

# MINERAL COMMODITY SUMMARIES 2021

---

Abrasives	Fluorspar	Mercury	Silicon
Aluminum	Gallium	Mica	Silver
Antimony	Garnet	Molybdenum	Soda Ash
Arsenic	Gemstones	Nickel	Stone
Asbestos	Germanium	Niobium	Strontium
Barite	Gold	Nitrogen	Sulfur
Bauxite	Graphite	Palladium	Talc
Beryllium	Gypsum	Peat	Tantalum
Bismuth	Hafnium	Perlite	Tellurium
Boron	Helium	Phosphate Rock	Thallium
Bromine	Indium	Platinum	Thorium
Cadmium	Iodine	Potash	Tin
Cement	Iron and Steel	Pumice	Titanium
Cesium	Iron Ore	Quartz Crystal	Tungsten
Chromium	Iron Oxide Pigments	Rare Earths	Vanadium
Clays	Kyanite	Rhenium	Vermiculite
Cobalt	Lead	Rubidium	Wollastonite
Copper	Lime	Salt	Yttrium
Diamond	Lithium	Sand and Gravel	Zeolites
Diatomite	Magnesium	Scandium	Zinc
Feldspar	Manganese	Selenium	Zirconium

Cover: Photograph of Fletcher Granite Co.'s Chelmsford Grey Quarry in Westford, MA, taken in about 2000. This quarry has been in continual operation since 1881 and is the source of the company's Chelmsford Grey product. Over the years, granite from this quarry has been used in numerous building and civil engineering projects. According to the company, Chelmsford Grey granite was used for the Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building and the National Cathedral, both in Washington, DC. Photograph by Thomas P. Dolley, U.S. Geological Survey.



# MINERAL COMMODITY SUMMARIES 2021

---

Abrasives	Fluorspar	Mercury	Silicon
Aluminum	Gallium	Mica	Silver
Antimony	Garnet	Molybdenum	Soda Ash
Arsenic	Gemstones	Nickel	Stone
Asbestos	Germanium	Niobium	Strontium
Barite	Gold	Nitrogen	Sulfur
Bauxite	Graphite	Palladium	Talc
Beryllium	Gypsum	Peat	Tantalum
Bismuth	Hafnium	Perlite	Tellurium
Boron	Helium	Phosphate Rock	Thallium
Bromine	Indium	Platinum	Thorium
Cadmium	Iodine	Potash	Tin
Cement	Iron and Steel	Pumice	Titanium
Cesium	Iron Ore	Quartz Crystal	Tungsten
Chromium	Iron Oxide Pigments	Rare Earths	Vanadium
Clays	Kyanite	Rhenium	Vermiculite
Cobalt	Lead	Rubidium	Wollastonite
Copper	Lime	Salt	Yttrium
Diamond	Lithium	Sand and Gravel	Zeolites
Diatomite	Magnesium	Scandium	Zinc
Feldspar	Manganese	Selenium	Zirconium

---

# U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2021

Manuscript approved for publication January 29, 2021.

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment—visit <https://www.usgs.gov> or call 1–888–ASK–USGS.

For an overview of USGS information products, including maps, imagery, and publications, visit <https://store.usgs.gov/>.

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office  
P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197–9000

Phone: (866) 512–1800 (toll-free); (202) 512–1800 (Washington, DC, area)

Fax: (202) 512–2104

Internet: <https://bookstore.gpo.gov>

Email: [ContactCenter@gpo.gov](mailto:ContactCenter@gpo.gov)

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright owners to reproduce any copyrighted material contained within this report.

*Suggested citation:*

U.S. Geological Survey, 2021, Mineral commodity summaries 2021: U.S. Geological Survey, 200 p., <https://doi.org/10.3133/mcs2021>.

ISBN 978-1-4113-4398-6



# CONTENTS

	Page		Page
<b>General:</b>			
Introduction .....	3	Figure 5—Value of Metals and Metallic Minerals Produced in 2020, by Region.....	13
Figure 1—The Role of Nonfuel Minerals in the U.S. Economy.....	4	Figure 6—Value of Industrial Minerals, Excluding Natural Aggregates, Produced in 2020, by Region ..	14
Significant Events, Trends, and Issues.....	5	Figure 7—Value of Crushed Stone Produced in 2020, by State .....	15
Figure 2—2020 U.S. Net Import Reliance .....	7	Figure 8—Value of Construction Sand and Gravel Produced in 2020, by State.....	16
Figure 3—Major Import Sources of Nonfuel Mineral Commodities in 2020.....	8	Appendix A—Abbreviations and Units of Measure ....	194
Table 1—U.S. Mineral Industry Trends .....	9	Appendix B—Definitions of Selected Terms Used in This Report.....	194
Table 2—U.S. Mineral-Related Economic Trends.....	9	Appendix C—Reserves and Resources.....	195
Table 3—Value of Nonfuel Mineral Production in the United States in 2020 .....	10	Appendix D—Country Specialists Directory .....	199
Figure 4—Value of Nonfuel Minerals Produced in 2020, by State .....	12		
<b>Mineral Commodities:</b>			
Abrasives (Manufactured).....	18	Mercury.....	106
Aluminum .....	20	Mica (Natural) .....	108
Antimony .....	22	Molybdenum .....	110
Arsenic .....	24	Nickel.....	112
Asbestos .....	26	Niobium (Columbium).....	114
Barite.....	28	Nitrogen (Fixed)—Ammonia.....	116
Bauxite and Alumina .....	30	Peat .....	118
Beryllium .....	32	Perlite .....	120
Bismuth .....	34	Phosphate Rock .....	122
Boron.....	36	Platinum-Group Metals.....	124
Bromine.....	38	Potash .....	126
Cadmium.....	40	Pumice and Pumicite.....	128
Cement.....	42	Quartz Crystal (Industrial) .....	130
Cesium.....	44	Rare Earths .....	132
Chromium.....	46	Rhenium .....	134
Clays .....	48	Rubidium .....	136
Cobalt.....	50	Salt .....	138
Copper .....	52	Sand and Gravel (Construction).....	140
Diamond (Industrial).....	54	Sand and Gravel (Industrial) .....	142
Diatomite.....	56	Scandium.....	144
Feldspar and Nepheline Syenite.....	58	Selenium.....	146
Fluorspar.....	60	Silicon .....	148
Gallium .....	62	Silver.....	150
Garnet (Industrial) .....	64	Soda Ash .....	152
Gemstones.....	66	Stone (Crushed) .....	154
Germanium .....	68	Stone (Dimension).....	156
Gold.....	70	Strontium .....	158
Graphite (Natural) .....	72	Sulfur .....	160
Gypsum.....	74	Talc and Pyrophyllite .....	162
Helium .....	76	Tantalum.....	164
Indium .....	78	Tellurium.....	166
Iodine .....	80	Thallium .....	168
Iron and Steel.....	82	Thorium .....	170
Iron and Steel Scrap .....	84	Tin.....	172
Iron and Steel Slag .....	86	Titanium and Titanium Dioxide.....	174
Iron Ore.....	88	Titanium Mineral Concentrates .....	176
Iron Oxide Pigments .....	90	Tungsten.....	178
Kyanite and Related Minerals .....	92	Vanadium .....	180
Lead.....	94	Vermiculite .....	182
Lime .....	96	Wollastonite .....	184
Lithium.....	98	Yttrium .....	186
Magnesium Compounds.....	100	Zeolites (Natural) .....	188
Magnesium Metal.....	102	Zinc.....	190
Manganese .....	104	Zirconium and Hafnium .....	192

## INSTANT INFORMATION

Information about the U.S. Geological Survey, its programs, staff, and products is available from the internet at <https://www.usgs.gov> or by calling (888) ASK-USGS [(888) 275-8747].

This publication has been prepared by the National Minerals Information Center. Information about the Center and its products is available from the internet at <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic> or by writing to Director, National Minerals Information Center, 988 National Center, Reston, VA 20192.

## KEY PUBLICATIONS

*Minerals Yearbook*—These annual publications review the mineral industries of the United States and of more than 180 other countries. They contain statistical data on minerals and materials and include information on economic and technical trends and developments and are available at <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/publications>. The three volumes that make up the Minerals Yearbook are volume I, Metals and Minerals; volume II, Area Reports—Domestic; and volume III, Area Reports—International.

*Mineral Commodity Summaries*—Published on an annual basis, this report is the earliest Government publication to furnish estimates covering nonfuel mineral industry data and is available at <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/mineral-commodity-summaries>. Data sheets contain information on the domestic industry structure, Government programs, tariffs, and 5-year salient statistics for more than 90 individual minerals and materials.

*Mineral Industry Surveys*—These periodic statistical and economic reports are designed to provide timely statistical data on production, shipments, stocks, and consumption of significant mineral commodities and are available at <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/mineral-industry-surveys>. The surveys are issued monthly, quarterly, or at other regular intervals.

*Materials Flow Studies*—These publications describe the flow of minerals and materials from extraction to ultimate disposition to help better understand the economy, manage the use of natural resources, and protect the environment and are available at <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/materials-flow>.

*Recycling Reports*—These studies illustrate the recycling of metal commodities and identify recycling trends and are available at <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/recycling-statistics-and-information>.

*Historical Statistics for Mineral and Material Commodities in the United States (Data Series 140)*—This report provides a compilation of statistics on production, trade, and use of approximately 90 mineral commodities since as far back as 1900 and is available at <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic/historical-statistics-mineral-and-material-commodities-united-states>.

## WHERE TO OBTAIN PUBLICATIONS

- *Mineral Commodity Summaries* and the *Minerals Yearbook* are sold by the U.S. Government Publishing Office. Orders are accepted over the internet at <https://bookstore.gpo.gov>, by email at [ContactCenter@gpo.gov](mailto:ContactCenter@gpo.gov), by telephone toll free (866) 512-1800; Washington, DC, area (202) 512-1800, by fax (202) 512-2104, or through the mail (P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000).
- All current and many past publications are available as downloadable Portable Document Format (PDF) files through <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/nmic>.

## INTRODUCTION

Each mineral commodity chapter of the 2021 edition of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Mineral Commodity Summaries (MCS) includes information on events, trends, and issues for each mineral commodity as well as discussions and tabular presentations on domestic industry structure, Government programs, tariffs, 5-year salient statistics, and world production and resources. The MCS is the earliest comprehensive source of 2020 mineral production data for the world. More than 90 individual minerals and materials are covered by 2-page synopses.


For mineral commodities for which there is a Government stockpile, detailed information concerning the stockpile status is included in the 2-page synopsis.

Abbreviations and units of measure and definitions of selected terms used in the report are in Appendix A and Appendix B, respectively. Reserves and resources information is in Appendix C, which includes “Part A—Resource and Reserve Classification for Minerals” and “Part B—Sources of Reserves Data.” A directory of USGS minerals information country specialists and their responsibilities is in Appendix D.

The USGS continually strives to improve the value of its publications to users. Constructive comments and suggestions by readers of the MCS 2021 are welcomed.



**Figure 1.—The Role of Nonfuel Minerals in the U.S. Economy**  
(Estimated values in 2020)



Sources: U.S. Geological Survey and the U.S. Department of Commerce.

## SIGNIFICANT EVENTS, TRENDS, AND ISSUES

In 2020, the estimated total value of nonfuel mineral production in the United States was \$82.3 billion, a decrease of 2% from the revised total of \$83.7 billion in 2019. The estimated value of metals production increased by 3% to \$27.7 billion. Increased prices for precious metals, such as gold, which reached a record-high price of \$2,060 per troy ounce in August, contributed to the increased value of metal production. The total value of industrial minerals production was \$54.6 billion, a 4% decrease from that of 2019. Of this total, \$27.0 billion was construction aggregates production (construction sand and gravel and crushed stone). Crushed stone was the leading nonfuel mineral commodity in 2020 with a production value of \$17.8 billion and accounted for 22% of the total value of U.S. nonfuel mineral production.

Decreases in consumption of nonfuel mineral commodities in commercial construction, oil and gas production, steel production, and automotive and transportation industry were attributed to the financial impacts of the global COVID-19 pandemic. For the metals sector, the aluminum, iron ore, steel, and titanium industries were particularly affected by reduced demand from manufacturing. For the industrial minerals sector, the largest decreases in production were in barite and industrial sand and gravel, commodities that are closely tied to the performance of the natural gas and oil well-drilling industry. In general, mines were not subject to COVID-19-related stay-at-home orders because they were deemed critical industries, but decreased demand from downstream industries resulted in reduced production at some operations.

In 2020, additional import duties were put in place for certain products that were derivatives of aluminum and steel articles, and the additional duties continued for most countries as a result of the U.S. Department of Commerce findings in 2018 of harm to national security under section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (19 U.S.C. §1862, as amended). As of December 2020, aluminum and derivative product imports from all countries except Argentina, Australia, Canada, and Mexico remained subject to a 10% ad valorem tariff, and steel and derivative product imports from all countries except Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, the Republic of Korea, and Mexico remained subject to a 25% ad valorem tariff.

Under section 301(b) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. §2411, as amended), in August 2020, the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) published additional ad valorem duty rates on approximately \$7.5 billion of imported items from specified European countries related to the Large Civil Aircraft dispute (85 FR 50866). In November, the European Union imposed additional duties on approximately \$4 billion of imports from the United States. Most of the listed items were aircraft, agricultural items and spirits.

The additional 25% ad valorem duty for products imported from China (Lists 1, 2, and 3) and the 7.5% ad

valorem duty for products imported from China (List 4) imposed under section 301(b) of the Trade Act of 1974, (19 U.S.C. §2411, as amended) by the USTR continued in 2020. Likewise, China imposed additional import duties for certain items originating in the United States. The United States imposed an additional tariff on approximately \$309 billion of imports from China. China imposed additional tariffs on approximately \$77 billion of imports from the United States.

Actions to achieve the goals and objectives of Executive Order 13817, “A Federal Strategy to Ensure Secure and Reliable Supplies of Critical Minerals,” issued in December 2017, continued in 2020. As outlined in a report issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce, a strategy was developed to reduce the Nation’s reliance on critical minerals; an assessment of progress toward developing critical minerals recycling and reprocessing technologies and technological alternatives to critical minerals; options for accessing and developing critical minerals through investment and trade with our allies and partners; a plan to improve the topographic, geologic, and geophysical mapping of the United States and make the resulting data and metadata electronically accessible, to the extent permitted by law and subject to appropriate limitations for purposes of privacy and security, to support private sector mineral exploration of critical minerals; and recommendations to streamline permitting and review processes related to developing leases; enhancing access to critical mineral resources; and increasing discovery, production, and domestic refining of critical minerals.

In February 2020, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) published a new methodology to evaluate the global supply of and U.S. demand for 52 mineral commodities for the years 2007 to 2016. It identified 23 mineral commodities, including aluminum, antimony, bismuth, cobalt, gallium, germanium, indium, niobium, platinum-group metals, rare-earth elements, tantalum, titanium, and tungsten, as posing the greatest supply risk for the U.S. manufacturing sector (Nassar and others, 2020).

On September 30, 2020, Executive Order 13953, “Addressing the Threat to the Domestic Supply Chain Reliance on Critical Minerals from Foreign Adversaries and Supporting the Domestic Mining and Processing Industries,” was issued to address the national emergency described. Several actions by Federal agencies were ordered including tasking the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Commerce, and the heads of other agencies, as appropriate, to investigate the Nation’s reliance on critical minerals.

On December 7, 2020, Open-File Report 2020–1127, “Investigation of U.S. Foreign Reliance on Critical Minerals—U.S. Geological Survey Technical Input Document in Response to Executive Order No. 13953 issued September 30, 2020,” was published by the USGS. The report identified and categorized the main sources of U.S. mineral commodity imports according to

existing security of supply agreements with the United States and the U.S. Department of Commerce's list of nonmarket economies; quantified the concentration of import sources; identified net import reliance considerations, trends, and technical options salient for each mineral commodity; highlighted factors that may obscure the true net import reliance; and provided a general framework for evaluating strategies that may help reduce U.S. net import reliance.

On November 17, 2020, the U.S. Department of Defense announced contracts and agreements with rare-earth-element producers under the authorities of title III of the Defense Production Act. These agreements were put in place to support and strengthen the domestic rare earth supply chain in response to the Presidential Determinations, signed on July 22, 2019, pursuant to section 303 of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended (50 U.S.C. §4501 et seq.).

As shown in figure 1, minerals remained fundamental to the U.S. economy, contributing to the real gross domestic product at several levels, including mining, processing, and manufacturing finished products. The estimated value of nonfuel minerals produced at mines in the United States in 2020 was \$82.3 billion. The value of net exports of mineral raw materials increased to \$4.0 billion from \$3.7 billion in 2019. Domestically recycled products totaled \$28 billion, and iron and steel scrap contributed \$9 billion to that total. Domestic raw materials and domestically recycled materials were used to produce mineral materials worth \$710 billion. These mineral materials as well as imports of processed mineral materials, which increased by 83% in 2020, were, in turn, consumed by downstream industries creating an estimated value of \$3.03 trillion in 2020, a 3% decrease from that in 2019.

Figure 2 illustrates the reliance of the United States on foreign sources for raw and processed mineral materials. In 2020, imports made up more than one-half of the U.S. apparent consumption for 46 nonfuel mineral commodities, and the United States was 100% net import reliant for 17 of those. Of the 35 minerals or mineral material groups identified as "critical minerals" published in the Federal Register on May 18, 2018 (83 FR 23295), 14 of the 17 mineral commodities with 100% net import reliance were listed as critical minerals, and 14 additional critical mineral commodities had a net import reliance greater than 50% of apparent consumption.

Figure 3 shows the countries from which the majority of these mineral commodities were imported and the number of mineral commodities for which each highlighted country was a leading supplier. China, followed by Canada, supplied the largest number of nonfuel mineral commodities.

The estimated value of U.S. metal mine production in 2020 was \$27.7 billion, 3% higher than the revised value

of 2019 (table 1). Principal contributors to the total value of metal mine production in 2020 were gold (38%), copper (27%), iron ore (15%), and zinc (6%). The estimated value of U.S. industrial minerals production in 2020, including construction aggregates, was \$54.6 billion, about 4% less than the revised value of 2019 (table 1). The value of industrial minerals production in 2020 was dominated by crushed stone, 32%; cement (masonry and portland), 20%; construction sand and gravel, 17%; and industrial sand and gravel, 6%.

In 2020, U.S. production of 12 mineral commodities was valued at more than \$1 billion each. These commodities were, in decreasing order of value, crushed stone, gold, cement, construction sand and gravel, copper, iron ore, industrial sand and gravel, salt, lime, phosphate rock, zinc, and soda ash.

In 2020, 12 States each produced more than \$2 billion worth of nonfuel mineral commodities. These States were, in descending order of production value, Nevada, Arizona, Texas, California, Minnesota, Florida, Alaska, Utah, Missouri, Michigan, Wyoming, and Georgia (table 3, fig. 4).


The Defense Logistics Agency Strategic Materials (DLA Strategic Materials) is responsible for the operational oversight of the National Defense Stockpile (NDS) of strategic and critical materials. Managing the security, environmentally sound stewardship, and ensuring the readiness of all NDS stocks is the mission of DLA Strategic Materials. The NDS currently contains 48 unique commodities stored at 12 locations within the continental United States. In fiscal year 2020, approximately \$9.2 million of new stocks were acquired and \$56.85 million of excess materials were sold. Revenue from the Stockpile Sales Program fund the operation of the NDS and the acquisition of new stocks. As of September 30, 2020, the NDS inventory had a fair market value of \$887.9 million. For reporting purposes, NDS stocks are categorized as held in reserve or available for sale. The majority of stocks are held in reserve. Additional detailed information can be found in the "Government Stockpile" sections in the mineral commodity chapters that follow. Under the authority of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (Pub. L. 81-774), the USGS advises the DLA Strategic Materials on acquisitions and disposals of NDS mineral materials.

## Reference Cited

Nassar, N.T., Brainard, Jamie, Gulley, Andrew, Manley, Ross, Matos, Grecia, Lederer, Graham, Bird, L.R., Pineault, David, Alonso, Elisa, Gambogi, Joseph, and Fortier, S.M., 2020, Evaluating the mineral commodity supply risk of the U.S. manufacturing sector: *Science Advances*, v. 6, no. 8, February 21, 11 p. (Accessed January 28, 2021, at <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay8647>.)



# Figure 2.—2020 U.S. Net Import Reliance<sup>1</sup>




<sup>1</sup>Not all mineral commodities covered in this publication are listed here. Those not shown include mineral commodities for which the United States is a net exporter (boron; clays; diatomite; helium; iron and steel scrap; iron ore; kyanite; molybdenum concentrates; sand and gravel, industrial; soda ash; titanium dioxide pigment; wollastonite; zeolites; and zinc concentrates) or less than 20% net import reliant (abrasives, metallic; aluminum; beryllium; cement; feldspar; gypsum; iron and steel; iron and steel slag; lime; nitrogen (fixed)—ammonia; phosphate rock; pumice; sand and gravel, construction; stone, crushed; sulfur; and talc and pyrophyllite). For some mineral commodities (hafnium; mercury; quartz crystal, industrial; thallium; and thorium), not enough information is available to calculate the exact percentage of import reliance.

<sup>2</sup>Listed in descending order of import share.

<sup>3</sup>Data include lanthanides.

**Figure 3.—Major Import Sources of Nonfuel Mineral Commodities for which the United States was greater than 50% Net Import Reliant in 2020**



Source: U.S. Geological Survey

**Table 1.—U.S. Mineral Industry Trends**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Total mine production (million dollars):					
Metals	23,700	26,800	28,200	26,900	27,700
Industrial minerals	47,700	52,600	56,100	56,900	54,600
Coal	22,300	26,100	27,200	25,500	18,800
Employment (thousands of workers):					
Coal mining, all employees	51	52	52	52	46
Nonfuel mineral mining, all employees	130	134	140	140	136
Chemicals and allied products, production workers	516	525	546	558	533
Stone, clay, and glass products, production workers	306	305	311	315	301
Primary metal industries, production workers	293	292	295	300	268
Average weekly earnings of workers (dollars):					
Coal mining, all employees	1,441	1,486	1,546	1,617	1,510
Chemicals and allied products, production workers	950	1,010	1,072	1,067	1,060
Stone, clay, and glass products, production workers	851	873	945	968	978
Primary metal industries, production workers	1,002	995	1,036	1,025	1,010

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

Sources: U.S. Geological Survey, U.S. Department of Energy, and U.S. Department of Labor.

**Table 2.—U.S. Mineral-Related Economic Trends**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Gross domestic product (billion dollars)	18,745	19,543	20,612	21,433	20,933
Industrial production (2012=100):					
Total index:	102	104	109	109	101
Manufacturing:	101	103	106	106	98
Nonmetallic mineral products	111	115	120	119	114
Primary metals:	93	94	98	97	82
Iron and steel	87	92	97	97	80
Aluminum	106	103	107	107	97
Nonferrous metals (except aluminum)	95	91	91	88	75
Chemicals	95	97	100	101	97
Mining:	103	110	124	133	119
Coal	70	75	74	69	52
Oil and gas extraction	129	135	156	173	165
Metals	100	98	93	93	93
Nonmetallic minerals	114	118	119	123	122
Capacity utilization (percent):					
Total industry:	75	76	79	78	72
Mining:	78	84	90	90	81
Metals	75	71	69	69	68
Nonmetallic minerals	87	88	88	90	89
Housing starts (thousands)	1,177	1,207	1,248	1,295	1,370
Light vehicle sales (thousands)	17,465	17,136	17,214	16,953	14,200
Highway construction, value, put in place (billion dollars)	94	90	91	98	100

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce and Federal Reserve Board.



**Table 3.—Value of Nonfuel Mineral Production in the United States and Principal Nonfuel Minerals Produced in 2020<sup>P, 1</sup>**

State	Value (millions)	Rank <sup>2</sup>	Percent of U.S. total <sup>3</sup>	Principal commodities <sup>4</sup>
Alabama	\$1,580	18	1.92	Cement (portland), lime, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Alaska	3,160	7	3.83	Gold, lead, sand and gravel (construction), silver, zinc.
Arizona	7,030	2	8.54	Cement (portland), copper, molybdenum concentrates, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Arkansas	869	29	1.06	Bromine, cement (portland), sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
California	4,680	4	5.68	Boron minerals, cement (portland), gold, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Colorado	1,620	17	1.96	Cement (portland), gold, molybdenum concentrates, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Connecticut <sup>5</sup>	190	43	0.23	Clay (common clay), sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
Delaware <sup>5</sup>	23	50	0.03	Magnesium compounds, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Florida	3,520	6	4.27	Cement (masonry and portland), phosphate rock, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Georgia	2,020	12	2.45	Cement (portland), clay (kaolin), sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Hawaii	128	45	0.16	Sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Idaho <sup>5</sup>	247	35	0.30	Lead, phosphate rock, sand and gravel (construction), silver, stone (crushed).
Illinois <sup>5</sup>	1,100	23	1.34	Cement (portland), sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), silica (tripoli), stone (crushed).
Indiana <sup>5</sup>	695	24	0.84	Cement (portland), lime, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
Iowa <sup>5</sup>	727	28	0.88	Cement (portland), lime, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Kansas <sup>5</sup>	1,250	20	1.52	Cement (portland), helium (Grade-A), salt, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Kentucky <sup>5</sup>	566	30	0.69	Cement (portland), clay (common clay), lime, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Louisiana <sup>5</sup>	656	33	0.80	Clay (common clay), salt, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Maine <sup>5</sup>	148	44	0.18	Cement (portland), peat, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
Maryland <sup>5</sup>	429	32	0.52	Cement (masonry and portland), sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
Massachusetts <sup>5</sup>	267	42	0.32	Clay (common clay), lime, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
Michigan	2,630	10	3.20	Cement (portland), iron ore, salt, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Minnesota <sup>5</sup>	4,090	5	4.96	Iron ore, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
Mississippi <sup>5</sup>	286	41	0.35	Clay (bentonite and montmorillonite), sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Missouri	3,030	9	3.68	Cement (portland), lead, lime, sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Montana	1,680	16	2.04	Copper, molybdenum concentrates, palladium, platinum, sand and gravel (construction).

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 3.—Value of Nonfuel Mineral Production in the United States and Principal Nonfuel Minerals Produced in 2020<sup>P, 1</sup>—Continued**

State	Value (millions)	Rank <sup>2</sup>	Percent of U.S. total <sup>3</sup>	Principal commodities <sup>4</sup>
Nebraska <sup>5</sup>	\$215	38	0.26	Cement (portland), lime, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Nevada	9,140	1	11.10	Copper, diatomite, gold, lime, silver.
New Hampshire	110	47	0.13	Sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
New Jersey	345	40	0.42	Sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
New Mexico	864	31	1.05	Cement (portland), copper, potash, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
New York	1,690	15	2.05	Cement (portland), salt, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), zinc.
North Carolina <sup>5</sup>	1,150	19	1.39	Clay (common clay), phosphate rock, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
North Dakota <sup>5</sup>	74	48	0.09	Clay (common clay), lime, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Ohio <sup>5</sup>	1,340	13	1.63	Cement (portland), lime, salt, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Oklahoma	1,040	27	1.26	Cement (portland), iodine, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Oregon	513	36	0.62	Cement (portland), diatomite, perlite (crude), sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Pennsylvania <sup>5</sup>	1,750	14	2.13	Cement (portland), lime, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Rhode Island <sup>5</sup>	48	49	0.06	Sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
South Carolina <sup>5</sup>	895	25	1.09	Cement (masonry and portland), gold, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
South Dakota	449	37	0.54	Cement (portland), gold, lime, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Tennessee	1,330	22	1.61	Cement (portland), sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed), zinc.
Texas	6,090	3	7.40	Cement (portland), lime, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Utah	3,150	8	3.82	Cement (portland), copper, gold, molybdenum concentrates, sand and gravel (construction).
Vermont <sup>5</sup>	119	46	0.14	Sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed), stone (dimension), talc (crude).
Virginia	1,370	21	1.67	Cement (portland), kyanite, lime, sand and gravel (construction), stone (crushed).
Washington	630	34	0.77	Cement (portland), diatomite, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
West Virginia <sup>5</sup>	164	39	0.20	Cement (masonry and portland), lime, sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed).
Wisconsin <sup>5</sup>	1,020	26	1.24	Lime, sand and gravel (construction), sand and gravel (industrial), stone (crushed), stone (dimension).
Wyoming	2,440	11	2.97	Cement (portland), clay (bentonite), helium (Grade-A), sand and gravel (construction), soda ash.
Undistributed	3,780	XX	4.61	
Total	82,300	XX	100.00	

<sup>P</sup>Preliminary. XX Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Table includes data available through December 2020. Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.


<sup>2</sup>Rank based on total, unadjusted State values.

<sup>3</sup>Percent of U.S. total calculated to two decimal places.

<sup>4</sup>Listed in alphabetical order.


<sup>5</sup>Partial total; excludes values that must be withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data, which are included with "Undistributed."

**Figure 4.—Value of Nonfuel Minerals Produced in 2020, by State**



\*Partial total; excludes values that must be withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data, which are included with "Undistributed" in table 3.

**Figure 5.—Value of Metals and Metallic Minerals Produced in 2020, by Region**



**Figure 6.—Value of Other Industrial Minerals Produced in 2020, by Region**








Figure 7.—Value of Crushed Stone Produced in 2020, by State



**Figure 8.—Value of Construction Sand and Gravel Produced in 2020, by State**



(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

## ABRASIVES (MANUFACTURED)

(Fused aluminum oxide, silicon carbide, and metallic abrasives)  
(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Fused aluminum oxide was produced by two companies at three plants in the United States and Canada. Production of crude fused aluminum oxide had an estimated value of \$1.7 million. Silicon carbide was produced by two companies at two plants in the United States. Production of crude silicon carbide had an estimated value of about \$26 million. Metallic abrasives were produced by 11 companies in eight States. Production of metallic abrasives had an estimated value of about \$120 million. Bonded and coated abrasive products accounted for most abrasive uses of fused aluminum oxide and silicon carbide. Metallic abrasives are used primarily for steel shot and grit and cut wire shot, which are used for sandblasting, peening, and stonecutting applications.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Fused aluminum oxide, crude <sup>1, 2</sup>	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Silicon carbide <sup>2</sup>	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
Metallic abrasives	188,000	179,000	180,000	177,000	180,000
Shipments, metallic abrasives	204,000	197,000	196,000	195,000	190,000
Imports for consumption:					
Fused aluminum oxide	155,000	206,000	192,000	184,000	130,000
Silicon carbide	116,000	137,000	146,000	131,000	130,000
Metallic abrasives	54,100	29,600	29,900	27,900	25,000
Exports:					
Fused aluminum oxide	14,300	15,500	20,100	18,400	14,000
Silicon carbide	6,820	6,100	10,100	11,500	9,300
Metallic abrasives	28,600	31,000	33,600	31,200	24,000
Consumption, apparent:					
Fused aluminum oxide <sup>3</sup>	141,000	191,000	172,000	166,000	120,000
Silicon carbide <sup>4</sup>	144,000	166,000	171,000	155,000	160,000
Metallic abrasives <sup>5</sup>	230,000	196,000	192,000	192,000	190,000
Price, average value of imports, dollars per ton:					
Fused aluminum oxide, regular	418	489	692	716	670
Fused aluminum oxide, high-purity	1,360	1,220	1,290	1,250	1,200
Silicon carbide, crude	452	479	670	701	600
Metallic abrasives	543	1,020	1,180	1,310	1,200
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption:					
Fused aluminum oxide	>75	>75	>75	>75	>75
Silicon carbide	>75	>75	>75	>75	>75
Metallic abrasives	11	E	E	E	1

**Recycling:** Up to 30% of fused aluminum oxide may be recycled, and about 5% of silicon carbide is recycled.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Fused aluminum oxide, crude: China, 86%; France, 7%; Canada, 2%; and other, 5%. Fused aluminum oxide, grain: Canada, 19%; Brazil, 18%; Austria, 17%; Germany, 12%; and other, 34%. Silicon carbide, crude: China, 85%; Netherlands, 7%; South Africa, 5%; and other, 3%. Silicon carbide, grain: China, 48%; Brazil, 21%; Russia, 9%; Norway, 6%; and other, 16%. Metallic abrasives: Canada, 26%; Sweden, 19%; China, 15%; Germany, 10%; and other, 30%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Artificial corundum, crude	2818.10.1000	Free.
	White, pink, ruby artificial corundum, greater than 97.5% aluminum oxide, grain	2818.10.2010	1.3% ad val.
	Artificial corundum, not elsewhere specified or included, fused aluminum oxide, grain	2818.10.2090	1.3% ad val.
	Silicon carbide, crude	2849.20.1000	Free.
	Silicon carbide, grain	2849.20.2000	0.5% ad val.
	Iron, pig iron, or steel granules	7205.10.0000	Free.

## ABRASIVES (MANUFACTURED)

**Depletion Allowance:** None.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, China was the world's leading producer of abrasive fused aluminum oxide and abrasive silicon carbide. Imports, especially from China where operating costs were lower, continued to challenge abrasives producers in the United States and Canada. In recent years, imports of abrasives from Hong Kong have also increased. Foreign competition is expected to persist and continue to limit production in North America. The average unit value of imports had increased every year since 2016 for regular fused aluminum oxide and crude silicon carbide but decreased in 2020. The average unit values of imports of regular fused aluminum oxide and crude silicon carbide during the first 6 months of 2020 were 6% and 16% lower, respectively, than those in 2019 and 3% and 5% higher, respectively, than those in 2018.

Abrasives consumption in the United States is greatly influenced by activity in the manufacturing sectors, particularly the aerospace, automotive, furniture, housing, and steel industries. Steel grit can be reclaimed and used multiple times. The use of reclaimed metallic abrasives increased, at least in part, owing to rising surcharges on scrap and waste disposal and increasing prices for new material.

In 2020, the abrasives manufacturing industry was considered part of the critical manufacturing sector by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. The COVID-19 pandemic "stay-at-home" orders issued in March 2020 did not affect the abrasives manufacturing industry, and these plants maintained full operations. However, imports and exports for fused aluminum oxide decreased by 29% and 24%, respectively, from those in 2019.

### **World Production Capacity:**

	Fused aluminum oxide <sup>e</sup>		Silicon carbide <sup>e</sup>	
	2019	2020	2019	2020
United States	60,000	60,000	40,000	40,000
Argentina	—	—	5,000	5,000
Australia	50,000	50,000	—	—
Austria	60,000	60,000	—	—
Brazil	50,000	50,000	40,000	40,000
China	800,000	800,000	450,000	450,000
France	40,000	40,000	20,000	20,000
Germany	80,000	80,000	35,000	35,000
India	40,000	40,000	5,000	5,000
Japan	15,000	15,000	60,000	60,000
Mexico	—	—	45,000	45,000
Norway	—	—	80,000	80,000
Venezuela	—	—	30,000	30,000
Other countries	80,000	80,000	190,000	190,000
World total (rounded)	1,300,000	1,300,000	1,000,000	1,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Although domestic resources of raw materials for the production of fused aluminum oxide are rather limited, adequate resources are available in the Western Hemisphere. Domestic resources are more than adequate for the production of silicon carbide.

**Substitutes:** Natural and manufactured abrasives, such as garnet, emery, or metallic abrasives, can be substituted for fused aluminum oxide and silicon carbide in various applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Production data for aluminum oxide are combined production data from the United States and Canada to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>2</sup>Rounded to the nearest 5,000 tons to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports because production includes data from Canada; actual consumption is higher than that shown.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as shipments + imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## ALUMINUM<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, three companies operated seven primary aluminum smelters in six States. Two smelters operated at full capacity and four smelters operated at reduced capacity throughout the year. One smelter operated at reduced capacity until it was idled in July. One other smelter remained on standby throughout the year. Domestic smelters were operating at about 49% of capacity of 1.79 million tons per year at yearend 2020. Production decreased by 8% after increasing in 2019. Based on published prices, the value of primary aluminum production was about \$1.98 billion, 17% less than the value in 2019. The average annual U.S. market price declined by about 11% from that in 2019. Transportation applications accounted for 40% of domestic consumption; in descending order of consumption, the remainder was used in packaging, 21%; building, 14%; electrical, 8%; consumer durables, 7%; machinery, 7%; and other, 3%.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Primary	818	741	891	1,093	1,000
Secondary (from old scrap)	1,570	1,590	1,570	1,540	1,500
Secondary (from new scrap)	2,010	2,050	2,140	1,920	1,700
Imports for consumption:					
Crude and semimanufactures	5,410	6,220	5,550	5,210	3,200
Scrap	609	700	695	596	530
Exports:					
Crude and semimanufactures	1,470	1,330	1,310	1,090	880
Scrap	1,350	1,570	1,760	1,860	2,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	5,090	5,680	4,900	4,940	2,870
Supply, apparent <sup>3</sup>	7,100	7,730	7,040	6,860	4,570
Price, ingot, average U.S. market (spot), cents per pound	80.4	98.3	114.7	99.5	89
Stocks, yearend:					
Aluminum industry	1,400	1,470	1,570	1,600	1,400
London Metal Exchange (LME), U.S. warehouses <sup>4</sup>	362	254	186	120	250
Employment, number <sup>5</sup>	31,900	31,700	31,600	32,900	31,900
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	53	59	50	47	13

**Recycling:** In 2020, aluminum recovered from purchased scrap in the United States was about 3.2 million tons, of which about 53% came from new (manufacturing) scrap and 47% from old scrap (discarded aluminum products). Aluminum recovered from old scrap was equivalent to about 51% of apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 50%; the United Arab Emirates, 10%; Russia 9%; China, 5%; and other, 26%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
Aluminum, not alloyed:			
Unwrought (in coils)		7601.10.3000	2.6% ad val.
Unwrought (other than aluminum alloys)		7601.10.6000	Free.
Aluminum alloys, unwrought (billet)		7601.20.9045	Free.
Aluminum scrap:			
Used beverage container scrap		7602.00.0030	Free.
Industrial process scrap		7602.00.0091	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Not applicable.<sup>1</sup>

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Starting in March, many aluminum consumers shut down or reduced production in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Several manufacturers in the aerospace and automotive industries shut down production facilities citing local government orders, agreements negotiated between the companies and the unions representing employees, or decreased demand by retail consumers. Several extruders, rolling mills, and secondary smelters decreased output or shut down in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. By July, most of the shutdowns ended as safety measures were implemented. Consumption of aluminum for containers and packaging remained steady as bars and restaurants were ordered closed by many local authorities, resulting in increased demand for beverages in aluminum cans. Rolling mills and secondary smelters that produce can sheet increased imports of



## ALUMINUM

used beverage cans to make up for decreased supply from domestic can redemption centers that were closed in most States. Primary aluminum smelters were exempted from the lockdown orders.

In March, a 252,000-ton-per-year smelter in Hawesville, KY, completed restarting one potline with 50,000 tons per year of capacity after scheduled maintenance work. The restart of another 50,000-ton-per-year potline at the Hawesville smelter was delayed because of economic conditions after maintenance work was completed. In July, a 279,000-ton-per-year smelter in Ferndale, WA, shut down four of its five potlines, citing high power prices and low aluminum prices. The rest of its capacity was shut down previously.

On January 24, the President of the United States imposed a 10% tariff on imported products made with aluminum. In March 2018, a 10% tariff was imposed on imports of aluminum but concerns had been raised that domestic manufacturers were having to pay more for aluminum and that competitors were able to import finished products without having to pay a tariff on the aluminum contained in finished products. Aluminum imports from all countries except Argentina, Australia, Canada, and Mexico remained subject to the 10% ad valorem tariff as of early December. The 10% tariffs were imposed under authority of section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962.

In March, the U.S. Department of Commerce initiated a countervailing duty investigation of imports of common alloy aluminum sheet from 18 countries. The U.S. International Trade Commission initiated an antidumping investigation on the same products from four countries. On August 10, the U.S. Department of Commerce issued its preliminary determination of the countervailing duty investigation and set preliminary subsidy rates, with a final determination expected by early 2021. The U.S. International Trade Commission was expected to issue its final determination in February 2021. In June, the U.S. Department of Commerce issued its preliminary determination of the countervailing duty investigation of foil imports from China and set preliminary subsidy rates.

**World Smelter Production and Capacity:** Capacity data for China and Russia were revised based on Government and company data.

	Production		Yearend capacity	
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
United States	1,093	1,000	1,790	1,790
Australia	1,570	1,600	1,720	1,720
Bahrain	1,370	1,500	1,540	1,540
Canada	2,850	3,100	3,270	3,270
China	35,000	37,000	41,300	43,000
Iceland	845	840	890	890
India	3,640	3,600	4,060	4,060
Norway	1,400	1,400	1,430	1,430
Russia	3,640	3,600	4,020	4,020
United Arab Emirates	2,600	2,600	2,700	2,700
Other countries	9,200	9,000	12,200	12,300
World total (rounded)	63,200	65,200	74,900	76,700

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Global resources of bauxite are estimated to be between 55 billion and 75 billion tons and are sufficient to meet world demand for metal well into the future.<sup>1</sup>

**Substitutes:** Composites can substitute for aluminum in aircraft fuselages and wings. Glass, paper, plastics, and steel can substitute for aluminum in packaging. Composites, magnesium, steel, and titanium can substitute for aluminum in ground transportation uses. Composites, steel, vinyl, and wood can substitute for aluminum in construction. Copper can replace aluminum in electrical and heat-exchange applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>See also Bauxite and Alumina.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as domestic primary metal production + recovery from old aluminum scrap + net import reliance; excludes imported scrap.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as domestic primary metal production + recovery from all aluminum scrap + net import reliance; excludes imported scrap.

<sup>4</sup>Includes aluminum alloy. Starting with 2019, also includes off-warrant stocks of primary and alloyed aluminum; estimated for 2019.

<sup>5</sup>Alumina and aluminum production workers (North American Industry Classification System—3313). Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes; excludes imported scrap.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## ANTIMONY

(Data in metric tons of antimony content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, no marketable antimony was mined in the United States. A mine in Nevada that had extracted about 800 tons of stibnite ore from 2013 through 2014 was placed on care-and-maintenance status in 2015 and had no reported production in 2020. Primary antimony metal and oxide were produced by one company in Montana using imported feedstock. Secondary antimony production was derived mostly from antimonial lead recovered from spent lead-acid batteries. The estimated value of secondary antimony produced in 2020, based on the average New York dealer price for antimony, was about \$35 million. Recycling supplied about 18% of estimated domestic consumption, and the remainder came mostly from imports. The value of antimony consumption in 2020, based on the average New York dealer price, was about \$193 million. In the United States, the leading uses of antimony were as follows: flame retardants, 42%; metal products, including antimonial lead and ammunition, 36%; and nonmetal products, including ceramics and glass and rubber products, 22%.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Mine (recoverable antimony)	—	—	—	—	—
Smelter:					
Primary	664	621	331	377	260
Secondary	3,810	4,370	4,090	4,140	4,000
Imports for consumption:					
Ore and concentrates	119	61	96	121	130
Oxide	16,100	17,800	19,200	17,300	14,000
Unwrought, powder	7,110	6,810	6,320	6,670	5,500
Waste and scrap <sup>1</sup>	41	16	202	17	8
Exports:					
Ore and concentrates <sup>1</sup>	12	46	38	9	8
Oxide	1,330	1,600	1,750	1,570	1,400
Unwrought, powder	446	643	497	370	290
Waste and scrap <sup>1</sup>	177	11	9	14	14
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	25,900	27,400	27,700	26,400	22,000
Price, metal, average, dollars per pound <sup>3</sup>	3.35	3.98	3.88	3.90	3.98
Employment, plant, number <sup>e</sup>	27	27	27	27	27
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	83	82	84	83	81

**Recycling:** The bulk of secondary antimony is recovered at secondary lead smelters as antimonial lead, most of which was generated by, and then consumed by, the lead-acid battery industry.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Ore and concentrates: Italy, 55%; China, 31%; India, 7%; Mexico, 4%; and other, 3%. Oxide: China, 69%; Belgium, 10%; Bolivia and Thailand, 6% each; and other, 9%. Unwrought metal and powder: China, 46%; India, 20%; Vietnam, 11%; the United Kingdom, 6%; and other, 17%. Total metal and oxide: China, 63%; Belgium, 7%; Thailand, 6%; India, 5%; and other, 19%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Ore and concentrates	2617.10.0000	Free.
	Antimony oxide	2825.80.0000	Free.
	Antimony and articles thereof:		
	Unwrought antimony; powder	8110.10.0000	Free.
	Waste and scrap	8110.20.0000	Free.
	Other	8110.90.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:<sup>5</sup>**

		<b>FY 2020</b>		<b>FY 2021</b>	
<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Antimony	90.16	1,100	—	1,100	—

## ANTIMONY

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** China continued to be the leading global antimony producer in 2020 and accounted for more than 52% of global mine production. Owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic and the consequent very tight supply of antimony raw materials, operations at China's refineries were constrained. According to China's customs data, China imported 30% less of antimony ore and concentrates from January through August 2020 than for the same period of 2019. This caused a supply shortage of antimony ingots on the market and the antimony price increased to about \$4.00 per pound in 2020. In 2020, China imported 83% less unwrought antimony than in the previous year. Exports of China's unwrought antimony and antimony oxide fell by 24% and 12%, respectively.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Canada and Kyrgyzstan were revised based on Government and industry reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	<sup>7</sup> 60,000
Australia	2,030	2,000	<sup>8</sup> 140,000
Bolivia	3,000	3,000	310,000
Burma	6,000	6,000	NA
Canada	1	1	78,000
China	89,000	80,000	480,000
Ecuador	1	1	NA
Guatemala	25	25	NA
Iran	500	500	NA
Kazakhstan	300	300	NA
Kyrgyzstan	—	—	260,000
Laos	140	100	NA
Mexico	300	300	18,000
Pakistan	—	—	26,000
Russia (recoverable)	30,000	30,000	350,000
Tajikistan	28,000	28,000	50,000
Turkey	2,400	2,000	100,000
Vietnam	310	300	NA
World total (rounded)	162,000	153,000	1,900,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> U.S. resources of antimony are mainly in Alaska, Idaho, Montana, and Nevada. Principal identified world resources are in Australia, Bolivia, China, Mexico, Russia, South Africa, and Tajikistan. Additional antimony resources may occur in Mississippi Valley-type lead deposits in the Eastern United States.

**Substitutes:** Selected organic compounds and hydrated aluminum oxide are substitutes as flame retardants. Chromium, tin, titanium, zinc, and zirconium compounds substitute for antimony chemicals in enamels, paint, and pigments. Combinations of calcium, copper, selenium, sulfur, and tin are substitutes for alloys in lead-acid batteries.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Gross weight.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as primary production + secondary production from old scrap + imports of antimony in oxide and unwrought metal, powder – exports of antimony in oxide and unwrought metal, powder + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>New York dealer price for 99.65% metal, cost, insurance, freight U.S. ports. Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports of antimony in oxide and unwrought metal, powder – exports of antimony in oxide and unwrought metal, powder + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Company-reported probable reserves for the Stibnite Gold Project in Idaho.

<sup>8</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 64,600 tons.

## ARSENIC

(Data in metric tons of arsenic content<sup>1</sup> unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Arsenic trioxide and primary arsenic metal have not been produced in the United States since 1985. The principal use for arsenic trioxide was for the production of arsenic acid used in the formulation of chromated copper arsenide (CCA) preservatives for the pressure treating of lumber used primarily in nonresidential applications. Three companies produced CCA preservatives in the United States in 2020. The grids in lead-acid storage batteries were strengthened by the addition of arsenic metal. Arsenic metal also was used as an antifriction additive for bearings, to harden lead shot, and in clip-on wheel weights. Arsenic compounds were used in herbicides and insecticides. High-purity arsenic (99.9999%) metal was used to produce gallium-arsenide (GaAs) semiconductors for solar cells, space research, and telecommunications. Arsenic also was used for germanium-arsenide-selenide specialty optical materials. Indium-gallium-arsenide (InGaAs) was used for short-wave infrared technology. The value of arsenic compounds and metal imported domestically in 2020 was estimated to be about \$9.3 million. Given that arsenic metal has not been produced domestically since 1985, it is likely that only a small portion of the material reported by the U.S. Census Bureau as arsenic exports was pure arsenic metal, and most of the material that has been reported under this category reflects the gross weight of alloys, compounds, residues, scrap, and waste containing arsenic. Therefore, the estimated consumption reported under salient U.S. statistics reflects only imports of arsenic products.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Imports for consumption:					
Arsenic metal	793	942	929	391	500
Compounds	5,320	5,980	5,540	7,090	10,000
Exports, all forms of arsenic (gross weight)	1,760	698	107	56	20
Consumption, estimated, all forms of arsenic <sup>2</sup>	6,120	6,920	6,470	7,480	10,500
Price, average value of imports (free alongside ship), <sup>3</sup>					
dollars per kilogram:					
Arsenic metal (China)	1.89	1.56	1.43	1.92	1.70
Trioxide (China)	0.46	0.45	0.44	0.46	0.42
Trioxide (Morocco)	0.68	0.68	0.75	0.78	0.83
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of estimated consumption, all forms of arsenic	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Arsenic metal was contained in new scrap recycled during GaAs semiconductor manufacturing. Arsenic-containing process water was internally recycled at wood treatment plants where CCA was used. Although scrap electronic circuit boards, relays, and switches may contain arsenic, no arsenic was known to have been recovered during the recycling process to recover other contained metals. No arsenic was recovered domestically from arsenic-containing residues and dusts generated at nonferrous smelters in the United States.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Arsenic metal: China, 91%; Japan, 5%; Hong Kong, 3%; and other, 1%. Arsenic trioxide: China, 55%; Morocco, 41%; Belgium, 2%; and other, 2%. All forms of arsenic: China, 58%; Morocco, 38%; Belgium, 2%; and other, 2%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Arsenic metal	2804.80.0000	Free.
	Arsenic acid	2811.19.1000	2.3% ad val.
	Arsenic trioxide	2811.29.1000	Free.
	Arsenic sulfide	2813.90.1000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## ARSENIC

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** China and Morocco continued to be the leading global producers of arsenic trioxide, accounting for about 90% of estimated world production and supplying almost all of United States imports of arsenic trioxide in 2020. China was the leading world producer of arsenic metal and, with Hong Kong, supplied about 94% of United States arsenic metal imports in 2020.

High-purity (99.9999%) arsenic metal was used to produce GaAs, indium-arsenide, and InGaAs semiconductors that were used in biomedical, communications, computer, electronics, and photovoltaic applications. In 2020, the market value of global high-purity arsenic metal was about \$200 million. Almost one-half of global GaAs wafer production took place in China. See the Gallium chapter for additional details.

### World Production and Reserves (gross weight):

	Production <sup>e,5</sup> (arsenic trioxide)		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020	
United States	—	—	World reserves data are unavailable but are thought to be more than 20 times world production.
Belgium	1,000	1,000	
Bolivia	120	100	
China	24,000	24,000	
Iran	110	—	
Japan	45	40	
Morocco	5,500	5,500	
Russia	1,500	1,500	
World total (rounded)	32,300	32,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Arsenic may be obtained from copper, gold, and lead smelter flue dust, as well as from roasting arsenopyrite, the most abundant ore mineral of arsenic. Arsenic has been recovered from orpiment and realgar in China, Peru, and the Philippines and from copper-gold ores in Chile, and arsenic was associated with gold occurrences in Canada. Orpiment and realgar from gold mines in Sichuan Province, China, were stockpiled for later recovery of arsenic. Arsenic also may be recovered from enargite, a copper mineral. Arsenic trioxide was produced at the hydrometallurgical complex of Guemassa, near Marrakech, Morocco, from cobalt-arsenide ore from the Bou-Azzer Mine.

**Substitutes:** Substitutes for CCA in wood treatment include alkaline copper quaternary, ammoniacal copper quaternary, ammoniacal copper zinc arsenate, alkaline copper quaternary boron-based preservatives, copper azole, copper citrate, and copper naphthenate. Treated wood substitutes include concrete, plastic composite material, plasticized wood scrap, or steel. Silicon-based complementary metal-oxide semiconductor power amplifiers compete with GaAs power amplifiers in midtier third generation cellular handsets. Indium phosphide components can be substituted for GaAs-based infrared laser diodes in some specific-wavelength applications, and helium-neon lasers compete with GaAs in visible laser diode applications. Silicon is the principal competitor with GaAs in solar-cell applications. In many defense-related applications, GaAs-based integrated circuits are used because of their unique properties, and no effective substitutes exist for GaAs in these applications. In heterojunction bipolar transistors, GaAs is being replaced in some applications by silicon-germanium.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Arsenic content of arsenic metal is 100%; arsenic content of arsenic compounds is 77.7% for arsenic acids, 60.7% for arsenic sulfides, and 75.71% for arsenic trioxide.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated to be the same as imports.

<sup>3</sup>Calculated from U.S. Census Bureau import data.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports.

<sup>5</sup>Includes calculated arsenic trioxide equivalent of output of elemental arsenic compounds other than arsenic trioxide; inclusion of such materials would not duplicate reported arsenic trioxide production. Chile, Mexico, and Peru were thought to be significant producers of commercial-grade arsenic trioxide but have reported no production in recent years.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## ASBESTOS

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The last U.S. producer of asbestos ceased operations in 2002 as a result of the decline in domestic and international asbestos markets associated with health and liability issues. The United States has since been wholly dependent on imports to meet manufacturing needs. All of the asbestos fiber currently imported into and used within the United States consists of chrysotile. In 2020, domestic consumption of chrysotile was estimated to be 450 tons, and all imports originated from Brazil, based on data available through July. The chloralkali industry, which uses chrysotile to manufacture nonreactive semipermeable diaphragms that prevent chlorine generated at the anode of an electrolytic cell from reacting with sodium hydroxide generated at the cathode, has accounted for 100% of asbestos consumption since at least 2016. In addition to chrysotile, a small, but unknown, quantity of asbestos is imported annually within manufactured products, including brake blocks for use in the oil industry, rubber sheets for gaskets used to create a chemical-containment seal in the production of titanium dioxide, certain other types of preformed gaskets, and some vehicle friction products.

**Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Imports for consumption <sup>1</sup>	747	332	681	172	<sup>2</sup> 300
Exports <sup>3</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
Consumption, estimated <sup>4</sup>	770	520	500	450	450
Price, average U.S. customs value, dollars per ton	1,910	1,870	1,670	1,570	2,000
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of estimated consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Brazil, 86%; and Russia, 14%.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u> <u>12–31–20</u>
	Crocidolite	2524.10.0000	Free.
	Amosite	2524.90.0010	Free.
	Chrysotile:		
	Crudes	2524.90.0030	Free.
	Milled fibers, group 3 grades	2524.90.0040	Free.
	Milled fibers, group 4 and 5 grades	2524.90.0045	Free.
	Other	2524.90.0055	Free.
	Other, asbestos	2524.90.0060	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 10% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Consumption of asbestos fiber in the United States has decreased during the past several decades, falling from a record high of 803,000 tons in 1973 to less than 800 tons in each year since 2016. This decline has taken place as a result of health and liability issues associated with asbestos use, leading to the displacement of asbestos from traditional domestic markets by substitutes, alternative materials, and new technology. The chloralkali industry is the only remaining domestic consumer of asbestos in mineral form. Asbestos diaphragms are used in at least 11 chloralkali plants in the United States and account for about one-third of domestic chlorine production.

The Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act, which amended the Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976 (TSCA), was signed into law in 2016. The legislation granted the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) greater authority to evaluate the hazards posed by new chemicals as well as those already in the marketplace. In 2020, the EPA issued the final risk evaluation report for chrysotile. The agency determined that the disposal, processing, and (or) use of chrysotile in the chloralkali industry and in all chrysotile-containing manufactured products that are currently imported into the United States (oil industry brake blocks, sheet and other gaskets, and some vehicle friction products) present unreasonable risks to human health. As required by the TSCA, the EPA will propose and finalize actions to address these risks by yearend 2022. The new regulations could include limitations or prohibitions on the disposal, distribution in commerce, manufacture, processing, or use of chrysotile.<sup>6</sup>



## ASBESTOS

Estimated worldwide consumption of asbestos fiber decreased from approximately 2 million tons in 2010 to roughly 1 million tons per year in the past several years. Asbestos-cement products, such as corrugated roofing tiles, pipes, and wall panels, are expected to continue to be the leading global market for asbestos.

In Brazil, a comprehensive national ban on asbestos was enacted in November 2017. A judicial injunction allowed the only asbestos producer in the country to continue operating until February 2019, when production ceased. In July 2019, the government of the State of Goiás passed a law that authorized the extraction of asbestos in the State for export purposes; ore processing was restarted in February 2020. The Supreme Federal Court of Brazil was expected to issue a ruling on the constitutionality of the Goiás law but had not done so as of September 2020.

At the former King Mine in Mashava, Zimbabwe, asbestos production from old tailings commenced in 2019, and dewatering of the mining shafts was completed in March 2020. The company was in the process of selling real estate assets to fund the restart of mining operations and working to dewater an additional asbestos mine in Zvishvane. At full capacity, the King Mine was expected to produce 75,000 tons per year of asbestos.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production <sup>e</sup>		Reserves <sup>7</sup>
	2019	2020	
United States	—	—	Small
Brazil	15,000	60,000	11,000,000
China	150,000	100,000	95,000,000
Kazakhstan	<sup>8</sup> 211,000	210,000	Large
Russia	790,000	790,000	110,000,000
Zimbabwe	2,500	8,000	Large
World total (rounded)	1,170,000	1,200,000	Large

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Reliable evaluations of global asbestos resources have not been published recently, and available information was insufficient to make accurate estimates for many countries. However, world resources are large and more than adequate to meet anticipated demand in the foreseeable future. Resources in the United States are composed mostly of short-fiber asbestos for which use in asbestos-based products is more limited than long-fiber asbestos.

**Substitutes:** Numerous materials substitute for asbestos. Substitutes include calcium silicate, carbon fiber, cellulose fiber, ceramic fiber, glass fiber, steel fiber, wollastonite, and several organic fibers, such as aramid, polyethylene, polypropylene, and polytetrafluoroethylene. Several nonfibrous minerals or rocks, such as perlite, serpentine, silica, and talc, are also considered to be possible asbestos substitutes for products in which the reinforcement properties of fibers are not required. Membrane cells and mercury cells are alternatives to asbestos diaphragms used in the chloralkali industry.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Imports of asbestos minerals (chrysotile). Additional imports were reported by the U.S. Census Bureau in some years, but existing asbestos bans and bill of lading information from a commercial trade database suggest that some shipments were misclassified.

<sup>2</sup>According to the U.S. Census Bureau, imports of chrysotile totaled 138 tons through July. Final 2020 imports may differ significantly from the provided estimate because chrysotile imports typically do not follow a predictable pattern throughout the year.

<sup>3</sup>Exports of asbestos reported by the U.S. Census Bureau were 587 tons in 2016, 143 tons in 2017, 235 tons in 2018, 2 tons in 2019, and 0 tons through July in 2020. These shipments likely consisted of materials misclassified as asbestos, reexports, and (or) waste products because the United States no longer mines asbestos.

<sup>4</sup>To account for year-to-year fluctuations in asbestos imports owing to cycles of companies replenishing and drawing down stockpiles, consumption is estimated as a 5-year rolling average of imports for consumption. Information regarding the quantity of industry stocks was unavailable.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports. The United States has been 100% import reliant since 2002. All consumption of asbestos was from imports and a drawdown in unreported inventories.

<sup>6</sup>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2020, Risk evaluation for asbestos, part I—Chrysotile asbestos: Washington, DC, EPA Document # EPA-740-R1-8012, December, 352 p.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>8</sup>Reported.

## BARITE

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Numerous domestic barite mining and processing facilities were idled in 2020, and only one company in Nevada mined barite. Production data were withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. An estimated 1.3 million tons of barite (from domestic production and imports) was sold by crushers and grinders operating in seven States. Typically, more than 90% of the barite sold in the United States is used as a weighting agent in fluids used in the drilling of oil and natural gas wells. The majority of Nevada crude barite was ground in Nevada and then sold to companies drilling in the Central and Western United States. Because of the higher cost of rail and truck transportation compared to ocean freight, offshore drilling operations in the Gulf of Mexico and onshore drilling operations in other regions primarily used imported barite.

Barite also is used as a filler, extender, or weighting agent in products such as paints, plastics, and rubber. Some specific applications include use in automobile brake and clutch pads, automobile paint primer for metal protection and gloss, use as a weighting agent in rubber, and in the cement jacket around underwater petroleum pipelines. In the metal-casting industry, barite is part of the mold-release compounds. Because barite significantly blocks x-ray and gamma-ray emissions, it is used as aggregate in high-density concrete for radiation shielding around x-ray units in hospitals, nuclear powerplants, and university nuclear research facilities. Ultrapure barite is used as a contrast medium in x-ray and computed tomography examinations of the gastrointestinal tract.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Sold or used, mine	232	334	366	414	W
Ground and crushed <sup>1</sup>	1,420	2,030	2,420	2,350	1,300
Imports for consumption <sup>2</sup>	1,260	2,470	2,460	2,550	1,500
Exports <sup>3</sup>	78	116	67	38	38
Consumption, apparent (crude and ground) <sup>4</sup>	1,410	2,680	2,760	2,930	W
Price, average value, ground, ex-works, dollars per ton <sup>e</sup>	187	179	176	179	180
Employment, mine and mill, number	400	450	520	510	350
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	84	88	87	86	>75

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** China, 47%; India, 20%; Morocco, 14%; Mexico, 12%; and other, 7%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Ground barite	2511.10.1000	Free.
	Crude barite	2511.10.5000	\$1.25 per metric ton.
	Barium compounds:		
	Barium oxide, hydroxide, and peroxide	2816.40.2000	2% ad val.
	Barium chloride	2827.39.4500	4.2% ad val.
	Barium sulfate, precipitated	2833.27.0000	0.6% ad val.
	Barium carbonate, precipitated	2836.60.0000	2.3% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## BARITE

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Domestic consumption of barite as measured by sales of ground barite decreased by an estimated 45% in 2020. World mine production was estimated to have decreased by about 15%. Decreased demand for barite mirrored global trends in oil consumption, which was adversely affected by travel restrictions owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic and reduced demand for transport fuels.

Because of barite's role in drilling for oil and gas, drilling rig counts have historically been a good barometer of barite consumption. In 2020, the total international monthly average rig count excluding the United States peaked in February at 1,334, but began to decline in March, and by the end of October had decreased by 45%. Decreases in drilling activity were greatest in the United States, where the number of active rigs had already begun to decline in 2019. Between October 2019 and October 2020, the monthly average of active rigs decreased by 67%. The largest decrease in rig activity was in the Permian Basin, where drilling activity has been concentrated in recent years. Drilling operations there are primarily supplied by imported barite ground in Texas. Sales of barite from plants in Texas were estimated to have decreased by more than 50%. Only one company mined barite in Nevada in 2020, and domestic production was estimated to have been at its lowest level since the 1930s.

The United States is typically the world's leading barite consumer, and a key trading partner for most of the world's leading barite-producing countries. Decreased U.S. imports coupled with reduced drilling activity in almost all regions likely contributed to decreased mine production in most barite-producing countries. The most notable exception was India, where decreased exports to the United States were estimated to have been offset by increased exports to the Middle East.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** In response to concerns about dwindling global reserves of 4.2-specific-gravity barite used by the oil and gas drilling industry, the American Petroleum Institute issued an alternate specification for 4.1-specific-gravity weighting agents in 2010. This has likely stimulated exploration and expansion of global barite resources. Estimated reserves data are included only if developed since the adoption of the 4.1-specific-gravity standard. Reserves data for Iran and Pakistan were revised based on company and Government information.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	414	W	NA
China	2,800	2,500	36,000
India	2,000	2,000	51,000
Iran	202	200	100,000
Kazakhstan	597	600	85,000
Laos	440	330	NA
Mexico	384	280	NA
Morocco	1,100	800	NA
Pakistan	110	110	40,000
Russia	160	160	12,000
Turkey	250	130	35,000
Other countries	418	340	30,000
World total (rounded)	8,870	77,500	390,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> In the United States, identified resources of barite are estimated to be 150 million tons, and undiscovered resources contribute an additional 150 million tons. The world's barite resources in all categories are about 2 billion tons, but only about 740 million tons are identified resources. However, no known quantitative assessment of either U.S. or global barite resources has been conducted since the 1980s.

**Substitutes:** In the drilling mud market, alternatives to barite include celestite, ilmenite, iron ore, and synthetic hematite that is manufactured in Germany. None of these substitutes, however, has had a major impact on the barite drilling mud industry.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Imported and domestic barite, crushed and ground, sold or used by domestic grinding establishments.

<sup>2</sup>Includes data for the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States codes: 2511.10.1000, 2511.10.5000, and 2833.27.0000.

<sup>3</sup>Includes data for the following Schedule B codes: 2511.10.1000 and 2833.27.0000.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as sold or used by domestic mines + imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## BAUXITE AND ALUMINA<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric dry tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, the reported quantity of bauxite consumed was estimated to be 4 million tons, slightly more than that reported in 2019, with an estimated value of about \$110 million. About 79% of the bauxite was refined by the Bayer process for alumina or aluminum hydroxide, and the remainder went to products such as abrasives, cement, chemicals, proppants, and refractories, and as a slag adjuster in steel mills. Two domestic Bayer-process refineries with a combined alumina production capacity of 1.7 million tons per year produced an estimated 1.3 million tons in 2020, 8% less than that in 2019. About 56% of the alumina produced went to primary aluminum smelters, and the remainder went to nonmetallurgical products, such as abrasives, ceramics, chemicals, and refractories.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
<b>Bauxite:</b>					
Production, mine	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption <sup>2</sup>	6,050	4,360	3,980	4,310	3,900
Exports <sup>2</sup>	40	29	17	15	17
Stocks, industry, yearend <sup>2</sup>	880	880	600	300	250
<b>Consumption:</b>					
Apparent <sup>3</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Reported	6,630	4,330	4,460	3,920	4,000
Price, average value, U.S. imports, free alongside ship (f.a.s.), dollars per ton	28	31	31	32	27
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	>75	>75	>75	>75	>75
<b>Alumina:</b>					
Production, refinery <sup>5</sup>	2,360	1,430	1,570	1,410	1,300
Imports for consumption <sup>5</sup>	1,140	1,330	1,530	1,930	1,300
Exports <sup>5</sup>	1,330	481	288	200	130
Stocks, industry, yearend <sup>5</sup>	320	264	275	275	200
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	2,130	2,340	2,800	3,140	2,550
Price, average value, U.S. imports (f.a.s.), dollars per ton	362	486	592	472	370
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	38	44	55	49

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Bauxite:<sup>2</sup> Jamaica, 37%; Guyana, 21%; Australia, 16%; Brazil, 11%; and other, 15%. Alumina:<sup>5</sup> Brazil, 47%; Australia, 26%; Jamaica, 12%; Canada, 5%; and other, 10%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Bauxite, calcined (refractory grade)	2606.00.0030	Free.
	Bauxite, calcined (other)	2606.00.0060	Free.
	Bauxite, crude dry (metallurgical grade)	2606.00.0090	Free.
	Aluminum oxide (alumina)	2818.20.0000	Free.
	Aluminum hydroxide	2818.30.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, two domestic alumina refineries produced alumina from imported bauxite. A 1.2-million-ton-per-year alumina refinery in Gramercy, LA, produced alumina for aluminum smelting and specialty-grade alumina. A 500,000-ton-per-year alumina refinery in Burnside, LA, produced specialty-grade alumina until it temporarily shut down in August, citing the COVID-19 pandemic for decreased demand. The average prices free alongside ship (f.a.s.) for U.S. imports for consumption of crude-dry bauxite and metallurgical-grade alumina during the first 8 months of 2020 were \$27 per ton, 16% less than that in the same period in 2019, and \$370 per ton, 26% less than that in the same period of 2019, respectively.

## BAUXITE AND ALUMINA

The COVID-19 pandemic was cited for decreased alumina production in China during January and February. Shortages of bauxite and caustic soda were reported at several inland alumina refineries as shipments from ports were delayed because of limited rail and truck service, but deliveries to ports were not interrupted. Alumina prices in China and other parts of the world increased owing to supply shortages and concerns about future availability during the first quarter of the year. Coal deliveries to some refineries also slowed. Health officials in China imposed travel and work restrictions in parts of the country most affected by the COVID-19 virus to contain it, and in other regions, higher rates of absenteeism were attributed to concerns about the virus. In March, many of the travel restrictions were lifted and alumina production restarted. Despite the temporary shutdowns, production in China increased.

In February, a 1.7-million-ton-per-year bauxite mine in Guyana shut down, citing civil unrest that interfered with mining operations and damaged mine property. The mine was a major supplier of refractory-grade bauxite. Bauxite and alumina production increased in Brazil compared with that in 2019 after the restart of capacity that was shut down for most of 2019. In August, a 9.9-million-ton-per-year bauxite mine in Brazil temporarily shut down production, citing damage to the pipeline used to transport bauxite to an alumina refinery. Routine inspection of the pipeline discovered that it had deteriorated more than expected and it was shut down immediately for repair. The repair work was completed and the mine restarted production in October. Production from the 6.3-million-ton-per-year alumina refinery was decreased to 35% to 40% of its capacity, a rate of 2.2 to 2.5 million tons per year, until the pipeline was repaired.

**World Alumina Refinery and Bauxite Mine Production and Bauxite Reserves:** Reserves for Australia, Brazil, Malaysia, and Saudi Arabia were revised based on information from Government and other sources.

	Mine production				Bauxite reserves <sup>6</sup>
	Alumina <sup>5</sup>		Bauxite		
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	1,410	1,300	W	W	20,000
Australia	20,200	21,000	105,000	110,000	<sup>7</sup> 5,100,000
Brazil	8,700	9,600	34,000	35,000	2,700,000
Canada	1,520	1,500	—	—	—
China	72,500	74,000	70,000	60,000	1,000,000
Guinea	368	460	67,000	82,000	7,400,000
India	6,690	6,700	23,000	22,000	660,000
Indonesia	1,000	1,000	17,000	23,000	1,200,000
Jamaica	2,170	1,700	9,020	7,700	2,000,000
Kazakhstan	1,500	1,500	5,800	5,800	160,000
Malaysia	—	—	900	500	170,000
Russia	2,760	2,800	5,570	6,100	500,000
Saudi Arabia	1,840	1,800	4,050	4,000	190,000
Vietnam	1,370	1,400	4,000	4,000	3,700,000
Other countries	10,900	12,000	12,000	11,000	4,900,000
World total (rounded)	133,000	136,000	<sup>8</sup> 358,000	<sup>8</sup> 371,000	30,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Bauxite resources are estimated to be 55 billion to 75 billion tons, in Africa (32%), Oceania (23%), South America and the Caribbean (21%), Asia (18%), and elsewhere (6%). Domestic resources of bauxite are inadequate to meet long-term U.S. demand, but the United States and most other major aluminum-producing countries have essentially inexhaustible subeconomic resources of aluminum in materials other than bauxite.

**Substitutes:** Bauxite is the only raw material used in the production of alumina on a commercial scale in the United States. Although currently not economically competitive with bauxite, vast resources of clay are technically feasible sources of alumina. Other raw materials, such as alunite, anorthosite, coal wastes, and oil shales, offer additional potential alumina sources. Synthetic mullite, produced from kaolin, bauxitic kaolin, kyanite, and sillimanite, substitutes for bauxite-based refractories. Silicon carbide and alumina-zirconia can substitute for abrasives but cost more.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>See also Aluminum. As a general rule, 4 tons of dried bauxite is required to produce 2 tons of alumina, which, in turn, produces 1 ton of aluminum.

<sup>2</sup>Includes all forms of bauxite, expressed as dry equivalent weights.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>Calcined equivalent weights.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 2.2 billion tons.

<sup>8</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## BERYLLIUM

(Data in metric tons of beryllium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** One company in Utah mined bertrandite ore and converted it, along with imported beryl, into beryllium hydroxide. Some of the beryllium hydroxide was shipped to the company's plant in Ohio, where it was converted into metal, oxide, and downstream beryllium-copper master alloy, and some was sold. Based on the estimated unit value for beryllium in imported beryllium-copper master alloy, beryllium apparent consumption of 170 tons was valued at about \$110 million. Based on sales revenues, approximately 24% of beryllium products were used in aerospace and defense applications; 23% in industrial components; 12% each in automotive electronics and telecommunications infrastructure; 11% in consumer electronics; 9% in energy applications; 1% in semiconductor applications; and 8% in other applications. Beryllium alloy strip and bulk products, the most common forms of processed beryllium, were used in all application areas. Most unalloyed beryllium metal and beryllium composite products were used in defense and scientific applications.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
Production, mine shipments	155	150	165	160	150
Imports for consumption <sup>1</sup>	68	60	67	49	45
Exports <sup>2</sup>	34	38	30	37	30
Shipments from Government stockpile <sup>3</sup>	3	2	—	—	3
Consumption:					
Apparent <sup>4</sup>	182	179	202	167	170
Reported, ore	160	160	170	160	150
Price, annual average value, beryllium-copper master alloy, <sup>5</sup> dollars per kilogram of contained beryllium	510	640	590	620	620
Stocks, ore, consumer, yearend	35	30	30	35	35
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	15	16	18	4	11

**Recycling:** Beryllium was recovered from new scrap generated during the manufacture of beryllium products and from old scrap. Detailed data on the quantities of beryllium recycled are not available but may account for as much as 20% to 25% of total beryllium consumption. The leading U.S. beryllium producer established a comprehensive recycling program for all of its beryllium products, recovering approximately 40% of the beryllium content of the new and old beryllium alloy scrap.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>1</sup> Kazakhstan, 43%; Japan, 16%; Brazil, 12%; Latvia, 7%; and other, 22%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Beryllium ores and concentrates	2617.90.0030	Free.
	Beryllium oxide and hydroxide	2825.90.1000	3.7% ad val.
	Beryllium-copper master alloy	7405.00.6030	Free.
	Beryllium-copper plates, sheets, and strip:		
	Thickness of 5 millimeters (mm) or more	7409.90.1030	3.0% ad val.
	Thickness of less than 5 mm:		
	Width of 500 mm or more	7409.90.5030	1.7% ad val.
	Width of less than 500 mm	7409.90.9030	3.0% ad val.
	Beryllium:		
	Unwrought, including powders	8112.12.0000	8.5% ad val.
	Waste and scrap	8112.13.0000	Free.
	Other	8112.19.0000	5.5% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:**<sup>7</sup> The Defense Logistics Agency Strategic Materials had a goal of retaining 47 tons of beryllium metal in the National Defense Stockpile.

		FY 2020		FY 2021	
<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Beryl ore (gross weight)	1	—	—	—	—
Metal (all types)	64	—	7	—	7
Structured powder	7	—	—	—	—

Prepared by **Brian W. Jaskula** [(703) 648–4908, [bjaskula@usgs.gov](mailto:bjaskula@usgs.gov)]



## BERYLLIUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Domestic beryllium consumption in 2020 was estimated to be about 6% lower than that of 2019. Imports of beryl concentrate have decreased substantially since 2015. During the first 6 months of 2020, the leading U.S. beryllium producer reported that net sales of its beryllium alloy strip and bulk products and beryllium metal and composite products were 24% lower than those during the first 6 months of 2019. Value-added sales of beryllium products decreased primarily in the aerospace and defense, consumer electronics, energy, and telecommunications markets. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic was reported to have been a substantial factor in the reduction of customer demand.

Because of the toxic nature of beryllium, various international, national, and State guidelines and regulations have been established regarding beryllium in air, water, and other media. Industry is required to carefully control the quantity of beryllium dust, fumes, and mists in the workplace.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production <sup>8, 9</sup>		Reserves <sup>10</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	160	150	The United States has very little beryl that can be economically hand sorted from pegmatite deposits. The Spor Mountain area in Utah, an epithermal deposit, contains a large bertrandite resource, which is being mined. Proven and probable bertrandite reserves in Utah total about 20,000 tons of contained beryllium. World beryllium reserves are not available.
Brazil	<sup>e3</sup>	3	
China	70	70	
Madagascar	<sup>e1</sup>	1	
Mozambique	<sup>e15</sup>	15	
Nigeria	<sup>e1</sup>	1	
Rwanda	<sup>e1</sup>	1	
Uganda	—	1	
World total (rounded)	250	240	

**World Resources:**<sup>10</sup> The world's identified resources of beryllium have been estimated to be more than 100,000 tons. About 60% of these resources are in the United States; by tonnage, the Spor Mountain area in Utah, the McCullough Butte area in Nevada, the Black Hills area in South Dakota, the Sierra Blanca area in Texas, the Seward Peninsula in Alaska, and the Gold Hill area in Utah account for most of the total.

**Substitutes:** Because the cost of beryllium is high compared with that of other materials, it is used in applications in which its properties are crucial. In some applications, certain metal matrix or organic composites, high-strength grades of aluminum, pyrolytic graphite, silicon carbide, steel, or titanium may be substituted for beryllium metal or beryllium composites. Copper alloys containing nickel and silicon, tin, titanium, or other alloying elements or phosphor bronze alloys (copper-tin-phosphorus) may be substituted for beryllium-copper alloys, but these substitutions can result in substantially reduced performance. Aluminum nitride or boron nitride may be substituted for beryllium oxide.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Includes estimated beryllium content of imported ores and concentrates, oxide and hydroxide, unwrought metal (including powders), beryllium articles, waste and scrap, beryllium-copper master alloy, and beryllium-copper plates, sheets, and strip.

<sup>2</sup>Includes estimated beryllium content of exported unwrought metal (including powders), beryllium articles, and waste and scrap.

<sup>3</sup>Change in total inventory level from prior yearend inventory.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>Calculated from gross weight and customs value of imports; beryllium content estimated to be 4%. Rounded to two significant figures.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>8</sup>In addition to the countries listed, Kazakhstan and Portugal may have produced beryl ore, but available information was inadequate to make reliable estimates of output. Other nations that produced gemstone beryl ore may also have produced some industrial beryl ore.

<sup>9</sup>Based on a beryllium content of 4% from bertrandite and beryl sources.

<sup>10</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## BISMUTH

(Data in metric tons gross weight unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The United States ceased production of primary refined bismuth in 1997 and is highly import dependent for its supply. Bismuth is contained in some lead ores mined domestically. However, the last domestic primary lead smelter closed at yearend 2013; since then all lead concentrates have been exported for smelting.

About three-fifths of domestic bismuth consumption was for chemicals used in cosmetic, industrial, laboratory, and pharmaceutical applications. Bismuth use in pharmaceuticals included bismuth salicylate (the active ingredient in over-the-counter stomach remedies) and other compounds used to treat burns, intestinal disorders, and stomach ulcers. Bismuth is also used in the manufacture of ceramic glazes, crystalware, and pearlescent pigments.

Bismuth has a wide variety of metallurgical applications, including use as an additive to enhance metallurgical quality in the foundry industry and as a nontoxic replacement for lead in brass, free-machining steels, and solders. The use of bismuth in brass for pipe fittings, fixtures, and water meters increased after 2014 when the definition of “lead-free” under the Safe Drinking Water Act was modified to reduce the maximum lead content of “lead-free” pipes and plumbing fixtures to 0.25% from 8%. The melting point of bismuth is relatively low at 271 degrees Celsius, and it is an important component of various fusible alloys, some of which have melting points below that of boiling water. These bismuth-containing alloys can be used in holding devices for grinding optical lenses, as a temporary filler to prevent damage to tubes in bending operations, as a triggering mechanism for fire sprinklers, and in other applications in which a low melting point is ideal. Bismuth-tellurium-oxide alloy film paste is used in the manufacture of semiconductor devices.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Refinery	—	—	—	—	—
Secondary (scrap) <sup>e</sup>	80	80	80	80	80
Imports for consumption, metal, alloys, and scrap	2,190	2,820	2,510	2,300	2,000
Exports, metal, alloys, and scrap	431	392	653	636	670
Consumption:					
Apparent <sup>1</sup>	1,780	2,530	2,080	1,650	1,400
Reported	651	694	570	548	500
Price, average, <sup>2</sup> dollars per pound	4.53	4.93	4.64	3.19	2.70
Stocks, yearend, consumer	513	489	346	443	500
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	96	97	96	95	94

**Recycling:** Bismuth-containing alloy scrap was recycled and thought to compose less than 5% of U.S. bismuth apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** China, 69%; the Republic of Korea, 10%; Mexico, 8%; Belgium, 5%; and other, 8%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Bismuth and articles thereof, including waste and scrap	8106.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## BISMUTH

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Bismuth prices continued a significant downward trend that began in 2014, when the annual average domestic dealer price was \$11.14 per pound. Bismuth was one of the metals held in significant quantities by the defunct Fanya Nonferrous Metals Exchange in China, which closed in 2015. In December 2019, 19,200 tons of Fanya Metal Exchange bismuth stocks were sold, which was likely the cause for the price slump at the end of that year. In 2020, it was announced that the Fanya bismuth stocks would be used internally for manufacturing, which relieved downward pricing pressures seen through the first half of 2020, resulting in a slight increase in the third quarter.

The global COVID-19 pandemic affected the global economy and trade during the first half of 2020; in particular, China during the first quarter and the United States in the second quarter. Economies in both countries have showed some rebound in subsequent quarters. Trade data through August 2020 were mixed when compared with the same period in 2019—while bismuth exports increased, imports for consumption decreased.

**World Refinery Production and Reserves:** Available information was inadequate to make reliable estimates for mine production and reserves data.

	Refinery production		Reserves <sup>4</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	Quantitative estimates of reserves are not available.
Bolivia	15	10	
Bulgaria	50	40	
Canada	25	20	
China	16,000	14,000	
Japan	540	480	
Kazakhstan	270	240	
Korea, Republic of	930	830	
Laos	3,000	1,000	
Mexico	300	270	
World total (rounded)	21,100	17,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>4</sup> World reserves of bismuth are usually estimated based on the bismuth content of lead resources because bismuth production is most often a byproduct of processing lead ores. In China and Vietnam, bismuth production is a byproduct or coproduct of tungsten and other metal ore processing. Bismuth minerals rarely occur in sufficient quantities to be mined as principal products; the Tasna Mine in Bolivia and a mine in China are the only mines where bismuth has been the primary product. The Tasna Mine has been inactive since 1996.

**Substitutes:** Bismuth compounds can be replaced in pharmaceutical applications by alumina, antibiotics, calcium carbonate, and magnesia. Titanium dioxide-coated mica flakes and fish-scale extracts are substitutes in pigment uses. Cadmium, indium, lead, and tin can partially replace bismuth in low-temperature solders. Resins can replace bismuth alloys for holding metal shapes during machining, and glycerine-filled glass bulbs can replace bismuth alloys in triggering devices for fire sprinklers. Free-machining alloys can contain lead, selenium, or tellurium as a replacement for bismuth. Bismuth is an environmentally friendly substitute for lead in plumbing and many other applications, including fishing weights, hunting ammunition, lubricating greases, and soldering alloys.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as secondary production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>2</sup>Price in 2015 is based on New York dealer price for 99.99%-purity metal in minimum lots of 1 ton; source: Platts Metals Week. Prices in 2016–19 are based on 99.99%-purity metal at warehouse (Rotterdam) in minimum lots of 1 ton; source: American Metal Market (Fastmarkets AMM).

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## BORON

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Two companies in southern California produced borates in 2020, and most of the boron products consumed in the United States were manufactured domestically. Estimated boron production decreased in 2020 compared with 2019 production levels. U.S. boron production and consumption data were withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. The leading boron producer mined borate ores, which contain the minerals kernite, tincal, and ulexite, by open pit methods and operated associated compound plants. Kernite was used to produce boric acid, tincal was used to produce sodium borate, and ulexite was used as a primary ingredient in the manufacture of a variety of specialty glasses and ceramics. A second company produced borates from brines extracted through solution-mining techniques. Boron minerals and chemicals were principally consumed in the north-central and eastern United States. In 2020, the glass and ceramics industries remained the leading domestic users of boron products, accounting for an estimated 80% of total borates consumption. Boron also was used as a component in abrasives, cleaning products, insecticides, and insulation and in the production of semiconductors.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption:					
Refined borax	173	158	133	161	180
Boric acid	46	40	51	41	50
Colemanite (calcium borates)	35	58	73	42	30
Ulexite (sodium borates)	43	24	34	38	30
Exports:					
Boric acid	238	216	251	251	250
Refined borax	581	572	610	598	640
Consumption, apparent <sup>1</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Price, average value of imports,					
cost, insurance, and freight, dollars per ton	352	392	404	373	384
Employment, number	1,340	1,300	1,350	1,350	1330
Net import reliance <sup>2</sup> as a percentage of					
apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** All forms: Turkey, 84%; Bolivia, 8%; Chile, 3%; and other, 5%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
Natural borates:			
Sodium (ulexite)	2528.00.0005		Free.
Calcium (colemanite)	2528.00.0010		Free.
Boric acids	2810.00.0000		1.5% ad val.
Borates, refined borax:			
Anhydrous	2840.11.0000		0.3% ad val.
Non-anhydrous	2840.19.0000		0.1% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Borax, 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## BORON

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Elemental boron is a metalloid with limited commercial applications. Although the term “boron” is commonly referenced, it does not occur in nature in an elemental state. Boron combines with oxygen and other elements to form boric acid, or inorganic salts called borates. Boron compounds, chiefly borates, are commercially important; therefore, boron products are priced and sold based on their boric oxide ( $B_2O_3$ ) content, varying by ore and compound and by the absence or presence of calcium and sodium. The four borate minerals—colemanite, kernite, tincal, and ulexite—account for 90% of the borate minerals used by industry worldwide. Although borates were used in more than 300 applications, more than three-quarters of world consumption was used in ceramics, detergents, fertilizers, and glass.

China, India, the Netherlands, Malaysia, and Indonesia, in decreasing order of tonnage, are the countries that imported the largest quantities of refined borates from the United States in 2020. Because China has low-grade boron reserves and demand for boron is anticipated to rise in that country, imports to China from Chile, Russia, Turkey, and the United States were expected to remain steady during the next several years.

Continued investment in new borate refineries and the continued rise in demand were expected to fuel growth in world production for the next few years. Two Australia-based mine developers confirmed that production of high-quality boron products would be possible from their projects in California and Nevada. These companies have the potential to become substantial boron producers when they are fully developed. The project in California was expected to begin production in 2021, and the project in Nevada was expected to begin production in 2023.

### World Production and Reserves:

	Production—All forms		Reserves <sup>3</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	40,000
Argentina, crude ore	71	70	NA
Bolivia, ulexite	200	200	NA
Chile, ulexite	400	400	35,000
China, boric oxide equivalent	250	250	24,000
Germany, compounds	120	120	NA
Peru, crude borates	111	110	4,000
Russia, datolite ore	80	80	40,000
Turkey, refined borates	2,400	2,400	1,100,000
World total <sup>4</sup>	XX	XX	XX

**World Resources:**<sup>3</sup> Deposits of borates are associated with volcanic activity and arid climates, with the largest economically viable deposits in the Mojave Desert of the United States, the Alpid belt in southern Asia, and the Andean belt of South America. U.S. deposits consist primarily of tincal, kernite, and borates contained in brines, and to a lesser extent, ulexite and colemanite. About 70% of all deposits in Turkey are colemanite, primarily used in the production of heat-resistant glass. At current levels of consumption, world resources are adequate for the foreseeable future.

**Substitutes:** The substitution of other materials for boron is possible in detergents, enamels, insulation, and soaps. Sodium percarbonate can replace borates in detergents and requires lower temperatures to undergo hydrolysis, which is an environmental consideration. Some enamels can use other glass-producing substances, such as phosphates. Insulation substitutes include cellulose, foams, and mineral wools. In soaps, sodium and potassium salts of fatty acids can act as cleaning and emulsifying agents.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. XX Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>4</sup>World totals cannot be calculated because production and reserves are not reported in a consistent manner by all countries.

## BROMINE

(Data in metric tons of bromine content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Bromine was recovered from underground brines by two companies in Arkansas. Bromine is one of the leading mineral commodities, in terms of value, produced in Arkansas. The two bromine companies in the United States account for a large percentage of world production capacity.

The leading global applications of bromine are for the production of brominated flame retardants and intermediates and industrial uses. Bromine compounds are also used in a variety of other applications, including drilling fluids and industrial water treatment. U.S. apparent consumption of bromine in 2020 was estimated to be less than that in 2019.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption, elemental bromine and compounds <sup>1</sup>	58,400	52,700	56,200	56,300	43,000
Exports, elemental bromine and compounds <sup>2</sup>	28,300	32,600	21,900	29,300	35,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Price, average value of imports (cost, insurance, and freight), dollars per kilogram	2.19	2.30	2.21	2.31	2.40
Employment, number <sup>e</sup>	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25

**Recycling:** Some bromide solutions were recycled to obtain elemental bromine and to prevent the solutions from being disposed of as hazardous waste. For example, hydrogen bromide is emitted as a byproduct in many organic reactions. This byproduct waste can be recycled with virgin bromine brines and used as a source of bromine production. Bromine contained in plastics can be incinerated as solid organic waste, and the bromine can be recovered.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>5</sup> Israel, 80%; Jordan, 11%; China, 6%; and other, 3%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Bromine	2801.30.2000	5.5% ad val.
	Hydrobromic acid	2811.19.3000	Free.
	Potassium or sodium bromide	2827.51.0000	Free.
	Ammonium, calcium, or zinc bromide	2827.59.2500	Free.
	Potassium bromate	2829.90.0500	Free.
	Sodium bromate	2829.90.2500	Free.
	Ethylene dibromide	2903.31.0000	5.4% ad val.
	Methyl bromide	2903.39.1520	Free.
	Dibromoneopentyl glycol	2905.59.3000	Free.
	Tetrabromobisphenol A	2908.19.2500	5.5% ad val.
	Decabromodiphenyl and octabromodiphenyl oxide	2909.30.0700	5.5% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Brine wells, 5% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## BROMINE

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The United States maintained its position as one of the leading bromine producers in the world. China, Israel, and Jordan also are major producers of elemental bromine. In 2020, U.S. net imports of bromine and bromine compounds decreased compared with those in 2019. The average import value of bromine and bromine compounds increased by about 4% in 2020 compared with that in 2019. The leading source of imports of bromine and bromide compounds (gross weight) in 2020 was Israel. Together, the leading imported bromine products in terms of both gross weight and bromine content were bromides and bromide oxides of ammonium, calcium, or zinc and bromides of sodium or potassium (about 90%). Reported exports of methyl bromide were revised for 2017 through 2019 by the U.S. Census Bureau.

Global consumption of bromine and bromine compounds decreased in 2020. Owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic, the demand for flame retardants and clear brine fluids, leading applications for bromine and bromine compounds, decreased. This decreased demand was attributed to declining consumer spending in the automotive, electronic, and construction industries (which use brominated flame retardants in their products), as well declining demand for drilling fluids by the oil- and gas-well-drilling industries, which use clear brine fluids in oil- and gas-well drilling. Although the values of U.S.-imported bromine and bromine compounds increased in 2020 compared with those in 2019, domestic selling prices were reported to have decreased slightly.

Some bromine facilities in Shandong Province, China, restarted production in the first half of 2020 following completion of rectifications and improvements required to meet new environmental regulations initiated by the Government of China in late 2017. However, production volumes and selling prices remained low.

### World Production and Reserves:

	Production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	11,000,000
Azerbaijan	—	—	300,000
China	64,000	63,000	NA
India	10,000	10,000	NA
Israel	180,000	180,000	Large
Japan	20,000	20,000	NA
Jordan	150,000	150,000	Large
Ukraine	4,500	4,500	NA
World total (rounded)	<sup>7</sup> 429,000	<sup>7</sup> 430,000	Large

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Bromine is found principally in seawater, evaporitic (salt) lakes, and underground brines associated with petroleum deposits. The Dead Sea, in the Middle East, is estimated to contain 1 billion tons of bromine. Seawater contains about 65 parts per million bromine, or an estimated 100 trillion tons. Bromine is also recovered from seawater as a coproduct during evaporation to produce salt.

**Substitutes:** Chlorine and iodine may be substituted for bromine in a few chemical reactions and for sanitation purposes. There are no comparable substitutes for bromine in various oil- and gas-well completion and packer applications. Because plastics have a low ignition temperature, aluminum hydroxide, magnesium hydroxide, organic chlorine compounds, and phosphorus compounds can be substituted for bromine as fire retardants in some uses.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Includes data for the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States codes shown in the "Tariff" section.

<sup>2</sup>Includes data for the following Schedule B codes: 2801.30.2000, 2827.51.0000, 2827.59.0000, 2903.31.0000, and 2903.39.1520.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production (sold or used) + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Calculated using the gross weight of imports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## CADMIUM

(Data in metric tons of cadmium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Two companies in the United States produced refined cadmium in 2020. One company, operating in Tennessee, recovered primary refined cadmium as a byproduct of zinc leaching from roasted sulfide concentrates. The other company, operating in Ohio, recovered secondary cadmium metal from spent nickel-cadmium (NiCd) batteries. Domestic production and consumption of cadmium were withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. Cadmium metal and compounds are mainly consumed for alloys, coatings, NiCd batteries, pigments, and plastic stabilizers. For the past 5 years, the United States has been a net importer of unwrought cadmium metal and cadmium metal powders and a net exporter of wrought cadmium products and cadmium pigments.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, refined <sup>1</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption:					
Unwrought cadmium and powders	240	274	273	385	190
Wrought cadmium and other articles (gross weight)	( <sup>2</sup> )	2	1	20	3
Cadmium waste and scrap (gross weight)	52	20	20	86	70
Exports:					
Unwrought cadmium and powders	157	223	41	32	6
Wrought cadmium and other articles (gross weight)	371	205	99	84	440
Cadmium waste and scrap (gross weight)	12	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	6	( <sup>2</sup> )
Consumption, reported, refined	W	W	W	W	W
Price, metal, annual average, <sup>3</sup> dollars per kilogram	1.34	1.75	2.89	2.67	2.30
Stocks, yearend, producer and distributor	W	W	W	W	W
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	<25	<25	<50	<50	<50

**Recycling:** Secondary cadmium is mainly recovered from spent consumer and industrial NiCd batteries. Other waste and scrap from which cadmium can be recycled includes copper-cadmium alloy scrap, some complex nonferrous alloy scrap, cadmium-containing dust from electric arc furnaces, and cadmium telluride (CdTe) solar panels.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>5</sup> Australia, 23%; China, 19%; Canada, 17%; Germany, 13%; and other, 28%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Cadmium oxide	2825.90.7500	Free.
	Cadmium sulfide	2830.90.2000	3.1% ad val.
	Pigments and preparations based on cadmium compounds	3206.49.6010	3.1% ad val.
	Unwrought cadmium and powders	8107.20.0000	Free.
	Cadmium waste and scrap	8107.30.0000	Free.
	Wrought cadmium and other articles	8107.90.0000	4.4% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Most of the world's primary cadmium metal was produced in Asia, and leading global producers, in descending order of production, were China and the Republic of Korea, followed by Canada and Japan with approximately equal production. A smaller amount of secondary cadmium metal was recovered from recycling NiCd batteries. In India, a major primary cadmium plant was under construction that would have a capacity of 2,600 tons per year. Although detailed data on the global consumption of primary cadmium were not available, NiCd battery production was thought to have continued to account for most global cadmium consumption. Other end uses for cadmium and cadmium compounds included alloys, anticorrosive coatings, pigments, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) stabilizers, and semiconductors for solar cells and for radiation-detecting imaging equipment. A new use for cadmium being developed in 2020 was for extremely precise cadmium-based optical lattice clocks; these would operate at room temperature, unlike those currently in use that required cryogenic cooling.



## CADMIUM

The average monthly cadmium price began 2020 averaging \$2.63 per kilogram in January and trended downward to about \$2.08 per kilogram in August. The decrease could be attributed to decreasing demand in India, in large part owing to the COVID-19 pandemic affecting economic activity, including a lockdown in India that extended from March 25 to May 3. As a major consumer of cadmium, India was an important driver behind cadmium prices in the spot market.

In 2020, a major United States-based CdTe thin-film solar-cell producer reached its full production rate after completing a new facility in Ohio, increasing the company's U.S. CdTe solar-cell manufacturing capacity to 1.8 gigawatts per year. A second company entered the market in 2020 with a 100-megawatt-per-year facility, also in Ohio. Innovation continued in the NiCd battery industry. A new line of compact cadmium batteries designed to compete with conventional lead-acid batteries in remote locations was introduced for manufacture in the United States. The batteries were lighter than the lead-acid batteries, had a longer expected service life of more than 20 years, and would use the existing lead-acid battery charging system, allowing a direct replacement.

### **World Refinery Production and Reserves:**

	Refinery production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States <sup>1</sup>	W	W	Quantitative estimates of reserves are not available. The cadmium content of typical zinc ores averages about 0.03%. See the Zinc chapter for zinc reserves.
Canada	1,803	1,800	
China	8,200	8,200	
Japan	2,000	1,800	
Kazakhstan	1,500	1,500	
Korea, Republic of	4,400	3,000	
Mexico	1,395	1,300	
Netherlands	1,100	1,100	
Peru	772	700	
Russia	900	900	
Other countries	2,320	2,300	
World total (rounded) <sup>7</sup>	24,400	23,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Cadmium is generally recovered from zinc ores and concentrates. Sphalerite, the most economically significant zinc ore mineral, commonly contains minor amounts of cadmium, which shares certain similar chemical properties with zinc and often substitutes for zinc in the sphalerite crystal lattice. The cadmium mineral greenockite is frequently associated with weathered sphalerite and wurtzite.

**Substitutes:** Lithium-ion and nickel-metal hydride batteries can replace NiCd batteries in many applications. Except where the surface characteristics of a coating are critical (for example, fasteners for aircraft), coatings of zinc, zinc-nickel, aluminum, or tin can be substituted for cadmium in many plating applications. Cerium sulfide is used as a replacement for cadmium pigments, mostly in plastics. Barium-zinc or calcium-zinc stabilizers can replace barium-cadmium stabilizers in flexible PVC applications. Amorphous silicon and copper-indium-gallium-selenide photovoltaic cells compete with cadmium telluride in the thin-film solar-cell market. Research efforts continued to advance new thin-film technology based on perovskite material as a potential substitute.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Cadmium metal produced as a byproduct of zinc refining plus metal from recycling.

<sup>2</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>3</sup>Average free market price for 99.95% purity in 10-ton lots; cost, insurance, and freight; global ports. Source: Metal Bulletin.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports of unwrought metal and metal powders – exports of unwrought metal and metal powders + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>Includes data for the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States code: 8107.20.0000.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## CEMENT

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, U.S. portland cement production increased slightly to an estimated 87 million tons, and masonry cement production decreased slightly to 2.3 million tons. Cement was produced at 96 plants in 34 States, and at 2 plants in Puerto Rico. Texas, Missouri, California, and Florida were, in descending order of production, the four leading cement-producing States and accounted for nearly 45% of U.S. production. Overall, the U.S. cement industry's growth continued to be constrained by closed or idle plants, underutilized capacity at others, production disruptions from plant upgrades, and relatively inexpensive imports. In 2020, shipments of cement were essentially unchanged from those of 2019 and were valued at \$12.7 billion. In 2020, it was estimated that 70% to 75% of sales were to ready-mixed concrete producers, 10% to concrete product manufactures, 8% to 10% to contractors, and 5% to 12% to other customer types.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:<sup>1</sup>**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Portland and masonry cement <sup>2</sup>	84,695	86,356	86,368	<sup>e</sup> 88,000	89,000
Clinker	75,633	76,678	77,112	79,000	79,000
Shipments to final customers, includes exports	95,397	97,935	99,419	103,000	103,000
Imports for consumption:					
Hydraulic cement	11,742	12,288	13,764	14,690	15,000
Clinker	1,496	1,209	967	1,160	1,400
Exports of hydraulic cement and clinker	1,097	1,035	919	1,002	1,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	95,150	97,160	98,500	<sup>e</sup> 103,000	102,000
Price, average mill value, dollars per ton	111	117	121	<sup>e</sup> 123	124
Stocks, cement, yearend	7,420	7,870	8,580	<sup>e</sup> 7,140	7,800
Employment, mine and mill, number <sup>e</sup>	12,700	12,500	12,300	12,500	12,500
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	13	13	14	14	15

**Recycling:** Cement is not recycled, but significant quantities of concrete are recycled for use as a construction aggregate. Cement kilns can use waste fuels, recycled cement kiln dust, and recycled raw materials such as slags and fly ash. Various secondary materials can be incorporated as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) in blended cements and in the cement paste in concrete.

**Import Sources (2016–19):<sup>5</sup>** Canada, 33%; Turkey, 16%; Greece, 15%; China, 12%; and other, 24%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Cement clinker	2523.10.0000	Free.
	White portland cement	2523.21.0000	Free.
	Other portland cement	2523.29.0000	Free.
	Aluminous cement	2523.30.0000	Free.
	Other hydraulic cement	2523.90.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Not applicable. Certain raw materials for cement production have depletion allowances.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, production of cement was temporarily idled in many countries and localities in response to national lockdowns imposed to limit the spread of the global COVID-19 pandemic. The duration of the lockdowns and the return to full production following the restart of operations, varied by geographic region. Disruptions to construction activities corresponded with reduced cement demand, and some regions experienced increased fuel and freight costs. Additionally, several planned cement plant openings and expansions were delayed.

## CEMENT

Despite the economic disruptions owing to the COVID-19 pandemic, the value of total construction put in place in the United States increased by about 4% during the first 9 months of 2020 compared with that of the same period in 2019. Residential construction spending increased more than nonresidential construction spending. A cement plant in New York was idled in April because of decreased demand resulting from restrictions put in place to mitigate the spread of the virus. However, the U.S. cement industry has shown no prolonged or widespread negative effects from the pandemic. The leading cement-consuming States continued to be Texas, California, and Florida, in descending order by tonnage. Company merger-and-acquisition activity continued in 2020, with the completion of the sale of a cement company in Kentucky. In 2019, one European cement company entered into an agreement to purchase a Mexican cement company's plant in Pennsylvania and the transaction was still pending regulatory approval in 2020.

Cement plant upgrades were announced at cement plants in Alabama and Texas. Several minor upgrades were ongoing at some other domestic plants, and upgrades were also announced for a few cement terminals. However, one cement company delayed work on an upgrade to one of its plants in Indiana. Another company secured its final air permit for a new cement plant in Georgia. Numerous companies made announcements aligned with the industry's commitment to sustainability, such as new product lines, renewable energy plans, decarbonization research initiatives, and other innovations. Many plants have installed emissions-reduction equipment to comply with the 2010 National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP). It remains possible that some kilns could be shut, idled, or used in a reduced capacity to comply with NESHAP, which would constrain U.S. clinker capacity.

### World Production and Capacity:

	<b>Cement production<sup>e</sup></b>		<b>Clinker capacity<sup>e</sup></b>	
	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>
United States (includes Puerto Rico)	89,000	90,000	103,000	103,000
Brazil	54,000	57,000	60,000	60,000
China	2,300,000	2,200,000	1,970,000	1,970,000
Egypt	47,000	50,000	48,000	48,000
India	340,000	340,000	280,000	280,000
Indonesia	70,000	73,000	78,000	78,000
Iran	60,000	60,000	81,000	81,000
Japan	53,000	53,000	53,000	53,000
Korea, Republic of	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
Russia	56,000	56,000	80,000	80,000
Turkey	57,000	66,000	92,000	92,000
Vietnam	97,000	96,000	90,000	90,000
Other countries (rounded)	<u>880,000</u>	<u>890,000</u>	<u>720,000</u>	<u>720,000</u>
World total (rounded)	4,100,000	4,100,000	3,700,000	3,700,000

**World Resources:** Although reserves at individual plants are subject to exhaustion, limestone and other cement raw materials are geologically widespread and abundant, and overall shortages are unlikely in the future.

**Substitutes:** Most portland cement is used to make concrete, mortars, or stuccos, and competes in the construction sector with concrete substitutes, such as aluminum, asphalt, clay brick, fiberglass, glass, gypsum (plaster), steel, stone, and wood. Certain materials, especially fly ash and ground granulated blast furnace slag, develop good hydraulic cementitious properties by reacting with lime, such as that released by the hydration of portland cement. Where readily available (including as imports), these SCMs are increasingly being used as partial substitutes for portland cement in many concrete applications and are components of finished blended cements.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Portland plus masonry cement unless otherwise noted; excludes Puerto Rico unless otherwise noted.

<sup>2</sup>Includes cement made from imported clinker.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production of cement (including from imported clinker) + imports (excluding clinker) – exports + adjustments for stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports (cement and clinker) – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Hydraulic cement and clinker; includes imports into Puerto Rico.

## CESIUM

(Data in metric tons of cesium oxide unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, no cesium was mined domestically, and the United States was 100% import reliant for cesium minerals. Pollucite, mainly found in association with lithium-rich, lepidolite-bearing or petalite-bearing zoned granite pegmatites, is the principal cesium ore mineral. Cesium minerals are used as feedstocks to produce a variety of cesium compounds and cesium metal. The primary application for cesium, by gross weight, is in cesium formate brines used for high-pressure, high-temperature well drilling for oil and gas production and exploration. With the exception of cesium formate, cesium is used in relatively small-scale applications, using only a few grams for most applications. Owing to the lack of global availability of cesium, many applications have used mineral substitutes and the use in any particular application may no longer be viable.

Cesium metal is used in the production of cesium compounds and potentially in photoelectric cells. Cesium bromide is used in infrared detectors, optics, photoelectric cells, scintillation counters, and spectrophotometers. Cesium carbonate is used in the alkylation of organic compounds and in energy conversion devices, such as fuel cells, magneto-hydrodynamic generators, and polymer solar cells. Cesium chloride is used in analytical chemistry applications as a reagent, in high-temperature solders, as an intermediate in cesium metal production, in isopycnic centrifugation, as a radioisotope in nuclear medicine, as an insect repellent in agricultural applications, and in specialty glasses. Cesium hydroxide is used as an electrolyte in alkaline storage batteries. Cesium iodide is used in fluoroscopy equipment—Fourier-transform infrared spectrometers—as the input phosphor of x-ray image intensifier tubes, and in scintillators. Cesium nitrate is used as a colorant and oxidizer in the pyrotechnic industry, in petroleum cracking, in scintillation counters, and in x-ray phosphors. Cesium sulfates are soluble in water and are thought to be used primarily in water treatment, fuel cells, and to improve optical quality for scientific instruments.

Cesium isotopes, which are obtained as a byproduct in nuclear fission or formed from other isotopes, such as barium-131, are used in electronic, medical, metallurgical, and research applications. Cesium isotopes are used as an atomic resonance frequency standard in atomic clocks, playing a vital role in aircraft guidance systems, global positioning satellites, and internet and cellular telephone transmissions. Cesium clocks monitor the cycles of microwave radiation emitted by cesium's electrons and use these cycles as a time reference. Owing to the high accuracy of the cesium atomic clock, the international definition of 1 second is based on the cesium atom. The U.S. civilian time and frequency standard is based on a cesium fountain clock at the National Institute of Standards and Technology in Boulder, CO. The U.S. military frequency standard, the United States Naval Observatory timescale, is based on 48 weighted atomic clocks, including 25 cesium fountain clocks.

A company in Richland, WA, produced a range of cesium-131 medical products for treatment of various cancers. Cesium-137 may be used in industrial gauges, in mining and geophysical instruments, and for sterilization of food, sewage, and surgical equipment. Because of the danger posed by the radiological properties of cesium-137, efforts to find substitutes in its applications continued.

**Salient Statistics—United States:** Consumption, import, and export data for cesium have not been available since the late 1980s. Because cesium metal is not traded in commercial quantities, a market price is unavailable. Only a few thousand kilograms of cesium chemicals are thought to be consumed in the United States every year. The United States was 100% import reliant for its cesium needs.

In 2020, one company offered 1-gram ampoules of 99.8% (metal basis) cesium for \$65.20, a 3.5% increase from \$63.00 in 2019, and 99.98% (metal basis) cesium for \$84.70, a 4.4% increase from \$81.10 in 2019.

In 2020, the prices for 50 grams of 99.9% (metal basis) cesium acetate, cesium bromide, cesium carbonate, cesium chloride, and cesium iodide were \$120.00, \$72.90, \$104.40, \$107.20, and \$121.20, respectively, with increases ranging from 1.4% to 3.6% from prices in 2019. The price for a cesium-plasma standard solution (10,000 micrograms per milliliter) was \$77.80 for 50 milliliters and \$119.00 for 100 milliliters, and the price for 25 grams of cesium formate, 98% (metal basis), was \$41.40.

**Recycling:** Cesium formate brines are typically rented by oil and gas exploration clients. After completion of the well, the used cesium formate brine is returned and reprocessed for subsequent drilling operations. Cesium formate brines are recycled, recovering nearly 85% of the brines for recycling to be reprocessed for further use.

## CESIUM

**Import Sources (2016–19):** No reliable data have been available to determine the source of cesium ore imported by the United States since 1988. Prior to 2016, Canada was thought to be the primary supplier of cesium ore.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Alkali metals, other	2805.19.9000	5.5% ad val.
	Chlorides, other	2827.39.9000	3.7% ad val.
	Bromides, other	2827.59.5100	3.6% ad val.
	Iodides, other	2827.60.5100	4.2% ad val.
	Sulfates, other	2833.29.5100	3.7% ad val.
	Nitrates, other	2834.29.5100	3.5% ad val.
	Carbonates, other	2836.99.5000	3.7% ad val.
	Cesium-137, other	2844.40.0021	Free

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Domestic cesium occurrences will likely remain uneconomic unless market conditions change. No known human health issues are associated with naturally occurring cesium, and its use has minimal environmental impact. Manufactured radioactive isotopes of cesium have been known to cause adverse health effects. Certain cesium compounds may be toxic if consumed. Food that has been irradiated using the radioisotope cesium-137 has been found to be safe by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

During 2020, no primary cesium mine production was reported globally. Mine production of cesium from all countries, excluding China, ceased within the past two decades. Production in Namibia ceased in the early 2000s, followed by the Tanco Mine in Canada shutting down and later being sold after a mine collapse in 2015. The Bikita Mine in Zimbabwe was depleted of pollucite ore reserves in 2018, and the Sinclair Mine in Australia completed the mining and shipments of all economically recoverable pollucite ore in 2019.

A company completed an updated mineral resource estimate for the Karibib project in Namibia, reporting 8.9 million metric tons of measured and indicated resources containing 0.23% rubidium and 302 parts per million cesium. Located in the Karibib Pegmatite Belt, lithium would be the primary product, with cesium, potassium, and rubidium as potential byproducts.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>1</sup> There were no official sources for cesium production data in 2020. Cesium reserves are, therefore, estimated based on the occurrence of pollucite, a primary lithium-cesium-rubidium mineral. Most pollucite contains 5% to 32% cesium oxide. No reliable data are available to determine reserves for specific countries; however, Australia, Canada, China, Namibia, and Zimbabwe were thought to have reserves totaling less than 200,000 tons.

**World Resources:**<sup>1</sup> Cesium is associated with lithium-bearing pegmatites worldwide, and cesium resources have been identified in Australia, Canada, Namibia, the United States, and Zimbabwe. In the United States, pollucite occurs in pegmatites in Alaska, Maine, and South Dakota. Lower concentrations occur in brines in Chile and China and in geothermal systems in Germany, India, and Tibet. China was thought to have cesium-rich deposits of geyserite, lepidolite, and pollucite, with concentrations highest in Yichun, Jiangxi Province, although no resource, reserve, or production estimates were available.

**Substitutes:** Cesium and rubidium can be used interchangeably in many applications because they have similar physical properties and atomic radii. Cesium, however, is more electropositive than rubidium, making it a preferred material for some applications. However, rubidium is mined from similar deposits, in relatively smaller quantities, as a byproduct of cesium production in pegmatites and as a byproduct of lithium production from lepidolite (hard-rock) mining and processing, making it no more readily available than cesium.

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## CHROMIUM

(Data in thousand metric tons of chromium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, the United States was expected to consume 4% of world chromite ore production in various forms of imported materials, such as chromite ore, chromium chemicals, chromium ferroalloys, chromium metal, and stainless steel. Imported chromite ore was consumed by one chemical firm to produce chromium chemicals. Stainless-steel and heat-resisting-steel producers were the leading consumers of ferrochromium. Stainless steels and superalloys require the addition of chromium via ferrochromium or chromium-containing scrap. The value of chromium material consumption was expected to be about \$600 million in 2020, as measured by the value of net imports, excluding stainless steel, and was an increase from \$304 million in 2019.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Mine	—	—	—	—	—
Recycling <sup>1</sup>	156	156	143	142	130
Imports for consumption	548	634	651	530	490
Exports	253	255	211	157	110
Shipments from Government stockpile	5	8	4	4	4
Consumption (includes recycling):					
Reported	462	523	465	489	440
Apparent <sup>2</sup>	455	545	587	519	510
Price, average annual value of imports, dollars per ton:					
Chromite ore (gross weight)	198	259	279	248	180
Ferrochromium (chromium content) <sup>3</sup>	1,750	2,547	2,549	2,094	1,800
Chromium metal (gross weight)	9,939	9,675	11,344	10,393	7,900
Stocks, consumer, yearend	9	6	5	5	5
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	66	71	76	73	75

**Recycling:** In 2020, recycled chromium (contained in reported stainless steel scrap receipts) accounted for 25% of apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Chromite (mineral): South Africa, 99%; and Canada, 1%. Chromium-containing scrap:<sup>5</sup> Canada, 50%; Mexico, 42%; and other, 8%. Chromium (primary metal):<sup>6</sup> South Africa, 36%; Kazakhstan, 10%; Russia, 7%; and other, 47%. Total imports: South Africa, 39%; Kazakhstan, 8%; Mexico, 6%; Russia, 6%; and other, 41%.

<b>Tariff:<sup>7</sup></b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Chromium ores and concentrates:		
	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> not more than 40%	2610.00.0020	Free.
	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> more than 40% and less than 46%	2610.00.0040	Free.
	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> more than or equal to 46%	2610.00.0060	Free.
	Chromium oxides and hydroxides:		
	Chromium trioxide	2819.10.0000	3.7% ad val.
	Other	2819.90.0000	3.7% ad val.
	Sodium dichromate	2841.30.0000	2.4% ad val.
	Potassium dichromate	2841.50.1000	1.5% ad val.
	Other chromates and dichromates	2841.50.9100	3.1% ad val.
	Carbides of chromium	2849.90.2000	4.2% ad val.
	Ferrochromium:		
	Carbon more than 4%	7202.41.0000	1.9% ad val.
	Carbon more than 3%	7202.49.1000	1.9% ad val.
	Carbon more than 0.5%	7202.49.5010	3.1% ad val.
	Other	7202.49.5090	3.1% ad val.
	Ferrosilicon chromium	7202.50.0000	10% ad val.
	Chromium metal:		
	Unwrought, powder	8112.21.0000	3% ad val.
	Waste and scrap	8112.22.0000	Free.
	Other	8112.29.0000	3% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

Prepared by **Ruth F. Schulte** [(703) 648–4963, [rschulte@usgs.gov](mailto:rschulte@usgs.gov)]

## CHROMIUM

### Government Stockpile:<sup>8</sup>

Material <sup>9</sup>	Inventory as of 9–30–20	FY 2020		FY 2021	
		Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Ferrochromium:					
High-carbon	33.9	—	<sup>10</sup> 21.3	—	<sup>10</sup> 21.8
Low-carbon	26.8	—	—	—	—
Chromium metal	3.83	—	0.181	—	0.454

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Chromium is consumed in the form of ferrochromium to produce stainless steel. South Africa was the leading chromite ore producer. Increased labor costs, increased costs for electricity, an unreliable supply of electricity, temporary mine closures related to the COVID-19 pandemic, and challenges related to deep level mining could affect production in South Africa in 2020.

China was the leading chromium-consuming country. China was also the leading stainless-steel- and ferrochromium-producing country. South Africa was the second-leading country in ferrochromium production. Ferrochromium production is electrical-energy intensive, so constrained electrical power supply and rising costs for electricity in South Africa, as well as temporary closures related to the COVID-19 pandemic, could also affect ferrochromium production.

From September 2019 to September 2020, the monthly average high-carbon ferrochromium price increased by 12%. Prices of chromium metal decreased by 18% in September 2020 compared with the monthly average price in September 2019 and were below the prior low in February 2007.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production <sup>11</sup>		Reserves <sup>12</sup> (shipping grade) <sup>13</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	620
Finland	2,415	2,400	13,000
India	4,139	4,000	100,000
Kazakhstan	6,700	6,700	230,000
South Africa	16,395	16,000	200,000
Turkey	10,000	6,300	26,000
Other countries	5,110	4,800	NA
World total (rounded)	44,800	40,000	570,000

**World Resources:**<sup>12</sup> World resources are greater than 12 billion tons of shipping-grade chromite, sufficient to meet conceivable demand for centuries. World chromium resources are heavily geographically concentrated (95%) in Kazakhstan and southern Africa; United States chromium resources are mostly in the Stillwater Complex in Montana.

**Substitutes:** Chromium has no substitute in stainless steel, the leading end use, or in superalloys, the major strategic end use. Chromium-containing scrap can substitute for ferrochromium in some metallurgical uses.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Recycling production is based on reported receipts of all types of stainless-steel scrap.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production (from mines and recycling) + imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>Excludes ferrochromium silicon.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>Includes chromium metal scrap and stainless-steel scrap.

<sup>6</sup>Includes chromium metal, ferrochromium, and stainless steel.

<sup>7</sup>In addition to the tariff items listed, certain imported chromium materials (see 26 U.S.C. sec. 4661, 4662, and 4672) are subject to excise tax.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>9</sup>Units are thousand tons of material by gross weight.

<sup>10</sup>High-carbon and low-carbon ferrochromium, combined.

<sup>11</sup>Mine production units are thousand tons, gross weight, of marketable chromite ore.

<sup>12</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>13</sup>Reserves units are thousand tons of shipping-grade chromite ore, which is deposit quantity and grade normalized to 45% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, except for the United States where grade is normalized to 7% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Finland where grade is normalized to 26% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.

## CLAYS

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Production of clays (sold or used) in the United States was estimated to be 25 million tons valued at \$1.6 billion in 2020, with about 125 companies operating clay and shale mines in 39 States. The leading 20 firms produced approximately 64% of the U.S. tonnage and 84% of the value for all types of clay. Principal uses for specific clays were estimated to be as follows: ball clay—55% floor and wall tile and 18% sanitaryware; bentonite—49% pet waste absorbents and 23% drilling mud; common clay—43% brick, 30% lightweight aggregate, and 23% cement; fire clay—77% heavy clay and lightweight aggregates products (for example, brick, cement, and concrete) and 23% refractory products and miscellaneous uses; fuller's earth—81% absorbents (includes oil and grease absorbents, pet waste absorbents and miscellaneous absorbents); and kaolin—49% paper coating and filling, 10% refractory products, and 8% paint.

Exports of clay and shale were estimated have decreased by 15% in 2020 after decreasing slightly in 2019. In 2020, the United States exported an estimated 760,000 tons of bentonite mainly for pet waste absorbent, drilling mud, foundry sand bond, and iron ore pelletizing applications, with Canada, Japan, and China being the leading destinations. About 1.9 million tons of kaolin were exported mainly as a paper coating and filler; a component in ceramic bodies; and fillers and extenders in paint, plastic, and rubber products, with China, Mexico, and Japan being the leading destinations. Lesser quantities of ball clay, fire clay, and fuller's earth were exported for ceramic, refractory, and absorbent uses, respectively.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production (sold or used):					
Ball clay	1,270	1,270	1,110	1,060	990
Bentonite	4,000	4,430	4,560	4,490	4,300
Common clay	13,000	13,300	12,600	12,600	12,000
Fire clay	534	575	567	603	570
Fuller's earth <sup>1</sup>	1,860	1,840	1,880	1,920	2,000
Kaolin	<u>5,200</u>	<u>5,450</u>	<u>5,350</u>	<u>5,060</u>	<u>4,600</u>
Total <sup>1, 2</sup>	25,900	26,900	26,100	25,700	25,000
Imports for consumption:					
Artificially activated clays and earths	26	28	23	31	29
Kaolin	389	316	330	293	190
Other	<u>57</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>32</u>
Total <sup>2</sup>	473	430	421	390	250
Exports:					
Artificially activated clays and earths	143	147	149	138	130
Ball clay	41	83	90	85	57
Bentonite	801	961	845	906	760
Clays, not elsewhere classified	256	244	244	204	180
Fire clay <sup>3</sup>	184	225	250	194	180
Fuller's earth	86	78	70	73	75
Kaolin	<u>2,290</u>	<u>2,310</u>	<u>2,390</u>	<u>2,280</u>	<u>1,900</u>
Total <sup>2</sup>	3,800	4,040	4,030	3,880	3,300
Consumption, apparent <sup>4</sup>	22,600	23,300	22,500	22,200	22,000
Price, ex-works, average, dollars per ton:					
Ball clay	39	49	55	56	53
Bentonite	99	99	98	98	98
Common clay	14	15	16	16	16
Fire clay	13	13	12	14	14
Fuller's earth <sup>1</sup>	89	93	88	86	82
Kaolin	159	158	160	162	160
Employment (excludes office workers), number:					
Mine (may not include contract workers)	1,120	1,220	1,110	1,110	1,060
Mill	4,440	4,370	4,310	4,310	4,260
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** All clay types combined: Brazil, 72%; Mexico, 8%; China, 7%; and other, 13%.



## CLAYS

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12-31-20</b>
	Kaolin and other kaolinic clays, whether or not calcined	2507.00.0000	Free.
	Bentonite	2508.10.0000	Free.
	Fire clay	2508.30.0000	Free.
	Common blue clay and other ball clays	2508.40.0110	Free.
	Decolorizing earths and fuller's earth	2508.40.0120	Free.
	Other clays	2508.40.0150	Free.
	Chamotte or dinas earth	2508.70.0000	Free.
	Activated clays and activated earths	3802.90.2000	2.5% ad val.
	Expanded clays and other mixtures	6806.20.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Ball clay, bentonite, fire clay, fuller's earth, and kaolin, 14% (domestic and foreign); clay used in the manufacture of common brick, lightweight aggregate, and sewer pipe, 7.5% (domestic and foreign); clay used in the manufacture of drain and roofing tile, flower pots, and kindred products, 5% (domestic and foreign); clay from which alumina and aluminum compounds are extracted, 22% (domestic).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** As in recent years, U.S. sales of clay in 2020 continued to slightly decrease. Owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic, production of all types of clays, except for fuller's earth, decreased in 2020. Housing construction decreased in the spring because of the pandemic, before rebounding during the latter part of the year. The percentage of new building construction using brick continues to decrease in favor of other materials.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>6</sup> Global reserves are large, but country-specific data were not available.

	<b>Bentonite</b>		<b>Mine production Fuller's earth</b>		<b>Kaolin</b>	
	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
United States	4,490	4,300	<sup>1</sup> 1,920	<sup>1</sup> 2,000	5,060	4,600
Brazil (beneficiated)	610	610	—	—	1,700	1,700
China	2,000	2,000	—	—	5,000	5,000
Czechia	357	360	—	—	<sup>7</sup> 3,450	<sup>7</sup> 3,400
Germany	395	390	—	—	5,200	5,200
Greece	<sup>7</sup> 1,300	<sup>7</sup> 1,300	37	37	—	—
India	1,700	1,700	6	6	<sup>7</sup> 4,000	<sup>7</sup> 4,000
Iran	360	360	—	—	790	790
Mexico	250	250	110	110	140	140
Senegal	—	—	117	120	—	—
Spain	160	160	626	620	<sup>7</sup> 450	<sup>7</sup> 450
Turkey	1,300	1,300	20	27	1,500	1,500
Ukraine	180	180	—	—	1,840	1,800
Uzbekistan	—	—	—	—	4,500	4,500
Other countries	<u>3,150</u>	<u>3,200</u>	<u>344</u>	<u>350</u>	<u>10,700</u>	<u>11,000</u>
World total (rounded)	16,300	16,000	<sup>1</sup> 3,180	<sup>1</sup> 3,300	44,300	44,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Resources of all clays are extremely large.

**Substitutes:** Clays compete with calcium carbonate in filler and extender applications; diatomite, organic pet litters, polymers, silica gel, and zeolites as absorbents; and various siding and roofing types in building construction.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Does not include U.S. production of attapulgite.

<sup>2</sup>Data may not add to totals shown because of independent rounding.

<sup>3</sup>Includes refractory-grade kaolin.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as production (sold or used) + imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Includes production of crude ore.

## COBALT

(Data in metric tons of cobalt content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, the nickel-copper Eagle Mine in Michigan produced cobalt-bearing nickel concentrate. In Missouri, a company produced nickel-copper-cobalt concentrate from historic mine tailings. Most U.S. cobalt supply comprised imports and secondary (scrap) materials. Approximately six companies in the United States produced cobalt chemicals. About 43% of the cobalt consumed in the United States was used in superalloys, mainly in aircraft gas turbine engines; 10% in cemented carbides for cutting and wear-resistant applications; 16% in various other metallic applications; and 31% in a variety of chemical applications. The total estimated value of cobalt consumed in 2020 was \$300 million.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production: <sup>e</sup>					
Mine	690	640	480	500	600
Secondary <sup>1</sup>	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,100
Imports for consumption	12,800	11,900	11,900	13,900	10,000
Exports	4,160	5,690	6,950	4,070	3,500
Consumption (includes secondary):					
Reported	9,010	9,240	9,290	9,050	7,300
Apparent <sup>2</sup>	11,500	8,950	7,700	12,500	8,700
Price, average, dollars per pound:					
U.S. spot, cathode <sup>3</sup>	12.01	26.97	37.43	16.95	16.00
London Metal Exchange (LME), cash	11.57	25.28	32.94	14.88	14.00
Stocks, yearend:					
Industry <sup>4</sup>	969	1,020	1,060	1,090	1,000
LME, U.S. warehouse	195	160	130	102	80
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	76	69	64	78	76

**Recycling:** In 2020, cobalt contained in purchased scrap represented an estimated 29% of cobalt reported consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Cobalt contained in metal, oxide, and salts: Norway, 20%; Canada, 14%; Japan, 13%; Finland, 10%; and other, 43%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Cobalt ores and concentrates	2605.00.0000	Free.
	Chemical compounds:		
	Cobalt oxides and hydroxides	2822.00.0000	0.1% ad val.
	Cobalt chlorides	2827.39.6000	4.2% ad val.
	Cobalt sulfates	2833.29.1000	1.4% ad val.
	Cobalt carbonates	2836.99.1000	4.2% ad val.
	Cobalt acetates	2915.29.3000	4.2% ad val.
	Unwrought cobalt, alloys	8105.20.3000	4.4% ad val.
	Unwrought cobalt, other	8105.20.6000	Free.
	Cobalt mattes and other intermediate products; cobalt powders	8105.20.9000	Free.
	Cobalt waste and scrap	8105.30.0000	Free.
	Wrought cobalt and cobalt articles	8105.90.0000	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:**<sup>6</sup> See the Lithium chapter for statistics on lithium-cobalt oxide and lithium-nickel-cobalt-aluminum oxide.

		<b>FY 2020</b>		<b>FY 2021</b>	
<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Cobalt	302	—	—	—	—
Cobalt alloys, gross weight <sup>7</sup>	3	—	—	50	—

## COBALT

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Congo (Kinshasa) continued to be the world's leading source of mined cobalt, supplying approximately 70% of world cobalt mine production. With the exception of production in Morocco and artisanally mined cobalt in Congo (Kinshasa), most cobalt is mined as a byproduct of copper or nickel. China was the world's leading producer of refined cobalt, most of which was produced from partially refined cobalt imported from Congo (Kinshasa). China was the world's leading consumer of cobalt, with more than 80% of its consumption being used by the rechargeable battery industry.

Cobalt mine and refinery production were forecast to decrease in 2020. Estimated annual average cobalt prices declined from those of 2019. Cobalt production in Madagascar was suspended to prevent the spread of COVID-19. Increased production from recently started operations in Congo (Kinshasa) was more than offset by reduced production at other operations in response to low prices and restrictions resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for multiple countries were revised based on industry reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>8</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	500	600	53,000
Australia	5,740	5,700	<sup>9</sup> 1,400,000
Canada	3,340	3,200	220,000
China	2,500	2,300	80,000
Congo (Kinshasa)	100,000	95,000	3,600,000
Cuba	3,800	3,600	500,000
Madagascar	3,400	700	100,000
Morocco	2,300	1,900	14,000
Papua New Guinea	2,910	2,800	51,000
Philippines	5,100	4,700	260,000
Russia	6,300	6,300	250,000
South Africa	2,100	1,800	40,000
Other countries	6,320	6,400	560,000
World total (rounded)	144,000	140,000	7,100,000

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> Identified cobalt resources of the United States are estimated to be about 1 million tons. Most of these resources are in Minnesota, but other important occurrences are in Alaska, California, Idaho, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Oregon, and Pennsylvania. With the exception of resources in Idaho and Missouri, any future cobalt production from these deposits would be as a byproduct of another metal. Identified world terrestrial cobalt resources are about 25 million tons. The vast majority of these resources are in sediment-hosted stratiform copper deposits in Congo (Kinshasa) and Zambia; nickel-bearing laterite deposits in Australia and nearby island countries and Cuba; and magmatic nickel-copper sulfide deposits hosted in mafic and ultramafic rocks in Australia, Canada, Russia, and the United States. More than 120 million tons of cobalt resources have been identified in manganese nodules and crusts on the floor of the Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific Oceans.

**Substitutes:** Depending on the application, substitution for cobalt could result in a loss in product performance or an increase in cost. The cobalt contents of lithium-ion batteries, the leading global use for cobalt, are being reduced; potential commercially available cobalt-free substitutes use iron and phosphorus. Potential substitutes in other applications include barium or strontium ferrites, neodymium-iron-boron, or nickel-iron alloys in magnets; cerium, iron, lead, manganese, or vanadium in paints; cobalt-iron-copper or iron-copper in diamond tools; copper-iron-manganese for curing unsaturated polyester resins; iron, iron-cobalt-nickel, nickel, ceramic-metallic composites (cermets), or ceramics in cutting and wear-resistant materials; nickel-based alloys or ceramics in jet engines; nickel in petroleum catalysts; rhodium in hydroformylation catalysts; and titanium-based alloys in prosthetics.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Estimated from consumption of purchased scrap.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as secondary production + imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes for refined cobalt.

<sup>3</sup>As reported by Platts Metals Week. Cobalt cathode is refined cobalt metal produced by an electrolytic process.

<sup>4</sup>Stocks held by consumers and processors; excludes stocks held by trading companies and held for investment purposes.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes for refined cobalt.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>7</sup>Inventory is cobalt alloys; potential acquisitions are samarium-cobalt alloy; excludes potential disposals of nickel-base and aerospace alloys.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>9</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 640,000 tons.

## COPPER

(Data in thousand metric tons of copper content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, U.S. mine production of recoverable copper decreased by 5% to an estimated 1.2 million tons and was valued at an estimated \$7.5 billion, 3% less than \$7.75 billion in 2019. Arizona was the leading copper-producing State and accounted for an estimated 74% of domestic output, followed by, in descending order, Utah, New Mexico, Nevada, Montana, Michigan, and Missouri. Copper was recovered or processed at 25 mines (18 of which accounted for 99% of mine production), 3 smelters, 3 electrolytic refineries, and 14 electrowinning facilities. Refined copper and scrap were used at about 30 brass mills, 15 rod mills, and 500 foundries and miscellaneous consumers. Copper and copper alloy products were used in building construction, 43%; electrical and electronic products, 21%; transportation equipment, 19%; consumer and general products, 10%; and industrial machinery and equipment, 7%.<sup>1</sup>

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Mine, recoverable	1,430	1,260	1,220	1,260	1,200
Refinery:					
Primary (from ore)	1,180	1,040	1,070	985	860
Secondary (from scrap)	46	40	41	44	45
Copper recovered from old (post-consumer) scrap <sup>2</sup>	149	146	149	<sup>e</sup> 150	150
Imports for consumption:					
Ore and concentrates	( <sup>3</sup> )	14	32	27	2
Refined	708	813	778	663	680
Exports:					
Ore and concentrates	331	237	253	363	390
Refined	134	94	190	125	40
Consumption:					
Reported, refined metal	1,800	1,800	1,820	1,830	1,700
Apparent, primary refined and old scrap <sup>4</sup>	1,880	1,860	1,830	1,810	1,600
Price, annual average, cents per pound:					
U.S. producer, cathode (COMEX + premium)	224.9	285.4	298.7	279.6	280.0
COMEX, high-grade, first position	219.7	280.4	292.6	272.3	270.0
London Metal Exchange, high-grade	220.6	279.5	296.0	272.4	270.0
Stocks, refined, held by U.S. producers, consumers, and metal exchanges, yearend	223	265	244	111	150
Employment, mine and plant, thousands	10.1	10.5	11.7	12.0	11.0
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	30	36	33	37	37

**Recycling:** Old (post-consumer) scrap, converted to refined metal and alloys, provided an estimated 150,000 tons of copper. Purchased new (manufacturing) scrap, derived from fabricating operations, yielded an estimated 720,000 tons. Of the total copper recovered from scrap (including non-copper-base scrap), brass and wire-rod mills accounted for about 80%; smelters, refiners, and ingot makers, 15%; and miscellaneous chemical plants, foundries, and manufacturers, 5%. Copper recovered from scrap contributed about 38% of the U.S. copper supply.<sup>6</sup>

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Copper content of blister and anodes: Finland, 75%; Malaysia, 19%; and other, 6%. Copper content of matte, ash, and precipitates: Canada, 27%; Mexico, 21%; Spain, 11%; Belgium, 10%; and other, 31%. Copper content of ore and concentrates: Mexico, >99%; and other, <1%. Copper content of scrap: Canada, 55%; Mexico, 33%; and other, 12%. Refined copper: Chile, 59%; Canada, 24%; Mexico, 11%; and other, 6%. Refined copper accounted for 85% of all unmanufactured copper imports.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Copper ore and concentrates, copper content	2603.00.0010	1.7¢/kg on lead content.
	Unrefined copper anodes	7402.00.0000	Free.
	Refined copper and alloys, unwrought	7403.00.0000	1.0% ad val.
	Copper wire rod	7408.11.0000	1.0% or 3.0% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 15% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## COPPER

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, U.S. mine production of copper decreased by an estimated 5%, primarily owing to reduced output from the Bingham Canyon Mine in Utah and the Chino Mine in New Mexico. At Bingham Canyon, ore grades were lower than those in 2019 because of planned pit sequencing and optimization of molybdenum production during an extended shutdown of the smelter. In April, operations at the Chino Mine were suspended after multiple workers tested positive for COVID-19; the mine was expected to restart in 2021 at about 50% of capacity. Production at the Pumpkin Hollow Mine began in December 2019, was suspended in April 2020 owing to restrictions implemented by the State of Nevada in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, and restarted in August. Output of refined copper in the United States decreased by an estimated 13% as a result of strikes, ongoing since October 2019, at a smelter in Arizona and electrolytic refinery in Texas. Refined copper production was also affected by maintenance at the smelter in Utah, which closed for several months for a complete furnace rebuild after an earthquake in March.

Global mine production of copper declined slightly to an estimated 20 million tons in 2020 from 20.4 million tons in 2019, owing primarily to COVID-19 lockdowns in April and May. These disruptions significantly affected output in Peru, the second-ranked mine producer of copper, where production through July 2020 fell by nearly 250,000 tons (23%) from that in the same period of 2019. Global refined copper production increased slightly to an estimated 25 million tons in 2020 from 24.5 million tons in 2019, when output in multiple countries was affected by temporary smelter shutdowns for maintenance and upgrades.

**World Mine and Refinery Production and Reserves:** Reserves for multiple countries were revised based on company and Government information.

	Mine production		Refinery production		Reserves <sup>7</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	1,260	1,200	1,030	910	48,000
Australia	934	870	426	380	<sup>8</sup> 88,000
Canada	573	570	281	290	9,000
Chile	5,790	5,700	2,270	2,400	200,000
China	1,680	1,700	9,780	9,800	26,000
Congo (Kinshasa)	1,290	1,300	1,080	1,100	19,000
Germany	—	—	632	670	2,000
Japan	—	—	1,500	1,600	—
Kazakhstan	562	580	512	540	20,000
Korea, Republic of	—	—	665	680	—
Mexico	715	690	477	470	53,000
Peru	2,460	2,200	308	330	92,000
Poland	399	400	566	550	32,000
Russia	801	850	1,050	1,060	61,000
Zambia	797	830	262	360	21,000
Other countries	3,100	3,300	3,640	3,500	200,000
World total (rounded)	20,400	20,000	24,500	25,000	870,000

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> A U.S. Geological Survey study of global copper deposits indicated that, as of 2015, identified resources contained 2.1 billion tons of copper, and undiscovered resources contained an estimated 3.5 billion tons.<sup>9</sup>

**Substitutes:** Aluminum substitutes for copper in automobile radiators, cooling and refrigeration tube, electrical equipment, and power cable. Titanium and steel are used in heat exchangers. Optical fiber substitutes for copper in telecommunications applications, and plastics substitute for copper in drain pipe, plumbing fixtures, and water pipe.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Distribution reported by the Copper Development Association. Some electrical components are included in each end use.

<sup>2</sup>Copper converted to refined metal and alloys by brass and wire-rod mills, foundries, refineries, and other manufacturers.

<sup>3</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>4</sup>Primary refined production + copper in old scrap converted to refined metal and alloys + refined imports – refined exports ± refined stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as refined imports – refined exports ± adjustments for refined copper stock changes.

<sup>6</sup>Primary refined production + copper recovered from old and new scrap + refined imports – refined exports ± refined stock changes.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>8</sup>In Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 22 million tons.

<sup>9</sup>Hammarstrom, J.M., Zientek, M.L., Parks, H.L., Dicken, C.L., and the U.S. Geological Survey Global Copper Mineral Resource Assessment Team, 2019, Assessment of undiscovered copper resources of the world, 2015 (ver.1.1, May 24, 2019): U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2018–5160, 619 p., <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20185160>.

## DIAMOND (INDUSTRIAL)<sup>1</sup>

(Data in million carats unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, total domestic primary production of manufactured industrial diamond bort, grit, and dust and powder was estimated to be 110 million carats with a value of \$44 million, a slight decrease from that in 2019. No diamond stone was produced domestically. One firm with facilities in Florida and Ohio and a second firm in Pennsylvania accounted for all of the production. At least four firms produced polycrystalline diamond from diamond powder. At least two companies recovered used industrial diamond material from used diamond drill bits, diamond tools, and other diamond-containing wastes for recycling. The major consuming sectors of industrial diamond are computer chip production; construction; drilling for minerals, natural gas, and oil; machinery manufacturing; stone cutting and polishing; and transportation (infrastructure and vehicles). Highway building, milling, and repair and stone cutting consumed most of the industrial diamond stone. About 99% of U.S. industrial diamond apparent consumption was synthetic industrial diamond because its quality can be controlled and its properties can be customized.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

Bort, grit, and dust and powder; natural and synthetic:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Production:					
Manufactured diamond <sup>e</sup>	42	41	184	114	110
Secondary	66	11	32	36	35
Imports for consumption	216	399	574	312	220
Exports	134	161	139	114	91
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	190	290	651	348	270
Price, value of imports, dollars per carat	0.23	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.18
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	43	79	67	57	47
Stones, natural and synthetic:					
Production:					
Manufactured diamond <sup>e</sup>	83	87	—	—	—
Secondary	0.36	0.39	0.13	0.10	0.10
Imports for consumption	1.37	1.23	2.52	1.61	0.55
Exports	—	—	—	( <sup>4</sup> )	0.03
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	84.9	89.0	2.7	1.7	0.6
Price, value of imports, dollars per carat	13.6	12.9	2.9	3.9	7.4
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	2	1	95	94	84

**Recycling:** In 2020, the amount of diamond bort, grit, and dust and powder recycled was estimated to be 35 million carats with an estimated value of \$5.5 million. It was estimated that 98,000 carats of diamond stone was recycled with an estimated value of \$150,000.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Bort, grit, and dust and powder; natural and synthetic: China, 80%; Ireland, 7%; the Republic of Korea, 6%; Russia, 3%; and other, 4%. Stones, primarily natural: South Africa, 22%; India, 20%; Botswana, 15%; Congo (Kinshasa), 13%; and other, 30%.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u> <u>12–31–20</u>
	Industrial Miners' diamonds, carbonados	7102.21.1010	Free.
	Industrial Miners' diamonds, other	7102.21.1020	Free.
	Industrial diamonds, simply sawn, cleaved, or bruted	7102.21.3000	Free.
	Industrial diamonds, not worked	7102.21.4000	Free.
	Grit or dust and powder of natural diamonds, 80 mesh or finer	7105.10.0011	Free.
	Grit or dust and powder of natural diamonds, over 80 mesh	7105.10.0015	Free.
	Grit or dust and powder of synthetic diamonds, coated with metal	7105.10.0020	Free.
	Grit or dust and powder of synthetic diamonds, not coated with metal, 80 mesh or finer	7105.10.0030	Free.
	Grit or dust and powder of synthetic diamonds, not coated with metal, over 80 mesh	7105.10.0050	Free.

## DIAMOND (INDUSTRIAL)

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Most natural industrial diamond is produced as a byproduct of mining gem-quality diamond. Global natural industrial diamond production decreased slightly during 2020. This decrease was due to mine closures and lower output as mines approach the ends of their mine life. The world's largest diamond mines have matured and are past their peak production levels, and several of the largest diamond mines are expected to close by the end of 2025. As these mines are depleted, global production is expected to continue to decline in quantity, and the global supply of crude natural diamond (including gem-quality and industrial diamond) is forecasted to steadily decrease to about 120 million carats in 2030.

Worldwide diamond exploration spending has increased over the past few years. The success rate in diamond exploration has been estimated to be less than 1%, and no major deposit has been discovered in more than 20 years.

In 2020, U.S. synthetic-industrial-diamond producers did not manufacture any diamond stone, and industrial diamond stone apparent consumption decreased. Domestic and global demand for synthetic diamond grit and powder is expected to remain greater than that for natural diamond material. In 2020, China was the leading producing country of synthetic industrial diamond, followed by the United States, Russia, Ireland, and South Africa, in descending order of quantity. These five countries produced about 99% of the world's synthetic industrial diamond. Synthetic diamond accounted for more than 99% of global industrial diamond production and consumption. Worldwide production of manufactured industrial diamond totaled more than 14.6 billion carats.

The United States is likely to continue to be one of the world's leading markets for industrial diamond into the next decade and is expected to remain a significant producer and exporter of synthetic industrial diamond as well. U.S. demand for industrial diamond is likely to be strong in the construction sector as the United States continues building, milling, and repairing the Nation's highway system. Industrial diamond coats the cutting edge of saws used to cut concrete in highway construction and repair work.

**World Natural Industrial Diamond Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Australia, Botswana, and South Africa were revised based on Government and company information.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	NA
Australia	13	12	<sup>6</sup> 25
Botswana	7	5	310
Congo (Kinshasa)	11	12	150
Russia	20	19	650
South Africa	1	3	130
Zimbabwe	2	2	NA
Other countries	1	1	120
World total (rounded)	55	54	1,400

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Natural diamond deposits have been discovered in more than 35 countries. Natural diamond accounts for about 1% of all industrial diamond used; synthetic diamond accounts for the remainder. At least 15 countries have the technology to produce synthetic diamond.

**Substitutes:** Materials that can compete with industrial diamond in some applications include manufactured abrasives, such as cubic boron nitride, fused aluminum oxide, and silicon carbide. Globally, synthetic diamond, rather than natural diamond, is used for about 99% of industrial applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>See Gemstones for information on gem-quality diamond.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as manufactured diamond production + secondary diamond production + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>In Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 25 million carats.

## DIATOMITE

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, production of diatomite was estimated to be 770,000 tons with an estimated processed value of \$260 million, free on board (f.o.b.) plant. Six companies produced diatomite at 12 mining areas and 9 processing facilities in California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington. Approximately 60% of diatomite is used in filtration products. The remaining 40% is used in absorbents, fillers, lightweight aggregates, and other applications. A small amount, less than 1%, is used for specialized pharmaceutical and biomedical purposes. The unit value of diatomite varied widely in 2020, from approximately \$10 per ton when used as a lightweight aggregate in portland cement concrete to more than \$1,000 per ton for limited specialty markets, including art supplies, cosmetics, and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) extraction.

**Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Production <sup>1</sup>	686	768	957	768	770
Imports for consumption	8	9	9	10	16
Exports	66	87	68	68	67
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	628	690	898	710	720
Price, average value, f.o.b. plant, dollars per ton	280	360	330	340	340
Employment, mine and plant, number <sup>e</sup>	350	360	370	370	370
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 72%; Mexico, 11%; Germany, 10%; Argentina, 2%; and other, 5%.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u>
			<u>12–30–20</u>
	Siliceous fossil meals, including diatomite	2512.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The amount of domestically produced diatomite sold or used by producers in 2020 remained essentially the same compared with that of 2019. Apparent domestic consumption increased slightly in 2020 to an estimated 720,000 tons; exports were estimated to have decreased slightly. The United States remained the leading global producer and consumer of diatomite. Filtration (including the purification of beer, liquors, and wine and the cleansing of greases and oils) continued to be the leading end use for diatomite, also known as diatomaceous earth. An important application for diatomite is the removal of microbial contaminants, such as bacteria, protozoa, and viruses in public water systems. Other applications for diatomite include filtration of human blood plasma, pharmaceutical processing, and use as a nontoxic insecticide. Domestically, diatomite used in the production of cement was the second-ranked use. Despite disruptions caused by the global COVID-19 pandemic, the production of diatomite through the second quarter of 2020 remained consistent with that of 2019.



## DIATOMITE

In 2020, the United States accounted for an estimated 35% of total world production, followed by Denmark with 17%, Turkey with 8%, China with 7%, Peru with 5%, and Mexico with 4%. Smaller quantities of diatomite were mined in 22 additional countries.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>4</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States <sup>1</sup>	768	770	250,000
Argentina	70	70	NA
China	150	150	110,000
Denmark <sup>5</sup> (processed)	370	370	NA
France	75	75	NA
Germany	52	52	NA
Japan	40	40	NA
Korea, Republic of	26	41	NA
Mexico	96	96	NA
New Zealand	40	40	NA
Peru	110	110	NA
Russia	51	51	NA
Spain	50	50	NA
Turkey	170	170	44,000
Other countries	120	170	NA
World total (rounded)	2,190	2,200	Large

**World Resources:**<sup>4</sup> Diatomite deposits form from an accumulation of amorphous hydrous silica cell walls of dead diatoms in oceanic and fresh waters. Diatomite is also known as kieselguhr (Germany), tripolite (after an occurrence near Tripoli, Libya), and moler (an impure Danish form). Because U.S. diatomite occurrences are at or near Earth's surface, recovery from most deposits is achieved through low-cost, open pit mining. Outside the United States, however, underground mining is fairly common owing to deposit location and topographic constraints. World resources of crude diatomite are adequate for the foreseeable future.

**Substitutes:** Many materials can be substituted for diatomite. However, the unique properties of diatomite assure its continued use in many applications. Expanded perlite and silica sand compete for filtration. Filters made from manufactured materials, notably ceramic, polymeric, or carbon membrane filters and filters made with cellulose fibers, are becoming competitive as filter media. Alternate filler materials include clay, ground limestone, ground mica, ground silica sand, perlite, talc, and vermiculite. For thermal insulation, materials such as various clays, exfoliated vermiculite, expanded perlite, mineral wool, and special brick can be used. Transportation costs will continue to determine the maximum economic distance that most forms of diatomite may be shipped and still remain competitive with alternative materials.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Processed ore sold or used by producers.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>5</sup>Include sales of moler production.

## FELDSPAR AND NEPHELINE SYENITE

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** U.S. feldspar production in 2020 had an estimated value of \$45 million. Three leading companies mined and processed about 80% of production; four other companies supplied the remainder. The five leading producing States, in alphabetical order, were California, Idaho, North Carolina, Oklahoma, and Virginia. Feldspar processors reported joint product recovery of mica and silica sand. Nepheline syenite produced in the United States was not included in production figures because the material was not considered to be marketable as a flux and was mostly used in construction applications.

Feldspar is ground to about 20 mesh for glassmaking and to 200 mesh or finer for most ceramic and filler applications. It was estimated that domestically produced feldspar was transported by ship, rail, or truck to at least 30 States and to foreign destinations, including Canada and Mexico. In pottery and glass, feldspar and nepheline syenite function as a flux. The estimated 2020 end-use distribution of domestic feldspar and nepheline syenite was glass, about 65%, and ceramic tile, pottery, and other uses, 35%.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, marketable <sup>1</sup>	480	440	550	450	420
Imports for consumption:					
Feldspar	37	290	181	64	68
Nepheline syenite	572	1,460	1,070	508	500
Exports, feldspar	6	5	4	4	3
Consumption, apparent: <sup>1, 2</sup>					
Feldspar only	510	730	730	510	490
Feldspar and nepheline syenite	1,100	2,200	1,800	1,000	990
Price, average value, dollars per ton:					
Feldspar only, marketable production	69	64	97	107	110
Nepheline syenite, import value	128	61	76	156	160
Employment, mine, preparation plant, and office, number <sup>e</sup>	250	240	240	240	240
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption:					
Feldspar	6	39	24	12	13
Nepheline syenite	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Feldspar and nepheline syenite are not recycled by producers; however, glass container producers use cullet (recycled container glass), thereby reducing feldspar and nepheline syenite consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Feldspar: Turkey, 98%; and other, 2%. Nepheline syenite: Canada, 100%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Feldspar	2529.10.0000	Free.
	Nepheline syenite	2529.30.0010	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, domestic production and sales of feldspar decreased by almost 7%, and the average unit value of sales was about the same as that of 2019. When compared to 2019, imports of feldspar increased by about 6% and nepheline syenite imports decreased slightly in 2020. Imports of nepheline syenite reported by the U.S. Census Bureau in 2017 and 2018 were unusually high.

Domestic feldspar consumption has been gradually shifting toward glass from ceramics. A growing segment in the glass industry was solar glass, used in the production of solar panels. Glass—including beverage containers (more than one-half of the feldspar consumed by the glass industry), plate glass, and fiberglass insulation for housing and building construction—continued to be the leading end use of feldspar in the United States.

## FELDSPAR AND NEPHELINE SYENITE

In the United States, residential construction, in which feldspar is a raw material commonly used in the manufacture of plate glass, ceramic tiles and sanitaryware, and insulation, increased by 5% during the first 10 months of 2020 compared with the same period in 2019. Production and sales of feldspar are expected to increase over the next few years, owing in part to low mortgage interest rates and increased demand for single-family homes as the global COVID-19 pandemic made multifamily homes less desirable.

A company based in Canada continued development of a feldspar-quartz-kaolin project in Idaho that contained high-grade potassium feldspar. Production was expected to be about 30,000 tons per year of potassium feldspar during a 25-year mine life. For several years, the operation has produced a low-iron and trace-element feldspathic sand product from old mine tailings, which was sold to ceramic tile producers.

**World Feldspar Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>4</sup> Reserves data for the Republic of Korea were revised based on Government information.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States <sup>1</sup>	450	420	NA
Brazil (beneficiated marketable)	300	300	150,000
China	2,000	2,000	NA
Czechia	441	460	23,000
Egypt	400	400	1,000,000
Germany	260	260	NA
India	4,000	4,000	320,000
Iran	750	1,300	630,000
Italy	4,000	4,000	NA
Korea, Republic of	620	400	180,000
Malaysia	202	200	NA
Mexico	210	210	NA
Russia	290	290	NA
Saudi Arabia	210	210	NA
Spain (includes pegmatites)	800	800	NA
Thailand	1,200	1,200	240,000
Turkey	5,500	5,000	240,000
Other countries	1,320	1,500	NA
World total (rounded)	23,000	23,000	Large

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Identified and undiscovered resources of feldspar are more than adequate to meet anticipated world demand. Quantitative data on resources of feldspar existing in feldspathic sands, granites, and pegmatites generally have not been compiled. Ample geologic evidence indicates that resources are large, although not always conveniently accessible to the principal centers of consumption.

**Substitutes:** Imported nepheline syenite was the major alternative material for feldspar. Feldspar can be replaced in some of its end uses by clays, electric furnace slag, feldspar-silica mixtures, pyrophyllite, spodumene, or talc.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Rounded to two significant digits to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Feldspar only.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## FLUORSPAR

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, minimal fluorspar (calcium fluoride,  $\text{CaF}_2$ ) was produced in the United States. One company sold fluorspar from stockpiles produced as a byproduct of its limestone quarrying operation in Cave-in-Rock, IL, and continued development on its fluorspar mine in Kentucky. After acquiring a fluorspar mine in Utah, a second company continued a drilling program to further define the mineral resource and facilitate development of a mine plan. An estimated 29,000 tons of fluorosilicic acid (FSA), equivalent to about 47,000 tons of fluorspar grading 100%, was recovered from five phosphoric acid plants processing phosphate rock, which was primarily used in water fluoridation. The U.S. Department of Energy continued to produce aqueous hydrofluoric acid (HF) as a byproduct of the conversion of depleted uranium hexafluoride to depleted uranium oxide at plants in Paducah, KY, and Portsmouth, OH.

U.S. fluorspar consumption was satisfied primarily by imports. Domestically, production of HF in Louisiana and Texas was by far the leading use for acid-grade fluorspar. Hydrofluoric acid is the primary feedstock for the manufacture of virtually all fluorine-bearing chemicals, particularly refrigerants and fluoropolymers, and is also a key ingredient in the processing of aluminum and uranium. Fluorspar was also used in cement production, in enamels, as a flux in steelmaking, in glass manufacture, in iron and steel casting, and in welding rod coatings.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Finished, metallurgical grade	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fluorosilicic acid from phosphate rock	44	40	33	29	29
Imports for consumption:					
Acid grade	328	331	381	317	320
Metallurgical grade	<u>55</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>70</u>
Total fluorspar imports	383	401	459	376	390
Hydrofluoric acid	126	123	122	124	110
Aluminum fluoride	20	21	26	37	22
Cryolite	16	10	17	21	24
Exports, fluorspar, all grades <sup>1</sup>	12	11	9	8	8
Consumption:					
Apparent <sup>2</sup>	371	390	450	368	380
Reported	W	W	W	W	W
Price, average value of imports, cost, insurance, and freight, dollars per ton:					
Acid grade	273	267	276	324	320
Metallurgical grade	233	237	258	292	160
Stocks, consumer and dealer, <sup>3</sup> yearend	<sup>e</sup> 147	NA	NA	NA	NA
Employment, mine, number <sup>e</sup>	13	16	16	16	19
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Synthetic fluorspar may be produced from neutralization of waste in the enrichment of uranium, petroleum alkylation, and stainless-steel pickling; however, undesirable impurities constrain use. Primary aluminum producers recycle HF and fluorides from smelting operations.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Mexico, 70%; Vietnam, 9%; China, 8%; South Africa, 7%; and other, 6%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Metallurgical grade (less than 97% $\text{CaF}_2$ )	2529.21.0000	Free.
	Acid grade (97% or more $\text{CaF}_2$ )	2529.22.0000	Free.
	Natural cryolite	2530.90.1000	Free.
	Hydrogen fluoride (hydrofluoric acid)	2811.11.0000	Free.
	Aluminum fluoride	2826.12.0000	Free.
	Synthetic cryolite	2826.30.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## FLUORSPAR

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The global availability of fluorspar was estimated to have increased in 2020 owing to decreased consumption in some downstream applications and continued rampup of new mines in Canada and South Africa. On the consumption side, an increasing number of new projects continued to focus on developing alternatives to fluorspar in the manufacture of HF. Hydrofluoric acid was produced from FSA at four plants in China and a fifth plant was ramping up production in 2020. In June, a leading domestic fluorochemical producer and leading phosphoric acid producer announced a partnership to construct a 40,000-ton-per-year anhydrous HF plant in Aurora, NC, using FSA feedstock. The new plant, expected to begin production in 2022, would be the first plant of its kind outside of China, although similar projects were reportedly being evaluated in other countries. The agreement established a long-term HF supply agreement which would support production of fluorogases and fluoropolymers in Calvert City, KY. The capacity of existing U.S. HF plants that use fluorspar as a feedstock was 220,000 tons per year.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Morocco were revised based on company-reported information but were only available for one producer.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5, 6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	NA	NA	4,000
Burma	53	53	NA
Canada	80	100	NA
China	<sup>7</sup> 4,300	<sup>7</sup> 4,300	42,000
Germany	50	50	NA
Iran	55	55	3,400
Kazakhstan	88	77	NA
Mexico	1,230	1,200	68,000
Mongolia	718	720	22,000
Morocco	88	88	210
Pakistan	100	100	NA
South Africa	210	320	41,000
Spain	139	140	10,000
Vietnam	238	240	5,000
Other countries	<u>107</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>120,000</u>
World total (rounded)	7,460	7,600	320,000

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Large quantities of fluorine are present in phosphate rock. Current U.S. reserves of phosphate rock are estimated to be 1 billion tons, containing about 72 million tons of 100% fluorspar equivalent assuming an average fluorine content of 3.5% in the phosphate rock. World reserves of phosphate rock are estimated to be 71 billion tons, equivalent to about 5 billion tons of 100% fluorspar equivalent.

**Substitutes:** FSA is used to produce aluminum fluoride (AlF<sub>3</sub>) and HF. Because of differing physical properties, AlF<sub>3</sub> produced from FSA is not readily substituted for AlF<sub>3</sub> produced from fluorspar. Aluminum smelting dross, borax, calcium chloride, iron oxides, manganese ore, silica sand, and titanium dioxide have been used as substitutes for fluorspar fluxes.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Includes data for the following Schedule B codes: 2529.21.0000 and 2829.22.0000.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as total fluorspar imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Industry stocks for leading consumers and fluorspar distributors.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>Measured as 100% calcium fluoride.

<sup>7</sup>As reported by China's Ministry of Natural Resources. Likely excludes production from operations that did not meet the Government's minimum mining and processing requirements. The China Non-Metallic Minerals Industry Association estimated that actual production was closer to 6 million tons.

## GALLIUM

(Data in kilograms of gallium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** No domestic primary (low-purity, unrefined) gallium has been recovered since 1987. Globally, primary gallium is recovered as a byproduct of processing bauxite and zinc ores. One company in Utah recovered and refined high-purity gallium from imported primary low-purity gallium metal and new scrap. Imports of gallium metal and gallium arsenide (GaAs) wafers were valued at about \$1 million and \$150 million, respectively. GaAs was used to manufacture integrated circuits (ICs) and optoelectronic devices, which include laser diodes, light-emitting diodes (LEDs), photodetectors, and solar cells. Gallium nitride (GaN) principally was used to manufacture optoelectronic devices. ICs accounted for 72% of domestic gallium consumption, optoelectronic devices accounted for 25%, and research and development accounted for 3%. About 80% of the gallium consumed in the United States was contained in GaAs, GaN, and gallium phosphide (GaP) wafers. Gallium metal, triethyl gallium, and trimethyl gallium, used in the epitaxial layering process to fabricate epiwafers for the production of LEDs and ICs, accounted for most of the remainder. Optoelectronic devices were used in aerospace applications, consumer goods, industrial equipment, medical equipment, and telecommunications equipment. Uses of ICs included defense applications, high-performance computers, and telecommunications equipment.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, primary	—	—	—	—	—
Imports for consumption:					
Metal	10,500	20,200	32,000	5,740	4,600
Gallium arsenide wafers (gross weight)	1,290,000	803,000	444,000	272,000	190,000
Exports	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Consumption, reported	18,100	17,900	15,000	14,900	15,000
Price, imports, dollars per kilogram:					
High-purity, refined <sup>1</sup>	690	477	508	570	570
Low-purity, primary <sup>2</sup>	125	124	185	150	170
Stocks, consumer, yearend	2,720	2,840	2,920	2,850	2,700
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of reported consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Old scrap, none. Substantial quantities of new scrap generated in the manufacture of GaAs-based devices were reprocessed to recover high-purity gallium at one facility in Utah.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Metal: China,<sup>4</sup> 55%; the United Kingdom, 11%; Germany, 10%; and other, 24%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Gallium arsenide wafers, doped	3818.00.0010	Free.
	Gallium metal	8112.92.1000	3.0% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Imports of gallium metal and GaAs wafers continued to account for all U.S. consumption of gallium. In 2020, gallium metal imports decreased by an estimated 20% from those of 2019, most likely owing to higher import tariffs on gallium from China that began in 2019. This followed a 300% increase of gallium metal imports from China in 2018 before the tariffs were introduced. In 2019, gallium metal imports from China decreased by 97% from those of 2018.

Primary low-purity (99.99%-pure) gallium prices in China increased by an estimated 32% in 2020 owing mostly to reduced production in China. The price for primary low-purity gallium in China increased to \$185 per kilogram in September 2020 from approximately \$140 per kilogram at yearend 2019. China's primary low-purity gallium production capacity has been approximately 600,000 kilograms per year since 2016, following an expansion from 140,000 kilograms per year in 2010. China accounted for more than 80% of worldwide low-purity gallium capacity.

The remaining primary low-purity gallium producers outside of China most likely restricted output owing to a large surplus of primary gallium that began in 2012. These producers included Japan, the Republic of Korea, and Russia. Germany and Kazakhstan ceased primary production in 2016 and 2013, respectively. Hungary and Ukraine were thought to have ceased primary production in 2015 and 2019, respectively.

## GALLIUM

High-purity refined gallium production in 2020 was estimated to be about 220,000 kilograms, a 5% increase from that of 2019. China, Japan, Slovakia, and the United States were the known principal producers of high-purity refined gallium. The United Kingdom ceased high-purity refined gallium production in 2018. Gallium was recovered from new scrap in Canada, China, Germany, Japan, Slovakia, and the United States. World primary low-purity gallium production capacity in 2020 was estimated to be 724,000 kilograms per year; high-purity refined gallium production capacity, 325,000 kilograms per year; and secondary high-purity gallium production capacity, 273,000 kilograms per year.

In 2019, the value of worldwide radio frequency (RF) GaAs device consumption decreased by 4% to \$8.6 billion owing primarily to a decline in third- and fourth-generation (3G and 4G) “smartphone” shipments. In 2020, worldwide RF GaAs device consumption was expected to decrease by 4% from that of 2019 owing to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and a United States–China trade dispute that resulted in higher ad valorem tariffs on imports from China. Global GaAs wafer consumption by volume was estimated to have increased by 14% in 2020, with an estimated 48%, 32%, and 20% of wafers used in LED, RF, and photonics applications, respectively. Countries within the Asia and the Pacific region dominated the GaAs wafer market.

Owing to their large power-handling capabilities, high-switching frequencies, and higher voltage capabilities, GaN-based products, which historically have been used in defense applications, are used in fifth-generation (5G) networks, cable television transmission, commercial wireless infrastructure, power electronics, and satellite markets. The value of the GaN RF device market was estimated to be \$940 million in 2020, an increase of 22% from the revised \$770 million in 2019. The global high-power LED market was estimated to be \$14 billion in 2020, an increase of 5.3% from that in 2019.

### **World Production and Reserves:**

	<b>Primary production</b>		<b>Reserves<sup>5</sup></b>
	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>	
United States	—	—	Quantitative estimates of reserves are not available.
China	338,000	290,000	
Japan	3,000	3,000	
Korea, Republic of	2,000	3,000	
Russia	8,000	4,000	
Ukraine	—	—	
World total (rounded)	351,000	300,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Gallium occurs in very small concentrations in ores of other metals. Most gallium is produced as a byproduct of processing bauxite, and the remainder is produced from zinc-processing residues. The average gallium content of bauxite is 50 parts per million. U.S. bauxite deposits consist mainly of subeconomic resources that are not generally suitable for alumina production owing to their high silica content. Some domestic zinc ores contain up to 50 parts per million gallium and could be a significant resource, although no gallium is currently recovered from domestic ores. Gallium contained in world resources of bauxite is estimated to exceed 1 million tons, and a considerable quantity could be contained in world zinc resources. However, less than 10% of the gallium in bauxite and zinc resources is potentially recoverable.

**Substitutes:** Liquid crystals made from organic compounds are used in visual displays as substitutes for LEDs. Silicon-based complementary metal-oxide semiconductor power amplifiers compete with GaAs power amplifiers in midtier 3G cellular handsets. Indium phosphide components can be substituted for GaAs-based infrared laser diodes in some specific-wavelength applications, and helium-neon lasers compete with GaAs in visible laser diode applications. Silicon is the principal competitor with GaAs in solar-cell applications. In many defense-related applications, GaAs-based ICs are used because of their unique properties, and no effective substitutes exist for GaAs in these applications. In heterojunction bipolar transistors, GaAs is being replaced in some applications by silicon-germanium.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Estimated based on the average values of U.S. imports for 99.9999%- and 99.99999%-pure gallium.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated based on the average values of U.S. imports for 99.99%-pure gallium.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports. Excludes gallium arsenide wafers.

<sup>4</sup>Includes Hong Kong.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## GARNET (INDUSTRIAL)<sup>1</sup>

(Data in metric tons of garnet unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, garnet for industrial use was mined by four firms—one in Idaho, one in Montana, and two in New York. One processing facility operated in Oregon and another operated in Pennsylvania. The estimated value of crude garnet production was about \$23 million, and refined material sold or used had an estimated value of \$65 million. The major end uses of garnet were, in descending percentage of consumption, for abrasive blasting, water-filtration media, water-jet-assisted cutting, and other end uses, such as in abrasive powders, nonslip coatings, and sandpaper. Domestic industries that consume garnet include aircraft and motor vehicle manufacturers, ceramics and glass producers, electronic component manufacturers, filtration plants, glass polishing, the petroleum industry, shipbuilders, textile stonewashing, and wood-furniture-finishing operations.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Production:					
Crude	81,300	92,900	101,000	104,000	110,000
Refined, sold or used	46,600	84,100	166,000	147,000	150,000
Imports for consumption <sup>e, 2</sup>	156,000	54,200	254,000	208,000	120,000
Exports <sup>e</sup>	10,100	17,700	14,200	12,600	20,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>e, 3</sup>	227,000	129,000	341,000	300,000	210,000
Price, average import value, dollars per ton	201	305	215	214	270
Employment, mine and mill, number <sup>e</sup>	110	140	170	160	130
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	64	28	70	65	48

**Recycling:** Garnet was recycled at a plant in Oregon with a recycling capacity of 16,000 tons per year and at a plant in Pennsylvania with a recycling capacity of 25,000 tons per year. Garnet can be recycled multiple times without degradation of its quality. Most recycled garnet is from blast cleaning and water-jet-assisted cutting operations.

**Import Sources (2016–19):<sup>e</sup>** South Africa, 36%; India, 26%; China, 19%; Australia, 17%; and other, 2%.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u> <u>12–31–20</u>
	Emery, natural corundum, natural garnet, and other natural abrasives, crude	2513.20.1000	Free.
	Emery, natural corundum, natural garnet, and other natural abrasives, other than crude	2513.20.9000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** During 2020, estimated domestic production of crude garnet concentrates increased by 6% compared with production in 2019. This increase was due to higher production levels from a mine in Montana, although all other U.S. garnet mines produced less compared with that in 2019. U.S. garnet production was estimated to be about 10% of total global garnet production. The 2020 estimated domestic sales or use of refined garnet were essentially unchanged compared with sales in 2019.



## GARNET (INDUSTRIAL)

Garnet imports in 2020 were estimated to have decreased by 42% compared with those in 2019. Most of the decrease was attributed to a lack of imports of garnet from South Africa, owing to the Pennsylvania processing facility reaching its storage capacity. In 2020, the average unit value of garnet imports was \$270 per ton, an increase of 24% compared with the average unit value in 2019. In the United States, most domestically produced crude garnet concentrate was priced at about \$210 per ton. U.S. exports in 2020 were estimated to have increased by 59%.

During 2020, the United States consumed about 210,000 metric tons of garnet. This was a 30% decrease from that of 2019.

The U.S. natural gas and petroleum industry is one of the leading garnet-consuming industries, using garnet for cleaning drill pipes and well casings. Natural gas and petroleum producers also use garnet as a reservoir-fracturing proppant, alone or mixed with other proppants. During 2020, the number of drill rigs operating in the United States was 804 rigs at the beginning of the year, decreasing through the year to 255 rigs at the end of September, likely indicating that less garnet was consumed in well drilling.

The garnet market is very competitive. To increase profitability and remain competitive with imported material, production may be restricted to only high-grade garnet ores or as a byproduct of other salable mineral products that occur with garnet, such as kyanite, marble, metallic ores, mica minerals, sillimanite, staurolite, or wollastonite.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	104,000	110,000	5,000,000
Australia	352,000	360,000	Moderate to large
China	310,000	310,000	Moderate to large
India	120,000	130,000	13,000,000
South Africa	179,000	140,000	NA
Other countries	60,000	60,000	6,500,000
World total (rounded)	1,120,000	1,100,000	Moderate to large

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> World resources of garnet are large and occur in a wide variety of rocks, particularly gneisses and schists. Garnet also occurs in contact-metamorphic deposits in crystalline limestones, pegmatites, serpentinites, and vein deposits. In addition, alluvial garnet is present in many heavy-mineral sand and gravel deposits throughout the world. Large domestic resources of garnet also are concentrated in coarsely crystalline gneiss near North Creek, NY; other significant domestic resources of garnet occur in Idaho, Maine, Montana, New Hampshire, North Carolina, and Oregon. In addition to those in the United States, major garnet deposits exist in Australia, Canada, China, India, and South Africa, where they are mined for foreign and domestic markets; deposits in Russia and Turkey also have been mined in recent years, primarily for internal markets. Additional garnet resources are in Chile, Czechia, Pakistan, Spain, Thailand, and Ukraine; small mining operations have been reported in most of these countries.

**Substitutes:** Other natural and manufactured abrasives can substitute to some extent for all major end uses of garnet. In many cases, however, using the substitutes would entail sacrifices in quality or cost. Fused aluminum oxide and staurolite compete with garnet as a sandblasting material. Ilmenite, magnetite, and plastics compete as filtration media. Corundum, diamond, and fused aluminum oxide compete for lens grinding and for many lapping operations. Emery is a substitute in nonskid surfaces. Fused aluminum oxide, quartz sand, and silicon carbide compete for the finishing of plastics, wood furniture, and other products.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Excludes gem and synthetic garnet.

<sup>2</sup>Source: U.S. Census Bureau and Trade Mining, LLC; adjusted by the U.S. Geological Survey.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as crude production + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## GEMSTONES<sup>1</sup>

(Data in million dollars unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The combined value of U.S. natural and synthetic gemstone output in 2020 was an estimated \$99 million, a 4% decrease compared with that of 2019. Domestic gemstone production included agate, beryl, coral, diamond, garnet, jade, jasper, opal, pearl, quartz, sapphire, shell, topaz, tourmaline, turquoise, and many other gem materials. In descending order of production value, Arizona, Oregon, California, Nevada, Montana, Maine, Arkansas, Colorado, Utah, Idaho, Tennessee, North Carolina, and New York produced 95% of U.S. natural gemstones. Synthetic gemstones were manufactured by five companies in California, North Carolina, New York, Maryland, and Arizona, in decreasing order of production value. U.S. synthetic gemstone production decreased by 4% compared with that in 2019. Major gemstone end uses were carvings, gem and mineral collections, and jewelry.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production: <sup>2</sup>					
Natural <sup>3</sup>	11.7	9.2	9.5	9.2	8.8
Laboratory-created (synthetic)	54.9	55.1	65	94	90
Imports for consumption	25,200	24,900	27,700	24,400	17,000
Exports, excluding reexports	2,940	2,440	1,850	1,050	1,500
Consumption, apparent <sup>4</sup>	22,300	22,500	25,900	23,500	16,000
Price	Variable, depending on size, type, and quality				
Employment, mine, number <sup>e</sup>	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	99	99	99	99	99

**Recycling:** Gemstones are often recycled by being resold as estate jewelry, reset, or recut, but this report does not account for those stones.

**Import Sources (2016–19, by value):** Diamond: India, 39%; Israel, 32%; Belgium, 13%; South Africa, 4%; and other, 12%. Diamond imports accounted for an average of 90% of the total value of gem imports.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Coral and similar materials, unworked	0508.00.0000	Free.
	Imitation gemstones	3926.90.4000	2.8% ad val.
	Pearls, imitation, pearl beads, not strung	7018.10.1000	4.0% ad val.
	Imitation gemstones, glass beads	7018.10.2000	Free.
	Pearls, natural, graded and temporarily strung	7101.10.3000	Free.
	Pearls, natural, other	7101.10.6000	Free.
	Pearls, cultured	7101.21.0000	Free.
	Diamonds, unworked or sawn	7102.31.0000	Free.
	Diamonds, ½ carat or less	7102.39.0010	Free.
	Diamonds, cut, more than ½ carat	7102.39.0050	Free.
	Other nondiamond gemstones, unworked	7103.10.2000	Free.
	Other nondiamond gemstones, uncut	7103.10.4000	10.5% ad val.
	Rubies, cut	7103.91.0010	Free.
	Sapphires, cut	7103.91.0020	Free.
	Emeralds, cut	7103.91.0030	Free.
	Other nondiamond gemstones, cut	7103.99.1000	Free.
	Other nondiamond gemstones, worked	7103.99.5000	10.5% ad val.
	Synthetic gemstones, cut but not set	7104.90.1000	Free.
	Synthetic gemstones, other	7104.90.5000	6.4% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** During 2020, the global COVID-19 pandemic affected the U.S. gemstone and jewelry industries. As the restrictions, lockdowns, and store closings were imposed, many jewelry stores initially saw reduced sales but later in the year successfully shifted sales to their websites. Monthly U.S. gemstone imports declined from March through August, with the largest decrease year-on-year of 96% happening in April. U.S. apparent consumption of gemstones decreased by 32%. All major U.S. gemstone trade shows were canceled from March through August.

## GEMSTONES

In 2020, U.S. imports for consumption of gem-quality diamonds were estimated to be about \$15 billion, which was a 31% decrease compared with \$21.7 billion in 2019. U.S. imports for consumption of natural, nondiamond gemstones were estimated to be about \$2.1 billion, which was a 22% decrease compared with \$2.7 billion in 2019. Despite the COVID-19 pandemic, the United States was once again the leading global market in terms of consumer demand. The United States is expected to continue to dominate global gemstone demand.

During 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic affected the global diamond industry with temporary diamond mine closings around the world and disruptions of the supply chain. Demand for diamonds plummeted during the pandemic, halting sales. Only demand for large, high-quality diamonds remained stable, and their prices increased steadily during the year. The pandemic forced mining companies to cancel or delay sales, and major diamond trade shows were canceled owing to health and travel restrictions. Rough diamond prices declined by between 15% and 27% at the few sales that took place, and the rough diamond market was not operating normally during the second quarter. In India, where about 80% of the world's diamonds are polished, cutting centers experienced major disruptions as gem workers contracted the virus. Imports of rough diamonds in India decreased from \$1.5 billion in February to \$1 million in April. Antwerp experienced a 20% drop in rough imports and a 46% decline in exports of polished diamonds. Worldwide, many temporary mine closures resulting from the pandemic, had yet to reopen and were at risk of becoming permanent. Many mining companies sought credit protection or were restructuring their credit. The global diamond jewelry market had an estimated value of \$80 billion in 2019 and was expected to decline by 19% in 2020.

Total world diamond production during 2020 decreased by 10% from 2019 levels. This decline was attributed to pandemic mine closures and mines becoming depleted.

### **World Gem Diamond Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production <sup>6</sup>		Reserves <sup>7</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	World reserves of diamond-bearing deposits are substantial. No reserves data are available for other gemstones.
Angola	8,230	8,000	
Australia	260	200	
Botswana	16,600	13,000	
Brazil	166	100	
Canada	18,600	17,000	
Congo (Kinshasa)	2,670	3,000	
Guinea	183	150	
Lesotho	1,110	1,000	
Namibia	2,020	1,900	
Russia	25,400	24,000	
Sierra Leone	649	550	
South Africa	5,740	4,000	
Tanzania	313	300	
Zimbabwe	211	200	
Other countries	224	230	
World total (rounded)	82,400	74,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Most diamond ore bodies have a diamond content that ranges from less than 1 carat per ton to about 6 carats per ton of ore. The major diamond reserves are in southern Africa, Australia, Canada, and Russia.

**Substitutes:** Glass, plastics, and other materials are substituted for natural gemstones. Synthetic gemstones (manufactured materials that have the same chemical and physical properties as natural gemstones) are common substitutes. Simulants (materials that appear to be gems but differ in chemical and physical characteristics) also are frequently substituted for natural gemstones.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Excludes industrial diamond and industrial garnet. See Diamond (Industrial) and Garnet (Industrial).

<sup>2</sup>Estimated minimum production.

<sup>3</sup>Includes production of freshwater shell.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as production (natural and synthetic) + imports – exports (excluding reexports).

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports (excluding reexports).

<sup>6</sup>Data in thousands of carats of gem diamond.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## GERMANIUM

(Data in kilograms of germanium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, zinc concentrates containing germanium were produced at mines in Alaska and Tennessee. Germanium-containing concentrates in Alaska were exported to a refinery in Canada for processing and germanium recovery. A zinc smelter in Clarksville, TN, produced and exported germanium leach concentrates recovered from processing zinc concentrates from the Middle Tennessee Mines. Germanium in the form of compounds and metal was imported into the United States for further processing by industry. A company in Utah produced germanium wafers for solar cells used in satellites from imported and recycled germanium. A refinery in Oklahoma recovered germanium from industry-generated scrap and produced germanium tetrachloride for the production of fiber optics. Although the consumption quantity was estimated to have remained level in 2020 compared with that in 2019, the estimated value of germanium consumed in 2020, based on the annual average germanium metal price, was \$30 million, about 19% less than that in 2019.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production, refinery:					
Primary	—	—	—	—	—
Secondary	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption:					
Germanium metal	11,000	11,100	11,900	14,100	16,000
Germanium dioxide <sup>1</sup>	15,200	12,000	12,200	21,000	10,000
Exports <sup>2</sup>	4,780	3,670	4,880	4,600	8,000
Shipments from Government stockpile	—	—	—	—	—
Consumption, estimated <sup>3</sup>	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Price, annual average, dollars per kilogram: <sup>4</sup>					
Germanium metal	1,087	1,082	1,543	1,236	1,000
Germanium dioxide	830	731	1,084	913	720
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of estimated consumption	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%	>50%

**Recycling:** Worldwide, about 30% of the total germanium consumed is produced from recycled materials. During the manufacture of most optical devices, more than 60% of the germanium metal used is routinely recycled as new scrap. Germanium scrap is also recovered from the windows in decommissioned tanks and other military vehicles. The United States has the capability to recycle new and old scrap.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>6</sup> Germanium metal: China, 58%; Belgium, 21%; Germany, 10%; Russia, 8%; and other, 3%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b>
			<b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Germanium oxides and zirconium dioxide	2825.60.0000	3.7% ad val.
	Metal, unwrought	8112.92.6000	2.6% ad val.
	Metal, powder	8112.92.6500	4.4% ad val.
	Metal, wrought	8112.99.1000	4.4% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:**<sup>7</sup>

		<b><u>FY 2020</u></b>		<b><u>FY 2021</u></b>	
<b><u>Material</u></b>	<b><u>Inventory as of 9–30–20</u></b>	<b><u>Potential acquisitions</u></b>	<b><u>Potential disposals</u></b>	<b><u>Potential acquisitions</u></b>	<b><u>Potential disposals</u></b>
Germanium metal	14,004	—	—	—	—
Germanium scrap (gross weight)	3,794	—	3,000	—	3,000
Germanium wafers (each)	68,671	—	—	—	—

## GERMANIUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The major global end uses for germanium were electronics and solar applications, fiber-optic systems, infrared optics, polymerization catalysts, and other uses (such as chemotherapy, metallurgy, and phosphors). Germanium-containing infrared optics were primarily for military use, but the commercial applications for thermal-imaging devices that use germanium lenses have increased during the past few years.

Demand for fiber-optic cable in the United States reportedly decreased during 2020 owing to decreasing demand from related industrial end use markets as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, this decrease was partially offset by Federal funding to increase broadband infrastructure in rural communities and a nationwide increase in remote work. Domestic demand for fiber-optic cable was still expected to increase as wireless carriers continue to expand and upgrade their networks.

The government of Yunnan Province, a significant area of nonferrous and minor metals production in China, created a stimulus plan directing companies to purchase and stockpile nonferrous metals in response to lower demand caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Under the plan, the government had targeted a “commercial” stockpile of about 800,000 tons of metals, including 20 tons of germanium, and set aside \$141 million to subsidize the interest on any loans taken out by companies to stockpile these metals. China’s germanium exports in January through August 2020 increased by 18% compared with those in the same period of 2019. A leading Chinese producer of processed germanium products, based in Yunnan Province, reported that production of germanium wafers for satellites in January through June 2020 was more the seven times higher than wafer production in the first half of 2019 as production ramped up at its new germanium wafer production line.

### **World Refinery Production and Reserves:<sup>8</sup>**

	Refinery production		Reserves <sup>9</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	Data on the recoverable germanium content of zinc ores are not available.
China	85,700	86,000	
Russia	5,000	5,000	
Other countries <sup>10</sup>	40,000	40,000	
World total (rounded) <sup>11</sup>	131,000	130,000	

**World Resources:<sup>9</sup>** The available resources of germanium are associated with certain zinc and lead-zinc-copper sulfide ores. Substantial U.S. reserves of recoverable germanium are contained in zinc deposits in Alaska, Tennessee, and Washington. Based on an analysis of zinc concentrates, U.S. reserves of zinc may contain as much as 2,500 tons of germanium. Because zinc concentrates are shipped globally and blended at smelters, however, the recoverable germanium in zinc reserves cannot be determined. On a global scale, as little as 3% of the germanium contained in zinc concentrates is recovered. Significant amounts of germanium are contained in ash and flue dust generated in the combustion of certain coals for power generation.

**Substitutes:** Silicon can be a less-expensive substitute for germanium in certain electronic applications. Some metallic compounds can be substituted in high-frequency electronics applications and in some light-emitting-diode applications. Zinc selenide and germanium glass substitute for germanium metal in infrared applications systems, but often at the expense of performance. Antimony and titanium are substitutes for use as polymerization catalysts.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data has been adjusted to exclude low-value shipments, then multiplied by 69% to account for germanium content.

<sup>2</sup>Includes Schedule B numbers: 8112.92.6100, 8112.99.1000, and 2825.60.0000. Data have been adjusted to exclude low-value shipments. Oxide data have been multiplied by 69% to account for germanium content.

<sup>3</sup>Estimated consumption of germanium contained in metal and germanium dioxide.

<sup>4</sup>Average European price for minimum 99.999% purity. Source: Argus Media group—Argus Metals International.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>6</sup>Import sources are based on gross weight of wrought and unwrought germanium metal and germanium metal powders.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>8</sup>Includes primary and secondary production.

<sup>9</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>10</sup>Includes Belgium, Canada, Germany, Japan, and Ukraine.

<sup>11</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## GOLD

(Data in metric tons<sup>1</sup> of gold content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, domestic gold mine production was estimated to be about 190 tons, 5% less than that in 2019, and the value was estimated to be about \$11 billion. Gold was produced in 11 States (gold mining in Montana ceased in 2019) at more than 40 lode mines, at several large placer mines in Alaska, and numerous smaller placer mines (mostly in Alaska and in the Western States). About 7% of domestic gold was recovered as a byproduct of processing domestic base-metal ores, chiefly copper ores. The top 26 operations yielded about 99% of the mined gold produced in the United States. Commercial-grade gold was produced at about 15 refineries. A few dozen companies, out of several thousand companies and artisans, dominated the fabrication of gold into commercial products. U.S. jewelry manufacturing was heavily concentrated in the New York, NY, and Providence, RI, areas, with lesser concentrations in California, Florida, and Texas. Estimated global consumption was gold-based exchange-traded funds, 34%; jewelry, 30%; physical bar, 12%; official coins and medals and imitation coins, 9%; central banks and other institutions, 7%; electrical and electronics, 6%; and other, 2%.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Mine	232	237	226	200	190
Refinery:					
Primary	242	207	205	205	200
Secondary (new and old scrap)	220	119	117	116	120
Imports for consumption <sup>2</sup>	374	255	213	199	610
Exports <sup>2</sup>	393	461	474	359	270
Consumption, reported <sup>3</sup>	210	159	154	151	160
Stocks, Treasury, yearend <sup>4</sup>	8,140	8,140	8,140	8,140	8,140
Price, dollars per troy ounce <sup>5</sup>	1,252	1,261	1,272	1,395	1,770
Employment, mine and mill, number <sup>6</sup>	11,600	11,900	12,200	12,500	12,200
Net import reliance <sup>7</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	52

**Recycling:** In 2020, an estimated 120 tons of new and old scrap was recycled, equivalent to about 75% of reported consumption. The domestic supply of gold from recycling increased slightly compared with that of 2019.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>2</sup> Ores and concentrates: Greece, 44%; Canada, 30%; Ireland, 26%; and other, <1%. Dore: Mexico, 42%; Peru, 16%; Colombia, 12%; Canada, 6%; and other, 24%. Bullion: Canada, 41%; Switzerland, 20%; Peru, 9%; Brazil and Mexico, 7% each; and other, 16%. Combined total: Mexico, 27%; Canada, 21%; Peru, 13%; Colombia, 8%; and other, 31%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
Precious metal ore and concentrates:			
Gold content of silver ores	2616.10.0080		0.8 ¢/kg on lead content.
Gold content of other ores	2616.90.0040		1.7 ¢/kg on lead content.
Gold bullion	7108.12.1013		Free.
Gold dore	7108.12.1020		Free.
Gold scrap	7112.91.0000		Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 15% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** The U.S. Department of the Treasury maintains stocks of gold (see salient statistics above), and the U.S. Department of Defense administers a Governmentwide secondary precious-metals recovery program.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The United States was not a net exporter of gold in 2020 for the first time since 2010 owing to a significant increase in imports of high-purity gold bullion. The estimated gold price in 2020 was 26% higher than the price in 2019, and 5% higher than the previous record-high annual price in 2012. The Engelhard daily price of gold in 2020 fluctuated through several cycles. Early in the year the gold price was about of \$1,580 per troy ounce before decreasing in March and increasing to an alltime high of about \$2,060 per troy ounce in August. During this time, several factors were reported to have caused the increase in price: gold demand increased to safe-haven buying as a result of the global COVID-19 pandemic and global investor uncertainty; the U.S. Federal Reserve Board cut interest rates; and trade negotiations halted between the United States and China. The price started a downward trend in October and November.

The 5% decrease in domestic mine production in 2020 was attributed to the COVID-19 pandemic. In 2020, worldwide gold mine production was estimated to be 3% less than that in 2019. Mine production remained unchanged in Argentina, China, Mali, and Sudan, and was slightly less in Australia, Canada, Ghana, and Russia compared with that of 2019.

In the first 9 months of 2020, global consumption in physical bars decreased by about 16%, in jewelry by 41%, and in industrial applications by 10%; however, gold consumption in official coins and medals and imitation coins increased by 33% compared with that of the first 9 months of 2019. Global investments in gold-based exchange-traded funds increased by almost 168%, while gold holdings in central banks decreased by about 58% during the same period.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Canada, Papua New Guinea, Peru, Russia, and South Africa were revised based on Government and (or) industry reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>8</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	200	190	3,000
Argentina	60	60	1,600
Australia	325	320	<sup>9</sup> 10,000
Brazil	90	80	2,400
Canada	175	170	2,200
China	380	380	2,000
Ghana	142	140	1,000
Indonesia	139	130	2,600
Kazakhstan	107	100	1,000
Mali	61	61	800
Mexico	111	100	1,400
Papua New Guinea	74	70	1,200
Peru	128	120	2,700
Russia	305	300	7,500
South Africa	105	90	2,700
Sudan	90	90	NA
Uzbekistan	93	90	1,800
Other countries	716	750	9,200
World total (rounded)	3,300	3,200	53,000

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> An assessment of U.S. gold resources indicated 33,000 tons of gold in identified (15,000 tons) and undiscovered (18,000 tons) resources.<sup>10</sup> Nearly one-quarter of the gold in undiscovered resources was estimated to be contained in porphyry copper deposits. The gold resources in the United States, however, are only a small portion of global gold resources.

**Substitutes:** Base metals clad with gold alloys are widely used in electrical and electronic products, and in jewelry to economize on gold; many of these products are continually redesigned to maintain high-utility standards with lower gold content. Generally, palladium, platinum, and silver may substitute for gold.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>One metric ton (1,000 kilograms) = 32,150.7 troy ounces.

<sup>2</sup>Includes refined bullion, dore, ores, concentrates, and precipitates. Excludes waste and scrap, official monetary gold, gold in fabricated items, gold in coins, and net bullion flow (in tons) to market from foreign stocks at the New York Federal Reserve Bank.

<sup>3</sup>Includes gold used in the production of consumer purchased bar, coins, and jewelry. Excludes gold as an investment (except consumer purchased bar and coins). Source: World Gold Council.

<sup>4</sup>Includes gold in Exchange Stabilization Fund. Stocks were valued at the official price of \$42.22 per troy ounce.

<sup>5</sup>Engelhard's average gold price quotation for the year. In 2020, the price was estimated by the U.S. Geological Survey based on data from January through November.

<sup>6</sup>Data from the Mine Safety and Health Administration.

<sup>7</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>9</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 4,000 tons.

<sup>10</sup>U.S. Geological Survey National Mineral Resource Assessment Team, 2000, 1998 assessment of undiscovered deposits of gold, silver, copper, lead, and zinc in the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1178, 21 p.

## GRAPHITE (NATURAL)

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, natural graphite was not produced in the United States; however, approximately 95 U.S. firms, primarily in the Great Lakes and Northeastern regions and Alabama and Tennessee, consumed 35,000 tons valued at an estimated \$21 million. The major uses of natural graphite were batteries, brake linings, lubricants, powdered metals, refractory applications, and steelmaking. During 2020, U.S. natural graphite imports were an estimated 41,000 tons, which were about 71% flake and high-purity, 28% amorphous, and 1% lump and chip graphite.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine	—	—	—	—	—
Imports for consumption	38,900	51,900	70,700	50,300	41,000
Exports	14,300	13,900	9,950	5,880	5,600
Consumption, apparent <sup>1</sup>	24,700	38,000	60,800	44,400	35,000
Price, imports (average dollars per ton at foreign ports):					
Flake	1,920	1,390	1,520	1,350	1,400
Lump and chip (Sri Lanka)	1,880	1,900	1,890	2,390	3,400
Amorphous	571	451	319	496	570
Net import reliance <sup>1</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Refractory brick and linings, alumina-graphite refractories for continuous metal castings, magnesia-graphite refractory brick for basic oxygen and electric arc furnaces, and insulation brick led the way in the recycling of graphite products. The market for recycled refractory graphite material is expanding, with material being recycled into products such as brake linings and thermal insulation. Recovering high-quality flake graphite from steelmaking kish is technically feasible, but currently not practiced. The abundance of graphite in the world market inhibits increased recycling efforts. Information on the quantity and value of recycled graphite is not available.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** China, 33%; Mexico, 23%; Canada, 17%; India, 9%; and other, 18%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Crystalline flake (not including flake dust)	2504.10.1000	Free.
	Powder	2504.10.5000	Free.
	Other	2504.90.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic lump and amorphous), 14% (domestic flake), and 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** U.S. natural graphite exports decreased each year from 2016 to 2020, with an overall 61% decline over the 5-year period. U.S. imports for consumption and apparent consumption increased each year from 2016 to 2018, when imports and consumption peaked, and declined each year during 2019 and 2020. Restrictions put in place in response to the COVID-19 pandemic caused the 2020 U.S. imports to decrease by 18%, which in turn caused a 21% decrease in U.S. apparent consumption.

In 2020, principal United States import sources of natural graphite were, in descending order of tonnage, China, Mexico, Canada, Madagascar, Mozambique, Brazil, the United Kingdom, Hong Kong, Austria, and Belgium, which combined accounted for 99% of the tonnage and 96% of the value of total United States imports. China and Mexico provided most of the amorphous graphite, and Sri Lanka provided all the lump and chip dust variety.

During 2020, China was the world's leading graphite producer, producing an estimated 62% of total world output. Approximately 40% of production in China was amorphous graphite and about 60% was flake. China produced some large flake graphite, but much of its flake graphite production is very small, in the +200-mesh range. China also processed most of the world's spherical graphite. Globally, during the first 6 months of 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic had some effect on graphite supplies, but mostly to operations outside of China. Most areas in China important for natural graphite flake production were far from the initial coronavirus occurrences. The impact was limited in these areas and the recovery was quick, which was demonstrated by China's pattern of exports. Chinese producers quickly increased production after a few months of closures in 2020. This allowed China to gain a more dominant position in the market and slow down the diversification of the supply chain.



## GRAPHITE (NATURAL)

North America produced only 2% of the world's graphite supply with production in Canada and Mexico. No production of natural graphite was reported in the United States, but two companies were developing graphite projects—one in Alabama and one in Alaska.

Large graphite deposits were being developed in Madagascar, northern Mozambique, Namibia, and south-central Tanzania. A graphite mine in Mozambique in a high-grade graphite deposit was reportedly the largest natural graphite mine globally. The mine was expected to operate for about 50 years.

A U.S. automaker continued building a large plant to manufacture lithium-ion electric vehicle batteries. The automaker reported that the plant was about 30% completed. The completed portion of the plant was operational, and it produced battery cells, battery packs, drive units, and energy storage products. At full capacity, the plant was expected to require 35,200 tons per year of spherical graphite for use as anode material for lithium-ion batteries.

New thermal technology and acid-leaching techniques have enabled the production of higher purity graphite powders that are likely to lead to development of new applications for graphite in high-technology fields. Innovative refining techniques have made the use of graphite possible in carbon-graphite composites, electronics, foils, friction materials, and specialty lubricant applications. Flexible graphite product lines are likely to be the fastest growing market. Large-scale fuel-cell applications are being developed that could consume as much graphite as all other uses combined.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Brazil, Madagascar, Sri Lanka, and Tanzania were revised based on information reported by graphite-producing companies and the Governments of those countries.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>2</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	(3)
Austria	1,000	1,000	(3)
Brazil	96,000	95,000	70,000,000
Canada	11,000	10,000	(3)
China	700,000	650,000	73,000,000
Germany	800	800	(3)
India	35,000	34,000	8,000,000
Korea, North	6,000	5,000	2,000,000
Madagascar	48,000	47,000	26,000,000
Mexico	9,000	8,000	3,100,000
Mozambique	107,000	120,000	25,000,000
Norway	16,000	15,000	600,000
Pakistan	14,000	13,000	(3)
Russia	25,100	24,000	(3)
Sri Lanka	4,000	3,500	1,500,000
Tanzania	150	150	17,000,000
Turkey	2,000	1,500	90,000,000
Ukraine	20,000	19,000	(3)
Uzbekistan	100	100	7,600,000
Vietnam	5,000	4,500	(3)
World total (rounded)	1,100,000	1,100,000	320,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>2</sup> Domestic resources of graphite are relatively small, but the rest of the world's inferred resources exceed 800 million tons of recoverable graphite.

**Substitutes:** Synthetic graphite powder, scrap from discarded machined shapes, and calcined petroleum coke compete for use in iron and steel production. Synthetic graphite powder and secondary synthetic graphite from machining graphite shapes compete for use in battery applications. Finely ground coke with olivine is a potential competitor in foundry-facing applications. Molybdenum disulfide competes as a dry lubricant but is more sensitive to oxidizing conditions.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>2</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>3</sup>Included with "World total."

## GYPSUM

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, domestic production of crude gypsum was estimated to be 22 million tons with a value of about \$190 million. The leading crude gypsum-producing States were estimated to be Iowa, Kansas, Nevada, Oklahoma, and Texas. Overall, 47 companies produced or processed gypsum in the United States at 52 mines in 16 States. The majority of domestic consumption, which totaled approximately 41 million tons, was used by agriculture, cement production, and manufacturers of wallboard and plaster products. Small quantities of high-purity gypsum, used in a wide range of industrial processes, accounted for the remaining tonnage. At the beginning of 2020, the production capacity of 63 operating gypsum panel manufacturing plants in the United States was about 34.1 billion square feet<sup>1</sup> per year. Total wallboard sales were estimated to be 26.0 billion square feet.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Crude	19,800	20,700	21,100	21,200	22,000
Synthetic <sup>2</sup>	16,700	20,700	16,600	14,400	13,000
Calcined <sup>3</sup>	17,900	17,800	17,500	17,500	17,000
Wallboard products sold, million square feet <sup>1</sup>	24,400	25,000	23,700	25,200	26,000
Imports, crude, including anhydrite	4,340	4,800	5,210	6,140	5,900
Exports, crude, not ground or calcined	43	36	36	37	32
Consumption, apparent <sup>4</sup>	40,800	46,200	42,900	41,700	40,900
Price, average, dollars per metric ton:					
Crude, free on board (f.o.b.) mine	8	7.5	8.2	8.6	8.6
Calcined, f.o.b. plant	30	30	32	35	35
Employment, mine and calcining plant, number <sup>e</sup>	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	11	10	12	15	14

**Recycling:** Approximately 700,000 tons of gypsum scrap that was generated by wallboard manufacturing was recycled onsite. The recycling of wallboard from new construction and demolition sources also took place, although those amounts are unknown. Recycled gypsum was used primarily for agricultural purposes and feedstock for the manufacture of new wallboard. Other potential markets for recycled gypsum include athletic field marking, cement production (as a stucco additive), grease absorption, sludge drying, and water treatment.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Mexico, 38%; Spain, 31%; Canada, 28%; and other, 3%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Gypsum; anhydrite	2520.10.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** U.S. gypsum production increased by 4% compared with that of 2019. Apparent consumption decreased slightly compared with that of 2019. U.S. gypsum imports decreased by an estimated 4% compared with those of 2019. Exports, although very low compared with imports and often subject to wide fluctuations, decreased by 14%.

Demand for gypsum depends principally on construction industry activity, particularly in the United States, where the majority of gypsum consumed is used for agriculture, building plasters, the manufacture of portland cement, and wallboard products. The construction of wallboard manufacturing plants designed to use synthetic gypsum from coal flue gas desulfurization (FGD) units as feedstock has resulted in less mining of natural gypsum. The availability of inexpensive natural gas, however, has limited the additional construction of FGD units and, therefore, the use of synthetic gypsum in wallboard. Despite disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the production of gypsum through the second quarter of 2020 was not affected.

## GYPSUM

The United States, the world's leading crude gypsum producer, produced an estimated 22 million tons. Iran was the second-leading producer with an estimated 16 million tons of crude production, followed by China with 16 million tons. Increased use of wallboard in Asia, coupled with new gypsum product plants, spurred increased production in that region. As wallboard becomes more widely used, worldwide gypsum production is expected to increase.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Brazil, France, and Pakistan were revised based on Government and other public data.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	21,200	22,000	700,000
Algeria	2,500	2,500	NA
Brazil	3,000	3,200	450,000
Canada	3,000	3,000	450,000
China	15,500	16,000	NA
France	3,000	3,000	350,000
Germany	3,300	3,200	NA
India	2,700	2,700	37,000
Iran	16,000	16,000	NA
Japan	4,300	4,700	NA
Mexico	5,400	5,400	NA
Oman	9,100	11,000	NA
Pakistan	1,670	2,200	6,000
Russia	5,500	3,800	NA
Saudi Arabia	3,300	3,300	NA
Spain	7,000	7,000	NA
Thailand	9,790	9,300	1,700
Turkey	10,000	10,000	200,000
Other countries	22,000	22,000	NA
World total (rounded)	148,000	150,000	Large

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Reserves are large in major producing countries, but data for most are not available. Domestic gypsum resources are adequate but unevenly distributed. Large imports from Canada augment domestic supplies for wallboard manufacturing in the United States, particularly in the eastern and southern coastal regions. Imports from Mexico supplement domestic supplies for wallboard manufacturing along portions of the United States western seaboard. Large gypsum deposits occur in the Great Lakes region, the midcontinent region, and several Western States. Foreign resources are large and widely distributed; 78 countries were thought to produce gypsum in 2020.

**Substitutes:** In such applications as stucco and plaster, cement and lime may be substituted for gypsum; brick, glass, metallic or plastic panels, and wood may be substituted for wallboard. Gypsum has no practical substitute in the manufacturing of portland cement. Synthetic gypsum generated by various industrial processes, including FGD of smokestack emissions, is very important as a substitute for mined gypsum in wallboard manufacturing, cement production, and agricultural applications (in descending order by tonnage). In 2020, synthetic gypsum was estimated to account for about 40% of the total domestic gypsum supply.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>The standard unit used in the U.S. wallboard industry is square feet; multiply square feet by  $9.29 \times 10^{-2}$  to convert to square meters. Source: The Gypsum Association.

<sup>2</sup>Synthetic gypsum used; the majority of these data were obtained from the American Coal Ash Association.

<sup>3</sup>From domestic crude and synthetic gypsum.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as domestic crude production + synthetic used + imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## HELIUM

(Data in million cubic meters of contained helium gas<sup>1</sup> unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The estimated value of Grade-A helium (99.997% or greater) extracted during 2020 by private industry was about \$322 million. Fourteen plants (one in Arizona, two in Colorado, five in Kansas, one in Oklahoma, four in Texas, and one in Utah) extracted helium from natural gas and produced crude helium that ranged from 50% to 99% helium. One plant in Colorado and another in Wyoming extracted helium from natural gas and produced Grade-A helium. Three plants in Kansas and one in Oklahoma accepted crude helium from other producers and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) pipeline and purified it to Grade-A helium. In 2020, estimated domestic apparent consumption of Grade-A helium was 40 million cubic meters (1.4 billion cubic feet), and it was used for magnetic resonance imaging, lifting gas, analytical and laboratory applications, welding, engineering and scientific applications, leak detection and semiconductor manufacturing, and various other minor applications.

### Salient Statistics—United States:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
Helium extracted from natural gas <sup>2</sup>	66	63	64	68	61
Withdrawn from storage <sup>3</sup>	23	28	26	21	13
Grade-A helium sales	89	91	90	89	75
Imports for consumption	23	19	8	7	5
Exports	62	74	84	106	100
Consumption, apparent <sup>4</sup>	50	36	40	40	40
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

In fiscal year (FY) 2020, the price for crude helium to Government users was \$3.10 per cubic meter (\$86.00 per thousand cubic feet) and to nongovernment users was \$4.29 per cubic meter (\$119.00 per thousand cubic feet). The last year helium prices were posted by the Federal Government was in 2018. The estimated price for private industry's Grade-A helium was about \$7.57 per cubic meter (\$210 per thousand cubic feet), with some producers posting surcharges to this price.

**Recycling:** In the United States, helium used in large-volume applications is seldom recycled. Some low-volume or liquid boil-off recovery systems are used. In the rest of the world, helium recycling is practiced more often.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Qatar, 75%; Canada, 9%; Algeria, 7%; Portugal, 5%; and other, 4%.

Tariff:	Item	Number	Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20
	Helium	2804.29.0010	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Allowances are applicable to natural gas from which helium is extracted, but no allowance is granted directly to helium.

**Government Stockpile:** Under the Helium Stewardship Act of 2013, the BLM manages the Federal Helium Program, which includes all operations of the Cliffside Field helium storage reservoir, in Potter County, TX, and the Government's crude helium pipeline system. Private firms that sell Grade-A helium to Federal agencies are required to purchase a like amount of (in-kind) crude helium from the BLM. The law mandated that the BLM sell at auction Federal Conservation helium stored in Bush Dome at the Cliffside Field. The last auction was completed in the summer of 2018. The remaining conservation helium is less than 83.2 million cubic meters (3 billion cubic feet). The Helium Stewardship Act requires that the BLM dispose of all helium assets including the Cliffside Field helium storage reservoir and pipeline system. The BLM will continue to make in-kind helium available to Federal customers. In fiscal year (FY) 2020, privately owned companies purchased about 5.83 million cubic meters (210 million cubic feet) of in-kind crude helium. During FY 2020, the BLM's Amarillo Field Office, Helium Operations, accepted about 0.59 million cubic meters (21 million cubic feet) of private helium for storage and redelivered nearly 1.5 million cubic meters (54 million cubic feet). As of September 30, 2020, about 62.4 million cubic meters (2.25 billion cubic feet) of privately owned helium remained in storage at Cliffside Field.

### Stockpile Status—9–30–20<sup>6</sup>

Material	Inventory	Authorized for disposal	Disposal plan FY 2021	Disposal plan FY 2022
Helium	68.9	52.3	17.4	17.4

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Helium production in 2020 decreased in the United States and worldwide in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. In April, the BLM announced plans for disposal of the remaining Federal helium inventory and assets by September 2021, after which the General Services Administration would complete the disposal process. Federal in-kind users would continue to have access to helium until September 30, 2022. In Russia, a company was building a 60-million-cubic-meter-per-year helium processing plant that was expected to be completed by late 2024.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>8</sup> Reserves for Poland and Qatar were revised based on Government and industry sources.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>9</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States (extracted from natural gas)	68	61	3,900
United States (from Cliffside Field)	21	13	( <sup>10</sup> )
Algeria	14	14	1,800
Australia	4	4	NA
Canada	<1	<1	NA
China	NA	NA	NA
Poland	1	1	23
Qatar	45	45	Large
Russia	5	5	1,700
World total (rounded)	160	140	NA

**World Resources:**<sup>9</sup> Section 16 of Public Law 113–40 requires the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) to complete a national helium gas assessment. The USGS and the BLM coordinated efforts to complete this assessment. The USGS results are expected to be published in 2021. The BLM plans to publish an update to its report of the Helium Resources of the United States by midyear 2021. Until then, the following estimates are still the best available.

As of December 31, 2006, the total helium reserves and resources of the United States were estimated to be 20.6 billion cubic meters (744 billion cubic feet). This includes 4.25 billion cubic meters (153 billion cubic feet) of measured reserves, 5.33 billion cubic meters (192 billion cubic feet) of probable resources, 5.93 billion cubic meters (214 billion cubic feet) of possible resources, and 5.11 billion cubic meters (184 billion cubic feet) of speculative resources. Measured reserves include 670 million cubic meters (24.2 billion cubic feet) of helium stored in the Cliffside Field Government Reserve and 65 million cubic meters (2.3 billion cubic feet) of helium contained in Cliffside Field native gas. The Cliffside (Texas), Hugoton (Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas), Panhandle West (Texas), Panoma (Kansas), and Riley Ridge (Wyoming) Fields are the depleting fields from which most U.S.-produced helium is extracted. These fields contained an estimated 3.9 billion cubic meters (140 billion cubic feet) of helium.

Helium resources of the world, exclusive of the United States, were estimated to be about 31.3 billion cubic meters (1.13 trillion cubic feet). The locations and volumes of the major deposits, in billion cubic meters, are Qatar, 10.1; Algeria, 8.2; Russia, 6.8; Canada, 2.0; and China, 1.1. As of December 31, 2020, the BLM had analyzed about 22,700 gas samples from 26 countries and the United States, in a program to identify world helium resources.

**Substitutes:** There is no substitute for helium in cryogenic applications if temperatures below –429 °F are required. Argon can be substituted for helium in welding, and hydrogen can be substituted for helium in some lighter-than-air applications in which the flammable nature of hydrogen is not objectionable. Hydrogen is also being investigated as a substitute for helium in deep-sea diving applications below 305 meters (1,000 feet).

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Measured at 101.325 kilopascals absolute (14.696 psia) and 15 °C; 27.737 cubic meters of helium = 1,000 cubic feet of helium at 70 °F and 14.7 psia.

<sup>2</sup>Both Grade-A and crude helium.

<sup>3</sup>Extracted from natural gas in prior years.

<sup>4</sup>Grade-A helium. Defined as Grade-A helium sales + imports – exports. However, substantial increases in exports reported in 2018, 2019, and 2020 suggest that domestic consumption declined, although no significant decline in U.S. helium consumption is thought to have taken place. For that reason, apparent consumption for 2018–2020 was estimated to have remained at about 40 million cubic meters.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>7</sup>Supervisory General Engineer, Helium Resources Division, Bureau of Land Management, Amarillo Field Office, Helium Operations, Amarillo, TX.

<sup>8</sup>Production and reserves outside of the United States are estimated.

<sup>9</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>10</sup>Included in United States (extracted from natural gas) reserves.

## INDIUM

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Indium was not recovered from ores in the United States in 2020. Several companies produced indium products—including alloys, compounds, high-purity metal, and solders—from imported indium metal. Production of indium tin oxide (ITO) continued to account for most of global indium consumption. ITO thin-film coatings were primarily used for electrically conductive purposes in a variety of flat-panel displays—most commonly liquid crystal displays (LCDs). Other indium end uses included alloys and solders, compounds, electrical components and semiconductors, and research. Based on an average of recent annual import levels, estimated domestic consumption of refined indium was 100 tons in 2020. The estimated value of refined indium consumed domestically in 2020, based on the average New York dealer price, was about \$40 million.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, refinery	—	—	—	—	—
Imports for consumption	160	127	125	95	100
Exports	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Consumption, estimated <sup>1</sup>	160	127	125	95	100
Price, annual average, dollars per kilogram:					
New York dealer <sup>2</sup>	345	363	375	390	400
Duties unpaid in warehouse, Rotterdam <sup>3</sup>	240	225	291	185	150
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of estimated consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Indium is most commonly recovered from ITO scrap in Japan and the Republic of Korea. A significant quantity of scrap was recycled domestically; however, data on the quantity of secondary indium recovered from scrap were not available.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** China, 34%; Canada, 22%; the Republic of Korea, 15%; and other, 29%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Unwrought indium, including powders, waste, and scrap	8112.92.3000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The estimated average New York dealer price of indium was \$400 per kilogram in 2020, a slight increase from that of 2019. The average monthly price was \$390 per kilogram from January through April, and it increased to an average monthly price of \$400 per kilogram from May through September. The 2020 estimated average duties unpaid in the warehouse, Rotterdam price of indium was \$150 per kilogram, 19% less than in 2019. The average duties unpaid in the warehouse, Rotterdam price began the year at \$138 per kilogram and increased throughout the year to an average of \$162 per kilogram in September.

## INDIUM

On January 18, the Yunnan Provincial government sold 3,609 tons of indium that was held by the Fanya Metal Exchange that closed in 2015. The lot was offered by an auction process between January 17 and 18. The lot was purchased by the sole bidder for \$416 million (2.65 billion yuan). The 3,609 tons of indium that was sold was equivalent to 4 years of global primary indium production.

The leading producer of tin in China announced the launch of a production line for high-purity indium with a capacity of 5 tons per year. The line has the capacity to produce 6N- and 7N-grade metal. The company is also ramping up its indium tin oxide production after completing small-scale operations.

### **World Refinery Production and Reserves:**

	Refinery production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	Quantitative estimates of reserves are not available.
Belgium	20	20	
Canada	61	50	
China	535	500	
France	40	50	
Japan	70	65	
Korea, Republic of	225	200	
Peru	12	10	
Russia	5	5	
World total (rounded)	968	900	

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Indium is most commonly recovered from the zinc-sulfide ore mineral sphalerite. The indium content of zinc deposits from which it is recovered ranges from less than 1 part per million to 100 parts per million. Although the geochemical properties of indium are such that it occurs in trace amounts in other base-metal sulfides—particularly chalcopyrite and stannite—most deposits of these minerals are subeconomic for indium recovery.

**Substitutes:** Antimony tin oxide coatings have been developed as an alternative to ITO coatings in LCDs and have been successfully annealed to LCD glass; carbon nanotube coatings have been developed as an alternative to ITO coatings in flexible displays, solar cells, and touch screens; poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) (PEDOT) has also been developed as a substitute for ITO in flexible displays and organic light-emitting diodes; and copper or silver nanowires have been explored as a substitute for ITO in touch screens. Graphene has been developed to replace ITO electrodes in solar cells and also has been explored as a replacement for ITO in flexible touch screens. Researchers have developed a more adhesive zinc oxide nanopowder to replace ITO in LCDs. Hafnium can replace indium in nuclear reactor control rod alloys.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Estimated to equal imports.

<sup>2</sup>Price is based on 99.99%-minimum-purity indium; delivered duty paid U.S. buyers; in minimum lots of 50 kilograms. Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>3</sup>Price is based on 99.99%-minimum-purity indium, duties unpaid in warehouse (Rotterdam). Sources: Metal Bulletin (2016–17) and Argus Media group—Argus Metals International (2018–20).

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## IODINE

(Data in metric tons of elemental iodine unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Iodine was produced from brines in 2020 by three companies operating in Oklahoma. U.S. iodine production in 2020 was withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. The average annual cost, insurance, and freight value of iodine imports in 2020 was estimated to be \$31 per kilogram, about a 17% increase from that of 2019.

Because domestic and imported iodine was used by downstream manufacturers to produce many intermediate iodine compounds, it was difficult to establish an accurate end-use pattern. Crude iodine and inorganic iodine compounds were thought to account for more than 50% of domestic iodine consumption in 2020. Worldwide, the leading uses of iodine and its compounds were x-ray contrast media, pharmaceuticals, liquid crystal displays (LCDs), and iodophors, in descending order of quantity consumed.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption	4,320	4,170	4,930	4,300	4,300
Exports	1,050	1,230	1,190	1,230	1,300
Consumption:					
Apparent <sup>1</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Reported	4,610	4,500	4,620	4,000	4,000
Price, crude iodine, average value of imports (cost, insurance, and freight), dollars per kilogram	22.71	19.55	22.46	26.38	31
Employment, number <sup>e</sup>	60	60	60	60	60
Net import reliance <sup>2</sup> as a percentage of reported consumption	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50

**Recycling:** Small amounts of iodine were recycled.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Chile, 88%; Japan, 11%; and other, 1%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b>
			<b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Iodine, crude	2801.20.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.



## IODINE

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** According to trade publications, spot prices for iodine crystal averaged about \$37 per kilogram during the first 9 months of 2020. Although this was an increase from the 2019 annual average of about \$30 per kilogram, prices were still considerably less than the historically high levels of \$65 to \$85 per kilogram in late 2012 and early 2013.

As in recent years, Chile was the world's leading producer of iodine, followed by Japan and the United States. Excluding production in the United States, Chile accounted for about two-thirds of world production in 2020. Most of the world's iodine supply comes from three areas: the Chilean desert nitrate mines, the gasfields and oilfields in Japan, and the iodine-rich brine wells in northwestern Oklahoma.

Domestic and international iodine production was not considerably affected by the global COVID-19 pandemic during the first half of 2020. However, market demand was expected to decrease in the second half of 2020 and will likely affect iodine prices in the latter half of the year.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** China and Iran also produce crude iodine, but output is not officially reported.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>3</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	250,000
Azerbaijan	190	200	170,000
Chile	20,200	20,000	610,000
Indonesia	40	40	100,000
Japan	9,100	9,000	4,900,000
Russia	2	2	120,000
Turkmenistan	600	600	70,000
World total (rounded)	<sup>4</sup> 30,100	<sup>4</sup> 30,000	6,200,000

**World Resources:**<sup>3</sup> Seawater contains 0.06 part per million iodine, and the oceans are estimated to contain approximately 90 billion tons of iodine. Seaweeds of the Laminaria family are able to extract and accumulate up to 0.45% iodine on a dry basis. Although not as economical as the production of iodine as a byproduct of gas, nitrates, and oil, the seaweed industry represented a major source of iodine prior to 1959 and remains a large resource.

**Substitutes:** No comparable substitutes exist for iodine in many of its principal applications, such as in animal feed, catalytic, nutritional, pharmaceutical, and photographic uses. Bromine and chlorine could be substituted for iodine in biocide, colorant, and ink, although they are usually considered less desirable than iodine. Antibiotics can be used as a substitute for iodine biocides.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>4</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## IRON AND STEEL<sup>1</sup>

(Data in million metric tons of metal unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The U.S. iron and steel industry produced raw steel in 2020 with an estimated value of about \$91 billion, an 12% decrease from \$104 billion in 2019 and \$103 billion in 2018. Pig iron and raw steel was produced by three companies operating integrated steel mills in 11 locations. Raw steel was produced by 51 companies at 98 minimills. Combined production capacity was about 110 million tons. Indiana accounted for an estimated 26% of total raw steel production, followed by Ohio, 12%; Michigan, 5%; and Pennsylvania, 5%, with no other State having more than 5% of total domestic raw steel production. Construction accounted for an estimated 46% of total domestic shipments by market classification, followed by transportation (predominantly automotive), 26%; machinery and equipment, 8%; energy, 6%; appliances, 5%; and other applications, 9%.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Pig iron production <sup>2</sup>	22.3	22.4	24.1	22.3	18.0
Raw steel production	78.5	81.6	86.6	87.8	72.0
Distribution of raw steel production, percent:					
Basic oxygen furnaces	33	32	32	30	30
Electric arc furnaces	67	68	68	70	70
Continuously cast steel	99.4	99.6	98.2	99.8	99.7
Shipments, steel mill products	78.5	82.5	86.4	87.3	71.0
Imports, steel mill products:					
Finished	23.9	26.8	23.3	19.1	14.0
Semifinished	6.1	7.8	7.3	6.2	6.1
Total	30.0	34.6	30.6	25.3	20.1
Exports, steel mill products:					
Finished	8.3	9.4	7.9	6.6	5.6
Semifinished	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
Total	8.4	9.6	8.0	6.7	5.7
Stocks, service centers, yearend <sup>4</sup>	6.6	7.0	7.3	7.4	6.0
Consumption, apparent (steel) <sup>5</sup>	93.0	98.4	102	100	82.0
Producer price index for steel mill products (1982=100) <sup>6</sup>	167.8	187.4	211.1	204.0	180.2
Employment, average, number:					
Iron and steel mills <sup>6</sup>	83,900	80,600	82,100	85,700	80,000
Steel product manufacturing <sup>7</sup>	56,300	54,300	56,700	57,800	54,000
Net import reliance <sup>8</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	16	17	15	12	12

**Recycling:** See Iron and Steel Scrap and Iron and Steel Slag.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 18%; Brazil, 14%; Mexico, 11%; the Republic of Korea, 10%; and other, 47%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
Carbon steel:			
	Semifinished	7207.00.0000	Free.
	Flat, hot-rolled	7208.00.0000	Free.
	Flat, cold-rolled	7209.00.0000	Free.
	Galvanized	7210.00.0000	Free.
	Bars and rods, hot-rolled	7213.00.0000	Free.
	Structural shapes	7216.00.0000	Free.
Stainless steel:			
	Semifinished	7218.00.0000	Free.
	Flat-rolled sheets	7219.00.0000	Free.
	Bars and rods	7222.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Not applicable.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## IRON AND STEEL

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The World Steel Association<sup>9</sup> forecast global finished steel consumption to decrease by 2.4% in 2020 as a result of the impacts related to reduced consumption and demand of manufactured products, new construction, and other consumable goods owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic. On a monthly basis, global steel demand reached its lowest point in April; however, the rate of economic recovery in various countries has been variable owing to differences in containment strategies, the domestic industry structure, and economic measures to combat slowing economic growth. A rebound in steel demand later in the year following easing of restrictions was not enough to offset early losses in consumption.

In April, multiple U.S.-based blast furnaces were idled owing to the reduced steel demand resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic; however, they all reopened in the second half of 2020. In August, one iron ore and iron metallurgy company announced it had entered into a definitive agreement to purchase two iron ore mines, six steelmaking facilities, eight finishing facilities, and three coal and cokemaking operations from another domestic iron and steel production company, making it the largest manufacturer of flat-rolled steel in North America.

### World Production:

	Pig iron		Raw steel	
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
United States	22	18	88	72
Brazil	26	23	32	28
China	809	830	996	1,000
Germany	25	21	40	33
India	74	56	111	84
Iran	3	3	32	35
Italy	5	4	23	19
Japan	75	61	99	81
Korea, Republic of	48	43	71	65
Mexico	4	3	19	15
Russia	50	49	72	69
Taiwan	15	14	22	21
Turkey	10	10	34	33
Ukraine	20	19	21	19
Vietnam	8	9	14	15
Other countries	86	85	190	210
World total (rounded)	1,280	1,200	1,860	1,800

**World Resources:** Not applicable. See Iron Ore and Iron and Steel Scrap for steelmaking raw-material resources.

**Substitutes:** Iron is the least expensive and most widely used metal. In most applications, iron and steel compete either with less expensive nonmetallic materials or with more expensive materials that have a performance advantage. Iron and steel compete with lighter materials, such as aluminum and plastics, in the motor vehicle industry; aluminum, concrete, and wood in construction; and aluminum, glass, paper, and plastics in containers.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Production and shipments data source is the American Iron and Steel Institute; see also Iron and Steel Scrap and Iron Ore.

<sup>2</sup>More than 95% of iron made is transported in molten form to steelmaking furnaces located at the same site.

<sup>3</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>4</sup>Steel mill products. Source: Metals Service Center Institute.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as steel shipments + imports of finished steel mill products – exports of steel mill products + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>6</sup>Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, North American Industry Classification System Code 331100.

<sup>7</sup>Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, North American Industry Classification System Code 331200.

<sup>8</sup>Defined as imports of finished steel mill products – total exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>9</sup>World Steel Association, 2020, Short range outlook October 2020: Brussels, Belgium, World Steel Association press release, October 15, 7 p.

## IRON AND STEEL SCRAP<sup>1</sup>

(Data in million metric tons of metal unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, the total value of domestic purchases of iron and steel scrap (receipts of ferrous scrap by all domestic consumers from brokers, dealers, and other outside sources) and exports was estimated to be \$13 billion, approximately 20% less than the \$16.3 billion in 2019 and 38% less than the \$21.1 billion in 2018. U.S. apparent steel consumption, the leading end use for iron and steel scrap was estimated to have decreased by 18% to 82 million tons in 2020 from 100 million tons in 2019. Manufacturers of pig iron, raw steel, and steel castings accounted for about 93% of scrap consumption by the domestic steel industry, using scrap together with pig iron and direct-reduced iron to produce steel products for the appliance, construction, container, machinery, oil and gas, transportation, and various other consumer industries. The ferrous castings industry consumed most of the remaining scrap to produce cast iron and steel products. Relatively small quantities of steel scrap were used for producing ferroalloys, for the precipitation of copper, and by the chemical industry; these uses collectively totaled less than 1 million tons.

During 2020, raw steel production was an estimated 72 million tons, down 18% from 87.8 million tons in 2019. Net shipments of steel mill products were an estimated 71 million tons, down 19% from 87.3 million tons in 2019.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Home scrap	5.9	5.6	5.8	5.7	4.4
Purchased scrap <sup>2</sup>	53	55	59	57	54
Imports for consumption <sup>3</sup>	3.9	4.6	5.0	4.3	4.0
Exports <sup>3</sup>	13	15	17	18	17
Consumption:					
Reported	50	51	51	50	46
Apparent <sup>4</sup>	50	50	52	51	46
Price, average, delivered, No. 1 Heavy Melting composite price, dollars per ton	196	265	3	249	213
Stocks, consumer, yearend	4.3	4.5	5.1	3.9	3.2
Employment, dealers, brokers, processors, number <sup>e</sup>	27,000	27,000	27,000	26,000	22,000
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of reported consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Recycled iron and steel scrap is a vital raw material for the production of new steel and cast iron products. The steel and foundry industries in the United States have been structured to recycle scrap and, as a result, are highly dependent upon scrap. One ton of steel that is recycled conserves 1.1 tons of iron ore, 0.6 ton of coking coal, and 0.05 ton of limestone.

Overall, the scrap recycling rate in the United States has averaged between 80% and 90% during the past decade, with automobiles making up the primary source of old steel scrap. Recycling of automobiles is nearly 100% each year, with rates fluctuating slightly owing to the rate of new vehicle production and general economic trends. More than 15 million tons of steel is recycled from automobiles annually, the equivalent of approximately 12 million cars, from more than 7,000 vehicle dismantlers and 350 car shredders in North America. The recycling of steel from automobiles is estimated to save the equivalent energy necessary to power 18 million homes every year.

Recycling rates, which fluctuate annually, were estimated to be 98% for structural steel from construction, 88% for appliances, 71% for rebar and reinforcement steel, and 70% for steel packaging. The recycling rates for appliance, can, and construction steel are expected to increase in the United States and in emerging industrial countries at an even greater rate. Public interest in recycling continues, and recycling is becoming more profitable and convenient as environmental regulations for primary production increase.

Recycling of scrap plays an important role in the conservation of energy because the remelting of scrap requires much less energy than the production of iron or steel products from iron ore. Also, consumption of iron and steel scrap by remelting reduces the burden on landfill disposal facilities and prevents the accumulation of abandoned steel products in the environment. Recycled scrap consists of approximately 58% post-consumer (old, obsolete) scrap, 24% prompt scrap (produced in steel-product manufacturing plants), and 18% home scrap (recirculating scrap from current operations).

## IRON AND STEEL SCRAP

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 70%; Mexico, 10%; the United Kingdom, 7%; Sweden, 5%; and other, 8%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Ferrous waste and scrap:		
	Stainless steel	7204.21.0000	Free.
	Turnings, shavings, chips, milling waste, sawdust, filings, trimmings, and stampings:		
	No. 1 bundles	7204.41.0020	Free.
	No. 2 bundles	7204.41.0040	Free.
	Borings, shoveling, and turnings	7204.41.0060	Free.
	Other	7204.41.0080	Free.
	Other:		
	No. 1 heavy melting	7204.49.0020	Free.
	No. 2 heavy melting	7204.49.0040	Free.
	Cut plate and structural	7204.49.0060	Free.
	Shredded	7204.49.0070	Free.
	Remelting scrap ingots	7204.50.0000	Free.
	Powders, of pig iron, spiegelisen, iron, or steel:		
	Alloy steel	7205.21.0000	Free.
	Other	7205.29.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Not applicable.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, steel mill production capacity utilization reached its lowest monthly rate since July 2009, reaching 54.6% in May 2020, with the rate declining during the year from 81.7% in January to its lowest point in May before rebounding to 68.6% in September 2020. Composite prices published for No. 1 Heavy Melting steel scrap delivered averaged about \$214 per ton during the first 8 months of 2020, a 14% decrease from \$249 per ton in 2019. The average monthly prices during this time fluctuated between a high of \$249.61 per ton in January and a low of \$194.01 per ton in July. In the first 8 months of 2020, Turkey was the primary destination for exports of ferrous scrap, by tonnage, accounting for 26% of total exports, followed by Malaysia, Mexico, and Taiwan (10% each). The value of exported scrap decreased to an estimated \$4.4 billion in 2020 from \$5.3 billion in 2019.

The World Steel Association<sup>6</sup> forecast global finished steel consumption to decrease by 2.4% in 2020 as a result of the impacts related to reduced consumption and demand of manufactured products, new construction, and other consumable goods owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic. On a monthly basis, global steel demand reached its lowest point in April; however, the rate of economic recovery in various countries has been variable owing to differences in containment strategies, the domestic industry structure, and economic measures to combat slowing economic growth. A rebound in steel demand later in the year following easing of restrictions was not enough to offset early losses in consumption.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Not applicable. See Iron and Steel and Iron Ore.

**World Resources:** Not applicable. See Iron and Steel and Iron Ore.

**Substitutes:** An estimated 2.2 million tons of direct-reduced iron was consumed in the United States in 2020 as a substitute for iron and steel scrap, down from 2.5 million tons in 2019.

<sup>6</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter.

<sup>1</sup>See also Iron and Steel and Iron Ore.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as net receipts + exports – imports.

<sup>3</sup>Excludes used rails for rerolling and other uses, and ships, boats, and other vessels for scrapping.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as home scrap + purchased scrap + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>6</sup>World Steel Association, 2020, Short range outlook October 2020: Brussels, Belgium, World Steel Association press release, October 15, 7 p.

## IRON AND STEEL SLAG

(Data in million metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Iron and steel (ferrous) slags are formed by the combination of slagging agents and impurities during the production of crude (or pig) iron and crude steel. The slags are tapped separately from the metals, cooled and processed, and primarily used in the construction industry. Data are unavailable on actual U.S. ferrous slag production, but domestic slag sales<sup>1</sup> in 2020 were estimated to be 14 million tons valued at about \$380 million. Blast furnace slag was about 50% of the tonnage sold and accounted for 88% of the total value of slag, most of which was granulated. Steel slag produced from basic oxygen and electric arc furnaces accounted for the remainder of sales. Slag was processed by 28 companies servicing active iron and steel facilities or reprocessing old slag piles at about 129 processing plants (including some iron and steel plants with more than one slag-processing facility) in 33 States, including facilities that import and grind unground slag to sell as ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS).

Air-cooled iron slag and steel slag are used primarily as aggregates in concrete (air-cooled iron slag only); asphaltic paving, fill, and road bases; both slag types also can be used as a feed for cement kilns. Almost all GGBFS is used as a partial substitute for portland cement in concrete mixes or in blended cements. Pelletized slag is generally used for lightweight aggregate but can be ground into material similar to GGBFS. Actual prices per ton ranged from a few cents for some steel slags at a few locations to about \$120 or more for some GGBFS in 2020. Owing to low unit values, most slag types can be shipped only short distances by truck, but rail and waterborne transportation allow for greater travel distances. Because much higher unit values make it economical to ship GGBFS longer distances, much of the GGBFS consumed in the United States is imported.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production (sales) <sup>1, 2</sup>	15.7	16.2	16.8	<sup>e</sup> 17	14
Imports for consumption <sup>3</sup>	1.8	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.0
Exports	( <sup>4</sup> )	( <sup>4</sup> )	( <sup>4</sup> )	( <sup>4</sup> )	( <sup>4</sup> )
Consumption, apparent <sup>5</sup>	15.7	16.2	16.8	<sup>e</sup> 17	14
Price, average value, free on board plant, dollars per ton <sup>6</sup>	22.00	24.50	26.50	27.50	27.00
Employment, number <sup>e</sup>	1,600	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
Net import reliance <sup>7</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	11	13	13	10	14

**Recycling:** Following removal of entrained metal, slag can be returned to the blast and steel furnaces as ferrous and flux feed, but data on these returns are incomplete. Entrained metal, particularly in steel slag, is routinely recovered during slag processing for return to the furnaces and is an important revenue source for slag processors; data on metal returns are unavailable.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Japan, 29%; Brazil, 18%; Canada, 14%; Italy, 12%; and other, 27%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Granulated slag	2618.00.0000	Free.
	Slag, dross, scalings, and other waste from manufacture of iron and steel:		
	Ferrous scale	2619.00.3000	Free.
	Other	2619.00.9000	Free.

## IRON AND STEEL SLAG

**Depletion Allowance:** Not applicable.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In April, several domestic blast furnaces were idled owing to the reduced steel demand resulting from the global COVID-19 pandemic. Demand increased later in the year, and all the blast furnaces idled in 2020 reopened. In recent years, U.S. blast furnaces have been closed or idled, contributing to the reduction in the domestic supply of new blast furnace slag. However, many sites have large slag stockpiles, which can allow for processing to continue for several years after the furnaces are closed or idled. The majority of U.S. steel slag production is from electric arc furnaces.

During 2020, domestic GGBFS remained in limited supply because granulation cooling was available at only two active U.S. blast furnaces. It remained unclear if new granulation cooling installations at additional blast furnace sites would be economic. Another plant produced a limited supply of pelletized slag, but it was uncertain if additional pelletizing capacity would be added. Grinding of granulated blast furnace slag was only done domestically by cement companies. Supply constraints appear to have limited domestic consumption of GGBFS in recent years. Although prices have increased, sales of GGBFS have not correlated with the increases in the quantity of cement sold since 2010.

The domestic supply of fly ash, which is used as an additive in concrete production, has decreased, owing to new restrictions of mercury and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions at coal-fired powerplants, powerplant closures, and conversion of powerplants to natural gas. Mercury emission restrictions on cement plants, enacted in 2015, may reduce the demand for fly ash as a raw material in clinker manufacture, and air-cooled and steel slags could be used as substitute raw materials. Demand for GGBFS is likely to increase because its use in cement yields a superior product in many applications and reduces the unit CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the production of the cement.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Because slag is not mined, the concept of reserves does not apply. World production data for slag were unavailable, but iron slag from blast furnaces may be estimated to be 25% to 30% of crude (pig) iron production and steel furnace slag may be estimated to be 10% to 15% of raw steel production. In 2020, world iron slag production was estimated to be between 310 million and 380 million tons, and steel slag production was estimated to be between 180 million and 270 million tons.

**World Resources:** Not applicable.

**Substitutes:** In the construction sector, ferrous slags compete with natural aggregates (crushed stone and construction sand and gravel) but are far less widely available than the natural materials. As a cementitious additive in blended cements and concrete, GGBFS mainly competes with fly ash, metakaolin, and volcanic ash pozzolans. In this respect, GGBFS reduces the amount of portland cement per ton of concrete, thus allowing more concrete to be made per ton of portland cement. Slags (especially steel slag) can be used as a partial substitute for limestone and some other natural raw materials for clinker (cement) manufacture and compete in this use with fly ash and bottom ash. Some other metallurgical slags, such as copper slag, can compete with ferrous slags in some specialty markets, such as a ferrous feed in clinker manufacture, but are generally in much more restricted supply than ferrous slags.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Processed slag sold during the year, excluding entrained metal.

<sup>2</sup>Data include sales of imported granulated blast furnace slag and exclude sales of pelletized slag.

<sup>3</sup>U.S. Census Bureau data adjusted by the U.S. Geological Survey to remove nonslag materials (such as cenospheres, fly ash, and silica fume) and slags or other residues of other metallurgical industries (especially copper slag), whose unit values are outside the range expected for granulated slag. In some years, tonnages may be underreported.

<sup>4</sup>Less than 0.05 million tons.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as total sales of slag – exports.

<sup>6</sup>Rounded to the nearest \$0.50 per ton.

<sup>7</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

## IRON ORE<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons, usable ore, unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, mines in Michigan and Minnesota shipped 98% of the usable iron ore products, which were consumed in the steel industry in the United States with an estimated value of \$4.1 billion, a decrease from \$4.4 billion in 2019. The remaining 2% of domestic iron ore was produced for nonsteel end uses. Seven open pit iron ore mines (each with associated concentration and pelletizing plants), and three iron metallic plants—one direct-reduced iron (DRI) plant in Louisiana and two hot-briquetted iron (HBI) plants in Indiana and Texas—operated during the year to supply steelmaking raw materials. The United States was estimated to have produced 1.5% and consumed 1.1% of the world's iron ore output.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Iron ore	41,800	47,900	49,500	46,900	37,000
Iron metallics	2,070	3,250	3,560	3,660	3,400
Shipments	46,600	46,900	50,400	47,000	34,000
Imports for consumption	3,010	3,720	3,810	3,980	2,900
Exports	8,710	10,600	12,700	11,400	10,000
Consumption:					
Reported	34,500	34,400	36,600	34,800	27,000
Apparent <sup>3</sup>	37,900	40,100	41,400	39,100	33,000
Price, average value reported by mines, dollars per ton	73.11	78.54	93.00	92.94	108.00
Stocks, mine, dock, and consuming plant, excluding byproduct ore, yearend	2,990	3,930	3,100	3,500	1,100
Employment, mine, concentrating and pelletizing plant, number	4,660	4,630	4,860	4,960	4,700
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption (iron content of ore)	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** None. See Iron and Steel Scrap.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Brazil, 58%; Canada, 21%; Sweden, 7%, Chile, 4%; and other, 10%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
Iron ores and concentrates:			
	Concentrates	2601.11.0030	Free.
	Coarse ores	2601.11.0060	Free.
	Other ores	2601.11.0090	Free.
	Pellets	2601.12.0030	Free.
	Briquettes	2601.12.0060	Free.
	Sinter	2601.12.0090	Free.
	Roasted iron pyrites	2601.20.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 15% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Significant decreases in production, shipments, and trade in 2020 were due to the ongoing effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, which lowered steel production and consumption globally. Domestic iron ore production was estimated to be 37 million tons in 2020, 21% lower than 46.9 million tons in 2019, owing to the closure of multiple iron ore plants. Total raw steel production was estimated to have decreased to 69 million tons in 2020 from 87.8 million tons in 2019. The share of steel produced by basic oxygen furnaces, the process that uses iron ore, continued to decline from 37.3% in 2015 to an estimated 30% in 2020 owing to increased use of electric arc furnaces because of their energy efficiency, reduced environmental impacts, and the ready supply of scrap.

Overall, global prices trended upwards to an average value of \$97.96 per ton in the first 8 months of 2020, a 4% increase from the 2019 annual average of \$93.85 per ton and a 40% increase from the 2018 annual average of \$69.75 per ton. Based on reported prices for iron ore fines (62% iron content) imported into China (cost and freight into Tianjin Port), the highest monthly average price during the first 8 months of 2020 was \$121.07 per ton in August compared with the high of \$120.24 per ton in July 2019. The lowest monthly average price during the same period in



## IRON ORE

2020 was \$84.73 per ton in April compared with the low of \$76.16 per ton in January 2019. The prices trended upwards owing to a reduced supply of higher grade iron ore products, spurred partially by closures of pelletizing plants in Brazil. One company in Brazil cut guidance for pellet sales in 2020 by 25 million to 30 million tons based on first-quarter projections following 302 million tons of iron ore production in 2019, a decrease from 385 million tons produced in 2018, owing to a tailings dam collapse that idled operations at the collocated mine.

In August, one company expected to begin production at a hot-briquetted iron plant under construction in Ohio in late 2020, and announced it had entered into a definitive agreement to purchase two iron ore mines, six steelmaking facilities, eight finishing facilities, and three coal and cokemaking operations from another domestic iron and steel production company. In the first half of 2020, five domestic iron ore mines were idled with only four restarting in the second half of the year. One mine continued to remain idle with no plans to restart as of October. Globally, iron ore production in 2020 was expected to decrease slightly from that of 2019. Global finished steel consumption was forecast by the World Steel Association<sup>5</sup> to decrease by 2.4% in 2020 and increase by 4.1% in 2021.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Australia, Brazil, and South Africa were revised based on Government and industry sources.

	Mine production				Reserves <sup>6, 7</sup>	
	Usable ore		Iron content		Crude ore	Iron content
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>		
United States	46,900	37,000	29,800	24,000	3,000	1,000
Australia	919,000	900,000	569,000	560,000	<sup>8</sup> 50,000	<sup>8</sup> 24,000
Brazil	405,000	400,000	258,000	252,000	34,000	15,000
Canada	58,500	57,000	35,200	34,000	6,000	2,300
Chile	13,100	13,000	8,430	8,000	NA	NA
China	351,000	340,000	219,000	210,000	20,000	6,900
India	238,000	230,000	148,000	140,000	5,500	3,400
Iran	33,100	32,000	21,700	21,000	2,700	1,500
Kazakhstan	22,000	21,000	6,150	5,900	2,500	900
Peru	15,100	15,000	10,100	10,000	NA	1,500
Russia	97,500	95,000	64,300	63,000	25,000	14,000
South Africa	72,400	71,000	41,200	40,000	1,000	640
Sweden	35,700	35,000	22,100	22,000	1,300	600
Turkey	16,400	16,000	9,110	8,900	NA	NA
Ukraine	63,200	62,000	39,500	39,000	<sup>9</sup> 6,500	<sup>9</sup> 2,300
Other countries	67,700	75,000	39,000	43,000	18,000	9,500
World total (rounded)	2,450,000	2,400,000	1,520,000	1,500,000	180,000	84,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> U.S. resources are estimated to be 110 billion tons of iron ore containing about 27 billion tons of iron. U.S. resources are mainly low-grade taconite-type ores from the Lake Superior district that require beneficiation and agglomeration prior to commercial use. World resources are estimated to be greater than 800 billion tons of crude ore containing more than 230 billion tons of iron.

**Substitutes:** The only source of primary iron is iron ore, used directly as direct-shipping ore or converted to briquettes, concentrates, DRI, iron nuggets, pellets, or sinter. DRI, iron nuggets, and scrap are extensively used for steelmaking in electric arc furnaces and in iron and steel foundries. Technological advancements have been made, which allow hematite to be recovered from tailings basins and pelletized.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Data are for iron ore used as a raw material in steelmaking unless otherwise noted. See also Iron and Steel and Iron and Steel Scrap.

<sup>2</sup>Except where noted, salient statistics are for all forms of iron ore used in steelmaking, and do not include iron metalics, which include DRI, hot-briquetted iron, and iron nuggets.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>World Steel Association, 2020, Short range outlook October 2020: Brussels, Belgium, World Steel Association press release, October 15, 7 p.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Million metric tons.

<sup>8</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 23 billion tons for crude ore and 11 billion tons for iron content.

<sup>9</sup>For Ukraine, reserves consist of the A and B categories of the Soviet reserves classification system.

## IRON OXIDE PIGMENTS

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Iron oxide pigments (IOPs) were mined domestically by two companies in Alabama and Georgia. Mine production, which was withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data, decreased in 2020 from that of 2019. Five companies, including the two producers of natural IOPs, processed and sold about 26,000 tons of finished natural and synthetic IOPs with an estimated value of \$15 million. About 46% of natural and synthetic finished IOPs were used in concrete and other construction materials; 13% for foundry sands and other foundry uses; 6% each in animal feed and industrial coatings; 5% for paint and coatings; 3% each in plastics, and glass and ceramics; and the remaining 18% in other uses.

**Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Mine production, crude	W	W	W	W	W
Sold or used, finished natural and synthetic IOPs	48,500	47,300	48,200	19,200	26,000
Imports for consumption	179,000	179,000	179,000	159,000	180,000
Exports, pigment grade	15,800	13,500	11,100	11,200	10,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>1</sup>	212,000	213,000	216,000	167,000	200,000
Price, average value, dollars per kilogram <sup>2</sup>	1.46	1.46	1.58	0.69	0.58
Employment, mine and mill, number	60	60	60	55	47
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of:					
Apparent consumption	77	78	78	89	87
Reported consumption	>75	>75	>75	>75	>75

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Natural: Spain, 43%; Cyprus, 33%; France, 11%; Austria, 10%; and other, 3%.

Synthetic: China, 48%; Germany, 32%; Brazil, 7%; and other, 13%. Total: China, 47%; Germany, 31%; Brazil, 7%; and other, 15%.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u> <u>12–31–20</u>
Natural:			
	Micaceous iron oxides	2530.90.2000	2.9% ad val.
	Earth colors	2530.90.8015	Free.
Iron oxides and hydroxides containing			
70% or more by weight Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> :			
Synthetic:			
Black	2821.10.0010		3.7% ad val.
Red	2821.10.0020		3.7% ad val.
Yellow	2821.10.0030		3.7% ad val.
Other	2821.10.0040		3.7% ad val.
Earth colors	2821.20.0000		5.5% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## IRON OXIDE PIGMENTS

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, domestic mine production of crude natural IOPs decreased compared with 2019 production owing to a major producer closing down multiple facilities. Production and sales of finished natural and synthetic IOPs increased by about 35% compared with those of 2019. Production and sales of synthetic IOPs also increased in 2020, owing in part to low mortgage interest rates and increased demand for single-family homes as the global COVID-19 pandemic made multifamily homes less desirable. In the United States, residential construction, in which IOPs are commonly used to color concrete block and brick, ready-mixed concrete, and roofing tiles, increased slightly during the first 9 months of 2020 compared with that of the same period in 2019. Housing starts increased by about 5% in 2020 compared with those of 2019.

Exports of pigment-grade IOPs decreased by about 8% during the first 9 months of 2020 compared with those during the same period in 2019, mostly owing to a significant decrease in exports to Argentina, South Africa, and Spain. About 53% of pigment-grade IOPs went to Mexico, China, Thailand, Germany, Belgium, Chile, India, and Brazil, in descending order of quantity. Exports of other grades of iron oxides and hydroxides decreased by about 29% during the first 9 months of 2020 compared with those of the same period in 2019. About 92% of exports of other grades of iron oxides and hydroxides went to Germany, Colombia, Vietnam, and Canada, in descending order of quantity. Total imports of natural and synthetic IOPs increased by 13% in 2020 compared with those in 2019.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>4</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	Moderate
Austria (micaceous IOPs)	NA	NA	NA
Cyprus (umber)	3,013	3,500	Moderate
France	8,000	8,000	NA
Germany <sup>5</sup>	360,000	360,000	Moderate
India (ocher)	2,500,000	2,500,000	37,000,000
Italy	9,000	9,000	NA
Pakistan (ocher)	70,000	50,000	100,000
Spain (ocher and red iron oxide)	10,000	10,000	Large
World total	<sup>6</sup> NA	<sup>6</sup> NA	Large

**World Resources:**<sup>4</sup> Domestic and world resources for production of IOPs are adequate. Adequate resources are available worldwide for the manufacture of synthetic IOPs.

**Substitutes:** Milled IOPs are thought to be the most commonly used natural minerals for pigments. Because IOPs are color stable, low cost, and nontoxic, they can be economically used for imparting black, brown, red, and yellow coloring in large and relatively low-value applications. Other minerals may be used as colorants, but they generally cannot compete with IOPs because of their higher costs and more limited availability. Synthetic IOPs are widely used as colorants and compete with natural IOPs in many color applications. Organic colorants are used for some colorant applications, but many of the organic compounds fade over time from exposure to sunlight.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as sold or used finished natural and synthetic iron oxide pigments + imports – exports.

<sup>2</sup>Average unit value for finished iron oxide pigments sold or used by U.S. producers.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>5</sup>Includes natural and synthetic iron oxide pigments.

<sup>6</sup>A significant number of other countries, including Azerbaijan, Brazil, China, Honduras, Iran, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, Paraguay, Russia, South Africa, Turkey, Ukraine, and the United Kingdom, are thought to produce iron oxide pigments, but output was not reported and no basis was available to make reliable estimates of production.

## KYANITE AND RELATED MINERALS

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In Virginia, one firm with integrated mining and processing operations produced an estimated 85,000 tons of kyanite worth \$30 million from two hard-rock open pit mines and synthetic mullite by calcining kyanite. Two other companies, one in Alabama and another in Georgia, produced synthetic mullite from materials mined from four sites; each company sourced materials from one site in Alabama and one site in Georgia. Synthetic mullite production data are withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. Commercially produced synthetic mullite is made by sintering or fusing such feedstock materials as kyanite, kaolin, bauxite, or bauxitic kaolin. Natural mullite occurrences typically are rare and not economical to mine. Of the kyanite-mullite output, 90% was estimated to have been used in refractories and 10% in other uses, including abrasive products, such as motor vehicle brake shoes and pads and grinding and cutting wheels; ceramic products, such as electrical insulating porcelains, sanitaryware, and whiteware; foundry products and precision casting molds; and other products. An estimated 60% to 70% of the refractory use was by the iron and steel industries, and the remainder was by industries that manufacture cement, chemicals, glass, nonferrous metals, and other materials. Andalusite was commercially mined from an andalusite-pyrophyllite-sericite deposit in North Carolina and processed as a blend of primarily andalusite for use by producers of refractories in making firebrick.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production:					
Kyanite, mine	179,700	191,300	189,200	191,300	85,000
Synthetic mullite	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption (andalusite)	2,510	7,420	8,590	6,960	1,000
Exports (kyanite)	37,100	42,300	43,000	40,100	37,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Price, average, kyanite, <sup>3</sup> dollars per metric ton:					
Raw concentrate	270	270	NA	NA	NA
Calcined	420	420	NA	NA	NA
Employment, number: <sup>e</sup>					
Kyanite, mine, office, and plant	150	140	150	150	140
Synthetic mullite, office and plant	210	200	200	200	200
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>5</sup> South Africa, 79%; Peru, 11%; France, 6%; United Kingdom, 3%; and other, 1%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b>
			<b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Andalusite, kyanite, and sillimanite	2508.50.0000	Free.
	Mullite	2508.60.0000	Free.

## KYANITE AND RELATED MINERALS

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Crude steel production in the United States, which ranked fourth in the world, decreased by about 20% in the first 8 months of 2020 compared with that of the same period in 2019, indicating a similar change in consumption of kyanite-mullite refractories. Total world steel production decreased by about 4% during the first 8 months of 2020 compared with that of the same period in 2019. The decrease in world steel production during the first 8 months of 2020 was the result of economic disruptions owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic. The steel industry continued to be the largest market for refractories.

In March 2020, mines in India, Peru, and South Africa were temporarily closed in response to national lockdowns imposed to limit the spread of COVID-19. Mines gradually reopened as restrictions eased, but production recovery efforts were complicated by logistical issues as well as new health and safety guidelines implemented to help protect workers. The resulting loss of industrial output may correspond with reduced global demand in 2020.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States (kyanite)	191,300	85,000	Large
India (kyanite and sillimanite)	72,700	69,000	7,200,000
Peru (andalusite)	40,000	37,000	NA
South Africa (andalusite)	190,000	180,000	NA
World total (rounded)	<sup>7</sup> NA	<sup>7</sup> NA	NA

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Large resources of kyanite and related minerals are known to exist in the United States. The chief resources are in deposits of micaceous schist and gneiss, mostly in the Appalachian Mountains and in Idaho. Other resources are in aluminous gneiss in southern California. These resources are not economical to mine at present. The characteristics of kyanite resources in the rest of the world are thought to be similar to those in the United States. Significant resources of andalusite are known to exist in China, France, Peru, and South Africa; kyanite resources have been identified in Brazil, India, and Russia; and sillimanite has been identified in India.

**Substitutes:** Two types of synthetic mullite (fused and sintered), superduty fire clays, and high-alumina materials are substitutes for kyanite in refractories. Principal raw materials for synthetic mullite are bauxite, kaolin and other clays, and silica sand.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Source: Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Source: Industrial Minerals, average yearend price.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Includes data for the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States code: 2508.50.0000.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>In addition to the countries listed, France continued production of andalusite, and Cameroon and China produced kyanite and related minerals. Output was not reported quantitatively, and no reliable basis was available for estimation of output levels.

## LEAD

(Data in thousand metric tons of lead content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Six lead mines in Missouri, plus four mines in Alaska and Idaho that produced lead as a principal product or byproduct, accounted for all domestic lead mine production. One mine in Washington closed after the current reserves were exhausted in 2019. The value of the lead in concentrates mined in 2020, based on the average North American Market price for refined lead, was about \$574 million. Nearly all lead mine production has been exported since the last primary refinery closed in 2013. The 12 secondary refineries in 10 States accounted for more than 95% of the secondary lead produced in 2020. It was estimated that the lead-acid battery industry accounted for about 92% of reported U.S. lead consumption during 2020. Lead-acid batteries were primarily used as starting-lighting-ignition (SLI) batteries for automobiles, as industrial-type batteries for standby power for computer and telecommunications networks, and for motive power. During the first 7 months of 2020, 73 million lead-acid automotive batteries were shipped by North American producers, essentially unchanged from those shipped in the same period of 2019.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production:					
Mine, lead in concentrates	346	310	280	274	290
Primary refinery	—	—	—	—	—
Secondary refinery, old scrap	1,110	1,140	1,140	1,170	1,100
Imports for consumption:					
Lead in concentrates	—	( <sup>1</sup> )	—	( <sup>1</sup> )	—
Refined metal, unwrought (gross weight)	533	658	563	501	370
Exports:					
Lead in concentrates	341	269	251	259	270
Refined metal, unwrought (gross weight)	43	24	70	25	17
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	1,600	1,770	1,630	1,650	1,500
Price, average, cents per pound: <sup>3</sup>					
North American market	94.4	114.5	110.9	99.9	89.8
London Metal Exchange (LME), cash	84.8	105.1	101.8	91.0	81.5
Employment, mine and mill (average), number <sup>4</sup>	1,970	1,890	1,860	1,790	1,900
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption, refined metal	31	36	30	29	24

**Recycling:** In 2020, about 1.1 million tons of secondary lead was produced, an amount equivalent to 73% of apparent domestic consumption. Nearly all secondary lead was recovered from old scrap, mostly lead-acid batteries.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Refined metal: Canada, 44%; the Republic of Korea, 18%; Mexico, 18%; India, 5%; and other, 15%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Lead ores and concentrates, lead content	2607.00.0020	1.1¢/kg on lead content.
	Refined lead	7801.10.0000	2.5% on the value of the lead content.
	Antimonial lead	7801.91.0000	2.5% on the value of the lead content.
	Alloys of lead	7801.99.9030	2.5% on the value of the lead content.
	Other unwrought lead	7801.99.9050	2.5% on the value of the lead content.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## LEAD

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** During the first 10 months of 2020, the average LME cash price for lead was 81.5 cents per pound, 10% less than the average price in 2019. Global stocks of lead in LME-approved warehouses were 124,000 tons in October 2020, which was 88% more than those at yearend 2019.

In 2020, domestic mine production was estimated to have increased by 6% from that in the previous year. However, domestic production of secondary lead decreased by 6% from that in the previous year. U.S. apparent consumption of refined lead decreased by 9% from that in the previous year. In the first 9 months of 2020, 19.7 million spent SLI lead-acid batteries were exported, slightly less than that in the same time period in 2019.

According to the International Lead and Zinc Study Group,<sup>6</sup> global refined lead production in 2020 decreased by 4% to 11.7 million tons, and metal consumption decreased by 7% to 11.4 million tons. In 2020, the quarantine-related restrictions imposed as a result of the global COVID-19 pandemic affected the mining industry in several countries, especially Bolivia, Kazakhstan, Mexico, and Peru.<sup>7</sup> Consequently, world mine production fell by 7% in 2020 compared with the previous year.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves estimates for Peru and Russia were revised based on new information from Government reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>8</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	274	290	5,000
Australia	509	480	<sup>9</sup> 36,000
Bolivia	88	65	1,600
China	2,000	1,900	18,000
India	200	210	2,500
Kazakhstan	56	30	2,000
Mexico	259	240	5,600
Peru	308	240	6,000
Russia	230	220	4,000
Sweden	69	70	1,100
Tajikistan	65	65	NA
Turkey	71	72	860
Other countries	591	520	5,000
World total (rounded)	4,720	4,400	88,000

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> Identified world lead resources total more than 2 billion tons. In recent years, significant lead resources have been identified in association with zinc and (or) silver or copper deposits in Australia, China, Ireland, Mexico, Peru, Portugal, Russia, and the United States (Alaska).

**Substitutes:** Substitution by plastics has reduced the use of lead in cable covering and cans. Tin has replaced lead in solder for potable water systems. The electronics industry has moved toward lead-free solders and flat-panel displays that do not require lead shielding. Steel and zinc are common substitutes for lead in wheel weights.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as primary refined production + secondary refined production (old scrap) + refined imports – refined exports.

<sup>3</sup>Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>4</sup>Includes lead and zinc-lead mines for which lead was either a principal product or significant byproduct. Data from the Mine Safety and Health Administration.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>International Lead and Zinc Study Group, 2020, ILZSG session/forecasts: Lisbon, Portugal, International Lead and Zinc Study Group news release, October 16.

<sup>7</sup>International Lead and Zinc Study Group, 2020, ILZSG session/forecasts: Lisbon, Portugal, International Lead and Zinc Study Group press release, October 21.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>9</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 12 million tons.

## LIME<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, an estimated 16 million tons of quicklime and hydrate was produced (excluding independent commercial hydrators<sup>2</sup>), valued at about \$2.2 billion. At yearend, 28 companies were producing lime, which included 18 companies with commercial sales and 10 companies that produced lime strictly for internal use (for example, sugar companies). These companies had 74 primary lime plants (plants operating quicklime kilns) in 28 States and Puerto Rico. One lime plant was idle in 2020. Five of the 28 companies operated only hydrating plants in 9 States. In 2020, the five leading U.S. lime companies produced quicklime or hydrate in 22 States and accounted for about 72% of U.S. lime production. Principal producing States were, in alphabetical order, Alabama, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, and Texas. Major markets for lime were, in descending order of consumption, steelmaking, chemical and industrial applications (such as the manufacture of fertilizer, glass, paper and pulp, and precipitated calcium carbonate, and in sugar refining), flue gas treatment, construction, water treatment, and nonferrous mining.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production <sup>3</sup>	17,300	17,600	18,000	16,900	16,000
Imports for consumption	376	367	370	342	310
Exports	329	391	424	347	260
Consumption, apparent <sup>4</sup>	17,300	17,600	18,000	16,900	16,000
Quicklime average value, dollars per ton at plant	119.7	120.8	125.2	128.3	128
Hydrate average value, dollars per ton at plant	145.4	147.1	151.6	154.6	154
Employment, mine and plant, number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	<1	E	E	E	<1

**Recycling:** Large quantities of lime are regenerated by paper mills. Some municipal water-treatment plants regenerate lime from softening sludge. Quicklime is regenerated from waste hydrated lime in the carbide industry. Data for these sources were not included as production in order to avoid duplication.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 92%; Mexico, 7%; and other, 1%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Calcined dolomite	2518.20.0000	3% ad val.
	Quicklime	2522.10.0000	Free.
	Slaked lime	2522.20.0000	Free.
	Hydraulic lime	2522.30.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Limestone produced and used for lime production, 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, domestic lime production was estimated to have decreased by 5% from that of 2019. A decline in lime production was a result of plants temporarily closing as a result of the global COVID-19 pandemic. In San Bernardino County, CA, plans were underway to construct a new quicklime plant using crushed limestone transported to the site from a nearby quarry. In Texas, one company planned to expand lime production capacity at two separate plants by 2021. One plant was adding a new vertical kiln to meet increasing steel industry demand for high-purity dolomitic lime products. The other plant was adding a new energy-efficient lime kiln to produce high-calcium lime products to meet the increasing demand from the steel and construction industries. The total number of operating quicklime plants was 73 in 2020 along with 10 hydrating plants. Hydrated lime is a dry calcium hydroxide powder made from reacting quicklime with a controlled amount of water in a hydrator. It is used in chemical and industrial, construction, and environmental applications.



## LIME

**World Lime Production and Limestone Reserves:**

	Production <sup>6</sup>		Reserves <sup>7</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	16,900	16,000	Adequate for all countries listed.
Australia	1,980	2,000	
Belgium <sup>8</sup>	1,560	1,500	
Brazil	8,100	8,100	
Bulgaria	1,460	1,500	
Canada (shipments)	1,710	1,700	
China	310,000	300,000	
France	2,600	2,600	
Germany	7,100	7,100	
India	16,000	16,000	
Iran	3,450	3,300	
Italy <sup>8</sup>	3,500	3,500	
Japan (quicklime only)	7,320	7,300	
Korea, Republic of	5,200	5,200	
Malaysia	1,600	1,600	
Poland (hydrated and quicklime)	2,700	2,700	
Romania	1,960	1,900	
Russia (industrial and construction)	11,000	11,000	
Slovenia	1,190	1,100	
South Africa	1,300	1,300	
Spain	1,800	1,800	
Turkey	4,600	4,600	
Ukraine	2,250	2,200	
United Kingdom	1,500	1,500	
Other countries	15,500	15,000	
World total (rounded)	432,000	420,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Domestic and world resources of limestone and dolomite suitable for lime manufacture are very large.

**Substitutes:** Limestone is a substitute for lime in many applications, such as agriculture, fluxing, and sulfur removal. Limestone, which contains less reactive material, is slower to react and may have other disadvantages compared with lime, depending on the application; however, limestone is considerably less expensive than lime. Calcined gypsum is an alternative material in industrial plasters and mortars. Cement, cement kiln dust, fly ash, and lime kiln dust are potential substitutes for some construction uses of lime. Magnesium hydroxide is a substitute for lime in pH control, and magnesium oxide is a substitute for dolomitic lime as a flux in steelmaking.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Data are for quicklime, hydrated lime, and refractory dead-burned dolomite. Includes Puerto Rico.

<sup>2</sup>To avoid double counting quicklime production, excludes independent commercial hydrators that purchase quicklime for hydration.

<sup>3</sup>Sold or used by producers.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports. Includes some double counting based on nominal, undifferentiated reporting of company export sales as U.S. production.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>Only countries that produced 1 million tons of lime or more are listed separately.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>8</sup>Includes hydraulic lime.

## LITHIUM

(Data in metric tons of lithium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The only lithium production in the United States was from a brine operation in Nevada. Two companies produced a wide range of downstream lithium compounds in the United States from domestic or imported lithium carbonate, lithium chloride, and lithium hydroxide. Domestic production data were withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

Although lithium markets vary by location, global end-use markets are estimated as follows: batteries, 71%; ceramics and glass, 14%; lubricating greases, 4%; continuous casting mold flux powders, 2%; polymer production, 2%; air treatment, 1%; and other uses, 6%. Lithium consumption for batteries has increased significantly in recent years because rechargeable lithium batteries are used extensively in the growing market for portable electronic devices and increasingly are used in electric tools, electric vehicles, and grid storage applications. Lithium minerals were used directly as ore concentrates in ceramics and glass applications.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption	3,140	3,330	3,420	2,620	2,900
Exports	1,520	1,960	1,660	1,680	1,400
Consumption, estimated <sup>1</sup>	3,000	3,000	3,000	2,000	2,000
Price, annual average, battery-grade lithium carbonate, dollars per metric ton <sup>2</sup>	8,650	15,000	17,000	12,700	8,000
Employment, mine and mill, number	70	70	70	70	70
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of estimated consumption	>50	>50	>50	>25	>50

**Recycling:** One domestic company has recycled lithium metal and lithium-ion batteries since 1992 at its facility in British Columbia, Canada. In 2015, the company began operating the first U.S. recycling facility for lithium-ion vehicle batteries in Lancaster, OH. Seven other companies located in Canada and the United States have begun recycling, or intend to begin recycling, lithium metal and lithium-ion batteries to some degree.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Argentina, 55%; Chile, 36%; China, 5%; Russia, 2%; and other, 2%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Other alkali metals	2805.19.9000	5.5% ad val.
	Lithium oxide and hydroxide	2825.20.0000	3.7% ad val.
	Lithium carbonate:		
	U.S. pharmaceutical grade	2836.91.0010	3.7% ad val.
	Other	2836.91.0050	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:<sup>4</sup>**

<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>FY 2020 Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>FY 2021 Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Lithium cobalt oxide (kilograms, gross weight)	750	—	—	—	—
Lithium nickel cobalt aluminum oxide (kilograms, gross weight)	2,700	—	—	—	—
Lithium-ion precursors (kilograms, gross weight)	—	—	—	—	—

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Excluding U.S. production, worldwide lithium production in 2020 decreased by 5% to 82,000 tons of lithium content from 86,000 tons of lithium content in 2019 in response to lithium production exceeding consumption and decreasing lithium prices. Global consumption of lithium in 2020 was estimated to be 56,000 tons of lithium content, about the same as that of 2019. During the first half of 2020, the economic impact of the global COVID-19 pandemic was reported to have been a substantial factor in the reduction of customer demand. The second half of 2020 saw lithium demand increase owing primarily to strong growth in the lithium-ion battery market.

## LITHIUM

Spot lithium carbonate prices in China decreased from approximately \$7,100 per ton at the beginning of the year to about \$6,200 per ton in November. For large fixed contracts, the annual average U.S. lithium carbonate price was \$8,000 per metric ton in 2020, a 37% decrease from that of 2019. Spot lithium hydroxide prices in China decreased from approximately \$7,800 per ton at the beginning of the year to about \$7,000 per ton in November. Spot lithium metal (99.9% lithium) prices in China decreased from approximately \$83,000 per ton at the beginning of the year to about \$71,000 per ton in November.

Five mineral operations in Australia, two brine operations each in Argentina and Chile, and two brine and one mineral operation in China accounted for the majority of world lithium production. Owing to overproduction and decreased prices, several established lithium operations postponed capacity expansion plans. Junior mining operations in Australia and Canada ceased production altogether.

Lithium supply security has become a top priority for technology companies in the United States and Asia. Strategic alliances and joint ventures among technology companies and exploration companies continued to be established to ensure a reliable, diversified supply of lithium for battery suppliers and vehicle manufacturers. Brine-based lithium sources were in various stages of development in Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, China, and the United States; mineral-based lithium sources were in various stages of development in Australia, Austria, Brazil, Canada, China, Congo (Kinshasa), Czechia, Finland, Germany, Mali, Namibia, Peru, Portugal, Serbia, Spain, and Zimbabwe; and lithium-clay sources were in various stages of development in Mexico and the United States.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Argentina, Australia, Canada, Chile, China, the United States, Zimbabwe, and other countries were revised based on new information from Government and industry sources.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	750,000
Argentina	6,300	6,200	1,900,000
Australia	45,000	40,000	<sup>6</sup> 4,700,000
Brazil	2,400	1,900	95,000
Canada	200	—	530,000
Chile	19,300	18,000	9,200,000
China	10,800	14,000	1,500,000
Portugal	900	900	60,000
Zimbabwe	1,200	1,200	220,000
Other countries <sup>7</sup>	—	—	2,100,000
World total (rounded)	<sup>8</sup> 86,000	<sup>8</sup> 82,000	21,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Owing to continuing exploration, identified lithium resources have increased substantially worldwide and total about 86 million tons. Lithium resources in the United States—from continental brines, geothermal brines, hectorite, oilfield brines, and pegmatites—are 7.9 million tons. Lithium resources in other countries have been revised to 78 million tons. Lithium resources are Bolivia, 21 million tons; Argentina, 19.3 million tons; Chile, 9.6 million tons; Australia, 6.4 million tons; China, 5.1 million tons; Congo (Kinshasa), 3 million tons; Canada, 2.9 million tons; Germany, 2.7 million tons; Mexico, 1.7 million tons; Czechia, 1.3 million tons; Serbia, 1.2 million tons; Peru, 880,000 tons; Mali, 700,000 tons; Zimbabwe, 500,000 tons; Brazil, 470,000 tons; Spain, 300,000 tons; Portugal, 270,000 tons; Ghana, 90,000 tons; and Austria, Finland, Kazakhstan, and Namibia, 50,000 tons each.

**Substitutes:** Substitution for lithium compounds is possible in batteries, ceramics, greases, and manufactured glass. Examples are calcium, magnesium, mercury, and zinc as anode material in primary batteries; calcium and aluminum soaps as substitutes for stearates in greases; and sodic and potassic fluxes in ceramics and glass manufacture.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes. Rounded to one significant digit to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>2</sup>Source: Industrial Minerals, lithium carbonate, large contracts, delivered continental United States.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 2.8 million tons.

<sup>7</sup>Other countries with reported reserves include Austria, Congo (Kinshasa), Czechia, Finland, Germany, Mali, and Mexico.

<sup>8</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## MAGNESIUM COMPOUNDS<sup>1</sup>

[Data in thousand metric tons of magnesium oxide (MgO) content unless otherwise noted]<sup>2</sup>

**Domestic Production and Use:** Seawater and natural brines accounted for about 70% of U.S. magnesium compound production in 2020. The value of shipments of all types of magnesium compounds was estimated to be \$360 million, essentially unchanged from the revised value in 2019. Magnesium oxide and other compounds were recovered from seawater by one company in California and another company in Delaware, from well brines by one company in Michigan, and from lake brines by two companies in Utah. Magnesite was mined by one company in Nevada. One company in Washington processed olivine that was mined previously for use as foundry sand. About 67% of the magnesium compounds consumed in the United States was used in agricultural, chemical, construction, deicing, environmental, and industrial applications in the form of caustic-calcined magnesia, magnesium chloride, magnesium hydroxide, and magnesium sulfates. The remaining 33% was used for refractories in the form of dead-burned magnesia, fused magnesia, and olivine.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production (shipments)	408	438	405	376	350
Shipments (gross weight)	579	616	610	563	530
Imports for consumption	370	436	551	564	480
Exports	88	103	116	88	70
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	690	771	840	852	760
Employment, plant, number <sup>e</sup>	260	260	270	270	270
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	41	43	52	56	54

**Recycling:** Some magnesia-based refractories are recycled, either for reuse as refractory material or for use as construction aggregate.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Caustic-calcined magnesia: China, 69%; Canada, 21%; Australia, 5%; Israel, 3%; and other, 2%. Crude magnesite: China, 84%; Singapore, 12%; and other 4%. Dead-burned and fused magnesia: China, 66%; Brazil, 10%; Turkey, 6%; Mexico, 4%; and other, 14%. Magnesium chloride: Israel, 63%; the Netherlands, 24%; China, 5%; India, 3%; and other, 5%. Magnesium hydroxide: Mexico, 53%; the Netherlands, 15%; Israel, 12%; Austria, 10%; and other, 10%. Magnesium sulfates: China, 55%; Germany, 13%; India, 11%; Canada, 8%; and other, 13%. Total imports: China, 44%; Israel, 15%; Brazil, 14%; the Netherlands, 7%; and other, 20%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Crude magnesite	2519.10.0000	Free.
	Dead-burned and fused magnesia	2519.90.1000	Free.
	Caustic-calcined magnesia	2519.90.2000	Free.
	Kieserite	2530.20.1000	Free.
	Epsom salts	2530.20.2000	Free.
	Magnesium hydroxide and peroxide	2816.10.0000	3.1% ad val.
	Magnesium chloride	2827.31.0000	1.5% ad val.
	Magnesium sulfate (synthetic)	2833.21.0000	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Brucite, 10% (domestic and foreign); dolomite, magnesite, and magnesium carbonate, 14% (domestic and foreign); magnesium chloride (from brine wells), 5% (domestic and foreign); and olivine, 22% (domestic) and 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Consumption of dead-burned and fused magnesia in the United States decreased by 11% in 2020 compared with that in 2019. Global consumption of dead-burned and fused magnesia decreased by about 3% during the first 9 months of 2020 compared with that in the same period of 2019. Top domestic consumption of magnesium compounds, in descending order, were water treatment, deicing, chemical, and agriculture. The leading magnesium compounds consumed, in descending order, were magnesium oxide (caustic-calcined magnesia, dead burned magnesia, and fused magnesia), magnesium hydroxide, and magnesium chloride.

## MAGNESIUM COMPOUNDS

The global COVID-19 pandemic and efforts to mitigate the spread of the disease caused disruptions in many of the mining and manufacturing industries across the United States and around the world. Overall demand for magnesium compounds was lower in the first half of the year, rebounding to January levels by the third quarter as global lockdowns were eased.

China remains the leading producer of magnesite and magnesite. Policy changes, coupled with the impacts of the global COVID-19 pandemic, have resulted in inconsistent supplies and restricted availability of all grades of magnesite in the world market. Pandemic-related closures of mining and manufacturing activities and reduced demand from downstream industries in early 2020 caused decreased steel production, a major consumer of refractory-grade magnesite. Air pollution controls scheduled to be imposed in major steel-producing Provinces during the autumn and winter months will further reduce consumption of refractory grade magnesite. Liaoning Province, a major source of Chinese magnesite, implemented a 6-month ban on the use of explosives that began on July 1. New open pit mines were banned as well. Export prices for dead-burned and fused magnesite from China decreased by about 13% from the start of the year to the end of September, which was likely caused by a decrease in demand owing to the impact of the global pandemic.

**World Magnesite Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>5</sup> In addition to magnesite, vast reserves exist in well and lake brines and seawater from which magnesium compounds can be recovered. Reserves for Austria, Brazil, Slovakia, and Turkey were revised based on new information from Government and industry sources.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	35,000
Australia	320	310	<sup>7</sup> 320,000
Austria	780	760	49,000
Brazil	1,500	1,500	200,000
China	19,000	18,000	1,000,000
Greece	530	500	280,000
India	150	150	82,000
Russia	1,500	1,500	2,300,000
Slovakia	475	460	370,000
Spain	570	600	35,000
Turkey	1,500	1,100	205,000
Other countries	700	680	<u>2,700,000</u>
World total (rounded)	<sup>8</sup> 27,100	<sup>8</sup> 26,000	7,600,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Resources from which magnesium compounds can be recovered range from large to virtually unlimited and are globally widespread. Identified world magnesite and brucite resources total 12 billion tons and several million tons, respectively. Resources of dolomite, forsterite, magnesium-bearing evaporite minerals, and magnesite-bearing brines are estimated to constitute a resource of billions of tons. Magnesium hydroxide can be recovered from seawater. Serpentine could be used as a source of magnesite but global resources, including in tailings of asbestos mines, have not been quantified but are thought to be very large.

**Substitutes:** Alumina, chromite, and silica substitute for magnesite in some refractory applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>See also Magnesium Metal.

<sup>2</sup>Previously reported as magnesium content. Based on input from consumers, producers, and others involved in the industry, it was determined that reporting magnesium compound data in terms of contained magnesium oxide was more useful than reporting in terms of magnesium content. Calculations were made using the following magnesium oxide (MgO) contents: magnesite, 47.8%; magnesium chloride, 42.3%; magnesium hydroxide, 69.1%; and magnesium sulfate, 33.5%.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Gross weight of magnesite (magnesium carbonate) in thousand tons.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 37 million tons.

<sup>8</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## MAGNESIUM METAL<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, primary magnesium was produced by one company in Utah at an electrolytic process plant that recovered magnesium from brines from the Great Salt Lake. Secondary magnesium was recovered from scrap at plants that produced magnesium ingot and castings and from aluminum alloy scrap at secondary aluminum smelters. Primary magnesium production in 2020 was estimated to have decreased from that of 2019. Information regarding U.S. primary magnesium production was withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. The leading use for primary magnesium metal, which accounted for 47% of reported consumption, was in castings, principally used for the automotive industry. Aluminum-base alloys that were used for packaging, transportation, and other applications accounted for 33% of primary magnesium metal consumption; desulfurization of iron and steel, 16%; and all other uses, 4%. About 33% of the secondary magnesium was consumed for structural uses, and about 67% was used in aluminum alloys.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
Production:					
Primary	W	W	W	W	W
Secondary (new and old scrap)	101	112	109	101	90
Imports for consumption	45	42	47	59	61
Exports	19	14	12	10	12
Consumption:					
Reported, primary	69	65	51	55	50
Apparent <sup>2</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Price, annual average: <sup>3</sup>					
U.S. spot Western, dollars per pound	2.15	2.15	2.17	2.45	2.50
European free market, dollars per metric ton	2,190	2,265	2,550	2,425	2,100
Stocks, producer, yearend	W	W	W	W	W
Employment, number <sup>e</sup>	420	400	400	400	400
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	<25	<25	<50	<50	<50

**Recycling:** In 2020, about 25,000 tons of secondary magnesium was recovered from old scrap and 65,000 tons was recovered from new scrap. Aluminum-base alloys accounted for about 55% of the secondary magnesium recovered, and magnesium-based castings, ingot, and other materials accounted for about 45%.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 23%; Israel, 20%; Mexico, 11%; Russia, 8%; and other, 38%.

Tariff:	Item	Number	Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20
	Unwrought metal	8104.11.0000	8.0% ad val.
	Unwrought alloys	8104.19.0000	6.5% ad val.
	Scrap	8104.20.0000	Free.
	Powders and granules	8104.30.0000	4.4% ad val.
	Wrought metal	8104.90.0000	14.8¢/kg on Mg content + 3.5% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Dolomite, 14% (domestic and foreign); magnesium chloride (from brine wells), 5% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The sole U.S. producer of primary magnesium temporarily shut down some capacity at the end of 2016 citing the shutdown of a titanium sponge plant that had been a major customer, and this capacity was not expected to restart in the foreseeable future.

Prices and demand for magnesium fluctuated during much of the year in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. The price in Europe spiked in February compared with the price at the end of January but then declined by mid-March. The price increase in Europe in February and early March was attributed to concerns that shutdowns of smelters in China would cause shortages of magnesium. During January and February, producers in China shut down some capacity citing travel and work restrictions, less demand because of the pandemic, and heavy snowfall in the northwest part of China. But as many secondary aluminum smelters and diecasters in Europe temporarily shut down production in February and March, magnesium consumption decreased. Prices in Europe decreased during April and May, on decreased demand, but increased in June. Decreased production in China during the summer months was

## MAGNESIUM METAL

cited for increased magnesium prices in Europe. In September, prices in Europe declined. In the United States, spot sales of magnesium declined dramatically in April and May, causing the price to drop. Diecasters producing parts for the automobile industry as well as secondary aluminum smelters decreased magnesium consumption in response to shutdowns of automobile assembly lines, aluminum extruders, and aluminum rolling mills. By midyear, consumption of magnesium by aluminum smelters and diecasters stabilized but prices remained at lower levels in the United States and dropped again in August.

Producers in China dominate global magnesium metal production, but several projects were under development to increase primary magnesium metal capacity elsewhere and in China. In the United States, one company obtained a location to build a pilot plant to test magnesium production from a dolomite deposit in Nevada. A company in Quebec, Canada, started construction of a secondary magnesium smelter with completion expected by midyear 2021. Then the company planned to construct a primary magnesium smelter to produce magnesium from serpentine-bearing asbestos tailings. A company in Australia was planning to start construction in 2021 on a 3,000-ton-per-year plant to recover magnesium from coal fly ash with completion expected to take 18 months.

The use of magnesium in automobile parts continued to increase as automobile manufacturers sought to decrease vehicle weight in response to consumer desires for increased fuel efficiency. Magnesium castings have substituted for aluminum, iron, and steel in some automobiles. The substitution of aluminum for steel in automobile sheet was expected to increase consumption of magnesium in aluminum alloy sheet. Although some magnesium sheet applications have been developed for automobiles, these were generally limited to expensive sports cars and luxury vehicles, automobiles where the higher price of magnesium is not a deterrent to its use.

### World Primary Production and Reserves:

	Smelter production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	Magnesium metal can be derived from seawater, natural brines, dolomite, serpentine, and other minerals. The reserves for this metal are sufficient to supply current and future requirements.
Brazil	22	20	
China	970	900	
Israel	21	20	
Kazakhstan	25	20	
Russia	67	60	
Turkey	7	11	
Ukraine	8	5	
World total (rounded) <sup>6</sup>	1,120	1,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Resources from which magnesium may be recovered range from large to virtually unlimited and are globally widespread. Resources of dolomite, serpentine, and magnesium-bearing evaporite minerals are enormous. Magnesium-bearing brines are estimated to constitute a resource in the billions of tons, and magnesium could be recovered from seawater along world coastlines.

**Substitutes:** Aluminum and zinc may substitute for magnesium in castings and wrought products. The relatively light weight of magnesium is an advantage over aluminum and zinc in castings and wrought products in most applications; however, its high cost is a disadvantage relative to these substitutes. For iron and steel desulfurization, calcium carbide may be used instead of magnesium. Magnesium is preferred to calcium carbide for desulfurization of iron and steel because calcium carbide produces acetylene in the presence of water.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>See also Magnesium Compounds.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as primary production + secondary production from old scrap + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## MANGANESE

(Data in thousand metric tons gross weight unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Manganese ore containing 20% or more manganese has not been produced domestically since 1970. Manganese ore was consumed mainly by six firms with plants principally in the East and Midwest. Most ore consumption was related to steel production, either directly in pig iron manufacture or indirectly through upgrading the ore to ferroalloys. Manganese ferroalloys were produced at two plants. Additional quantities of ore were used for such nonmetallurgical purposes as production of dry cell batteries, in fertilizers and animal feed, and as a brick colorant.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:<sup>1</sup>**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine	—	—	—	—	—
Imports for consumption:					
Manganese ores and concentrates	281	297	440	434	310
Ferromanganese	229	331	427	332	240
Silicomanganese <sup>2</sup>	264	351	412	351	240
Exports:					
Manganese ores and concentrates	1	1	3	1	1
Ferromanganese	7	9	10	5	4
Silicomanganese	2	8	4	2	2
Shipments from Government stockpile: <sup>3</sup>					
Manganese ore	—	—	—	—	—
Ferromanganese	44	9	10	8	21
Consumption, reported:					
Manganese ore <sup>4</sup>	410	378	370	<sup>e</sup> 370	330
Ferromanganese	342	345	348	336	300
Silicomanganese <sup>2</sup>	139	141	139	143	130
Consumption, apparent, manganese <sup>5</sup>	545	714	793	<sup>e</sup> 780	520
Price, average, 44% Mn metallurgical ore, contained Mn, cost, insurance, and freight, China, dollars per metric ton unit <sup>6</sup>	4.34	5.97	7.16	5.63	4.72
Stocks, producer and consumer, yearend:					
Manganese ore <sup>4</sup>	207	148	185	<sup>e</sup> 190	190
Ferromanganese	21	17	27	16	16
Silicomanganese	10	11	21	11	11
Net import reliance <sup>7</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Manganese was recycled incidentally as a constituent of ferrous and nonferrous scrap; however, scrap recovery specifically for manganese was negligible. Manganese is recovered along with iron from steel slag.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Manganese ore: Gabon, 69%; South Africa, 17%; Mexico, 8%; Australia, 4%; and other, 2%. Ferromanganese: Australia, 21%; South Africa, 21%; Norway, 16%; the Republic of Korea, 12%; and other, 30%. Silicomanganese: Georgia, 26%; South Africa, 22%; Australia, 20%; and other, 32%. Manganese contained in principal manganese imports:<sup>8</sup> Gabon, 20%; South Africa, 19%; Australia, 15%; Georgia, 10%; and other, 36%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Ores and concentrates	2602.00.0040, 2602.00.0060	Free.
	Manganese dioxide	2820.10.0000	4.7% ad val.
	High-carbon ferromanganese	7202.11.5000	1.5% ad val.
	Ferrosilicon manganese (silicomanganese)	7202.30.0000	3.9% ad val.
	Metal, unwrought	8111.00.4700, 8111.00.4900	14% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).



## MANGANESE

### Government Stockpile:<sup>9</sup>

Material	Inventory as of 9–30–20	FY 2020		FY 2021	
		Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Manganese ore, metallurgical grade	292	—	292	—	292
Ferromanganese, high-carbon	163	—	45	—	45
Manganese metal, electrolytic	0.432	5	—	5	—

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Steel production, the leading use of manganese, decreased across the globe in 2020 compared with production in 2019 owing to reduced demand attributed to the global COVID-19 pandemic. Global production of manganese ore was estimated to be about 6% less than that in 2019. The leading countries for manganese ore production were, in descending order on a contained-weight basis, South Africa, Australia, and Gabon. Total U.S. manganese imports were estimated to have decreased by approximately 30% in 2020 compared with those in 2019. By September 2020, average spot market prices for manganese ore from China had decreased by 16% compared with the annual average spot price in 2019.

**World Mine Production and Reserves (manganese content):** Reserves for Australia, Brazil, and South Africa were revised based on Government and industry sources.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>10</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	—
Australia	3,180	3,300	<sup>11</sup> 230,000
Brazil	1,740	1,200	270,000
Burma	430	400	NA
China	1,330	1,300	54,000
Côte d'Ivoire	482	460	NA
Gabon	2,510	2,800	61,000
Georgia	116	150	NA
Ghana	1,550	1,400	13,000
India	801	640	34,000
Kazakhstan, concentrate	140	130	5,000
Malaysia	390	350	NA
Mexico	202	190	5,000
South Africa	5,800	5,200	520,000
Ukraine, concentrate	500	550	140,000
Vietnam	158	150	NA
Other countries	270	270	Small
World total (rounded)	19,600	18,500	1,300,000

**World Resources:**<sup>10</sup> Land-based manganese resources are large but irregularly distributed; those in the United States are very low grade and have potentially high extraction costs. South Africa accounts for about 40% of the world's manganese reserves, and Brazil accounts for about 20%.

**Substitutes:** Manganese has no satisfactory substitute in its major applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Manganese content typically ranges from 35% to 54% for manganese ore and from 74% to 95% for ferromanganese.

<sup>2</sup>Imports more nearly represent amount consumed than does reported consumption.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as stockpile shipments – receipts, thousand tons, manganese content. If net receipts, a negative quantity is shown.

<sup>4</sup>Exclusive of ore consumed directly at iron and steel plants and associated yearend stocks.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes, thousand tons, manganese content. Based on estimates of average content for all significant components—including ore, manganese dioxide, ferromanganese, silicomanganese, and manganese metal—except imports, for which content is reported.

<sup>6</sup>For average metallurgical-grade ore containing 44% manganese, as reported by CRU Group.

<sup>7</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes, thousand tons, manganese content.

<sup>8</sup>Includes imports of ferromanganese, manganese ore, silicomanganese, synthetic manganese dioxide, and unwrought manganese metal.

<sup>9</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>10</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>11</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 76 million tons gross weight.

## MERCURY

(Data in metric tons of mercury content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Mercury has not been produced as a principal mineral commodity in the United States since 1992. In 2020, mercury was recovered as a byproduct from processing gold-silver ore at several mines in Nevada; however, production data were not reported. Secondary, or recycled, mercury was recovered from batteries, compact and traditional fluorescent lamps, dental amalgam, medical devices, and thermostats, as well as mercury-contaminated soils. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) reported that domestic production of mercury was 33 tons in 2018 (the last year for which data were available), and about 70 tons of mercury was stored by manufactures or producers. The reported domestic consumption of mercury and mercury in compounds in products was 9 tons. The leading domestic end uses of mercury were dental amalgam (47%); relays, sensors, switches, and valves (45%); formulated products (buffers, catalysts, fixatives, and vaccination uses) (9%); bulbs, lamps, and lighting (8%); and batteries (1%). A large quantity of mercury (about 245 tons) is used in manufacturing processes such as catalysts or as a cathode in the chlorine-caustic soda (chloralkali) process. Almost all of the mercury is reused in the process. The leading manufacturing processes that use mercury are mercury-cell chloralkali plants. In 2020, only one mercury-cell chloralkali plant operated in the United States. Until December 31, 2012, domestic- and foreign-sourced mercury was refined and then exported for global use, primarily for small-scale gold mining in many parts of the world. Beginning January 1, 2013, export of elemental mercury from the United States was banned, with some exceptions, under the Mercury Export Ban Act of 2008. Effective January 1, 2020, exports of five mercury compounds were added to that ban.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
Production:					
Mine (byproduct)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Secondary	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Imports for consumption, metal (gross weight)	24	20	6	9	—
Exports, metal (gross weight)	—	—	—	—	—
Price, average value, dollars per flask 99.99%: <sup>1</sup>					
European Union <sup>2</sup>	1,402	1,041	1,100	NA	NA
Global locations <sup>3</sup>	1,275	1,273	2,709	2,550	NA
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	NA	NA	NA	NA	—

**Recycling:** In 2020, eight facilities operated by six companies in the United States accounted for the majority of secondary mercury produced and were authorized by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to temporarily store mercury until the DOE's long-term facility opens. Mercury-containing automobile convenience switches, barometers, compact and traditional fluorescent bulbs, computers, dental amalgam, medical devices, and thermostats were collected by smaller companies and shipped to the refining companies for retorting to reclaim the mercury. In addition, many collection companies recovered mercury when retorting was not required. With the rapid phasing out of compact and traditional fluorescent lighting for light-emitting-diode (LED) lighting, an increased quantity of mercury was being recycled.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 53%; France, 29%; China, 10%; Switzerland, 7%; and other, 1%.

Tariff:	Item	Number	Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20
	Mercury	2805.40.0000	1.7% ad val.
	Amalgams	2843.90.0000	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:**<sup>5</sup> The Defense Logistics Agency Strategic Materials held and managed an inventory of 4,437 tons of mercury in storage at the Hawthorne Army Depot in Hawthorne, NV. On December 3, 2019, the DOE selected a site near Andrews, TX, to store up to 6,800 tons of mercury. Sales of mercury from the stockpiles remained suspended.

		FY 2020		FY 2021	
Material	Inventory as of 9–30–20	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Mercury	4,437	—	—	—	—

## MERCURY

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Owing to mercury toxicity and concerns for the environment and human health, overall mercury use has declined in the United States and worldwide. Mercury continues to be released to the environment from numerous sources, including mercury-containing car switches when automobiles (those produced prior to 2003) are scrapped without recovering the switches for recycling, coal-fired powerplant emissions, incineration of mercury-containing medical devices, and naturally occurring sources. Mercury is no longer used in most batteries and paints manufactured in the United States. Some button-type batteries, cleansers, fireworks, folk medicines, grandfather clocks, pesticides, and skin-lightening creams and soaps may still contain mercury. Mercury compounds were used as catalysts in the coal-based manufacture of vinyl chloride monomer in China. In some parts of the world, mercury was used in the recovery of gold in artisanal and small-scale mining operations. Conversion to nonmercury technology for chloralkali production and the ultimate closure of the world's mercury-cell chloralkali plants may release a large quantity of mercury to the global market for recycling, sale, or, owing to export bans in Europe and the United States, long-term storage.

Byproduct mercury production is expected to continue from large-scale domestic and foreign gold-silver mining and processing, as is secondary production of mercury from an ever-diminishing supply of mercury-containing products. Domestic mercury consumption will continue to decline owing to increased use of LED lighting and consequent reduced use of conventional fluorescent tubes and compact fluorescent bulbs and continued substitution of nonmercury-containing products in control, dental, and measuring applications.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production <sup>e</sup>		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020	
United States	NA	NA	Quantitative estimates of reserves are not available. China, Kyrgyzstan, and Peru are thought to have the largest reserves.
Argentina	50	50	
China	3,600	3,400	
Kyrgyzstan	15	15	
Mexico (net exports)	63	60	
Norway	20	20	
Peru (exports)	40	40	
Tajikistan	100	100	
Other countries	12	20	
World total (rounded)	3,900	3,700	

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> China, Kyrgyzstan, Mexico, Peru, Russia, Slovenia, Spain, and Ukraine have most of the world's estimated 600,000 tons of mercury resources. Mexico reclaims mercury from Spanish colonial silver-mining waste. In Spain, once a leading producer of mercury, mining at its centuries-old Almaden Mine stopped in 2003. In the United States, mercury occurrences are in Alaska, Arkansas, California, Nevada, and Texas. The declining consumption of mercury, except for small-scale gold mining, indicates that these resources are sufficient for centuries of use.

**Substitutes:** Ceramic composites substitute for the dark-gray mercury-containing dental amalgam. "Galinstan," an alloy of gallium, indium, and tin, replaces the mercury used in traditional mercury thermometers, and digital thermometers have replaced traditional thermometers. At chloralkali plants around the world, mercury-cell technology is being replaced by newer diaphragm and membrane-cell technology. LEDs that contain indium substitute for mercury-containing fluorescent lamps. Lithium, nickel-cadmium, and zinc-air batteries replace mercury-zinc batteries in the United States; indium compounds substitute for mercury in alkaline batteries; and organic compounds are being used instead of mercury fungicides in latex paint.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Some international data and dealer prices are reported in flasks. One metric ton (1,000 kilograms) = 29.0082 flasks, and 1 flask = 76 pounds, or 34.47 kilograms, or 0.03447 ton.

<sup>2</sup>Average annual price of minimum 99.99% mercury. Source: Argus Media group—Argus Metals International. Price discontinued on May 1, 2018.

<sup>3</sup>Average midpoint of free market 99.99% mercury in warehouse, global locations, price published by Metal Bulletin. Price discontinued on December 1, 2019.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data source.

## MICA (NATURAL)

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Scrap and flake mica production, excluding low-quality sericite, was estimated to be 35,000 tons valued at \$4.3 million. Mica was mined in Georgia, North Carolina, and South Dakota. Scrap mica was recovered principally from mica and sericite schist and as a byproduct from feldspar, industrial sand beneficiation, and kaolin. Eight companies produced an estimated 57,000 tons of ground mica valued at about \$22 million from domestic and imported scrap and flake mica. Most of the domestic production was processed into small-particle-size mica by either wet or dry grinding. Primary uses were joint compound, oil-well-drilling additives, paint, roofing, and rubber products.

A minor amount of sheet mica has been produced as incidental production from feldspar mining in North Carolina in the past several years. Data on sheet mica production were not available in 2020. The domestic consuming industry was dependent on imports to meet demand for sheet mica. Most sheet mica was fabricated into parts for electrical and electronic equipment.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Scrap and flake:					
Production: <sup>e, 1</sup>					
Sold and used	28,000	40,000	42,000	40,100	35,000
Ground	59,500	69,700	68,400	61,300	57,000
Imports <sup>2</sup>	31,500	29,700	28,100	26,700	20,000
Exports <sup>3</sup>	6,340	6,790	6,030	5,500	4,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>e, 4</sup>	53,200	62,900	64,100	61,300	51,000
Price, average, dollars per metric ton: <sup>e</sup>					
Scrap and flake	152	165	125	118	118
Ground:					
Dry	320	292	308	316	300
Wet	435	424	422	394	430
Employment, mine, number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	47	36	34	35	31
Sheet:					
Sold and used	W	W	W	W	W
Imports <sup>6</sup>	2,120	1,850	1,890	3,150	2,700
Exports <sup>7</sup>	689	704	686	793	550
Consumption, apparent <sup>e, 4</sup>	1,430	1,150	1,200	2,350	2,200
Price, average value, muscovite and phlogopite mica, dollars per kilogram: <sup>e</sup>					
Block	W	W	W	W	W
Splittings	1.61	1.66	1.65	1.66	1.66
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Scrap and flake: Canada, 44%; China, 32%; India, 9%; Finland, 4%; and other, 11%. Sheet: China, 56%; Brazil, 16%; Belgium, 6%; India, 4%; and other, 18%.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u> <u>12–31–20</u>
	Split block mica	2525.10.0010	Free.
	Mica splittings	2525.10.0020	Free.
	Unworked, other	2525.10.0050	Free.
	Mica powder	2525.20.0000	Free.
	Mica waste	2525.30.0000	Free.
	Plates, sheets, and strips of agglomerated or reconstituted mica	6814.10.0000	2.7% ad val.
	Worked mica and articles of mica, other	6814.90.0000	2.6% ad val.

## MICA (NATURAL)

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Domestic production of scrap and flake mica was estimated to have decreased by 13% in 2020. Apparent consumption of scrap and flake mica decreased by 17%. Apparent consumption of sheet mica was estimated to have decreased by 6% in 2020. The economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic had a significant impact on some of industries that use mica, primarily oil-well-drilling fluid and plastics for automobiles. No environmental concerns are associated with the manufacture and use of mica products. Supplies of sheet mica for United States consumption were expected to continue to be from imports, primarily from Belgium, Brazil, China, and India.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** World production of sheet mica has remained steady; however, reliable production numbers for some countries that may influence that world total were unavailable. Reserves for the Republic of Korea were revised based on official Government data.

	Scrap and flake			Sheet		
	Mine production 2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	Reserves <sup>8</sup> 2019	Mine production 2019 <sup>e</sup>	2020 <sup>e</sup>	Reserves <sup>8</sup> 2019
United States	40,100	35,000	Large	W	NA	Very small
Canada	21,000	18,000	Large	NA	NA	NA
China	100,000	95,000	Large	NA	NA	NA
Finland	73,900	65,000	Large	NA	NA	NA
France	20,000	18,000	Large	NA	NA	NA
India	15,000	15,000	Large	1,000	1,000	110,000
Korea, Republic of	23,400	20,000	11,000,000	—	—	NA
Madagascar	50,000	30,000	Large	—	—	NA
Turkey	6,500	5,500	620,000	—	—	NA
Other countries	55,000	49,000	Large	200	200	Moderate
World total (rounded)	405,000	350,000	Large	NA	NA	NA

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> Resources of scrap and flake mica are available in clay deposits, granite, pegmatite, and schist, and are considered more than adequate to meet anticipated world demand in the foreseeable future. World resources of sheet mica have not been formally evaluated because of the sporadic occurrence of this material. Large deposits of mica-bearing rock are known to exist in countries such as Brazil, India, and Madagascar. Limited resources of sheet mica are available in the United States. Domestic resources are not economical because of the high cost of the hand labor required to mine and process sheet mica from pegmatites.

**Substitutes:** Some lightweight aggregates, such as diatomite, perlite, and vermiculite, may be substituted for ground mica when used as filler. Ground synthetic fluorophlogopite, a fluorine-rich mica, may replace natural ground mica for uses that require the thermal and electrical properties of mica. Many materials can be substituted for mica in numerous electrical, electronic, and insulation uses. Substitutes include acrylic, cellulose acetate, fiberglass, fishpaper, nylatron, nylon, phenolics, polycarbonate, polyester, styrene, polyvinyl chloride, and vulcanized fiber. Mica paper made from scrap mica can be substituted for sheet mica in electrical and insulation applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Excludes low-quality sericite used primarily for brick manufacturing.

<sup>2</sup>Includes data for the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States codes: 2525.10.0050, <\$6.00/kg; 2525.20.0000; and 2525.30.0000.

<sup>3</sup>Includes data for the following Schedule B codes: 2525.10.0000, <\$6.00/kg; 2525.20.0000; and 2525.30.0000.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as sold or used by producing companies + imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>Includes data for the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States codes: 2525.10.0010; 2525.10.0020; 2525.10.0050, >\$6.00/kg; 6814.10.0000; and 6814.90.0000.

<sup>7</sup>Includes data for the following Schedule B codes: 2525.10.0000, >\$6.00/kg; 6814.10.0000; and 6814.90.0000.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## MOLYBDENUM

(Data in metric tons of molybdenum content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** U.S. mine production of molybdenum in 2020 increased by 13% to 49,000 tons compared with the previous year. Molybdenum ore was produced as a primary product at two mines—both in Colorado—whereas seven copper mines (four in Arizona and one each in Montana, Nevada, and Utah) recovered molybdenite concentrate as a byproduct. Three roasting plants converted molybdenite concentrate to molybdic oxide, from which intermediate products, such as ferromolybdenum, metal powder, and various chemicals, were produced. Metallurgical applications accounted for more than 88% of the total molybdenum consumed.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine	36,200	40,700	41,400	43,600	49,000
Imports for consumption	22,800	36,000	37,500	34,200	26,000
Exports	31,200	43,200	48,400	67,200	62,000
Consumption:					
Reported <sup>1</sup>	15,800	17,400	16,700	16,500	14,000
Apparent <sup>2</sup>	27,900	34,100	31,300	10,500	13,000
Price, average value, dollars per kilogram <sup>3</sup>	14.40	18.06	27.04	26.50	20
Stocks, consumer materials	1,910	2,010	1,940	1,980	2,100
Employment, mine and plant, number	920	940	940	950	950
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Molybdenum is recycled as a component of catalysts, ferrous scrap, and superalloy scrap. Ferrous scrap consists of revert, new, and old scrap. Revert scrap refers to remnants manufactured in the steelmaking process. New scrap is generated by steel mill customers and recycled by scrap collectors and processors. Old scrap is largely molybdenum-bearing alloys recycled after serving their useful life. The amount of molybdenum recycled as part of new and old steel and other scrap may be as much as 30% of the apparent supply of molybdenum. There are no processes for the separate recovery and refining of secondary molybdenum from its alloys. Molybdenum is not recovered separately from recycled steel and superalloys, but the molybdenum content of the recycled alloys is significant, and the molybdenum content is reused. Recycling of molybdenum-bearing scrap will continue to be dependent on the markets for the principal alloy metals in which molybdenum is contained, such as iron, nickel, and chromium.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Ferromolybdenum: Chile, 54%; the Republic of Korea, 38%; Canada, 4%; and other, 4%. Molybdenum ores and concentrates: Peru, 57%; Chile, 22%; Canada, 12%; Mexico, 8%; and other, 1%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Molybdenum ore and concentrates, roasted	2613.10.0000	12.8¢/kg + 1.8% ad val.
	Molybdenum ore and concentrates, other	2613.90.0000	17.8¢/kg.
	Molybdenum chemicals:		
	Molybdenum oxides and hydroxides	2825.70.0000	3.2% ad val.
	Molybdates of ammonium	2841.70.1000	4.3% ad val.
	Molybdates, all others	2841.70.5000	3.7% ad val.
	Molybdenum pigments, molybdenum orange	3206.20.0020	3.7% ad val.
	Ferroalloys, ferromolybdenum	7202.70.0000	4.5% ad val.
	Molybdenum metals:		
	Powders	8102.10.0000	9.1¢/kg + 1.2% ad val.
	Unwrought	8102.94.0000	13.9¢/kg + 1.9% ad val.
	Wrought bars and rods	8102.95.3000	6.6% ad val.
	Wrought plates, sheets, strips, etc.	8102.95.6000	6.6% ad val.
	Wire	8102.96.0000	4.4% ad val.
	Waste and scrap	8102.97.0000	Free.
	Other	8102.99.0000	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## MOLYBDENUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, the estimated average molybdic oxide price decreased by 25% compared with that of 2019, and U.S. estimated mine output of molybdenum increased by 13% from that of 2019. The increase in production was mainly the result of one byproduct mine in Utah increasing its production by more than 60%. This increase in production in Utah offset the production delays caused by the global COVID-19 pandemic at other molybdenum producers. Byproduct molybdenum production continued at the Bagdad, Morenci, Pinto Valley, and Sierrita Mines in Arizona; the Continental Pit Mine in Montana; the Robinson Mine in Nevada; and the Bingham Canyon Mine in Utah. Primary molybdenum production continued at the Climax and Henderson Mines in Colorado. The Thompson Creek Mine in Idaho continued to be on care-and-maintenance status in 2020.

Estimated U.S. imports for consumption decreased by 24% compared with those of 2019. U.S. exports decreased by 8% from those of 2019. Apparent consumption increased by 26% compared with that of 2019.

Global molybdenum production in 2020 increased slightly compared with 2019. In descending order of production, China, Chile, the United States, Peru, and Mexico provided more than 90% of total global production.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** The reserves estimates for Canada, Mongolia, Peru, and Turkey were revised based on new information from company and Government reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup> (thousand metric tons)
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	43,600	49,000	2,700
Argentina <sup>e</sup>	—	—	100
Armenia <sup>e</sup>	5,000	7,000	150
Canada	3,900	2,700	96
Chile	56,000	58,000	1,400
China <sup>e</sup>	130,000	120,000	8,300
Iran <sup>e</sup>	3,500	3,500	43
Mexico	16,600	17,000	130
Mongolia	1,800	1,800	370
Peru	30,400	30,000	2,800
Russia <sup>e</sup>	2,800	2,800	1,000
Turkey <sup>e</sup>	400	400	800
Uzbekistan <sup>e</sup>	200	200	60
World total (rounded)	294,000	300,000	18,000

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Identified resources of molybdenum in the United States are about 5.4 million tons, and in the rest of the world, about 20 million tons. Molybdenum occurs as the principal metal sulfide in large low-grade porphyry molybdenum deposits and as an associated metal sulfide in low-grade porphyry copper deposits. Resources of molybdenum are adequate to supply world needs for the foreseeable future.

**Substitutes:** There is little substitution for molybdenum in its major application in steels and cast irons. In fact, because of the availability and versatility of molybdenum, industry has sought to develop new materials that benefit from its alloying properties. Potential substitutes include boron, chromium, niobium (columbium), and vanadium in alloy steels; tungsten in tool steels; graphite, tantalum, and tungsten for refractory materials in high-temperature electric furnaces; and cadmium-red, chrome-orange, and organic-orange pigments for molybdenum orange.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Reported consumption of primary molybdenum products.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for concentrate, consumer, and product producer stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>Time-weighted average price per kilogram of molybdenum contained in technical-grade molybdic oxide, as reported by CRU Group.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## NICKEL

(Data in metric tons of nickel content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, the underground Eagle Mine in Michigan produced approximately 16,000 tons of nickel in concentrate, which was exported to smelters in Canada and overseas. A company in Missouri recovered metals, including nickel, from mine tailings as part of the Superfund Redevelopment Initiative. Nickel in crystalline sulfate was produced as a byproduct of smelting and refining platinum-group-metal ores mined in Montana.

In the United States, the leading uses for primary nickel are stainless and alloy steels, nonferrous alloys and superalloys, electroplating, and other uses including catalysts and chemicals. Stainless and alloy steel and nickel-containing alloys typically account for more than 85% of domestic consumption.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Mine	24,100	22,100	17,600	13,500	16,000
Refinery, byproduct	W	W	W	W	W
Imports:					
Ores and concentrates	( <sup>1</sup> )	64	3	4	120
Primary	111,000	150,000	144,000	119,000	110,000
Secondary	32,300	38,100	45,100	37,700	32,000
Exports:					
Ores and concentrates	22,400	20,000	18,000	14,700	13,000
Primary	10,300	11,000	9,780	12,800	11,000
Secondary	63,700	51,500	59,400	51,100	34,000
Consumption:					
Reported, primary metal	97,800	105,000	107,000	105,000	85,000
Reported, secondary, purchased scrap	131,000	133,000	123,000	111,000	100,000
Apparent, primary metal <sup>2</sup>	104,000	140,000	136,000	106,000	99,000
Apparent, total <sup>3</sup>	235,000	273,000	259,000	217,000	200,000
Price, average annual, London Metal Exchange (LME):					
Cash, dollars per metric ton	9,594	10,403	13,114	13,903	14,000
Cash, dollars per pound	4.352	4.719	5.948	6.306	6.40
Stocks, yearend:					
Consumer	15,100	14,600	16,300	13,400	13,000
LME U.S. warehouses	5,232	3,780	2,268	1,974	2,000
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of total apparent consumption	44	51	52	49	50

**Recycling:** Nickel in alloyed form was recovered from the processing of nickel-containing waste, including flue dust, grinding swarf, mill scale, and shot blast generated during the manufacturing of stainless steel; filter cakes, plating solutions, spent catalysts, spent pickle liquor, sludges, and all types of spent nickel-containing batteries. Nickel-containing alloys and stainless-steel scrap were also melted and used to produce new alloys and stainless steel. The U.S. Department of Energy's ReCell Center continued to investigate methods to more effectively recover raw materials, including nickel, from recycled batteries. In 2020, recycled nickel in all forms accounted for approximately 50% of apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Nickel contained in ferronickel, metal, oxides, and salt: Canada, 42%; Norway, 10%; Finland, 9%; Russia, 8%; and other, 31%. Nickel-containing scrap, including nickel content of stainless-steel scrap: Canada, 38%; Mexico, 27%; United Kingdom, 9%; and other, 26%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Nickel ores and concentrates, nickel content	2604.00.0040	Free.
	Ferronickel	7202.60.0000	Free.
	Unwrought nickel, not alloyed	7502.10.0000	Free.
	Nickel waste and scrap	7503.00.0000	Free.
	Nickel powders	7504.00.0010	Free.
	Nickel flakes	7504.00.0050	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).



## NICKEL

**Government Stockpile:**<sup>5</sup> The U.S. Department of Energy is holding nickel ingot contaminated by low-level radioactivity at Paducah, KY, and shredded nickel scrap at Oak Ridge, TN. Ongoing decommissioning activities at former nuclear defense sites were expected to generate additional nickel in scrap. See the Lithium chapter for statistics on lithium-nickel-cobalt-aluminum oxide.

Material	Inventory as of 9–30–20	FY 2020		FY 2021	
		Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Nickel alloys, gross weight	609	—	272	—	—

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Domestic reported consumption of primary nickel decreased by an estimated 20% in 2020, owing primarily to reduced demand related to the global COVID-19 pandemic. Approximately 70% of the decrease was attributed to reduced consumption of nickel alloys, primarily those used in the aviation and oil and gas sectors. Domestic production of stainless steel and related nickel consumption decreased substantially in the first half of 2020, but most of the leading domestic stainless-steel producers reported relatively robust recovery in the third quarter. Total domestic production of stainless steel in 2020 was estimated to have decreased by approximately 10%.

Globally, nickel mine production was estimated to have decreased by 5%. Although stainless-steel production in most leading producing countries and (or) localities decreased, these were mostly offset by a rapid recovery in China's production of nickel-bearing stainless-steel grades after the first quarter, and the continued rampup of nickel pig iron and stainless-steel projects in Indonesia.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Brazil, Canada, and the United States were revised based on new information from company and (or) Government reports

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>a</sup>	
United States	13,500	16,000	100,000
Australia	159,000	170,000	720,000,000
Brazil	60,600	73,000	16,000,000
Canada	181,000	150,000	2,800,000
China	120,000	120,000	2,800,000
Cuba	49,200	49,000	5,500,000
Dominican Republic	56,900	47,000	NA
Indonesia	853,000	760,000	21,000,000
New Caledonia <sup>8</sup>	208,000	200,000	NA
Philippines	323,000	320,000	4,800,000
Russia	279,000	280,000	6,900,000
Other countries	310,000	290,000	14,000,000
World total (rounded)	2,610,000	2,500,000	94,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Identified land-based resources averaging approximately 0.5% nickel or greater contain at least 300 million tons of nickel, with about 60% in laterites and 40% in sulfide deposits. Extensive nickel resources also are found in manganese crusts and nodules on the ocean floor.

**Substitutes:** Low-nickel, duplex, or ultrahigh-chromium stainless steels have been substituted for austenitic grades in construction. Nickel-free specialty steels are sometimes used in place of stainless steel in the power-generating and petrochemical industries. Titanium alloys can substitute for nickel metal or nickel-base alloys in corrosive chemical environments. Lithium-ion batteries may be used instead of nickel metal hydride batteries in certain applications.

<sup>a</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as primary imports – primary exports + adjustments for industry stock changes, excluding secondary consumer stocks.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as apparent primary metal consumption + reported secondary consumption.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for consumer stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 6.2 million tons.

<sup>8</sup>Overseas Territory of France.

## NIOBIUM (COLUMBIUM)

(Data in metric tons of niobium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Significant U.S. niobium mine production has not been reported since 1959. Companies in the United States produced niobium-containing materials from imported niobium concentrates, oxides, and ferroniobium. Niobium was consumed mostly in the form of ferroniobium by the steel industry and as niobium alloys and metal by the aerospace industry. In 2020, there was a decrease in reported consumption of niobium for high-strength low-alloy steel and superalloy applications. Major end-use distribution of reported niobium consumption was as follows: steels, about 81%, and superalloys, about 19%. The estimated value of niobium consumption was \$280 million, as measured by the value of imports.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine	—	—	—	—	—
Imports for consumption <sup>1</sup>	8,250	9,330	11,200	10,100	7,500
Exports <sup>1</sup>	1,480	1,490	955	668	520
Shipments from Government stockpile	—	—	—	—	—
Consumption: <sup>e</sup>					
Apparent <sup>2</sup>	6,730	7,780	10,100	9,370	6,900
Reported <sup>3</sup>	7,370	7,640	6,850	6,880	5,500
Price, unit value, ferroniobium, dollars per kilogram <sup>4</sup>	21	20	21	23	24
Net import reliance <sup>2</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Niobium was recycled when niobium-bearing steels and superalloys were recycled; scrap recovery, specifically for niobium content, was negligible. The amount of niobium recycled is not available, but it may be as much as 20% of apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Niobium and tantalum ores and concentrates: Rwanda, 36%; Australia, 25%; Brazil, 14%; Congo (Kinshasa), 7%; and other, 18%. Niobium oxide: Brazil, 54%; Russia, 19%; Thailand, 11%; Estonia, 7%; and other, 9%. Ferroniobium and niobium metal: Brazil, 68%; Canada, 25%; Germany, 5%; Russia, 1%; and other, 1%. Total imports: Brazil, 66%; Canada, 22%; Germany, 4%; Russia, 3%; and other, 5%. Of the U.S. niobium material imports (by contained weight), 76% was ferroniobium, 14% was niobium metal, 9% was niobium oxide, and 1% was niobium ores and concentrates.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Synthetic tantalum-niobium concentrates	2615.90.3000	Free.
	Niobium ores and concentrates	2615.90.6030	Free.
	Niobium oxide	2825.90.1500	3.7% ad val.
	Ferroniobium:		
	Less than 0.02% P or S, or less than 0.4% Si	7202.93.4000	5% ad val.
	Other	7202.93.8000	5% ad val.
	Niobium:		
	Waste and scrap <sup>5</sup>	8112.92.0600	Free.
	Powders and unwrought metal	8112.92.4000	4.9% ad val.
	Niobium, other <sup>5</sup>	8112.99.9000	4% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:<sup>6</sup>**

	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>FY 2020 Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>FY 2021 Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
<b>Material</b>					
Ferroniobium (gross weight)	542	—	—	—	—
Niobium metal (gross weight)	10	—	—	—	—

## NIOBIUM (COLUMBIUM)

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Niobium principally was imported in the form of ferroniobium. Based on data through August 2020, U.S. niobium apparent consumption (measured in contained niobium) for 2020 was estimated to be 6,900 tons, a 26% decrease from that of 2019. Brazil continued to be the world's leading niobium producer with 91% of global production, followed by Canada with 8%. Significant production decreases by major aircraft manufacturers reduced niobium consumption for superalloys. Global niobium production and consumption was thought to have decreased in 2020 owing to a decrease in steel production in most countries caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. A significant decrease in ferrovanadium prices in 2020 was also a factor in reduced ferroniobium consumption. In 2019, consumption of ferroniobium, especially in China, had increased in part because it was used as a substitute for ferrovanadium by some producers of high-strength low-alloy steel owing to the supply deficit and high price volatility of ferrovanadium. In the first 9 months of 2020, China's imports of ferrovanadium increased by 700% while ferroniobium imports decreased by 36% compared with those in the same period of 2019, suggesting that some reverse substitution was taking place. Total exports of ferroniobium to all countries from Brazil, the leading producing country, decreased by 35% during the first 9 months of 2020 compared with exports during the same period of 2019.

One domestic company developing its Elk Creek project in Nebraska announced that it had secured options to purchase all the land needed for the mine and processing facility. The company received its construction air permit from the State of Nebraska in June. The project would be the only niobium mine and primary niobium processing facility in the United States, with construction to begin after financing was obtained.

A leading niobium producer in Brazil was in the process of increasing its annual ferroniobium production capacity by 50% to 150,000 tons per year (approximately 98,000 tons per year of contained niobium). Originally expected by the end of 2020, the expansion was to be completed in 2021.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>7</sup> The reserves data for Brazil were revised based on information reported by the Government of Brazil, and reserves data for the United States were revised based on company reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>7</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	170,000
Brazil	88,900	71,000	16,000,000
Canada	6,800	6,200	1,600,000
Other countries	1,250	1,000	NA
World total (rounded)	97,000	78,000	>17,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> World resources of niobium are more than adequate to supply projected needs. Most of the world's identified resources of niobium occur as pyrochlore in carbonatite (igneous rocks that contain more than 50%-by-volume carbonate minerals) deposits and are outside the United States.

**Substitutes:** The following materials can be substituted for niobium, but a performance loss or higher cost may ensue: ceramic matrix composites, molybdenum, tantalum, and tungsten in high-temperature (superalloy) applications; molybdenum, tantalum, and titanium as alloying elements in stainless and high-strength steels; and molybdenum and vanadium as alloying elements in high-strength low-alloy steels.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Imports and exports include the estimated niobium content of ferroniobium, niobium and tantalum ores and concentrates, niobium oxide, and niobium powders and unwrought metal.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>Only includes ferroniobium and nickel niobium.

<sup>4</sup>Unit value is weighted average unit value of gross weight of U.S. ferroniobium trade. (Trade is imports plus exports.)

<sup>5</sup>This category includes niobium-containing material and other material.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data source.

## NITROGEN (FIXED)—AMMONIA

(Data in thousand metric tons of contained nitrogen unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Ammonia was produced by 16 companies at 35 plants in 16 States in the United States during 2020; 2 additional plants were idle for the entire year. About 60% of total U.S. ammonia production capacity was in Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas because of their large reserves of natural gas, the dominant domestic feedstock for ammonia. In 2020, U.S. producers operated at about 85% of rated capacity. The United States was one of the world's leading producers and consumers of ammonia. Urea, ammonium nitrate, nitric acid, ammonium phosphates, and ammonium sulfate were, in descending order of importance, the major derivatives of ammonia produced in the United States.

Approximately 88% of apparent domestic ammonia consumption was for fertilizer use, including anhydrous ammonia for direct application, urea, ammonium nitrates, ammonium phosphates, and other nitrogen compounds. Ammonia also was used to produce explosives, plastics, synthetic fibers and resins, and numerous other chemical compounds.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production <sup>1</sup>	10,200	11,600	13,100	13,500	14,000
Imports for consumption	3,840	3,090	2,530	2,020	2,000
Exports	183	612	224	338	400
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	13,800	14,100	15,300	15,200	16,000
Stocks, producer, yearend	400	320	490	420	400
Price, average, free on board gulf coast, <sup>3</sup> dollars per short ton	267	247	281	232	220
Employment, plant, number <sup>e</sup>	1,300	1,500	1,600	1,600	1,600
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	27	18	14	11	10

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Trinidad and Tobago, 65%; Canada 30%; Venezuela, 3%; and other, 2%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Ammonia, anhydrous	2814.10.0000	Free.
	Urea	3102.10.0000	Free.
	Ammonium sulfate	3102.21.0000	Free.
	Ammonium nitrate	3102.30.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Not applicable.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The Henry Hub spot natural gas price ranged between \$1.34 and \$2.52 per million British thermal units for most of the year, with an average of about \$2.07 per million British thermal units. Natural gas prices in 2020 were lower than those in 2019—a result of mild weather that decreased demand for natural gas for heating in early 2020 and reduced manufacturing activity. The U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, projected that Henry Hub natural gas spot prices would average higher than \$3.00 per million British thermal units in 2021.

The weekly average gulf coast ammonia price was \$220 per short ton at the beginning of 2020, decreased to \$205 per short ton in mid-June, and then increased to \$228 per short ton in early October. The average ammonia price for 2020 was estimated to be \$220 per short ton. In 2020, low natural gas prices resulted in lower ammonia prices.

A long period of stable and low natural gas prices in the United States has made it economical for companies to upgrade existing ammonia plants and construct new nitrogen facilities. The additional capacity has reduced ammonia imports. Expansion in the ammonia industry took place throughout the past 5 years; however, no additional ammonia plants are expected to be commissioned before 2022.

## NITROGEN (FIXED)—AMMONIA

Global ammonia capacity is expected to increase by a total of 4% during the next 4 years. Capacity additions are expected in Africa and south Asia; however, ongoing plant closures will decrease capacity in east Asia and Latin America. Demand for ammonia is expected to increase in all regions with the largest increases expected in Africa, central Asia, and Eastern Europe.

Large corn plantings maintain the continued demand for nitrogen fertilizers. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. corn growers planted 37.2 million hectares of corn in the 2020 crop-year (July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020), which was 3% greater than the area planted in crop-year 2019. Corn acreage in the 2021 crop-year is expected to increase because of anticipated higher returns for corn compared with those of other crops.

In 2020, the fertilizer industry was considered part of the critical chemical sector by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. The COVID-19 pandemic stay-at-home orders issued in March 2020 did not affect the fertilizer industry, and U.S. ammonia plants maintained full operations.

### **World Ammonia Production and Reserves:**

	Plant production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	13,500	14,000	Available atmospheric nitrogen and sources of natural gas for production of ammonia are considered adequate for all listed countries.
Algeria	2,200	2,200	
Australia	1,300	1,300	
Canada	3,940	3,900	
China	38,000	38,000	
Egypt	4,200	4,500	
Germany	2,420	2,400	
India	12,200	13,000	
Indonesia	5,000	5,000	
Iran	3,500	3,500	
Netherlands	2,200	2,200	
Oman	1,700	1,700	
Pakistan	3,100	3,100	
Poland	2,200	2,200	
Qatar	3,150	3,200	
Russia	15,000	15,000	
Saudi Arabia	4,000	4,000	
Trinidad and Tobago	4,480	4,300	
Ukraine	1,500	1,500	
Uzbekistan	1,100	1,100	
Vietnam	1,100	1,100	
Other countries	16,400	17,000	
World total (rounded)	142,000	144,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> The availability of nitrogen from the atmosphere for fixed nitrogen production is unlimited. Mineralized occurrences of sodium and potassium nitrates, such as those found in the Atacama Desert of Chile, contribute minimally to the global nitrogen supply.

**Substitutes:** Nitrogen is an essential plant nutrient that has no substitute. No practical substitutes for nitrogen explosives and blasting agents are known.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Source: The Fertilizer Institute; data adjusted by the U.S. Geological Survey.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>Source: Green Markets.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## PEAT

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The estimated free on board (f.o.b.) mine value of sold marketable peat production in the conterminous United States was \$13 million in 2020. Peat was harvested and processed by 30 companies in 12 conterminous States. Florida, Michigan, and Minnesota were the leading producing States, in order of quantity harvested. Reed-sedge peat accounted for approximately 93% of the total volume produced, followed by sphagnum moss with 3%. Domestic peat applications included earthworm culture medium, golf course construction, mixed fertilizers, mushroom culture, nurseries, packing for flowers and plants, seed inoculants, and vegetable cultivation. In the industrial sector, peat was used as an oil absorbent and as an efficient filtration medium for the removal of waterborne contaminants in mine waste streams, municipal storm drainage, and septic systems.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production	441	498	477	456	430
Sales by producers	443	515	545	556	540
Imports for consumption	1,130	1,150	1,200	1,160	1,400
Exports	30	30	37	46	50
Consumption, apparent <sup>1</sup>	1,590	1,520	1,670	1,480	1,800
Price, average value, f.o.b. mine, dollars per ton	31.97	27.55	25.88	24.59	24.60
Stocks, producer, yearend	125	222	196	280	250
Employment, mine and plant, number <sup>e</sup>	550	540	540	540	530
Net import reliance <sup>2</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	72	67	71	69	76

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 96%; and other, 4%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Peat	2703.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 5% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Peat is an important component of plant-growing media, and the demand for peat generally follows that of horticultural applications. In the United States, the short-term outlook is for production to average about 430,000 tons per year, and imported peat from Canada is expected to continue to account for more than 70% of domestic consumption. Imports for 2020 were estimated to have increased to 1.4 million tons from 1.2 million tons in 2019, and exports were estimated to have increased to about 50,000 tons from 46,000 tons in 2019. Peat stocks were estimated to have decreased in 2020 owing to a wet peat-harvesting season causing a decrease in peat production in some parts of the country. Based on estimated world production for 2020, the world's leading peat producers were, in descending order of production, Finland, Germany, Belarus, Sweden, Ireland, and Latvia.

## PEAT

In other parts of the world, concerns about climate change prompted several countries to plan to decrease or eliminate the use of peat, owing to peatland's ability to act as a carbon sink. Ireland's peat production was expected to decrease over the coming years owing to its transition to alternative fuel sources. The country was aiming to have at least 80% of its fossil fuel sector employment transitioned to the renewable energy sector by 2025. Ireland planned to stop all peat harvesting by 2028, 2 years ahead of the previously announced schedule. In 2020, Finland continued to work toward its goal of becoming carbon neutral by 2035. To achieve this, peat production will be phased out in favor for other forms of noncarbon energy. Presently, about 40% of Finland's energy consumption is supplied by peat and other fossil fuels. Several European countries, including Belarus, Ireland, and Sweden, were planning or implementing peatland restoration projects to help combat greenhouse-gas emissions and restore wildlife habitats. These initiatives were expected to decrease peat production across Europe in the future.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for countries that reported by volume only and had insufficient data for conversion to tons were combined and included with "Other countries." Reserves for Estonia and Latvia were revised based on information from company reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>3</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	456	430	150,000
Belarus	2,670	2,600	2,600,000
Canada	1,260	1,300	720,000
Estonia	890	900	59,000
Finland	11,800	10,000	6,000,000
Germany	4,200	4,000	( <sup>4</sup> )
Ireland	1,730	2,000	( <sup>4</sup> )
Latvia	2,200	1,900	220,000
Lithuania	500	500	210,000
Poland	870	700	( <sup>4</sup> )
Russia	909	800	1,000,000
Sweden	3,000	2,500	( <sup>4</sup> )
Ukraine	685	680	( <sup>4</sup> )
Other countries <sup>e</sup>	730	730	1,400,000
World total (rounded)	31,900	29,000	12,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>3</sup> Peat is a renewable resource, continuing to accumulate on 60% of global peatlands. However, the volume of global peatlands has been decreasing at a rate of 0.05% annually owing to harvesting and land development. Many countries evaluate peat resources based on volume or area because the variations in densities and thickness of peat deposits make it difficult to estimate tonnage. Volume data have been converted using the average bulk density of peat produced in each of those countries. More than 50% of the U.S. peat resources are located in undisturbed areas of Alaska.

**Substitutes:** Natural organic materials, such as composted yard waste and coir (coconut fiber), compete with peat in horticultural applications. Shredded paper and straw are used to hold moisture for some grass-seeding applications. The superior water-holding capacity and physiochemical properties of peat limit substitution alternatives in most applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero

<sup>1</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>4</sup>Included with "Other countries."

## PERLITE

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, the quantity of domestic processed crude perlite sold and used was estimated to be 440,000 tons with a value of \$28 million. Crude ore production was from eight mines operated by six companies in five Western States. New Mexico and Oregon continued to be the leading producing States. Processed crude perlite was expanded at 57 plants in 28 States. Domestic apparent consumption was estimated to be 610,000 tons. The applications for expanded perlite were building construction products, 53%; fillers, 16%; horticultural aggregate, 16%; filter aid, 12%; and other, 3%. Other applications included specialty insulation and miscellaneous uses.

**Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Mine production, crude ore	521	570	494	526	520
Sold and used, processed crude perlite	437	479	444	416	440
Imports for consumption <sup>1</sup>	199	171	204	183	190
Exports <sup>1</sup>	16	18	16	19	22
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	620	632	632	580	610
Price, average value, free on board mine, dollars per ton	65	73	72	64	64
Employment, mine and mill, number	135	139	130	140	140
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	30	24	30	28	28

**Recycling:** Not available.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Greece, 90%; China, 7%; Mexico, 2%; and Turkey, 1%.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u>
			<u>12–31–20</u>
	Vermiculite, perlite and chlorites, unexpanded	2530.10.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 10% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Perlite is a siliceous volcanic glass that expands up to 20 times its original volume when rapidly heated. In horticultural uses, expanded perlite is used to provide moisture retention and aeration without compaction when added to soil. Owing primarily to cost, some commercial greenhouse growers in the United States have recently switched to a wood fiber material over perlite. Perlite, however, remained a preferred soil amendment for segments of greenhouse growers because it does not degrade or compact over lengthy growing times and is inert. Construction applications for expanded perlite are numerous because it is lightweight, fire resistant, and an excellent insulator. Novel and small markets for perlite have increased during the past 10 years; cosmetics, environmental remediation, and personal care products have become increasing markets for perlite. A major producer with operations in Arizona and New Mexico acquired another producer with a mine in Oregon during the year. Project planning progressed at a perlite deposit in Nevada that could be developed as a potential supplier of crude perlite ore for industrial and household applications.



## PERLITE

Domestic perlite mining generally takes place in remote areas, and its environmental impact is not severe. The mineral fines, overburden, and reject ore produced during ore mining and processing are used to reclaim the mined-out areas, and, therefore, little waste remains. Airborne dust is captured by baghouses, and virtually no runoff contributes to water pollution.

Despite economic disruptions owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic, the value of total construction put in place in the United States increased by about 4% during the first 8 months of 2020 compared with that of the same period in 2019, indicating a similar change in consumption of perlite. Construction products remained the largest domestic market for perlite. Increased interest in home gardening may also correspond to increased consumption of horticultural-grade perlite.

Based on estimated world production for 2020, the world's leading producers were, in descending order of production, China, Greece, Turkey, and the United States, with about 38%, 21%, 19%, and 15%, respectively, of world production. Although China was the leading producer, most of its perlite production was thought to be consumed internally. Greece and Turkey remained the leading exporters of perlite.

**World Perlite Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Iran were revised based on industry information.

	Production		Reserves <sup>4</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	<sup>5</sup> 526	<sup>5</sup> 520	50,000
Argentina	19	19	NA
China	1,300	1,300	NA
Greece	719	700	120,000
Hungary	71	70	49,000
Iran	72	70	73,000
Mexico	20	20	NA
New Zealand	17	17	NA
Slovakia	40	40	NA
Turkey	650	640	57,000
Other countries	<u>29</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>NA</u>
World total (rounded)	3,460	3,400	NA

**World Resources:**<sup>4</sup> Perlite occurrences in Arizona, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, and Oregon are thought to contain large resources. Significant deposits have been reported in China, Greece, Hungary, and Turkey, and a few other countries. Insufficient information is available to make reliable estimates of resources in many perlite-producing countries.

**Substitutes:** In construction applications, diatomite, expanded clay and shale, pumice, and slag can be substituted for perlite. For horticultural uses, vermiculite, coco coir, wood pulp, and pumice are alternative soil additives and are sometimes used in conjunction with perlite.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Exports and imports were estimated by the U.S. Geological Survey from U.S. Census Bureau combined data for vermiculite, perlite, and chlorites, unexpanded.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as sold or used processed perlite + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>5</sup>Mine production of crude ore.

## PHOSPHATE ROCK

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, phosphate rock ore was mined by five firms at 10 mines in four States and processed into an estimated 24 million tons of marketable product, valued at \$1.7 billion, free on board (f.o.b.) mine. Florida and North Carolina accounted for more than 75% of total domestic output; the remainder was produced in Idaho and Utah. Marketable product refers to beneficiated phosphate rock with phosphorus pentoxide (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) content suitable for phosphoric acid or elemental phosphorus production. More than 95% of the phosphate rock mined in the United States was used to manufacture wet-process phosphoric acid and superphosphoric acid, which were used as intermediate feedstocks in the manufacture of granular and liquid ammonium phosphate fertilizers and animal feed supplements. Approximately 50% of the wet-process phosphoric acid produced was exported in the form of upgraded granular diammonium phosphate (DAP) and monoammonium phosphate (MAP) fertilizer, and merchant-grade phosphoric acid. The balance of the phosphate rock mined was for the manufacture of elemental phosphorus, which was used to produce phosphorus compounds for industrial applications, primarily glyphosate herbicide.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, marketable	27,100	27,900	25,800	23,300	24,000
Sold or used by producers	26,700	26,300	23,300	23,400	24,000
Imports for consumption	1,590	2,470	2,770	2,140	2,300
Consumption, apparent <sup>1</sup>	28,200	28,800	26,000	25,500	26,000
Price, average value, f.o.b. mine, <sup>2</sup> dollars per ton	76.90	73.67	70.77	67.98	70.00
Stocks, producer, yearend	7,450	8,440	10,600	9,940	9,500
Employment, mine and beneficiation plant, number <sup>e</sup>	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	4	5	2	11	10

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Peru, 85%; Morocco, 15%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Natural calcium phosphates:		
	Unground	2510.10.0000	Free.
	Ground	2510.20.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The COVID-19 pandemic did not have a major effect on the domestic phosphate rock market in 2020. The fertilizer industry and related agricultural businesses were considered essential industries in the United States and most other countries. U.S. consumption and production of phosphate rock were estimated to have increased slightly in 2020, owing to a slight increase in phosphoric acid and combined DAP and MAP production.

The U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, approved an expansion to the largest phosphate rock mine in Idaho. The expansion will allow the operating company to continue mining at the site for about 10 years, after which it will shift mining to a new mine that is under development in the same area.

A new phosphate rock mine began operation near Spanish Forks, UT, in July 2020. The operating company will market its phosphate rock for direct application to soil for organic farming. Production initially was to be about 5,000 tons per year, eventually increasing to 48,000 tons per year after 5 years. The mine site was developed in the early 1980s by another company, but the project was cancelled after 2 years.

According to industry analysts, the rated capacity of global phosphate rock mines was projected to increase to 261 million tons in 2024 from 238 million tons in 2020, including production of marketable phosphate rock in China of between 80 million and 85 million tons per year, compared with official production statistics of 90 million to 95 million tons per year that included some crude ore production. Most of the increases in production capacity were planned for Africa and the Middle East, where major expansion projects were in progress in Algeria, Egypt, Guinea Bissau, Morocco, Senegal, and Togo.

## PHOSPHATE ROCK

World consumption of  $P_2O_5$  contained in fertilizer and industrial uses was projected to increase to 49 million tons in 2024 from 47 million tons in 2020. Asia and South America are projected to be the leading regions of growth. U.S. consumption of contained  $P_2O_5$  has remained steady at about 4 million tons per year over the past decade.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Australia, Brazil, Israel, and Jordan were revised based on company or Government reports. Reserves for Egypt were revised based on information from an independent research organization.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>4</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	23,300	24,000	1,000,000
Algeria	1,300	1,300	2,200,000
Australia	2,700	2,700	<sup>5</sup> 1,100,000
Brazil	4,700	5,500	1,600,000
China <sup>6</sup>	95,000	90,000	3,200,000
Egypt	5,000	5,000	2,800,000
Finland	995	1,000	1,000,000
India	1,480	1,500	46,000
Israel	2,810	2,800	57,000
Jordan	9,220	9,200	800,000
Kazakhstan	1,500	1,500	260,000
Mexico	558	600	30,000
Morocco and Western Sahara	35,500	37,000	50,000,000
Peru	4,000	4,000	210,000
Russia	13,100	13,000	600,000
Saudi Arabia	6,500	6,500	1,400,000
Senegal	3,420	3,500	50,000
South Africa	2,100	2,100	1,400,000
Syria	2,000	360	1,800,000
Togo	800	800	30,000
Tunisia	4,110	4,000	100,000
Uzbekistan	900	900	100,000
Vietnam	4,650	4,700	30,000
Other countries	1,140	1,100	840,000
World total (rounded)	227,000	223,000	71,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>4</sup> Some world reserves were reported only in terms of ore tonnage and grade. Phosphate rock resources occur principally as sedimentary marine phosphorites. The largest sedimentary deposits are found in northern Africa, China, the Middle East, and the United States. Significant igneous occurrences are found in Brazil, Canada, Finland, Russia, and South Africa. Large phosphate resources have been identified on the continental shelves and on seamounts in the Atlantic Ocean and the Pacific Ocean. World resources of phosphate rock are more than 300 billion tons. There are no imminent shortages of phosphate rock.

**Substitutes:** There are no substitutes for phosphorus in agriculture.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as phosphate rock sold or used by producers + imports. U.S. producers stopped exporting phosphate rock in 2003.

<sup>2</sup>Marketable phosphate rock, weighted value, all grades.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>5</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 113 million tons.

<sup>6</sup>Production data for large mines only, as reported by the National Bureau of Statistics of China.

## PLATINUM-GROUP METALS

(Palladium, platinum, iridium, osmium, rhodium, and ruthenium)  
(Data in kilograms of platinum-group-metal content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** One company in Montana produced approximately 18,000 kilograms of platinum-group metals (PGMs) with an estimated value of about \$1.1 billion. Small quantities of primary PGMs also were recovered as byproducts of copper-nickel mining in Michigan; however, this material was sold to foreign companies for refining. The leading domestic use for PGMs was in catalytic converters to decrease harmful emissions from automobiles. PGMs are also used in catalysts for bulk-chemical production and petroleum refining; dental and medical devices; electronic applications, such as in computer hard disks, hybridized integrated circuits, and multilayer ceramic capacitors; glass manufacturing; investment; jewelry; and laboratory equipment.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Mine production: <sup>1</sup>					
Palladium	13,100	14,000	14,300	14,300	14,000
Platinum	3,890	4,000	4,160	4,150	4,000
Imports for consumption: <sup>2</sup>					
Palladium	80,400	86,000	92,900	84,300	78,000
Platinum	42,300	53,200	58,500	42,300	60,000
PGM waste and scrap	154,000	354,000	40,700	54,300	130,000
Iridium	1,300	1,420	1,020	875	1,300
Osmium	27	856	25	( <sup>3</sup> )	—
Rhodium	10,700	11,600	14,500	15,000	20,000
Ruthenium	8,410	14,600	17,900	11,200	11,000
Exports: <sup>4</sup>					
Palladium	17,500	52,300	52,900	55,500	36,000
Platinum	14,000	16,700	18,900	17,400	18,000
PGM waste and scrap	48,100	37,200	31,700	20,800	29,000
Rhodium	794	844	2,010	1,210	1,300
Other PGMs	736	939	2,500	1,330	1,400
Consumption, apparent: <sup>5, 6</sup>					
Palladium	118,000	89,700	96,200	96,100	110,000
Platinum	43,200	51,600	53,700	37,100	53,000
Price, dollars per troy ounce: <sup>7</sup>					
Palladium	617.39	874.30	1,036.43	1,544.31	2,100.00
Platinum	989.52	951.23	882.66	866.94	850.00
Iridium	586.90	908.35	1,293.27	1,485.80	1,600.00
Rhodium	696.84	1,112.59	2,225.30	3,918.78	9,200.00
Ruthenium	42.00	76.86	244.41	262.59	260.00
Employment, mine, number <sup>1</sup>	1,432	1,513	1,628	1,789	1,600
Net import reliance <sup>6, 8</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption:					
Palladium	53	38	42	30	40
Platinum	66	71	74	67	79

**Recycling:** About 102,000 kilograms of palladium and platinum was recovered globally from new and old scrap in 2020, including about 57,000 kilograms recovered from automobile catalytic converters in the United States.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Palladium: Russia, 38%; South Africa, 33%; Germany, 8%; United Kingdom, 5%; and other, 16%. Platinum: South Africa, 43%; Germany, 21%; Italy, 7%; Switzerland, 6%; and other, 23%.

**Tariff:** All unwrought and semimanufactured forms of PGMs are imported duty free. See footnotes for specific Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States codes.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

## PLATINUM-GROUP METALS

### Government Stockpile:<sup>9</sup>

Material	Inventory as of 9–30–20	FY 2020		FY 2021	
		Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Iridium	15	—	15	—	15
Platinum	261	—	261	—	261

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Progress continued at a domestic mine expansion project; full production from the project was expected by late 2021. Production of PGMs in South Africa, the world's leading supplier of mined material, decreased by 11% compared with that of 2019 owing to temporary lockdowns related to the COVID-19 pandemic as well as increased labor costs, increased costs for electricity, an unreliable supply of electricity, and challenges related to deep-level mining.

The estimated annual average prices of iridium, palladium, rhodium, and ruthenium increased by 5%, 38%, 136%, and slightly, respectively, compared with those of 2019. The estimated average annual price of platinum decreased slightly compared with that of 2019, continuing a 5-year trend of declining prices. The price of palladium remained higher than that of platinum in 2020, with palladium prices exceeding a previous high of \$1,977.00 in December 2019.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production				PGM reserves <sup>10</sup>
	Palladium		Platinum		
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	14,300	14,000	4,150	4,000	900,000
Canada	20,000	20,000	7,800	7,800	310,000
Russia	98,000	91,000	24,000	21,000	3,900,000
South Africa	80,700	70,000	133,000	120,000	63,000,000
Zimbabwe	11,400	12,000	13,500	14,000	1,200,000
Other countries	2,420	2,600	3,730	3,800	NA
World total (rounded)	227,000	210,000	186,000	170,000	69,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>10</sup> World resources of PGMs are estimated to total more than 100 million kilograms. The largest reserves are in the Bushveld Complex in South Africa.

**Substitutes:** Palladium has been substituted for platinum in most gasoline-engine catalytic converters because of the historically lower price for palladium relative to that of platinum. About 25% of palladium can routinely be substituted for platinum in diesel catalytic converters; the proportion can be as much as 50% in some applications. For some industrial end uses, one PGM can substitute for another, but with losses in efficiency.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Estimated from published sources.

<sup>2</sup>Includes data for the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States codes: 7110.11.0010, 7110.11.0020, 7110.11.0050, 7110.19.0000, 7110.21.0000, 7110.29.0000, 7110.31.0000, 7110.39.0000, 7110.41.0010, 7110.41.0020, 7110.41.0030, 7110.49.0010, 7112.92.0000, and 7118.90.0020.

<sup>3</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>4</sup>Includes data for the following Schedule B codes: 7110.11.0000, 7110.19.0000, 7110.21.0000, 7110.29.0000, 7110.31.0000, 7110.39.0000, 7110.41.0000, 7110.49.0000, and 7112.92.0000.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as primary production + secondary production + imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>Excludes imports and (or) exports of waste and scrap.

<sup>7</sup>Engelhard Corp. unfabricated metal.

<sup>8</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>9</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>10</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## POTASH

(Data in thousand metric tons of K<sub>2</sub>O equivalent unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, the estimated sales value of marketable potash, free on board (f.o.b.) mine, was \$430 million, which was 10% higher than that in 2019. Potash denotes a variety of mined and manufactured salts that contain the element potassium in water-soluble form. In agriculture, the term potash refers to potassic fertilizers, which are potassium chloride (KCl), potassium sulfate or sulfate of potash (SOP), and potassium magnesium sulfate (SOPM) or langbeinite. Muriate of potash (MOP) is an agriculturally acceptable mix of KCl (95% pure or greater) and sodium chloride for fertilizer use. The majority of U.S. production was from southeastern New Mexico, where two companies operated two underground mines and one deep-well solution mine. Sylvinite and langbeinite ores in New Mexico were beneficiated by flotation, dissolution-recrystallization, heavy-media separation, solar evaporation, and (or) combinations of these processes, and accounted for about 50% of total U.S. producer sales. In Utah, two companies operated three facilities. One company extracted underground sylvinite ore by deep-well solution mining. Solar evaporation crystallized the sylvinite ore from the brine solution, and a flotation process separated the MOP from byproduct sodium chloride. The firm also processed subsurface brines by solar evaporation and flotation to produce MOP at its other facility. Another company processed brine from the Great Salt Lake by solar evaporation to produce SOP and other byproducts.

The fertilizer industry used about 85% of U.S. potash sales, and the remainder was used for chemical and industrial applications. About 65% of the potash produced was SOPM and SOP, which are required to fertilize certain chloride-sensitive crops. The remaining 35% of production was MOP and was used for agricultural and chemical applications.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, marketable <sup>1</sup>	510	480	520	510	470
Sales by producers, marketable <sup>1</sup>	600	490	520	480	520
Imports for consumption	4,550	5,870	5,710	4,940	5,100
Exports	96	128	105	145	140
Consumption, apparent <sup>1, 2</sup>	5,100	6,200	6,100	5,300	5,500
Price, average, all products, f.o.b. mine, <sup>3</sup> dollars per ton of K <sub>2</sub> O	680	770	750	820	830
Price, average, muriate, f.o.b. mine, dollars per ton of K <sub>2</sub> O	350	410	440	480	500
Employment, mine and mill, number	1,150	900	900	900	900
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	88	92	92	90	90

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 83%; Belarus and Russia, 6% each; and other, 5%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Potassium nitrate	2834.21.0000	Free.
	Potassium chloride	3104.20.0000	Free.
	Potassium sulfate	3104.30.0000	Free.
	Potassic fertilizers, other	3104.90.0100	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The COVID-19 pandemic had a minimal effect on the domestic potash market. Potash is an essential plant nutrient and fertilizers were designated as essential products in most countries. Financial assistance was provided to farmers and agribusinesses in the United States and other countries. Domestic potash consumption was estimated to have increased by about 4% from that of 2019, owing to fertilizer application rates recovering after unfavorable weather conditions in 2019 affected the spring planting season. Industrial potash use was lower, primarily for oil- and gas-well-drilling additives because those industries were affected severely by the economic downturn caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Domestic potash production was lower because of higher than average inventories carried over from 2019 and lower production in the first half because of lower evaporation rates at some solar evaporation facilities.

## POTASH

The company that was developing the Sevier Playa SOP project, which is about 225 kilometers southwest of Salt Lake City, UT, was unable to secure financing because of economic conditions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. The project, which had planned to begin construction in 2020, was expected to be put on hold. Production was scheduled to begin in 2022 at 30,000 tons per year of SOP with rampup to full capacity of 372,000 tons per year of SOP in 2025.

World potash production increased, owing to increased output in Canada and Russia. World potash consumption was estimated to have been about the same as in 2019 at about 41 million tons of K<sub>2</sub>O. Asia and South America were the leading consuming regions. World consumption of potash was projected to increase slightly in 2021, with Asia and South America as the leading regions for growth.

World potash capacity was projected to increase to 69 million tons in 2024 from 64 million tons in 2020. Most of the increase would be MOP from new mines and expansion projects in Belarus, Canada, and Russia. Other projects that were ongoing included new SOP mines in Australia, China, and Eritrea and new MOP mines in Brazil, Ethiopia, and Spain. The startup for some of the other projects was likely to be delayed to beyond 2025 because of unfavorable economic conditions.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Brazil and Canada were revised with information reported by the producing companies. Reserves for Laos were revised with official Government data.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>	
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	Recoverable ore	K <sub>2</sub> O equivalent
United States <sup>1</sup>	510	470	970,000	220,000
Belarus	7,350	7,300	3,300,000	750,000
Brazil	247	250	10,000	2,300
Canada	12,300	14,000	4,500,000	1,100,000
Chile	840	900	NA	100,000
China	5,000	5,000	NA	350,000
Germany	3,000	3,000	NA	150,000
Israel	2,040	2,000	NA	<sup>6</sup> Large
Jordan	1,520	1,500	NA	<sup>6</sup> Large
Laos	400	400	500,000	75,000
Russia	7,340	7,600	NA	600,000
Spain	500	470	NA	68,000
Other countries	310	300	1,500,000	300,000
World total (rounded)	41,300	43,000	NA	>3,700,000

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Estimated domestic potash resources total about 7 billion tons. Most of these lie at depths between 1,800 and 3,100 meters in a 3,110-square-kilometer area of Montana and North Dakota as an extension of the Williston Basin deposits in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, Canada. The Paradox Basin in Utah contains resources of about 2 billion tons, mostly at depths of more than 1,200 meters. The Holbrook Basin of Arizona contains resources of about 0.7 to 2.5 billion tons. A large potash resource lies about 2,100 meters under central Michigan and contains more than 75 million tons. Estimated world resources total about 250 billion tons.

**Substitutes:** No substitutes exist for potassium as an essential plant nutrient and as an essential nutritional requirement for animals and humans. Manure and glauconite (greensand) are low-potassium-content materials that can be profitably transported only short distances to crop fields.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than two significant digits to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as sales + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Includes MOP, SOP, and SOPM. Does not include other chemical compounds that contain potassium.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>Israel and Jordan recover potash from the Dead Sea, which contains nearly 2 billion tons of potassium chloride.

## PUMICE AND PUMICITE

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, 10 operations in five States produced pumice and pumicite. Estimated production<sup>1</sup> was 480,000 tons with an estimated processed value of about \$13 million, free on board (f.o.b.) plant. That represented decreases of 15% in quantity and 18% in value from the 2019 reported production of 565,000 tons valued at \$15.9 million. Pumice and pumicite were mined in California, Idaho, Kansas, New Mexico, and Oregon. The porous, lightweight properties of pumice are well suited for its main uses. Mined pumice was used in the production of abrasives, concrete admixtures and aggregates, lightweight building blocks, horticultural purposes, and other uses, including absorbent, filtration, laundry stone washing, and road use.

**Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine <sup>1</sup>	374	383	496	565	480
Imports for consumption	170	166	159	136	48
Exports <sup>e</sup>	10	12	11	11	7
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	534	537	644	690	520
Price, average value, f.o.b. mine or mill, dollars per ton	38	39	32	28	28
Employment, mine and mill, number	140	140	140	140	140
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	30	29	23	18	8

**Recycling:** Little to no known recycling.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Greece, 93%; Iceland, 5%; and Mexico, 2%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Pumice, crude or in irregular pieces, including crushed	2513.10.0010	Free.
	Pumice, other	2513.10.0080	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 5% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The amount of domestically produced pumice and pumicite sold or used in 2020 was estimated to be 15% less than that in 2019. Owing to disruptions likely caused by the COVID-19 pandemic during the first 6 months of 2020, mine production decreased by approximately 15% compared with the same period of 2019. Imports and exports were estimated to have decreased substantially compared with those of 2019. Since 2015, apparent consumption of pumice and the quantity of pumice that was sold or used followed an upward trend until 2020. Almost all imported pumice originated from Greece in 2020 and primarily supplied markets in the eastern and gulf coast regions of the United States. Although the domestic mill price for pumice was approximately \$28 per ton, the average imported value of pumice was approximately \$98 per ton.

Pumice and pumicite are plentiful in the Western United States, but legal challenges and public land designations could limit access to known deposits. Pumice and pumicite production is sensitive to mining and transportation costs. Although unlikely in the short term, an increase in fuel prices would likely lead to increases in production costs, making imports and competing materials attractive substitutes for domestic products.

All known domestic pumice and pumicite mining in 2020 was accomplished through open pit methods, generally in remote areas, away from major population centers. Although the generation and disposal of reject fines in mining and milling may result in local dust issues at some operations, such environmental impacts are thought to be restricted to relatively small geographic areas.



## PUMICE AND PUMICITE

World production of pumice and related material was estimated to be 21 million tons in 2020, which was 7% more than that of 2019. Turkey, followed by Ethiopia, was the leading global producer of pumice and pumicite. Pumice is used more extensively as a building material outside the United States, which explained the large global production of pumice relative to that of the United States. In Europe, basic home construction uses stone and concrete as the preferred building materials. Prefabricated lightweight concrete walls, which may contain pumice as lightweight aggregate, are often produced and shipped to construction locations. Because of their cementitious properties, light weight, and strength, pumice and pumicite perform well in European-style construction.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>4</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States <sup>1</sup>	565	480	Large in the United States. Quantitative estimates of reserves for most countries are not available.
Algeria <sup>5</sup>	900	900	
Cameroon <sup>5</sup>	300	1,000	
Chile <sup>5</sup>	800	800	
Ecuador <sup>5</sup>	800	600	
Ethiopia	2,400	2,400	
France <sup>5</sup>	280	300	
Greece <sup>5</sup>	1,020	1,000	
Guadeloupe	200	200	
Guatemala	570	600	
Indonesia	200	770	
Jordan	900	900	
New Zealand	220	220	
Saudi Arabia <sup>5</sup>	560	550	
Spain	200	200	
Syria <sup>5</sup>	200	200	
Tanzania	263	260	
Turkey	7,800	7,800	
Uganda	880	1,000	
Other countries <sup>5</sup>	640	640	
World total (rounded)	19,700	21,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>4</sup> The identified U.S. resources of pumice and pumicite, estimated to be more than 25 million tons, are concentrated in the Western States. The estimated total resources (identified and undiscovered) in the Western and Great Plains States are at least 250 million tons and may total more than 1 billion tons. Large resources of pumice and pumicite have been identified on all continents.

**Substitutes:** The costs of transportation determine the maximum economic distance pumice and pumicite can be shipped and still remain competitive with alternative materials. Competitive materials that may be substituted for pumice and pumicite include crushed aggregates, diatomite, expanded shale and clay, and vermiculite.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Quantity sold and used by producers.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>5</sup>Includes pozzolan and (or) volcanic tuff.

## QUARTZ CRYSTAL (INDUSTRIAL)

(Data in kilograms unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Industrial cultured quartz crystal is electronic-grade quartz crystal that is manufactured, not mined. In the past, cultured quartz crystal was primarily produced using lascas<sup>1</sup> as raw quartz feed material. Lascas mining and processing in Arkansas ended in 1997. In 2020, production of cultured quartz crystal was reported and evidence indicated that two companies produced cultured quartz crystal in the United States. However, production data was withheld in order to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. In addition to lascas, these companies may use cultured quartz crystal that has been rejected during the manufacturing process, owing to crystallographic imperfections, as feed material. The companies may use a mix of cultured quartz and imported lascas as feed material. In the past several years, cultured quartz crystal has been increasingly produced overseas, primarily in Asia. Electronic applications accounted for most industrial uses of quartz crystal; other uses included special optical applications.

Virtually all quartz crystal used for electronics was cultured, rather than natural, crystal. Electronic-grade quartz crystal is used to make frequency filters, frequency controls, and timers in electronic circuits employed for a wide range of products, such as communications equipment, computers, and many consumer goods, such as electronic games and television receivers.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production:					
Mine (lascas)	—	—	—	—	—
Cultured quartz crystal	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption:					
Quartz (lascas)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Piezoelectric quartz, unmounted	6,280	6,760	16,100	54,600	95,000
Exports:					
Quartz (lascas)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Piezoelectric quartz, unmounted	60,500	55,300	43,400	40,900	42,000
Price, dollars per kilogram:					
As-grown cultured quartz	280	280	300	200	200
Lumbered quartz <sup>2</sup>	890	300	500	500	500
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Recycling:** An unspecified amount of rejected cultured quartz crystal was used as feed material for the production of cultured quartz crystal.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Import statistics specific to lascas are not available because they are combined with other types of quartz. Cultured quartz crystal (piezoelectric quartz, unmounted): China, 76%; Japan, 11%; Switzerland and Russia, 2% each; and other, 9%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b>
			<b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Quartz (including lascas)	2506.10.0050	Free.
	Piezoelectric quartz, unmounted	7104.10.0000	3% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

# QUARTZ CRYSTAL (INDUSTRIAL)

**Government Stockpile:**<sup>5</sup> As of September 30, 2020, the National Defense Stockpile (NDS) contained 7,148 kilograms of natural quartz crystal. The stockpile has 11 weight classes for natural quartz crystal that range from 0.2 kilograms to more than 10 kilograms. The stockpiled crystals, however, are primarily in the larger weight classes. The larger pieces are suitable as seed crystals, which are very thin crystals cut to exact dimensions, to produce cultured quartz crystal. In addition, many of the stockpiled crystals could be of interest to the specimen and gemstone industry. Little, if any, of the stockpiled material is likely to be used in the same applications as cultured quartz crystal. No natural quartz crystal was sold from the NDS in 2020. Previously, the only individual crystals from the stockpile that were sold were those that weighed 10 kilograms or more and that could be used as seed material.

Material	Inventory as of 9–30–20	FY 2020		FY 2021	
		Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Quartz crystal	7,148	—	—	—	—

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Rising imports of piezoelectric quartz in the past several years are likely the result of increased demand for vibration sensors such as accelerometers, which are utilized in aerospace and automotive applications. Demand for cultured quartz crystal for frequency-control oscillators and frequency filters in a variety of electronic devices is expected to remain stable. Growth of the consumer electronics market, for products such as personal computers, electronic games, and tablet computers, is likely to continue to sustain global production of cultured quartz crystal.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>6</sup> This information is unavailable, but the global reserves for lascas are thought to be large.

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Limited resources of natural quartz crystal suitable for direct electronic or optical use are available throughout the world. World dependence on these resources will continue to decline because of the increased acceptance of cultured quartz crystal as an alternative material. Additionally, techniques using rejected cultured quartz crystal as feed material could mean a decreased dependence on lascas for growing cultured quartz.

**Substitutes:** Silicon is increasingly being used as a substitute for quartz crystal for frequency-control oscillators in electronic circuits. Other materials, such as aluminum orthophosphate (the very rare mineral berlinite), langasite, lithium niobate, and lithium tantalate, which have larger piezoelectric coupling constants, have been studied and used. The cost competitiveness of these materials, as opposed to cultured quartz crystal, is dependent on the type of application that the material is used for and the processing required.

<sup>6</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Lascas is a nonelectronic-grade quartz used as a feedstock for growing cultured quartz crystal and for production of fused quartz.

<sup>2</sup>As-grown cultured quartz that has been processed by sawing and grinding.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Deceased.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## RARE EARTHS<sup>1</sup>

[Data in metric tons of rare-earth-oxide (REO) equivalent content unless otherwise noted]

**Domestic Production and Use:** Rare earths were mined domestically in 2020. Bastnaesite (or bastnäsite), a rare-earth fluorocarbonate mineral, was mined as a primary product at a mine in Mountain Pass, CA. Monazite, a phosphate mineral, was produced as a separated concentrate or included as an accessory mineral in heavy-mineral concentrates. The estimated value of rare-earth compounds and metals imported by the United States in 2020 was \$110 million, a significant decrease from \$160 million in 2019. The estimated distribution of rare earths by end use was as follows: catalysts, 75%; ceramics and glass, 6%; polishing, 5%; metallurgical applications and alloys, 4%; and other, 10%.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, bastnaesite and monazite concentrates <sup>e</sup>	—	—	14,000	28,000	38,000
Imports: <sup>2, e</sup>					
Compounds	11,800	11,000	10,800	12,300	6,700
Metals:					
Ferrocerium, alloys	268	309	298	332	260
Rare-earth metals, scandium, and yttrium	404	524	526	627	380
Exports: <sup>2, e</sup>					
Ores and compounds	590	1,740	17,900	28,200	38,000
Metals:					
Ferrocerium, alloys	943	982	1,250	1,290	630
Rare-earth metals, scandium, and yttrium	103	55	28	83	27
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	10,500	9,060	6,520	11,700	7,800
Price, average, dollars per kilogram: <sup>4</sup>					
Cerium oxide, 99.5% minimum	2	2	2	2	2
Dysprosium oxide, 99.5% minimum	198	187	179	239	258
Europium oxide, 99.99% minimum	74	77	53	35	31
Lanthanum oxide, 99.5% minimum	2	2	2	2	2
Mischmetal, 65% cerium, 35% lanthanum	5	6	6	6	5
Neodymium oxide, 99.5% minimum	40	50	50	45	47
Terbium oxide, 99.99% minimum	415	501	455	507	628
Employment, mine and mill, annual average, number	—	24	190	202	180
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption: <sup>6</sup>					
Compounds and metals	100	100	100	100	100
Mineral concentrates	XX	XX	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Limited quantities of rare earths are recovered from batteries, permanent magnets, and fluorescent lamps.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Rare-earth compounds and metals: China, 80%; Estonia, 5%; Japan and Malaysia, 4% each; and other, 7%. Compounds and metals imported from Estonia, Japan, and Malaysia were derived from mineral concentrates and chemical intermediates produced in Australia, China, and elsewhere.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Rare-earth metals	2805.30.0000	5.0% ad val.
	Cerium compounds	2846.10.0000	5.5% ad val.
	Other rare-earth compounds:		
	Oxides or chlorides	2846.90.2000	Free.
	Carbonates	2846.90.8000	3.7% ad val.
	Ferrocerium and other pyrophoric alloys	3606.90.3000	5.9% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Monazite, 22% on thorium content and 14% on rare-earth content (domestic), 14% (foreign); bastnäsite and xenotime, 14% (domestic and foreign).

## RARE EARTHS

**Government Stockpile:**<sup>7</sup> In the addition to the materials listed below, the FY 2021 potential acquisitions include neodymium, 600 tons; praseodymium, 70 tons; and samarium-cobalt alloy, 50 tons.

Material	Inventory as of 9–30–20	FY 2020		FY 2021	
		Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Cerium	—	900	—	500	—
Dysprosium	0.2	—	—	20	—
Europium	20.9	—	—	—	—
Ferrodysprosium	0.5	—	—	—	—
Lanthanum	—	4,100	—	1,300	—
Rare-earth-magnet feedstock	—	100	—	100	—
Yttrium	25	—	—	600	—

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Global mine production was estimated to have increased to 240,000 tons of rare-earth-oxide equivalent. According to China's Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, the mine production quota for 2020 was 140,000 tons with 120,850 tons allocated to light rare earths and 19,150 tons allocated to ion-adsorption clays.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Brazil and the United States were revised based on information from Government and industry reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>8</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	28,000	38,000	1,500,000
Australia	20,000	17,000	<sup>9</sup> 4,100,000
Brazil	710	1,000	21,000,000
Burma	25,000	30,000	NA
Burundi	200	500	NA
Canada	—	—	830,000
China	<sup>10</sup> 132,000	<sup>10</sup> 140,000	44,000,000
Greenland	—	—	1,500,000
India	2,900	3,000	6,900,000
Madagascar	4,000	8,000	NA
Russia	2,700	2,700	12,000,000
South Africa	—	—	790,000
Tanzania	—	—	890,000
Thailand	1,900	2,000	NA
Vietnam	1,300	1,000	22,000,000
Other countries	66	100	310,000
World total (rounded)	220,000	240,000	120,000,000

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> Rare earths are relatively abundant in the Earth's crust, but minable concentrations are less common than for most other mineral commodities. In North America, measured and indicated resources of rare earths were estimated to include 2.7 million tons in the United States and more than 15 million tons in Canada.

**Substitutes:** Substitutes are available for many applications but generally are less effective.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available. XX Not applicable. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data include lanthanides and yttrium but exclude most scandium. See also Scandium and Yttrium.

<sup>2</sup>REO equivalent or content of various materials were estimated. Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Source: Argus Media group—Argus Metals International.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>In 2018–2020, all domestic production of mineral concentrates was exported, and all compounds and metals consumed were assumed to be imported material.

<sup>7</sup>Gross weight. See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>9</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 2.8 million tons.

<sup>10</sup>Production quota; does not include undocumented production.

## RHENIUM

(Data in kilograms of rhenium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** During 2020, rhenium-containing products including ammonium perrhenate (APR), metal powder, and perrhenic acid were produced as byproducts from roasting molybdenum concentrates from porphyry copper-molybdenum deposits in Arizona and Montana. U.S. primary production was approximately 7,800 kilograms in 2020, a 6% decrease from the previous year. The United States continued to be a leading producer of secondary rhenium, recovering rhenium from nickel base superalloy scrap, spent oil-refining catalysts, and foundry revert. The major uses of rhenium were in superalloys used in high-temperature turbine engine components and in petroleum-reforming catalysts, representing an estimated 80% and 15%, respectively, of end uses. Bimetallic platinum-rhenium catalysts were used in petroleum reforming for the production of high-octane hydrocarbons, which are used in the production of lead-free gasoline. Rhenium improves the high-temperature (>1,000 °C) strength properties of some nickel-base superalloys. Rhenium alloys were used in crucibles, electrical contacts, electromagnets, electron tubes and targets, heating elements, ionization gauges, mass spectrographs, metallic coatings, semiconductors, temperature controls, thermocouples, vacuum tubes, and other applications. The value of rhenium consumed in 2020 was about \$35 million as measured by the value of imports of rhenium metal and APR.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production <sup>1</sup>	8,440	8,200	8,220	8,360	7,800
Imports for consumption <sup>2</sup>	31,900	34,500	39,400	44,300	24,000
Exports	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	40,300	42,700	47,600	52,600	32,000
Price, average value, gross weight, dollars per kilogram: <sup>4</sup>					
Metal pellets, 99.99% pure	2,030	1,550	1,470	1,300	1,000
Ammonium perrhenate	2,510	1,530	1,410	1,300	1,100
Employment, number	Small	Small	Small	Small	Small
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	79	81	83	84	76

**Recycling:** Nickel-base superalloy scrap and scrapped turbine blades and vanes continued to be recycled hydrometallurgically to produce rhenium metal for use in new superalloy melts. The scrapped parts were also processed to generate engine revert—a high-quality, lower cost superalloy meltstock—by an increasing number of companies, mainly in the United States, Canada, Estonia, France, Germany, Japan, Poland, and Russia. Rhenium-containing catalysts were also recycled.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Ammonium perrhenate: Kazakhstan, 23%; Germany, 18%; Canada, 16%; Poland, 14%; and other, 29%. Rhenium metal powder: Chile, 82%; Germany, 7%; Canada, 5%; Poland, 3%; and other, 3%. Total imports: Chile, 60%; Germany, 10%; Canada, 8%; Kazakhstan, 7%; and other, 15%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Salts of peroxometallic acids, other, ammonium perrhenate	2841.90.2000	3.1% ad val.
	Rhenium (and other metals), waste and scrap	8112.92.0600	Free.
	Rhenium, unwrought and powders	8112.92.5000	3% ad val.
	Rhenium (and other metals), wrought	8112.99.9000	4% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, rhenium production in the United States decreased by 6% compared with that in 2019. A rhenium recovery facility in Utah was closed in 2019; however, the company announced the possibility of reopening the facility in the near future. During 2020, the United States continued to rely on imports for much of its supply of rhenium. Canada, Chile, Germany, and Kazakhstan supplied most of the imported rhenium. Imports of APR decreased by 16% in 2020 compared with the previous year. Imports of rhenium metal decreased by 57% in 2020 compared with the previous year. This large decrease in rhenium metal imports was attributed in part to lower consumption by the aerospace industry owing to travel lockdown measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and reduced production of airplanes. Additionally, mine production of rhenium-containing feedstocks was lower in 2020.

## RHENIUM

The United States and Germany continued to be the leading secondary rhenium producers. Secondary rhenium production also took place in Canada, Estonia, France, Japan, Poland, and Russia. According to industry sources, approximately 20 to 25 tons of rhenium was recycled worldwide in 2020. For the ninth year in a row, rhenium metal and catalytic-grade APR prices decreased. In 2020, catalytic-grade APR prices averaged \$1,100 per kilogram, a 12% decrease from the annual average price in 2019. Rhenium metal pellet prices averaged \$1,000 per kilogram in 2020, a 20% decrease from the annual average price in 2019.

There were no primary rhenium projects in 2020 that were expected to significantly contribute to rhenium availability in the near future. Continued low prices of rhenium as well as the global COVID-19 pandemic caused many rhenium recyclers as well as primary-rhenium production facilities to stop recycling or producing rhenium to focus on a more profitable market. The major aerospace companies were expected to continue testing superalloys that contain one-half the quantity of rhenium used in engine blades as currently designed, as well as testing rhenium-free alloys for other engine components.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	<b>Mine production<sup>6</sup></b>		<b>Reserves<sup>7</sup></b>
	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>	
United States	8,360	7,800	400,000
Armenia	280	280	95,000
Canada	—	—	32,000
Chile <sup>8</sup>	30,000	30,000	1,300,000
China	2,500	2,500	NA
Kazakhstan	500	1,000	190,000
Korea, Republic of	2,800	2,700	NA
Peru	—	—	45,000
Poland	8,340	8,300	NA
Russia	NA	NA	310,000
Uzbekistan	460	460	NA
World total (rounded)	53,200	53,000	2,400,000

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Most rhenium occurs with molybdenum in porphyry copper deposits. Identified U.S. resources are estimated to be about 7 million kilograms. Rhenium also is associated with copper minerals in sedimentary deposits in Armenia, Kazakhstan, Poland, Russia, and Uzbekistan, where ore is processed for copper recovery and the rhenium-bearing residues are recovered at copper smelters.

**Substitutes:** Substitutes for rhenium in platinum-rhenium catalysts are being evaluated continually. Iridium and tin have achieved commercial success in one such application. Other metals being evaluated for catalytic use include gallium, germanium, indium, selenium, silicon, tungsten, and vanadium. The use of these and other metals in bimetallic catalysts might decrease rhenium's share of the existing catalyst market; however, this would likely be offset by rhenium-bearing catalysts being considered for use in several proposed gas-to-liquid projects. Materials that can substitute for rhenium in various end uses are as follows: cobalt and tungsten for coatings on copper x-ray targets, rhodium and rhodium-iridium for high-temperature thermocouples, tungsten and platinum-ruthenium for coatings on electrical contacts, and tungsten and tantalum for electron emitters.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Based on 80% recovery of estimated rhenium contained in molybdenum disulfide concentrates. Secondary rhenium production is not included.

<sup>2</sup>Does not include wrought forms or waste and scrap. The rhenium content of ammonium perrhenate is 69.42%.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Average price per kilogram of rhenium in pellets or catalytic-grade ammonium perrhenate. Source: Argus Media group—Argus Metals International.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>Estimated amount of rhenium recovered in association with copper and molybdenum production. Secondary rhenium production not included.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>8</sup>Estimated rhenium recovered from roaster residues from Belgium, Chile, Mexico, and Peru.

## RUBIDIUM

(Data in metric tons of rubidium oxide unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, no rubidium was mined in the United States; however, occurrences of rubidium-bearing minerals are known in Alaska, Arizona, Idaho, Maine, South Dakota, and Utah. Rubidium is also associated with some evaporate mineral occurrences in other States. Rubidium is not a major constituent of any mineral. Rubidium concentrate is produced as a byproduct of pollucite (cesium) and lepidolite (lithium) mining and is imported from other countries for processing in the United States.

Applications for rubidium and its compounds include biomedical research, electronics, specialty glass, and pyrotechnics. Specialty glasses are the leading market for rubidium; rubidium carbonate is used to reduce electrical conductivity, which improves stability and durability in fiber optic telecommunications networks. Biomedical applications include rubidium salts used in antishock agents and the treatment of epilepsy and thyroid disorder; rubidium-82, a radioactive isotope used as a blood-flow tracer in positron emission tomographic imaging; and rubidium chloride, used as an antidepressant. Rubidium atoms are used in academic research, including the development of quantum-mechanics-based computing devices, a future application with potential for relatively high consumption of rubidium. Quantum computing research uses ultracold rubidium atoms in a variety of applications. Quantum computers, which have the ability to perform more complex computational tasks than traditional computers by calculating in two quantum states simultaneously, were expected to be in prototype phase within 10 years.

Rubidium's photoemissive properties make it useful for electrical-signal generators in motion-sensor devices, night-vision devices, photoelectric cells (solar panels), and photomultiplier tubes. Rubidium is used as an atomic resonance-frequency-reference oscillator for telecommunications network synchronization, playing a vital role in global positioning systems. Rubidium-rich feldspars are used in ceramic applications for spark plugs and electrical insulators because of their high dielectric constant. Rubidium hydroxide is used in fireworks to oxidize mixtures of other elements and produce violet hues. The U.S. military frequency standard, the United States Naval Observatory (USNO) timescale, is based on 48 weighted atomic clocks, including 4 USNO rubidium fountain clocks.

**Salient Statistics—United States:** Consumption, export, and import data are not available. Some concentrate was imported to the United States for further processing. Industry information during the past decade suggests a domestic consumption rate of approximately 2,000 kilograms per year. The United States was 100% import reliant for rubidium minerals.

In 2020, one company offered 1-gram ampoules of 99.75%-grade rubidium (metal basis) for \$89.00, a slight increase from \$87.80 in 2019, and 100-gram ampoules of the same material for \$1,608.00, a slight increase from \$1,592.00 in 2019. The price for 1-gram ampoules of 99.8% rubidium formate hydrate (metal basis) was \$34.70, unchanged from 2019.

In 2020, the prices for 10 grams of 99.8% (metal basis) rubidium acetate, rubidium bromide, rubidium carbonate, rubidium chloride, and rubidium nitrate were \$50.60, \$67.00, \$56.80, \$61.30, and \$47.20, respectively. The price for a rubidium-plasma standard solution (10,000 micrograms per milliliter) was \$49.50 for 50 milliliters and \$80.80 for 100 milliliters, a 5% decrease, each, from those of 2019.

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** No reliable data have been available to determine the source of rubidium ore imported by the United States since 1988. Prior to 2016, Canada was thought to be the primary supplier of rubidium ore.



## RUBIDIUM

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Alkali metals, other	2805.19.9000	5.5% ad val.
	Chlorides, other	2827.39.9000	3.7% ad val.
	Bromides, other	2827.59.5100	3.6% ad val.
	Iodides, other	2827.60.5100	4.2% ad val.
	Sulfates, other	2833.29.5100	3.7% ad val.
	Nitrates, other	2834.29.5100	3.5% ad val.
	Carbonates, other	2836.99.5000	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Domestic rubidium occurrences will remain uneconomic unless market conditions change, such as the development of new end uses or increased consumption for existing end uses, which in turn could lead to increased prices. No known human health issues are associated with exposure to naturally occurring rubidium, and its use has minimal environmental impact.

During 2020, no rubidium production was reported globally. Production of rubidium from all countries, excluding China, ceased within the past two decades. Production in Namibia ceased in the early 2000s, followed by the Tanco Mine in Canada shutting down and later being sold after a mine collapse in 2015. The Bikita Mine in Zimbabwe was depleted of pollucite ore reserves in 2018, and the Sinclair Mine in Australia completed the mining and shipments of all economically recoverable pollucite ore in 2019. Recent reports indicate that with current processing rates, the world's stockpiles of rubidium ore, excluding those in China, will be depleted by 2022.

The primary processing plant of rubidium compounds globally, located in Germany, has reportedly operated far below capacity for the past few years. A company completed an updated mineral resource estimate for the Karibib project in Namibia, reporting 8.9 million metric tons of measured and indicated resources containing 0.23% rubidium and 302 parts per million cesium. Located in the Karibib Pegmatite Belt, lithium would be the primary product, with cesium, potassium, and rubidium as potential byproducts.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>1</sup> There were no official sources for rubidium production data in 2020. Lepidolite and pollucite, the principal rubidium-containing minerals in global rubidium reserves, can contain up to 3.5% and 1.5% rubidium oxide, respectively. Rubidium-bearing mineral resources are found in zoned pegmatites. Mineral resources exist globally, but extraction and concentration are mostly cost prohibitive. No reliable data are available to determine reserves for specific countries; however, Australia, Canada, China, Namibia, and Zimbabwe were thought to have reserves totaling less than 200,000 tons.

**World Resources:**<sup>1</sup> Significant rubidium-bearing pegmatite occurrences have been identified in Afghanistan, Australia, Canada, China, Denmark, Germany, Japan, Kazakhstan, Namibia, Peru, Russia, the United Kingdom, the United States, and Zambia. Minor quantities of rubidium are reported in brines in northern Chile and China and in evaporites in the United States (New Mexico and Utah), France, and Germany.

**Substitutes:** Rubidium and cesium can be used interchangeably in many applications because they have similar physical properties and atomic radii. Cesium, however, is more electropositive than rubidium, making it a preferred material for some applications.

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## SALT

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Domestic production of salt was estimated to have decreased by 7% in 2020 to 39 million tons. The total value of salt sold or used was estimated to be about \$2.4 billion. Twenty-six companies operated 63 plants in 16 States. The top producing States were, in alphabetical order, Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Texas, and Utah. These seven States produced about 95% of the salt in the United States in 2020. The estimated percentage of salt sold or used was, by type, rock salt, 43%; salt in brine, 40%; vacuum pan salt, 10%; and solar salt, 7%.

Highway deicing accounted for about 43% of total salt consumed. The chemical industry accounted for about 38% of total salt sales, with salt in brine accounting for 90% of the salt used for chemical feedstock. Chlorine and caustic soda manufacturers were the main consumers within the chemical industry. The remaining markets for salt were distributors, 9%; food processing, 4%; agricultural, 2%; and general industrial and primary water treatment, 1% each. The remaining 2% was other uses combined with exports.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:<sup>1</sup>**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production	41,700	39,600	<sup>e</sup> 42,000	<sup>e</sup> 42,000	39,000
Sold or used by producers	39,900	38,200	<sup>e</sup> 41,000	<sup>e</sup> 41,000	38,000
Imports for consumption	12,100	12,600	17,900	18,600	16,000
Exports	729	1,120	986	1,020	1,200
Consumption:					
Apparent <sup>2</sup>	51,300	49,700	<sup>e</sup> 58,000	<sup>e</sup> 58,000	53,000
Reported	47,800	45,500	<sup>e</sup> 48,000	<sup>e</sup> 49,000	43,000
Price, average value of bulk, pellets and packaged salt, f.o.b. mine and plant, dollars per ton:					
Vacuum and open pan salt	197.78	211.71	<sup>e</sup> 220.00	<sup>e</sup> 215.00	215.00
Solar salt	99.69	115.88	<sup>e</sup> 120.00	<sup>e</sup> 120.00	120.00
Rock salt	56.75	60.41	<sup>e</sup> 58.00	<sup>e</sup> 58.00	57.00
Salt in brine	8.68	9.49	<sup>e</sup> 9.00	<sup>e</sup> 9.00	9.00
Employment, mine and plant, number <sup>e</sup>	4,000	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,000
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	22	23	29	30	27

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Chile, 33%; Canada, 24%; Mexico, 13%; Egypt, 9%; and other, 21%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Salt (sodium chloride)	2501.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 10% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The global COVID-19 pandemic affected production and consumption of salt throughout the world in 2020. The most significant impact was felt in the chloroalkali industry because international trade declined, but the entire salt sector was negatively affected to varying degrees. The chloralkali industry was also disrupted by severe weather events, mainly hurricanes, in the primary production areas of Louisiana and Texas.

The 2019–20 winter was slightly milder than average after several years of average or below average winter temperatures and more winter weather events than usual. The number of winter weather events including freezing rain, sleet, and snow is a better predictor of demand for rock salt than total snow fall. Several low snowfall or icing events will usually require more salt for highway deicing than a single large snowfall event. Rock salt production and imports in 2020 decreased compared with those of 2019 because demand from many local and State transportation departments decreased. Most local and State governments in regions that experienced a less intense winter season reportedly had remaining stockpiles and therefore less need to replenish supplies of rock salt for the winter of 2020–21.

## SALT

For the winter of 2020–21, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration predicted a moderate to strong La Niña weather pattern. A strong La Niña historically favors an average to warmer temperature pattern, but a moderate La Niña favors a colder winter. Based on several factors, the forecasts slightly favored a wetter than normal winter. A warmer and drier pattern was predicted for the southern areas of the United States, but the northwestern and northern plains were more likely to have below average temperatures and elevated precipitation. Areas from the mid-Atlantic to New England were thought to have a better than average chance for warmer temperatures and average precipitation. These forecasts would indicate that demand for rock salt could increase in the Midwest and decrease in the northeastern United States from Maine through Virginia.

Demand for salt brine used in the chloralkali industry was expected to rebound in 2021 as demand for caustic soda increases globally, especially in Asia. Exports from Australia and especially India increased to meet the increasing demand for caustic soda in China, but tensions between China and both countries could affect trade.

### World Production and Reserves:

	Mine production <sup>e</sup>		Reserves <sup>4</sup>
	2019	2020	
United States <sup>1</sup>	42,000	39,000	Large. Economic and subeconomic deposits of salt are substantial in principal salt-producing countries. The oceans contain a virtually inexhaustible supply of salt.
Australia	13,000	12,000	
Belarus	3,300	3,000	
Brazil	7,400	7,200	
Canada	11,000	10,000	
Chile	10,000	10,000	
China	59,000	60,000	
Djibouti	3,800	3,500	
France	5,600	5,500	
Germany	14,300	14,000	
India	29,000	28,000	
Iran	3,000	3,000	
Italy	4,200	4,000	
Mexico	9,000	9,000	
Netherlands	5,910	5,000	
Pakistan	3,700	3,000	
Poland	4,480	4,000	
Russia	6,700	6,000	
Spain	4,200	4,000	
Turkey	6,500	6,400	
United Kingdom	4,100	4,000	
Other countries	<u>33,000</u>	<u>30,000</u>	
World total (rounded)	283,000	270,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>4</sup> World continental resources of salt are vast, and the salt content in the oceans is nearly unlimited. Domestic resources of rock salt and salt from brine are primarily in Kansas, Louisiana, Michigan, New York, Ohio, and Texas. Saline lakes and solar evaporation salt facilities are in Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Utah. Almost every country in the world has salt deposits or solar evaporation operations of various sizes.

**Substitutes:** No economic substitutes or alternatives for salt exist in most applications. Calcium chloride and calcium magnesium acetate, hydrochloric acid, and potassium chloride can be substituted for salt in deicing, certain chemical processes, and food flavoring, but at a higher cost.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Excludes production from Puerto Rico.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as sold or used by producers + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## SAND AND GRAVEL (CONSTRUCTION)<sup>1</sup>

(Data in million metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, 960 million tons of construction sand and gravel valued at \$9.2 billion was produced by an estimated 3,870 companies operating 6,800 pits and 340 sales and distribution yards in 50 States. Leading producing States were, in order of decreasing tonnage, California, Texas, Arizona, Minnesota, Michigan, Utah, Ohio, Washington, Colorado, and New York, which together accounted for about 53% of total output. It is estimated that about 46% of construction sand and gravel was used as portland cement concrete aggregates, 21% for road base and coverings and road stabilization, 13% for construction fill, 12% for asphaltic concrete aggregate and for other bituminous mixtures, and 4% for other miscellaneous uses. The remaining 4% was used for concrete products, filtration, golf course maintenance, plaster and gunite sands, railroad ballast, road stabilization, roofing granules, and snow and ice control.

The estimated output of construction sand and gravel in the United States shipped for consumption in the first 9 months of 2020 was 719 million tons, a slight decrease compared with that of the same period of 2019. Third quarter shipments for consumption decreased by 4% compared with those of the same period of 2019. Additional production information by quarter for each State, geographic region, and the United States is published by the U.S. Geological Survey in its quarterly Mineral Industry Surveys for crushed stone and sand and gravel.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production	887	880	937	962	960
Imports for consumption	3	7	6	5	5
Exports	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	891	886	943	967	965
Price, average value, dollars per metric ton	8.41	8.83	9.14	9.32	9.59
Employment, mine and mill, number <sup>4</sup>	35,300	36,500	38,600	39,600	36,300
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	(2)	1	1	1	1

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 95%; Mexico, 3%; and other, 2%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Sand, other	2505.90.0000	Free.
	Pebbles and gravel	2517.10.0015	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Common varieties, 5% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

# SAND AND GRAVEL (CONSTRUCTION)

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Construction sand and gravel production was about 960 million tons in 2020, a slight decrease compared with that of 2019. Apparent consumption also decreased slightly to 965 million tons. Demand for construction sand and gravel decreased in 2020 because of measures instituted to mitigate the spread of the global COVID-19 pandemic that caused disruptions in the mining and construction industries. Usually commercial and heavy-industrial construction activity, infrastructure funding, new single-family housing unit starts, and weather affect growth in sand and gravel production and consumption. Long-term increases in construction aggregates demand will be influenced by activity in the public and private construction sectors, as well as by construction work related to security measures being implemented around the Nation. The underlying factors that would support a rise in prices of construction sand and gravel are expected to be present in 2021, especially in and near metropolitan areas.

The construction sand and gravel industry remained concerned with environmental, health, permitting, safety, and zoning regulations. Movement of sand and gravel operations away from densely populated regions was expected to continue where regulations and local sentiment discouraged them. Resultant regional shortages of construction sand and gravel would result in higher-than-average price increases in industrialized and urban areas.

## World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	962	960	Reserves are controlled largely by land use and (or) environmental concerns.
Other countries <sup>7</sup>	NA	NA	
World total	NA	NA	

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Sand and gravel resources are plentiful throughout the world. However, because of environmental regulations, geographic distribution, and quality requirements for some uses, sand and gravel extraction is uneconomical in some cases. The most important commercial sources of sand and gravel have been glacial deposits, river channels, and river flood plains. Use of offshore deposits in the United States is mostly restricted to beach erosion control and replenishment. Other countries routinely mine offshore deposits of aggregates for onshore construction projects.

**Substitutes:** Crushed stone, the other major construction aggregate, is often substituted for natural sand and gravel, especially in more densely populated areas of the Eastern United States. Crushed stone remains the dominant choice for construction aggregate use. Increasingly, recycled asphalt and portland cement concretes are being substituted for virgin aggregate, although the percentage of total aggregate supplied by recycled materials remained very small in 2020.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>See also Sand and Gravel (Industrial) and Stone (Crushed).

<sup>2</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Including office staff. Source: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>No reliable production information is available for most countries owing to the wide variety of ways in which countries report their sand and gravel production. Some countries do not report production for this mineral commodity. Production information for some countries is available in the U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook, volume III, Area Reports—International.

## SAND AND GRAVEL (INDUSTRIAL)<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, industrial sand and gravel valued at about \$3.2 billion was produced by about 180 companies from about 280 operations in 34 States. The value of production of industrial sand and gravel in 2020 decreased by 40% compared with that of the previous year, owing primarily to reduced demand for hydraulic-fracturing sand and metallurgical uses. Demand declined as a result of restrictions put in place in response to the global COVID-19 pandemic coupled with ongoing weak demand from the oil and gas sector. Leading producing States were Texas, Wisconsin, Illinois, Missouri, Minnesota, Oklahoma, Mississippi, North Carolina, Louisiana, and Iowa, in descending order of tonnage produced. Combined production from these States accounted for about 81% of total domestic sales and use. About 58% of the U.S. tonnage was used as hydraulic-fracturing sand and well-packing and cementing sand; as glassmaking sand and as other whole-grain silica, 12% each; as foundry sand, 4%; as ceramics, whole-grain fillers for building products, and recreational sand, 3% each; and as other ground silica, 2%. Abrasives, chemicals, fillers, filtration sand, metallurgical flux, roofing granules, silica gravel, and traction sand, combined, accounted for the remaining 3% of industrial sand and gravel end uses.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Sold or used	79,400	103,000	123,000	114,000	71,000
Imports for consumption	281	366	392	389	380
Exports	2,780	4,680	6,550	5,620	3,700
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	76,900	98,700	117,000	109,000	68,000
Price, average value, dollars per ton	35.40	52.00	56.40	47.30	45.00
Employment, quarry and mill, number <sup>e</sup>	3,500	4,000	4,000	3,500	2,000
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Some foundry sand is recycled, and recycled cullet (pieces of glass) represents a significant proportion of reused silica. About 34% of glass containers are recycled.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 85%; Taiwan and Vietnam, 3% each; and other, 9%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Sand containing 95% or more silica and not more than 0.6% iron oxide	2505.10.1000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Industrial sand or pebbles, 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** U.S. apparent consumption of industrial sand and gravel was estimated to be 68 million tons in 2020, a 38% decrease from that of the previous year. The primary causes of the decline were decreased natural gas and petroleum well drilling in North America and oil well completion activity. These decreases were exacerbated by COVID-19 pandemic restrictions, which resulted in a significant decline in consumption of petroleum products, which in turn prompted a decrease in demand for hydraulic-fracturing sand in 2020 compared with that of the previous year. Imports of industrial sand and gravel in 2020 were about 380,000 tons—slightly less than those of 2019. Imports of silica are generally of two types—small shipments of very high-purity silica or a few large shipments of lower grade silica shipped only under special circumstances (for example, very low freight rates). The United States remained a net exporter of industrial sand and gravel, although U.S. exports of industrial sand and gravel decreased by 34% in 2020 compared with those of 2019, also a result of the global pandemic.

The United States was the world's leading producer and consumer of industrial sand and gravel based on estimated world production figures. It is difficult to collect definitive data on industrial sand and gravel (sometimes also referred to as silica sand and gravel) production in most nations because of the wide range of terminology and specifications used by different countries. The United States remained a major exporter of industrial sand and gravel, shipping it to almost every region of the world. High global demand for U.S. industrial sand and gravel can be attributed to the high quality and advanced processing techniques used in the United States for many grades of industrial sand and gravel, meeting specifications for virtually any use.

## SAND AND GRAVEL (INDUSTRIAL)

The duration and outcome of the COVID-19 pandemic remains uncertain, but it is likely that the performance of the industrial sand and gravel industry will continue to be negatively affected, although natural gas and petroleum well drilling activity began to recover in the latter part of the year, indicating that demand for hydraulic-fracturing sand may increase also. The effects of the pandemic were felt throughout the industry with employment declining in the industry and several companies filing for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection during the year.

Additionally, the industrial sand and gravel industry continued to be concerned with safety and health regulations and environmental restrictions in 2020, especially those concerning crystalline silica exposure. In 2016, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) finalized regulations to further restrict exposure to crystalline silica at quarry sites and in other industries that use materials containing it. Phased implementation of the new regulations was scheduled to take effect through 2021, affecting various industries that use materials containing silica. Local shortages of industrial sand and gravel were expected to continue to increase owing to land development priorities, local zoning regulations, and logistical issues, including ongoing development and permitting of operations producing hydraulic-fracturing sand. Natural gas and petroleum operations that use hydraulic fracturing may also undergo increased scrutiny. These factors may result in future sand and gravel operations being located farther from high-population centers.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	114,000	71,000	Large. Industrial sand and gravel deposits are widespread.
Australia	3,000	2,900	
Bulgaria	7,650	7,300	
Canada	2,800	2,700	
France	9,310	8,800	
Germany	7,500	7,100	
India	11,900	11,000	
Indonesia	5,540	2,600	
Italy	14,000	13,000	
Japan	2,270	2,200	
Korea, Republic of	4,250	1,300	
Malaysia	10,000	9,500	
Mexico	2,360	2,300	
Netherlands	54,000	51,000	
New Zealand	1,620	1,500	
Poland	5,110	4,800	
South Africa	2,300	1,900	
Spain	35,500	34,000	
Turkey	9,100	8,600	
United Kingdom	4,000	3,800	
Other countries	18,900	18,000	
World total (rounded)	325,000	265,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Sand and gravel resources of the world are large. However, because of their geographic distribution, environmental restrictions, and quality requirements for some uses, extraction of these resources is sometimes uneconomic. Quartz-rich sand and sandstone, the main sources of industrial silica sand, occur throughout the world.

**Substitutes:** Alternative materials that can be used for glassmaking and for foundry and molding sands are chromite, olivine, staurolite, and zircon sands. Although costlier and mostly used in deeper wells, alternative materials that can be used as proppants are sintered bauxite and kaolin-based ceramic proppants.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter.

<sup>1</sup>See also Sand and Gravel (Construction).

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production (sold or used) + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Deceased.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## SCANDIUM<sup>1</sup>

(Data in metric tons of scandium oxide equivalent unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Domestically, scandium was neither mined nor recovered from process streams or mine tailings in 2020. Previously, scandium was produced domestically primarily from the scandium-yttrium silicate mineral thortveitite and from byproduct leach solutions from uranium operations. Limited capacity to produce ingot and distilled scandium metal existed at facilities in Ames, IA; Tolleson, AZ; and Urbana, IL. The principal uses for scandium in 2020 were in aluminum-scandium alloys and solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs). Other uses for scandium included ceramics, electronics, lasers, lighting, and radioactive isotopes.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Price, yearend:					
Compounds, dollars per gram:					
Acetate, 99.9% purity, 5-gram lot size <sup>2</sup>	44	44	44	45	45
Chloride, 99.9% purity, 5-gram lot size <sup>2</sup>	126	124	125	129	133
Fluoride, 99.9% purity, 1- to 5-gram lot size <sup>3</sup>	270	277	206	209	214
Iodide, 99.999% purity, 5-gram lot size <sup>2</sup>	149	183	165	157	161
Oxide, 99.99% purity, 5-kilogram lot size <sup>4</sup>	4.6	4.6	4.6	3.9	3.8
Metal:					
Scandium, distilled dendritic, 2-gram lot size, <sup>2</sup> dollars per gram	228	226	226	233	233
Scandium, ingot, 5-gram lot size, <sup>2</sup> dollars per gram	107	132	132	134	134
Scandium-aluminum alloy, 1-kilogram lot size, <sup>4</sup> dollars per kilogram	340	350	360	300	340
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Although no definitive data exist listing import sources, imported material is mostly from Europe, China, Japan, and Russia.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Rare-earth metals, unspecified, not intermixed or interalloyed	2805.30.0050	5.0% ad val.
	Compounds of rare-earth metals:		
	Mixtures of oxides of yttrium or scandium as the predominant metal	2846.90.2015	Free.
	Mixtures of chlorides of yttrium or scandium as the predominant metal	2846.90.2082	Free.
	Mixtures of other rare-earth carbonates, including scandium	2846.90.8075	3.7% ad val.
	Mixtures of other rare-earth compounds, including scandium	2846.90.8090	3.7% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The global supply and consumption of scandium oxide was estimated to be about 15 to 25 tons per year. Scandium was recovered from titanium, zirconium, cobalt, and nickel process streams. China, the Philippines, and Russia were the leading producers. Prices quoted for scandium oxide in the United States decreased slightly compared with those in 2019. Owing in part to low capacity utilization, China's ex-works prices for scandium oxide were significantly less than United States quoted prices. Although global exploration and development projects continued, the COVID-19 pandemic slowed the development of new projects.



## SCANDIUM

In the United States, environmental and construction permits were approved by the State of Nebraska on the polymetallic Elk Creek project; however, construction was pending additional financing. Probable reserves were estimated to be 36 million tons containing 65.7 parts per million (2,400 tons) scandium. Plans for the project included downstream production of ferroniobium, titanium dioxide, and scandium oxide. The Bokan project in Alaska and the Round Top project in Texas also included scandium recovery in their process plans. In addition, research continued on the development of methods to separate scandium from coal and coal byproducts.

A global mining and polymetallic metal producer announced that it had developed a method to recover scandium from byproduct streams at its titanium slag operations in Sorel-Tracy, Quebec, Canada. The same company was piloting production of aluminum-scandium alloys. In Australia, several polymetallic projects were under development and seeking permitting, financing, and offtake agreements. Projects included the Owendale and Sunrise projects in New South Wales and the SCONI project in Queensland. In the Philippines, a commercial plant designed to recover scandium at the Taganito high-pressure acid-leach nickel operation entered its second year of operation. In the first half of 2020, production of scandium oxalate was reported to be about 5.7 tons. In Russia, feasibility studies for making scandium oxide as a byproduct of alumina refining in the Ural Mountains were ongoing. The pilot plant was reported to have produced scandium oxide with purity greater than 99%. Based on pilot-plant test results, plans were in place for a 3-ton-per-year scandium oxide plant. In Dalur, Kurgan region, development of scandium recovery as a byproduct of uranium production continued, and production capacity included scandium oxide (570 kilograms per year) and aluminum-scandium alloy (24.5 tons per year). In the European Union, recovery methods were being developed to produce scandium compounds and aluminum-scandium alloys from byproducts of aluminum and titanium mining and processing. In Turkey, a pilot plant produced scandium from byproducts of a nickel and cobalt operation in Gordes; however, the plant produced less than one kilogram of ammonium-scandium-hexafluoride. Globally, several projects were underway to commercialize new aluminum-scandium alloys for casting and additive manufacturing.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>6</sup> No scandium was recovered from mining operations in the United States. As a result of its low concentration, scandium is produced exclusively as a byproduct during processing of various ores or recovered from previously processed tailings or residues. Historically scandium was produced as byproduct material in China (iron ore, rare earths, titanium, and zirconium), Kazakhstan (uranium), the Philippines (nickel), Russia (apatite and uranium), and Ukraine (uranium). Foreign mine production data for 2020 were not available.

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Resources of scandium are abundant. Scandium's crustal abundance is greater than that of lead. Scandium lacks affinity for the common ore-forming anions; therefore, it is widely dispersed in the lithosphere and forms solid solutions with low concentrations in more than 100 minerals. Scandium resources have been identified in Australia, Canada, China, Finland, Guinea, Kazakhstan, Madagascar, Norway, South Africa, the Philippines, Russia, Ukraine, and the United States.

**Substitutes:** Titanium and aluminum high-strength alloys as well as carbon-fiber materials may substitute in high-performance scandium-alloy applications. Under certain conditions, light-emitting diodes may displace mercury-vapor high-intensity lamps that contain scandium iodide. In some applications that rely on scandium's unique properties, substitution is not possible.

<sup>6</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>See also Rare Earths. Scandium is one of the 17 rare-earth elements.

<sup>2</sup>Source: Alfa Aesar, a Johnson Matthey company.

<sup>3</sup>Source: Sigma-Aldrich, a part of Millipore Sigma.

<sup>4</sup>Source: Stanford Materials Corp.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports. Quantitative data are not available.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## SELENIUM

(Data in metric tons of selenium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, primary selenium was refined from anode slimes recovered from the electrolytic refining of copper at one facility in Texas. Two other electrolytic copper refineries, operating in Arizona and Utah, did not recover selenium domestically, but did produce selenium-bearing anode slimes. U.S. selenium production and consumption data were withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

Estimates for end uses in global consumption were, in descending order, metallurgy (including manganese production), glass manufacturing, agriculture, chemicals and pigments, electronics, and other uses.

Selenium is used in blasting caps to control delays; in catalysts to enhance selective oxidation; in copper, lead, and steel alloys to improve machinability; in the electrolytic production of manganese to increase yields; in glass manufacturing to decolorize the green tint caused by iron impurities in container glass and other soda-lime silica glass; in gun bluing to improve cosmetic appearance and provide corrosion resistance; in plating solutions to improve appearance and durability; in rubber compounding chemicals to act as a vulcanizing agent; and in thin-film photovoltaic copper-indium-gallium-diselenide (CIGS) solar cells.

Selenium is an essential micronutrient and is used as a human dietary supplement, a dietary supplement for livestock, and as a fertilizer additive to enrich selenium-poor soils. Selenium is also used as an active ingredient in antidandruff shampoos.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, refinery	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption:					
Selenium metal	411	450	445	496	340
Selenium dioxide	21	19	12	5	13
Exports, <sup>1</sup> metal	150	242	158	361	160
Consumption, apparent, <sup>2</sup> metal	W	W	W	W	W
Price, average, <sup>3</sup> dollars per pound	23.69	10.78	18.97	20.00	20.00
Stocks, producer, refined, yearend	W	W	W	W	W
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption, metal	E	E	<25	<25	<50

**Recycling:** Domestic production of secondary selenium was estimated to be very small because most scrap from older plain paper photocopiers and electronic materials was exported for recovery of the contained selenium.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Selenium metal: China, 20%; the Philippines, 19%; Mexico, 14%; Germany, 13%; and other, 34%. Selenium dioxide: China, 27%; the Republic of Korea, 25%; Germany, 22%; Canada, 13%; and other, 13%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Selenium metal	2804.90.0000	Free.
	Selenium dioxide	2811.29.2000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The supply of selenium is directly affected by the supply of the materials from which it is a byproduct—copper and, to a lesser extent, nickel—and it is directly affected by the number of facilities that recover selenium. The estimated annual average price for selenium was \$20.00 per pound in 2020, unchanged from that in 2019. Average monthly prices have remained steady since November 2018.

## SELENIUM

Electrolytic manganese production was the main metallurgical end use for selenium in China, where selenium dioxide was used in the electrolytic process to increase current efficiency and the metal deposition rate. Selenium consumption in China was thought to have increased in recent years, owing to higher manganese metal production. In January, Chinese production of selenium dioxide was temporarily halted owing to the COVID-19 pandemic, but production resumed in late February. Later in the year, Chinese importers of selenium had decreased their intakes owing to adequate stocks from previous purchases, reduced demand from the manganese, glassmaking, and ceramics sectors, and sufficient feedstock from domestic copper producers who sold crude selenium to selenium powder and selenium dioxide producers.

### World Refinery Production and Reserves:

	Refinery production <sup>5</sup>		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	10,000
Belgium	200	200	—
Canada	57	60	6,000
China	1,100	1,100	26,000
Finland	115	100	—
Germany	300	300	—
Japan	740	750	—
Peru	40	40	13,000
Poland	64	65	3,000
Russia	150	150	20,000
Sweden	19	20	—
Turkey	50	50	—
Other countries <sup>7</sup>	45	45	22,000
World total (rounded)	72,880	72,900	100,000

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Reserves for selenium are based on identified copper deposits and average selenium content. Coal generally contains between 0.5 and 12 parts per million selenium, or about 80 to 90 times the average for copper deposits. The recovery of selenium from coal fly ash, although technically feasible, does not appear likely to be economical in the foreseeable future.

**Substitutes:** Silicon is the major substitute for selenium in low- and medium-voltage rectifiers. Organic pigments have been developed as substitutes for cadmium sulfoselenide pigments. Other substitutes include cerium oxide as either a colorant or decolorant in glass; tellurium in pigments and rubber; bismuth, lead, and tellurium in free-machining alloys; and bismuth and tellurium in lead-free brasses. Sulfur dioxide can be used as a replacement for selenium dioxide in the production of electrolytic manganese metal, but it is not as energy efficient.

The selenium-tellurium photoreceptors used in some plain paper copiers and laser printers have been replaced by organic photoreceptors in newer machines. Amorphous silicon and cadmium telluride are the two principal competitors with CIGS in thin-film photovoltaic solar cells.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>There was no exclusive Schedule B number for selenium dioxide exports.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>U.S. spot market price for selenium metal powder, minimum 99.5% purity, in 5-ton lots. Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes; export data are incomplete for common forms of selenium, which may be exported under unexpected or misidentified forms, such as copper slimes, copper selenide, or zinc selenide.

<sup>5</sup>Insofar as possible, data relate to refinery output only; thus, countries that produced selenium contained in blister copper, copper concentrates, copper ores, and (or) refinery residues but did not recover refined selenium from these materials indigenously were excluded to avoid double counting.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Excludes U.S. production. Australia, Iran, Kazakhstan, Mexico, the Philippines, and Uzbekistan are known to produce refined selenium, but output was not reported, and information was inadequate to make reliable production estimates.

## SILICON

(Data in thousand metric tons of silicon content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Six companies produced silicon materials in 2020, all east of the Mississippi River. Most ferrosilicon was consumed in the ferrous foundry and steel industries, predominantly in the Eastern United States, and was sourced primarily from domestic quartzite (silica). The main consumers of silicon metal were producers of aluminum alloys and the chemical industry, in particular for the manufacture of silicones. The semiconductor and solar energy industries, which manufacture chips for computers and photovoltaic cells from high-purity silicon, respectively, also consumed silicon metal.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, ferrosilicon <sup>1</sup> and silicon metal <sup>2</sup>	384	415	430	310	290
Imports for consumption:					
Ferrosilicon, all grades	155	147	140	127	120
Silicon metal	122	136	116	124	95
Exports:					
Ferrosilicon, all grades	7	11	12	8	4
Silicon metal	60	71	45	40	31
Consumption, apparent, <sup>3</sup> ferrosilicon <sup>1</sup> and silicon metal <sup>2</sup>	601	616	637	517	470
Price, average, cents per pound of silicon:					
Ferrosilicon, 50% Si <sup>4</sup>	83	94	104	102	104
Ferrosilicon, 75% Si <sup>5</sup>	71	87	108	89	88
Silicon metal <sup>2, 5</sup>	91	117	134	106	96
Stocks, producer, ferrosilicon <sup>1</sup> and silicon metal, <sup>2</sup> yearend	26	26	19	15	16
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption:					
Ferrosilicon, all grades	>50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Silicon metal <sup>2</sup>	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Total	36	33	32	40	38

**Recycling:** Insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Ferrosilicon: Russia, 37%; Canada, 14%; Brazil, 11%; and other, 38%. Silicon metal: Brazil, 30%; Canada, 20%; Norway, 12%; and other, 38%. Total: Brazil, 20%; Russia, 20%; Canada, 16%; and other, 44%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Silicon, more than 99.99% Si	2804.61.0000	Free.
	Silicon, 99.00%–99.99% Si	2804.69.1000	5.3% ad val.
	Silicon, other	2804.69.5000	5.5% ad val.
	Ferrosilicon, 55%–80% Si:		
	More than 3% Ca	7202.21.1000	1.1% ad val.
	Other	7202.21.5000	1.5% ad val.
	Ferrosilicon, 80%–90% Si	7202.21.7500	1.9% ad val.
	Ferrosilicon, more than 90% Si	7202.21.9000	5.8% ad val.
	Ferrosilicon, other:		
	More than 2% Mg	7202.29.0010	Free.
	Other	7202.29.0050	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Quartzite, 14% (domestic and foreign); gravel, 5% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## SILICON

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Combined domestic ferrosilicon and silicon metal production in 2020, expressed in terms of contained silicon, decreased from that of 2019. One producer shut down its ferrosilicon production facility on July 1 owing to decreased demand and lower prices—in part because of the global COVID-19 pandemic, as well as competition from lower priced imported ferrosilicon. Domestic production during the first 8 months of 2020 was about 11% less, on a contained-weight basis, than that during the same period in 2019. By August 2020, average U.S. ferrosilicon spot market prices had increased slightly for 50%-grade ferrosilicon and decreased slightly for 75%-grade ferrosilicon compared with those in 2019; the average silicon metal spot market price had decreased by 10% compared with the annual average spot price in 2019.

Excluding the United States, ferrosilicon accounted for about 64% of world silicon production on a silicon-content basis in 2020. The leading countries for ferrosilicon production were, in descending order and on a contained-weight basis, China, Russia, and Norway. For silicon metal, the leading producers were China, Brazil, Norway, and France. China accounted for approximately 68% of total global estimated production of silicon materials in 2020. Global production of silicon materials, on a contained weight basis, was estimated to be about 5% less than that in 2019.

Steel production, the leading use of ferrosilicon, decreased across the globe in 2020 compared with production in 2019 owing to reduced demand attributed to the global COVID-19 pandemic.

### **World Production and Reserves:**

	Production <sup>7</sup>		Reserves <sup>8</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	310	290	The reserves in most major producing countries are ample in relation to demand. Quantitative estimates are not available.
Bhutan <sup>9</sup>	90	85	
Brazil	340	340	
Canada	57	57	
China	5,700	5,400	
France	130	130	
Iceland	88	87	
India <sup>9</sup>	60	55	
Malaysia <sup>9</sup>	150	130	
Norway	375	330	
Russia	610	540	
South Africa	96	96	
Spain	66	66	
Ukraine <sup>9</sup>	63	60	
Other countries	278	290	
World total (rounded)	8,410	8,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> World and domestic resources for making silicon metal and alloys are abundant and, in most producing countries, adequate to supply world requirements for many decades. The source of the silicon is silica in various natural forms, such as quartzite.

**Substitutes:** Aluminum, silicon carbide, and silicomanganese can be substituted for ferrosilicon in some applications. Gallium arsenide and germanium are the principal substitutes for silicon in semiconductor and infrared applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Ferrosilicon grades include the two standard grades of ferrosilicon—50% and 75% silicon—plus miscellaneous silicon alloys.

<sup>2</sup>Metallurgical-grade silicon metal.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Source: CRU Group, transaction prices based on weekly averages.

<sup>5</sup>Source: S&P Global Platts, mean import prices based on monthly averages.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>7</sup>Production quantities are the silicon content of combined totals for ferrosilicon and silicon metal, except as noted.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>9</sup>Silicon content of ferrosilicon only.

## SILVER

(Data in metric tons<sup>1</sup> of silver content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, U.S. mines produced approximately 1,000 tons of silver with an estimated value of \$670 million. Silver was produced at 4 silver mines and as a byproduct or coproduct from 33 domestic base- and precious-metal operations. Alaska continued as the country's leading silver-producing State, followed by Nevada. There were 24 U.S. refiners that reported production of commercial-grade silver with an estimated total output of 2,000 tons from domestic and foreign ores and concentrates and from new and old scrap. The physical properties of silver include high ductility, electrical conductivity, malleability, and reflectivity. In 2020, the estimated domestic uses for silver were electrical and electronics, 28%; jewelry and silverware, 26%; coins and medals, 19%; photography, 3%; and other, 24%. Other applications for silver include use in antimicrobial bandages, clothing, pharmaceuticals, and plastics; batteries; bearings; brazing and soldering; catalytic converters in automobiles; electroplating; inks; mirrors; photovoltaic solar cells; water purification; and wood treatment. Mercury and silver, the main components of dental amalgam, are biocides, and their use in amalgam inhibits recurrent decay.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Mine	1,150	1,030	934	977	1,000
Refinery:					
Primary	1,530	1,420	1,420	1,420	1,400
Secondary (new and old scrap)	866	490	632	643	640
Imports for consumption <sup>2</sup>	6,160	5,040	4,830	4,760	6,500
Exports <sup>2</sup>	289	157	603	220	130
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	7,890	6,420	5,790	6,160	8,000
Price, bullion, average, dollars per troy ounce <sup>4</sup>	17.20	17.07	15.75	17.17	20.00
Stocks, yearend:					
Industry	63	45	51	52	50
Treasury <sup>5</sup>	498	498	498	498	498
New York Commodities Exchange—COMEX	5,710	7,570	9,150	9,860	12,000
Employment, mine and mill, number <sup>6</sup>	1,050	805	823	869	1,100
Net import reliance <sup>7</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	74	76	73	74	80

**Recycling:** In 2020, approximately 640 tons of silver was recovered from new and old scrap, about 8% of apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>2</sup> Mexico, 50%; Canada, 27%; Peru, 4%; Poland, 4%; and other, 15%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Silver ores and concentrates, silver content	2616.10.0040	0.8 ¢/kg on lead content.
	Bullion, silver content	7106.91.1010	Free.
	Dore, silver content	7106.91.1020	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 15% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** The U.S. Department of the Treasury maintains stocks of silver (see salient statistics above).

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The estimated average silver price in 2020 was \$20.00 per troy ounce, 16% higher than the average price in 2019. The price began the year at \$17.98 per troy ounce, then decreased to a low of \$12.13 per troy ounce on March 18. The price of silver increased to a high of \$28.99 per troy ounce, in August, because the COVID-19 pandemic caused an increase in investor demand as well as in industrial demand. The price was the highest since March 2013; however, it trended downward through November.

In 2020, global consumption of silver was estimated to have decreased slightly from that of 2019. Coin and bar consumption increased for the fourth year in a row. Consumption for industrial uses was estimated to have decreased in the first half of 2020 owing to lockdown restrictions in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, supply chain disruptions, lowered inventory replenishment, and reduced labor forces within factories. Jewelry and silverware consumption of silver was estimated to have decreased by 23% and 34%, respectively. In 2020, there was increased physical investment in silver, reaching an estimated 7,370 tons (236.8 million troy ounces) compared with 5,820 tons (187 million troy ounces) in 2019. Global holdings reached a reported 28,800 tons (925 million troy ounces) compared with 30,890 tons (993 million troy ounces) in 2019.<sup>8</sup>

Prepared by **C. Schuyler Anderson [(703) 648–4985, csanderson@usgs.gov]**

## SILVER

World silver mine production decreased by 6% in 2020 to an estimated 25,000 tons, principally as a result of decreased production from mines in China, Mexico, and Peru, primarily owing to shutdowns in the first half of the year in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Domestic silver mine production increased slightly in 2020 compared with that in 2019 principally from increased production at mining operations in Alaska. The COVID-19 pandemic did affect silver production in the United States; however, the ending of the strike at the Lucky Friday Mine in January offset the production losses.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Australia, Peru, Poland, and the United States were revised based on information from Government and industry sources.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>9</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	977	1,000	26,000
Argentina	1,080	1,000	NA
Australia	1,330	1,300	<sup>10</sup> 88,000
Bolivia	1,160	1,100	22,000
Chile	1,350	1,300	26,000
China	3,440	3,200	41,000
Mexico	5,920	5,600	37,000
Peru	3,860	3,400	91,000
Poland	1,470	1,300	70,000
Russia	2,000	1,800	45,000
Other countries	3,920	3,500	57,000
World total (rounded)	26,500	25,000	500,000

**World Resources:**<sup>9</sup> Although silver was a principal product at several mines, silver was primarily obtained as a byproduct from lead-zinc mines, copper mines, and gold mines, in descending order of production. The polymetallic ore deposits from which silver was recovered account for more than two-thirds of U.S. and world resources of silver. Most recent silver discoveries have been associated with gold occurrences; however, copper and lead-zinc occurrences that contain byproduct silver will continue to account for a significant share of reserves and resources in the future.

**Substitutes:** Digital imaging, film with reduced silver content, silverless black-and-white film, and xerography substitute for traditional photographic applications for silver. Surgical pins and plates may be made with stainless steel, tantalum, and titanium in place of silver. Stainless steel may be substituted for silver flatware. Nonsilver batteries may replace silver batteries in some applications. Aluminum and rhodium may be used to replace silver that was traditionally used in mirrors and other reflecting surfaces. Silver may be used to replace more costly metals in catalytic converters for off-road vehicles.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>One metric ton (1,000 kilograms) = 32,150.7 troy ounces.

<sup>2</sup>Silver content of base metal ores and concentrates, refined bullion, and dore; excludes coinage, and waste and scrap material.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as mine production + secondary production + imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Engelhard's industrial bullion quotations. Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>5</sup>Source: U.S. Mint. Balance in U.S. Mint only; includes deep storage and working stocks.

<sup>6</sup>Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA). Only includes mines where silver is the primary product. In 2020, MSHA changed the Mine Employment values in their publicly available database.

<sup>7</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>8</sup>DiRienzo, Michael, and Newman, Philip, 2020, Key components of silver market affected by pandemic in 2020—Global demand and mine supply impacted, while physical silver investment expected to surge to a 5-year high: Silver Institute and Metal Focus, November 19, 2 p.

<sup>9</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>10</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 25,000 tons.

## SODA ASH

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The total value of domestic natural soda ash (sodium carbonate) produced in 2020 was estimated to be about \$1.5 billion,<sup>1</sup> and the quantity produced was 9.7 million tons, about 17% less than that of the previous year. The U.S. soda ash industry comprised four companies in Wyoming operating five plants and one company in California operating one plant. The five producing companies have a combined annual nameplate capacity of 13.9 million tons (15.3 million short tons). Borax, salt, and sodium sulfate were produced as coproducts of sodium carbonate production in California. Chemical caustic soda, sodium bicarbonate, and sodium sulfite were manufactured as coproducts at several of the Wyoming soda ash plants. Sodium bicarbonate was produced at an operation in Colorado using soda ash feedstock shipped from the company's Wyoming facility.

Based on 2020 quarterly reports, the estimated distribution of soda ash by end use was glass, 48%; chemicals, 28%; miscellaneous uses, 8%; soap and detergents, 6%; distributors, 5%; flue gas desulfurization, 3%; pulp and paper, 1%; and water treatment, 1%.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production <sup>2</sup>	11,800	12,000	11,900	11,700	9,700
Imports for consumption	35	19	51	115	90
Exports	6,760	6,990	6,960	7,020	5,700
Consumption:					
Apparent <sup>3</sup>	5,030	5,040	4,980	4,830	4,100
Reported	5,120	4,910	4,850	4,720	4,400
Price, average sales value (natural source), free on board (f.o.b.) mine or plant:					
Dollars per metric ton	149.83	146.26	148.69	153.24	154
Dollars per short ton	135.92	132.68	134.89	139.02	140
Stocks, producer, yearend	336	293	297	289	320
Employment, mine and plant, number <sup>e</sup>	2,500	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,500
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** No soda ash was recycled by producers; however, glass container producers use cullet glass, thereby reducing soda ash consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Turkey, 62%; Germany, 9%; Italy, 7%; Bulgaria, 5%; and other, 17%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Disodium carbonate	2836.20.0000	1.2% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Natural, 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Primarily owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic, U.S. soda ash consumption, exports, imports, production, and sales significantly decreased in 2020 from those of 2019. More than one-half of U.S. production of soda ash was exported, and exports were estimated to have decreased by 19% compared with those in 2019. Domestic consumption reported by producers decreased by about 7% in 2020 compared with that of 2019, and apparent consumption in 2020 decreased by about 15% compared with that of 2019.

Relatively low production costs and lower environmental impacts provide natural soda ash producers some advantage over producers of synthetic soda ash. The production of synthetic soda ash normally consumes more energy and releases more carbon dioxide than that of natural soda ash. In recent years, U.S. producers of natural soda ash were able to expand their markets when several synthetic soda ash plants were closed or idled in other parts of the world.



## SODA ASH

After increasing capacity during the past 3 years, total production capacity in Turkey is estimated to be between 4 million and 5 million tons per year, and soda ash shipments, especially for export, are expected to increase during the next few years. Total United States imports, mostly from Turkey, have recently been about 100,000 tons per year, which is more than double the average amount of annual imports during the past decade.

Three groups dominate production and have become the world's leading suppliers of soda ash—American National Soda Ash Corp., which represented three of the five domestic producers in 2020; multiple producers in China; and Solvay S.A. of Belgium. Increasing soda ash exports from Turkey may affect sales from these three groups. The United States likely will remain competitive with producers in China and Turkey for markets elsewhere in Asia. Asia and South America remain the most likely areas for increased soda ash consumption in the near future.

**World Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Turkey were revised based on Government and industry reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5, 6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
Natural:			
United States	11,700	9,700	723,000,000
Botswana	290	250	400,000
Ethiopia	18	20	400,000
Kenya	330	300	7,000
Turkey	3,500	3,400	1,650,000
Other countries	NA	NA	280,000
World total, natural (rounded)	15,800	14,000	26,000,000
World total, synthetic (rounded)	41,000	38,000	XX
World total (rounded)	56,800	52,000	XX

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Natural soda ash is obtained from trona and sodium carbonate-rich brines. The world's largest deposit of trona is in the Green River Basin of Wyoming. About 47 billion tons of identified soda ash resources could be recovered from the 56 billion tons of bedded trona and the 47 billion tons of interbedded or intermixed trona and halite, which are in beds more than 1.2 meters thick. Underground room-and-pillar mining, using conventional and continuous mining, is the primary method of mining Wyoming trona ore. This method has an average 45% mining recovery, whereas average recovery from solution mining is 30%. Improved solution-mining techniques, such as horizontal drilling to establish communication between well pairs, could increase this extraction rate and enable companies to develop some of the deeper trona beds. Wyoming trona resources are being depleted at the rate of about 15 million tons per year (8.3 million tons of soda ash). Searles Lake and Owens Lake in California contain an estimated 815 million tons of soda ash reserves. At least 95 natural sodium carbonate deposits have been identified in the world, the resources of only some of which have been quantified. Although soda ash can be manufactured from salt and limestone, both of which are practically inexhaustible, synthetic soda ash is costlier to produce and generates environmental wastes.

**Substitutes:** Caustic soda can be substituted for soda ash in certain uses, particularly in the pulp and paper, water treatment, and certain chemical sectors. Soda ash, soda liquors, or trona can be used as feedstock to manufacture chemical caustic soda, which is an alternative to electrolytic caustic soda.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. NA Not available. XX Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Does not include values for soda liquors and mine waters.

<sup>2</sup>Natural only.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>The reported quantities are sodium carbonate only. About 1.8 tons of trona yield 1 ton of sodium carbonate.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>From trona, nahcolite, and dawsonite deposits.

## STONE (CRUSHED)<sup>1</sup>

(Data in million metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, 1.46 billion tons of crushed stone valued at more than \$17.8 billion was produced by an estimated 1,410 companies operating 3,440 quarries and 180 sales and (or) distribution yards in 50 States. Leading States were, in descending order of production, Texas, Missouri, Florida, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Georgia, Virginia, Illinois, North Carolina, and Kentucky, which combined accounted for more than one-half of the total crushed stone output. Of the total domestic crushed stone produced in 2020, about 70% was limestone and dolomite; 15%, granite; 6%, traprock; 5%, miscellaneous stone; 3%, sandstone and quartzite; and the remaining 1% was divided, in descending order of tonnage, among marble, volcanic cinder and scoria, calcareous marl, slate, and shell. It is estimated that of the 1.5 billion tons of crushed stone consumed in the United States in 2020, 72% was used as construction aggregate, mostly for road construction and maintenance; 16% for cement manufacturing; 8% for lime manufacturing; 2% for agricultural uses; and the remainder for other chemical, special, and miscellaneous uses and products.

The estimated output of crushed stone in the United States shipped for consumption in the first 9 months of 2020 was 1.10 billion tons, a decrease of 3% compared with that of the same period of 2019. Third quarter shipments for consumption decreased by 6% compared with those of the same period of 2019. Additional production information, by quarter for each State, geographic division, and the United States, is reported in the U.S. Geological Survey quarterly Mineral Industry Surveys for Crushed Stone and Sand and Gravel.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production	1,360	1,370	1,390	1,490	1,460
Recycled material	49	43	38	38	38
Imports for consumption	20	19	21	24	21
Exports	1	1	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	1,430	1,430	1,450	1,550	1,520
Price, average value, dollars per metric ton	11.06	11.36	11.64	11.96	12.19
Employment, quarry and mill, number <sup>4</sup>	68,100	68,600	68,500	69,000	67,000
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	1	1	1	2	1

**Recycling:** Road surfaces made of asphalt concrete and portland cement concrete surface layers, which contain crushed stone aggregate, were recycled on a limited but increasing basis in most States. In 2020, asphalt and portland cement concrete road surfaces were recycled in all 50 States.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Mexico, 56%; Canada, 27%; The Bahamas, 11%; Honduras, 5%; and Jamaica, 1%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
Chalk:			
	Crude	2509.00.1000	Free.
	Other	2509.00.2000	Free.
	Limestone, except pebbles and gravel	2517.10.0020	Free.
	Crushed or broken stone	2517.10.0055	Free.
	Marble granules, chippings and powder	2517.41.0000	Free.
	Stone granules, chippings and powders	2517.49.0000	Free.
	Limestone flux; limestone and other calcareous stone	2521.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** (domestic) 14% for some special uses; 5%, if used as ballast, concrete aggregate, riprap, road material, and similar purposes.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

# STONE (CRUSHED)

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Crushed stone production was about 1.46 billion tons in 2020, a slight decrease compared with 1.49 billion tons in 2019. Apparent consumption also decreased slightly to about 1.52 billion tons. Consumption of crushed stone decreased in 2020 because of measures instituted to mitigate the spread of the global COVID-19 pandemic that caused disruptions in the mining and construction industries. Usually commercial and heavy industrial construction activity, infrastructure funding, new single-family housing unit starts, and weather, affect growth in crushed stone production and consumption. Long-term increases in construction aggregates demand are influenced by activity in the public and private construction sectors, as well as by construction work related to security measures being implemented around the Nation. The underlying factors that would support a rise in prices of crushed stone are expected to be present in 2021, especially in and near metropolitan areas.

The crushed stone industry continued to be concerned with environmental, health, and safety regulations. Shortages in some urban and industrialized areas are expected to continue to increase owing to local zoning regulations and land-development alternatives. These issues are expected to continue and to cause new crushed stone quarries to be located away from large population centers.

## **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	1,490	1,460	Adequate, except where special types are needed or where local shortages exist.
Other countries <sup>7</sup>	NA	NA	
World total	NA	NA	

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Stone resources are plentiful throughout the world. Supply of high-purity limestone and dolomite suitable for specialty uses is limited in many geographic areas. The largest resources of high-purity limestone and dolomite in the United States are in the central and eastern parts of the country.

**Substitutes:** Crushed stone substitutes for roadbuilding include sand and gravel, and iron and steel slag. Substitutes for crushed stone used as construction aggregates include construction sand and gravel, iron and steel slag, sintered or expanded clay or shale, perlite, or vermiculite. Increasingly, recycled asphalt and portland cement concretes are being substituted for virgin aggregate, although the percentage of total aggregate supplied by recycled materials remained very small in 2020.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>See also Sand and Gravel (Construction) and Stone (Dimension).

<sup>2</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + recycled material + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Including office staff. Source: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Consistent production information is not available for other countries owing to the wide variety of ways in which countries report their crushed stone production. Some countries do not report production for this mineral commodity. Production information for some countries is available in the U.S. Geological Survey Minerals Yearbook, volume III, Area Reports—International.

## STONE (DIMENSION)<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Approximately 2.6 million tons of dimension stone, valued at \$400 million, was sold or used by U.S. producers in 2020. Dimension stone was produced by around 200 companies operating 250 quarries in 34 States. Leading producing States were, in descending order by tonnage, Texas, Wisconsin, Indiana, Vermont, and New York. These five States accounted for about 71% of the production quantity and contributed about 61% of the value of domestic production. Approximately 50%, by tonnage, of dimension stone sold or used was limestone, followed by sandstone (19%), granite (17%), dolomite (4%), miscellaneous stone (3%), and the remaining 7% was divided, in descending order of tonnage, among slate, marble, quartzite, and traprock. By value, the leading sales or uses were for limestone (46%), followed by granite (25%), sandstone (11%), slate (5%), marble (4%), dolomite (4%), and the remaining 5% was divided, in descending order of total value, among quartzite, traprock, and miscellaneous stone. Rough stone represented 54% of the tonnage and 47% of the value of all the dimension stone sold or used by domestic producers, including exports. The leading uses and distribution of rough stone, by tonnage, were in building and construction (53%) and in irregular-shaped stone (35%). The leading uses and distribution of dressed stone, by tonnage, were in ashlar and partially squared pieces (41%), slabs and blocks for building and construction (12%), and curbing (11%).

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

Sold or used by producers:<sup>2</sup>

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Quantity	2,960	2,880	2,660	2,520	2,600
Value, million dollars	448	453	437	415	400
Imports for consumption, value, million dollars	2,180	2,120	2,090	1,900	1,610
Exports, value, million dollars	65	69	70	59	47
Consumption, apparent, value, million dollars <sup>3</sup>	2,560	2,510	2,460	2,260	1,970
Price	Variable, depending on type of product				
Employment, quarry and mill, number <sup>4</sup>	4,000	3,900	3,900	3,900	3,900
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption (based on value)	83	82	82	82	79
Granite only, sold or used by producers:					
Quantity	593	526	484	430	400
Value, million dollars	130	115	108	105	99
Imports, value, million dollars	1,120	1,020	915	863	720
Exports, value, million dollars	21	22	19	17	13
Consumption, apparent, value, million dollars <sup>3</sup>	1,220	1,110	1,000	950	810
Price	Variable, depending on type of product				
Employment, quarry and mill, number <sup>4</sup>	880	800	800	800	800
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption (based on value)	89	90	89	89	88

**Recycling:** Small amounts of dimension stone were recycled, principally by restorers of old stone work.

**Import Sources (2016–19 by value):** All dimension stone: China, 25%; Brazil, 23%; Italy, 19%; India, 12%; and other, 21%. Granite only: Brazil, 45%; China, 23%; India, 17%; Italy, 7%; and other, 8%.

**Tariff:** Dimension stone tariffs ranged from free to 6.5% ad valorem, according to type, degree of preparation, shape, and size, for countries with normal trade relations in 2020. Most crude or roughly trimmed stone was imported at 3.7% ad valorem or less.

## STONE (DIMENSION)

**Depletion Allowance:** All dimension stone, 14% (domestic and foreign); slate used or sold as sintered or burned lightweight aggregate, 7.5% (domestic and foreign); dimension stone used for rubble and other nonbuilding purposes, 5% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The United States remained one of the world's leading markets for dimension stone. In 2020, total imports of dimension stone decreased in value by about 15% compared with the value in 2019. In 2020, increased demand for dimension stone for construction and refurbishment used in residential markets helped offset decreases in commercial markets. Both markets were affected because of the measures instituted to mitigate the spread of the global COVID-19 pandemic. These measures also led to increases in the home remodeling sector, with companies reporting a 40% to 50% increase in demand for remodeling projects. Dimension stone exports decreased to about \$47 million. Apparent consumption, by value, was estimated to be \$2.0 billion in 2020—a 13% decrease compared with that of 2019.

The dimension stone industry continued to be concerned with safety and health regulations and environmental restrictions in 2020, especially those concerning crystalline silica exposure. Beginning in 2016, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) finalized new regulations to further restrict exposure to crystalline silica at quarry sites and other industries that use materials containing it. Phased implementation of the new regulations was scheduled to take effect through 2021, affecting various industries that use materials containing silica. Most provisions of the new regulations became enforceable on June 23, 2018, for general industry and maritime operations.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	2,520	2,600	Adequate, except for certain special types and local shortages.
Other countries	NA	NA	
World total	NA	NA	

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> Dimension stone resources of the world are sufficient. Resources can be limited on a local level or occasionally on a regional level by the lack of a particular kind of stone that is suitable for dimension purposes.

**Substitutes:** Substitutes for dimension stone include aluminum, brick, ceramic tile, concrete, glass, plastics, resin-agglomerated stone, and steel.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>See also Stone (Crushed).

<sup>2</sup>Includes granite, limestone, and other types of dimension stone.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as sold or used (value) + imports (value) – exports (value).

<sup>4</sup>Excludes office staff.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## STRONTIUM

(Data in metric tons of strontium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Although deposits of strontium minerals occur widely throughout the United States, none have been mined in the United States since 1959. Domestic production of strontium carbonate, the principal strontium compound, ceased in 2006. Virtually all the strontium mineral celestite consumed in the United States since 2006 is thought to have been used as an additive in drilling fluids for oil and natural gas wells. A few domestic companies produced small quantities of downstream strontium chemicals from imported strontium carbonate.

Based on import data, the estimated end-use distribution in the United States for strontium, including celestite and strontium compounds, was, in descending order, ceramic ferrite magnets and pyrotechnics and signals, 27% each; drilling fluids, 26%; and electrolytic production of zinc, master alloys, pigments and fillers, and other applications, including glass, 5% each.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production	—	—	—	—	—
Imports for consumption:					
Celestite <sup>1</sup>	4,420	11,300	16,900	7,960	1,300
Strontium compounds <sup>2</sup>	6,420	6,660	6,350	5,560	3,800
Exports, strontium compounds	91	36	32	20	30
Consumption, apparent: <sup>3</sup>					
Celestite	4,420	11,300	16,900	7,960	1,300
Strontium compounds	<u>6,330</u>	<u>6,620</u>	<u>6,320</u>	<u>5,540</u>	<u>3,800</u>
Total	10,700	17,900	23,200	13,500	5,100
Price, average value of celestite imports at port of exportation, dollars per ton	78	74	78	82	66
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Celestite: Mexico, 100%. Strontium compounds: Mexico, 50%; Germany, 40%; China, 6%; and other, 4%. Total imports: Mexico, 81%; Germany, 15%; China, 2%; and other, 2%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Celestite	2530.90.8010	Free.
	Strontium compounds:		
	Strontium metal	2805.19.1000	3.7% ad val.
	Strontium oxide, hydroxide, peroxide	2816.40.1000	4.2% ad val.
	Strontium nitrate	2834.29.2000	4.2% ad val.
	Strontium carbonate	2836.92.0000	4.2% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## STRONTIUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Apparent consumption of strontium declined by 63% in 2020 compared with that in 2019 because of the economic downturn caused by restrictions imposed worldwide as the result of the global COVID-19 pandemic. Many countries experienced significant industrial declines, and celestite production was estimated to have declined from most sources.

Imports of celestite, the most commonly used strontium mineral, decreased by 84%, likely the result of decreased natural-gas- and oil-drilling activity, which was at least partly caused by the pandemic restrictions. Nearly all celestite imports were from Mexico and were thought to be used as additives in drilling fluids for oil and natural gas exploration and production, which experienced declined activity. For these applications, celestite is ground but undergoes no chemical processing. A small quantity of high-value celestite imports were reported; these were most likely mineral specimens. Although no strontium carbonate was produced in the United States, celestite is the raw material from which strontium carbonate and other strontium compounds are produced.

Strontium carbonate is the most commonly traded strontium compound and is used as the raw material from which other strontium compounds are derived. Strontium carbonate is sintered with iron oxide to produce permanent ceramic ferrite magnets, and strontium nitrate contributes a brilliant red color to fireworks and signal flares. Smaller quantities of these and other strontium compounds were consumed in several other applications, including electrolytic production of zinc, glass production, master alloys, and pigments and fillers. Imports of strontium compounds decreased by 32% in 2020.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>4</sup>

	<b>Mine production<sup>e</sup></b>		<b>Reserves<sup>5</sup></b>
	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020</u></b>	
United States	—	—	Quantitative estimates of reserves for most countries were not available.
Argentina	700	670	
China	50,000	50,000	
Iran	37,000	35,000	
Mexico	40,000	38,000	
Spain	<u>90,000</u>	<u>86,000</u>	
World total (rounded)	220,000	210,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> World resources of strontium are thought to exceed 1 billion tons.

**Substitutes:** Barium can be substituted for strontium in ferrite ceramic magnets; however, the resulting barium composite will have reduced maximum operating temperature when compared with that of strontium composites. Substituting for strontium in pyrotechnics is hindered by difficulty in obtaining the desired brilliance and visibility imparted by strontium and its compounds. In drilling mud, barite is the preferred material, but celestite may substitute for some barite, especially when barite prices are high.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>The strontium content of celestite is 43.88%, assuming an ore grade of 92%, which was used to convert units of celestite to strontium content.

<sup>2</sup>Strontium compounds, with their respective strontium contents, in descending order, include metal (100.00%); oxide, hydroxide, and peroxide (70.00%); carbonate (59.35%); and nitrate (41.40%). These factors were used to convert gross weight of strontium compounds to strontium content.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Gross weight of celestite in tons.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## SULFUR

(Data in thousand metric tons of sulfur content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, recovered elemental sulfur and byproduct sulfuric acid were produced at 95 operations in 27 States. Total shipments were valued at about \$320 million. Elemental sulfur production was estimated to be 7.6 million tons; Louisiana and Texas accounted for about 50% of domestic production. Elemental sulfur was recovered, in descending order of tonnage, at petroleum refineries, natural-gas-processing plants, and coking plants by 35 companies at 90 plants in 26 States. Byproduct sulfuric acid, representing about 6% of production of sulfur in all forms, was recovered at five nonferrous-metal smelters in four States by four companies. Domestic elemental sulfur provided 62% of domestic consumption, and byproduct sulfuric acid accounted for about 5%. The remaining 33% of sulfur consumed was provided by imported sulfur and sulfuric acid. About 90% of sulfur consumed was in the form of sulfuric acid.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Recovered elemental	9,070	9,070	9,000	8,110	7,600
Other forms	673	560	672	596	520
Total (rounded)	9,740	9,630	9,670	8,710	8,100
Shipments, all forms	9,750	9,680	9,690	8,700	8,100
Imports for consumption:					
Recovered elemental <sup>e</sup>	1,810	1,850	2,230	1,850	2,100
Sulfuric acid, sulfur content	1,050	954	997	971	1,200
Exports:					
Recovered elemental	2,060	2,340	2,390	2,200	1,500
Sulfuric acid, sulfur content	59	80	112	72	70
Consumption, apparent, all forms <sup>1</sup>	10,500	10,100	10,400	9,240	9,800
Price, reported average value, free on board, mine and (or) plant, dollars per ton of elemental sulfur	37.88	46.39	81.16	51.08	40.00
Stocks, producer, yearend	142	124	118	124	110
Employment, mine and (or) plant, number	2,500	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
Net import reliance <sup>2</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	7	4	7	6	17

**Recycling:** Typically, between 2.5 million tons and 5 million tons of spent sulfuric acid is reclaimed from petroleum refining and chemical processes during any given year.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Elemental: Canada, 75%; Russia, 12%; Kazakhstan, 6%; and other, 7%. Sulfuric acid: Canada, 64%; Mexico, 17%; Spain, 6%; and other, 13%. Total sulfur imports: Canada, 71%; Russia 8%; Mexico, 7%; Kazakhstan, 4%; and other, 10%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Sulfur, crude or unrefined	2503.00.0010	Free.
	Sulfur, all kinds, other	2503.00.0090	Free.
	Sulfur, sublimed or precipitated	2802.00.0000	Free.
	Sulfuric acid	2807.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Total U.S. sulfur production in 2020 was estimated to have decreased by 7% from that of 2019, and shipments also decreased by 7% from those of 2019. Domestic production of elemental sulfur from petroleum refineries and recovery from natural gas operations decreased by 6%. Sulfur production decreased because of a decline in refinery capacity utilization as a result of decreased demand for refinery products owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic and processing of more sweet crude oil. Domestically, refinery sulfur production is expected to remain low as long as COVID-19 restrictions remain in place. Domestic byproduct sulfuric acid is expected to remain relatively constant, unless one or more of the remaining nonferrous-metal smelters close.



## SULFUR

Domestic phosphate rock consumption in 2020 was estimated to have remained the same as that in 2019, which resulted in the same consumption of sulfur to process the phosphate rock into phosphate fertilizers.

World sulfur production was slightly less than it was in 2019 as a result of decreased demand resulting from global COVID-19 pandemic restrictions, but production is likely to increase steadily for the foreseeable future. New sulfur demand associated with phosphate fertilizer projects is expected mostly in Africa, but sulfur demand likely will increase in Asia and Eastern Europe. A major change for 2020 was the implementation of new international standards limiting sulfur oxide emissions from ocean-going ships on January 1, 2020. The global sulfur content limit of marine fuels was reduced to 0.5% from 3.5%, which is likely to lead to increased sulfur recovery from fuels in North America, Asia, and Europe.

Contract sulfur prices in Tampa, FL, began 2020 at around \$46 per long ton. The sulfur price decreased to \$36 per long ton in mid-April, and then increased to \$58 per long ton by the end of September. Fourth-quarter 2020 prices were set at \$69 per long ton. The fourth-quarter price increase was a result of the decreased availability of sulfur owing to reduced output from natural gas and crude oil refining. In the past few years, sulfur prices have been variable, a result of the volatility in the demand for sulfur.

### **World Production and Reserves:**

	<b>Production—All forms</b>		<b>Reserves<sup>3</sup></b>
	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>	
United States	8,710	8,100	Reserves of sulfur in crude oil, natural gas, and sulfide ores are large. Because most sulfur production is a result of the processing of fossil fuels, supplies are expected to be adequate for the foreseeable future. Because petroleum and sulfide ores can be processed long distances from where they are produced, sulfur production may not be in the country to which the reserves were attributed. For instance, sulfur from Saudi Arabian oil may be recovered at refineries in the United States.
Australia	900	900	
Brazil	500	500	
Canada	6,940	6,300	
Chile	1,500	1,500	
China <sup>4</sup>	17,500	17,000	
Finland	766	770	
Germany	670	670	
India	3,600	3,600	
Iran	2,200	2,200	
Italy	550	550	
Japan	3,400	3,400	
Kazakhstan	3,500	3,500	
Korea, Republic of	3,080	3,100	
Kuwait	850	850	
Netherlands	510	510	
Poland	1,190	1,200	
Qatar	1,800	1,800	
Russia	7,560	7,500	
Saudi Arabia	6,500	6,500	
United Arab Emirates	3,300	3,300	
Other countries	4,500	4,300	
World total (rounded)	80,000	78,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>3</sup> Resources of elemental sulfur in evaporite and volcanic deposits, and sulfur associated with natural gas, petroleum, tar sands, and metal sulfides, total about 5 billion tons. The sulfur in gypsum and anhydrite is almost limitless, and 600 billion tons of sulfur is contained in coal, oil shale, and shale that is rich in organic matter. Production from these sources would require development of low-cost methods of extraction. The domestic sulfur resource is about one-fifth of the world total.

**Substitutes:** Substitutes for sulfur at present or anticipated price levels are not satisfactory; some acids, in certain applications, may be substituted for sulfuric acid, but usually at a higher cost.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as shipments + imports – exports.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>4</sup>Sulfur production in China includes byproduct elemental sulfur recovered from natural gas and petroleum, the estimated sulfur content of byproduct sulfuric acid from metallurgy, and the sulfur content of sulfuric acid from pyrite.

## TALC AND PYROPHYLLITE<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Three companies operated five talc producing mines in three States during 2020, and domestic production of crude talc was estimated to have decreased by 12% to 510,000 tons valued at almost \$21 million. Talc was mined in Montana, Texas, and Vermont. Total sales (domestic and export) of talc by U.S. producers were estimated to be 430,000 tons valued at about \$100 million, a 17% decrease from those in 2019. Talc produced and sold in the United States was used in ceramics (including automotive catalytic converters) (22%), paint (19%), paper (17%), plastics (11%), rubber (4%), roofing (3%), and cosmetics (2%). The remaining 22% was for agriculture, export, insecticides, and other miscellaneous uses.

One company in North Carolina mined and processed pyrophyllite in 2020. Domestic production was withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data and was estimated to have decreased from that in 2019. Pyrophyllite was sold for refractory, paint, and ceramic products.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production, mine	578	610	648	578	510
Sold by producers	528	528	547	515	430
Imports for consumption	378	336	313	281	210
Exports	239	220	273	234	180
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	667	644	586	562	459
Price, average, milled, dollars per metric ton <sup>3</sup>	197	214	227	240	240
Employment, mine and mill, number: <sup>4</sup>					
Talc	223	206	208	202	185
Pyrophyllite	30	31	30	31	31
Net import reliance <sup>5</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	21	18	7	8	6

**Recycling:** Insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Pakistan, 42%; Canada, 27%; China, 18%; and other, 13%. Large quantities of crude talc are thought to have been mined in Afghanistan before being milled in and exported from Pakistan.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
Natural steatite and talc:			
Not crushed, not powdered	2526.10.0000		Free.
Crushed or powdered	2526.20.0000		Free.
Talc, steatite, and soapstone; cut or sawed	6815.99.2000		Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Block steatite talc: 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign). Other talc and pyrophyllite: 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## TALC AND PYROPHYLLITE

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Canada, China, and Pakistan were the principal sources for United States talc imports in recent years. Imports from Pakistan have increased in recent years, and imports from China have stayed at about one-third of previous levels. Canada and Mexico continued to be the primary destinations for United States talc exports, collectively receiving about one-half of exports. Imports and exports of talc and related materials are estimated to have decreased by at least 20% in 2020 compared with those of 2019. Primarily owing to the global COVID-19 pandemic, U.S. talc consumption, production, and sales decreased in 2020 from those of 2019.

Ceramic tile and sanitaryware formulations and the technology for firing ceramic tile changed over recent decades, reducing the amount of talc required for the manufacture of some ceramic products. For paint, the industry shifted its focus to production of water-based paint (a product for which talc is not well suited because it is hydrophobic) from oil-based paint in order to reduce volatile emissions. Paper manufacturing began to decrease beginning in the 1990s, and some talc used for pitch control was replaced by chemical agents. For cosmetics, manufacturers of body dusting powders shifted some of their production from talc-based to corn-starch-based products. The paper industry has traditionally been the largest consumer of talc worldwide; however, plastics are expected to overtake paper as the predominant end use within the next several years, as papermakers in Asia make greater use of talc substitutes and as the use of talc in automobile plastics increases.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for India and the Republic of Korea were revised based on Government and industry sources.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>6</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States (crude)	578	510	140,000
Brazil (crude and beneficiated) <sup>7</sup>	660	650	45,000
Canada (unspecified minerals)	240	220	NA
China (unspecified minerals)	1,400	1,300	82,000
Finland	330	320	Large
France (crude)	450	430	Large
India <sup>7</sup>	920	900	106,000
Italy (includes steatite)	165	150	NA
Japan <sup>7</sup>	160	150	100,000
Korea, Republic of <sup>7</sup>	330	320	81,000
Pakistan	183	170	NA
Other countries (includes crude) <sup>7</sup>	728	700	Large
World total (rounded) <sup>7</sup>	6,140	5,800	Large

**World Resources:**<sup>6</sup> The United States is self-sufficient in most grades of talc and related minerals, but lower priced imports have replaced domestic minerals for some uses. Talc occurs in the United States from New England to Alabama in the Appalachian Mountains and the Piedmont region, as well as in California, Montana, Nevada, Texas, and Washington. Domestic and world identified resources are estimated to be approximately five times the quantity of reserves.

**Substitutes:** Substitutes for talc include bentonite, chlorite, feldspar, kaolin, and pyrophyllite in ceramics; chlorite, kaolin, and mica in paint; calcium carbonate and kaolin in paper; bentonite, kaolin, mica, and wollastonite in plastics; and kaolin and mica in rubber.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>All statistics exclude pyrophyllite unless otherwise noted.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as sold by producers + imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Average ex-works unit value of milled talc sold by U.S. producers, based on data reported by companies.

<sup>4</sup>Includes only companies that mine talc or pyrophyllite. Excludes office workers and mills that process imported or domestically purchased material.

<sup>5</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>7</sup>Includes pyrophyllite.

## TANTALUM

(Data in metric tons of tantalum content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Significant U.S. tantalum mine production has not been reported since 1959. Domestic tantalum resources are of low grade, some are mineralogically complex, and most are not commercially recoverable. Companies in the United States produced tantalum alloys, capacitors, carbides, compounds, and tantalum metal from imported tantalum ores and concentrates and tantalum-containing materials. Tantalum metal and alloys were recovered from foreign and domestic scrap. Domestic tantalum consumption was not reported by consumers. Major end uses for tantalum included alloys for gas turbines used in the aerospace and oil and gas industries; tantalum capacitors for automotive electronics, mobile phones, and personal computers; tantalum carbides for cutting and boring tools; and tantalum oxide ( $Ta_2O_5$ ) was used in glass lenses to make lighter weight camera lenses that produce a brighter image. The value of tantalum consumed in 2020 was estimated to exceed \$210 million as measured by the value of imports.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
Production:					
Mine	—	—	—	—	—
Secondary	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Imports for consumption <sup>1</sup>	1,060	1,460	1,660	1,380	1,300
Exports <sup>1</sup>	604	549	681	423	400
Shipments from Government stockpile	—	—	—	—	2
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	460	907	975	957	900
Price, tantalite, dollars per kilogram of $Ta_2O_5$ content <sup>3</sup>	193	193	214	161	158
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Tantalum was recycled mostly from new scrap that was generated during the manufacture of tantalum-containing electronic components and from tantalum-containing cemented carbide and superalloy scrap. The amount of tantalum recycled was not available, but it may be as much as 30% of apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Tantalum ores and concentrates: Rwanda, 36%; Australia, 25%; Brazil, 14%; Congo (Kinshasa), 7%; and other, 18%. Tantalum metal and powder: China, 38%; Germany, 21%; Thailand, 13%; Kazakhstan, 12%; and other, 16%. Tantalum waste and scrap: Indonesia, 15%; China, 13%; Japan, 13%; Mexico, 10%; and other, 49%. Total: China, 26%; Germany, 11%; Australia, 10%; Indonesia, 10%, and other, 43%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Synthetic tantalum-niobium concentrates	2615.90.3000	Free.
	Tantalum ores and concentrates	2615.90.6060	Free.
	Tantalum oxide <sup>5</sup>	2825.90.9000	3.7% ad val.
	Potassium fluorotantalate <sup>5</sup>	2826.90.9000	3.1% ad val.
	Tantalum, unwrought:		
	Powders	8103.20.0030	2.5% ad val.
	Alloys and metal	8103.20.0090	2.5% ad val.
	Tantalum, waste and scrap	8103.30.0000	Free.
	Tantalum, other	8103.90.0000	4.4% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:<sup>6</sup>**

		FY 2020		FY 2021	
<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Tantalum carbide powder	—	—	1.71	—	—
Tantalum niobium concentrate (gross weight)	59	—	—	—	—
Tantalum metal <sup>7</sup> (gross weight)	0.084	15.4	0.09	15.4	0.09
Tantalum alloy (gross weight)	0.0015	—	—	—	—

## TANTALUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** U.S. tantalum apparent consumption (measured in contained tantalum) was estimated to have decreased by 6% from that of 2019. Most of the tantalum imported was in the form of scrap followed by a slightly lesser quantity of metal and powder; imports of ores and concentrates decreased by almost 20% from that in 2019. Globally, consumption of tantalum decreased because of disruptions in transportation and electronics manufacturing supply chains caused by the global COVID-19 pandemic; a leading end use for tantalum was in capacitors. Significant production decreases by major aircraft manufacturers reduced tantalum consumption for superalloys.

World production was lower in part because of temporary mine closures in Brazil and Rwanda caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Continued low prices for tantalum was also a factor. In Australia, lithium mines that had produced tantalum as a byproduct in 2019 remained on care-and-maintenance status in 2020 because of continued low prices for lithium. Production in Congo (Kinshasa) was estimated to have increased in 2020 based on reported ore production through August 2020; China was the main export destination. Brazil, Congo (Kinshasa), and Rwanda accounted for 77% of estimated global tantalum mine production in 2020.

The U.S. Department of Defense issued an interim rule effective October 1, 2020, amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement to implement a section of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 that prohibits the acquisition of tantalum metal and alloys from China, Iran, North Korea, and Russia.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Australia and Brazil were revised based on Government and industry information.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>8</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	—
Australia	67	30	<sup>9</sup> 99,000
Brazil	430	370	40,000
Burundi	38	30	NA
China	76	70	NA
Congo (Kinshasa)	580	670	NA
Ethiopia	70	60	NA
Nigeria	180	160	NA
Russia	26	26	NA
Rwanda	336	270	NA
Other countries	45	35	NA
World total (rounded)	1,850	1,700	>140,000

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> Identified world resources of tantalum, most of which are in Australia, Brazil, and Canada, are considered adequate to supply projected needs. The United States has about 55,000 tons of tantalum resources in identified deposits, most of which were considered uneconomical at 2020 prices for tantalum.

**Substitutes:** The following materials can be substituted for tantalum, but a performance loss or higher costs may ensue: niobium and tungsten in carbides; aluminum, ceramics, and niobium in electronic capacitors; glass, molybdenum, nickel, niobium, platinum, stainless steel, titanium, and zirconium in corrosion-resistant applications; and hafnium, iridium, molybdenum, niobium, rhenium, and tungsten in high-temperature applications.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Imports and exports include the estimated tantalum content of niobium and tantalum ores and concentrates, unwrought tantalum alloys and powder, tantalum waste and scrap, and other tantalum articles. Synthetic concentrates and niobium ores and concentrates were assumed to contain 32% Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Tantalum ores and concentrates were assumed to contain 37% Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is 81.897% Ta.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>Price is annual average price reported by CRU Group. The estimate for 2020 includes data available through October 2020.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>5</sup>This category includes tantalum-containing material and other material.

<sup>6</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>7</sup>Potential acquisitions are for unspecified tantalum materials; potential disposals are for tantalum scrap in the Government stockpile.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>9</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 44,400 tons.

## TELLURIUM

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, tellurium was recovered in the United States. One company in Texas was thought to export copper anode slimes to Mexico for recovery of commercial-grade tellurium. Downstream companies further refined imported commercial-grade metal to produce tellurium dioxide, high-purity tellurium, and tellurium compounds for specialty applications.

Tellurium was predominantly used in the production of cadmium telluride (CdTe) for thin-film solar cells. Another important end use was for the production of bismuth telluride (BiTe), which is used in thermoelectric devices for both cooling and energy generation. Other uses were as an alloying additive in steel to improve machining characteristics, as a minor additive in copper alloys to improve machinability without reducing conductivity, in lead alloys to improve resistance to vibration and fatigue, in cast iron to help control the depth of chill, and in malleable iron as a carbide stabilizer. It was used in the chemical industry as a vulcanizing agent and accelerator in the processing of rubber and as a component of catalysts for synthetic fiber production. Other uses included those in photoreceptor and thermoelectric devices, blasting caps, and as a pigment to produce various colors in glass and ceramics.

Global consumption estimates of tellurium by end use are solar, 40%; thermoelectric production, 30%; metallurgy, 15%; rubber applications, 5%; and other, 10%.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, refinery	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption	73	163	192	59	7
Exports	3	2	4	1	118
Consumption, apparent <sup>2</sup>	W	W	W	W	W
Price, <sup>3</sup> dollars per kilogram	36	38	73	60	55
Stocks, producer, refined, yearend	W	W	W	W	W
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	>95	>95	>95	>95	>95

**Recycling:** For traditional metallurgical and chemical uses, there was little or no old scrap from which to extract secondary tellurium because these uses of tellurium are highly dispersive or dissipative. A very small amount of tellurium was recovered from scrapped selenium-tellurium photoreceptors employed in older plain-paper copiers in Europe. A plant in the United States recycled tellurium from CdTe solar cells; however, the amount recycled was limited because most CdTe solar cells were relatively new and had not reached the end of their useful life.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Canada, 57%; China, 21%; Germany, 17%; the Philippines, 3%; and other, 2%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Tellurium	2804.50.0020	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, domestic tellurium content in anode slimes was estimated to have remained essentially unchanged from that in 2019. One domestic producer of anode slimes shipped at least a portion of its anode slimes to Mexico for treatment and refining. In 2020, the domestic average monthly price of tellurium generally decreased in the first 8 months of the year, from around \$65 per kilogram in January to \$55 per kilogram in August, after which the prices recovered to around \$60 per kilogram in September.

Domestic imports of tellurium were estimated to have decreased by about 89% in 2020 from those of 2019, mostly as a result of a significant decrease in imports from Germany and Canada. During the first 8 months of 2020, the United States imported 0.025 ton (25 kilograms) of tellurium from Germany and 1 ton of tellurium from Canada. During the same period of 2019, the United States imported 22 tons of tellurium from Germany and 2 tons of tellurium from Canada. Domestic exports of tellurium had increased significantly in 2020 which may include misclassified exports.

## TELLURIUM

World production of tellurium was estimated to be about 490 tons. China was the leading producer of refined tellurium, recovering tellurium from copper anode slimes and from residues generated during the lead, nickel, precious metals, and zinc smelting processes. In late 2019, the Yunnan Provincial government in China announced an auction of 170 tons of tellurium from the defunct Fanya Metal Exchange with a starting price of \$43 per kilogram (306 yuan per kilogram), or a total lot bid of \$7.34 million (51.95 million yuan), and the tellurium was sold in December 2019. Demand in China for CdTe for solar panels increased in 2020 owing to increased investment in solar production projects. Production of tellurium increased at a Chinese firm to 6 tons per month, up from 1 to 2 tons per month in the previous year. Exports of CdTe from China also rose in the first 6 months of 2020, rising by 6% to over 300 tons.

A Canadian company announced in August that it would expand production of ultra-high purity (99.99999%) tellurium for semiconductor technologies, such as solid-state radiation detectors. Solid-state radiation detectors produce highly accurate images, and are used in healthcare, security, and military systems.

**World Refinery Production and Reserves:** The figures shown for reserves include only tellurium contained in copper reserves. These estimates assume that more than one-half of the tellurium contained in unrefined copper anodes is recoverable.

	Refinery production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	3,500
Bulgaria	<sup>e</sup> 4	5	NA
Canada	<sup>e</sup> 40	35	800
China	<sup>e</sup> 325	300	6,600
Japan	<sup>e</sup> 50	50	—
Russia	52	50	NA
South Africa	<sup>e</sup> 8	5	—
Sweden	41	40	670
Other countries <sup>6</sup>	NA	NA	19,000
World total (rounded)	520	490	31,000

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Data on tellurium resources were not available. More than 90% of tellurium has been produced from anode slimes collected from electrolytic copper refining, and the remainder was derived from skimmings at lead refineries and from flue dusts and gases generated during the smelting of bismuth, copper, and lead-zinc ores. Potential sources of tellurium include bismuth telluride and gold telluride ores.

**Substitutes:** Several materials can replace tellurium in most of its uses, but usually with losses in efficiency or product characteristics. Bismuth, calcium, lead, phosphorus, selenium, and sulfur can be used in place of tellurium in many free-machining steels. Several of the chemical process reactions catalyzed by tellurium can be carried out with other catalysts or by means of noncatalyzed processes. In rubber compounding, sulfur and (or) selenium can act as vulcanization agents in place of tellurium. The selenides and sulfides of niobium and tantalum can serve as electrical-conducting solid lubricants in place of tellurides of those metals.

The selenium-tellurium photoreceptors used in some plain paper photocopiers and laser printers have been replaced by organic photoreceptors in newer devices. Amorphous silicon and copper indium gallium selenide were the two principal competitors of CdTe in thin-film photovoltaic solar cells. Bismuth selenide and organic polymers can be used to substitute for some BiTe thermal devices.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Includes material that may have been misclassified.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>3</sup>Average annual price. Source: Argus Media group—Argus Metals International for 99.95% tellurium, in warehouse, Rotterdam.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes. For 2020, exports were not included in the calculation.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>In addition to the countries listed, Australia, Belgium, Chile, Colombia, Germany, Kazakhstan, Mexico, the Philippines, and Poland produced refined tellurium, but output was not reported and available information was inadequate to make reliable production estimates.

## THALLIUM

(Data in kilograms unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Small quantities of thallium are consumed annually, but variations in pricing and value data make it difficult to estimate the value of consumption. The primary end uses included the following: radioisotope thallium-201 used for medical purposes in cardiovascular imaging; thallium as an activator (sodium iodide crystal doped with thallium) in gamma radiation detection equipment; thallium-barium-calcium-copper-oxide high-temperature superconductors used in filters for wireless communications; thallium in lenses, prisms, and windows for infrared detection and transmission equipment; thallium-arsenic-selenium crystal filters for light diffraction in acousto-optical measuring devices; and thallium in mercury alloys for low-temperature measurements. Other uses include as an additive in glass to increase its refractive index and density, a catalyst for organic compound synthesis, and a component in high-density liquids for gravity separation of minerals.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, refinery	—	—	—	—	—
Imports for consumption:					
Unwrought metal and metal powders	—	—	—	—	57
Waste and scrap	—	—	23	27	—
Other articles	193	—	41	38	—
Exports:					
Unwrought metal and powders	56	34	100	290	300
Waste and scrap	286	364	853	133	320
Other articles	973	1,560	131,400	179,000	500
Consumption, estimated <sup>2</sup>	193	—	64	65	57
Price, metal, <sup>e, 3</sup> dollars per kilogram	7,400	NA	NA	7,600	8,200
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of estimated consumption	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Germany, 60%; China, 24%; Norway, 8%; and the United Kingdom, 8%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Unwrought and powders	8112.51.0000	4.0% ad val.
	Waste and scrap	8112.52.0000	Free.
	Other	8112.59.0000	4.0% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.



## THALLIUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** In 2020, imports of thallium unwrought metal and powder was 57 kilograms. Exports of unwrought thallium and powders were higher in 2020 compared with those of 2019. All exports of unwrought thallium and powders left the Cleveland, OH, and New Orleans, LA, customs districts and went to Taiwan. All exports of thallium waste and scrap left from the Laredo, TX, customs district and went to Mexico in 2020, the same as in 2019. Exports of other thallium articles (Schedule B number 8112.59.0000) returned to lower levels. In 2018 and 2019, reported exports of thallium articles were unusually high in quantity; these exports likely were misclassified material.

Demand for thallium for use in cardiovascular-imaging applications has declined owing to superior performance and availability of alternatives, such as the medical isotope technetium-99. A global shortage of technetium-99 from 2009 to 2011 had contributed to an increase in thallium consumption during that time period. Since 2011, consumption of thallium has declined significantly. Small quantities of thallium are used for research.

The leading global uses for thallium were gamma radiation detection equipment, high-temperature superconductors, infrared optical materials, low-melting glasses, photoelectric cells, and radioisotopes. Producers of these products were in China, Japan, the Republic of Korea, and the United States.

Thallium metal and its compounds are highly toxic materials and are strictly controlled to prevent harm to humans and the environment. Thallium and its compounds can be absorbed into the human body by skin contact, ingestion, or inhalation of dust or fumes. Under its national primary drinking water regulations for public water supplies, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has set an enforceable Maximum Contaminant Level of 2 parts per billion thallium in drinking water.

**World Refinery Production and Reserves:**<sup>5</sup> Thallium is produced commercially in only a few countries as a byproduct in the roasting of copper, lead, and zinc ores and is recovered from flue dust. Because most producers withhold thallium production data, global production data are limited. In 2020, global production of thallium was estimated to be less than 8,000 kilograms. China, Kazakhstan, and Russia were thought to be leading producers of primary thallium. Since 2005, substantial thallium-rich deposits have been identified in Brazil, China, North Macedonia, and Russia. Quantitative estimates of reserves are not available, owing to the difficulty in identifying deposits where thallium can be extracted economically. Previous estimates of reserves were based on the thallium content of zinc ores.

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Although thallium is reasonably abundant in the Earth's crust, estimated at about 0.7 part per million, it exists mostly in association with potassium minerals in clays, granites, and soils, and it is not generally considered to be commercially recoverable from those materials. The major source of recoverable thallium is the trace amounts found in copper, lead, zinc, and other sulfide ores. World resources of thallium contained in identified zinc resources could be as much as 17 million kilograms; most are in Europe, Canada, and the United States. World identified resources of coal contain an estimated 630 million kilograms of thallium.

**Substitutes:** Although other materials and formulations can substitute for thallium in gamma radiation detection equipment and optics used for infrared detection and transmission, thallium materials are presently superior and more cost effective for these very specialized uses. The medical isotope technetium-99 can be used in cardiovascular-imaging applications instead of thallium.

Nonpoisonous substitutes, such as tungsten compounds, are being marketed as substitutes for thallium in high-density liquids for gravity separation of minerals.

<sup>6</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Includes material that may have been misclassified.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated to be equal to imports.

<sup>3</sup>Estimated price of 99.99%-pure granules in 100-gram lots.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports. Consumption and exports of unwrought thallium were from imported material or from a drawdown in unreported inventories.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## THORIUM

(Data in kilograms, gross weight, unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The world's primary source of thorium is the rare-earth and thorium phosphate mineral monazite. In 2020, monazite may have been produced as a separated concentrate or included as an accessory mineral in heavy-mineral concentrates. Essentially, all thorium compounds and alloys consumed by the domestic industry were derived from imports. The number of companies that processed or fabricated various forms of thorium for commercial use was not available. Thorium's use in most products was generally limited because of concerns over its naturally occurring radioactivity. Imports of thorium compounds are sporadic owing to changes in consumption and fluctuations in consumer inventory levels. The estimated value of thorium compounds imported for consumption by the domestic industry in 2020 was \$55,000, compared with \$213,000 in 2019.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine <sup>1</sup>	NA	NA	<sup>2</sup> 520,000	<sup>2</sup> 1,700,000	<sup>2</sup> 600,000
Imports for consumption:					
Ore and concentrates (monazite)	16,000	—	1,000	—	—
Compounds (oxide, nitrate, etc.)	3,120	8,510	9,000	4,000	1,900
Exports:					
Ore and concentrates (monazite)	NA	NA	520,000	1,700,000	600,000
Compounds (oxide, nitrate, etc.) <sup>3</sup>	6,000	6,100	3,000	3,200	400
Consumption, apparent: <sup>4</sup>					
Ore and concentrates (monazite)	16,000	—	1,000	—	—
Compounds (oxide, nitrate, etc.)	( <sup>5</sup> )	2,410	6,000	800	1,500
Price, average value, compounds, India, <sup>6</sup> dollars per kilogram	65	73	72	72	NA
Net import reliance <sup>7</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Monazite: Canada, 100%. Thorium compounds: India, 82%; France, 17%; and the United Kingdom, 1%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Thorium ore and concentrates (monazite)	2612.20.0000	Free.
	Thorium compounds	2844.30.1000	5.5% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** Monazite, 22% on thorium content, and 14% on rare-earth and yttrium content (domestic); 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## THORIUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Domestic demand for thorium alloys, compounds, and metals was limited. In addition to research purposes, various commercial uses of thorium included catalysts, high-temperature ceramics, magnetrons in microwave ovens, metal-halide lamps, nuclear medicine, optical coatings, tungsten filaments, and welding electrodes.

Exports of unspecified thorium compounds were estimated to be 400 kilograms in 2020. Because 99% of the exports were reported to have a unit value of less than \$50 per kilogram, those quantities were not included in the total export estimate because it is likely that they were misclassified. Owing to potentially misclassified material and variations in the type and purity of thorium compounds, the unit value of exports varied widely by month and by exporting customs district.

Globally, monazite was produced primarily for its rare-earth-element content, and only a small fraction of the byproduct thorium produced was consumed. India was the leading producer of monazite. Thorium consumption worldwide is relatively small compared with that of most other mineral commodities. In international trade, China was the leading importer of monazite; Brazil, Madagascar, Thailand, and Vietnam were China's leading import sources. The United States exported monazite to China and Hong Kong. Operators of the Eneabba mineral sands project (Australia), the Kvanefjeld project (Greenland), and the Steenkampskraal Mine (South Africa) plan to start producing within the next year.

Several companies and countries were active in the pursuit of commercializing thorium as a fuel material for a new generation of nuclear reactors. Thorium-based nuclear research and development programs have been or are underway in Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, Czechia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Norway, the Republic of Korea, Russia, the United Kingdom, and the United States.

**World Refinery Production and Reserves:**<sup>8</sup> Production and reserves are associated with the recovery of monazite in heavy-mineral-sand deposits. Without demand for the rare earths, monazite would probably not be recovered for its thorium content under current market conditions.

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> The world's leading thorium resources are found in placer, carbonatite, and vein-type deposits. Thorium is found in several minerals, including monazite, thorite, and thorianite. According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development's Nuclear Energy Agency, worldwide identified thorium resources were estimated to total 6.4 million tons of thorium. Thorium resources are found throughout the world, most notably in Australia, Brazil, India, and the United States. India has the largest resources (850,000 tons), followed by Brazil (630,000 tons) and Australia and the United States (600,000 tons each).

**Substitutes:** Nonradioactive substitutes have been developed for many applications of thorium. Yttrium compounds have replaced thorium compounds in incandescent lamp mantles. A magnesium alloy containing lanthanides, yttrium, and zirconium can substitute for magnesium-thorium alloys in aerospace applications. Cerium and lanthanum can substitute for thorium in welding electrodes. Several replacement materials are in use as optical coatings instead of thorium fluoride.

<sup>0</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Monazite may have been produced as a separate concentrate or included as an accessory mineral in heavy-mineral concentrates.

<sup>2</sup>Estimates based on exports.

<sup>3</sup>Excludes estimates of material that may have been misclassified.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports. Shown separately for ore and concentrates and for compounds. Production is only for ore and concentrates.

<sup>5</sup>The apparent consumption calculation yields negative values for thorium compounds in 2016.

<sup>6</sup>Based on U.S. Census Bureau customs data.

<sup>7</sup>Defined as imports – exports; however, a meaningful net import reliance could not be calculated owing to uncertainties in the classification of material being imported and exported.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## TIN

(Data in metric tons of tin content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Tin has not been mined or smelted in the United States since 1993 and 1989, respectively. Twenty-five firms accounted for over 90% of the primary tin consumed domestically in 2020. The major uses for tin in the United States were tinplate, 21%; chemicals, 18%; solder, 15%; alloys, 10%; babbitt, brass and bronze, and tinning, 10%; and other, 26%. Based on the average Platts Metals Week New York dealer price for tin, the estimated value of imported refined tin in 2020 was \$557 million, and the estimated value of tin recovered from old scrap domestically in 2020 was \$174 million.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, secondary: <sup>e</sup>					
Old scrap	10,000	10,000	9,900	9,900	10,000
New scrap	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
Imports for consumption:					
Tin, refined	32,200	34,300	36,800	34,100	32,000
Tin, alloys, gross weight	1,910	1,550	1,430	1,020	700
Tin, waste and scrap, gross weight	27,200	52,100	47,700	30,400	23,000
Exports:					
Tin, refined	1,150	1,560	941	1,300	500
Tin, alloys, gross weight	1,040	966	885	1,200	1,100
Tin, waste and scrap, gross weight	4,570	3,460	5,980	2,470	1,300
Shipments from Government stockpile, gross weight	—	2	13	1	—
Consumption, apparent, refined <sup>1</sup>	41,800	42,400	42,300	42,600	41,000
Price, average, cents per pound: <sup>2</sup>					
New York dealer	839	937	936	868	790
London Metal Exchange (LME), cash	815	911	914	846	770
Stocks, consumer and dealer, yearend	6,370	6,660	10,100	10,200	11,000
Net import reliance <sup>3</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption, refined	76	76	77	77	75

**Recycling:** About 18,000 tons of tin from old and new scrap was estimated to have been recycled in 2020. Of this, about 10,000 tons was recovered from old scrap at 2 detinning plants and about 75 secondary nonferrous metal-processing plants, accounting for 24% of apparent consumption.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Refined tin: Indonesia, 24%; Malaysia, 21%; Peru, 20%; Bolivia, 17%; and other, 18%. Waste and scrap: Canada, 99%; and other, 1%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
Unwrought tin:			
	Tin, not alloyed	8001.10.0000	Free.
	Tin alloys, containing, by weight:		
	5% or less lead	8001.20.0010	Free.
	More than 5% but not more than 25% lead	8001.20.0050	Free.
	More than 25% lead	8001.20.0090	Free.
	Tin waste and scrap	8002.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:<sup>4</sup>**

		<b>FY 2020</b>		<b>FY 2021</b>	
<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Tin (gross weight)	4,015	40	—	—	4,034

## TIN

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The estimated amount of tin recycled in 2020 remained essentially unchanged compared with that in 2019. Estimated annual average tin prices based on the first 11 months in 2020 were 790 and 770 cents per pound for the New York dealer price and LME cash price, respectively—a 9% decrease for both prices compared with those in 2019. In 2020, the monthly average New York dealer tin price peaked in November at 856 cents per pound, from a low monthly average price of 705 cents per pound in April.

Decline in global tin use began in 2019 and continued through 2020, likely exacerbated by the global COVID-19 pandemic that has caused disruptions in mining and manufacturing industries around the world. Solder remains the largest global use of tin. Owing to pandemic-related consumption of canned foods, tinplate usage is expected to increase despite years of stagnation. The use of tin in chemicals and tin alloys is expected to decline from that in 2019, matching the overall decline in demand for many durable goods in 2020.

Chinese demand for tin in the third quarter of 2020 reached 2019 levels, despite pandemic-related declines in the first half of 2020. China struggled to source an adequate supply of tin as global mine production only partially recovered in the third quarter. In Burma, further issues with mine flooding and border restrictions imposed because of the COVID-19 pandemic have tightened traditional tin ore sources. In late 2020, China's Yunnan Tin Company Limited began operations at a new smelter in Yunnan Province. The new smelter will replace an existing, and soon-to-be decommissioned, facility in the same Province.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Australia, Brazil, Congo (Kinshasa), Malaysia, Peru, and Russia were revised based on information from company and Government reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	—
Australia	7,740	6,800	<sup>6</sup> 430,000
Bolivia <sup>e</sup>	17,000	15,000	400,000
Brazil	14,000	13,000	420,000
Burma	42,000	33,000	100,000
China	84,500	81,000	1,100,000
Congo (Kinshasa) <sup>e</sup>	12,200	17,000	160,000
Indonesia	77,500	66,000	800,000
Laos <sup>e</sup>	1,400	1,200	NA
Malaysia	3,610	3,300	150,000
Nigeria <sup>e</sup>	5,800	6,000	NA
Peru	19,900	18,000	140,000
Russia	1,800	2,500	280,000
Rwanda	2,300	1,200	NA
Vietnam	5,500	4,900	11,000
Other countries	549	400	350,000
World total (rounded)	296,000	270,000	4,300,000

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Identified resources of tin in the United States, primarily in Alaska, were insignificant compared with those of the rest of the world. World resources, principally in western Africa, southeastern Asia, Australia, Bolivia, Brazil, Indonesia, and Russia, are extensive and, if developed, could sustain recent annual production rates well into the future.

**Substitutes:** Aluminum, glass, paper, plastic, or tin-free steel substitute for tin content in cans and containers. Other materials that substitute for tin are epoxy resins for solder; aluminum alloys, alternative copper-base alloys, and plastics for bronze; plastics for bearing metals that contain tin; and compounds of lead and sodium for some tin chemicals.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as production (old scrap) + refined tin imports – refined tin exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes. Excludes imports and exports of alloys, and waste and scrap.

<sup>2</sup>Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes, excluding imports and exports of waste and scrap.

<sup>4</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 250,000 tons.

# TITANIUM AND TITANIUM DIOXIDE<sup>1</sup>

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Titanium sponge metal was produced by two operations in Nevada and Utah. Production data were withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. The facility in Salt Lake City, UT, with an estimated capacity of 500 tons per year, produced titanium that was further refined for use in electronics. Sponge operations in Henderson, NV, with an estimated capacity of 12,600 tons per year, were idled at yearend owing to market conditions. A third operation, in Rowley, UT, with an estimated capacity of 10,900 tons per year, remained on care-and-maintenance status since 2016.

Although detailed 2020 consumption data were withheld to avoid disclosing proprietary data, the majority of titanium metal was used in aerospace applications, and the remainder was used in armor, chemical processing, marine hardware, medical implants, power generation, consumer, and other applications. The value of imported sponge was about \$206 million, a 24% decrease compared with imports in 2019.

In 2020, titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) pigment production, by four companies operating five facilities in four States, was valued at about \$3 billion. The estimated end-use distribution of TiO<sub>2</sub> pigment consumption was paints (including lacquers and varnishes), 60%; plastics, 20%; paper, 5%; and other, 15%. Other uses of TiO<sub>2</sub> included catalysts, ceramics, coated fabrics and textiles, floor coverings, printing ink, and roofing granules.

## Salient Statistics—United States:

	2016	2017	2018	2019 <sup>e</sup>	2020 <sup>e</sup>
Titanium sponge metal:					
Production	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption	16,200	24,100	23,700	30,000	24,000
Exports	724	3,090	533	869	910
Consumption, reported	34,100	37,400	35,200	W	W
Price, dollars per kilogram, yearend	9.50	9.10	9.20	6.85	6.90
Stocks, industry, yearend <sup>e</sup>	25,100	13,200	10,700	W	W
Employment, number <sup>e</sup>	150	150	150	150	150
Net import reliance <sup>2</sup> as a percentage of reported consumption	45	88	73	>50	>50
Titanium dioxide pigment:					
Production	1,240,000	1,260,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,000,000
Imports for consumption	247,000	240,000	269,000	226,000	270,000
Exports	651,000	634,000	528,000	411,000	370,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	840,000	870,000	893,000	965,000	900,000
Producer price index (1982=100), yearend <sup>4</sup>	175	205	205	NA	NA
Employment, number <sup>e</sup>	3,110	3,110	3,050	3,050	3,100
Net import reliance <sup>2</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** About 45,000 tons of titanium scrap metal was consumed in 2020—35,000 tons by the titanium industry, 8,000 tons by the steel industry, less than 500 tons by the superalloy industry, and the remainder in other industries.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Sponge metal: Japan, 90%; Kazakhstan, 7%; Ukraine, 2%; and other, 1%. Titanium dioxide pigment: Canada, 38%; China, 22%; Germany, 9%; Belgium, 4%; and other, 27%.

Tariff:	Item	Number	Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20
	Titanium oxides (unfinished TiO <sub>2</sub> pigments)	2823.00.0000	5.5% ad val.
	TiO <sub>2</sub> pigments, 80% or more TiO <sub>2</sub>	3206.11.0000	6.0% ad val.
	TiO <sub>2</sub> pigments, other	3206.19.0000	6.0% ad val.
	Ferrotitanium and ferrosilicon titanium	7202.91.0000	3.7% ad val.
	Unwrought titanium metal	8108.20.0010	15.0% ad val.
	Titanium waste and scrap metal	8108.30.0000	Free.
	Other titanium metal articles	8108.90.3000	5.5% ad val.
	Wrought titanium metal	8108.90.6000	15.0% ad val.

## TITANIUM AND TITANIUM DIOXIDE

**Depletion Allowance:** Not applicable.

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Owing to airline travel concerns and restrictions implemented to limit the spread of the global COVID-19 pandemic, domestic consumption of titanium sponge in 2020 decreased significantly compared with that of 2019. U.S. producers of titanium ingot continued to rely heavily on imports of titanium sponge and scrap. Imports of titanium sponge decreased by about 20%, and exports increased moderately. Japan (88%) and Kazakhstan (10%) were the leading import sources for titanium sponge in 2020. U.S. imports of titanium waste and scrap were about 18,000 tons. Germany (19%), Japan (18%), the United Kingdom (14%), and France (11%) were the leading import sources for titanium waste and scrap in 2020. At yearend, plans to idle the 12,600-ton-per-year sponge plant in Henderson, NV, left the 500-ton-per-year Salt Lake City, UT, plant as the only active domestic producer of titanium sponge. The U.S. Department of Commerce led investigations under section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 that determined titanium sponge imports into the United States threatened to impair national security. In 2020, a working group was formed to explore measures to ensure access to titanium sponge in the United States for use for national defense and in critical industries during an emergency. In China, production of titanium sponge increased significantly in 2020, and projects to increase titanium sponge and titanium pigment capacity were expected in 2021.

Domestic production of TiO<sub>2</sub> pigment in 2020 was estimated to be about 1 million tons. Although heavily reliant on imports of titanium mineral concentrates, the United States was a net exporter of TiO<sub>2</sub> pigments. Following a record high of 743,000 tons in 2011, exports of titanium dioxide have followed a declining trend. Since 2011, an increasing percentage of domestic production has been used domestically in lieu of being exported.

### **World Sponge Metal Production and Sponge and Pigment Capacity:**

	Sponge production <sup>e</sup>		Capacity, 2020 <sup>5</sup>	
	2019	2020	Sponge	Pigment
United States	W	W	13,100	1,370,000
Australia	—	—	—	260,000
Canada	—	—	—	104,000
China	85,000	110,000	158,000	4,000,000
Germany	—	—	—	472,000
India	250	250	500	108,000
Japan	49,000	50,000	68,800	314,000
Kazakhstan	16,000	15,000	26,000	1,000
Mexico	—	—	—	300,000
Russia	44,000	33,000	46,500	55,000
Saudi Arabia	100	500	15,600	210,000
Ukraine	8,000	6,000	12,000	120,000
United Kingdom	—	—	—	315,000
Other countries	—	—	—	784,000
World total (rounded)	<sup>6</sup> 200,000	<sup>6</sup> 210,000	341,000	8,400,000

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Reserves and resources of titanium minerals are discussed in the Titanium Mineral Concentrates chapter.

**Substitutes:** Few materials possess titanium metal's strength-to-weight ratio and corrosion resistance. In high-strength applications, titanium competes with aluminum, composites, intermetallics, steel, and superalloys. Aluminum, nickel, specialty steels, and zirconium alloys may be substituted for titanium for applications that require corrosion resistance. Ground calcium carbonate, precipitated calcium carbonate, kaolin, and talc compete with titanium dioxide as a white pigment.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>See also Titanium Mineral Concentrates.

<sup>2</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>5</sup>Yearend operating capacity.

<sup>6</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## TITANIUM MINERAL CONCENTRATES<sup>1</sup>

(Data in thousand metric tons of TiO<sub>2</sub> content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, one company was recovering ilmenite and rutile concentrates from its surface-mining operations near Nahunta, GA, and Starke, FL. A second company processed existing mineral sands mine tailings in Florida and South Carolina. Based on reported data through October 2020, the estimated value of titanium mineral and synthetic concentrates imported into the United States was \$520 million. Abrasive sands, monazite, and zircon were coproducts of domestic mining operations. About 90% of titanium mineral concentrates were consumed by domestic titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) pigment producers. The remaining 10% was used in welding-rod coatings and for manufacturing carbides, chemicals, and titanium metal.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019<sup>e</sup></b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production <sup>2</sup>	100	100	100	100	100
Imports for consumption	1,020	1,170	1,100	1,160	780
Exports, all forms <sup>e</sup>	5	6	32	8	19
Consumption, apparent <sup>3</sup>	1,100	1,300	1,200	1,300	900
Price, dollars per metric ton:					
Rutile, bulk, minimum 95% TiO <sub>2</sub> , f.o.b. Australia <sup>4</sup>	740	740	1,025	1,125	1,200
Ilmenite, bulk, minimum 54% TiO <sub>2</sub> , f.o.b. Australia <sup>4</sup>	105	173	NA	NA	NA
Ilmenite, import	142	172	219	186	210
Slag, 80%–95% TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>5</sup>	612–682	621–700	699–738	742–897	640–1,020
Employment, mine and mill, number	155	286	299	310	260
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	91	92	91	92	88

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** South Africa, 39%; Australia, 20%; Madagascar, 10%; Mozambique, 9%; and other, 22%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Synthetic rutile	2614.00.3000	Free.
	Ilmenite and ilmenite sand	2614.00.6020	Free.
	Rutile concentrate	2614.00.6040	Free.
	Titanium slag	2620.99.5000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** Ilmenite and rutile, 22% (domestic) and 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Consumption of titanium mineral concentrates is tied to production of TiO<sub>2</sub> pigments that are primarily used in paint, paper, and plastics. Demand for these primary uses are tied to changes in the gross domestic product. Demand in the first half of 2020 decreased sharply owing to restrictions implemented to limit the spread of the COVID-19 virus. In the second half of 2020, titanium producers reported that demand was recovering, led by demand for TiO<sub>2</sub> in paints and coatings. Domestic apparent consumption of titanium mineral concentrates in 2020 was estimated to have decreased by about 30% from that of 2019; however, inventory changes were not included in this calculation. Although small compared with apparent consumption, exports of titanium mineral concentrates increased substantially from those in the previous year owing to increased exports to China, India, and the Netherlands.

Australia, China, and South Africa were the leading producers of titanium mineral concentrates. China continued to be both the leading producer and consumer of titanium mineral concentrates. In 2020, China's imports of titanium mineral concentrates were about 3 million tons in gross weight, an increase of 19% compared with those in 2019. In Guangdong Province, capacity to produce up to 200,000 tons per year of synthetic rutile was being commissioned. As of October, Mozambique (36%), Australia (14%), Vietnam (11%), and Kenya (11%) were the leading sources of titanium mineral concentrates to China. In Saudi Arabia, owing to technical problems and COVID-19 pandemic concerns, commissioning of a project to produce up to 500,000 tons per year of titanium slag was delayed until 2021. Other projects were being developed in Australia, China, Malawi, Mozambique, Norway, Senegal, and Tanzania.



## TITANIUM MINERAL CONCENTRATES

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Australia, Kenya, Madagascar, Mozambique, and South Africa were revised based on Government or industry reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>7</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
<b>Ilmenite:</b>			
United States <sup>2, 8</sup>	100	100	2,000
Australia	840	800	<sup>9</sup> 150,000
Brazil	25	25	43,000
Canada <sup>10</sup>	680	680	31,000
China	2,300	2,300	230,000
India	162	160	85,000
Kenya	210	190	440
Madagascar <sup>10</sup>	280	300	23,000
Mozambique	590	600	26,000
Norway	400	400	37,000
Senegal	310	310	NA
South Africa <sup>10</sup>	1,100	1,000	35,000
Ukraine	490	470	5,900
Vietnam	160	160	1,600
Other countries	74	70	26,000
World total (ilmenite, rounded) <sup>8</sup>	7,700	7,600	700,000
<b>Rutile:</b>			
United States	( <sup>8</sup> )	( <sup>8</sup> )	( <sup>8</sup> )
Australia	200	200	<sup>9</sup> 27,000
India	11	11	7,400
Kenya	74	74	170
Mozambique	6	6	890
Senegal	9	9	NA
Sierra Leone	129	120	490
South Africa	110	100	6,800
Ukraine	94	94	2,500
Other countries	21	20	400
World total (rutile, rounded) <sup>8</sup>	654	630	46,000
World total (ilmenite and rutile, rounded)	8,400	8,200	740,000

**World Resources:**<sup>7</sup> Ilmenite accounts for about 90% of the world's consumption of titanium minerals. World resources of anatase, ilmenite, and rutile total more than 2 billion tons.

**Substitutes:** Ilmenite, leucoxene, rutile, slag, and synthetic rutile compete as feedstock sources for producing TiO<sub>2</sub> pigment, titanium metal, and welding-rod coatings.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>See also Titanium and Titanium Dioxide.

<sup>2</sup>Rounded to the nearest 100,000 tons to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports. Rounded to the nearest 100,000 tons to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>4</sup>Source: Industrial Minerals; average of yearend price. Prices of ilmenite from Australia were discontinued at yearend 2017.

<sup>5</sup>Landed duty-paid value based on U.S. imports for consumption. Data series revised to reflect annual average unit value range of significant importing countries.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>8</sup>U.S. rutile production and reserves data are included with ilmenite.

<sup>9</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves for ilmenite and rutile were estimated to be 36 million and 8.2 million tons, respectively.

<sup>10</sup>Mine production is primarily used to produce titaniferous slag.

## TUNGSTEN

(Data in metric tons of tungsten content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** There has been no known domestic commercial production of tungsten concentrates since 2015. Approximately six companies in the United States used chemical processes to convert tungsten concentrates, ammonium paratungstate (APT), tungsten oxide, and (or) scrap to tungsten metal powder, tungsten carbide powder, and (or) tungsten chemicals. Nearly 60% of the tungsten used in the United States was used in cemented carbide parts for cutting and wear-resistant applications, primarily in the construction, metalworking, mining, and oil and gas drilling industries. The remaining tungsten was used to make various alloys and specialty steels; electrodes, filaments, wires, and other components for electrical, electronic, heating, lighting, and welding applications; and chemicals for various applications. The estimated value of apparent consumption in 2020 was approximately \$500 million.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Mine	—	—	—	—	—
Secondary	W	W	W	W	W
Imports for consumption:					
Concentrate	3,580	3,920	4,050	2,760	2,000
Other forms <sup>1</sup>	6,300	9,780	10,400	11,100	9,000
Exports:					
Concentrate	183	532	284	584	300
Other forms <sup>2</sup>	3,200	3,010	3,210	2,780	2,500
Shipments from Government stockpile:					
Concentrate	—	1,460	1,180	663	700
Other forms	—	—	—	—	20
Consumption:					
Reported, concentrate	W	W	W	W	W
Apparent, <sup>3</sup> all forms	W	W	W	W	W
Price, <sup>4</sup> concentrate, average U.S. spot market, dollars per metric ton unit of tungsten trioxide <sup>5</sup>	148	245	326	270	270
Stocks, industry, concentrate and other forms, yearend	W	W	W	W	W
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	>25	>50	>50	>50	>50

**Recycling:** The estimated quantity of secondary tungsten produced and the amount consumed from secondary sources by processors and end users in 2020 were withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Tungsten contained in ores and concentrates, intermediate and primary products, wrought and unwrought tungsten, and waste and scrap: China, 32%; Bolivia, 9%; Germany, 9%; Austria, 5%; and other, 45%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Ores	2611.00.3000	Free.
	Concentrates	2611.00.6000	37.5¢/kg tungsten content.
	Tungsten oxides	2825.90.3000	5.5% ad val.
	Ammonium tungstates	2841.80.0010	5.5% ad val.
	Tungsten carbides	2849.90.3000	5.5% ad val.
	Ferrotungsten	7202.80.0000	5.6% ad val.
	Tungsten powders	8101.10.0000	7.0% ad val.
	Tungsten waste and scrap	8101.97.0000	2.8% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:<sup>7</sup>**

		<b>FY 2020</b>		<b>FY 2021</b>	
<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Metal powder	107	—	125	—	125
Ores and concentrates	7,660	—	1,360	—	1,360
Tungsten alloys, gross weight <sup>8</sup>	6	5	—	5	—

Prepared by **Kim B. Shedd [(703) 648–4974, kshed@usgs.gov]**

## TUNGSTEN

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** World tungsten supply was dominated by production in China and exports from China. China's Government regulated its tungsten industry by limiting the number of mining and export licenses, imposing quotas on concentrate production, and placing constraints on mining and processing. In 2020, production of tungsten concentrate outside China was expected to remain at less than 20% of world production. Scrap continued to be an important source of raw material for the tungsten industry worldwide.

China was the world's leading tungsten consumer. Analysts forecast global tungsten consumption in 2020 will be less than that in 2019 as a result of the impacts of the global COVID-19 pandemic on the global economy and industrial production, particularly tungsten consuming end-use sectors such as the automotive, commercial aerospace, and oil and gas drilling industries. The decrease in tungsten consumption in 2020 is expected to result in a market surplus. During March and April 2020, most prices of tungsten concentrates and downstream tungsten materials decreased in response to reduced demand; prices then stabilized or gradually trended upward as the year progressed.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Mongolia and Russia were revised based on Government reports.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>9</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	—	—	NA
Austria	892	890	10,000
Bolivia	1,060	1,400	NA
China	69,000	69,000	1,900,000
Korea, North	1,130	500	29,000
Mongolia	1,900	1,900	4,300
Portugal	518	680	3,100
Russia	2,200	2,200	400,000
Rwanda	900	1,000	NA
Spain	603	800	54,000
Vietnam	4,500	4,300	95,000
Other countries	1,070	1,000	880,000
World total (rounded)	83,800	84,000	3,400,000

**World Resources:**<sup>9</sup> World tungsten resources are geographically widespread. China ranks first in the world in terms of tungsten resources and reserves and has some of the largest deposits. Canada, Kazakhstan, Russia, and the United States also have significant tungsten resources.

**Substitutes:** Potential substitutes for cemented tungsten carbides include cemented carbides based on molybdenum carbide, niobium carbide, or titanium carbide; ceramics; ceramic-metallic composites (cermets); and tool steels. Most of these options reduce, rather than replace, the amount of tungsten used. Potential substitutes for other applications are as follows: molybdenum for certain tungsten mill products; molybdenum steels for tungsten steels, although most molybdenum steels still contain tungsten; lighting based on carbon nanotube filaments, induction technology, and light-emitting diodes for lighting based on tungsten electrodes or filaments; depleted uranium or lead for tungsten or tungsten alloys in applications requiring high density or the ability to shield radiation; and depleted uranium alloys or hardened steel for cemented tungsten carbides or tungsten alloys in armor-piercing projectiles. In some applications, substitution would result in increased cost or a loss in product performance.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Includes ammonium and other tungstates; ferrotungsten; tungsten carbides; tungsten metal powders; tungsten oxides, chloride, and other tungsten compounds; unwrought tungsten; wrought tungsten forms; and tungsten waste and scrap.

<sup>2</sup>Includes ammonium and other tungstates, ferrotungsten, tungsten carbides, tungsten metal powders, unwrought tungsten, wrought tungsten forms, and tungsten waste and scrap.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as mine production + secondary production + imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Source: Platts Metals Week.

<sup>5</sup>A metric ton unit of tungsten trioxide contains 7.93 kilograms of tungsten.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government and industry stock changes.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>8</sup>Inventory includes tungsten alloys and tungsten-rhenium metal; potential acquisitions are tungsten-rhenium metal only.

<sup>9</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## VANADIUM

(Data in metric tons of vanadium content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Byproduct vanadium production in Utah from the mining of uraniferous sandstones on the Colorado Plateau ceased in the first quarter of 2020 owing to decreasing vanadium prices. An estimated 170 tons of contained vanadium with an estimated value of \$1.4 million was produced in 2020. Secondary vanadium production continued primarily in Arkansas, Delaware, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Texas, where processed waste materials (petroleum residues, spent catalysts, utility ash, and vanadium-bearing pig iron slag) were used to produce ferrovanadium, vanadium-bearing chemicals or specialty alloys, vanadium metal, and vanadium pentoxide. Metallurgical use, primarily as an alloying agent for iron and steel, accounted for about 94% of domestic reported vanadium consumption in 2020. Of the other uses for vanadium, the major nonmetallurgical use was in catalysts to produce maleic anhydride and sulfuric acid.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine, mill	—	—	—	460	170
Imports for consumption:					
Vanadium ores and concentrates	18	1	330	108	3
Ferrovanadium	1,590	2,810	2,970	2,280	1,600
Vanadium pentoxide, anhydride	2,460	3,400	4,600	3,660	2,800
Oxides and hydroxides, other	660	148	98	105	140
Aluminum-vanadium master alloys	157	288	281	222	180
Ash and residues	2,820	2,540	2,810	2,120	50
Vanadium chemicals <sup>1</sup>	555	607	515	201	230
Vanadium metal <sup>2</sup>	33	54	28	45	1
Exports:					
Vanadium ores and concentrates	260	37	29	57	30
Ferrovanadium	394	229	575	295	200
Vanadium pentoxide, anhydride	5	126	563	423	80
Oxides and hydroxides, other	81	148	53	750	80
Aluminum-vanadium master alloys	53	132	90	29	30
Ash and residues	123	322	287	256	60
Vanadium metal <sup>2</sup>	19	59	39	27	20
Consumption:					
Apparent <sup>3</sup>	7,360	8,780	9,980	7,350	4,800
Reported	4,610	4,670	5,640	4,840	4,400
Price, average, vanadium pentoxide, <sup>4</sup> dollars per pound	3.38	7.61	16.4	12.2	6.7
Stocks, yearend <sup>5</sup>	207	227	250	257	220
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	94	96

**Recycling:** The quantity of vanadium recycled from spent chemical process catalysts was significant and may compose as much as 40% of total vanadium catalysts.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Ferrovanadium: Austria, 47%; Canada, 25%; Russia, 14%; Japan, 5%; and other, 9%. Vanadium pentoxide: Brazil, 41%; South Africa, 37%; China, 11%; Taiwan, 5%; and other, 6%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Vanadium ores and concentrates	2615.90.6090	Free.
	Vanadium bearing ash and residues	2620.40.0030	Free.
	Vanadium bearing ash and residues, other	2620.99.1000	Free.
	Chemical compounds:		
	Vanadium pentoxide, anhydride	2825.30.0010	5.5% ad val.
	Vanadium oxides and hydroxides, other	2825.30.0050	5.5% ad val.
	Vanadium sulfates	2833.29.3000	5.5% ad val.
	Vanadates	2841.90.1000	5.5% ad val.
	Hydrides and nitrides of vanadium	2850.00.2000	5.5% ad val.
	Ferrovanadium	7202.92.0000	4.2% ad val.
	Vanadium metal	8112.92.7000	2.0% ad val.
	Vanadium and articles thereof <sup>7</sup>	8112.99.2000	2.0% ad val.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

Prepared by **Désirée E. Polyak** [(703) 648–4909, [dpolyak@usgs.gov](mailto:dpolyak@usgs.gov)]

## VANADIUM

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** U.S. apparent consumption of vanadium in 2020 decreased by 35% from that of 2019. Among the major uses for vanadium, production of carbon, full-alloy, and high-strength low-alloy steels accounted for 18%, 45%, and 33%, respectively, of domestic consumption. The estimated average Chinese vanadium pentoxide price in 2020 decreased by 45% compared with the 2019 price, and the estimated United States ferrovanadium price decreased by 53% to \$10.40 per pound in 2020 compared with that in 2019.

The implementation of the new high-strength rebar standards by the Standardization Administration of China continued to be enforced inconsistently. Larger mills in China began implementation in 2018; however, smaller mills have been slower to implement the new rebar standards. In addition, substitution of ferroniobium for ferrovanadium has caused lasting effects on mills, and mills were unlikely to switch back to using ferrovanadium owing to costly technical changes already incurred.

A vanadium processing facility in South Africa reopened in early 2020 under new management and began processing stockpiled materials. A producer in Brazil that started production in 2014 continued to be on track to reach vanadium production guidance for 2020 despite production delays caused by the global COVID-19 pandemic. However, some vanadium producers have reported that they were unlikely to reach their original anticipated production guidance and were unsure of the continuing effects of the pandemic.

### **World Mine Production and Reserves:**

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>8</sup> (thousand metric tons)
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	460	170	45
Australia	—	—	<sup>9</sup> 4,000
Brazil	5,940	6,600	120
China	54,000	53,000	9,500
Russia	18,400	18,000	5,000
South Africa	8,030	8,200	3,500
World total (rounded)	86,800	86,000	22,000

**World Resources:**<sup>8</sup> World resources of vanadium exceed 63 million tons. Vanadium occurs in deposits of phosphate rock, titaniferous magnetite, and uraniferous sandstone and siltstone, in which it constitutes less than 2% of the host rock. Significant quantities are also present in bauxite and carboniferous materials, such as coal, crude oil, oil shale, and tar sands. Because vanadium is typically recovered as a byproduct or coproduct, demonstrated world resources of the element are not fully indicative of available supplies. Although domestic resources and secondary recovery are adequate to supply a large portion of domestic needs, almost all of U.S. demand is currently met by foreign sources.

**Substitutes:** Steels containing various combinations of other alloying elements can be substituted for steels containing vanadium. Certain metals, such as manganese, molybdenum, niobium (columbium), titanium, and tungsten, are to some degree interchangeable with vanadium as alloying elements in steel. Platinum and nickel can replace vanadium compounds as catalysts in some chemical processes. Currently, no acceptable substitute for vanadium is available for use in aerospace titanium alloys.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Includes vanadium chlorides, hydrides, nitrides, and sulfates, as well as vanadates of vanadium.

<sup>2</sup>Includes waste and scrap.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Price for 2016 is the U.S. annual average vanadium pentoxide price. The 2017 annual average vanadium pentoxide price includes U.S. monthly averages for January to June 2017 and China monthly average prices for July to December 2017. The prices for 2018–2020 are the China annual average vanadium pentoxide prices.

<sup>5</sup>Includes chlorides, ferrovanadium, vanadates, vanadium-aluminum alloy, other vanadium alloys, vanadium metal, vanadium pentoxide, and other specialty chemicals.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for industry stock changes.

<sup>7</sup>Aluminum-vanadium master alloy consisting of 35% aluminum and 64.5% vanadium.

<sup>8</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>9</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 1.1 million tons.

## VERMICULITE

(Data in thousand metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Two companies with mining and processing facilities in South Carolina and Virginia produced approximately 100,000 tons of vermiculite concentrate; actual data have been rounded to one significant digit to avoid disclosing company proprietary data. Flakes of raw vermiculite concentrate are micaceous in appearance and contain interlayer water in their structure. When the flakes are heated rapidly to a temperature above 870 °C, the water flashes into steam, and the flakes expand into accordionlike particles. This process is called exfoliation or expansion, and the resulting ultralightweight material is chemically inert, fire resistant, and odorless. Most of the vermiculite concentrate produced in the United States was shipped to 17 exfoliating plants in 11 States. The end uses for exfoliated vermiculite were estimated to be agriculture and horticulture, 48%; lightweight concrete aggregates (including cement premixes, concrete, and plaster), 14%; insulation, 11%; and other, 27%.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b><u>2016</u></b>	<b><u>2017</u></b>	<b><u>2018</u></b>	<b><u>2019</u></b>	<b><u>2020<sup>e</sup></u></b>
Production <sup>e, 1, 2</sup>	100	100	100	100	100
Imports for consumption <sup>e</sup>	36	28	37	39	40
Exports <sup>e</sup>	21	16	14	9	10
Consumption:					
Apparent, concentrate <sup>3</sup>	120	110	120	130	130
Reported, exfoliated	68	72	76	74	70
Price, range of value, concentrate, ex-plant, dollars per ton	140–575	140–575	140–575	NA	NA
Employment, number <sup>e</sup>	62	63	66	73	73
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption <sup>e, 2</sup>	10	10	20	20	20

**Recycling:** Insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** South Africa, 71%; Brazil, 25%; Zimbabwe, 2%; Kenya, 1%; and other, 1%.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Vermiculite, perlite and chlorites, unexpanded	2530.10.0000	Free.
	Exfoliated vermiculite, expanded clays, foamed slag, and similar expanded materials	6806.20.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** U.S. exports and imports of vermiculite are not collected as a separate category by the U.S. Census Bureau. Despite the global COVID-19 pandemic, U.S. imports were estimated to be about 40,000 tons in 2020, essentially unchanged from those of 2019. Most imports came from South Africa and Brazil in 2020. One company announced price increases for vermiculite in 2020 but did not disclose specific price ranges.

A company in Brazil continued to expand production capacity at its vermiculite mine in central Brazil and continued with the development of another deposit near Brasilia to bring the company's total production capacity to 200,000 tons per year. Companies in China with significant vermiculite resources also were ramping up production, although processing operations continued to be somewhat constrained by increased enforcement of environmental regulations. Specific production data were not available for China.

Coarse-grade vermiculite remained in short supply. Sustained production at the 30,000-ton-per-year Namekara Mine in Uganda provides coarser grades to the market because it is considered to be one of the world's largest vermiculite deposits with significant portions of medium- and coarse-grade material. The Namekara deposit has enough resources for more than 50 years of production at previously announced rates. The company in Uganda pursued mine planning strategies after becoming the 100% owner of the mine.

## VERMICULITE

Exploration and development of vermiculite deposits containing medium, large, and premium (coarser) grades (mostly in China and South Africa) are likely to continue because of the higher demand for those grades. Finer grade production has exceeded consumption for several years. However, coarser grade (greater than 5-millimeter particle size) production has not been able to keep up with demand. Producers will continue to investigate ways to increase the use of the finer grades in existing products and in uses that require coarse material. Innovative applications continue to emerge, including the use of vermiculite to combat air pollution and absorb water in mines, replacing zeolites in ion-exchange columns, purifying wastewater, and containing or removing nuclear waste.

### World Mine Production and Reserves:

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	e, <sup>2</sup> 100	<sup>2</sup> 100	25,000
Brazil	50	50	6,600
Bulgaria	11	10	NA
China	NA	NA	NA
Egypt	8	8	NA
India	2	2	1,600
Russia	25	25	NA
South Africa	158	140	14,000
Uganda	7	7	NA
Zimbabwe	30	30	NA
Other countries	3	3	NA
World total (rounded) <sup>6</sup>	390	380	NA

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> In addition to the producing mines in South Carolina and Virginia, there are vermiculite occurrences in Colorado, Nevada, North Carolina, Texas, and Wyoming which contain estimated resources of 2 million tons to 3 million tons. Significant deposits have been reported in Australia, China, Russia, Uganda, and some other countries, but reserves and resource information comes from many sources and, in most cases, it is not clear whether the numbers refer to vermiculite alone or vermiculite plus other minerals and host rock and overburden.

**Substitutes:** Expanded perlite is a substitute for exfoliated vermiculite in lightweight concrete and plaster. Other denser but less costly alternatives in these applications include expanded clay, shale, slag, and slate. Alternate materials for loose-fill fireproofing insulation include fiberglass, perlite, and slag wool. In agriculture, substitutes include bark and other plant materials, peat, perlite, sawdust, and synthetic soil conditioners.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Concentrate sold or used by producers.

<sup>2</sup>Data are rounded to one significant digit to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as concentrate sold or used by producers + imports – exports.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>Excludes China production.

## WOLLASTONITE

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** Wollastonite was mined by two companies in New York during 2020. U.S. production of wollastonite (sold or used by producers) was withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data but was estimated to have remained essentially unchanged from that of 2019. Economic resources of wollastonite typically form as a result of thermal metamorphism of siliceous limestone during regional deformation or chemical alteration of limestone by siliceous hydrothermal fluids along faults or contacts with magmatic intrusions. Deposits of wollastonite have been identified in Arizona, California, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, and Utah; however, New York is the only State where long-term continuous mining has taken place.

The U.S. Geological Survey does not collect consumption statistics for wollastonite, but consumption was estimated to have decreased in 2020, compared with that of 2019. Ceramics (frits, sanitaryware, and tile), friction products (primarily brake linings), metallurgical applications (flux and conditioner), paint (architectural and industrial paints), plastics and rubber markets (thermoplastic and thermoset resins and elastomer compounds), and miscellaneous uses (including adhesives, concrete, glass, and sealants) accounted for wollastonite sales in the United States.

In ceramics, wollastonite decreases shrinkage and gas evolution during firing; increases green and fired strength; maintains brightness during firing; permits fast firing; and reduces crazing, cracking, and glaze defects. In metallurgical applications, wollastonite serves as a flux for welding, a source for calcium oxide, a slag conditioner, and protects the surface of molten metal during the continuous casting of steel. As an additive in paint, it improves the durability of the paint film, acts as a pH buffer, improves resistance to weathering, reduces gloss and pigment consumption, and acts as a flattening and suspending agent. In plastics, wollastonite improves tensile and flexural strength, reduces resin consumption, and improves thermal and dimensional stability at elevated temperatures. Surface treatments are used to improve the adhesion between wollastonite and the polymers to which it is added. As a substitute for asbestos in floor tiles, friction products, insulating board and panels, paint, plastics, and roofing products, wollastonite is resistant to chemical attack, stable at high temperatures, and improves flexural and tensile strength.

**Salient Statistics—United States:** The United States was thought to be a net exporter of wollastonite in 2020. Comprehensive trade data were not available for wollastonite because it is imported and exported under generic Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States and Schedule B codes, respectively, that include multiple mineral commodities. Prices for domestically produced wollastonite were estimated to be between \$360 to \$390 per metric ton. Price data for globally produced wollastonite were unavailable. Products with finer grain sizes and acicular (highly elongated) particles sold for higher prices. Surface treatment, when necessary, also increased the selling price. Approximately 60 people were employed at wollastonite mines and mills in 2019 (excluding office workers).

**Recycling:** None.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Comprehensive trade data were not available, but wollastonite was primarily imported from Canada, China, India, and Mexico.

<b><u>Tariff:</u></b>	<b><u>Item</u></b>	<b><u>Number</u></b>	<b><u>Normal Trade Relations</u></b> <b><u>12–31–20</u></b>
	Mineral substances not elsewhere specified or included	2530.90.8050	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 10% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.



## WOLLASTONITE

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Construction starts of new housing units through July 2020, increased by 4.7% compared with those during the same period in 2019, suggesting that sales of wollastonite to domestic construction-related markets, such as adhesives, caulks, cement board, ceramic tile, paints, stucco, and wallboard, might have increased. However, sales of wollastonite to most other major markets in which wollastonite is used were estimated to have decreased. Owing to disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, production of motor vehicles and parts, which contain wollastonite in friction products and plastic and rubber components, decreased in the first 7 months of 2020; plastics and rubber production decreased by 33% each; and primary iron and steel production decreased by 19% compared with those in the same period of 2019.

Globally, ceramics, polymers (such as plastics and rubber), and paint accounted for most wollastonite sales. Lesser global uses for wollastonite included miscellaneous construction products, friction materials, metallurgical applications, and paper. Global sales of wollastonite were estimated to be in the range of 830,000 to 880,000 tons, slightly lower than those in 2019.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** More countries than those listed may produce wollastonite; however, many countries do not publish wollastonite production data.

	Mine production		Reserves <sup>1</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	W	W	World reserves of wollastonite exceed 100 million tons. Many deposits, however, have not been surveyed, precluding accurate estimates of reserves.
Canada	20,000	20,000	
China	890,000	890,000	
India	170,000	120,000	
Mexico	101,000	100,000	
Other countries	17,000	17,000	
World total (rounded) <sup>2</sup>	1,200,000	1,100,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>1</sup> Reliable estimates of wollastonite resources do not exist for most countries. Large deposits of wollastonite have been identified in China, Finland, India, Mexico, and the United States. Smaller, but significant, deposits have been identified in Canada, Chile, Kenya, Namibia, South Africa, Spain, Sudan, Tajikistan, Turkey, and Uzbekistan.

**Substitutes:** The acicular nature of many wollastonite products allows it to compete with other acicular materials, such as ceramic fiber, glass fiber, steel fiber, and several organic fibers, such as aramid, polyethylene, polypropylene, and polytetrafluoroethylene, in products where improvements in dimensional stability, flexural modulus, and heat deflection are sought. Wollastonite also competes with several nonfibrous minerals or rocks, such as kaolin, mica, and talc, which are added to plastics to increase flexural strength, and such minerals as barite, calcium carbonate, gypsum, and talc, which impart dimensional stability to plastics. In ceramics, wollastonite competes with carbonates, feldspar, lime, and silica as a source of calcium and silica. Its use in ceramics depends on the formulation of the ceramic body and the firing method.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes U.S. production.

## YTTRIUM<sup>1</sup>

[Data in metric tons of yttrium-oxide (Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) equivalent content unless otherwise noted]

**Domestic Production and Use:** Yttrium is one of the rare-earth elements. Bastnaesite (or bastnäsite), a rare-earth fluorocarbonate mineral, was mined in 2020 as a primary product at the Mountain Pass Mine in California, which was restarted in the first quarter of 2018 after being put on care-and-maintenance status in the fourth quarter of 2015. Monazite, a rare-earth phosphate mineral, was produced as a separated concentrate or included as an accessory mineral in heavy-mineral concentrates. Yttrium was estimated to represent about 0.12% of the rare-earth elements in the Mountain Pass bastnaesite ore. Insufficient information was available to determine the yttrium content of mine production.

The leading end uses of yttrium were in catalysts, ceramics, lasers, metallurgy, and phosphors. In ceramic applications, yttrium compounds were used in abrasives, bearings and seals, high-temperature refractories for continuous-casting nozzles, jet-engine coatings, oxygen sensors in automobile engines, and wear-resistant and corrosion-resistant cutting tools. In metallurgical applications, yttrium was used as a grain-refining additive and as a deoxidizer. Yttrium was used in heating-element alloys, high-temperature superconductors, and superalloys. In electronics, yttrium-iron garnets were components in microwave radar to control high-frequency signals. Yttrium was an important component in yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser crystals used in dental and medical surgical procedures, digital communications, distance and temperature sensing, industrial cutting and welding, nonlinear optics, photochemistry, and photoluminescence. Yttrium was used in phosphor compounds for flat-panel displays and various lighting applications.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, mine	—	—	NA	NA	NA
Imports for consumption, yttrium, alloys, compounds, and metal <sup>e, 2</sup>	340	380	450	360	600
Exports, compounds <sup>e, 3</sup>	2	2	14	6	1
Consumption, apparent <sup>e, 4</sup>	300	400	500	400	600
Price, average, dollars per kilogram: <sup>5</sup>					
Yttrium oxide, minimum 99.999% purity	4	3	3	3	3
Yttrium metal, minimum 99.9% purity	35	35	36	34	34
Net import reliance <sup>6, 7</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	100	100	100	100	100

**Recycling:** Insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):**<sup>8</sup> Yttrium compounds: China, 94%; the Republic of Korea, 2%; Japan, 1%; and other, 3%. Nearly all imports of yttrium metal and compounds are derived from mineral concentrates processed in China. Import sources do not include yttrium contained in value-added intermediates and finished products.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Rare-earth metals, unspecified, whether or not intermixed or interalloyed	2805.30.0090	5.0% ad val.
	Mixtures of rare-earth oxides containing yttrium or scandium as the predominant metal	2846.90.2015	Free.
	Mixtures of rare-earth chlorides containing yttrium or scandium as the predominant metal	2846.90.2082	Free.
	Yttrium-bearing materials and compounds containing by weight >19% to <85% Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2846.90.4000	Free.
	Other rare-earth compounds, including yttrium and other compounds	2846.90.8000	3.7% ad val.

## YTTRIUM

**Depletion Allowance:** Monazite, thorium content, 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign); yttrium, rare-earth content, 14% (domestic and foreign); and xenotime, 14% (domestic and foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:**<sup>9</sup>

Material	Inventory as of 9–30–20	FY 2020		FY 2021	
		Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals	Potential acquisitions	Potential disposals
Yttrium	25	—	—	600	—

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** China produced most of the world's supply of yttrium from its weathered clay ion-adsorption ore deposits in the southern Provinces—primarily Fujian, Guangdong, and Jiangxi—and from a lesser number of deposits in Guangxi and Hunan Provinces. Yttrium was also produced from similar clay deposits in Burma.

Globally, yttrium was mainly consumed in the form of oxide compounds for ceramics and phosphors. Lesser amounts were consumed in electronic devices, lasers, optical glass, and metallurgical applications. The average prices for yttrium metal and yttrium oxide price were nearly unchanged compared with that of 2019. China's Ministry of Industry and Information Technology raised the rare-earth mining and separation quotas to record highs of 140,000 tons and 135,000 tons of rare-earth-oxide equivalent, respectively. The yttrium content of the production quota was not specified. In 2020, China's exports of yttrium compounds and metal were estimated to be 2,300 tons of yttrium-oxide equivalent, and the leading export destinations were, in descending order, Japan, the United States, Italy, and the Republic of Korea.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:**<sup>10</sup> World mine production of yttrium contained in rare-earth mineral concentrates was estimated to be 8,000 to 12,000 tons. Most of this production took place in China and Burma. Global reserves of yttrium oxide were estimated to be more than 500,000 tons. The leading countries for these reserves included Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, and India. Although mine production in Burma was significant, information on reserves in Burma was not available. Global reserves may be adequate to satisfy near-term demand at current rates of production; however, changes in economic conditions, environmental issues, or permitting and trade restrictions could affect the availability of many of the rare-earth elements, including yttrium.

**World Resources:**<sup>10</sup> Large resources of yttrium in monazite and xenotime are available worldwide in placer deposits, carbonatites, uranium ores, and weathered clay deposits (ion-adsorption ore). Additional resources of yttrium occur in apatite-magnetite-bearing rocks, deposits of niobium-tantalum minerals, nonplacer monazite-bearing deposits, sedimentary phosphate deposits, and uranium ores.

**Substitutes:** Substitutes for yttrium are available for some applications but generally are much less effective. In most uses, especially in electronics, lasers, and phosphors, yttrium is generally not subject to direct substitution by other elements. As a stabilizer in zirconia ceramics, yttrium oxide may be substituted with calcium oxide or magnesium oxide, but the substitutes generally impart lower toughness.

<sup>9</sup>Estimated. NA Not available. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>See also Rare Earths; trade data for yttrium are included in the data shown for rare earths.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated from Trade Mining LLC and IHS Markit Ltd. shipping records.

<sup>3</sup>Includes data for the following Schedule B code: 2846.90.2015.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports. Rounded to one significant digit. Yttrium consumed domestically was imported or refined from imported materials.

<sup>5</sup>Free on board China. Source: Argus Media group—Argus Metals International, London, United Kingdom.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>7</sup>In 2018, 2019, and 2020, domestic production of mineral concentrates was stockpiled or exported. Consumers of compounds and metals were reliant on imports and stockpiled inventory of compounds and metals.

<sup>8</sup>Includes estimated yttrium-oxide equivalent content from the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States codes: 2846.90.2015, 2846.90.2082, 2846.90.4000, 2846.90.8050, and 2846.90.8060.

<sup>9</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>10</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

## ZEOLITES (NATURAL)

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, six companies in the United States operated nine zeolite mines and produced an estimated 88,000 tons of natural zeolites, essentially unchanged from that of 2019. Chabazite was mined in Arizona, and clinoptilolite was mined in California, Idaho, New Mexico, Oregon, and Texas. Minor quantities of erionite, ferrierite, mordenite, and (or) phillipsite also likely were produced. New Mexico was estimated to be the leading natural zeolite-producing State in 2020. The top three companies accounted for approximately 75% of total domestic production.

An estimated 77,000 tons of natural zeolites were sold in the United States during 2020, essentially unchanged compared with sales in 2019. Domestic uses were, in decreasing order by estimated quantity, animal feed, odor control, unclassified end uses (such as ice melt, soil amendment, and synthetic turf), water purification, pet litter, wastewater treatment, fungicide or pesticide carrier, oil and grease absorbent, air filtration and gas absorbent, fertilizer carrier, desiccant, and aquaculture. Animal feed, odor control, and water purification applications likely accounted for about 60% of the domestic sales tonnage.

**Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020<sup>e</sup></u>
Production, mine	75,200	82,400	86,100	87,800	88,000
Sales, mill	71,300	81,300	80,500	77,100	77,000
Imports for consumption <sup>e</sup>	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000
Exports <sup>e</sup>	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000	<1,000
Consumption, apparent <sup>1</sup>	71,300	81,300	80,500	77,100	77,000
Price, range of value, dollars per ton <sup>2</sup>	100–400	100–300	<sup>e</sup> 50–300	<sup>e</sup> 50–300	50–300
Employment, mine and mill, number <sup>e, 3</sup>	115	110	110	120	120
Net import reliance <sup>4</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption	E	E	E	E	E

**Recycling:** Zeolites used for desiccation, gas absorbance, wastewater cleanup, and water purification may be reused after reprocessing of the spent zeolites. Information about the quantity of recycled natural zeolites was unavailable.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Comprehensive trade data were not available for natural zeolite minerals because they were imported and exported under generic Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States and Schedule B codes, respectively, that include multiple mineral commodities or under codes for finished products. Nearly all imports and exports were thought to be synthetic zeolites.

<u>Tariff:</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Normal Trade Relations</u> <u>12–30–20</u>
	Mineral substances not elsewhere specified or included	2530.90.8050	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 14% (domestic and foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.

## ZEOLITES (NATURAL)

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Prior to the 1990s, annual output of natural zeolites in the United States was less than 15,000 tons. Production rose more than sixfold from 1990 through 2020 owing predominantly to increases in sales for animal feed applications, although sales for odor control and water purification also increased significantly. In contrast, sales for pet litter declined substantially during this period as a result of competition from other products. Owing to disruptions likely caused by the global COVID-19 pandemic, mine production during the first 6 months of 2020 was mixed compared with the same period of 2019. Some mines appeared to have cut production and others may have increased production.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Many countries either do not report production of natural zeolites or production is reported with a 2- to 3-year lag time. End uses for natural zeolites in countries that mine large tonnages of zeolite minerals typically include low-value, high-volume construction applications, such as dimension stone, lightweight aggregate, and pozzolanic cement. As a result, production data for some countries may not accurately indicate the quantities of natural zeolites used in the high-value applications that are reflected in the domestic data.

World reserves of natural zeolites have not been estimated. Deposits occur in many countries, but companies rarely publish reserves data. Further complicating estimates of reserves is the fact that much of the reported world production includes altered volcanic tuffs with low to moderate concentrations of zeolites that are typically used in high-volume construction applications. Some deposits should, therefore, be excluded from reserves estimates because it is the rock itself and not its zeolite content that makes the deposit valuable.

	Mine production <sup>e</sup>		Reserves <sup>5</sup>
	2019	2020	
United States	<sup>6</sup> 87,800	88,000	Two of the leading companies in the United States reported combined reserves of 80 million tons in 2020; total U.S. reserves likely are substantially larger. World data are unavailable, but reserves are estimated to be large.
China	320,000	320,000	
Cuba	<sup>6</sup> 53,000	53,000	
Hungary	29,000	29,000	
Indonesia	130,000	130,000	
Jordan	10,000	10,000	
Korea, Republic of	<sup>6</sup> 144,000	140,000	
New Zealand	100,000	100,000	
Russia	35,000	35,000	
Slovakia	117,000	120,000	
Turkey	60,000	60,000	
Other countries	4,120	4,000	
World total (rounded)	1,090,000	1,100,000	

**World Resources:**<sup>5</sup> Recent estimates for domestic and global resources of natural zeolites are not available. Resources of chabazite and clinoptilolite in the United States are sufficient to satisfy foreseeable domestic demand.

**Substitutes:** For pet litter, zeolites compete with other mineral-based litters, such as those manufactured using bentonite, diatomite, fuller's earth, and sepiolite; organic litters made from shredded corn stalks and paper, straw, and wood shavings; and litters made using silica gel. Diatomite, perlite, pumice, vermiculite, and volcanic tuff compete with natural zeolite as lightweight aggregate. Zeolite desiccants compete against such products as magnesium perchlorate and silica gel. Zeolites compete with bentonite, gypsum, montmorillonite, peat, perlite, silica sand, and vermiculite in various soil amendment applications. Activated carbon, diatomite, or silica sand may substitute for zeolites in water-purification applications. As an oil absorbent, zeolites compete mainly with bentonite, diatomite, fuller's earth, sepiolite, and a variety of polymer and natural organic products. In animal feed, zeolites compete with bentonite, diatomite, fuller's earth, kaolin, silica, and talc as anticaking and flow-control agents.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter.

<sup>1</sup>Defined as mill sales + imports – exports. Information about industry stocks was unavailable.

<sup>2</sup>Range of ex-works mine and mill unit values for individual natural zeolite operations, based on data reported by U.S. producers and U.S. Geological Survey estimates. Average unit values per ton for the past 5 years were \$140 in 2016 and 2017, and an estimated \$125 in 2018, 2019, and 2020. Prices vary with the percentage of zeolite present in the product, the chemical and physical properties of the zeolite mineral(s), particle size, surface modification and (or) activation, and end use.

<sup>3</sup>Excludes administration and office staff. Estimates based on data from the Mine Safety and Health Administration.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>6</sup>Reported figure.

## ZINC

(Data in thousand metric tons of zinc content unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** The value of zinc mined in 2020, based on zinc contained in concentrate, was about \$1.6 billion. Zinc was mined in five States at 14 mines operated by five companies. Three smelter facilities, one primary and two secondary, operated by three companies, produced commercial-grade zinc metal. Of the total reported zinc consumed, most was used in galvanizing, followed by brass and bronze, zinc-based alloys, and other uses.

<b>Salient Statistics—United States:</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production:					
Zinc in ores and concentrates	805	774	824	753	670
Refined zinc <sup>1</sup>	126	132	116	115	150
Imports for consumption:					
Zinc in ores and concentrates	( <sup>2</sup> )	7	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	4
Refined zinc	713	729	775	830	710
Exports:					
Zinc in ores and concentrates	597	682	806	796	560
Refined zinc	47	33	23	5	2
Shipments from Government stockpile	—	—	—	—	—
Consumption, apparent, refined zinc <sup>3</sup>	792	829	868	939	860
Price, average, cents per pound:					
North American <sup>4</sup>	101.4	139.3	141.0	124.1	109.0
London Metal Exchange (LME), cash	94.8	131.2	132.7	115.6	101.0
Stocks, reported producer and consumer, refined zinc, yearend	79	114	119	116	130
Employment, number:					
Mine and mill <sup>5</sup>	2,350	2,420	2,630	2,490	2,400
Smelter, primary	246	240	250	250	250
Net import reliance <sup>6</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption:					
Ores and concentrates	E	E	E	E	E
Refined zinc	84	84	87	88	83

**Recycling:** In 2020, an estimated one-third of the refined zinc produced in the United States was recovered from secondary materials at both primary and secondary smelters. Secondary materials included galvanizing residues and crude zinc oxide recovered from electric arc furnace dust.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Ores and concentrates: Peru, 98%; the Republic of Korea, 1%; other, 1%. Refined metal: Canada, 64%; Mexico, 14%; Peru, 7%; Spain, 7%; and other, 8%. Waste and scrap (gross weight): Canada, 65%; Mexico, 33%; and other, 2%. Combined total (includes gross weight of waste and scrap): Canada, 64%; Mexico, 14%; Peru, 8%; Spain, 7%; and other, 7%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations 12–31–20</b>
	Zinc ores and concentrates, Zn content	2608.00.0030	Free.
	Zinc oxide; zinc peroxide	2817.00.0000	Free.
	Unwrought zinc, not alloyed:		
	Containing 99.99% or more zinc	7901.11.0000	1.5% ad val.
	Containing less than 99.99% zinc:		
	Casting-grade	7901.12.1000	3% ad val.
	Other	7901.12.5000	1.5% ad val.
	Zinc alloys	7901.20.0000	3% ad val.
	Zinc waste and scrap	7902.00.0000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

### **Government Stockpile:<sup>7</sup>**

		<b>FY 2020</b>		<b>FY 2021</b>	
<b>Material</b>	<b>Inventory as of 9–30–20</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>	<b>Potential acquisitions</b>	<b>Potential disposals</b>
Zinc	7.25	—	7.25	—	7.25

## ZINC

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** Global zinc mine production in 2020 was estimated to be 12 million tons, a 6% decrease from that of 2019. Government-mandated lockdowns and a decrease in zinc prices following the onset of the global COVID-19 pandemic resulted in a decrease in zinc mine production in many countries, particularly in South America.

According to the International Lead and Zinc Study Group,<sup>8</sup> global refined zinc production in 2020 was estimated to increase slightly to 13.60 million tons, and metal consumption was estimated decrease by 5% to 12.98 million tons, resulting in a production-to-consumption surplus of about 620,000 tons of refined zinc.

Domestic zinc mine production decreased in 2020, owing partially to the closure of the Pend Oreille Mine in Washington State in 2019 after current reserves were exhausted and a decrease in production at the Red Dog Mine in Alaska related to the mining of lower grade ores after a change in the mine plan to manage water levels at the site. Refined zinc production increased after the reopening of an idled secondary zinc refinery in North Carolina in March. Apparent consumption of refined zinc decreased to an estimated 860,000 tons in 2020, consistent with a contraction in the domestic steel industry during the year as a result of the pandemic. The estimated annual average North American Special High Grade (SHG) zinc price decreased by 12% in 2020 from that in 2019 to \$1.09 per pound.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** Reserves for Canada, India, and Peru were revised based on Government or industry reports.

	Mine production <sup>9</sup>		Reserves <sup>10</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>	
United States	753	670	11,000
Australia	1,330	1,400	<sup>11</sup> 68,000
Bolivia	520	330	4,800
Canada	336	280	2,300
China	4,210	4,200	44,000
India	720	720	10,000
Kazakhstan	304	300	12,000
Mexico	677	600	22,000
Peru	1,400	1,200	20,000
Russia	260	260	22,000
Sweden	245	220	3,600
Other countries	1,950	2,000	34,000
World total (rounded)	12,700	12,000	250,000

**World Resources:**<sup>10</sup> Identified zinc resources of the world are about 1.9 billion tons.

**Substitutes:** Aluminum and plastics substitute for galvanized sheet in automobiles; aluminum alloys, cadmium, paint, and plastic coatings replace zinc coatings in other applications. Aluminum- and magnesium-base alloys are major competitors for zinc-base diecasting alloys. Many elements are substitutes for zinc in chemical, electronic, and pigment uses.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net exporter. — Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Includes primary and secondary refined production.

<sup>2</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>3</sup>Defined as refined production + refined imports – refined exports + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>4</sup>Source: Platts Metals Week, North American Special High Grade (SHG) zinc; based on the LME cash price plus premium.

<sup>5</sup>Includes mine and mill employment at all zinc-producing mines. Source: Mine Safety and Health Administration.

<sup>6</sup>Defined as imports – exports + adjustments for Government stock changes.

<sup>7</sup>See Appendix B for definitions.

<sup>8</sup>International Lead and Zinc Study Group, 2020, ILZSG session/forecasts: Lisbon, Portugal, International Lead and Zinc Study Group press release, October 21, 5 p.

<sup>9</sup>Zinc content of concentrates and direct shipping ores.

<sup>10</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>11</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 24 million tons.

## ZIRCONIUM AND HAFNIUM

(Data in metric tons unless otherwise noted)

**Domestic Production and Use:** In 2020, one firm recovered zircon (zirconium silicate) from surface-mining operations in Florida and Georgia as a coproduct from the mining of heavy-mineral sands and the processing of titanium and zirconium mineral concentrates, and a second company processed existing mineral sands tailings in Florida. Zirconium metal and hafnium metal were produced from zirconium chemical intermediates by one producer in Oregon and one in Utah. Zirconium and hafnium are typically contained in zircon at a ratio of about 36 to 1. Zirconium chemicals were produced by the metal producer in Oregon and by at least 10 other companies. Ceramics, foundry sand, opacifiers, and refractories are the leading end uses for zircon. Other end uses of zircon include abrasives, chemicals (predominantly, zirconium basic sulfate and zirconium oxychloride octohydrate as intermediate chemicals), metal alloys, and welding rod coatings. The leading consumers of zirconium metal are the chemical process and nuclear energy industries. The leading use of hafnium metal is in superalloys.

### **Salient Statistics—United States:**

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020<sup>e</sup></b>
Production, zirconium ores and concentrates (ZrO <sub>2</sub> content) <sup>1</sup>	W	<sup>2</sup> 50,000	<sup>3</sup> 100,000	<sup>3</sup> 100,000	<sup>3</sup> <100,000
Imports:					
Zirconium ores and concentrates (ZrO <sub>2</sub> content) <sup>1</sup>	24,900	24,300	26,400	22,600	20,000
Zirconium, unwrought, powder, and waste and scrap	1,040	899	1,880	1,820	3,000
Zirconium, wrought	195	282	284	289	300
Hafnium, unwrought, powder, and waste and scrap	180	113	41	32	20
Exports:					
Zirconium ores and concentrates (ZrO <sub>2</sub> content) <sup>1</sup>	3,280	31,500	77,500	40,500	14,000
Zirconium, unwrought, powder, and waste and scrap	363	627	556	897	780
Zirconium, wrought	788	972	1,150	867	850
Consumption, apparent, <sup>4</sup> zirconium ores and concentrates (ZrO <sub>2</sub> content) <sup>1</sup>	W	<sup>2</sup> 50,000	<sup>3</sup> 100,000	<sup>3</sup> 100,000	<sup>3</sup> <100,000
Price:					
Zircon, dollars per metric ton (gross weight):					
Australia, free on board <sup>5</sup>	975	975	NA	NA	NA
China, cost insurance and freight <sup>6</sup>	NA	1,295	1,625	1,585	1,500
Imported <sup>7</sup>	877	916	1,290	1,490	1,400
Zirconium, unwrought, import, China, <sup>8</sup> dollars per kilogram	33	12	13	14	6
Hafnium, unwrought, <sup>6</sup> dollars per kilogram	930	900	840	780	750
Net import reliance <sup>9</sup> as a percentage of apparent consumption:					
Zirconium ores and concentrates	<50	E	E	E	<25
Hafnium	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Recycling:** Companies in Oregon and Utah recycled zirconium from new scrap generated during metal production and fabrication and (or) from post-commercial old scrap. Zircon foundry mold cores and spent or rejected zirconia refractories are often recycled. Hafnium metal recycling was insignificant.

**Import Sources (2016–19):** Zirconium ores and concentrates: South Africa, 55%; Senegal, 26%; Australia, 15%; Russia, 1%; and other, 3%. Zirconium, unwrought, including powder: China, 81%; Germany, 12%; Japan, 3%; France, 2%; and other, 2%. Zirconium, wrought: France, 63%; Germany, 18%; Belgium, 5%; Canada, 4%; and other, 10%. Hafnium, unwrought: Germany, 45%; France, 31%; China, 17%; the United Kingdom, 5%; and other, 2%.

<b>Tariff:</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Normal Trade Relations</b>
			<b>12–31–20</b>
	Zirconium ores and concentrates	2615.10.0000	Free.
	Ferrozirconium	7202.99.1000	4.2% ad val.
	Zirconium, unwrought and powder	8109.20.0000	4.2% ad val.
	Zirconium waste and scrap	8109.30.0000	Free.
	Other zirconium articles	8109.90.0000	3.7% ad val.
	Hafnium, unwrought, powder, and waste and scrap	8112.92.2000	Free.

**Depletion Allowance:** 22% (domestic), 14% (foreign).

**Government Stockpile:** None.



## ZIRCONIUM AND HAFNIUM

**Events, Trends, and Issues:** The average unit value for imports of zircon concentrates decreased in 2020 after increasing for the past 3 years. Exports of zirconium ores and zircon concentrates decreased by an estimated 65% in 2020 from those in 2019. In August, new mining and heavy-mineral-processing operations were being commissioned near Jessup, GA.

Global zircon production was estimated to have decreased slightly in 2020 owing to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, reduced consumption, and power and labor issues.

During 2020, several large mining projects containing zirconium were in development but construction had not begun on any of them. In Western Australia, two companies announced a potential joint venture that would provide the funding needed for the first stage of construction at the Thunderbird mineral sands project if final agreements and approvals were reached. Regional or national government agencies in Australia indicated that they would provide financial support, such as loans, for the Coburn mineral sands project in Western Australia and the Dubbo polymetallic project in New South Wales.

**World Mine Production and Reserves:** World primary hafnium production data are not available and quantitative estimates of hafnium reserves are not available. Zirconium reserves for Australia were revised on the basis of Government reports. Zirconium reserves for Kenya and South Africa were revised on the basis of company reports.

	Zirconium ores and zircon concentrates, mine production (thousand metric tons, gross weight)	Zirconium reserves <sup>10</sup> (thousand metric tons, ZrO <sub>2</sub> content) <sup>1</sup>
	2019	2020 <sup>e</sup>
United States	<sup>3</sup> 100	<sup>3</sup> <100
Australia	470	480
China	140	140
Indonesia	34	60
Kenya	29	25
Mozambique	100	125
Senegal	65	65
South Africa	370	320
Other countries	112	110
World total (rounded)	1,420	1,400
		500
		<sup>11</sup> 43,000
		500
		NA
		55
		1,800
		NA
		6,700
		11,000
		64,000

**World Resources:**<sup>10</sup> Resources of zircon in the United States included about 14 million tons associated with titanium resources in heavy-mineral-sand deposits. Phosphate rock and sand and gravel deposits could potentially yield substantial amounts of zircon as a byproduct. World resources of hafnium are associated with those of zircon and baddeleyite. Quantitative estimates of hafnium resources are not available.

**Substitutes:** Chromite and olivine can be used instead of zircon for some foundry applications. Dolomite and spinel refractories can also substitute for zircon in certain high-temperature applications. Niobium (columbium), stainless steel, and tantalum provide limited substitution in nuclear applications, and titanium and synthetic materials may substitute in some chemical processing plant applications. Silver-cadmium-indium control rods are used in lieu of hafnium at numerous nuclear powerplants. Zirconium can be used interchangeably with hafnium in certain superalloys.

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. E Net Exporter. NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>1</sup>Calculated ZrO<sub>2</sub> content as 65% of gross production.

<sup>2</sup>Rounded to one significant digit to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>3</sup>Rounded to nearest 100,000 to avoid disclosing company proprietary data.

<sup>4</sup>Defined as production + imports – exports.

<sup>5</sup>Source: Industrial Minerals, average of yearend price. Prices of zircon from Australia were discontinued at yearend 2017.

<sup>6</sup>Source: Argus Media group—Argus Metals International, average of yearend price.

<sup>7</sup>Unit value based on annual United States imports for consumption from Australia, Senegal, and South Africa.

<sup>8</sup>Unit value based on annual United States imports for consumption from China.

<sup>9</sup>Defined as imports – exports.

<sup>10</sup>See Appendix C for resource and reserve definitions and information concerning data sources.

<sup>11</sup>For Australia, Joint Ore Reserves Committee-compliant reserves were 22.1 million tons gross weight.

## **APPENDIX A**

### **Abbreviations and Units of Measure**

1 carat (metric) (diamond)	= 200 milligrams
1 flask (fl)	= 76 pounds, avoirdupois, or 33.47 kilograms
1 karat (gold)	= one twenty-fourth part
1 kilogram (kg)	= 2.2046 pounds, avoirdupois
1 long ton (lt)	= 2,240 pounds, avoirdupois
1 long ton unit (ltu)	= 1% of 1 long ton, or 22.4 pounds, avoirdupois
long calcined ton (lct)	= excludes water of hydration
long dry ton (ldt)	= excludes excess free moisture
Mcf	= 1,000 cubic feet
1 metric ton (t)	= 2,204.6 pounds, avoirdupois, or 1,000 kilograms
1 metric ton (t)	= 1.1023 short ton
1 metric ton unit (mtu)	= 1% of 1 metric ton, or 10 kilograms
metric dry ton (mdt)	= excludes excess free moisture
1 pound (lb)	= 453.6 grams
psia	= pounds per square inch absolute
1 short ton (st)	= 2,000 pounds, avoirdupois
1 short ton unit (stu)	= 1% of 1 short ton, or 20 pounds, avoirdupois
short dry ton (sdt)	= excludes excess free moisture
1 troy ounce (tr oz)	= 1.09714 avoirdupois ounces, or 31.103 grams
1 troy pound	= 12 troy ounces

## **APPENDIX B**

### **Definitions of Selected Terms Used in This Report**

#### **Terms Used for Materials in the National Defense Stockpile and Helium Stockpile**

**Inventory** refers to the quantity of mineral materials held in the National Defense Stockpile or in the Federal Helium Reserve. Nonstockpile-grade materials may be included in the table; where significant, the quantities of these stockpiled materials are specified in the text accompanying the table.

**Potential disposals** indicate the total amount of a material in the National Defense Stockpile that the U.S. Department of Defense is permitted to dispose of under the Annual Materials Plan approved by Congress for the fiscal year. Congress has authorized disposal over the long term at rates designed to maximize revenue but avoid undue disruption to the usual markets and financial loss to the United States. Fiscal year (FY) 2020 is the period from October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. FY 2021 is the period from October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021. Disposals are defined as any disposal or sale of National Defense Stockpile stock. For mineral commodities that have a disposal plan greater than the inventory, the actual quantity will be limited to the remaining disposal authority or inventory. Note that, unlike the National Defense Stockpile, helium stockpile sales by the Bureau of Land Management under the Helium Privatization Act of 1996 are permitted to exceed disposal plans.

**Potential acquisitions** indicate the maximum amount of a material that may be acquired by the U.S. Department of Defense for the National Defense Stockpile under the Annual Materials Plan approved by Congress for the fiscal year. FY 2020 is the period from October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. FY 2021 is the period from October 1, 2020, through September 30, 2021.

#### **Depletion Allowance**

The depletion allowance is a business tax deduction analogous to depreciation, but which applies to an ore reserve rather than equipment or production facilities. Federal tax law allows this deduction from taxable corporate income, recognizing that an ore deposit is a depletable asset that must eventually be replaced.

## APPENDIX C

### Reserves and Resources

Reserves data are dynamic. They may be reduced as ore is mined and (or) the feasibility of extraction diminishes, or more commonly, they may continue to increase as additional deposits (known or recently discovered) are developed, or currently exploited deposits are more thoroughly explored and (or) new technology or economic variables improve their economic feasibility. Reserves may be considered a working inventory of mining companies' supplies of an economically extractable mineral commodity. As such, the magnitude of that inventory is necessarily limited by many considerations, including cost of drilling, taxes, price of the mineral commodity being mined, and the demand for it. Reserves will be developed to the point of business needs and geologic limitations of economic ore grade and tonnage. For example, in 1970, identified and undiscovered world copper resources were estimated to contain 1.6 billion metric tons of copper,

with reserves of about 280 million tons of copper. Since then, almost 580 million tons of copper have been produced worldwide, but world copper reserves in 2020 were estimated to be 870 million tons of copper, more than triple those of 1970, despite the depletion by mining of more than the original estimated reserves.

Future supplies of minerals will come from reserves and other identified resources, currently undiscovered resources in deposits that will be discovered in the future, and material that will be recycled from current in-use stocks of minerals or from minerals in waste disposal sites. Undiscovered deposits of minerals constitute an important consideration in assessing future supplies. Mineral-resource assessments have been carried out for small parcels of land being evaluated for land reclassification, for the Nation, and for the world.

### Part A—Resource and Reserve Classification for Minerals<sup>1</sup>

#### Introduction

Through the years, geologists, mining engineers, and others operating in the minerals field have used various terms to describe and classify mineral resources, which as defined herein include energy materials. Some of these terms have gained wide use and acceptance, although they are not always used with precisely the same meaning.

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) collects information about the quantity and quality of all mineral resources. In 1976, the USGS and the U.S. Bureau of Mines developed a common classification and nomenclature, which was published as USGS Bulletin 1450—A—“Principles of the Mineral Resource Classification System of the U.S. Bureau of Mines and U.S. Geological Survey.” Experience with this resource classification system showed that some changes were necessary in order to make it more workable in practice and more useful in long-term planning. Therefore, representatives of the USGS and the U.S. Bureau of Mines collaborated to revise Bulletin 1450—A. Their work was published in 1980 as USGS Circular 831—“Principles of a Resource/Reserve Classification for Minerals.”

Long-term public and commercial planning must be based on the probability of discovering new deposits, on developing economic extraction processes for currently unworkable deposits, and on knowing which resources are immediately available. Thus, resources must be continuously reassessed in the light of new geologic knowledge, of progress in science and technology, and of shifts in economic and political conditions. To best serve these planning needs, known resources should be classified from two standpoints: (1) purely geologic or physical and chemical characteristics—such as grade, quality, tonnage, thickness, and depth—of the material

in place; and (2) profitability analyses based on costs of extracting and marketing the material in a given economy at a given time. The former constitutes important objective scientific information of the resource and a relatively unchanging foundation upon which the latter more valuable economic delineation can be based.

The revised classification system, designed generally for all mineral materials, is shown graphically in figures C1 and C2; its components and their usage are described in the text. The classification of mineral and energy resources is necessarily arbitrary because definitional criteria do not always coincide with natural boundaries. The system can be used to report the status of mineral and energy-fuel resources for the Nation or for specific areas.<sup>1</sup>

#### Resource and Reserve Definitions

A dictionary definition of resource, “something in reserve or ready if needed,” has been adapted for mineral and energy resources to comprise all materials, including those only surmised to exist, that have present or anticipated future value.

**Resource.**—A concentration of naturally occurring solid, liquid, or gaseous material in or on the Earth's crust in such form and amount that economic extraction of a commodity from the concentration is currently or potentially feasible.

**Original Resource.**—The amount of a resource before production.

**Identified Resources.**—Resources for which location, grade, quality, and quantity are known or estimated from specific geologic evidence. Identified resources include economic, marginally economic, and subeconomic components. To reflect varying degrees of geologic certainty, these economic divisions can be subdivided into measured, indicated, and inferred.

<sup>1</sup>Based on U.S. Geological Survey Circular 831, 1980.

**Demonstrated.**—A term for the sum of measured plus indicated resources.

**Measured.**—Quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings, or drill holes; grade and (or) quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling. The sites for inspection, sampling, and measurements are spaced so closely and the geologic character is so well defined that size, shape, depth, and mineral content of the resource are well established.

**Indicated.**—Quantity and grade and (or) quality are computed from information similar to that used for measured resources, but the sites for inspection, sampling, and measurements are farther apart or are otherwise less adequately spaced. The degree of assurance, although lower than that for measured resources, is high enough to assume continuity between points of observation.

**Inferred.**—Estimates are based on an assumed continuity beyond measured and (or) indicated resources, for which there is geologic evidence. Inferred resources may or may not be supported by samples or measurements.

**Reserve Base.**—That part of an identified resource that meets specified minimum physical and chemical criteria related to current mining and production practices, including those for grade, quality, thickness, and depth. The reserve base is the in-place demonstrated (measured plus indicated) resource from which reserves are estimated. It may encompass those parts of the resources that have a reasonable potential for becoming economically available within planning horizons beyond those that assume proven technology and current economics. The reserve base includes those resources that are currently economic (reserves), marginally economic (marginal reserves), and some of those that are currently subeconomic (subeconomic resources). The term “geologic reserve” has been applied by others generally to the reserve-base category, but it also may include the inferred-reserve-base category; it is not a part of this classification system.

**Inferred Reserve Base.**—The in-place part of an identified resource from which inferred reserves are estimated. Quantitative estimates are based largely on knowledge of the geologic character of a deposit and for which there may be no samples or measurements. The estimates are based on an assumed continuity beyond the reserve base, for which there is geologic evidence.

**Reserves.**—That part of the reserve base that could be economically extracted or produced at the time of determination. The term “reserves” need not signify that extraction facilities are in place and operative. Reserves include only recoverable materials; thus, terms such as “extractable reserves” and “recoverable reserves” are redundant and are not a part of this classification system.

**Marginal Reserves.**—That part of the reserve base which, at the time of determination, borders on being economically producible. Its essential characteristic is economic uncertainty. Included are resources that would be producible, given postulated changes in economic or technological factors.

**Economic.**—This term implies that profitable extraction or production under defined investment assumptions has been established, analytically demonstrated, or assumed with reasonable certainty.

**Subeconomic Resources.**—The part of identified resources that does not meet the economic criteria of reserves and marginal reserves.

**Undiscovered Resources.**—Resources, the existence of which are only postulated, comprising deposits that are separate from identified resources. Undiscovered resources may be postulated in deposits of such grade and physical location as to render them economic, marginally economic, or subeconomic. To reflect varying degrees of geologic certainty, undiscovered resources may be divided into two parts, as follows:

**Hypothetical Resources.**—Undiscovered resources that are similar to known mineral bodies and that may be reasonably expected to exist in the same producing district or region under analogous geologic conditions. If exploration confirms their existence and reveals enough information about their quality, grade, and quantity, they will be reclassified as identified resources.

**Speculative Resources.**—Undiscovered resources that may occur either in known types of deposits in favorable geologic settings where mineral discoveries have not been made, or in types of deposits as yet unrecognized for their economic potential. If exploration confirms their existence and reveals enough information about their quantity, grade, and quality, they will be reclassified as identified resources.

**Restricted Resources or Reserves.**—That part of any resource or reserve category that is restricted from extraction by laws or regulations. For example, restricted reserves meet all the requirements of reserves except that they are restricted from extraction by laws or regulations.

**Other Occurrences.**—Materials that are too low grade or for other reasons are not considered potentially economic, in the same sense as the defined resource, may be recognized and their magnitude estimated, but they are not classified as resources. A separate category, labeled “other occurrences,” is included in figures C1 and C2. In figure C1, the boundary between subeconomic and other occurrences is limited by the concept of current or potential feasibility of economic production, which is required by the definition of a resource. The boundary is obviously uncertain, but limits may be specified in terms of grade, quality, thickness, depth, extractable percentage, or other economic-feasibility variables.

**Cumulative Production.**—The amount of past cumulative production is not, by definition, a part of the resource. Nevertheless, a knowledge of what has been produced is important in order to understand current resources, in terms of both the amount of past production and the amount of residual or remaining in-place resource. A separate space for cumulative production is shown in figures C1 and C2. Residual material left in the ground during current or future extraction should be recorded in the resource category appropriate to its economic-recovery potential.

**Figure C1.—Major Elements of Mineral-Resource Classification, Excluding Reserve Base and Inferred Reserve Base**

Cumulative Production	IDENTIFIED RESOURCES		UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES	
	Demonstrated		Inferred	Probability Range
	Measured	Indicated		Hypothetical (or) Speculative
ECONOMIC	Reserves	Inferred Reserves	+	
MARGINALLY ECONOMIC	Marginal Reserves	Inferred Marginal Reserves		
SUBECONOMIC	Demonstrated Subeconomic Resources	Inferred Subeconomic Resources		
Other Occurrences	Includes nonconventional and low-grade materials			

**Figure C2.—Reserve Base and Inferred Reserve Base Classification Categories**

Cumulative Production	IDENTIFIED RESOURCES		UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES	
	Demonstrated		Inferred	Probability Range
	Measured	Indicated		Hypothetical (or) Speculative
ECONOMIC	Reserve Base		Inferred Reserve Base	+
MARGINALLY ECONOMIC				+
SUBECONOMIC				
Other Occurrences	Includes nonconventional and low-grade materials			

## **Part B—Sources of Reserves Data**

National information on reserves for most mineral commodities found in this report, including those for the United States, is derived from a variety of sources. The ideal source of such information would be comprehensive evaluations that apply the same criteria to deposits in different geographic areas and report the results by country. In the absence of such evaluations, national reserves estimates compiled by countries for selected mineral commodities are a primary source of national reserves information. Lacking national assessment information by governments, sources such as academic articles, company reports, presentations by company representatives, and trade journal articles, or a combination of these, serve as the basis for national information on reserves reported in the mineral commodity sections of this publication.

A national estimate may be assembled from the following: historically reported reserves information carried for years without alteration because no new information is available, historically reported reserves reduced by the amount of historical production, and company-reported reserves. International minerals availability studies conducted by the U.S. Bureau of Mines before 1996 and estimates of identified resources by an international collaborative effort (the International Strategic Minerals Inventory) are the bases for some reserves estimates. The USGS collects some qualitative information about the quantity and quality of mineral resources but does not directly measure reserves or resources, and companies or governments do not directly report information about reserves or resources to the USGS. Reassessment of reserves is a continuing process, and the intensity of this process differs by mineral commodity, country, and time period.

Some countries have specific definitions for reserves data, and reserves for each country are assessed separately, based on reported data and definitions. An attempt is made to make reserves consistent among countries for a mineral commodity and its byproducts. For example, the Australasian Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) established the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code) that sets out minimum standards, recommendations, and guidelines for public reporting in Australasia of exploration results, mineral resources, and ore reserves. Companies listed on the Australian Securities Exchange and the New Zealand Stock Exchange are required to report publicly on ore reserves and mineral resources under their control, using the JORC Code.

Data reported for individual deposits by mining companies are compiled in Geoscience Australia's national mineral resources database and used in the preparation of the annual national assessments of Australia's mineral resources. Because of its specific use in the JORC Code, the term "reserves" is not used in the national inventory, where the highest category is "Economic Demonstrated Resources" (EDR). In essence, EDR combines the JORC Code categories "proved reserves" and "probable reserves," plus

measured resources and indicated resources. This is considered to provide a reasonable and objective estimate of what is likely to be available for mining in the long term. Accessible Economic Demonstrated Resources represent the resources within the EDR category that are accessible for mining. Reserves for Australia in Mineral Commodity Summaries 2021 are Accessible EDR. For more information, see "Table 3. Australia's Identified Mineral Resources as at December 2018," which can be found at <https://www.ga.gov.au/scientific-topics/minerals/mineral-resources-and-advice/aimr/mineral-resources>.

In Canada, the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM) provides definition standards for the classification of mineral resources and mineral reserves estimates into various categories. The category to which a resource or reserves estimate is assigned depends on the level of confidence in the geologic information available on the mineral deposit, the quality and quantity of data available on the deposit, the level of detail of the technical and economic information that has been generated about the deposit, and the interpretation of the data and information. For more information on the CIM definition standards, see <https://mrmr.cim.org/en/standards/canadian-mineral-resource-and-mineral-reserve-definitions/>.

In Russia, reserves for most minerals can appear in a number of sources, although no comprehensive list of reserves is published. Reserves data for a limited set of mineral commodities are available in the annual report "Gosudarstvennyi Doklad o Sostoyanii i Ispol'zovanii Mineral'no-Syryevykh Resursov Rossiyskoy Federatsii" (State Report on the State and Use of Mineral and Raw Materials Resources of the Russian Federation), which is published by Russia's Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. Reserves data for various minerals appear at times in journal articles, such as those in the journal "Mineral'nyye Resursy Rossii. Ekonomika i Upravleniye" (Mineral Resources of Russia. Economics and Management), which is published by the "OOO RG-Inform," a subsidiary of Rosgeologiya Holding. It is sometimes not clear if the reserves are being reported in ore or mineral content. It is also in many cases not clear which definition of reserves is being used, because the system inherited from the former Soviet Union has a number of ways in which the term "reserves" is defined, and these definitions qualify the percentage of resources that are included in a specific category. For example, the Soviet reserves classification system, besides the categories A, B, C1, and C2, which represent progressively detailed knowledge of a mineral deposit based on exploration data, has other subcategories cross imposed upon the system. Under the broad category reserves (zapasy), there are subcategories that include balance reserves (balansovyye zapasy, or economic reserves) and outside-the-balance reserves (zabalansovyye zapasy, or subeconomic reserves), as well as categories that include explored, industrial, and proven reserves, and the reserves totals can vary significantly, depending on the specific definition of reserves being reported.

## APPENDIX D

### Country Specialists Directory

Minerals information country specialists at the U.S. Geological Survey collect and analyze information on the mineral industries of more than 170 nations throughout the world. The specialists are available to answer minerals-related questions concerning individual countries.

#### Africa and the Middle East

Algeria	Mowafa Taib
Angola	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Bahrain	Philip A. Szczesniak
Benin	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Botswana	Thomas R. Yager
Burkina Faso	Alberto A. Perez
Burundi	Thomas R. Yager
Cabo Verde	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Cameroon	Philip A. Szczesniak
Central African Republic	James J. Barry
Chad	Philip A. Szczesniak
Comoros	James J. Barry
Congo (Brazzaville)	James J. Barry
Congo (Kinshasa)	Thomas R. Yager
Côte d'Ivoire	Alberto A. Perez
Djibouti	Thomas R. Yager
Egypt	Mowafa Taib
Equatorial Guinea	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Eritrea	Thomas R. Yager
Eswatini	James J. Barry
Ethiopia	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Gabon	Alberto A. Perez
The Gambia	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Ghana	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Guinea	Alberto A. Perez
Guinea-Bissau	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Iran	Philip A. Szczesniak
Iraq	Philip A. Szczesniak
Israel	Philip A. Szczesniak
Jordan	Mowafa Taib
Kenya	Thomas R. Yager
Kuwait	Philip A. Szczesniak
Lebanon	Mowafa Taib
Lesotho	James J. Barry
Liberia	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Libya	Mowafa Taib
Madagascar	Thomas R. Yager
Malawi	Thomas R. Yager
Mali	Alberto A. Perez
Mauritania	Mowafa Taib
Mauritius	James J. Barry
Morocco and Western Sahara	Mowafa Taib
Mozambique	Meralis Plaza-Toledo
Namibia	James J. Barry
Niger	Alberto A. Perez
Nigeria	Thomas R. Yager
Oman	Philip A. Szczesniak
Qatar	Philip A. Szczesniak
Reunion	James J. Barry
Rwanda	Thomas R. Yager
Sao Tome e Principe	Meralis Plaza-Toledo

#### Africa and the Middle East—Continued

Saudi Arabia	Mowafa Taib
Senegal	Alberto A. Perez
Seychelles	James J. Barry
Sierra Leone	Alberto A. Perez
Somalia	Philip A. Szczesniak
South Africa	Thomas R. Yager
South Sudan	Alberto A. Perez
Sudan	Mowafa Taib
Syria	Mowafa Taib
Tanzania	Thomas R. Yager
Togo	Alberto A. Perez
Tunisia	Mowafa Taib
Uganda	Thomas R. Yager
United Arab Emirates	Philip A. Szczesniak
Yemen	Mowafa Taib
Zambia	James J. Barry
Zimbabwe	James J. Barry

#### Asia and the Pacific

Afghanistan	Karine M. Renaud
Australia	Spencer D. Buteyn
Bangladesh	Ji Won Moon
Bhutan	Ji Won Moon
Brunei	Spencer D. Buteyn
Burma (Myanmar)	Ji Won Moon
Cambodia	Ji Won Moon
China	Sean Xun
Fiji	Spencer D. Buteyn
India	Karine M. Renaud
Indonesia	Jaewon Chung
Japan	Jaewon Chung
Korea, North	Jaewon Chung
Korea, Republic of	Jaewon Chung
Laos	Ji Won Moon
Malaysia	Spencer D. Buteyn
Mongolia	Jaewon Chung
Nauru	Spencer D. Buteyn
Nepal	Ji Won Moon
New Caledonia	Spencer D. Buteyn
New Zealand	Spencer D. Buteyn
Pakistan	Ji Won Moon
Papua New Guinea	Spencer D. Buteyn
Philippines	Ji Won Moon
Singapore	Spencer D. Buteyn
Solomon Islands	Jaewon Chung
Sri Lanka	Ji Won Moon
Taiwan	Jaewon Chung
Thailand	Ji Won Moon
Timor-Leste	Jaewon Chung
Vietnam	Ji Won Moon

**Europe and Central Eurasia**

Albania	Jaewon Chung
Armenia	Elena Safirova
Austria	Spencer D. Buteyn
Azerbaijan	Elena Safirova
Belarus	Elena Safirova
Belgium	Loyd M. Trimmer III
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Karine M. Renaud
Bulgaria	Karine M. Renaud
Croatia	Karine M. Renaud
Cyprus	Sinan Hastorun
Czechia	Loyd M. Trimmer III
Denmark, Faroe Islands, and Greenland	Joanna Goclawska
Estonia	Ji Won Moon
Finland	Joanna Goclawska
France	Jaewon Chung
Georgia	Elena Safirova
Germany	Elena Safirova
Greece	Sinan Hastorun
Hungary	Loyd M. Trimmer III
Iceland	Joanna Goclawska
Ireland	Joanna Goclawska
Italy	Loyd M. Trimmer III
Kazakhstan	Elena Safirova
Kosovo	Sinan Hastorun
Kyrgyzstan	Karine M. Renaud
Latvia	Ji Won Moon
Lithuania	Ji Won Moon
Luxembourg	Spencer D. Buteyn
Malta	Jaewon Chung
Moldova	Elena Safirova
Montenegro	Jaewon Chung
Netherlands	Loyd M. Trimmer III
North Macedonia	Karine M. Renaud
Norway	Joanna Goclawska
Poland	Joanna Goclawska
Portugal	Joanna Goclawska
Romania	Ji Won Moon
Russia	Elena Safirova
Serbia	Karine M. Renaud
Slovakia	Ji Won Moon
Slovenia	Loyd M. Trimmer III
Spain	Loyd M. Trimmer III

**Europe and Central Eurasia—Continued**

Sweden	Joanna Goclawska
Switzerland	Spencer D. Buteyn
Tajikistan	Karine M. Renaud
Turkey	Sinan Hastorun
Turkmenistan	Karine M. Renaud
Ukraine	Elena Safirova
United Kingdom	Jaewon Chung
Uzbekistan	Elena Safirova

**North America, Central America, and the Caribbean**

Aruba	Yadira Soto-Viruet
The Bahamas	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Belize	Jesse J. Inestroza
Canada	James J. Barry
Costa Rica	Jesse J. Inestroza
Cuba	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Dominican Republic	Yadira Soto-Viruet
El Salvador	Jesse J. Inestroza
Guatemala	Jesse J. Inestroza
Haiti	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Honduras	Jesse J. Inestroza
Jamaica	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Mexico	Alberto A. Perez
Nicaragua	Jesse J. Inestroza
Panama	Jesse J. Inestroza
Trinidad and Tobago	Yadira Soto-Viruet

**South America**

Argentina	Jesse J. Inestroza
Bolivia	Yolanda Fong-Sam
Brazil	Yolanda Fong-Sam
Chile	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Colombia	Jesse J. Inestroza
Ecuador	Jesse J. Inestroza
French Guiana	Yolanda Fong-Sam
Guyana	Yolanda Fong-Sam
Paraguay	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Peru	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Suriname	Yolanda Fong-Sam
Uruguay	Yadira Soto-Viruet
Venezuela	Yolanda Fong-Sam

**Country specialist****Telephone****E-mail**

James J. Barry	(703) 648-7752	jbarry@usgs.gov
Spencer D. Buteyn	(703) 648-7738	sbuteyn@usgs.gov
Jaewon Chung	(703) 648-4793	jchung@usgs.gov
Yolanda Fong-Sam	(703) 648-7756	yfong-sam@usgs.gov
Joanna Goclawska	(703) 648-7973	jgoclawska@usgs.gov
Sinan Hastorun	(703) 648-7744	shastorun@usgs.gov
Jesse J. Inestroza	(703) 648-7779	jjinestroza@usgs.gov
Ji Won Moon	(703) 648-7791	jmoon@usgs.gov
Alberto A. Perez	(703) 648-7749	aperez@usgs.gov
Meralis Plaza-Toledo	(703) 648-7759	mplaza-toledo@usgs.gov
Karine M. Renaud	(703) 648-7748	krenaud@usgs.gov
Elena Safirova	(703) 648-7731	esafirova@usgs.gov
Yadira Soto-Viruet	(703) 648-4957	ysoto-viruet@usgs.gov
Philip A. Szczesniak	(703) 648-7728	pszczesniak@usgs.gov
Mowafa Taib	(703) 648-4986	mtaib@usgs.gov
Loyd M. Trimmer III	(703) 648-4983	ltrimmer@usgs.gov
Sean Xun	(703) 648-7746	sxun@usgs.gov
Thomas R. Yager	(703) 648-7739	tyager@usgs.gov