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For use of readers who prefer to use inch-pound units, conversion factors for terms used in this report are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiply SI</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>To obtain inch-pound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>millimeter (mm)</td>
<td>0.03937</td>
<td>inch (in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meter (m)</td>
<td>3.281</td>
<td>foot (ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilometer (km)</td>
<td>0.6214</td>
<td>mile (mi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square kilometer (km²)</td>
<td>0.3861</td>
<td>square mile (mi²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic meter per second (m³/s)</td>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>cubic foot per second (ft³/s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MAGNITUDE AND FREQUENCY OF LAHARS AND LAHAR-RUNOUT FLOWS IN THE TOUTLE-COWLITZ RIVER SYSTEM

By Kevin M. Scott

ABSTRACT

The recurrence interval of a lahar or lahar-runout flow at least large enough to inundate flood plains 50 kilometers from Mount St. Helens is less than 100 years. Lahars are volcanic debris flows and their deposits; lahar-runout flows are the hyperconcentrated streamflow evolved from distal lahars. The recurrence interval is conditional on eruptive state and is based on the most recent 4,500 years of the volcano’s approximately 40,000- to 50,000-year history; it applies to the Toutle-Cowlitz River system that drains the northwest sector of the mountain and the modern crater. Lahars of the last 4,500 years have multiple origins, any one of which may cause lahars in the future. For a flow of 100-year recurrence interval, the flood plain would be inundated to a depth of 2 meters or more in the Toutle River valley between 30 and 50 km from the volcano.

The 100-year recurrence interval is within a normal time frame for long-term planning. Therefore engineering works in the Toutle River system should be designed for lahars, as well as floods, of a particular frequency. Characteristics of lahars that should be considered include inundation levels, peak discharges, and impact force and shear stress on structures such as dam spillways and bridge piers.

Lahars large enough to inundate flood plains may be viewed as hazards like floods, but lahars differ from floods both in frequency distribution through time and in their effects at a particular depth of inundation. They are subject to separate and different statistical analyses. For example, lahars tend to cluster in time within eruptive periods, in effect increasing the present short-term potential. Unlike a water flood, a lahar that has a flow depth at least 1 meter on flood plains can cause a significant part of the maximum possible damage. Trees are killed, many structures are inundated and made unusable even if they are not crushed by timber floating in the lahar, and agriculture is not feasible for periods of as much as several years. On the positive side, the dead timber can be harvested, regrowth of some species is rapid, and the impacted watershed may be stimulated economically by reconstruction and tourism.

The largest lahar in the history of the watershed was formed by the bulking of sediment in a flood surge that originated from breaching of a natural dam of ancestral Spirit Lake. The entrained sediment is mainly stream alluvium, and megaclasts of an ancient debris avalanche are abundant in the flow unit. The flow had a peak discharge of 200,000 to 300,000 m³/s at a distance of 30 to 50 km from the volcano, and was the first of four lake-breakout lahars that occurred during a span of several years near the end of Pine Creek time. The third in the series is the second largest in the history of the watershed. This series of lahars is interpreted as an analog of the events that would have happened, without engineering intervention, after the 1980 eruption. In 1980, a debris avalanche catastrophically raised Spirit Lake more than 60 m and created new lakes in blocked tributaries.

The risk of a lahar with a peak discharge similar to that of the Amazon River at flood stage, like the huge flow of Pine Creek age, is reduced substantially, but only temporarily, by engineering works that have lowered and stabilized the level of Spirit Lake. Even with these works, however, a lahar is possible that is large enough to inundate flood plains and, locally, older lahar terraces throughout the river system. Because the stream channels and the debris avalanche both contain large quantities of readily entrained sediment, and because lahars of multiple origins are still possible in both the North and South Forks of the Toutle River, lahar hazards in the system warrant continuing and conservative concern.

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE, SCOPE, AND LOCATION OF STUDY

The purpose of this report is to analyze the magnitude and frequency of lahars and lahar-runout flows in the Toutle-Cowlitz River system by means of paleohydraulic and paleohydrologic approaches. The study encompasses the entire 40,000- to 50,000-yr history of Mount St. Helens but is focused particularly on the more recent eruptive periods. A companion report (Scott, 1988) describes the origins and behavior of both modern and ancient lahars, based in part on observations and textures of the 1980 and post-1980 flows, and extension of those results to the many ancient lahars in the river system.
The Toutle River flows into the lower Cowlitz River (fig. 1), the headwaters of which drain part of Mount Rainier, the largest Cascade Range stratovolcano and one presently in a quiescent state. All observed lahars and lahar-runout flows affecting the lower Cowlitz River were identified (from their contained rock types) as originating in the Toutle River with volcanic activity at Mount St. Helens.

**METHODS OF STUDY**

The record of flows reaching long distances from Mount St. Helens can be treated like a flood-frequency analysis to calculate the probability of similar future flows. Such an analysis involving lahars will be most applicable to relatively long-term planning (involving at least several tens of years), whereas shorter-term hazards are best assessed from monitoring of the volcano and comparisons with the history of similar volcanoes (for example, Newhall, 1983). A basic difference is between the statistics of a long-term time series, in which the magnitude and frequency of events are in part inferred, and a case-history approach in which the appropriate examples are not yet completely known. The approaches are complementary; the farther the horizon of the planner, the more useful will be the probabilities based on the flow record.

In addition, the record of ancient flows is a better guide to the probable magnitudes, transformations, and rates of attenuation of future lahars and lahar-runout flows than any model developed to date. (See Scott, in press.) The most typical lahar in the river system has consisted of the middle segment of a flood wave that began and ended as streamflow (Scott, 1985, 1988). The proximal flood surges are progressively bulked with sediment, and the distal flood waves progressively lose sediment, evolving into lahar-runout flows. Once the magnitudes of the input hydrographs and the observed rates of attenuation can be quantified in models (with both these aspects based on the actual behavior of large lahars), modeling will serve as an useful adjunct to the study of real-life lahars. Even then, however, a volcano’s detailed flow history will still give the best indication of what lahar types and behaviors to expect.

Multiple lahars have been produced in the Toutle River system during virtually all of the eruptive stages and periods established by Mullineaux and Crandell (1981), Crandell (1987), and Mullineaux (1986). Their chronology (table 1) is used as the time scale for the frequency analysis, and their concept of the eruptive period is discussed in the section “Evidence of time.
TABLE 1.—Occurrence and extent of known lahars and lahar-runout flows in the Toutle-Cowlitz River system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eruptive stages and periods</th>
<th>Approximate age</th>
<th>Tephra set</th>
<th>No. of overbank flows</th>
<th>Occurrence and extent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPIRIT LAKE ERUPTIVE STAGE:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat Rocks eruptive period</td>
<td>150-93</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No lahars extended significant distances past base of cone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalama eruptive period</td>
<td>470-320</td>
<td>W, X</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Avalanches and coarse rubbly lahars buried forests in upper South Fork, may correlate with 2 downstream overbank lahars that extend to confluence. 3 lahars probably of this age in North Fork; at least 1 was overbank and extended to confluence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar Bowl eruptive period</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No lahars extended significant distances past base of cone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castle Creek eruptive period</td>
<td>2,200-1,700</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Lahar probably of this age in North Fork extended in paleo-channel at least to Camp Baker. Lahars of this period are unlikely to be preserved in stratigraphic record because of the erosional regime that probably existed after emplacement of the voluminous Pine Creek lahar deposits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Creek eruptive period</td>
<td>3,000-2,500</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>At least 2 initial lahar-runout flows, 1 overbank, extended to confluence. 1 huge lahar followed by 3 smaller flows in North Fork extended to confluence. At least the first and third lahars in this sequence reached Cowlitz R. and probably extended to Columbia R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Creek eruptive period</td>
<td>4,000-3,300</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 lahars and at least 2 overbank runout flows extended at least to confluence. Youngest 2 lahars originated in North Fork. Largest lahar probably reached Columbia R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIFT CREEK ERUPTIVE STAGE</td>
<td>13,700-9,200</td>
<td>S, J</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10 lahars and 1 overbank runout flow extended at least to confluence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUGAR ERUPTIVE STAGE</td>
<td>20,400-19,200</td>
<td>M, K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>At least 1 large lahar extended to Cowlitz R. and probably to Columbia R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APE CANYON ERUPTIVE STAGE</td>
<td>50,000(?) to 36,000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td>At least 5 lahars and several overbank runout flows extended at least to confluence. At least 2 lahars probably extended to Columbia R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Years before 1950. Based on radiocarbon dates, except for ages of Goat Rocks period (based on tree rings and historic records) and Kalama period (based on tree rings and radiocarbon dates).

The recognition and correlation of the many lahars and associated flows in the river system (table 1) are based in part on the exposures produced by lateral erosion by the 1980 lahars and subsequent streamflow. By 1985, exposures of the older flow deposits in the river system were modified by channel aggradation, bank slumping, dredge spoils, and vegetation regrowth; and

grouping of lahars.” The use of “stage” as a designation for each of the older eruptive periods and for the entire group of the seven most recent eruptive periods (since 4000 B.P.) is after Crandell (1987). Of the radiocarbon dates from samples obtained by the writer, nearly all fit within the chronology of the established eruptive periods.
several older units were obscured. Nevertheless, the overbank deposits of lahars and lahar-runout flows many tens of kilometers away continue to provide a detailed history of events not necessarily evident at or near the volcano. More importantly for the assessment of lahar hazards, they reveal important characteristics of the flows: magnitudes (as discharges, either true or relative), flow types, behavior, and probable origins.

The detailed analysis of the older flows focuses on the area around the confluence of the forks of the Toutle, more than 50 km from the volcano, because that area is the farthest upstream part of the watershed that is well populated. Although the following record of older flows should be viewed as one in a series of successive approximations, it certainly is complete enough to indicate the continuing, long-term hazards of lahars in the system. No new stratigraphic terminology is proposed.

Radiocarbon dates with conventional one-sigma statistical counting errors less than ±100 yr are by I. C. Yang, and uncited dates with larger statistical error factors are by commercial labs. Dates of individual samples are in radiocarbon years unless otherwise stated. Following Mullineaux and Crandell (1981), the ages of the eruptive episodes (table 1) are in radiocarbon years, except for those of the Goat Rocks and Kalama periods, which are in calendar years before 1950.
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LAHARS AND LAHAR-RUNOUT FLOWS
OF PRE-1980 ERUPTIVE STAGES
AND PERIODS

The first part of this report consists of lahar and lahar-runout-flow stratigraphy, by eruptive stage and period. This, with the noted qualifications, is the foundation of the subsequent discussion of lahar magnitude and frequency. Selected examples of many detailed stratigraphic sections appear in the appendix, and these are mainly from the vicinity of the forks of the Toutle River. A glossary of many terms used in describing volcanic flow phenomena, lahars, lahar-runout flows, and volcaniclastic sediment is included in Scott (1988).

That some lahars had moved long distances from Mount St. Helens in the Toutle River system was first recognized by Mullineaux and Crandell (1962). They defined a Silver Lake lahar assemblage near the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River, and noted that Silver Lake had formed behind the natural dam created by those lahars. The lahar sequence at that point was shown to include three lahars of Pine Creek age and a lower lahar of Smith Creek age (Crandell and others, 1981; Crandell, 1987).

APE CANYON ERUPTIVE STAGE
(50,000(?)) TO ~36,000 YEARS)

A valley fill containing at least five lahars and many lahar-runout flows is exposed near the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River, near Tower, and at the confluence of the Toutle and Cowlitz Rivers. At these localities the fill surface extends to a level as much as 30 m above the surface of the better preserved lahar-emplaced terrace of Pine Creek age. The older valley fill represents gradual valley-wide aggradation, in contrast to the brief series of catastrophic events that formed the younger terrace. Also unlike the younger terrace, which is underlain almost entirely by lahars and locally by lahar-runout deposits, the older fill includes a significant volume of pumice-rich alluvium, a part of which represents glacial outwash.

The fill of Ape Canyon age is exposed near Tower at levels indicating that drainage during the eruptive stage was partially out of the Toutle River watershed and northward into Salmon Creek (fig. 1). Salmon Creek joins the Cowlitz River approximately 19 river kilometers upstream from the confluence of the Toutle and Cowlitz Rivers.

The lahars of Ape Canyon age are as much as 3.0 m thick, but their correlation and detailed sequence could not be determined because of sporadic exposure. Accessible outcrops are in the valley-side slopes approximately 200 m upstream from the Coal Bank Bridge and in valley-side slopes short distances north and west of the Green Mountain Mill. Both forks of the Toutle River yielded multiple lahars during this stage. Deposits of Ape Canyon age occur sporadically both at channel level and at high levels (up to 60 m) in both forks, indicating
the system-wide nature of the fill. These characteristic-
ically light-colored deposits form the basal parts of
thick sections of pyroclastic flows, lahars, and volca-
niclastic and glacial sediment near the base of the volcano
in the upper South Fork.

Dacite and pumice clasts in the deposits are relatively
highly weathered and impregnated with secondary clay.
Their condition prevents any meaningful size analyses
for comparison with younger flow deposits (as in table 5 of Scott, 1988). Nevertheless, the textures of the
lahars are similar to those of the younger flows in that
the matrices are predominantly silty sand and probably
have relatively small amounts of primary clay-size sedi-
ment. Most are relatively fine grained compared to the
flows of younger eruptive periods. In sections near the
Toutle-Cowlitz River confluence, lahar-runout flows are
more abundant than lahars.

Soil development in the Ape Canyon deposits extends
locally to depths of more than 3 m. Sufficient clay to
cause a sticky consistency in the lower A and B horizons
is characteristic, as is mottling of the B and C horizons
in irregular clay-rich zones. Silt loams of the Gee and
Seaquest soil series (Call, 1974) occur on the surface of
the fill. The red color locally present in the soil developed
on Ape Canyon deposits, and less commonly on those
of younger periods at lower levels, reflects upslope
pedogenesis of volcanic rocks of pre-Mount St. Helens
age. The lateritic pedogenesis also predates Mount St.
Helens.

The dating of the sequence is based on several lines
of evidence. The degree of weathering is significantly
greater than that of deposits of Cougar age, the next
youngest eruptive stage. At the Coal Bank Bridge sec-
tion (appendix) the fill unconformably underlies lahars
of Swift Creek age that can be seen to overlap a valley-
side slope cut in the older sequence. The pumice, the
lahars, and the nonlaharic sediment of the sequence
contain abundant biotite. Tephra set C (table 1) of the
eruptive stage contains biotite as a characteristic ferro-
magnesian phenocryst (Mullineaux and Crandell, 1981,
table 1). Pumice collected from the oldest deposits in
the Coal Bank Bridge section is believed by Mullineaux
(oral commun., 1982) to be of Ape Canyon age with 90
percent probability.

The range given for the start of the eruptive period
is based on the thick and extensive deposits present in
both the Toutle River and Lewis River systems. An
unknown but probably lengthy period was necessary for
accumulation of the sequence. Hyde (1975) determined
a date of ~ 36,000 yr for a lahar in the upper Lewis
River, which drains the south and east sides of the vol-
cano. A new radiocarbon age for the same lahar (>35,800
yr; Major and Scott, 1988) confirms his date. Note, how-
ever, that samples used for both determinations are
radiologically "dead," and thus yield minimum ages. The
Ape Canyon-age fill extends to high levels on valley-side
slopes throughout the lower reaches of the Lewis River
system, like the system-wide deposits of the Toutle River
(Major and Scott, 1988).

COUGAR ERUPTIVE STAGE
(20,400 TO 19,200 YEARS)

A thick lahar of Cougar age is exposed in a small
quarry excavated during 1981 in the Cowlitz River
valley west of Castle Rock (NE¼ sec. 4, T. 9 N.,
R. 2 W.). The deposit is at an elevation similar to that
of the subsequent huge flow of Pine Creek age, but the
two lahars cannot be compared in size because of the
unknown configuration of the valley in Cougar time.
The deposit is at least 1.3 m thick, and the included
clasts are dominated by rock types from Mount St.
Helens. Abundant pumice from the uppermost part of
the unit is probably from tephra set K or M of Cougar
age (table 1) but may be of Smith Creek age, according
to Mullineaux (oral commun., 1982). The original ex-
posures showed that the flow deposit is overlain by at
least 15 m of cyclically bedded, silt-rich sediment prob-
bly correlatively with backwater deposits of major Chan-
neled Scabland flooding of about 13,000 years ago.
Consequently, a Cougar age for the lahar is a near
certainty.

Although this flow was large enough to have reached
the Columbia River, at least in its runout phase, its
deposits were not definitely identified elsewhere in the
Toutle-Cowlitz River system. Its deposits, and those of
any other large lahars of the eruptive stage, are prob-
bly covered by an inset younger assemblage. A prob-
able Cougar-age lahar is present in the South Fork
Toutle River as far as 10-12 km from the volcano (see
Disappointment Creek section in appendix), but is
unlikely to represent the proximal phase of the lahar
seen in the Cowlitz River. Other Cougar-age deposits
are present in the Bear Creek section (appendix).

Sections near Tower may contain Cougar-age flows.
Those deposits are definitely post-Ape Canyon and pre-
Pine Creek in age but most are more probably of Swift
Creek age, as discussed in the following section.

SWIFT CREEK ERUPTIVE STAGE
(13,700 TO >9,200 YEARS)

The lower 7 units of the post-Ape Canyon part of the
Coal Bank Bridge section (appendix), 6 of which are
lahars, are of probable Swift Creek age. Unit 3 was the
oldest pumice-bearing unit in the section and yielded
pumice regarded by Mullineaux (oral commun., 1982) as probably set J of Swift Creek age. Unit 8 is a rubbly lahar that is locally disconformable with older units, suggesting the possibility of change in the valley erosional regime. That lahar, and overlying units 9 through 14 (including 3 lahars and a lahar-runout flow), are more certainly of Swift Creek age. In general, the certainty of a Swift Creek age increases throughout the sequence of 14 units.

The upper part of a soil developed on unit 14 contained tiny charcoal fragments yielding in aggregate a radiocarbon date of 3,760±180 yr. Based on the date alone it is not clear if the soil represents (1) the approximately 5,000-yr interval between the Swift Creek and Spirit Lake stages, (2) the approximately 300-yr interval between the Smith Creek and Pine Creek periods, or (3) an interval between eruptions within the Smith Creek period. The degree of weathering is at least as great as that on the uneroded terrace of Pine Creek age, representing a 2,500-yr period; therefore the soil probably represents the earlier, longer dormant period. Thus the conformably underlying units (8–14) are of Swift Creek age. This conclusion is supported by the presence of pumice containing phenocrysts of hypersthene and hornblende in units 8, 11, and 12. Pumice with that mineralogy is characteristic of Swift Creek age, but not exclusively so. It is, however, definitively different from set Y of Smith Creek age.

At the north side of the modern flood plain upstream from Tower, cutbank exposures reveal marked facies changes within a sequence of lahars and lahar-runout deposits. The sequence has almost no pumice, but almost all the few pumice clasts that were gleaned from talus at the cliff base contain hypersthene and hornblende and probably represent set J. The extensive soil development to a depth as great as 1.0 m is highly compatible with a probable Swift Creek age for most of the sequence. The possibility of Cougar-age flows low in the section should be evident from soil development within the section. No evidence of a hiatus was observed, however. The runout flows in the sections near Tower are the likely downstream transformations of the Swift Creek–age lahars in the Coal Bank Bridge section. The high cutbanks on the south side of the valley near Tower contain flows of Pine Creek age downstream but are composed of deposits of probable Swift Creek age upstream.

Near the volcano a sequence of pyroclastic flows and lahars of Swift Creek age forms part of an apron of flowage and alluvial deposits that extends into the headwaters of the South Fork of the Toutle River and locally inundated the valley bottom (Crandell, 1987). (See Bear Creek and Disappointment Creek sections in appendix.) The extent (up to 20 km at the Bear Creek locality) and number of Swift Creek–age flows extending into the upper South Fork suggest that the numerous lahars of that period observed at the confluence of the forks could mainly reflect flow from the South Fork. The largest flows of the subsequent two periods clearly were derived from the North Fork of the Toutle River.

SPIRIT LAKE ERUPTIVE STAGE

SMITH CREEK ERUPTIVE PERIOD (4,000 TO 3,300 YEARS)

Two flow units in the Coal Bank Bridge section (appendix) represent the Smith Creek eruptive period with a relatively high degree of probability. A runout-flow deposit, formed just beyond the transition from a lahar, overlies the soil of the preceding dormant period, and the uppermost part of the bed (unit 15) contains concentrated pumice identified by Mullineaux (oral commun., 1983) as material from set Y of Smith Creek age. On a textural basis, the overlying large lahar (unit 16) is a highly probable correlative of the lowest lahar of the Outlet Creek section (appendix, unit 1) and is probably the lahar with a relatively high clay content seen beneath the Pine Creek deposits at several locations ranging from the community of St. Helens on the North Fork to the Highway 99 Bridge on the lower Toutle River (fig. 2). The lowest lahar at Outlet Creek was interpreted by Crandell (1987) to be Smith Creek in age, as based on the the presence of pumice from set Y and wood fragments yielding a date of 2,810±200 radiocarbon years in the overlying fluvial sequence. This overlying sequence is not present in the Coal Bank Bridge section but is widely distributed throughout the North Fork and main Toutle River. What is likely the same lahar occurs at Alder Creek beneath a soil containing wood fragments with a radiocarbon age of 2,900±250 years (Crandell, 1987). The extent, thickness, and texture of the lahar indicate that it probably reached the Columbia River. The main lahar at Kid Valley—another probable correlative—is overlain by a much smaller but similar lahar, which probably reached the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River but is not recorded in the Coal Bank Bridge section. Both lahars at Kid Valley have a relatively high primary clay content, the behavioral implications of which are discussed in Scott (1988).

The mainly fluvial sequence overlying the two lahars of Smith Creek age includes at least six runout-flow deposits, two of which are included in the Outlet Creek section (appendix, units 3 and 4). The sequence probably includes deposits of both Smith Creek and Pine Creek age, as well as the intervening dormant period. The
Figure 2.—Selected columnar sections of lahars and lahar-runout flow deposits in the Toutle River system. Numbers assigned to units of the Coal Bank Bridge section correspond to those in the measured stratigraphic section at that locality described in the appendix.
upper part at the Green Mountain Mill section is probably of Pine Creek age. The lower part at the Highway 99 locality (fig. 2) contains pumice mineralogically similar to set Y and thus is probably Smith Creek in age; the upper part contains pumice like that of set P and thus is probably Pine Creek in age.

Near the volcano, lahars and pyroclastic flows of Smith Creek age compose a significant part of the apron of deposits extending north from the volcano into the valley of the North Fork Toutle River west of Spirit Lake (Crandell, 1987). These units are now covered by the 1980 debris avalanche.

**PINE CREEK ERUPTIVE PERIOD (3,000 TO 2,500 YEARS)**

The Pine Creek age of the uppermost lahar-runout deposits in the mainly fluvial sequence beneath the first and largest Pine Creek lahar (PC 1) is also indicated by radiocarbon dates of old-growth forest locally inundated by the deposits along the streambanks (table 2). The forest grew during the preceding dormant interval and survived on flood plains throughout much of Pine Creek time until it was inundated by a series of four lahars late in the eruptive period (PC 1 through 4). That the lahars were confined to Pine Creek time is indicated both by the radiocarbon dates, and by the lack of rock types from the modern cone of Mount St. Helens in the youngest of the flows. Those rock types are present in the deposits of subsequent eruptive periods. The series of lahars late in the period is interpreted to be of lake-breakout origin, and it includes the two largest flows in the history of the watershed. (See subsequent discussion of magnitude.)

Lahar PC 1, the largest lahar from Mount St. Helens in the history of the Toutle River watershed, occurred about 2,500 yr ago (table 2). The subsequent three flows occurred within several years thereafter, the evidence for which is discussed in the section on time clustering of lahars. The channelized parts of lahar PC 1 stripped the forest from areas marginal to channels; the floodplain flow surrounded standing trees and preserved their forms as vertical tree molds. Facies of the series are shown in figure 12 of Scott (1988).

Radiocarbon dates relevant to the age of lahar PC 1 are summarized in table 2. The only aberrant date \((3,290±195\text{ yr})\) was from a cedar tree in growth position on a surface inundated by the lahar. The cedar may have been dead at the time of inundation. Exposed cedars are relatively well preserved in growth position at Old Maid Flat on Mount Hood about 200 years after they were killed by a lahar that inundated the area around their bases (Crandell, 1980), based on observations by P. T. Pringle and myself. Some other species are nearly entirely decayed at Old Maid Flat, forming tree molds like those in the series of Pine Creek age at Mount St. Helens. Another possibility is that the sample was from the interior of the tree, on the premise that the outer layers were abraded by boulders, which were concentrated in the basal flow as energy was reduced in the expanding reach below the gorge (See Scott, 1988.) These explanations assume no laboratory error.

Near the volcano, pyroclastic flows were much more common in the Pine Creek eruptive period than in the Smith Creek period (Crandell, 1987). Pine Creek-age deposits underlie large areas around the base of the volcano. These include deposits of several lahars of probable Pine Creek age in the upper South Fork Toutle River that apparently did not reach the confluence in significant volume. Lahars of the period traveled at

---

**Table 2.** Radiocarbon dates indicating age of largest lahar in history of the Toutle-Cowlitz watershed (PC 1) and three contemporaneous lahars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date (yrs BP)</th>
<th>Analyst</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green Mountain Mill section (See appendix.)</td>
<td>2,510±40</td>
<td>I. C. Yang</td>
<td>Outermost wood from highest part of stump remaining at base of channel facies of lahar PC 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buried forest at distal end of gorge beginning below the Coal Bank Bridge.</td>
<td>2,550±145, 2,560±155, 3,290±195</td>
<td>Geochron, Inc.</td>
<td>Outermost wood about 2 m above original ground surface. First two samples probably Douglas firs. Third is cedar. (See text.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detrital limb immediately beneath lahar PC 1, along Cowlitz River 3.0 km upstream from mouth of Toutle. (Lahar flowed upstream from confluence.)</td>
<td>2,440±40, 2,790±245</td>
<td>I. C. Yang, Geochron, Inc.</td>
<td>Two analyses of same sample. Sample split by I. C. Yang.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
least as far as the Bear Creek section (appendix) in the South Fork, however. Crandell also reports lahars interbedded with Pine Creek-age pyroclastic flows on the north side of the volcano, in the drainage area of the North Fork Toutle River, and a thick fill of pyroclastic flows and lahars in the Castle Creek valley.

CASTLE CREEK ERUPTIVE PERIOD (>2,200–1,700 YEARS)

The dormant interval of less than 300 years, which followed emplacement of the huge volume of Pine Creek lahars, was marked by extensive reworking of lahar-emplaced sediment. A widespread terrace underlain by fluvial deposits as much as 2.5 m thick illustrates the rapid response of the river system to laharic sedimentation. These deposits have little (1 to 2 percent at most) of the darker, more mafic rock types of the Castle Creek and subsequent eruptive periods. Field work with R. J. Janda likewise revealed only small amounts of these lithologies in a fill emplaced subsequent to the Pine Creek lahars in the Cowlitz River below its confluence with the Toutle River. On the south side of the volcano, however, paleomagnetic data indicate that two lava flows with the changed, more mafic chemistry are of the same age as Pine Creek dacites (R. T. Holcomb, USGS, written commun., 1986). The lack of basic lithologies in the Pine Creek lahars and their low content in reworked Pine Creek deposits can be explained by the lack of a significant volume of basaltic lava flows on the north side of the volcano at that time. These observations do not rule out the possibility that the chemistry changed during the Pine Creek eruptive period, as suggested by the paleomagnetic data.

The sediment-transport regime in the Toutle River system during the dormant interval preceding the Castle Creek eruptive period was probably one of rapidly migrating braided channels, in which the vast influx of laharic sediment was reworked. Consequently, the preservation of lahar deposits of Castle Creek age, inset against eroding cutbanks of the lahar-emplaced terrace of Pine Creek age, is unlikely.

A coarse, rubbly lahar, probably from the Castle Creek period, was recognized in the basal part of the fill of a pre-Kalama-age paleochannel near Camp Baker. Based on the relative degrees of soil development on the fill deposits and on a younger lahar of probable Kalama age at the same locality, the fill is probably of Castle Creek age. A significant content of modern-cone rock types establishes the basal channel fill as post-Pine Creek in age.

In the headwaters of the Toutle River system, according to Crandell (1987), the deposits of the period are predominantly lava flows and pyroclastic flows accompanying a single lahar in the Castle Creek drainage. Several lahars of this eruptive period were recognized near the volcano in the upper South Fork, and numerous flows occur in other drainages outside the Toutle River system.

SUGAR BOWL ERUPTIVE PERIOD (AGE: 1,200 YEARS)

Lahars representing this brief eruptive period, the chief product of which was the Sugar Bowl dome on the north flank of the mountain (Mullineaux and Crandell, 1981), have not been seen downstream in the river system. Crandell (1987) recognized apparently local lahars of this period that were formed by interactions between pyroclastic flows and snowpack at the base of the cone near Spirit Lake. Crandell and Hoblitt (1986) have recognized two northeastward-directed lateral blasts associated with formation of the Sugar Bowl dome.

KALAMA ERUPTIVE PERIOD (500 TO 350 YEARS)

In both the North Fork Toutle River at Camp Baker and the South Fork at Weyerhaeuser Camp 12, similar lahars of probable Kalama age overlie soils containing dated wood fragments (1,250±40 years at Camp Baker and 1,360±215 years at Camp 12). All flow deposits are relatively fine-grained flood-plain facies that have granular matrices. Near Camp Baker, a channel facies that may correlate with the North Fork flow consists of coarse cobbles and locally has a clast-supported framework. Relative thicknesses of incipient soil development on the units in each fork are compatible with a Kalama age. Mature trees growing on the units establish a pre-Goat Rocks age for the flows.

The largest Kalama-age lahar in the North Fork Toutle River forms a low terrace level near Kid Valley, where it conformably overlies two smaller lahars that are also of Kalama age. Wood from an in-place stump overlain by the three units yields a date of 505±155 years. Mature trees have grown on the terrace surface. Near the Green Mountain Mill the largest flow contains a hypersthene-rich pumice that is mineralogically similar to set W.

The upper, glaciated part of the South Fork Toutle River valley contains a sequence of deposits of avalanches that transformed to lahars extending at least 20 km from the crater. Wood from the outermost part of a mature tree buried by the largest of the avalanche deposits yielded a radiocarbon date of 460±40 years. That date corresponds to a calendar date of about A.D. 1440 on the tree-ring calibration curve of Suess (1970). Given the standard deviation of the date, and the presence of about 25 annual rings in the sample, that deposit may correlate with the eruption producing layer...
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One of the later avalanche deposits in this sequence is transitional with the deposit of a major pyroclastic surge that has many similarities to the 1980 blast deposit (Scott, 1988). The surge deposit contains abundant, generally surficially charred, wood and is locally dominated by light-gray, fine-grained dacite of the same type that formed the pre-1980 summit dome of the volcano. Mapping of the surge deposit during 1984 showed that it includes pebble-size, nonpumiceous clasts at elevations in excess of 300 m on valley-side slopes as much as 7 km from the crater. The surge crossed divides into both Sheep Canyon and the drainage of the North Fork Toutle River, and was strongly directed westward down the South Fork, against the probable prevailing wind direction. At greater distances from the volcano, the episode is marked by a fine-grained layer 1 cm or less thick within the grass-root zone. That unit is the deposit of the ash cloud associated with the surge (or flow; note the variety of terminology applied to the 1980 lateral blast). The locally thick valley-fill facies of the surge is locally overlain by a synchronous or nearly synchronous lahar of similar composition. At other locations the surge deposits grade into avalanche deposits that have, in 1982 exposures, probable ground-surge deposits at their bases.

This pyroclastic surge is almost certainly the same one dated by Yamaguchi and Hoblitt (1986) within the range of A.D. 1647–1668. Illustrative of the spread in radiocarbon ages from young material (see Suess, 1970, and Stuiver, 1978), three wood fragments from the main surge deposit yielded dates of 485±155, 435±125, and 145±125 radiocarbon years. A radiocarbon date of 145±125 years can correspond to various calendar year ages between approximately A.D. 1670 and A.D. 1810 (Stuiver, 1978, fig. 1). The tree-ring dating by Yamaguchi and Hoblitt (1986) more accurately defines the time of this event, but it places the surge and its associated effects slightly later than the Kalama time interval recognized by Mullineaux and Crandell. Therefore, these obviously eruptive events are treated here as if they occurred during a brief extension of that eruptive period.

The two Kalama-age South Fork lahars that extended to the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River (table 1)—the only ones included in the subsequent frequency analysis—can be correlated with this catastrophic activity, even though a long interval of bedrock channel (fig. 6; Scott, 1988) makes the continuous tracing of individual flow units impossible. Stratigraphic evidence strongly suggests that these two lahars originated as transformations of either the avalanches or the pyroclastic surge itself, or both.

Lahars are interbedded with other types of flows and eruptive products of the Kalama period near the volcano. Lahars of almost certain Kalama age occur in nearly every watershed draining Mount St. Helens. Crandell (1987) notes a variety of lahar emplacement temperatures and the extension of flows at least as far as 11 km from the base of the volcano in the South Fork of the Toutle River. A large slope-mantling lahar of Kalama age occurs in the Disappointment Creek section (appendix).

GOAT ROCKS ERUPTIVE PERIOD (180–123 YEARS)

The Goat Rocks dome formed in the segment of the volcano drained by the North Fork Toutle River. The associated downslope deposits include material emplaced either by pyroclastic flows or lahars carrying both hot and cold debris (Crandell, 1987). The upper South Fork Toutle River valley contains one lahar of this eruptive period and another that dates from A.D. 1855 (Yamaguchi and Hoblitt, 1986). No lahars large enough to inundate flood plains a significant distance from the volcano were recognized from this youngest of the pre-1980 eruptive periods.

MAGNITUDE OF LAHARS

FLOW DEPTHS BASED ON THICKNESS OF FLOOD-PLAIN FACIES

The thicknesses of modern lahar deposits that remain as the flood-plain facies seem to be proportional to the sizes of the flows that deposited them. This should also be true for the ancient lahars. Using similar reasoning, Kochel and Baker (1982) found that paleoflood discharge and slack-water sediment thickness appeared to be directly related at a given depositional site. Given the general similarity in texture of most of the lahars in the watershed, the thicknesses of the flood-plain facies at a downstream location, such as the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River, can indicate the relative sizes of the flows.

Figure 3 is a plot of the ratio of the thickness of the flood-plain facies to flow depth for the two largest 1980 flows in the system. The plot indicates that, downstream and with increasing channel width, the deposits fill a greater proportion of the flow cross sections in the case of both the relatively high-clay North Fork lahar and the more typical granular and noncohesive South Fork lahar before the transformation to a lahar-runout flow began. That is, rates of deposition increased as slope decreased and valley width increased.

A clearly definable and constant relation between deposit thickness and flow depth is not to be expected, particularly because of the close time relation between some older flows. Overbank deposition of a sheet of lahar
MAGNITUDE OF LAHARS

The magnitude of lahars can be assessed through various methods, including the ratio of thickness of floodplain facies to flow depth. Figure 3 illustrates this ratio plotted against distance from the 1980 crater, for the two largest 1980 lahars described in Scott (1988).

Deposits must cause a period of channel readjustment to the higher floodplain levels, during which the relation between deposit thickness and flow depth for a subsequent flow will be changed temporarily. Nevertheless, for older flows that are textural analogs of one of the 1980 flows, the ratio of the thickness of the floodplain facies to flow depth at places that have similar channel slopes and configurations should in most cases yield a useful estimate of the overbank flow depth (table 3). Such estimates, however, are less satisfactory than measurements of the actual cross sections of the older flows.

MEASUREMENTS OF CROSS SECTIONS OF LAHAR FLOOD WAVES

A significant benefit of the study of lahar boundary features (Scott, 1988) is the ability to identify specific flows in lateral deposits on slopes. Figure 4 shows how the flow cross sections of lahar PC 1 were measured and how the cross sections of lahar PC 3 were estimated. The lateral equivalents of the “ball-bearing bed” at the base of PC 1 and a sandy sole layer at the base of lahar PC 3 were keys in correlating the respective units. These two lahars are the largest in the history of the post-Mount St. Helens Toutle River.

The altitude of the channel thalweg in the North Fork Toutle River at the start of lahar deposition in the Pine Creek eruptive period was close to its modern altitude. The base of the channel facies of lahar PC 1 is nearly coincident with the present channel at such widely separated locations as Pullen Creek and the Green Mountain Mill on the North Fork, and Tower on the main Toutle River. Once the peak flow level was identified, the cross-sectional area of the flow could be determined by adding the appropriate cross-sectional areas of Pine Creek deposits and modern alluvium to the existing cross-sectional area. This approach yields highly accurate flow sections in predominantly bedrock reaches, where erosion of valley-side slopes has been minimal since Pine Creek time.

MEASUREMENTS AND ESTIMATES OF VELOCITY AND DISCHARGE

Velocities of recent flows can be determined from superelevations of deposits on steep slopes (for example, Scott, 1988), but such determinations for older flows are difficult because of the thinness and sporadic distribution of the depositional coating. The deposits have eroded from most steep slopes, which is not surprising considering that by 1985 the peak flow deposits of the 1980 lahars had been eroded at many analogous locations. Peak flow deposits of lahars PC 1 and 3 are preserved, however, on moderate slopes where runup was locally significant, and are easily recognized because of their characteristic boundary features.

An example of a locality where peak mean velocity of lahar PC 1 could be determined was the island near the center of the flow upstream from the community of St. Helens. At several locations on the upstream flank of the island the levels of the highest clasts of Mount St. Helens rock types were compared with the same peak flow level on the downstream side, with adjustment for channel slope. The levels were also compared with upstream peak flow levels and the probable ratio of deposit thickness to flow depth. A distinction must be made between velocities determined this way, applying to the time of peak stage, and velocities applying to the time of peak discharge. In small flows, log jams or boulder fronts may act as moving dams and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lahar</th>
<th>Thickness of flood-plain facies (m)</th>
<th>Overbank flow depth Basis</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Discharge m³/s Basis</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lahars of the eruptive period beginning in 1980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1982 North Fork lahar.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Kid Valley-------</td>
<td>960 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1980 North Fork lahar.</td>
<td>1.5-2.0</td>
<td>1.0-4.0 Mm</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>6,800 F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First May 1980 South Fork lahar.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 Mm</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>3,600 F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second May 1980 South Fork lahar.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>500 Me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahars probably of Kalama eruptive period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahar from North Fork</td>
<td>&gt;1.8</td>
<td>&gt;4.0 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformably underlying lahar.</td>
<td>0?</td>
<td>0?</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest conformable lahar at Kid Valley.</td>
<td>0?</td>
<td>0?</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Kid Valley-------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahar from South Fork</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0 E</td>
<td>Camp 12-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahar from South Fork</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5 E</td>
<td>Camp 12-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahar probably of Castle Rock eruptive period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahar at Camp Baker--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Camp Baker-------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahars of Pine Creek eruptive period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth lahar (PC 4)---</td>
<td>0.0-1.5</td>
<td>≤2.5 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third lahar (PC 3)---</td>
<td>2.6-&gt;4.4</td>
<td>&gt;8.0 Md</td>
<td>Kid Valley-------</td>
<td>48,000 Me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second lahar (PC 2)---</td>
<td>0.0-2.2</td>
<td>≤3.0 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mean 0.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First lahar (PC 1)---</td>
<td>2.4-7.0</td>
<td>≤14.0 Md</td>
<td>30-50 km from crater.</td>
<td>200,000- Mr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gorge below Coal Bank Bridge.</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Highway 99-------</td>
<td>85,000 Me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahars of Smith Creek eruptive period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smaller lahar of this age at Kid Valley.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8 E</td>
<td>Kid Valley-------</td>
<td>&lt;1,200 Ee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal Bank Bridge section:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 16----------</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.5 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>25,000 Me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Highway 99-------</td>
<td>20,000 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 15----------</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>2,000 Ee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahars of Swift Creek eruptive stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal Bank Bridge section:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 14----------</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 12----------</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.5 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 9----------</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.0 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 8----------</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.3 E</td>
<td>Confluence-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.

**Thickness of flood-plain facies, depth of flow on flood plain, and measured and estimated peak discharges for lahars in the Toutle-Cowlitz River system—Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lahar</th>
<th>Thickness of flood-plain facies (m)</th>
<th>Overbank flow depth</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Discharge $m^3/s$ Basis$^2$</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Confluence-</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal Bank Bridge section:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 7--------------------</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Confluence-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 6--------------------</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Confluence-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 4--------------------</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Confluence-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3--------------------</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Confluence-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 2--------------------</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Confluence-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 1--------------------</td>
<td>3&gt;1.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Confluence-</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lahars probably of Swift Creek eruptive stage**

**Lahar of Cougar eruptive stage**

**Lahars of Ape Canyon eruptive stage (at least five lahars in all)**

1 Bases for determining flow depths:
- E, Estimated from ratio of thickness of flood-plain facies to flow depth of appropriate 1980 lahar at a location with a similar slope and channel configuration.
- Md, Measured from distribution of peak-flow deposits.
- Mm, Measured from peak-stage marks.

2 Bases for determining discharges:
- A, Attenuation rate of the texturally similar 1980 North Fork lahar.
- Ee, Estimated flow depth (based on thickness of flood-plain facies) and estimated velocity.
- Me, Measured cross sections and estimated velocities.
- Mr, Measured cross sections and velocities based on measurements of runup.

3 Thickness of flood-plain facies based on relative thicknesses of channel and flood-plain facies of 1980 lahars.

Form peak stages (mudlines) hydraulically inconsistent with the subsequent peak discharges. Peak stage and discharge for most significant lahars, and particularly for those the size of PC 1 and PC 3, were almost certainly coincident.

The peak mean velocity of lahar PC 1 was in the range of 15-22 m/s (meters per second) in the North Fork Toutle River between 30 and 50 km from the crater. This range encompasses the determined velocity of 15-18 m/s in the broad valley containing the flow island near St. Helens, and other determinations based on measurements of runup and superelevation in bends in downstream reaches where flow was deeper and more constricted. Velocity certainly increased between the flow island and the narrower reaches; the amount of increase is also indicated by (1) an increase in velocity from 7.8 to 9.5-12.0 m/s for the 1980 North Fork flow over the same channel interval (Fairchild and Wigmosta, 1983), and (2) a reduction in lahar cross-sectional area that is expectable from configuration of the reach rather than from attenuation of the peak discharge. For example, the cross-sectional area of lahar PC 1 near Kid Valley was 13,500 m² (square meters); upstream at the flow island, the flow cross section was a minimum of 15,600 m². Given the certainty of no flow transformation between these points, and assuming hydraulic continuity and minimal deposition, the decrease in cross section translates into a velocity increase from 15-18 m/s at the flow island to 17-21 m/s at Kid Valley. This extrapolation corresponds with PC 1 flow velocities derived from measured runups and superelevations near Kid Valley, which were, however, less certain than the velocities determined at the flow island.
FIGURE 4.—Selected measured cross sections of flow for lahars PC 1 and PC 3 of Pine Creek age. A, Near downstream end of flow island near Alder Creek. B, Near Pullen Creek. C, Near Kid Valley.
The flow velocities of the huge lahars can also be determined from general relations (fig. 5) of velocity to depth, or velocity to the product of slope (S) and hydraulic radius (R) in the Manning equation. Hydraulic radius is the channel area divided by the wetted perimeter, which is twice the depth plus the width. Both relations are plotted in figure 5, where the velocity-depth relation appears with little scatter. The plot of velocity versus the product of R and S is based only on measurements of lahar PC 1. Figure 5 is a basis for estimating velocities at downstream locations where the flow cross sections, but not velocity, can be determined. These estimated velocities may then be used in estimating the discharges of large flows at such locations.

The discharges calculated for lahars PC 1 and 3 (table 3), and based on measured cross sections and on calculated and estimated velocities, are good indicators of the truly catastrophic sizes of those flows. The instantaneous peak discharge of lahar PC 1 is equal to the discharge of the midcourse Amazon River at flood stage (Oltman and others, 1964), or to more than three times the largest recorded flood peak of the Mississippi River. Although lahar PC 3 attained an estimated discharge less than one-fourth that of PC 1 upstream, its inundation level locally approached and may have locally exceeded that of PC 1 in downstream reaches, as it flowed on top of the voluminous deposits of the earlier flow. However, the inundation levels mapped by Scott and Janda (1982), as shown in figure 1, predominantly reflect the levels attained by lahar PC 1.

The largest discharge determined for any flow at Mount St. Helens is over 1 million m$^3$/s for the proximal phase of the 1980 lahar in the South Fork Toltle River (Scott, 1988). That discharge, however, applies to the dispersed phase of that flow, which is best described as a lithic pyroclastic surge. It is, therefore, not directly comparable to the discharges discussed here.

FLOW MAGNITUDES FOR PLANNING PURPOSES

The magnitude of a lahar with a recurrence interval of slightly less than 100 years (see section on frequency) would be sufficient to inundate nearly all flood plains throughout the Toutle River system to a probable depth of at least 2 m (mean flow depth of overbank lahars in table 3, excluding lahar PC 1, is 2.7 m). This depth corresponds to a peak discharge of at least 10,000 m$^3$/s on the broad flood plain near the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River. This figure is somewhat larger than estimates of design discharges for lahars based only on hypothetical interactions of pyroclastic flows with snowpack, as described in the section on origin of the lahar series of Pine Creek age. The variety of lahar origins in both forks of the river (Scott, 1988) shows that large lahars can form in other ways.

The true significance of lahar hazards in the river system is apparent from a comparison with flood flows of similar recurrence interval. For example, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1982, table B-1) determined that a flood with a recurrence interval of 100 years would have a peak discharge of 1,810 m$^3$/s at Highway 99, near the mouth of the Toutle River, and a peak discharge of 2,880 m$^3$/s at Castle Rock in the Cowlitz River below the confluence with the Toutle River. At upstream stations in the Toutle River, flood discharges of the same recurrence interval would be less. Both flood discharges reflect post-eruption changes in the rainfall-runoff regime.

The emphasis in this report is on lahar magnitudes at the forks of the Toutle River, more than 50 km downstream from the 1980 crater. Of the lahars and
lahar-runout flows listed in tables 1 and 3, at least 35 inundated flood-plain surfaces in that vicinity. At least six of the flows reached the Columbia River as lahars or lahar-runout flows large enough to cause serious inundation there, more than 100 km downstream.

In hydrologic analysis, the use of the term “worst-case” is not good practice because it is always possible to have a flow that is worse than those recorded. In this example, however, the spectrum of flow magnitudes and origins is known throughout the entire history of the volcano, as are the worst possible lahar-forming conditions. Consequently, the term is used in the following discussion with a connotation more valid than usual. Two extreme or worst-case lahars are described: (1) a lake-breakout lahar and (2) a smaller lahar derived from a slope failure. The first of these has a probability that will increase over the long term; the latter is more probable over the short term because of remedial engineering works that have lowered lake levels or stabilized their outlets.

Lahar PC 1 of Pine Creek age is clearly the worst to be considered for design purposes, because it very probably originated as a flood surge released by breaching of a natural dam impounding an ancestral Spirit Lake. (See Scott, in press.) Spirit Lake is the largest source of water available, or potentially available, to form a lahar. A natural dam consisting of debris-avalanche deposits has blocked the natural outlet of the lake since May 18, 1980. In 1985 a drainage tunnel lowered and stabilized the level of the lake, and thereby eliminated the immediate risk of a lahar like PC 1 being caused by overtopping of the dam by precipitation runoff. With time, however, the potential for future volcanic activity will increase the possibility of a PC 1 analog. A large flow of volcanic origin entering the lake could displace sufficient water to overtop the dam or cause a piping failure of the avalanche deposit. Extrapolations of catastrophic phenomena based on rate of dome growth and the behavior of other volcanoes are made by Glicken (1986). Given the history of the volcano and the lengths of past eruptive periods, the probability of renewed explosive activity within a 100-yr time span is significant.

If the risk of lake-breakout lahars is reduced by the present engineering works, however, the magnitude of the extreme or worst-case lahar is also reduced. In the last 4,500 years, the largest lahar thought to have originated through slope failure is the widely distributed lahar of Smith Creek age (unit 17 of Coal Bank Bridge section; appendix). That lahar is linked to slope failure because it was texturally similar to the 1980 North Fork lahar: it had a relatively low lahar-bulking factor and relatively high clay content (Scott, 1988). The flow of Smith Creek age had a peak discharge estimated at 25,000 m³/s (table 3). Although much smaller than lahar PC 1, it was approximately 4 times the size of the 1980 North Fork flow. A flow of this size would inundate flood plains throughout the Toutle-Cowlitz River system. The inundation would occur even if the Corps of Engineers were to greatly enlarge the channel capacity in the Cowlitz River.

A lahar having the size and texture of the Smith Creek flow would behave much like the 1980 North Fork lahar, which had a lower rate of attenuation than the more typical flows with a lower clay content. Peak discharge of the 1980 North Fork lahar, about 7,000–8,500 m³/s near Camp Baker, only declined to 6,000–6,500 m³/s on the lower Toutle River (Fairchild and Wigmosta, 1983). The older flow, like its 1980 textural analog, did not transform to a lahar-runout flow. Even the huge lahar PC 1 began to transform in the lower Toutle River; it was granular and formed mainly of stream alluvium bulked into a flood surge.

With time, however, the extreme or worst-case lahar will again become a PC 1 analog. A lahar the size of PC 1 would overtop the terrace of the lahar-emplaced fill of Pine Creek age; this fill locally occupies much of the valley. The terrace surface is well populated throughout the river system; on it are built significant parts of the communities of Toutle, Silver Lake, Tower, and Castle Rock. Lahars equivalent to the smaller Smith Creek flow would only locally inundate the terrace, by an amount dependent on the amount of Pine Creek age fill locally remaining. The terrace was overtopped in some places by the 1980 North Fork lahar downstream from Camp Baker and, downstream from the Green Mountain Mill, the terrace surface was in places less than 2.5 m above the peak stage of that flow.

Two factors presently influence a risk analysis of lahars in the river system. One is the unknown stability of the blockage of Castle Lake (fig. 1), one of the avalanche-impounded lakes (Meyer and others, 1985). A combination of elevated ground-water levels and an earthquake with a seismic coefficient one-half that of the maximum credible earthquake could cause substantial deformation of the blockage. Even under those circumstances, however, failure cannot be assumed. Should the blockage fail, though, whether the result would be debris flow or hyperconcentrated streamflow depends on the size of the initial flood wave, the degree of saturation of the downstream debris avalanche, and the amounts of downstream bulking and debulking. The size of any such flood wave would depend strongly on the width of breaching and the time of development. Preliminary modeling of a flood wave that could result from a failure indicates a rapidly attenuating hyperconcentrated flow that would inundate flood plains throughout at least the Toutle River system (A. Laenen, USGS, oral commun., 1986).
The second factor influencing short-term decisions is a large sediment-retention structure being constructed at a site near Pullen Creek on the North Fork Toutle River. The structure also will function to impound lahars and lahar-runout flows for an unknown period. Reservoir capacity will not be maintained under present plans, and the reservoir will fill progressively. No impoundment structure is planned for the South Fork Toutle River, a prolific source of ancient lahars as well as two lahars in 1980.

**THE NATURE OF LAHAR HAZARDS**

This study’s principal conclusions regarding lahar magnitude are (1) that a lahar of 100-yr frequency would inundate modern flood plains and low terraces throughout at least the Toutle River system and (2) that either extreme or worst-case lahar described above would inundate all flood plains and most terraces throughout the Toutle-Cowlitz River system. Both the high-frequency lahar and the larger worst-case flow would evolve distally into lahar-runout flows. The smaller worst-case lahar, derived from a slope failure like the lahar of Smith Creek age and the 1980 North Fork lahar, would not.

Extensive observations of damage from the 1980 lahars indicate that most of the damage from a lahar occurs upon initial inundation of the flood-plain surface. Further increase in depth does not necessarily increase damage proportionally. Where the 1980 North Fork lahar inundated flood plains, trees were killed (but later salvaged), structures were destroyed, and most agricultural uses of the flood plain were lost at least temporarily. Most houses inundated by the lahar were salvaged for materials, even those that weren't damaged structurally (such as the mobile homes that floated in place to the surface of the deposits in areas of passive flow on the flood plain).

Timber floating in the lahar caused extensive structural damage, and the lahar deposits permeated all parts of inundated structures, including interior walls. The main source of the timber in the flow was flood plains adjacent to active channels, where trees were removed by the high-velocity channel flow. (See fig. 32, Scott, 1988). Felled trees stored at Camp Baker were also incorporated.

Even if the possibility of lake-breakout lahars were eliminated by the stabilization of the avalanche-impounded lakes, damage from the smaller worst-case lahar would approach that caused by the larger. The smaller of the two would still inundate flood plains and parts of the main terrace surface, and the reduction in damage would not be proportional to the reduction in size.

The risk of lahars is only partly reduced by engineering stabilization of the modern avalanche-impounded lakes. The debris avalanche remains as a potential source of sediment for any meltwater surge from the volcano. The lahar bulking factors of the lake-breakout lahars (Scott, 1988) show that bulking of stream alluvium is sufficient to transform a flood surge to a lahar, probably without other sediment sources. Moreover, rocks forming the flanks of the volcano within the South Fork Toutle Toutle River drainage could be mobilized into a catastrophic flow by explosive activity less violent than the eruption of May 1980.

### RECURRENCE INTERVALS OF LAHARS AND LAHAR-RUNOUT FLOWS

As shown in the preceding section, lahars large enough to inundate flood plains are of critical magnitude and are recorded by a significant thickness of deposits of the lahar flood-plain facies. Such lahars are thus the object of frequency analysis (tables 1 and 3).

Evidence presented below indicates that, after one lahar has occurred, the probability of others is significantly increased. The occurrence of lahars is thus less random than the occurrence of precipitation-induced floods. In a normal flood frequency study, the occurrence of one major flood does not necessarily increase the probability of a second large flood beyond the relatively small statistical effect of adding a large flow to the total time series.

The number of flows inferred from the stratigraphic record provides a minimal estimate of the actual number. For example, flows of Castle Creek age are less likely to be recorded because of the dominantly erosional regime that followed emplacement of the Pine Creek–age deposits. Although the succession of flows away from the volcano mainly coincided with the eruptive chronology as determined by Mullineaux and Crandell (1981), the durations of the episodes cannot be considered exact.

The recurrence interval of an overbank lahar or lahar-runout flow varies with the length of the record that is analyzed. The recurrence interval of a lahar or lahar-runout flow that extends overbank at the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River, within the eruptive
episodes of the last 13,700 years, is 247 years (based on 26 overbank flows during 6,414 years considered to be within eruptive episodes; table 1). For the eruptive periods of the last 4,500 years, the comparable recurrence interval is 128 years. If the Castle Creek period is excluded, for the reason previously mentioned, the recurrence interval within eruptive periods of the Spirit Lake eruptive stage is 94 years.

Thus, given the present eruptive state of Mount St. Helens, the indicated recurrence interval of an overbank flow at the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River would be about 100 years (an exceedence probability of about 1 percent). However, a shorter interval seems more probable, based on the likely occurrence of lahars not preserved or exposed and on the evidence of grouping of lahars discussed in the following section. The shortening of the recurrence interval, as only recent eruptive periods are considered, is more likely due to a lack of exposure of older flows than to an increasing frequency of flows. It is also due to a less precise definition of the older eruptive episodes.

Because of the change in composition of the eruptive products of Mount St. Helens at the close of Pine Creek time, the frequency or type of lahars may also have changed. Factors that support a uniformitarian extrapolation of past events to the future are (1) the essential comparability of each 1980 flow to one or more pre-modern-cone lahars and (2) the present potential for a sequence of lake-breakout lahars analogous to that of Pine Creek age. Crandell and Mullineaux (1978) presented a map of volcanic deposits of the last 4,500 years—the period for which design recurrence intervals were calculated above—as a guide to hazard potential; they selected this time period partly because they believed it likely to represent future volcanic activity.

Long-term planning (tens of years) can employ a 100-year lahar recurrence interval in the same way that a design-flood recurrence interval is used for the purposes of zoning on flood plains or for benefit-cost appraisals of engineering works. Similarly, decisions for the terrace surface underlain by the Pine Creek-age sequence can reflect the magnitudes of the worst-case lahars discussed above. Planning for some structures well above the Pine Creek terrace surface might also be affected by the projected worst-case lahar; for example, bridges and highways along major evacuation routes would need to remain open during the highest possible flow. As in most analyses of extreme events, a meaningful recurrence interval cannot be assigned to the worst-case flows.

EVIDENCE OF TIME GROUPING OF LAHARS

The conservative approach to lahar hazards advocated here is warranted by evidence that lahars do not occur randomly within the established eruptive periods. The occurrence of one lahar increases the odds of a recurrence above those based on the overall recurrence intervals in eruptive periods. This change in probability cannot be calculated because the time intervals involved are too short for accurate definition by radiometric dating.

One line of evidence, based on tree molds, shows that a runout flow and the four lahars of Pine Creek age occurred within a few decades, rather than having been distributed throughout the 500-year span of the eruptive period. Tree molds (fig. 6) penetrated all four lahars, and occur as vertical cylindrical shafts open at the surface. They are known locally as tree "wells." They are especially common in the triangle formed by the forks of the Toutle and a north-south line transecting the Green Mountain Mill. Depths reportedly exceed 25 m, but I measured none in excess of 10 m, a depth close to the probable maximum total thickness of the flood-plain facies of the Pine Creek lahar series. Wood within the lahar sequence has been totally removed by weathering, but the underlying stumps are preserved within the basal runout deposit and reworked runout sands.

The interior surfaces of some freshly exposed tree molds have a pattern indicating that bark remained intact throughout emplacement of the Pine Creek lahar series. Based on the normal decompositional evolution of a standing old-growth Douglas fir (Franklin and others, 1981, fig. 16), this indicates that the entire sequence was emplaced in less than 20 years.

A second line of evidence indicates an even shorter time interval for emplacement of the Pine Creek lahars. The stratigraphic contacts are sharp and nearly planar where there was no primary relief. Locally the units are separated by thin intercalations of sand, deposited by dewatering of the flow or by the first runoff after emplacement, but no traces of vegetation are found along the contacts. By 1985, however, the flood-plain facies of the 1980 lahars were covered with a nearly impenetrable growth of alders. This evidence suggests that the entire sequence of Pine Creek age was emplaced within several years or less.

This second type of evidence applies to the lahars of Swift Creek and Kalama age as well, but generally in lesser degree. The sequence of Swift Creek units in the Coal Bank Bridge section (appendix) contains lahars with sharp contacts indicating a very close time association, although the channeled base of unit 8 is a possible exception. The original exposures at that locality revealed contacts over distances of 100 to 200 m. Some of the sharp contacts may result from the overlapping of approximately synchronous flows from each fork of the Toutle River. The original exposures of the Kalama...
character of the eruptive episodes

All the lines of evidence described above indicate that large lahars formed during relatively small parts of the eruptive periods and stages. This in turn strongly suggests that large eruptions were likewise concentrated, and that the actual eruptive periods could be both more numerous and shorter than the periods (table 1) used to calculate the lahar recurrence intervals. This interpretation implies that the probability of a lahar within an eruptive episode like the modern period is higher (the recurrence interval is lower) than that calculated on the basis of the durations of the periods and stages as shown in table 1. That is, once a lahar has occurred, more are likely to follow.

Apparent concentrations of eruptive activity were one reason leading Crandell (1987) to designate the three oldest eruptive periods—Ape Canyon, Cougar, and Swift Creek—as eruptive stages, and to group the younger periods in a single eruptive stage, the Spirit Lake stage. The evidence from the lahar sequences supports this approach, so Crandell's terminology is used here. Implicit in this terminology is the probability that the three older eruptive stages incorporate eruptive periods yet to be recognized.

The lengths of the eruptive stages and periods (table 1) are in part a function of the largely unavoidable spread in radiocarbon ages (as illustrated by table 2) due to sample and sampling variations, as well as to variations from changes in atmospheric radiocarbon. Such changes were particularly pronounced during the Pine Creek and Kalama eruptive periods. Another factor is the removal by weathering of wood from virtually all lahars of pre-Kalama age beyond the base of Mount St. Helens; by necessity, then, radiocarbon dates are established for wood from adjacent strata that are older or younger than the lahars (albeit only slightly). This factor adds further uncertainty to the ages of the lahars.

Lake-breakout laharS of the pine creek eruptive period

The four lahars of Pine Creek age, including the two largest lahars in the history of the watershed, apparently originated through lake breakouts resulting from the failure of natural dams (Scott, in press). Large lahars also formed this way in the Lewis River, south of Mount St. Helens (Newhall, 1982; Major and Scott, 1988). The engineering works that stabilize the natural dams created by the 1980 debris avalanche in the North Fork Toutle River thus reduce the chances of an analogous modern sequence of flows. Although failure as a result of precipitation inflow to the lakes has been eliminated, the injection of a large volcanic flow into Spirit Lake could cause it to overtop and destroy its natural dam. If the risk of lake-breakout lahars were eliminated completely, the lahars of Pine Creek age could be removed from the time series from which the recurrence intervals were calculated. This would, in effect, increase the recurrence interval (thereby decreasing the probability) of a lahar or lahar-runout flow large enough to inundate flood plains 50 km away during the last 4,500 years from about 100 years, to approximately 130 years. This...
decrease in probability, however, may not compensate for the increase required by the close time association of lahars.

EVIDENCE OF LAKE-BREAKOUT ORIGIN

Evidence that the sequence of Pine Creek lahars was derived from lake-breakout flood surges includes (1) the great magnitude of the initial lahar relative to lesser magnitudes attributable to other origins; (2) a high content of alluvium introduced into the flood surge beyond the base of the volcano; (3) abundant megaclasts derived from a debris avalanche that may have blocked the drainage of the North Fork Toutle River; and (4) occurrence in a time-clustered sequence.

COMPARISON OF MAGNITUDES OF LAHAR PC 1 AND LAHARS OF OTHER ORIGINS

A common cause of volcanically induced flood surges is the melting of a thick snowpack by pyroclastic flows, tephra, and other hot, explosively distributed eruptive products. The high pumice content of the flows of Ape Canyon age indicates that this was probably a common mode of origin during that time. Subsequently, snow-melt resulting from lithic pyroclastic flows has probably accounted for many lahars of intermediate and small size in the river system (Scott, 1988).

As part of a preliminary analysis of hazards in the river system, Dunne and Leopold (1981) calculated the potential size and rate of attenuation of mudflows resulting from various sizes of pyroclastic flows over snow. The most extreme conditions of snow accumulation and width of pyroclastic flow yielded a peak discharge of 3,900 m$^3$/s at Kid Valley. The calculation reflected the present topography of the volcano, representing optimal conditions for discharge into the North Fork Toutle River. Peak discharge of the 1982 lahar, which resulted from eruption-induced snowpack melting in the crater, was 960 m$^3$/s (Pierson and Scott, 1985) at the same location.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (written commun., 1984) used as a design criterion an “operating basis mudflow” with a “most likely” peak discharge of 7,100 m$^3$/s upstream from Camp Baker. The likelihood of the event was considered “very small.” The calculation assumed a pyroclastic flow affecting an area of 13 km$^2$ and melting of the entire underlying snowpack within “minutes.” Also considered was the idea of a “maximum mudflow,” defined as the “most severe mudflow believed to be possible *** in the foreseeable future on the basis of geologic and volcanic evidence.”

This flow had a peak discharge upstream from Camp Baker of 42,500 to 113,000 m$^3$/s, and also would have attenuated downstream. It assumed a pyroclastic flow that would melt all the snow over an area of 45 km$^2$ and occur at the time of maximum snow accumulation.

The above hypothetical flows are small compared to the peak discharge of lahar PC 1 (table 3 and fig. 7). Catastrophic ejection could have formed a large lahar, but the flow would have a low lahar bulking factor (LBF), unlike lahar PC 1 (Scott, 1988). By far the most likely source of the flood surge that transformed to that lahar was ancestral Spirit Lake, impounded by a natural dam at or downstream of the pre-1980 outlet. The most probable cause of the surge is failure of the natural dam, but lake water could have been displaced by a volcanic
flow into the lake. The existence of a summit crater lake in Pine Creek time cannot be entirely ruled out, but such lakes are rare at modern Cascade Range stratovolcanoes. Craters now filled or partly filled with significant amounts of glacial ice are present at Mount Rainier, Wash., and South Sister, Oreg. Neither of these craters, however, contains more than a tiny fraction of the water needed to create a lahar comparable in size to PC 1.

**IMPLICATIONS OF LAHAR BULKING FACTORS**

The two largest lahars in the Pine Creek sequence, PC 1 and PC 3, have high LBF's (Scott, 1988). The two smaller flows also have high LBF's, although this conclusion is based on field comparisons of clast roundness with that of the larger flows. Thus the sequence was formed by transformation of flood surges to lahars beyond the base of the volcano. In the case of lahar PC 1, the deposits record this transformation only after almost 20 km of flow from the north side of the volcano. Comparable LBF's are rare in the entire record of lahars in the Toutle-Cowlitz River system, indicating the uniqueness of the Pine Creek lahars in this respect.

**MEGACLASTS**

Masses of hydrothermally altered dacite breccia (fig. 8A and B) are common in lahar PC 1, and locally are sufficiently abundant that they form a diamicton in which the laharic diamicton acts as the matrix. Locally the clasts form surface mounds. The megACLasts are, with the exception of the brecciation, like the rocks of pre-Castle Creek age exposed in the core of the modern crater. The dacite megACLAsTs are as much as 8 m in exposed intermediate diameter, and originated as blocks from an ancient debris avalanche. The evidence for this conclusion is described in Scott (in press). The abundance of the dacite megACLAsTs suggests that the natural dam that failed, giving rise to the PC 1 flood wave, had that origin.

The only flow of pre-Pine Creek age in the river system with any similar megACLAsTs is the rubbly lahar of Swift Creek age (unit 8 of the Coal Bank Bridge section; appendix), in which two megACLAsTs of hydrothermally altered, brecciated dacite were observed. This flow is notable for the angularity of its clasts, so it cannot be demonstrated to have begun as a flood surge.

A second, much rarer variety of megACLast consists wholly or partly of alluvium. The megACLast of figure 8C, for instance, was more than 6 by approximately 12 m in original exposure and contains five stratigraphic units, as listed in the figure caption. Units 1 through 4 represent strata from the flood plain in the broad valley upstream from the community of St. Helens that predate lahar PC 1. The flood-plain surface, represented by the upper surfaces of units 3 and 4, was inundated by lahar PC 1, whose deposits form unit 5 in the megACLast. As lahar depth increased, the block was detached, probably by lateral erosion of a channel cutbank. As indicated by their textural identity, unit 5 is probably an early deposit of lahar PC 1. The alluvium-bearing megACLast "grounded" on numerous dacite megACLAsTs in the flow, tilting the delicate mass upstream at an angle of 7 degrees. The rafted deposits of the enveloping flow, which form unit 5, may have been deposited before detachment, or later as the mass was riding in the lahar. They clearly were part of the megACLast as seen in original exposures, and deposition before detachment is considered more likely.

The sequence of events recorded by the megACLAsT illustrates how the large volume of valley-fill alluvium was incorporated into the Pine Creek flows. That the mass probably was not transported far is shown by the fragile nature of the alluvium (it crumbles to the touch). Most masses of eroded alluvium probably disintegrated immediately, dispersing their components into the flow. The alluvium in the megACLast was preserved only because it was "sandwiched" between the two lahars (units 1 and 3). Estimates of the volume of the flood wave and the erosion depth necessary to form lahar PC 1 are discussed by Scott (1988 and in press).

**NATURAL DAMS AT VOLCANOES**

Considered together, the above evidence indicates that the flood surges that transformed to the lahars of Pine Creek age were formed by breaches in a natural dam or dams. A remarkably similar sequence of events probably would have followed emplacement of the 1980 debris avalanche, except for human intervention. The abundance of dacite megACLAsTs in lahar PC 1 suggests that at least the initial natural dam may have been a debris avalanche, as in 1980. The geometry of the apron of volcanic and volcaniclastic deposits, where it abuts Harrys Ridge north of the peak, is conducive to the creation of natural dams. Spirit Lake had formed behind this apron of deposits by Smith Creek time (Crandell, 1987). On May 18, 1980, the outlet of Spirit Lake was blocked by as much as 170 m of debris avalanche deposits, and the level of the lake was catastrophically raised by 63 m (Youd and others, 1981; Meyer and others, 1986).

Debris avalanches are especially likely to create large and deep lakes impounded by unstable dams because the avalanches are large (commonly more than 1 km²; Siebert, 1984) and mobile (median ratio of vertical descent to lateral distance = 0.11; Siebert, 1984), and
lahars, rubbly lava flows, glaciers, moraines, and rock, ice, or snow avalanches. Some types of blocking deposits may be rapidly eroded and leave little evidence of their presence except for megaclasts in downstream debris flows.

A thick fill of pyroclastic flows and lahars of Pine Creek age in the Castle Creek valley extended into the valley of the North Fork Toutle River, where individual flows could have dammed the main drainage and then failed, releasing flow that formed lahars downstream (Crandell, 1987). The width of the valley near Castle Creek, the reestablishment of drainage between flows, and the fluid nature of both pyroclastic flow and lahar deposits all suggest that this fill could have been a source of multiple lahars, but none so large as the gigantic flows many kilometers downstream in the Pine Creek sequence. Flood surge release through pumiceous pyroclastic flow deposits would have yielded lahars with a characteristic pumice content not seen in large lahars later than Ape Canyon in age (although much pumice was incorporated in the small lahars formed by pumiceous pyroclastic flows during eruptions in 1980–81). Mobile lithic pyroclastic flows would have behaved much like lahars in their ability to block stream channels. As discussed in the next section, the surge released from a lahar blockage is likely to be of much lesser magnitude than the downstream continuation of the primary flow. Relatively fluid flows like lahars flow into lateral backwater areas, leaving broad, shallow deposits with gentle lateral slopes.

LAHAR-MARGIN LAKES—MODERN AND ANCIENT

The inundation area of lahar PC 1 mapped by Scott and Janda (1982) was verified by the presence of small,
slightly infilled lakes on or adjacent to the lateral surface of the peak flow deposits. These lakes, now mainly existing as marshy areas or ponds surrounded by marshes, were originally very shallow features analogous to the small lakes that existed temporarily along the margins of the 1980 North Fork and South Fork lahars. Most of the modern lakes did not exceed 1 m in depth and were at least partly drained as runoff from the lateral tributaries filled the depressions and caused breaching. None yielded a discharge of any consequence.

The behavior of the 1980 lahars suggests that they were not capable of creating significant blockages and lake impoundments at the mouths of tributary streams. Their behavior varied greatly according to size of the tributary. The brief impoundment of the Cowlitz River by the 1980 North Fork lahar is described in Scott (1988). Similar brief blockages occurred at the confluence of the Green and North Fork Toutle Rivers and at the confluence of the forks of the Toutle River.

Silver Lake, formed as the result of blockage by the Pine Creek lahars, is the exception to the generally small size of lahar-margin lakes. The lake has a surface and lateral marsh area of more than 15 km². However, with a maximum measured depth of little more than 3 m, the feature is an analog of the small lahar-margin lakes. It seems unlikely that downcutting of the broad natural dam has ever been rapid enough to yield a significant surge. In fact, before construction of a weir and drainage channel in 1971, a serious problem for lakeshore residents was the inability of the lake to drain rapidly through its weed-choked natural outlet after storm inflow. Wind-generated waves in combination with the sustained high water level periodically caused damage. A modern lake-breakout lahar from Spirit Lake possibly could raise the level of the water in Silver Lake, thereby adding lakeshore flooding to the list of hazards associated with such a lahar.

**COMPARISON OF LAHAR PC 1 WITH MODELED FLOWS**

The peak discharges determined for lahar PC 1 (fig. 7), which resulted from an ancient breakout of Spirit Lake, can be used to assess the accuracy of model discharges resulting from hypothetical modern breakouts of the lake. An ideal model should simulate the actual PC 1 lahar, yet the comparison shows that the behavior of that lahar was significantly different from that predicted by any of the three models to date.

Between 30 and 50 km from the volcano, the depths and inundation levels of lahar PC 1 (Scott and Janda, 1982) and of a hypothetical modern lahar from a breakout of Spirit Lake (Swift and Kresh, 1983) are nearly the same. Both levels are plotted by the Cowlitz County Department of Community Development (1983). Flow dynamics and the subsequent downstream attenuation are very different, however. Mean velocities of the modeled lahar are much less than those of lahar PC 1, and the rate of attenuation is lower. Velocities of the modeled flow are locally similar to or even less than those of the 1980 North Fork lahar (6 to 12 m/s; Fairchild and Wigmosta, 1983). The latter lahar was exceptional in its origin and its relatively high clay content (Scott, 1988). The differences in behavior between lahar PC 1 and the modeled analog arise partly because the clay content of the 1980 flow was used for comparison (see Scott, in press), and the model, therefore, assumed too much internal flow resistance. The behavior of the ancient lahars in the river system indicates that a modern lahar derived from a Spirit Lake breakout would be granular in character and would contain less than 3 percent clay (Scott, in press). That clay content is the approximate transformation-limiting clay content of lahars in the river system. Consequently, an actual flood wave would have undergone the same series of transformations shown in figure 7. It would not behave like the uniform, relatively unchanged mudflow represented by the model.

A second model of a modern Spirit Lake breakout flood surge projects that the surge would not generate sediment concentrations above 15 percent by volume (Bissell and Hutcheon, 1983). This concentration is below the hyperconcentrated range. The largest of several modeled flood waves is relatively small (fig. 7). This model has no similarity with the real-life event represented by lahar PC 1.

The third model assumed the formation of hyperconcentrated flow, but no lahar, from a modern Spirit Lake breakout (Sikonia, 1985). This assumption was based on the mistaken idea that the only sediment available to the flood surge would be from nearly saturated deposits of the debris avalanche. The high LBF of lahar PC 1 shows the assumption to be unrealistic, even in a case where transformation of the modern flood surge to a debris flow was not completed on the debris avalanche. The LBF’s of the Pine Creek lahars clearly indicate that bulking to a lahar would continue below the modern avalanche terminus.

Large lahars like PC 1 also behave differently from many of the small-scale mudflows described in the literature. The large lahars at Mount St. Helens are less viscous and have less cohesive sediment than the smaller flows. Also, their progressive mixing with perennial streamflow may be pronounced, leading to distal flow transformations (Scott, 1988). Such transformations probably occur in debris flows of alpine and semiarid environments but have not been reported. The
transferability of data on these flows to the behavior and deposit characteristics of lahars is generally slight.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A lahar or lahar-runout flow originating at Mount St. Helens is the chief hydrologic hazard to be considered in land-use planning for the Toutle and lower Cowlitz Rivers, their flood plains, and their higher, lahar-emplaced terraces.

It is highly probable that a major lahar will inundate flood plains and, locally, terraces throughout the Toutle River system within the next 100 years, a typical time frame on which engineering and land-use decisions are based. The most extreme lahar is larger than the “maximum possible mudflow” calculated by the Corps of Engineers, in part because the risk of gigantic lake-breakout lahars like those occurring in the Pine Creek eruptive period, although now reduced by engineering works, will increase over the long term.

All previous attempts to model a hypothetical lahar or volcanically induced flood surge have addressed single origins—either (1) meltwater from a pyroclastic flow or (2) breakouts of avalanche-impounded lakes. However, the other formative mechanisms for lahars documented by Scott (1988) cannot be ignored in the modeling and planning processes. In estimating probable future lahar types, hazards, and probabilities, the importance of the past behavior and record of flows at a volcano cannot be overemphasized.

The largest lahars in the river system have originated in the North Fork Toutle River. The 1980 crater debouches into that drainage and will probably be the source of numerous lahars of snowmelt origin in the modern eruptive period. The South Fork, however, has also been the source of many lahars throughout the history of Mount St. Helens. When explosive activity analogous to that of 1980 recurs, the steep volcano flank in the headwaters of that drainage may induce the large (though uncommon) lahars formed by catastrophic ejection or slope failure.

The most probable lahar will be of the granular, noncohesive variety and will undergo the transformations shown in figure 7. It will attenuate more rapidly than debris flow waves such as the 1980 North Fork lahar and the modeled lahar resulting from a modern Spirit Lake breakout. As a result, flood waves consisting of hyperconcentrated lahar-runout flow will probably constitute the chief hazard in the lower Cowlitz River. Models that simulate this behavior, in the distal part of a lahar flood wave, can yield the magnitudes most appropriate for design purposes along the lower Cowlitz River. The most typical lahar flood wave in the system was a flood surge in both its proximal and distal portions.
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### SELECTED MEASURED STRATIGRAPHIC SECTIONS

**Green Mountain Mill Section (Channel Facies)**

[Location: cutbank on south side of North Fork Toutle River, 1.5 km downstream from mill; SW¼ sec. 16, T. 10 N., R. 1 E.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposits of Pine Creek age:</th>
<th>Meters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Lahar PC 1: pebble mode, gray silty sand matrix; mainly matrix supported; basal 25 percent of unit is inversely graded, upper 60 percent is normally graded; fills shallow channels on surface of lahars PC 3. Exposures at this locality were removed by erosion in late 1981</td>
<td>0.0-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Lahar PC 2: pebble or cobble mode, mainly similar to underlying units, with 15- to 25-cm-thick gray sandy sole layer at base; limb and trunk molds common; the basal 10 percent of the unit is inversely graded, the upper 40 percent is normally graded. The vertical tree mold present at the margin of the channel facies penetrated all four lahars. Load and flame structures are evidence of postdepositional mobility</td>
<td>1.4-4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Lahar PC 3: cobble mode, mainly similar to underlying unit but thins laterally to a locally pumice-rich phase that lenses out laterally between PC 1 and PC 3, where it locally is replaced with a 1-cm ash-rich layer; the basal 10 to 20 percent of the unit is inversely graded, the upper 30 percent is normally graded</td>
<td>0.0-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Lahar PC 1: cobble or locally boulder mode with silty sand matrix that is light gray to tan; extensive areas of framework support in the coarser part of the unit; basal 20 percent of unit is inversely graded, upper 40 percent of unit is normally graded; contains megascopics and hydrothermally altered breccia. Subhorizontal limb and trunk molds are common in upper half of unit. By early 1983 a vertical tree mold was exposed as erosion moved the cutbank exposure toward the flood-plain facies of the unit</td>
<td>4.5-8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lahar-runout deposit: light-gray, medium-grained sand without the iron stain typical of the underlying units, otherwise similar to unit 1; unit surrounds stumps of trees knocked down by lahars PC 1</td>
<td>0.0-0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Deposits of probable Kalama age:**

| Lahar-runout deposit: dark-gray medium sand with pumice stringers | 0.0-1.8 |
| Lahar, close to transition to lahars PC 1: granule mode, dark-gray (reflecting andesite and basalt content) silty sand matrix; massive; graded | 0.0-1.9 |

**Deposits of Pine Creek age:**

| Lahar PC 3: generally similar to unit 2; fine pebble mode, slightly darker gray matrix than unit 2; entirely matrix-supported; poor inverse grading at base; upper 50 percent is normally graded to sand without dispersed coarser clasts; widely dispersed cobbles or boulders in basal half. Tree molds observed at this locality in 1981 penetrated units 2, 3, and 4, with evidence of bark attached where surrounded by unit 4 | 2.0-2.8 |
| Lahar PC 1: pebble mode, light gray silty sand matrix; unit is entirely matrix supported; locally sandy at base but “ball-bearing bed” or other variety of sole layer is absent; lower 30 percent has poor inverse grading; upper 50 percent has locally well-developed normal grading; contains pumice cobbles in upper 0.5 m | 2.0-2.5 |

**Deposits of Pine Creek or Smith Creek age:**

| Lahar-runout deposit: light-gray, medium-grained sand; matrix supported; lower 40 percent of the unit is inversely graded, upper 50 percent is normally graded | 1.6-2.0 |
| Lahar PC 1: cobble mode with local boulders and light gray or tan silty sand matrix; extensive areas of framework support in basal 60 percent of unit; slight degree of inverse grading in basal 15 percent, normal grading in upper 50 percent; contains multi-megaclasts of hydrothermally altered dacite breccias mainly less than 3 m in maximum exposed dimension, although one such megaclast, at the north end of the exposure, extended from the base to the top of the unit, | 0.1-0.6 |

**Green Mountain Mill Section (Flood Plain Facies)**

[Location: southeastward extension of cutbank containing the channel facies section described above]

| Lahar-runout deposit: dark-gray medium sand with pumice stringers | 0.0-1.8 |
| Lahar, close to transition to lahars PC 1: granule mode, dark-gray (reflecting andesite and basalt content) silty sand matrix; massive; graded | 0.0-1.9 |

**Deposits of Pine Creek age:**

| Lahar PC 1: cobble mode with local boulders and light gray or tan silty sand matrix; extensive areas of framework support in basal 60 percent of unit; slight degree of inverse grading in basal 15 percent, normal grading in upper 50 percent; contains multi-megaclasts of hydrothermally altered dacite breccias mainly less than 3 m in maximum exposed dimension, although one such megaclast, at the north end of the exposure, extended from the base to the top of the unit, | 1.0-2.3 |

**Deposits of Smith Creek or Pine Creek age:**

| Lahar-runout deposit: brownish-tan coarse sand; massive, ungraded except for slight normal grading in upper 0.1 m | 0.0-0.7 |
Deposits of Pine Creek age—Continued

where it formed a mound. Mean size at this locality is 3.5 ø (11.2 mm), sorting is 3.5 ø, and skewness is +0.57 .

1. “Ball-bearing bed” of lahar PC 1: concentrated marble-size pebbles in framework-supported sole layer; not graded at this locality (continuously exposed in 1980-81); sharp upper contact; limb mold 10 cm in diameter in upper half of unit; rounding and size distribution are very similar to those of the same unit (4a) in the channel facies of the Green Mountain Mill. Underlain by blue clay, possibly of Ape Canyon age, at this locality. Exposures of this unit were covered by bank slumping in 1981

Coal Bank Bridge Section
[Location: valley-side slopes above left bank Toutle River 0-200 m upstream from Coal Bank Bridge; in excavations for bridge reconstruction in 1982]

Deposits of Pine Creek age:

21. Lahar PC 3: cobble mode with light-gray sandy matrix (similar in color to unit 16 but lighter than that unit and with more of a bluish cast); locally clast-supported in lower part; basal 0.9 m inversely graded, normal grading above; slight relief (5 to 10 cm) on mainly planar lower contact; mean roundness of coarse mode estimated (on cliff face) at 0.50. Pumice from the basal part of the unit contains hypersthene and hornblende and is mineralogically similar to set P. Soil developed on surface is consistent with a Pine Creek age

20. Fluvial sand: gray medium-grained sand, indistinctly stratified, graded; formed during the inter-lahar episode of flood-plain sedimentation represented by unit 18 and interrupted by deposition of the ash-rich unit 19

19. Ash-rich clayey silt: probably mainly fluvial but is so consistent at this stratigraphic level in sections of the lahars flood-plain facies (unit 3 of Green Mountain Mill section) that it may locally be an air-fall deposit that is not reworked; distinctive pink color, massive to indistinctly laminated; at this locality contains fine-pebble-size pumice clasts that contain cummingtonite and hornblende and are derived from tephra set Y, but include hypersthene-hornblende pumice like that of set P (identifications by D. R. Mullineaux)

18. Fluvial sand: gray medium-grained sand, massive to poorly stratified; similar to unit 20 and probably part of the same sedimentation episode; contains locally abundant pebble-size pumice clasts that are mainly derived from set Y (identification by D. R. Mullineaux)

17. Lahar (probably locally thin equivalent of PC 1): cobble mode with light-gray sandy matrix, distinctly lighter and less bluish than unit 16 (but color could be affected by moisture content); mainly matrix supported but has local framework support; inverse grading only in lowest 0.1 m, upper 1.7 m is normally graded; mainly planar lower contact; mean roundness of coarse mode estimated (on cliff face) at 0.55 .

Deposits of Smith Creek age:

16. Lahar: cobble mode with muddy sand matrix (unit has a higher clay content than any other lahar in section); medium gray with a bluish cast when moist; coarser parts have areas of framework support; poor inverse grading in basal 0.3 m, normal grading in upper 1.1 m; mean roundness of modal class is 0.36±0.049. Unit appears more weathered and iron stained than the younger lahars in this section

15. Deposit either of a lahar-runout flow or a dilute lahar (clearly laharic in origin): brownish-gray coarse sand and granule gravel with no distinct coarse mode; inverse grading in basal 0.2 m, normal grading in upper 0.3 m; basal contact slightly irregular (relief less than 10 cm) but mainly planar; roundness of granule class estimated at 0.10-0.15. Upper 0.1 m is locally rich in pumice clasts mineralogically similar to the Y set

Deposits of Swift Creek age:

14. Lahar with paleosol: contains a few subangular pebbles and cobbles in earthy brown silty sand matrix; basal contact locally shows slight channeling with relief less than 15 cm; mean roundness of 4-8 mm class is 0.15±0.022, that of the 8-16 mm class is 0.20±0.031; local accumulations of pebbles that appear rounder may represent interbedded fluvial sediments. Finely comminuted, carbonized wood fragments are concentrated in uppermost part of unit and yield a radiocarbon date of 3,760±180 years B.P. Many live roots penetrate this unit because of the degree of weathering

13c. Fluvial sand: brownish-gray (moist) to tan (dry) fine to medium sand with a few pebbles, stratified in beds 0.25 to 8 cm thick

13b. Lahar-runout deposit: granule and fine pebble gravel with medium and coarse sand, gray in color than overlying and underlying sand; crudely stratified but generally massive

13a. Fluvial sand: brownish gray (moist) to tan (dry) medium sand with significant granule component; well stratified in beds 0.2 to 8 cm thick. Unit thins to northwest

12. Lahar: lacks well-developed coarse mode and may be transitional to a runout deposit; brownish-gray (moist) to light-gray (dry) coarse sand and granule gravel; generally massive, inverse grading in basal 0.3 m, normal grading in upper 0.7 m; lower contact sharp and nearly planar. Top 3 cm
Deposits of Swift Creek age—Continued

locally includes reworked lahar sediment; top 5 cm has local concentrations of iron stain, clay, and carbonate, which occur in areas of high permeability and apparently result from ground-water movement rather than surface weathering. Upper 0.5 m of unit contains widely scattered clasts of pumice that are either Swift Creek or basal Smith Creek in age (identification by D. R. Mullineaux) ......................... 1.4
11. Silty clay: pink to tan, contains ash but is at least partly of alluvial origin. Unit contains molds of small wood fragments ......... 0.0025-0.015
10. Sand: medium to coarse gray sand mainly of colluvial origin, believed to be reworked lahar sediment derived from unit 9; locally impregnated with ash and with clay and carbonate of phreatic origin. Other lahars in this section have analogous, less well developed units at upper contacts ........ 0.0-0.06
9. Lahar: medium pebble mode with brownish-gray (moist) to light-grayish-brown (dry) sand matrix; mainly matrix supported; basal 15 to 20 cm locally is relatively uniform sand and is analogous to a sole layer but lacking cohesiveness; slight inverse grading in upper 0.3 m; lower contact sharp and planar; mean roundness of coarse mode is 0.35±0.063 ...................... 1.2
8. Lahar: rubbly appearing with coarse pebble or fine cobble mode and silty sand matrix that is, like units 2 and 4, distinctively brownish gray with a slight pink cast; matrix supported; locally contains megaclasts of hydrothermally altered dacite breccia, which are as much as 1.9 m in maximum exposed dimension and show internal deformation of lithologic contacts. Here, as in unit 4, the coarse mode contains a high proportion of pre-Mount St. Helens lithologies. Central 60 percent of unit is not graded; grading is inverse below this central core and normal above it (unit is symmetrically graded). Basal contact sharp and planar in flood-plain facies. Clasts of all sizes are distinctly more angular than those in the lahars of Pine Creek age; mean roundness of clasts within the older dacite-breccia megaclasts is 0.17±0.037; roundness of the megaclasts themselves is estimated as 0.60–0.80. Basal 0.25 to 1.00 cm is silty sand and silty clay containing small carbonized wood fragments. Unit thickens in exposures upstream and fills a paleochannel more than 6.0 m deep in exposed section, then thins abruptly on the south side of the paleochannel to its characteristic flood plain thickness of 1.0 m before thinning to a wedge edge against the paleo-valley-side slope cut in deposits mainly of Ape Canyon age ................. 1.0–6.0

Deposits of Swift Creek age: 7. Lahar: fine pebble mode with medium-gray (moist) fine sand matrix, upper 8 cm distinctly browner; mainly matrix supported, local clast support at center of unit; well-developed symmetrical grading; basal contact sharp and planar; mean roundness of coarse mode is 0.21±0.029. This unit is a twin of the underlying lahar .......... 0.3–0.5
6. Lahar: medium pebble mode with medium-gray (moist) fine to medium sand matrix; mainly matrix supported, local clast support in center of unit; well-developed symmetrical grading; basal contact sharp and slightly undulating, has relief of 5 cm on minor scour channels in surface of underlying lahar; mean roundness of coarse mode is 0.39±0.052. This unit is a twin of the overlying lahar .......... 0.3
5. Sand: medium-grained gray sand developed as colluvium on and derived from the underlying lahar .................. 0.0–0.05
4. Lahar: medium-coarse pebble mode with fine to medium sand matrix, distinctively brownish gray (moist) or tan (dry) with slight pinkish cast; similar in color to units 2 and 8 and, like unit 6, includes a relatively high proportion of pre-Mount St. Helens rocks in the coarse mode; clasts widely dispersed, unit entirely matrix supported; good symmetrical grading, 3 to 4 mm silty sand at base; slightly undulating lower contact; mean roundness of coarse mode is 0.41±0.062. The weathered surface of this unit is distinctive—the dispersed coarse clasts fall out, resulting in a pockmarked appearance .................. 0.6
3. Lahar: granule and fine pebble mode with gray silty sand matrix; mainly matrix supported but has some clast support in coarsest part; inverse grading in basal 15 percent of unit, normal grading in upper 60 percent; sharp, planar basal contact. Uppermost part of unit contains local concentrations of pumice mineralogically similar to the J set of Swift Creek age (identification by D. R. Mullineaux) ........................ 0.0–1.2
2. Lahar: medium pebble mode with silty sand matrix that is brownish gray but has a slight pinkish cast like units 4 and 8; mainly matrix supported but locally clast supported in coarsest part; inverse grading in basal 0.3 m, irregular normal grading above; upper 15 cm is distinctly sandier and has more dispersed clasts; sharp, nearly planar basal contact; mean roundness of coarse mode is 0.36±0.047 .................. 0.9–2.5
1. Bar facies of lahar: boulder gravel with brownish-gray silty sand matrix; lower coarser part of unit has clast support transitional to matrix support as unit fines upward; marked overall normal grading; upper 12 cm is mainly sand, like matrix of rest of unit; basal contact not seen; mean roundness of boulder-size clasts estimated as 0.55–0.65 .................. 3.5
Deposits of Ape Canyon age: Approximately 10 m of incompletely exposed
Deposits of Ape Canyon age—Continued

Deposits of Pine Creek age:

Outlet Creek Section

Deposits of Smith Creek or Pine Creek age [radiocarbon date of 2,810±200 years was obtained from wood fragments from sand probably equivalent to unit 3 or 4 (Crandell and others, 1981); pumice probably from unit 3a is most likely from set Y, indicating a Smith Creek age (Crandell and others, 1981), but could be reworked material]:
Deposits of Smith Creek age—Continued

1. Lahar: medium pebble mode with a muddy sand matrix that is medium gray and has a characteristic bluish cast; the muddy appearance is also characteristic (a sample 0.3 m from the top of the unit contains 15 percent silt and 3 percent clay); entirely matrix supported; slight overall normal grading in exposed part of unit; upper contact slightly channelled, lower contact not exposed; mean roundness of coarse mode (16-32 mm) is 0.24±0.041; mean roundness of 8-16 mm class is 0.15±0.024; mean roundness of 4-8 mm class is 0.12±0.015. Size distribution from the clast-poor upper part of the unit has the following characteristics: mean, 1.22 φ (0.44 mm); sorting, 3.10 φ; and skewness, +0.11 ...

2. Lahar: coarse pebble mode with brownish-gray silty sand matrix; clast support only in coarsest part; basal 0.7 m is inversely graded, excellent normal grading above that level ...

3. Lahar: pebble mode, similar to underlying unit. Contains no andesite or basalt. Mantles slopes like underlying unit ...

4. Fluvial sand, silt, and fine pebble gravel: well stratified, tan to gray; unit probably related to underlying sequence ...

Bear Creek Section

[Last extensive sequence of lahar exposures along South Fork Toutle River before bedrock gorge. Location: high cutbank on north side of South Fork 0.5 km upstream from confluence with Bear Creek, near center of sec. 29, T. 9 N., R. 3 E.; approximately 20 km from 1980 crater]

Deposits of modern eruptive period:

13. Lahar: pebble mode, wood-rich. Contains andesite and basalt. Unit represents the peak flow deposits of the first lahar in the South Fork Toutle River on May 18, 1980 ...

Deposits of Pine Creek age:

12. Lahar: pebble mode, similar to underlying unit. Contains no andesite or basalt. Mantles slopes like underlying unit ...

11. Lahar: coarse pebble mode with a brownish-gray sandy matrix; mainly matrix supported; good normal grading throughout most of unit above local, basal 12-15 cm sole layer. Contains little or no andesite or basalt. Slight soil development in upper 0.3 m consistent with a Pine Creek age. Unit mantles slope, and is inset against the sequence of older deposits exposed in the main cliff face. It cuts down into section as far as unit 3. In spite of the young date (see unit 10) from soil developed on the underlying erosional surface, the erosion producing the valley-side slopes mantled by unit 11 probably occurred during the main part of the dormant interval between Swift Creek and Smith Creek time ...

Deposits mainly of post-Swift Creek, pre-Pine Creek time:

10. Soil: well developed and clay rich, yellowish orange brown, containing abundant angular rubble of pre-Mount St. Helens lithologies derived from valley-side slopes. Large charcoal fragments near upper surface of soil yield a date of 2630±185 radiocarbon years. The fragments were obtained from soil beneath the sloping contact with unit 11 but from a location well below the top of the terrace ...

Deposits of Swift Creek age:

9. Lahar: pebble mode with a few boulders and a brownish-gray sandy matrix; entirely matrix supported; pronounced inverse grading contrasts with the well-developed normal grading in the otherwise similar unit ...

8. Soil: sandy, light orange brown; not as extensively developed as the soils of units 5 and 10 ...

7. Lahar: coarse pebble mode with brownish-gray silty sand matrix; clast support only in coarsest part; basal 0.7 m is inversely graded, excellent normal grading above ...

6. Ash-rich layer: locally present; contains no pumice; probably of fluvial origin ...

Deposits of Cougar age:

5. Lacustrine silt and sand, with some soil development locally extending into unit 4: clayey, stratified, blue-gray where not oxidized. Abundant plant stems are partially replaced by iron oxide. Wood fragments yield an age of 19,700±550 radiocarbon years ...

4. Fluvial sand, silt, and fine pebble gravel: well stratified, tan to gray; unit probably related to underlying sequence ...

3. Alluvium: clast-supported boulders common in brownish-gray sandy matrix; rubbly appearance. Coarsest material is in middle of unit, but deposit lacks the distinctive grading seen in most lahars. Fine pebbles are markedly rounded, showing that the unit is not the bar facies of a lahar, although in other respects the unit is similar to the 1980 lahar deposits in the adjacent channel. Contains significantly more lithologies from Mount St. Helens than the underlying glacial sequence and therefore is distinctly younger. Unit dissimilar to fluvial deposits of Ape Canyon age, which are common upstream and downstream of this section ...

Deposits of pre-Cougar age (and probably of pre-Ape Canyon age):

2. Sand and gravel, probably of glacifluvial origin: Interbedded sand and pebble gravel; chocolate brown and weathered to a degree similar to that of the underlying unit; well stratified; clasts are mainly pre-Mount St. Helens metavolcanics. Distinctly different from deposits of known Ape Canyon age ...

1. Probable glacial till: cobble mode with some boulders; matrix weathered brown to reddish brown; entirely matrix supported; nongraded and very poorly sorted; clasts are pre-Mount St. Helens metavolcanics; unit rests directly on pre-Mount St. Helens metavolcanics. Unit may correlate with basal unit of sections on opposite side of river; some till-like units in those sections contain a small proportion of Mount St. Helens rock types ...
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LAHARS AND LAHAR-RUNOUT FLOWS, TOUTLE RIVER, WASHINGTON
APPENDIX

Disappointment Creek Section
[Location: exposures in high cutbanks on south side of South Fork Toutle River opposite an interval from 0.2 to 0.9 km downstream from Disappointment Creek, in NW 1/4 sec. 4, T. 8 N., R. 4 E., and SE 1/4 sec. 32, T. 9 N., R. 4 E.]

Deposits of modern eruptive period:

11. Lahar: thin coating of peak-flow deposits of first lahar of May 18, 1980 ........ 0.0-0.4

Deposits of Kalama age:

10. Lahar: thin coating of a lahar containing andesite and basalt ................. 0.0-0.3

Deposits mainly of post-Swift Creek, pre-Smith Creek age:

9. Soil: the most prominent soil in the watershed of the upper South Fork Toutle River; marked at most locations by a significant clay content and angular clasts of locally derived pre-Mount St. Helens rock types. Same as unit 10 of the Bear Creek section 0.3-1.6

Deposits of Swift Creek age:

8. Lahar: texturally like unit 6, but extensively weathered; underlies the soil of unit 9 (the soil is developed on other units elsewhere). Pumice from this unit is a hypersthene-hornblende type and, given the additional evidence of the depth of soil development, probably can be identified as tephra set J ....................... 0.0-1.2

7. Lahar: texturally like unit 6 but not weathered ........................ 6. 0.0-1.0

6. Lahar: cobble mode, boulders locally concentrated near center of unit; gray silty sand matrix; good inverse grading in basal 1.0-2.5 m, fair normal grading above. Local sole layer 0.0-0.3 m thick has widely dispersed pebbles that weather out, leaving a pockmarked surface like that of unit 4 of the Coal Bank Bridge section. Essentially one unit, but local crude stratification suggests deposition in surges. Upper weathered zone about 1 m thick has locally been removed by erosion of top surface. Clasts are dominated by rock types from ancestral Mount St. Helens, and at least 30-40 percent exhibit shapes indicating direct origin from disintegration of prismatically jointed clasts. Pumice, widely dispersed in the main body of the unit, is mineralogically similar to set S, like that locally concentrated in the uppermost part of the unit ........................ 8.0-25.0

5. Fluvial sand: brownish gray, well stratified, lenticular .......................... 0.0-0.2

4. Fluvial silty sand with ash-rich layers: weathered (prior to succeeding units), orange-brown, massive, contains finely comminuted pumice fragments; local 0- to 3-cm-thick ash-rich layers at top and bottom of unit. The pumice is mineralogically similar to tephra set S, an identification made more probable by the degree of soil formation represented by unit 9 ......... 0.0-0.4

3. Possible lahar-runout flow deposit: massive to poorly stratified sand ............. 0.0-1.5

Deposits of probable Cougar age (or pre-Cougar but post-Ape Canyon age):

2. Lahar: rubbly, cobble mode with a few boulders in a gray sandy matrix; clast supported only locally at the coarsest level; bottom 0.3 m is inversely graded; normal grading above that level. Upper 0-20 cm shows soil development ............. 0.0-1.8

1. Alluvium: cobble mode with boulders in a gray sandy matrix; crudely stratified; not obviously bimodal. Sandy subunits show channeling and crossbedding. The texture and structure of the unit are identical in all obvious respects to those of the modern stream alluvium. Rock types are mainly those of pre-Castle Creek Mount St. Helens. Clasts and matrix are not similar to those of known Ape Canyon deposits, but are similar to those of the Cougar-age alluvium downstream ............. >4.5
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