication (NIST SP) 330: National Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST], 122 p., https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.330-2019.

Postma, D., Boesen, C., Henning, K., and Larsen, F., 1991, Nitrate reduction in an unconfined sandy aquifer—Water chemistry, reduction processes, and geochemical modeling: Water Resources Research, v. 27, no. 8, p. 2027–2045, https://doi. org/10.1029/91WR00989.

Postma, D., Larsen, F., Hue, N.T.M., Duc, M.T., Viet, P.H., Nhan, P.Q., and Jessen, S., 2007, Arsenic in groundwater of the Red River floodplain, Vietnam—Controlling geochemical processes and reactive transport modeling: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 71, no. 21, p. 5054–5071, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gca.2007.08.020.

Prohaska, T., Irrgeher, J., Benefield, J., Böhlke, J.K., Chesson, L.A., Coplen, T.B., Ding, T., Dunn, P.J.H., Gröning, M., Holden, N.E., Meijer, H.A.J., Moossen, H., Possolo, A., Takahashi, Y., Vogl, J., Walczyk, T., Wang, J., Wieser, M.E., Yoneda, S., Zhu, X.-K., and Meija, J., 2022, Standard atomic weights of the elements 2021 (IUPAC Technical Report): Pure and Applied Chemistry, v. 94, no. 5, p. 573–600, https://doi. org/10.1515/pac-2019-0603.

Robertson, F.N., 1975, Hexavalent chromium in the ground water in Paradise Valley, Arizona: Groundwater, v. 13, no. 6, p. 516– 527, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.1975.tb03621.x.

Rudnick, R.L., and Gao, S., 2003, Composition of the continental crust, *in* Rudnick, R.L. ed., The crust, volume 3 *of* Holland, H.H., and Turekian, K.K., eds., Treatise on geochemistry: Elsevier p. 1–64.

Sajih, M., Bryan, N.D., Livens, F.R., Vaughan, D.J., Descostes, M., Phrommavanh, V., Nos, J., and Morris, K., 2014, Adsorption of radium and barium on goethite and ferrihydrite—A kinetic and surface complexation modelling study: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 146, p. 150–163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.10.008.

Shi, Z., and Stone, A.T., 2009, PbO<sub>2</sub>(s, plattnerite) reductive dissolution by natural organic matter—Reductant and inhibitory subfractions: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 43, no. 10, p. 3604–3611, https://doi.org/10.1021/es802441g.

Soeder, D.J., and Miller, C.V., 2003, Ground-water contamination from lead shot at Prime Hook National Wildlife Refuge, Sussex County, Delaware: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Report 2002–4282, 26 p., https://doi.org/10.3133/wri024282. Stoliker, D.L., Kent, D.B., and Zachara, J.M., 2011, Quantifying differences in the impact of variable chemistry on equilibrium uranium(VI) adsorption properties of aquifer sediments: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 45, no. 20, p. 8733–8740, https://doi.org/10.1021/es202677v.

Stoliker, D.L., Liu, C., Kent, D.B., and Zachara, J.M., 2013, Characterizing particle-scale equilibrium adsorption and kinetics of uranium(VI) desorption from U-contaminated sediments: Water Resources Research, v. 49, no. 2, p. 1163– 1177, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20104.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA], 2002, Radionuclides in drinking water—A small entity compliance guide: Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 815-R-02, 30 p., https://www.epa.gov/system/files/ documents/2021-08/compliance-radionuclidesindw.pdf.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA], 2018, 2018 Edition of the drinking water standards and health advisories tables, 12 p. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-01/ dwtable2018.pdf.