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Geochemistry and Geochronology of Middle Tertiary Volcanic Rocks of the Central Chiricahua Mountains, Southeast Arizona

By Edward A. du Bray, Lawrence W. Snee, and John S. Pallister

Abstract

Middle Tertiary volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains in southeast Arizona are the westernmost constituents of the Eocene-Oligocene Boot Heel volcanic field of southwestern New Mexico and southeastern Arizona. About two dozen volumetrically and stratigraphically significant volcanic units are present in this area. These include large-volume, regionally distributed ash-flow tuffs and smaller volume, locally distributed lava flows. The most voluminous of these units is the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, which erupted 26.9 million years ago from the Turkey Creek caldera in the central Chiricahua Mountains. The Rhyolite Canyon Tuff consists of 500-1,000 cubic kilometers of rhyolite that was erupted from a normally zoned reservoir. The tuff represents sequential eruptions, which became systematically less geochemically evolved with time, from progressively deeper levels of the source reservoir. Like the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, other ash-flow tuffs preserved in the central Chiricahua Mountains have equivalents in nearby, though isolated mountain ranges. However, correlation of these other tuffs, from range to range, has been hindered by stratigraphic discontinuity, structural complexity, and various lithologic similarities and ambiguities. New geochemical and geochronologic data presented here enable correlation of these units between their occurrences in the central Chiricahua Mountains and the remainder of the Boot Heel volcanic field.

Volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains are composed dominantly of weakly peraluminous, high-silica rhyolite welded tuff and rhyolite lavas of the high-potassium and shoshonitic series. Trace-element, and to a lesser extent, major-oxide abundances are distinct for most of the units studied. Geochemical and geochronologic data depict a time and spatial transgression from subduction to within-plate and extensional tectonic settings. Compositions of the lavas tend to be relatively homogeneous within particular units. In contrast, compositions of the ash-flow tuffs, including the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, vary significantly owing to eruption from compositionally zoned reservoirs. Reservoir zonation is consistent with fractional crystallization of observed phenocryst phases and resulting residual liquid compositional evolution. Rhyolite lavas preserved in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera depict compositional zonation that is the reverse of that expected of magma extraction from progressively deeper parts of a normally zoned reservoir. Presuming that the source reservoir was sequentially tapped from its top downward, development of reverse zonation in the rhyolite lava sequence may indicate that later erupted, more evolved magma contains systematically less wallrock contamination derived from the geochemically primitive margins of its incompletely mixed reservoir.

New ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology data indicate that the principal middle Tertiary volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains were erupted between about 34.2 and 26.2 Ma, and that the 5.2 m.y. period between 33.3 and 28.1 Ma was amagmatic. The initial phase of eruptive activity in the central Chiricahua Mountains, between 34.2 and 33.3 Ma, was associated with a regional tectonic regime dominated by subduction along the west edge of North America. We infer that the magmatic hiatus, nearly simultaneous with a hiatus of similar duration in parts of the Boot Heel volcanic field east of the central Chiricahua Mountains, is related to a period of more rapid convergence and therefore shallower subduction that may have displaced subduction-related magmatic activity to a position east of the present-day Boot Heel volcanic field. The hiatus also coincides with a major plate tectonic reorganization along the west edge of North America that resulted in cessation of subduction and initiation of transform faulting along the San Andreas fault. The final period of magmatism in the central Chiricahua Mountains, between 28.1 and 23.2 Ma, appears to be coincident with rapid westward retreat of the subducting slab hinge line and consequent redevelopment of an asthenospheric mantle wedge, probably associated with foundering of the Farallon plate beneath western North America. Shortly thereafter, magmatism ceased in the central Chiricahua Mountains as the position of extension-related magmatism rapidly shifted westward to the Great Basin.
Introduction

This study is an outgrowth of investigations of the Turkey Creek caldera (du Bray and Pallister, 1991; Pallister and du Bray, 1997; du Bray and others, 1997), the principal volcanic edifice of the central Chiricahua Mountains east-southeast of Tucson, Ariz. (fig. 1). The Turkey Creek caldera is an Oligocene volcanic center that formed during eruption of the 26.9-Ma Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and partial evacuation of an underlying rhyolitic to dacitic magma chamber (Marjaniemi, 1969; du Bray and Pallister, 1991). Caldera evolution involved three distinct phases that concluded in a span of no more than 200,000 years: (1) eruption of 500–1,000 km³ of Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and attendant caldera collapse, (2) resurgent intrusion of dacite porphyry and eruption of consanguineous dacite porphyry lava flows, (3) renewed eruption of high-silica rhyolite as lava flows. The majority of the rocks that form the topographic margin of the caldera are older, middle Tertiary volcanic rocks, and are principally rhyolite ash-flow tuffs. The Turkey Creek caldera is the westernmost and youngest source of regionally distributed ash-flow tuff sheets that are part of the Boot Heel volcanic field described by McIntosh and Bryan (2000).

During geologic mapping of the Turkey Creek caldera and its eruptive products, du Bray and others (1997) defined numerous pre-caldera volcanic rock units and mapped their distributions. Earlier attempts to define stratigraphic relations in isolated parts of the central Chiricahua Mountains (Raydon, 1952; Enlows, 1951, 1955; Fernandez and Enlows, 1966; Drewes, 1982; Bryan, 1988; Drewes and Brooks, 1988) had resulted in significant stratigraphic uncertainty and volcanologic ambiguity. Because none of the characteristics of these older units had been synthesized, we collected stratigraphic, petrographic, geochemical, and geochronological data for the older rocks; these data provide a framework for our own studies as well as provide data essential in correlating these rocks with their equivalents throughout southeastern Arizona and southwestern New Mexico.

In this report, we synthesize all available petrographic and stratigraphic data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains. In addition, we present and discuss geochemical data for about two dozen volcano-stratigraphic rock units along with geochronologic data for 14 of these units. These units have significant implications for middle Tertiary volcanic stratigraphy in southeastern Arizona and adjacent southwestern New Mexico. Many of the units are ash-flow tuff. Because of their emplacement mode, the ash-flow tuffs are considerably more widely distributed than the lava flow units present in our study area. The distribution of the lava flow units is probably limited to the central Chiricahua Mountains; their utility in stratigraphic correlation is probably similarly restricted.

In order to construct a comprehensible framework for the volcanic rocks under study, we divided them into three groups. Because the Turkey Creek caldera dominates the geology of the area, we defined the first group as “rocks associated with the Turkey Creek caldera.” The two other logically identifiable groups are therefore referred to as “pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks” and “post-Turkey Creek caldera rocks.” In the discussions that follow, rocks are assigned to one of these groups, as appropriate, and data and interpretations ordered accordingly.

In this report, we present a broad array of geologic data to refine knowledge of the central Chiricahua Mountains in particular and the Boot Heel volcanic field in general. First, we present basic stratigraphic setting and petrographic data acquired from the literature and from our own geologic investigations of the mountain range. Subsequently, in the geochemistry section, we present geochemical data, apply classification schemes, evaluate within-unit compositional variation, and establish diagnostic, between-unit compositional characteristics. The geochemistry section concludes with an analysis of the geochemical evolution of the Turkey Creek caldera and its magmatic components. Next, new geochronologic data are presented in order to refine complex stratigraphy-age relations among middle Tertiary volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains. In the report’s concluding section, we synthesize all the data in order to constrain the large-scale magmatic-tectonic environment in which the middle Tertiary volcanic rocks of the area were erupted, and evaluate how this regime evolved through the middle Tertiary time frame.
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Sampling and Analytic Methods

Petrographic and stratigraphic relations presented in the next section of this report are expanded versions of map

Figure 1 (facing page). Location of central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. Letters show collection sites for samples whose ages were determined by the 40Ar/39Ar method (tables 2 and 3).
Sampling and Analytic Methods

[Diagram showing geologic mapping and stratigraphic units]

- **Quaternary surficial deposits**
- **Tertiary extrusive rocks**
- **Oligocene rocks associated with Turkey Creek caldera**
- **Resurgent intrusion, ring dikes, and extrusive equivalents**
- **Rhyolite Canyon Tuff**
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- **Proterozoic rocks**
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- **Mesozoic and Paleozoic rocks**
- **Proterozoic rocks**
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**Scale and Proportionality**

- 1:24,000

**Legend**

- **Tertiary tuff/glassy rocks**
- **Tertiary ignimbrite**
- **Tertiary pyroclastic rocks**
- **Tertiary extrusive rocks**
- **Tertiary volcanic rocks**
- **Tertiary intrusive rocks**
- **Mesozoic and Paleozoic rocks**
- **Proterozoic rocks**

**Geologic Units, List**

- **Pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks**
- **Post-Turkey Creek caldera rocks**
- **Structural margin of Turkey Creek caldera**
- **Creek caldera**
- **Topographic margin of Turkey Creek caldera**
- **Expanses**

**Map Symbols**

- **Shaded areas**
- **Labeled features**
- **Geologic contacts**
- **Geographic coordinates**

**Scale Bar**

- 1:24,000

**Legend**

- **Geologic contacts**
- **Geographic coordinates**
- **Geographic features**

**Map Legend**

- **Geologic units**
- **Geographic features**
- **Geographic coordinates**
We are aware that the types of whole-rock samples we collected and analyzed are subject to physical sorting that has known potential for affecting chemical compositions. However, we did not determine the magnitude of potential effects of sectoral variation, compositions of volcanic rocks of the mountain range suggest that these effects may be expressed by the observed variations in the studied units because the units are exposed to a wide variety of processes that could influence their chemistry. Regardless of the origin of intra-ash-flow chemical variations, the full nature and extent of vertically oriented geochemical variation within some of the studied units because the units are exposed to a wide variety of processes that could influence their chemistry.

Consistent with our results, we were able to systematically collect samples that are representative of the full range of compositional variation within each of the ash-flow tuff units. Multiple samples of each stratigraphic unit were collected and chemically analyzed in order to establish their compositional ranges. This procedure is essential to determining their relative stratigraphic position within the sampled section. For example, we were able to systematically collect samples that are representative of the full range of compositional variation within each of the ash-flow tuff units. Multiple samples of each stratigraphic unit were collected and chemically analyzed in order to establish their compositional ranges. This procedure is essential to determining their relative stratigraphic position within the sampled section.
from each of two of the sanidine separates (fig. 1; 201765 and 201769) were analyzed in order to evaluate analytical reproducibility for samples analyzed during the several years that geochronologic investigations were conducted. Mineral separates were prepared, after crushing, grinding, and sieving, by magnetic separator, mica-table, and heavy liquid methods; grains ranged in size between 60 and 120 mesh (250–125 μm). Separates were handpicked to greater than 99 percent purity.

Individual samples were cleaned with reagent-grade acetone, alcohol, and deionized water in an ultrasonic bath, air-dried, wrapped in aluminum capsules and sealed in silica vials along with monitor minerals before irradiation. Samples were irradiated in two irradiation packages, one in 1995 and an earlier one in 1988, at two separate TRIGA research reactor facilities. After irradiation, the samples were progressively degassed in a double-vacuum resistance furnace in a series of 11 to 16
Table 1. Compositions of volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.—Continued

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tij</td>
<td>Trob</td>
<td>Trel</td>
<td>Tren</td>
<td>Trec</td>
<td>Tref</td>
<td>Tdpl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>77.57±0.21</td>
<td>77.08±0.44</td>
<td>77.21±0.39</td>
<td>77.50±0.26</td>
<td>76.09±0.68</td>
<td>76.59±1.16</td>
<td>76.65±1.36</td>
<td>65.42±1.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>12.40±0.06</td>
<td>11.98±0.50</td>
<td>12.08±0.16</td>
<td>12.10±0.12</td>
<td>12.30±0.26</td>
<td>12.32±0.59</td>
<td>11.99±0.67</td>
<td>15.54±0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.22±0.04</td>
<td>0.35±0.03</td>
<td>0.34±0.03</td>
<td>0.31±0.03</td>
<td>0.40±0.08</td>
<td>0.42±0.05</td>
<td>0.41±0.06</td>
<td>0.97±0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.78±0.14</td>
<td>1.26±0.11</td>
<td>1.21±0.11</td>
<td>1.10±0.10</td>
<td>1.55±0.11</td>
<td>1.49±0.18</td>
<td>1.48±0.23</td>
<td>3.49±0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.31±0.08</td>
<td>0.02±0.04</td>
<td>0.16±0.10</td>
<td>0.09±0.09</td>
<td>0.24±0.14</td>
<td>0.16±0.13</td>
<td>0.11±0.08</td>
<td>1.38±0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.37±0.12</td>
<td>0.07±0.06</td>
<td>0.29±0.17</td>
<td>0.23±0.07</td>
<td>0.34±0.13</td>
<td>0.23±0.14</td>
<td>0.06±0.04</td>
<td>0.84±0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>3.07±0.62</td>
<td>2.25±0.40</td>
<td>3.55±0.27</td>
<td>3.47±0.20</td>
<td>3.32±0.72</td>
<td>3.10±0.71</td>
<td>2.12±0.33</td>
<td>3.77±0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>5.08±0.49</td>
<td>6.84±0.50</td>
<td>4.96±0.12</td>
<td>5.02±0.33</td>
<td>5.25±0.27</td>
<td>5.43±0.71</td>
<td>6.95±1.61</td>
<td>5.26±1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.15±0.03</td>
<td>0.10±0.00</td>
<td>0.12±0.02</td>
<td>0.12±0.02</td>
<td>0.22±0.01</td>
<td>0.21±0.05</td>
<td>0.21±0.04</td>
<td>0.92±0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>ND(0.01)</td>
<td>ND(0.01)</td>
<td>ND(0.01)</td>
<td>ND(0.01)</td>
<td>ND(0.01)</td>
<td>ND(0.01)</td>
<td>ND(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>0.07±0.01</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>0.03±0.03</td>
<td>0.03±0.03</td>
<td>0.09±0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |
|                |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |

individual, 20-minute-long temperature steps to a maximum temperature of 1,650°C. All analyses were done in the Argon Laboratory, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colo. Decay constants are those of Steiger and Jäger (1977). The standard used in these age determinations was hornblende MMhb-1 with a K-Ar age of 520.4 Ma (Samson and Alexander, 1987). Apparent ages were calculated using decay constants recommended by Steiger and Jäger (1977). The determination of whether the individual apparent ages yielded a “plateau” was made using the critical value test of Dalrymple and Lanphere (1969) following the plateau definition of Fleck and others (1977). Plateaus that pertain to more than 50 percent of the gas produced during heating were achieved for all but seven of the mineral separates. Plateau dates were calculated using a weighted mean, where weighting is by the inverse of the analytical variance (Taylor, 1982).
Table 1. Compositions of volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rocks associated with the Turkey Creek caldera</th>
<th>Post-caldera rocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$= SiO$_2$</td>
<td>$n$= Tdpx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>65.88±2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.29±0.57</td>
<td>15.99±0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.97±0.20</td>
<td>1.02±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.51±0.74</td>
<td>3.68±1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.40±0.48</td>
<td>1.66±0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.59±0.88</td>
<td>2.46±2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.80±0.32</td>
<td>4.43±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.20±0.56</td>
<td>5.38±0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94±0.21</td>
<td>0.99±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33±0.09</td>
<td>0.32±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09±0.03</td>
<td>0.08±0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Petrographic and Stratigraphic Characteristics

In the descriptions that follow, a three- to four-letter map unit code is identified for each of the stratigraphic units in order to aid their identification in the tables and figures. Map unit symbols designated by du Bray and others (1997) on the geologic map of the Turkey Creek caldera match those used here. The first letter in each of these map unit symbols, T, denotes their Tertiary age. A simplified correlation of map units and a set of abbreviated rock unit identifiers are provided in order to define stratigraphic relations among the various volcanic rock units that were studied (fig. 2).

Pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks

Basement rocks on which middle Tertiary volcanic rocks were deposited include interlayered Mesozoic sedimentary
Table 2. Summary of $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age-spectrum results from the central Chiricahua Mountains.

[Preferred ages in bold; % data in Type of apparent age column indicates percentage of total gas included in plateau steps; --, apparent age, isochron, initial 40/36, not calculated]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No., unit symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Apparent age (Ma)</th>
<th>Type of apparent age</th>
<th>Isochron age</th>
<th>Initial 40/36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY91-77 Dacitic rocks of Half-moon Valley, intrusion.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>73.23±0.11</td>
<td>Excess argon</td>
<td>Saddle-low</td>
<td>74.6±0.6</td>
<td>276±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202057 Thl Rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>34.16±0.17</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(76.5%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY91-11 Tjg Lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>33.81±0.08</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(91.1%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P650A Pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon, rhyolite lava.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>33.32±0.07</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(85.5%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202064 Pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>33.21±0.09</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(68.9%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202064 Pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>33.04±0.04</td>
<td>Weight average</td>
<td>33.04±0.04</td>
<td>310±7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201570 Granodiorite of Mackey Canyon.</td>
<td>Hornblende</td>
<td>32.08±0.20</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(50.8%)</td>
<td>excess Ar</td>
<td>31.42±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201570 Granodiorite of Mackey Canyon.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>30.62±0.15</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(59.1%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P272C Te Rhyolite lava of Cave Creek.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>28.10±0.12</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(89.3%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P475 Tfre Rhyolite of Erickson Ridge.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>27.89±0.09</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(75.8%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P475 Tfre Rhyolite of Erickson Ridge.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>28.24±0.08</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(65.5%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P652 Tjj Jesse James Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>27.52±0.06</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(61.3%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201771 Tjj Jesse James Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>27.59±0.06</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(55.8%)</td>
<td>27.71±0.02</td>
<td>270±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202156 Thel Lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>27.62±0.10</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(88.8%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202156 Thel Lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No plateau</td>
<td>25.42±0.10</td>
<td>297±4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202154 Latite of Darnell Peak</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>27.58±0.08</td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>(77.9%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and volcanic rocks. These Mesozoic rocks are underlain by Paleozoic marine sedimentary rocks that were deposited on a basement composed of Proterozoic granitoid rocks. These Mesozoic, Paleozoic, and Proterozoic rocks are not discussed further in this report.

In many parts of the central Chiricahua Mountains, the Tertiary volcanic rocks described herein are directly underlain by intermediate-composition lava flows, flow breccias, and near-source pyroclastic rocks (Tim) that probably were erupted from a field of coalescing composite volcanoes. These dacitic to andesitic rocks, which denote the onset of middle Tertiary volcanism in this area, are dark greenish gray to greenish black and maroon where oxidized and form massive, locally densely jointed and fractured outcrops. These rocks are aphyric to sparsely porphyritic; glassy flow margins are preserved in some places. Phenocrysts form trachytic or
intergranular intergrowths of plagioclase, pyroxene, hornblende, and biotite in a devitrified or aphanitic groundmass; accessory phases include Fe-Ti oxide minerals and apatite. The groundmass is variably altered to clay minerals, Fe-Ti oxide minerals, zeolites, and calcite.

Intermediate-composition volcanic rocks are only present at the base of the Tertiary section in the map area; rocks of this type are not interstratified with younger, voluminous rhyolitic volcanic rocks. The onset of rhyolitic volcanism denotes the development of large, low-density magma reservoirs in the shallow crust. Once established, these reservoirs likely inhibited the buoyant ascent and eruption of additional intermediate-composition magma. Consequently, solidified masses representing unerupted parts of the rhyolitic reservoirs are probably underplated by a considerable volume of solidified, intermediate-composition magma petrologically similar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.; unit symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Apparent age (Ma)</th>
<th>Type of Isochron age</th>
<th>Initial 40/36</th>
<th>Initial 40/36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>201769 Trcl</td>
<td>Lower member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.97±0.09</td>
<td>Plateau (60.8%)</td>
<td>309±2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201769 Trcl</td>
<td>Lower member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.93±0.12</td>
<td>Plateau (90.8%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY91-36 Trem</td>
<td>Middle member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>27.03±0.11</td>
<td>Plateau (75.0%)</td>
<td>27.14±0.03</td>
<td>297±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201765 Treu</td>
<td>Upper member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No plateau</td>
<td>26.98±0.04</td>
<td>309±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201765 Treu</td>
<td>Upper member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.94±0.12</td>
<td>Plateau (91.3%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201587 Tdpi</td>
<td>Dacite porphyry, intrusion.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.84±0.17</td>
<td>Weight average</td>
<td>26.90±0.04</td>
<td>296±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 Tdpi</td>
<td>Dacite porphyry, lava flow.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.97±0.13</td>
<td>Minimum age step</td>
<td>27.44±0.15</td>
<td>303±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201996 Tmrb</td>
<td>Turkey Creek caldera moat lava, biotite rhyolite lava.</td>
<td>Biotite</td>
<td>27.11±0.06</td>
<td>Plateau (67.8%)</td>
<td>26.63±0.04</td>
<td>366±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201996 Tmrb</td>
<td>Turkey Creek caldera moat lava, biotite rhyolite lava.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.74±0.05</td>
<td>Plateau (65.6%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201538 Tmrl</td>
<td>Turkey Creek caldera moat lava, unit 1 rhyolite lava.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.93±0.17</td>
<td>Weight average</td>
<td>26.89±0.05</td>
<td>298±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201580 Tmrl</td>
<td>Turkey Creek caldera moat lava, unit 1 rhyolite lava.</td>
<td>Sanidine</td>
<td>26.64±0.13</td>
<td>Plateau (54.3%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-Turkey Creek caldera rocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DY92-54 Rhyolite lava</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202151 Trdp Rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 Rhyolite of Packsaddle Mountain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to the intermediate-composition volcanic rocks preserved at the base of the Tertiary section in the map area.

The oldest regionally extensive ash-flow tuff in the central Chiricahua Mountains was erupted from an unknown source; it is the pale-orange to yellowish-gray rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon (Thl). This ash-flow tuff, first described by Drewes and Brooks (1988), is crystal poor (contains about 5 percent crystals), pumice and lithic rich, and weakly to moderately welded. Phenocrysts include approximately equal amounts of partly resorbed and embayed quartz and subhedral to anhedral sanidine, smaller amounts of anhedral albite, and Fe-Ti oxide minerals, and trace amounts of oxidized biotite, all in a devitrified ash- and shard-rich matrix. In exposures near the mouth of Rucker Canyon, the tuff consists of two cooling units separated by a 1-m-thick volcanic sandstone. Andesitic lithic fragments are common. In the study area, the tuff is restricted to a small area south of the Turkey Creek caldera.

The lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch (Tjg) is grayish-orange-pink to pale-red-purple ash-flow tuff erupted from an unknown source. Only the lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch, first described by Drewes and Brooks (1988), is present in the area studied by du Bray and others (1997). The tuff, which overlies the rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon, is crystal rich (20–40 percent), pumice and lithic poor, and weakly to moderately welded. Crystals are resorbed quartz, subhedral sanidine, anhedral albite, and anhedral oxidized biotite, and include trace amounts of anhedral Fe-Ti oxide minerals and zircon in a devitrified ash matrix. This unit may be correlative with Faraway Ranch Formation member 3 of Fernandez and Enlows (1966). In the central Chiricahua Mountains, the tuff is restricted to small areas north and south of the Turkey Creek caldera.

The rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch (Tkr), defined by Drewes and Brooks (1988), is composed of light-gray to pale-orange-gray, nearly aphyric, massive to flow-laminated, high-silica rhyolite lava that contains interbedded, cogenetic pyroclastic flow deposits. These lava flows, vitrophyric in some places, probably represent a field of coalesced rhyolite domes. Sparse phenocrysts, which are in a massive, variably devitrified groundmass, include subhedral sanidine, resorbed quartz, and trace amounts, especially in vitrophyre, of zircon, Fe-Ti oxide minerals, and hornblende. The rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch underlies an area of less than 10 km² about 160 km southeast of the center of the Turkey Creek caldera.
The rhyolite lava of Cave Creek (Tcc), defined by Raydon (1952), is light gray to pale orange gray and reddish orange, crystal-poor to aphyric, and massive to flow-laminated. Phenocrysts are mostly sanidine and quartz, but trace amounts of hornblende, biotite, magnetite, and titanite are preserved, especially in glassy rocks. The groundmass of the rhyolite is a devitrified intergrowth of quartz and feldspar that is spherulitic or granophyric in some places. The rhyolite of Cave Creek, which overlies andesite lava flows in the east part of the study area, forms numerous flow domes and contains interbedded, cogenetic pyroclastic flow deposits. These rocks probably overlie the small vents from which they were erupted. Bryan (1988) identified and mapped lower, middle, and upper members of the rhyolite in the Cave Creek watershed.

The rhyolite of Erickson Ridge (Trre), as described by Pallister and others (1994), is light-gray (devitrified) to black (glassy) biotite rhyolite. It contains phenocrysts of oscillatory-zoned, subhedral oligoclase-albite (3–7 percent) and biotite (1–2 percent). Accessory to trace titanite forms euhedral phenocrysts. The rhyolite forms small lava domes and lobate flow-layered lava flows having black glassy carapace breccias and minor interbedded, cogenetic pyroclastic flow deposits. The rhyolite overlies andesite lava flows and forms extensive overlapping flow domes that probably overlie and conceal their small vents. The lava flows are probably correlative with Faraway Ranch Formation member 7 of Fernandez and Enlows (1966), whereas interbedded pyroclastic flow deposits are probably correlative at least in part with Faraway Ranch Formation member 6 of Fernandez and Enlows (1966). The rhyolite lava flows of the Faraway Ranch Formation, which underlie an area of about 5 km² about 5 km west of Sugarloaf Mountain and north of the Turkey Creek caldera, probably represent a field of coalesced rhyolite domes.

The tuff of Horseshoe Canyon (Bryan, 1988) crops out extensively east and southeast of the Turkey Creek caldera and consists of upper (Trcu) and lower (Thel) members separated by a plagioclase-sanidine porphyry sill known as the lateite of Darnell Peak (Bryan, 1988). This tuff, erupted from the Portal caldera (Bryan, 1988), is gray- to orange-weathering, densely welded, and strongly zoned. The lower member is zoned from a thin basal unit composed of high-silica rhyolite tuff with moderate crystal content (10–15 percent) to crystal-rich (20–35 percent) trachyte tuff containing phenocrysts of sanidine, quartz, plagioclase, biotite, clinopyroxene, titanite, and Fe-Ti oxide minerals. The upper member has moderate crystal content (10–20 percent) and is composed of low-silica rhyolite tuff that contains phenocrysts of sericitized sanidine, quartz, and accessory or trace biotite. The tuff of Horseshoe Canyon is correlative with tuff of Price Canyon (Drewes and Brooks, 1988) and the Eagle Cliffs member of the rhyolite of Cave Creek (Raydon, 1952).

The Jesse James Canyon Tuff (Tjj), as described by Pallister and others (1994), erupted from an unknown source; it is light-gray or pinkish-gray, typically lithic poor, moderately crystal rich (approximately 10 percent), biotite-bearing quartz-sanidine rhyolite ash-flow tuff. This unit is similar to middle and lower members of Rhyolite Canyon Tuff but is distinguished by trace amounts of biotite and titanite, an absence of clinopyroxene, a higher ratio of sanidine to quartz, less evolved chemistry, and stratigraphic position. The Jesse James Canyon Tuff is correlative, in part, with the welded tuff of Rucker Canyon (Drewes and Brooks, 1988). In the study area, the tuff is restricted to small areas north and south of the Turkey Creek caldera.

Rocks Associated with the Turkey Creek Caldera

The Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, as redefined by Pallister and others (1994), consists of intracaldera and outflow facies. Drewes (1982) provided a synopsis for the correlation between the Rhyolite Canyon Formation, parts of which were redefined to the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, and earlier nomenclature established for these rocks by Enlows (1955) and Fernandez and Enlows (1966). The tuff, whose eruption caused collapse of the Turkey Creek caldera, is the volumetrically dominant eruptive product of the caldera (du Bray and Pallister, 1991). The outflow facies rocks have been divided into basal (Trcb), lower (Trcl), middle (Trcm), and upper (Trcu) members. The intracaldera facies (Trci) is dominated by a thick accumulation of homogeneous tuff but also includes a lava-flow-like phase (Trcf). All parts of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff are petrographically similar, with the exceptions noted following. The unit is light-gray to reddish-brown, high-silica rhyolite ash-flow tuff that contains 7–35 percent phenocrysts; phenocrysts are almost entirely quartz and sanidine. Sanidine forms lath-shaped crystals typically 1–4 mm long but locally as long as 1 cm in the upper member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. Quartz typically is rounded and embayed, and grains are 1–3 mm in diameter. The tuff also contains accessory Fe-Ti oxide minerals and trace augite, hornblende, zircon, apatite, and allanite. The lava-flow-like phase in the uppermost exposures of intracaldera tuff is distinguished by large (0.5–1 cm), mostly lath-shaped crystals of perthitic sanidine, large subhedral or partly resorbed quartz phenocrysts, an apparent absence of eutaxitic structure, and a few lithic inclusions. The intracaldera facies is reddish brown, red, pink, orange, or gray and lithic-poor to lithic-rich (<5–20 percent). The Rhyolite Canyon Tuff is correlative with the tuff of Shake Gulch and the tuff of Bruno Peak (Drewes and Brooks, 1988).

Dacite porphyry was emplaced as a resurgent intrusion (Tdpl) in the center of the collapsed Turkey Creek caldera. Its extrusive equivalent, dacite porphyry lava flows (Tdpl), was erupted onto the floor of the evolving moat of the Turkey Creek caldera shortly after eruption of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. Dacite porphyry that forms lava flows is petrographically and compositionally similar to dacite porphyry of the resurgent intrusion, except that clinopyroxene is its predominant mafic mineral and its groundmass is much finer grained. Glassy margins, brecciated in places, are exposed locally.
between flows at shallow stratigraphic levels. The dacite porphyry is gray to tan, massive, and highly jointed. Its groundmass grades from coarse cuneiform granophyre, most common at the lowest exposed levels of the resurgent intrusion, through medium- to fine-grained granophyre higher in the intrusion. The dacite porphyry contains megacrysts (5 mm to >3 cm across) of alkali feldspar and plagioclase, and small (typically 1 cm across) hornfels inclusions. Alkali feldspar, commonly zoned, forms overgrowths on plagioclase, and is exsolved variably to microperthite; cores of some alkali feldspar phenocrysts are resorbed. Plagioclase phenocrysts (1–3 mm) are zoned from albite rims to andesine cores. The dacite porphyry also contains glomerocrysts of albite-andesine and phenocrysts or microphenocrysts of sanidine, quartz, biotite, hornblende, clinopyroxene, and Fe-Ti oxide minerals, and trace amounts of apatite, zircon, and titanite; phenocryst assemblages are highly variable. Phenocrysts of partly resorbed quartz are present locally, and groundmass quartz is abundant in granophyre.

Trachyte porphyry lava (Ttp) crops out locally at the base of the volcanic section preserved in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera. The unit consists of red to reddish-brown or orange trachyte lava with intercalated to granophyric groundmass textures. The lava contains large phenocrysts (2 mm to >1 cm) of sanidine that have dusty reaction rims. Phenocrysts also include small crystals composed of Fe-Ti oxide minerals, oxhornblende, clinopyroxene, and plagioclase. Strained quartz xenocrysts are present in some samples. The trachyte porphyry forms very restricted outcrops in the southeastern part of the Turkey Creek caldera.

A sequence of rhyolite lava flows and minor associated pyroclastic flow deposits, the Fife Canyon Volcanics of Latta (1983), are stratigraphically above dacite and trachyte porphyry lava flows in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera. These rocks, with a total preserved volume of about 60 km³, probably were erupted from vents along the buried ring fracture collapse system of the Turkey Creek caldera. The presence of biotite in the basal rhyolite of this sequence is distinctive. The upper three rhyolite lava flows, units 1 through 3, from oldest to youngest, are petrographically indistinguishable, nearly aphyric, high-silica rhyolite lava flows separated by thin pyroclastic flow deposits.

The biotite rhyolite (Tmrb) forms flows and domes on the north flank of the Turkey Creek caldera. The lava is gray to brownish- or yellowish-gray (devitrified) or black (glassy), moderately phenocryst rich (5–20 percent) rhyolite that contains plagioclase, sanidine, quartz, biotite, Fe-Ti oxide minerals, and trace amounts of zircon and monazite. Plagioclase also forms small (<1 mm), oscillatory-zoned (andesine cores) crystals; a xenocrystic origin is suggested by resorption, wormy glass inclusions, and its occurrence in small crystal clots, commonly with biotite. Perlite, locally preserved at the basal contact, is spherulitic in some outcrops. Flow interiors are devitrified and locally granophyric.

Unit 1 lava (Tmr1) consists of light-gray to reddish-gray or brown rock, most of which is flow layered and intricately flow folded—although some exposures are massive. The lava is devitrified, except at its base, where perlitic glass locally contains spherulitic zones and geodes. It is typically aphyric or crystal poor (<5 percent) and contains sanidine, quartz, and Fe-Ti oxide minerals, along with trace amounts of plagioclase, hornblende, and clinopyroxene. Carapace breccia is exposed locally at margins of lava flows. Flow interiors are recrystallized to granophyre and contain vapor-phase quartz and feldspar in amygdules.

Unit 2 lava (Tmr2) is light-gray to reddish-gray, phenocryst-poor rhyolite lava that is flow layered and intricately flow folded, locally massive, and aphyric or sparsely (0–2 percent) porphyritic. It contains phenocrysts (<1 mm) of sanidine, quartz, and Fe-Ti oxide minerals; accessory biotite and zircon are present in some samples. The lava is devitrified, except at its base, where black or green glassy breccia or flow-layered perlite is locally exposed; spherulitic and axiolitic (with respect to flow layers) devitrification and granophyric recrystallization are common.

Unit 3 lava (Tmr3) is light-gray to reddish-gray, typically aphyric, flow-layered and folded rhyolite. It contains trace amounts of sanidine phenocrysts and biotite microphenocrysts. Spherulitic and axiolitic (with respect to flow layers) devitrification and granophyric recrystallization are common features of this unit.

Post-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks

Pyroclastic deposits and lava flows of Swede Peak (Ts), as defined by Drewes and Brooks (1988), consist of white to tan high-silica rhyolite. The rhyolite is commonly crystal rich (20 percent); phenocrysts are sanidine, quartz, albite, and oxidized biotite and trace amounts of Fe-Ti oxide minerals, titanite, and zircon. Vitroclastic groundmass in unwelded pyroclastic deposits is composed of variably devitrified ash, glass shards, and crystal and lithic fragments. Abundances of pumice and glass shards are variable within and between individual pyroclastic flows. Abundance of lithic fragments also is widely variable. The great thickness of these areally restricted deposits near Swede Peak and decreasing thicknesses in surrounding areas suggest vent(s) near this feature southeast of the Turkey Creek caldera.

The rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak (Trdp) is light-gray to reddish-gray, flow-layered and intricately flow folded, high-silica rhyolite lava that is crystal poor (1–4 percent); phenocrysts are resorbed quartz, sanidine, and subordinate albite and trace amounts of Fe-Ti oxide minerals and biotite. Its groundmass commonly is devitrified and recrystallized to an aggregate of microscopic spherulites and granophyre. Thickness relations are similar to those of pyroclastic deposits and lava flows of Swede Peak, which, together with compositional and temporal similarities, imply cognesis and eruption from associated conduits. The rhyolite underlies an area of about 5 km² about 15 km southeast of the center of the Turkey Creek caldera.
**Geochemistry**

**Classification**

The International Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS) classification of volcanic rocks (Le Bas and others, 1986) was applied to the compositions of volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains (table 1); most of the units studied are rhyolite (fig. 3). Because the resurgent intrusion in the Turkey Creek caldera, its associated moat-filling lava flows, and the immediately overlying lava flows contain less than 20 percent normative quartz and greater than 9 percent \( \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O} \) and 64–66 percent \( \text{SiO}_2 \), they are alkaline and can be classified as trachyte using the criteria of Le Bas and others (1986). However, in the case of the resurgent intrusion and its associated lava flows, we have chosen to apply the name dacite, the subalkaline variant of trachyte, because their compositions are transitional between alkaline and subalkaline compositions as defined by Irvine and Baragar (1971) and Le Bas and others (1986), and to emphasize their consanguinity with the other subalkaline products of the Turkey Creek caldera. That this igneous system is not intrinsically alkaline is another reason that we have elected not to use the name trachyte; our choice of dacite is in accord with the absence of alkali-rich minerals, such as aegirine and alkali amphiboles, that are characteristic of alkaline series rocks. Because the trachyte porphyry lava flows (Ttp) that immediately overlie the dacite porphyry flows have compositions (fig. 3) that are alkaline, even by the definition of Irvine and Baragar (1971), we do apply the name trachyte to these rocks. However, all of the rhyolites are subalkaline, as defined by Irvine and Baragar (1971), and all but the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, the rhyolite of Erickson Ridge, the lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch, and the biotite rhyolite lava of the Turkey Creek caldera moat sequence contain >76 percent \( \text{SiO}_2 \), and are therefore high-silica rhyolite. Most volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains are weakly peraluminous (alumina saturation indices range from 1.03 to 1.11); the exception is the dacite and trachyte porphyries, which are metaluminous (alumina saturation indices 0.91–0.92). The peraluminous tendencies may reflect minor, posteruptive alkali loss relative to alumina rather than primary magmatic characteristics. The lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch and the rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon are distinctly more strongly peraluminous (alumina saturation indices 1.23 and 1.16, respectively).

**Figure 3.** Average (table 1) total alkali-silica variation diagram showing compositions of volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. International Union of Geological Sciences classification grid (Le Bas and others, 1986) is also shown.
As is typical for most calc-alkaline rocks, abundances of Al₂O₃, total iron, MgO, CaO, P₂O₅, and TiO₂ decrease with increasing SiO₂ in volcanic rocks of these mountains, whereas abundances of Na₂O, K₂O, and MnO show no clear relationship with SiO₂ (fig. 4). Variation patterns for Na₂O, K₂O, and MnO are poorly developed, largely because most of the volcanic rock units studied are composed of high-silica rhyolite; variation within this narrowly defined SiO₂ compositional range is relatively limited. Exceptions to this generalization relate to the abundances of Na₂O and K₂O. Within the group of high-silica rhyolites, those units with 76–77.6 percent SiO₂, Na₂O abundances vary from about 1.3 to 4.0 weight percent, whereas K₂O abundances vary from about 4.6 to 7.5 weight percent. A significant geochemical trait of volcanic rocks of the area is their elevated K₂O abundances (fig. 4); all of the units are members of either the high-potassium calc-alkaline or the shoshonitic series of Ewart (1982). The K₂O/Na₂O ratio for all of the studied units is >1.0, which indicates that all of these units are potassic as defined by Le Bas and others (1986).

Figure 4. Abundances (table 1) of selected major oxides and trace elements of volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz.
Within-Unit Geochemical Variation

In order to better define the volcanic units, we used several analytical methods to study the character and extent of within-unit compositional variation, as portrayed by all available geochemical data (du Bray and others, 1992a; 1992b; 1993; du Bray and Pallister, 1994; 1995). We first created a series of extended trace-element diagrams (Thompson and others, 1983) to evaluate within-unit compositional variation; for each volcanic unit a separate diagram (fig. 5) displays

Figure 5 (above and following pages). Chondrite-normalized (modified from Thompson and others, 1983) extended trace-element diagrams showing compositions of volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz.; plots portray data for the indicated stratigraphic unit. Trace elements are arranged in order of increasing geochemical compatibility to the right. A, pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks (this page).
UNIT 3 RHYOLITE LAVA OF THE TURKEY CREEK CALDERA (Tmr3)

UNIT 2 RHYOLITE LAVA OF THE TURKEY CREEK CALDERA (Tmr2)

UNIT 1 RHYOLITE LAVA OF THE TURKEY CREEK CALDERA (Tmr1)

BIOTITE RHYOLITE LAVA OF THE TURKEY CREEK CALDERA (Tmrb)

DACITE PORPHYRY INTRUSION OF THE TURKEY CREEK CALDERA (Tdpi)

DACITE PORPHYRY LAVA OF THE TURKEY CREEK CALDERA (TdpiII)
Figure 5—Continued (above and facing page). Chondrite-normalized (modified from Thompson and others, 1983) extended trace-element diagrams showing compositions of volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz.; plots portray data for the indicated stratigraphic unit. B, rocks associated with the Turkey Creek caldera.
trace-element abundances for all samples analyzed by instrumental neutron activation analysis. Additional graphical portrayal of within-unit compositional variation, for a significantly greater number of samples (those for which trace-element abundances were determined by energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy), appears in figure 6. Finally, the magnitude of calculated standard deviations relative to mean abundances (table 1) for each unit was considered. These data evaluations indicate that individual volcanic rock stratigraphic units of the central Chiricahua Mountains, especially units composed of lava flows, are relatively homogeneous. However, some of the ash-flow tuff units are characterized by considerable within-unit compositional variation. Moderate, systematic compositional variation characteristic of these units is consistent with eruption from normally zoned reservoirs (Hildreth, 1981). These observations suggest that neither flow sorting, elutriation, incorporation of exotic material, nor other factors significantly skewed the whole-rock composition of these samples from magmatic values.

**Pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks**

Volcanic rocks erupted prior to Turkey Creek caldera formation display variable amounts of within-unit compositional inhomogeneity. The rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon has considerable variation especially with respect to abundances of SiO$_2$, K$_2$O, Ba, Sr, and Rb; given the preferential partitioning of these components into feldspars (Hanson, 1978), these variations probably indicate varying amounts of feldspar fractionation. Similarly, because of preferential partitioning of Sr and Ba into feldspar (Hanson, 1978), the considerable variation of Sr and Ba abundances in samples of the lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch probably results from variable amounts of feldspar fractionation.

The rhyolite lavas of Cave Creek, Krentz Ranch, and Erickson Ridge, and the Jesse James Canyon Tuff display limited within-unit compositional variation. Of these, the rhyolite lava of Cave Creek displays the most significant variation; moderate variation in Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, and Rb abundances may result from non-isochronal devitrification processes. Lipman (1965) showed that post-magmatic effects can significantly modify primary compositions of glassy volcanic rocks; alkali element abundances are most strongly affected. As glassy rocks interact with ground water, they become hydrated and alkali elements are susceptible to leaching. Light REE (rare earth element) abundances in samples of the Jesse James Canyon Tuff are moderately variable, although the tuff is otherwise quite homogeneous.

Samples collected without specific regard for vertical position in both members of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon indicate considerable compositional inhomogeneity (table 1). Samples of the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon contain variable abundances of SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, Rb, and Ba, which again may reflect varying amounts of alkali feldspar fractionation. In contrast, samples of the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon contain variable abundances of SiO$_2$, CaO, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, Rb, Sr, Zr, and Ba; these variations probably reflect control by plagioclase, biotite, and zircon, the principal residences of these components (Hanson, 1978). A reconnaissance evaluation of the nature and extent of vertical zonation within these two units was conducted by a systematic sampling of a continuous vertical section through these units along a ridge west of Dripping Spring, on the south side of Sulphur Draw in the Portal Peak 7.5' quadrangle. The thick section of the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon along the ridge seems to be intact, complete, and representative of the unit, whereas the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon section is relatively thin here and may be incomplete. Geochemical abundances in a suite of samples from the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon vary up section as follows: SiO$_2$ decreases from 75.3 to 74.5 weight percent, Na$_2$O increases from 1.25 to 2.98 weight percent, K$_2$O decreases from 8.97 to 7.31 weight percent, Rb decreases from 571 to 362 ppm, Sr increases from 54 to 99 ppm, Zr increases from 262 to 467 ppm, and Ba increases from 210 to 647 ppm. Geochemical abundances in a suite of samples from the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon vary up section as follows: SiO$_2$ decreases from 73.4 to 71.8 weight percent, Na$_2$O increases from 3.79 to 3.92 weight percent, K$_2$O decreases from 5.80 to 5.66 weight percent, Rb decreases from 270 to 142 ppm, Sr increases from 73 to 104 ppm, Zr increases from 411 to 609 ppm, and Ba increases from 714 to 1,243 ppm. Geochemical variation depicted by these two suites of samples has the same polarity, is essentially overlapping and continuous, and is consistent with the tuff having originated as a series of eruptions from progressively deeper levels of a single, normally zoned reservoir.

**Rocks Associated with the Turkey Creek Caldera**

Volcanic units associated with the Turkey Creek caldera display limited inter- and intra-unit compositional inhomogeneity and zonation. Intracaldera facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff is characterized by moderate compositional variation. Compositional variation within the intracaldera facies probably reflects physical incorporation of relatively large and variable amounts of exotic lithic fragments from the caldera's topographic wall during collapse. The lava-flow-like phase of intracaldera facies tuff is characterized by restricted compositional variation. In the lower and middle members of outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, compositional variation, especially of Rb abundances, is moderate, whereas that within the basal and upper members is relatively limited. Compositional variation among the four outflow facies members of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff is continuous and systematic; as a group, the four members exhibit a trend of decreasing geochemical evolution with time. In particular, the upper member is characterized by lower abundances of SiO$_2$, Rb, Y, Nb, Ta, Th, and U, and higher abundances of total iron, MgO, CaO, TiO$_2$, Sr, Zr, Ba, and Eu.

Compositional data for a large number of dacite porphyry samples collected from widely distributed sites indicate that...
this unit is relatively homogeneous. Trachyte porphyry lava flows in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera generally display even less within-unit compositional variability, although SiO₂, CaO, Sr, and Ba abundances are highly variable and may reflect varying degrees of feldspar fractionation.

Of the rhyolite lavas in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera, those in unit 2 lava display the greatest amount of within-unit compositional variation; in particular, REE abundances vary considerably within this unit (table 1). Compositional variation among the caldera’s four rhyolite lava units indicates that the upper three units are very distinct relative to the basal biotite-bearing rhyolite lava, are considerably more evolved, and depict a trend toward increasing evolution with time. In particular, the basal lava is a low-silica rhyolite, whereas the upper three are all high-silica rhyolites. In addition, the basal biotite rhyolite is characterized by higher abundances of total iron, MgO, CaO, TiO₂, Sr, and Ba, and lower abundances of Rb, Y, Zr, Nb, Th, and U than the three high-silica rhyolites. The compositions of the two youngest high-silica rhyolites, lavas of units 2 and 3, are indistinguishable. However, these two rhyolites are compositionally distinct relative to the underlying unit 1 lava. The most diagnostic distinction pertains to barium abundances. Lavas of units 2 and 3 contain 10–20 ppm Ba, whereas unit 1 lava contains 60–100 ppm Ba. Other distinctions include higher SiO₂ and lower MgO, CaO, and REE abundances in lavas of units 2 and 3 relative to unit 1 lava.

Post-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks

Volcanic rocks erupted following Turkey Creek caldera formation display limited within-unit compositional homogeneity. The most pronounced compositional variation in samples of the pyroclastic deposits and lava flows of Swede Peak is that of yttrium, whose abundances range from 37 to 90 ppm. Abundances of Ba and Sr are also somewhat variable in this unit, which suggests that these samples may have experienced varying amounts of feldspar fractionation. In samples of the rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak, Y, Nb, and Ba abundances vary considerably. Abundances of Y and Nb are probably controlled by accessory minerals, whereas Ba abundance variations suggest that magma represented by these samples may have experienced differing amounts of biotite and feldspar fractionation.

Geochemistry- and Petrography-Based Stratigraphic Distinctions

As demonstrated by Hildreth and Mahood (1985), various combinations or subsets of field, petrographic, paleomagnetic, or geochronologic data considered along with major-oxide and trace-element abundance data may facilitate stratigraphic identification and correlation. Compositional ranges of major oxides and trace elements in samples of central Chiricahua Mountains volcanic rocks (fig. 6) were used to aid stratigraphic determinations when other data were either insufficient or ambiguous. This approach is especially useful for instances in which sparse exposure or structural dismemberment resulted in limited stratigraphic context. Because macroscopic features are sufficient to distinguish lava flows from tuffs, in most cases, compositional and petrographic comparisons presented herein are made separately for lava flows and tuffs; data for lava flows are compared only to data for other lava flow units, whereas data for tuffs were compared only to data for other tuff units.

Lavas

The aphyric character of the high-silica rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch distinguishes it from crystal-bearing lavas of the study area. Relative to most other aphyric high-silica rhyolite lavas in the area, the rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch (abbreviated as KR in fig. 6) is compositionally indistinct, as indicated by limited available geochemical data. Low niobium abundances for the rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch relative to those of aphyric rhyolite lavas exposed in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera; square, post-Turkey Creek caldera rocks. Unit designations are abbreviated here as follows:

- DP, rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak
- SW, pyroclastic deposits and lava flows of Swede Peak
- R3, unit 3 rhyolite lava of the Turkey Creek caldera
- R2, unit 2 rhyolite lava of the Turkey Creek caldera
- R1, unit 1 rhyolite lava of the Turkey Creek caldera
- RB, biotite rhyolite lava of the Turkey Creek caldera
- TP, trachyte porphyry lava
- PI, dacite porphyry intrusion of the Turkey Creek caldera
- PL, dacite porphyry lava of the Turkey Creek caldera
- RF, lava-flow-like phase of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff
- RI, intracaldera facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff
- RU, upper member, outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff
- RM, middle member, outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff
- RL, lower member, outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff
- RO, basal member, outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff
- JJ, Jesse James Canyon Tuff
- HU, upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon
- HL, lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon
- RE, rhyolite of Erickson Ridge
- CC, rhyolite lava of Cave Creek
- KR, rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch
- JG, lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch
- HI, rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon

Figure 6 (following pages). Stratigraphic versus compositional variation among volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. Analytical uncertainty for oxide or element is shown by error bar in bottom right corner of each plot. Units arranged from youngest (top) to oldest (bottom) in each plot. Triangle, pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks; dot, rocks associated with the Turkey Creek caldera; square, post-Turkey Creek caldera rocks. Unit designations are abbreviated here as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Parts Per Million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt</td>
<td>4, 8, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>10, 20, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>10, 20, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandium</td>
<td>4, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>40, 60, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tantalum</td>
<td>5, 10, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium</td>
<td>20, 30, 40, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium</td>
<td>5, 10, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafnium</td>
<td>5, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesium</td>
<td>40, 60, 80, 100, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanthanum</td>
<td>40, 60, 80, 100, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samarium</td>
<td>5, 10, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europium</td>
<td>5, 10, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadolinium</td>
<td>5, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ytterbium</td>
<td>5, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutetium</td>
<td>0.5, 1.0, 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The rhyolite of Erickson Ridge is characterized by a restricted set of outcrops distinguished by distinctive sanidine phenocrysts. The trachyte porphyry is distinguished from the dacite porphyry by its distinctive reddish-brown color and finer grained groundmass. The composition of the trachyte porphyry is similar to that of the dacite porphyry and so is similarly chemically distinct relative to all other volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains. Elevated abundances of Na, Y, Zr, and Nb and lower abundances of Sr and Ba in the trachyte porphyry distinguish the trachyte porphyry from the dacite porphyry.

Of the four rhyolite lavas present in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera, only the oldest of these, the biotite rhyolite lava (RB in fig. 6), is petrographically distinct. The biotite rhyolite contains phenocrysts of biotite, feldspar, and quartz that distinguish it from all other central Chiricahua Mountains rhyolite lavas, except the rhyolite of Erickson Ridge. The other three moat rhyolite lavas are virtually aphyric and macroscopically indistinguishable from one another and similarly cannot be distinguished petrographically from the aphyric rhyolite lavas of Krentz Ranch, Cave Creek, and Dobson Peak. The composition of the biotite rhyolite in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera is distinguished from that of other rhyolites, except the rhyolite of Erickson Ridge, by lower SiO₂, Rb, Nb, Ta, U, Th, Hf, and heavy REE abundances and by higher FeO, MgO, TiO₂, Sr, Ba, Co, Sc, and Eu abundances. The biotite rhyolite in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera is distinguished from rhyolite of Erickson Ridge by a Rb/Sr ratio greater than 1, lower Ba abundances, and higher Th abundances. Compositions of the three aphyric moat rhyolites are almost indistinguishable from those of other aphyric rhyolites in the central Chiricahua Mountains, except that the moat lavas have higher Nb abundances than the rhyolite lavas of Cave Creek and Krentz Ranch, and lower Rb and Nb abundances than the rhyolite of Dobson Peak. Compositions of the youngest two rhyolite lavas (R2 and R3 in fig. 6) in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera (lavas of units 2 and 3) are indistinguishable. They can only be differentiated in a stratigraphic context relative to the thin tuff that separates them. The composition of unit 1 lava (R1 in fig. 6) is distinct from that of units 2 and 3 lavas; unit 1 lava is distinguished by lower SiO₂, Nb, Ta, and Th abundances and higher CaO, Ba, light REE, and Eu abundances.

The rhyolite of Dobson Peak (DP in fig. 6) is an aphyric rhyolite that is macroscopically indistinguishable from other aphyric rhyolites in the map area. Its aphyric character distinguishes the rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak from rhyolite of Erickson Ridge and biotite rhyolite lava in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera. Elevated Rb and Nb abundances distinguish the rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak from all other rhyolites of the area.

**Ash-Flow Tuffs and Other Pyroclastic Flow Deposits**

The low phenocryst content and presence of trace amounts of oxidized biotite distinguish the rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon (HI in fig. 6), the oldest of the...
regionally exposed ash-flow tuffs, from most other tuffs of
the central Chiricahua Mountains. In addition, the rhyolite
tuff of High Lonesome Canyon is characterized by unusually
low zirconium and Na2O abundances relative to other high-
silica rhyolite ash-flow tuffs of the study area. Although the
composition of the rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon is
otherwise nondistinct, these features are probably sufficient to
be diagnostic.

Several features of the lower member of the rhyolite of
Joe Glenn Ranch (JG in fig. 6) help to distinguish this tuff from
others exposed in the central Chiricahua Mountains. It is
characterized by a relatively high crystal content (from 20
to 40 percent) and distinctive, 1–3 mm wide, pseudohexagonal
biotite crystals. The lower member of the rhyolite of Joe
Glenn Ranch also is characterized by higher FeO*, TiO2,
and Ba abundances, and lower Nb abundances than other central
Chiricahua Mountains tuffs.

The relatively crystal-rich lower member of the tuff of
Horseshoe Canyon (HL in fig. 6) contains diagnostic biotite
phenocrysts in addition to quartz and sanidine. Geochemical
characteristics diagnostic of the lower member of the tuff of
Horseshoe Canyon include elevated K2O, Ba, and Eu
abundances and low Zr, Nb, and Th abundances, relative to
other central Chiricahua Mountains high-silica rhyolite tuffs.
In contrast, the relatively crystal-poor upper member of the
tuff of Horseshoe Canyon (HU in fig. 6) also is characterized
by biotite phenocrysts as well as by relatively elevated
Al2O3, FeO*, TiO2, P2O5, Sr, Zr, Ba, Co, Ni, Cr, Sc, Hf, and
Eu abundances, and low SiO2, Nb, Ta, U, and Th abundances;
another characteristic of the upper member of the tuff of
Horseshoe Canyon is its dramatic within-unit compositional
variation. As such, the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe
Canyon is probably the most geochemically distinct ash-flow
tuff exposed in the study area. Its most distinguishing features
relative to the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon
are its higher Al2O3, FeO*, TiO2, Ba, and Eu abundances, and
lower SiO2, U, and Th abundances.

The Jesse James Canyon Tuff (JJ in fig. 6) is macro-
scopically almost indistinguishable from the Rhyolite Canyon
Tuff. Its only diagnostic petrographic characteristic is the
presence of trace amounts of biotite. The composition of the
Jesse James Canyon Tuff is distinguished from those of other
ash-flow tuffs of the central Chiricahua Mountains, includ-
ing the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, by its slightly lower FeO*, Zr,
Ta, Th, and Hf abundances, none of which distinguish it from
the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon. Slightly
lower K2O, Rb, Ba, and Eu abundances of the Jesse James
Canyon Tuff may distinguish it from the lower member of the
tuff of Horseshoe Canyon.

The Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, the youngest regionally
extensive ash-flow tuff in the central Chiricahua Mountains,
is a high-silica rhyolite tuff that has been subdivided into six
geologic map units. All these units contain diagnostic quartz
and sanidine phenocrysts and, in contrast to most of the other
ash-flow tuffs present in the study area, only rarely contain
macroscopically identifiable mafic silicate minerals. The four
outflow facies members are macroscopically indistinguish-
able. The intracaldera facies member is distinguished from
the outflow facies members by its reddish-brown color and
considerably greater lithic fragment content. The lava-flow-
like phase is similar in appearance to intracaldera facies tuff,
but it includes unbroken 0.5–1 cm sanidine phenocrysts, lacks
eutaxitic structure, and contains very few lithic fragments.
The basal (RO in fig. 6), lower (RL in fig. 6), and middle
(RM in fig. 6) members of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff form a
geochemically distinct subset of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff,
whereas the upper (RU in fig. 6), intracaldera (RI in fig. 6),
and lava-flow-like phase (RF in fig. 6) form another. Elevated
Zr and Nb abundances distinguish all parts of the Rhyolite
Canyon Tuff from almost all other tuffs in the area. Zirconium
abundances of 280–400 ppm in the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff are
unusually elevated for subalkaline rhyolites and are distinc-
tive relative to abundances of similar volcanic rocks exposed
in the western United States. Although Zr abundances for the
Rhyolite Canyon Tuff slightly overlap those of both members
of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, Nb abundances of the former
distinguish it from the latter two units. Similarly, elevated
abundances of Ta, U, Th, Hf, and the heavy REE distinguish
the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff from other study area tuffs. As a
group, the basal, lower, and middle members of the Rhyolite
Canyon Tuff are distinguished by higher SiO2, Rb, Nb, and Ta
abundances and lower TiO2, Zr, Ba, and La abundances rela-
tive to the upper member, intracaldera facies, and lava-flow-
like phase of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. The lower and middle
members of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff are essentially indistin-
guishable (a distinctive parting, and in some places a distinc-
tive white ash deposit at the top of the lower member of the
outflow facies tuff can be used to mark the boundary between
these units), whereas the basal member of the Rhyolite Can-
yon Tuff is distinguished by higher K2O and Rb abundances.
The upper member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and the
intracaldera facies are indistinguishable, but the lava-flow-like
phase is distinguished by its higher K2O and Rb abundances.

The pyroclastic deposits and lava flows of Swede Peak
(SW in fig. 6) are present in a relatively limited area in the
southeast part of the central Chiricahua Mountains. Distin-
ctive macroscopic characteristics of this unit include the
fact that thick sections are composed of numerous separate
pyroclastic flow deposits that (1) are essentially unwelded,
(2) contain variable and frequently abundant lithic fragments,
(3) are crystal rich (including phenocrysts of quartz, sanidine,
albite, and biotite), and (4) are interbedded with volcanic
sandstone, as well as cogenetic high-silica rhyolite lava flows.
Fortunately, these features are diagnostic; compositional char-
acteristics of the pyroclastic deposits and lava flows of Swede
Peak are not diagnostic.

Petrogenetic Implications

Pearce and others (1984) recognized that granitoid rocks
generated in various tectonic settings have distinctive
geochemical signatures. Trace-element abundance variations in coeval volcanic and plutonic rocks generated in a given terrane should be similar. Consequently, compositions of volcanic rocks from the central Chiricahua Mountains can be compared to the trace-element–tectonic setting diagrams developed by Pearce and others (1984). Trace-element data for most of these rock units plot in the within-pllate field, near its boundary with the volcanic arc field (fig. 7). These trace-element characteristics indicate that genesis of magmas represented by this region’s volcanic rocks involved a significant crustal component and processes different than those that result in trace-element characteristics diagnostic of subduction-related processes. Compositions plotting in or near the volcanic arc field may indicate that the associated magmas had somewhat distinct sources and (or) evolved by different genetic processes.

Gill (1981) determined that Ba/Nb, Ba/Ta, and La/Nb ratios of modern arc rocks are >26, >450, and 2–7 respectively, whereas Pearce and others (1984) indicated that values of these ratios are generally <(much less than) 26, <450, and <3, respectively, for within-plate granites. For example, Ba/Nb and La/Nb ratios for subduction-related Tertiary volcanic rocks of the Bolivian Altiplano are about 30 and 3, respectively (du Bray and others, 1995), whereas Ba/Nb, Ba/Ta, and La/Nb ratios for Late Proterozoic within-plate granites of the Arabian Shield are about 2, 5, and 1 respectively (du Bray and others, 1988). Most, but not all, of central Chiricahua Mountains volcanic rock units (table 1) have values of Ba/Nb, Ba/Ta, and La/Nb that are <3, <50, and <2, respectively; these values are more like those of within-plate rocks than subduction-related arc rocks. However, the biotite rhyolite lava in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera, dacite porphyry, upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, rhyolite of Erickson Ridge, lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch, and rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon consistently yield arc-like values for these ratios.

Average chondrite-normalized extended trace-element patterns (fig. 8) for volcanic units of the study area are gently negatively sloping, and have superposed, well-developed negative Ba, Sr, P, and Ti anomalies; these patterns also include weakly developed, negative Nb-Ta anomalies. A striking feature of trace-element patterns for volcanic rocks of the area is their parallelism and relatively limited compositional range. The greatest amounts of compositional variation are among phosphorus, titanium, barium, and strontium, which probably reflect simple fractionation of varying amounts of apatite (P), iron-titanium oxide minerals (Ti), and plagioclase (Ba and Sr). The shape, slope, and abundance levels of chondrite-normalized extended trace-element patterns for volcanic rocks of the area are somewhat distinct from those characteristic of

![Figure 7](alongside and facing page). Trace-element–tectonic setting discrimination variation diagrams showing average compositions of volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. Tectonic setting–composition boundaries from Pearce and others (1984); compositions from table 1. A, tantalum versus ytterbium. B, niobium versus yttrium.
subduction-related arc volcanic rocks. In particular, for the rocks under study, the magnitudes of negative Ba, Sr, P, and Ti anomalies are greater, negative Nb-Ta anomalies are smaller, and, as indicated by heavy REE abundances that are greater than those of subduction-related arc rocks (fig. 8), the patterns are less steeply sloping. Negative Nb-Ta anomalies are considered (Wood and others, 1979; Gill, 1981; Pearce and others, 1984) a hallmark of subduction-related, arc volcanic rocks. The weakly developed nature of Nb-Ta anomalies in the central Chiricahua Mountains rocks (fig. 8) further emphasizes the transition from subduction-related magmatism, characteristic of the oldest volcanic rocks in the area, to within-plate magmatism epitomized by younger volcanic rocks in this area. A measure of the magnitude of the negative Nb-Ta anomaly derives from the chondrite-normalized K/Nb ratio, (K/Nb)CN. The average value of (K/Nb)CN for 18 middle Tertiary, demonstrably subduction-related ash-flow tuffs of southeastern Nevada is 8.0±1.4 (du Bray, 1995), whereas values of this ratio are <4 for most of the volcanic rocks of the study area. Rocks with (K/Nb)CN values >4 are the biotite rhyolite lava in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera (7.8), dacite porphyry (4.5), both members of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon (7.1 and 5.6), rhyolite of Erickson Ridge (7.9) and rhyolite lava of Cave Creek (4.9), the lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch (10.1), and the rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon (9.3); this ratio increases among progressively older rocks. All these compositional features suggest that volcanic rocks of the study area are principally of the within-plate type, but record the transition from an older subduction-related setting to a younger, within-plate setting. Furthermore, compositions of most volcanic rocks in the area are remarkably similar to the average obsidian composition for igneous systems situated in continental interior settings (Macdonald and others, 1992).

Zirconium abundances for most of the study area volcanic rocks are commensurate with the experimentally determined zirconium saturation threshold of 100–200 ppm for subalkaline compositions at typical magmatic temperatures (Watson and Harrison, 1983). Thus, magmas represented by these rocks did not equilibrate with peralkaline liquids, nor did they equilibrate at a temperature greater than about 860°C. Zirconium abundances in rocks derived from the Turkey Creek caldera and both members of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon are variably elevated and imply final pre-eruption equilibration at greater than about 860°C and (or) an association with magma having an alkaline affinity (Watson and Harrison, 1983). Of these units with elevated zirconium abundances, rhyolite lava flows in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera have the lowest zirconium abundances (175–220 ppm) and appear to have been least affected by the processes that caused pronounced zirconium enrichments in the other caldera-related
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rocks. The remaining rock units that have unusually high zirconium abundances are also characterized by elevated Na$_2$O and especially K$_2$O abundances and were previously identified (fig. 4) as members of the shoshonite series defined by Ewart (1982). These elevated zirconium abundances may be related to elevated alkali abundances in magmas represented by the associated volcanic units, because elevated alkali abundances stabilize zircono-alkali silicate complexes (Watson and Harrison, 1983), which inhibit zircon nucleation, fractionation, and zirconium concentrations from reaching maximum thresholds in silicate liquids.

Feldspar-melt distribution coefficients for strontium, potassium, and rubidium (Hanson, 1978) are such that feldspar fractionation preferentially removes strontium and then potassium from the melt phase, relative to rubidium, causing residual liquids to become progressively enriched in rubidium relative to potassium and strontium. In volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains, rubidium abundances increase systematically relative to those of strontium and potassium (fig. 9). Rubidium enrichment in most of these units is significantly better developed than in subduction-related volcanic arc rocks, including, for example, ash-flow tuffs of southeastern Nevada (du Bray, 1995), and is strikingly similar to that characteristic of highly evolved, within-plate granites of the Arabian Shield (du Bray and others, 1988). Rb/Sr ratios range from a low of 0.69 in the rhyolite of Erickson Ridge to a highly evolved value of 32 in the rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak; the average Rb/Sr ratio for the 23 volcanic units is 11. These ratios contrast dramatically with those for subduction-related dacite to rhyolite ash-flow tuffs of southeastern Nevada, whose average Rb/Sr ratio is 0.68 (du Bray, 1995).

Average chondrite-normalized REE patterns for volcanic rock units of the study area (fig. 10A) mimic relations portrayed by chondrite-normalized extended trace-element patterns, in that the REE patterns are remarkably parallel and define a relatively narrow compositional range. The light REE parts of the patterns are moderately negatively sloping, whereas the heavy REE parts are essentially flat; the patterns include small to moderately well developed negative europium anomalies that probably indicate modest to considerable amounts of feldspar fractionation (Hanson, 1978). Chondrite-normalized La/Lu ratios range from 3.73 to 13.2 and average 8.1±3.3; units with geochemical characteristics most like those of subduction-related arc volcanic rocks have the steepest patterns. Total REE contents range from 110 to 444 (average 276±103) ppm.
Petrogenetic Evolution of the Turkey Creek Caldera Magmatic System

Hildreth (1979, fig. 13) documented systematic compositional variation within the Quaternary Bishop Tuff (associated with the Long Valley caldera of east-central California), one of the first ash-flow tuffs for which systematic compositional variation was well characterized. In particular, cooler, earlier erupted parts of Bishop Tuff, extracted from the top of the magma reservoir, have lower light REE abundances and higher heavy REE abundances than hotter, later erupted material extracted from deeper within the reservoir. In addition, each sequentially erupted fraction of Bishop Tuff magma is characterized by a progressively smaller negative europium anomaly. These abundance variations for sequential eruptions from a zoned reservoir yield a clockwise rotation of chondrite normalized REE patterns and smaller negative europium anomalies. Hildreth (1981) also demonstrated that a broad suite of additional incompatible trace elements is more abundant in earlier erupted parts of the Bishop Tuff, whereas compatible trace-element abundances are greatest in its latest erupted parts. This same type of compositional variation is preserved among outflow facies members of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff.

Sanidine, clinopyroxene, and zircon are the principal phenocrysts in the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and thus are most likely to have controlled trace-element abundance variations in the evolving liquid represented by the tuff. Mineral-melt distribution coefficients ($K_D$'s) for these minerals in rhyolitic melts are as follows (Hanson, 1978, 1980):

Sanidine: light REE $K_D$'s (0.02–0.04) are greater than heavy REE $K_D$'s (0.006); except Eu (1–2). Values for $K_D$'s of Sr, Ba, and Rb are about 4, 6, and 0.7, respectively. Sanidine fractionation causes counterclockwise REE pattern rotation (light REE depletion), results in negative europium anomaly development, increases overall REE and Rb abundances, and decreases Sr and Ba abundances in the residual liquid.

Clinopyroxene: heavy REE $K_D$'s (1–2) are slightly greater than light REE $K_D$'s (0.5–1). Values for $K_D$'s of Sr, Ba, and Rb are less than 1. Clinopyroxene fractionation causes slight clockwise REE pattern rotation (heavy REE depletion), results in overall REE depletion, and increases Sr, Ba, and Rb abundances in the residual liquid.

Zircon: heavy REE $K_D$'s (as high as 300) are significantly greater than light REE $K_D$'s (about 1). Values for $K_D$'s of Sr, Ba, and Rb are less than 1. Zircon fractionation causes dramatic clockwise REE pattern rotation (heavy REE depletion).

Figure 9. Ternary variation diagram showing average relative proportions of rubidium, potassium, and strontium in volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz.
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Chondrite-normalized (modified from Anders and Ebihara, 1982) rare earth element diagrams. A, average compositions of volcanic rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. B, compositions of the lower (Trcl), middle (Trcm), and upper (Trcu) members of outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. C, compositions of the biotite rhyolite (Tmrb) and units 1 (Tmr1), 2 (Tmr2), and 3 (Tmr3) of rhyolite lava preserved in moat of Turkey Creek caldera.
depletion), results in overall REE depletion, and increases Sr, Ba, and Rb abundances in the residual liquid.

REE abundance variations within outflow facies members of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff (fig. 10B; table 1) are similar to those of the Bishop Tuff as well as those of many other high-silica rhyolite systems. The upper member of outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff is the least geochemically evolved of the three members, and it therefore is considered to represent a composition similar to that from which the other two members could have evolved. REE patterns for sequentially erupted members of outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff rotate in a clockwise sense, have progressively smaller negative europium anomalies, and depict compositional evolution of earlier erupted members from later erupted members. Abundances of other trace elements also vary consistently relative to stratigraphic position within the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. In particular, early erupted Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, represented by stratigraphically lower deposits, contain higher abundances of Rb, Y, Nb, Ta, Th, and U, and lower abundances of Sr, Zr, and Ba. Consequently, evolution of trace-element abundance variations within the reservoir represented by outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff is qualitatively consistent with fractionation of the phenocryst phases contained therein. Sanidine crystallization and fractionation from the least evolved, parental magma, represented by the upper member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, caused the residual liquid to contain lower light REE abundances relative to heavy REE abundances, lower Sr, Eu, and Ba abundances, and higher Rb abundances. Clinopyroxene crystallization and fractionation caused the residual liquid to contain slightly lower REE abundances overall. Zircon crystallization and fractionation caused the residual liquid to contain lower Zr abundances and partially counteracted heavy REE enrichment caused by sanidine fractionation. These mineral-melt distribution relations and crystal fractionation processes caused the residual liquid to differentiate to more evolved compositions and more evolved liquids accumulated at the top of the reservoir prior to eruption. Subsequent top-down eruptions from the normally zoned reservoir represented by the Turkey Creek caldera and its eruptive products depict compositional gradients that developed in the reservoir as a consequence of these crystal liquid equilibria.

The four rhyolite moat lava units (units 1, 2, and 3 and the biotite rhyolite), considered together, are zoned from less evolved (biotite rhyolite) to more evolved (unit 3) compositions, which is the reverse of that which results from progressive, top-down magma extraction from a normally zoned reservoir. Each subsequently erupted unit is more evolved than the previously erupted unit. For example, the abundances of compatible elements, such as Ti, Ba, and Sr, are greater in the biotite rhyolite relative to those in the three successively erupted rhyolite lavas of units 1, 2, and 3, in which their abundances are progressively lower. In contrast, abundances of
incompatible elements, such as Rb, Th, and Nb, are lowest in the first erupted rhyolite lava and progressively higher in subsequently erupted moat rhyolite lavas (table 1). As described by Duffield and Ruiz (1992) for the Oligocene Taylor Creek Rhyolite of New Mexico, this type of zoning is a logical consequence of a reservoir's being progressively contaminated by incorporation of variable amounts of geochemically less evolved rock. In an incompletely mixed reservoir, with increasing distance downward, away from the upper, enclosing roof rock interface, the amount of incorporated wallrock, and therefore contamination, is predictably less. Progressive, top-down magma extraction from a reservoir so contaminated yields eruptions of progressively more evolved compositions. Reversed compositional variation preserved by the rhyolite lavas in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera suggests that the upper parts of their source reservoir were progressively less evolved as a consequence of having incorporated relatively greater amounts of roof rock. To identify other geochemical processes by which the observed reversed geochemical zonation preserved in the four rhyolite moat lava units could have developed is difficult.

REE abundance variations (fig. 10C) between the biotite rhyolite and unit 1 lava imply that wallrock contaminant unmixing was not the sole control on the compositional evolution depicted by these rocks. The biotite rhyolite has slightly lower REE abundances, has a slightly less well developed negative Eu anomaly, and is characterized by a REE pattern slightly less steeply negatively sloped than that of unit 1 lava. In order for unit 1 lava to have evolved from the biotite rhyolite, overall REE enrichment, which requires removal of material with REE abundances lower than those of the biotite rhyolite, must have occurred. However, the biotite rhyolite REE composition could not have evolved to that of unit 1 lava by unmixing of a lithologic contaminant, because all of the lithologies plausibly present within the intracaldera environment have overall REE abundances greater than those of the biotite rhyolite (fig 10A); their addition to unit 1 lava would have caused REE enrichment in unit 1 lava relative to the biotite rhyolite. Fractionation of phenocrysts characteristic of the biotite rhyolite, sanidine and biotite, could have caused REE abundances to evolve to those of unit 1 lava. As described in the second paragraph of this section, REE mineral-melt distribution coefficients for sanidine are such that sanidine fractionation causes counterclockwise REE pattern rotation, results in negative europium anomaly development, and increases overall REE abundances in the residual liquid. Similarly, REE $K'_s$ for biotite (0.23–0.44) are uniformly low, so that biotite fractionation causes REE enrichment (Hanson, 1980). Consequently, fractionation of sanidine and biotite phenocrysts from the biotite rhyolite could have caused the residual liquid, represented by unit 1 lava, to become REE enriched, to have a more well developed negative Eu anomaly, and to cause slight counterclockwise REE pattern rotation.

Compositional evolution from unit 1 lava to unit 2 lava and from unit 2 lava to unit 3 lava was quite different than the evolution from the biotite rhyolite to unit 1 lava. In particular, REE abundances in units 2 and 3 lavas are successively lower, negative Eu anomalies are of constant magnitude, and chondrite-normalized REE patterns are slightly counterclockwise rotated relative to that for unit 1 lava. This type of REE depletion requires removal of material with REE abundances greater than that of unit 1 lava. Because unit 1 lava has REE abundances between 100 and 10 times chondrite values, phenocryst fractionation seems improbable because very few common minerals contain sufficiently elevated REE abundances. Alternatively, addition of material, possibly including xenocrysts, with REE abundances less than that of unit 1 lava may have caused the observed REE abundance variations. However, the aphric character of these rocks indicates that crystals were not added. As described in the previous paragraph, all of the lithologies plausibly present within the intracaldera environment are inappropriate contaminant additions, because they have REE abundances equal to or greater than those of unit 1 lava (fig. 10A); their addition to unit 1 lava would have caused REE enrichment in units 2 and 3 lavas relative to unit 1 lava. In contrast, removal of one of these lithologic contaminants could have caused the observed, systematic REE depletion.

Constraints on the rock unit(s) responsible for the hypothesized contamination of the moat rhyolite reservoir include the following:

1. The near contemporaneity of Turkey Creek caldera formation with eruption of the moat rhyolites,
2. The intracaldera-scale structural and lithologic configuration that prevailed immediately following Turkey Creek caldera formation, and
3. The compositions of rocks that may have been involved in the hypothesized contamination.

The fact that the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and the moat rhyolite lavas are of essentially the same age (du Bray and Pallister, 1991) indicates that the rocks that contaminated the moat rhyolite reservoir must have been present in the intracaldera environment immediately following caldera formation. Structural relations immediately following caldera formation (du Bray and Pallister, 1991) indicate that major amounts of intracaldera Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and dacite porphyry dominated the shallow crust in the environs of the moat rhyolite reservoir. Other rocks that may have enclosed and hosted the caldera, and therefore may have been available as possible constituents of the shallow crustal roof for the moat rhyolite reservoir, include Tertiary volcanic rocks erupted before development of the Turkey Creek caldera. Volumetrically dominant among these are the intermediate composition lava flows (Tim) that are presumed to have been erupted from a set of coalescing stratovolcanoes and onto which younger, more evolved outflow ash-flow tuffs and lava were subsequently erupted.

The fact that negative Eu anomalies characteristic of rhyolite lavas of units 1, 2, and 3 are of constant magnitude indicates that the material being removed was characterized by, at most, a very small negative Eu anomaly; removal of material with a significant negative europium anomaly would have caused progressively less contaminated moat rhyolite to
have a progressively smaller negative anomaly. Of the rocks present in the central Chiricahua Mountains, only the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, the dacite porphyry of the Turkey Creek caldera, and the intermediate-composition lava flows at the base of the Tertiary volcanic section have small negative Eu anomalies (fig. 10). Geologic relations suggest that the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon is not present in significant volumes, if at all, in the subsurface of the intracaldera environment; it is therefore an unlikely candidate for the unmixing lithologic contaminant. In contrast, geologic relations suggest that both the dacite porphyry and the intermediate lava flows were plausibly significant constituents of the intracaldera environment and therefore are likely candidates for the material that is inferred to have variably contaminated the rhyolite moat lava reservoir; top-down tapping of this variably but systematically contaminated reservoir could have produced the observed reversed compositional zonation.

Consideration of broader geochemical systematics is consistent with the dacite porphyry and the intermediate lava flows as plausible contaminant lithologies. Mixing considerations require that for moat lava compositional variation to be a consequence of variable contamination involving magma and an exotic constituent, the trace-element compositions of the contaminated products (the biotite rhyolite, unit 1 lava, and unit 2 lava) must be intermediate between those of the two parental components ( uncontaminated rhyolite, represented by unit 3 lava and a combination of contaminants, represented by the dacite porphyry and the intermediate lava flows). An examination of compositional data for these six lithologies (table 1) indicates that, in general, the compositions of the biotite rhyolite and rhyolite lavas of units 1 and 2 are in fact intermediate between those of unit 3 lava and the inferred contaminants. However, these relations are not perfectly well developed. For example, zirconium abundances among these six units indicate that other processes, perhaps including minor fractionation, contributed to and modified compositional relations that seem largely to derive from variable magma contamination. In summary, compositional considerations indicate that the reversed compositional zonation depicted by the moat rhyolite lavas is consistent with the rhyolite reservoir’s having been progressively roofward contaminated through entrainment and assimilation of dacite porphyry and intermediate-composition lava flow inclusions. Additional consideration of REE data suggests that evolution of the most contaminated moat rhyolite (the biotite rhyolite) to unit 1 lava also involved selective phenocryst fractionation.

Geochronology

Most of the samples whose ages were determined are among those used in the volcanic rock stratigraphic/composition investigation, a principal focus of this study. However, several samples (referred to as the miscellaneous units) of other igneous rocks in the study area were collected and dated by the \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar method in order to augment the existing time-stratigraphic framework for this region. These samples and the map units that they represent, from oldest to youngest, are identified; and new geochronologic data are presented. Subsequently, geochronologic data and interpretations are presented for the principal volcanic rocks, from oldest to youngest. \(^{40}\)Ar/\(^{39}\)Ar results are presented as age spectra (fig. 11); ages are summarized in table 2; analytical data are in table 3.

Miscellaneous Units

Sample DY91-77 (fig. 1, locality V) represents a hypabyssal dacite intrusion, about 16 km south of the Turkey Creek caldera; the sample site is within the dacitic rocks of Halfmoon Valley mapped by Drewes and Brooks (1988). The age spectrum for biotite from this sample (fig. 11A) is complicated, does not include a plateau, and shows the contrasting effects of both excess argon and apparent argon loss in the low-temperature steps. The isochron age for 80 percent of released \(^{39}\)Ar, representing the least disturbed part of the age spectrum, is \(74.5\pm0.6\) Ma with \((^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar})_2 = 276\pm19\). Although disturbed, the age spectrum for this sample confirms the presence of Cretaceous-age igneous rocks in the central Chiricahua Mountains; igneous rocks of this age have not been previously identified in this area.

Samples P650A and 202064 (fig. 1, localities P and S) were analyzed in order to establish the age of tuff and lavas that constitute the pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon (Drewes and Brooks, 1988). The age spectrum for biotite from sample P650A (fig. 11B), a rhyolite lava interbedded in the pyroclastic flows, shows the effects of a minor amount of excess argon in the low-temperature steps but yields a plateau age of \(33.3\pm0.07\) Ma. The age spectra for biotite and sanidine from a sample (fig. 11C and D, respectively) of the pyroclastic flows (202064) also show the effects of excess argon in the low-temperature steps, but both yield relatively undisturbed results. The biotite gives a plateau age of \(33.2\pm0.09\) Ma. The sanidine gives a weighted mean age of \(33.0\pm0.04\) Ma and an identical isochron age with \((^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar})_2 = 310\pm7\), reflecting the relatively minor amount of excess \(^{40}\)Ar in this sample. The similarity of ages for the biotite separates from the pyroclastic flow and lava samples suggests that emplacement of pyroclastic flows and lava flows was approximately simultaneous. The best age estimate for these rocks, based on the three available analyses, is \(33.27\pm0.08\) Ma.
DY91-77 Biotite Dacite intrusion

Isochron age = 74.6 ± 0.6 Ma

(DA/DA) = 276 ± 19

22104A Biotite Pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon

Plateau age = 33.21 ± 0.09 Ma

P650A Biotite Pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon

Isochron age = 33.04 ± 0.04 Ma

(40 Ar/36 Ar) = 310 ± 7

22104A Sanidine Pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon

Plateau age = 33.32 ± 0.07 Ma
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Figure 11 (above and following pages). $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age spectra for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. View letters $A$ through $D_{D}$ correspond to the identifier in upper left of each view and also are the link to discussion in text.
P5 Sanidine
Rhyolite of Packsaddle Mountain
Plateau age = 23.23 ± 0.06 Ma

P272C Sanidine
Rhyolite of Packsaddle Mountain
Plateau age = 33.81 ± 0.08 Ma

202057 Sanidine
Rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon
Plateau age = 34.16 ± 0.17 Ma

P373C Sanidine
Rhyolite lava of Cave Creek
Plateau age = 28.10 ± 0.12 Ma
202156 Biotite
Lower member tuff of Horseshoe Canyon

Plateau age = 27.62 ± 0.10 Ma

201769 Sanidine
Lower member Rhyolite Canyon Tuff

Plateau age = 26.97 ± 0.09 Ma

202156 Sanidine
Lower member tuff of Horseshoe Canyon

Isochron age = 25.42 ± 0.10 Ma

201769 Sanidine
Lower member Rhyolite Canyon Tuff

Plateau age = 26.93 ± 0.12 Ma
Figure 11—Continued. \(^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}\) age spectra for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. View letters A through DD correspond to the identifier in upper left of each view and also are the link to discussion in text.
P3 Sanidine
Dacite porphyry lava in moat of Turkey Creek caldera

Minimum age step = 26.97 ± 0.13

201996 Sanidine
Biotite rhyolite lava in moat of Turkey Creek caldera

Isochron age = 26.71 ± 366 ± 15

201996 Biotite
Biotite rhyolite lava in moat of Turkey Creek caldera

Plateau age = 26.74 ± 0.05 Ma

201538 Sanidine
Rhyolite lava of unit 1 in moat of Turkey Creek caldera

Isochron age = 26.89 ± 0.05 Ma

(40Ar/36Ar) = 298 ± 1
Figure 11—Continued. $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar age spectra for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains, Ariz. View letters A through DD correspond to the identifier in upper left of each view and also are the link to discussion in text.
Ma, the average of the two biotite ages. In the study area, the pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon represent the last manifestation of a short pulse of volcanic activity that began with eruption of the tuff of High Lonesome Canyon. This magmatic pulse ended at about 33.3 Ma and was followed by a 5.2 m.y. eruptive hiatus, which ended with eruption of the rhyolite lava of Cave Creek.

Sample 201570 (fig. 1, locality E) is from the granodiorite of Mackey Canyon (Pullister and others, 1994), also known as the granodiorite of Jhus stock (Drewes and others, 1995). The granodiorite is medium to coarse grained and contains subequal amounts of biotite and hornblende, which together constitute about 10 percent of the rock. Previous K-Ar analyses yielded ages of 30.9±1.2 Ma (Marvin and others, 1978, no. 100) and 29.5±0.90 Ma (Shaﬁqullah and others, 1978, no. 20). The age spectrum for hornblende from sample 201570 (fig. 11E) shows evidence of excess argon in the low- and high-temperature steps but gives a plateau age of 32.16±0.20 Ma and an isochron age 31.42±0.30 Ma with (40Ar/36Ar)0 = 309±6. Considering the effect of excess argon on the plateau age, we interpret the isochron age as more likely reflecting the crystallization age of the hornblende. The age spectrum for biotite from this sample (fig. 11F) is slightly disturbed but gives a plateau age of 30.62±0.15 Ma. Given the magnitude of uncertainties characteristic of K-Ar analyses and argon retention problems typical of biotite, we consider that the 40Ar/36Ar hornblende age for sample 201570 represents the best approximation of the age of this intrusion and the biotite age may reflect a brief period of cooling to the argon-in-biotite retention temperature. The presence of coarsely holocrystalline rocks, such as the granodiorite of Mackey Canyon, nearly adjacent to volcanic rocks as little as 5 m.y. younger implies rapid uplift, perhaps involving faulting, and unroofing of the terrane that includes the granodiorite prior to the onset of volcanism in this area at about 28 Ma.

Sample 202154 (fig. 1, locality M) represents the latite of Darnell Peak (Bryan, 1988), which forms a sill that intruded between the upper and lower members of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon. The sill, which according to the nomenclature of Le Bas and others (1986) is composed of trachyte (11 percent Na2O+K2O at 68.6 percent SiO2), is crystal rich and contains distinctive feldspar and biotite phenocrysts. The age spectrum (fig. 11G) for sanidine from this sample is simple, although slight effects of argon loss are evident in the low-temperature steps and excess argon in the two highest temperature steps. It gives a plateau age of 27.58±0.08 Ma. The age of the sill is indistinguishable from that of the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, which indicates that the sill was emplaced immediately after tuff emplacement. Bryan (1988) suggested that the considerable thickness of a tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, southeast of the Turkey Creek caldera, forms an intracaldera accumulation within what he called the Portal caldera. In this context, the sill might represent resurgent magmatism within the Portal caldera and emplacement of less evolved magma up into the thin intracaldera tuff accumulation from lower within a zoned magma reservoir whose eruption created the Portal caldera. The stratigraphic and compositional relations between the sill and the tuff indicate that the reservoir from which these products were erupted was strongly and discontinuously zoned, a feature that is known to have prevailed in the adjacent and 0.5 m.y.-younger Turkey Creek caldera as well.

Sample DY92-54 represents an isolated rhyolite lava that overlies outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff about 13 km southwest of the Turkey Creek caldera (fig. 1, locality R). The age spectrum for sanidine from this sample (fig. 11H) yields a plateau with an apparent age of 26.35±0.08 Ma. The age for this sample indicates that the underlying Rhyolite Canyon Tuff here is at least 26.35 Ma. The age and stratigraphic position of this rhyolite are similar to those of the rhyolite of Dobson Peak, exposed about 16 km to the east. The rhyolite represented by DY92-54 could be correlative with the rhyolite of Dobson Peak. If such a correlation were substantiated, then the lava dome field represented by rhyolite of Dobson Peak must have been considerably more extensive than its present erosional remnants.

Sample PS (fig. 1, locality W) represents the rhyolite of Packsaddle Mountain (Drewes and Brooks, 1988), an isolated, small, garnet-bearing rhyolite plug about 16 km south of the Turkey Creek caldera. Drewes and Brooks (1988) presented a K-Ar age of 22.9±0.8 Ma (R.F. Marvin, H.H. Mehnert, and E.L. Brandt, written commun., 1985) on sanidine from this unit. The age spectrum for sanidine from this sample is simple (fig. 11I) and gives a plateau age of 23.23±0.08 Ma, which confirms that this is one of the youngest Tertiary-age igneous rocks known in the central Chiricahua Mountains.

Pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks

Sample 202057 (fig. 1, locality U) represents the rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon, a regionally distributed ash-flow tuff for which no geochronologic data have been previously reported. The age spectrum for sanidine from this sample is relatively simple (fig. 11J), although some effects of argon loss are evident in the low-temperature steps; it gives a plateau age of 34.16±0.17 Ma, which we interpret to represent the eruption age of the tuff. The rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon is thus the oldest ash-flow tuff in the study area with a well-established age. Unfortunately, the age of the tuff does not match that of any other regionally distributed ash-flow tuff from the Boot Heel volcanic field. As such, the source, distribution, and stratigraphic correlation of this unit remain unknown.

Sample DY91-11 (fig. 1, locality T) represents the lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch, another regionally distributed ash-flow tuff. A sanidine K-Ar age of 29.6±1.9 Ma has been reported for this unit, but the collection site for the analyzed sample is uncertain (Marjaniemi, 1969; Drewes, 1982). Drewes and Brooks (1988) presented a fission track (zircon) age of 30.4±3.0 Ma for this unit. The age spectrum for biotite from this sample is relatively simple (fig. 11K), although it shows minor disturbance in the low-temperature
steps; it gives a plateau age of 33.81±0.08 Ma. The new geochronologic data indicate that the lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch was therefore erupted within about 350,000 years of the eruption of the rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon. Like the tuff of High Lonesome Canyon, the lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch does not have an age correlative among units of the Boot Heel volcanic field. Consequently, the source, distribution, and stratigraphic correlation of this unit also remain unknown.

Sample P272C (fig. 1, locality I) represents the rhyolite lava of Cave Creek which forms an extensive flow dome field, possibly including the rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch, in the eastern part of the central Chiricahua Mountains. McIntosh and Bryan (2000) reported an 40Ar/39Ar age of 28.76±0.16 Ma for this unit. The age spectrum for sanidine from this sample is relatively simple (fig. 11L), although some effects of excess argon are evident in both the low- and high-temperature steps; it gives a plateau age of 28.10±0.12 Ma. This age indicates that following eruption of the pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon at about 33.3 Ma, no volcanic rocks were erupted in the study area until the rhyolite lava of Cave Creek was erupted about 28.1 Ma. These three rock units therefore define a magmatic hiatus of about 5.2 m.y. in the area.

Sample P475 (fig. 1, locality A) represents the rhyolite of Erickson Ridge, which forms a set of coalesced rhyolite lava domes in the western part of the central Chiricahua Mountains; Drewes (1982) reported a biotite K-Ar age of 28.7±1.0 Ma for this rhyolite. Age spectra for coexisting sanidine and biotite are relatively simple, although the effects of excess argon and argon loss are evident, especially in the low-temperature steps, in spectra for both minerals. The sanidine (fig. 11M) gives a plateau age of 27.89±0.09 Ma, whereas the biotite (fig. 11N) gives a discordant plateau age of 28.24±0.08 Ma. Harlan and others (1998) and Kellogg and others (1994) have suggested that age discordance between coexisting sanidine and biotite is common in volcanic rocks and that in some cases biotite yields 40Ar/39Ar ages older than those indicated by coexisting sanidine. We consider the sanidine age to be more representative and reliable, because sanidine is less susceptible to the diversity of geologic processes that can disturb argon systematics in biotite and the age spectrum of the biotite clearly is more affected by excess argon. Our preferred age for the rhyolite of Erickson Ridge is 27.89±0.09 Ma, which, given the analytical uncertainties, suggests that the rhyolite of Erickson Ridge is the same age as the rhyolite lava of Cave Creek. As such, the re-initiation of volcanism in the region shortly before 28 Ma and following the 5.2 m.y. hiatus is dominated by the voluminous, effusive rhyolite lava dome field development preserved in the rhyolites of Erickson Ridge, Cave Creek, and Krentz Ranch.

Samples 201771 and P652 (fig. 1, localities C and O) represent the Jesse James Canyon Tuff. The two samples were collected and their ages determined to help verify the inferred correlation of these two isolated exposures of similar ash-flow tuff. Age spectra for sanidine from both of these samples are relatively simple, although that for sample P652 shows some effects of excess argon in the low- and high-temperature steps. The spectrum for sample P652 (fig. 11O) gives a plateau age of 27.52±0.06 Ma, whereas that for sample 201771 (fig. 11P) gives a plateau age of 27.59±0.06 Ma. An isochron analysis of 201771 yields an apparent age of 27.63±0.04 Ma, which is identical to the plateau age, with (40Ar/39Ar) = 270±10. That these two plateau ages are statistically indistinguishable substantiates the inferred correlation between these exposures of biotite-bearing high-silica rhyolite tuff exposed immediately beneath outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff in the northern and southern parts of the central Chiricahua Mountains. These ages suggest another correlation. Biotite-bearing high-silica rhyolite tuff exposed in the eastern and southeastern parts of the study area, and immediately beneath the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, is the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, which, as described following, has a preferred age of 27.62±0.10 Ma. All three of these ages are statistically indistinguishable. Therefore, because the Jesse James Canyon Tuff and the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon occupy identical stratigraphic positions and are the same age, it seems probable that the Jesse James Canyon Tuff is the eruptive correlative of part, if not all, of the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon. The near indistinguishability of geochemical data for these two units further substantiates the inference that these two units are, at least in part, volcanologic and therefore stratigraphic correlatives.

Sample 202156 (fig. 1, locality L) represents the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, a regionally distributed rhyolite ash-flow tuff. Bryan (1988) summarized the scant K-Ar age data available for this unit. He documented the attempt by Marjaniemi (1969) to determine the age of the tuff by K-Ar analysis of biotite, which resulted in an age of 26.4±0.7 Ma. Given that the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon is stratigraphically beneath the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, and that the age of the latter (see next section) is now known to be 26.9 Ma, we conclude that the earliest attempts to date the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon resulted in a slightly too young age. Using the 40Ar/39Ar method and having analyzed 17 samples of the tuff, McIntosh and Bryan (2000) established its age as 27.6 Ma. Age spectra for sanidine and coexisting biotite from sample 202156 give significantly different ages. The spectrum for the biotite separate is relatively simple (fig. 11Q), although it shows some evidence of excess argon and argon loss in the low-temperature steps; it gives a plateau age of 27.62±0.10 Ma. The spectrum for the sanidine separate (fig. 11R) is significantly disturbed and is characterized by a progressive stepping-up pattern in the low-temperature steps, and then by a more gradual stepping-down pattern in the medium- to high-temperature steps. The sanidine analysis gives an isochron age of 25.42±0.10 Ma with (40Ar/39Ar) = 297±4. Given the preceding comments concerning early K-Ar analyses of this unit, clearly this age is significantly too young and therefore meaningless. Consequently, our preferred age for the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon is 27.62±0.10 Ma, which is in good agreement with the findings of McIntosh and Bryan (2000). This age is also consistent with intrusion of the
Middle Tertiary Volcanic Rocks, Central Chiricahua Mountains, Arizona

Table 3. \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{39}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}^{37}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>%(^{40}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{39}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>Apparent age (Ma at ±1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>3.3645</td>
<td>0.2047</td>
<td>2.733</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>42.11 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.56337</td>
<td>0.14027</td>
<td>4.016</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>52.17 ± 0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>0.60808</td>
<td>0.13191</td>
<td>4.609</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>59.74 ± 0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.46566</td>
<td>0.09300</td>
<td>5.007</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>64.82 ± 0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1.08322</td>
<td>0.20101</td>
<td>5.389</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>69.67 ± 0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1.67853</td>
<td>0.29570</td>
<td>5.676</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>73.31 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1.70857</td>
<td>0.30919</td>
<td>5.768</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>74.47 ± 0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.81133</td>
<td>0.31623</td>
<td>6.728</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>73.96 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>2.11222</td>
<td>0.36772</td>
<td>5.474</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>74.16 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>2.34767</td>
<td>0.41295</td>
<td>5.685</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>73.41 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>4.47045</td>
<td>0.78842</td>
<td>5.670</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>73.23 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>4.17922</td>
<td>0.73630</td>
<td>5.676</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>73.30 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>3.75899</td>
<td>0.65902</td>
<td>5.704</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>73.65 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No plateau, total gas age = 71.46 ± 0.15 Ma

Isochron age (steps 7-13) = 74.6 ± 0.6 Ma, \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) = 276 ± 19

Pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks

Sample: DY 91-77, biotite from dacite intrusion, J = 0.007306, sample wt = 62.4 mg
Latitude: 31°38'04"N. Longitude: 109°22'40"W.

Sample: 202057, sanidine from rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon, J = 0.007288, sample wt = 40.2 mg
Latitude: 31°43'45"N. Longitude: 109°22'10"W.

Sample: DY 91-11, biotite from rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch, J = 0.007228, sample wt = 54 mg
Latitude: 31°43'45"N. Longitude: 109°22'42"W.

Sample: P650A, biotite from lava in pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon, J = 0.007273, sample wt = 58.3 mg
Latitude: 31°45'17"N. Longitude: 109°22'18"W.
### Table 3. $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.1/—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar (Ma ± 1σ)</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar/K</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar (Ma ± 1σ)</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar/Ar (Ma ± 1σ)</th>
<th>% $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>Apparent age (Ma at ±1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.1128</td>
<td>0.8128</td>
<td>1.388</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.3901</td>
<td>0.1563</td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>0.7338</td>
<td>0.2852</td>
<td>2.572</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.6880</td>
<td>0.66193</td>
<td>2.550</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1.8456</td>
<td>0.72179</td>
<td>2.557</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.6763</td>
<td>0.69141</td>
<td>2.556</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>2.0964</td>
<td>0.82207</td>
<td>2.550</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>2.5270</td>
<td>0.99487</td>
<td>2.540</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2.6241</td>
<td>1.0284</td>
<td>2.552</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>2.8236</td>
<td>1.1014</td>
<td>2.564</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2.8690</td>
<td>1.1192</td>
<td>2.564</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550</td>
<td>2.2979</td>
<td>0.89018</td>
<td>2.581</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.32974</td>
<td>0.13024</td>
<td>2.532</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No plateau; total gas age = 32.81 ± 0.08 Ma
Weighted mean age (steps 3-12) = 33.04 ± 0.04 Ma, $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar = 310 ± 7

Sample: 202064, sanidine from pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon, J = 0.007291, sample wt = 36.1 mg
Latitude: 31°43'45"N.
Longitude: 109°23'01"W.

Sample: 202064, biotite from pyroclastic rocks of Rucker Canyon, J = 0.007222, sample wt = 44.7 mg
Latitude: 31°58'21"N.
Longitude: 109°23'01"W.

Sample: 202064, hornblende from granodiorite of Mackey Canyon, J = 0.007173, sample wt = 416.4 mg
Latitude: 31°58'21"N.
Longitude: 109°14'04"W.

Total gas age = 32.53 ± 0.24 Ma
Plateau age (steps 7-11) = 32.16 ± 0.20 Ma (for 78.2 percent of the gas produced during heating)
Isocchron age (steps 7-11) = 31.42 ± 0.30 Ma, $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar = 309 ± 6
Table 3. \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.\(^{1}\)—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Plateau age (steps 3-9) = 28.10 ± 0.12 Ma (for 89.3 percent of the gas produced during heating)</th>
<th>Plateau age (steps 4-9) = 27.89 ± 0.09 Ma (for 78.5 percent of the gas produced during heating)</th>
<th>Plateau age (steps 5-9) = 27.52 ± 0.06 Ma (for 61.3 percent of the gas produced during heating)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.12</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total gas age = 28.26 ± 0.17 Ma
Plateau age (steps 3-9) = 28.10 ± 0.12 Ma (for 89.3 percent of the gas produced during heating)

Sample: P475, sanidine from rhyolite of Erickson Ridge, J = 0.007303, sample wt = 62.9 mg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32°00′25″N.</td>
<td>109°21′58″W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total gas age = 27.99 ± 0.13 Ma
Plateau age (steps 4-9) = 27.89 ± 0.09 Ma (for 78.5 percent of the gas produced during heating)

Sample: P475, biotite from rhyolite of Erickson Ridge, J = 0.007249, sample wt = 58.3 mg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32°00′25″N.</td>
<td>109°21′58″W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total gas age = 28.20 ± 0.14 Ma
Plateau age (steps 11-14) = 28.24 ± 0.08 Ma (for 65.5 percent of the gas produced during heating)

Sample: P652, sanidine from Jesse James Canyon Tuff, J = 0.007264, sample wt = 69.2 mg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31°45′05″N.</td>
<td>109°23′51″W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total gas age = 27.85 ± 0.08 Ma
Plateau age (steps 5-9) = 27.52 ± 0.06 Ma (for 61.3 percent of the gas produced during heating)
### Table 3. $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains. 1/—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks (continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 201771, sanidine from Jesse James Canyon Tuff, J = 0.007077, sample wt = 82.3 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 32°00'44&quot;N. Longitude: 109°21'43&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 202156, biotite from tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, J = 0.007167, sample wt = 36.1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 31°48'41&quot;N. Longitude: 109°07'54&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 202156, sanidine from dacite sill of Darnell Peak, J = 0.007283, sample wt = 64.1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 31°48'41&quot;N. Longitude: 109°07'54&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 202154, sanidine from dacite sill of Darnell Peak, J = 0.00722, sample wt = 112.5 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 31°48'32&quot;N. Longitude: 109°08'09&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Table 3. $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Pre-Turkey Creek caldera rocks (continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 201771, sanidine from Jesse James Canyon Tuff, J = 0.007077, sample wt = 82.3 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 32°00'44&quot;N. Longitude: 109°21'43&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 202156, biotite from tuff of Horseshoe Canyon, J = 0.007167, sample wt = 36.1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 31°48'41&quot;N. Longitude: 109°07'54&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 202156, sanidine from dacite sill of Darnell Peak, J = 0.007283, sample wt = 64.1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 31°48'41&quot;N. Longitude: 109°07'54&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample: 202154, sanidine from dacite sill of Darnell Peak, J = 0.00722, sample wt = 112.5 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude: 31°48'32&quot;N. Longitude: 109°08'09&quot;W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains, 1/—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}) R/(^{38}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}) R/(^{39}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}) R/(^{40}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}) R/(^{38}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}) R/(^{37}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>% (^{40}\text{Ar}) R</th>
<th>% (^{39}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>Apparent age (Ma ± 1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samples: 201679, sanidine from Rhyolite Canyon Tuff-lower member, J = 0.007129, sample wt = 70.3 mg</td>
<td>Lat.109°21′46″W.</td>
<td>Lon.32°00′46″N.</td>
<td>Lat.109°21′46″W.</td>
<td>Lon.32°00′46″N.</td>
<td>Lat.109°21′46″W.</td>
<td>Lon.32°00′46″N.</td>
<td>Lat.109°21′46″W.</td>
<td>Lon.32°00′46″N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>0.1172</td>
<td>0.0607</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>0.58507</td>
<td>0.27485</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.76053</td>
<td>0.35883</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>1.2153</td>
<td>0.57467</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.20029</td>
<td>0.50983</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.71461</td>
<td>0.34090</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1.0934</td>
<td>0.52163</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1.0035</td>
<td>0.47980</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>0.78776</td>
<td>0.37560</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>0.72266</td>
<td>0.34272</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.51758</td>
<td>0.24488</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.38239</td>
<td>0.17886</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>— —</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rocks associated with the Turkey Creek caldera

Sample: 201679, sanidine from Rhyolite Canyon Tuff-lower member, J = 0.007129, sample wt = 70.3 mg

Plateau age (steps 3-9) = 26.93 ± 0.12 Ma (for 90.8 percent of the gas produced during heating)

Sample: 201679, sanidine from Rhyolite Canyon Tuff-middle member, J = 0.007115, sample wt = 64.7 mg

Plateau age (steps 3-9) = 26.97 ± 0.09 Ma (for 60.8 percent of the gas produced during heating)

Sample: 201765, sanidine from Rhyolite Canyon Tuff-upper member, J = 0.007111, sample wt = 61.3 mg

Plateau age (steps 3-9) = 26.94 ± 0.12 Ma (for 89.8 percent of the gas produced during heating)
### Table 3. \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.\(^{1/}\) Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{39}\text{Ar}/^{40}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>(^{39}\text{Ar}/^{37}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>% (^{39}\text{Ar})</th>
<th>Apparent age (^{4,5}) (Ma at ±1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>26. ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>0.1156</td>
<td>0.05246</td>
<td>2.203</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>26.83 ± 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>0.36519</td>
<td>0.17234</td>
<td>2.119</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>27.55 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.99459</td>
<td>0.47862</td>
<td>2.078</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>27.02 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.0537</td>
<td>0.51024</td>
<td>2.065</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>26.85 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1.3150</td>
<td>0.63665</td>
<td>2.065</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>26.86 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1.5626</td>
<td>0.76140</td>
<td>2.052</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>26.69 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>2.4327</td>
<td>1.1826</td>
<td>2.057</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>26.75 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400</td>
<td>2.3763</td>
<td>1.1468</td>
<td>2.072</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>26.94 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>2.4623</td>
<td>1.1872</td>
<td>2.074</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>26.97 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1.7665</td>
<td>0.84937</td>
<td>2.080</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>27.04 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550</td>
<td>1.4424</td>
<td>0.69194</td>
<td>2.085</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>27.10 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1650</td>
<td>0.42926</td>
<td>0.20363</td>
<td>2.108</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>27.41 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No plateau; total gas age = 26.94 ± 0.08 Ma

Isochron age (steps 3-13) = 26.98 ± 0.04 Ma; \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) = 309 ± 2

Sample: P3, sanidine from dacite porphyry lava, J = 0.007123, sample wt = 67.1 mg

Latitude: 31°55'31"N.

Longitude: 109°16'22"W.

Total gas age = 27.66 ± 0.12 Ma; no plateau

No plateau; total gas age = 26.84 ± 0.09 Ma; weighted mean age (all steps) = 26.84 ± 0.17 Ma

Isochron age (all steps) = 26.90 ± 0.04 Ma; \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) = 296 ± 2

Sample: 201587, sanidine from dacite porphyry of resurgent intrusion, J = 0.00712, sample wt = 82.9 mg

Latitude: 31°52'25"N.

Longitude: 109°22'04"W.

Total gas age = 27.59 ± 0.13 Ma; no plateau

No plateau; total gas age = 26.99 ± 0.03 Ma; weighted mean age (all steps) = 27.59 ± 0.13 Ma

Isochron age (all steps) = 26.90 ± 0.04 Ma; \(^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}\) = 296 ± 2

Sample: 201996, sanidine from biotite rhyolite lava, J = 0.007278, sample wt = 121.7 mg

Latitude: 31°56'19"N.

Longitude: 109°19'15"W.

Total gas age = 27.63 ± 0.20 Ma; no plateau

Plateau age (steps 6-11) = 27.64 ± 0.05 Ma (for 65.6 percent of the gas produced during heating)
Table 3.  $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.\(^{1,}\) — Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp ((^{\circ}C))</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{38}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>Apparent age (Ma ± 1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>26.95 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>26.95 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>26.95 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>26.95 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>26.95 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample: 201538, sanidine from rhyolite moat lava-unit 1, J = 0.007168, sample wt = 51.6 mg

Latitude: 31°52'24"N. Longitude: 109°17'00"W.

Plateau age (steps 1-10) = 26.93 ± 0.17 Ma

Isochron age = 26.89 ± 0.05 Ma; $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar = 366 ± 15

Sample: 201580, sanidine from rhyolite moat lava-unit 1, J = 0.007143, sample wt = 57.3 mg

Latitude: 31°55'20"N. Longitude: 109°17'39"W.

Total gas age = 26.69 ± 0.13 Ma

No plateau, total gas age = 26.64 ± 0.13 Ma (for 94.2 percent of the gas produced during heating)

Sample: D9Y2-54, sanidine from rhyolite lava, J = 0.007237, sample wt = 74.5 mg

Latitude: 31°42'10"N. Longitude: 109°29'50"W.

Total gas age = 26.36 ± 0.10 Ma

Plateau age (steps 7-12) = 26.35 ± 0.08 Ma (for 65.9 percent of the gas produced during heating)
### Table 3. $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar data for volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.1—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{38}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{39}$Ar/$^{38}$Ar</th>
<th>Apparent age (Ma at ±1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1550</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1650</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>5479.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30.74 ± 19.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Post-Turkey Creek caldera rocks (continued)**

Sample: 202151, sanidine from rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak, J = 0.00702, sample wt = 66.7 mg

**Sample: P5, sanidine from rhyolite of Packsaddle Mountain, J = 0.006809, sample wt = 95 mg**

1/ Mineral separates were prepared after crushing, grinding, and sieving by magnetic separator, mica-table, and heavy liquid methods; grains ranged in size between 60 and 120 mesh (250-125 μm). Individual samples ranged in mass from 36 to 416 mg. For irradiation an aluminum canister was loaded with six quartz vials each of which was loaded with samples and standards. Standards were placed between every two unknowns as well as at the top and bottom of each vial. Each sample was degassed to release argon in a single 20-minute long heating step at 1,250°C. Each sample was degassed stepwise in a series of 11 to 16 individual temperature steps for 20 minutes each. All analyses were done in the Argon Laboratory, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colo. Decay constants are those of Steiger and Jager (1977). The Total gas age = 26.13 ± 0.10 Ma

Plateau age (steps 5–10) = 26.20 ± 0.07 Ma (for 62.8 percent of the gas produced during heating)

Plateau age (steps 8–12) = 23.23 ± 0.06 Ma (for 65.0 percent of the gas produced during heating)

1/ Minerial separates were prepared after crushing, grinding, and sieving by magnetic separator, mica-table, and heavy liquid methods; grains ranged in size between 60 and 120 mesh (250-125 μm). Individual samples ranged in mass from 36 to 416 mg. For irradiation an aluminum canister was loaded with six quartz vials each of which was loaded with samples and standards. Standards were placed between every two unknowns as well as at the top and bottom of each vial. Each sample was degassed to release argon in a single 20-minute long heating step at 1,250°C. Each sample was degassed stepwise in a series of 11 to 16 individual temperature steps for 20 minutes each. All analyses were done in the Argon Laboratory, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colo. Decay constants are those of Steiger and Jager (1977). The standard for these experiments is hornblende MMhb-1 with percent K = 1.555, $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar = 1.624x10$^{-9}$ mole/g, and K-Ar age = 520.4 Ma (Samson and Alexander, 1987).

$^{39}$Ar/$^{38}$Ar for samples taken from the Turkey Creek caldera rocks were determined using the method of Roddick (1983). Production ratios were not directly determined for samples irradiated in 1988; ratios suggested by Dalrymple and others (1981) were used. Analytical data for ratios of $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar and $^{39}$Ar/$^{38}$Ar. Apparent ages and associated errors were calculated from unrounded data and then rounded using associated errors. —, 3Ar below detection; no ratio calculated.
tuff by the latite of Darnell Peak (Bryan, 1988), as described previously, for which our determined age is 26.58±0.08 Ma. The age of the upper member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon has not been determined, although its age is bracketed between the ages of the latite of Darnell Peak (27.6 Ma), which intrudes the tuff, and that of the overlying Rhyolite Canyon Tuff (26.9 Ma).

Rocks Associated with the Turkey Creek Caldera

Several previous attempts have been made to accurately establish the age of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. Drewes (1982) summarized earlier K-Ar age determinations reported by Marjaniemi (1969) and Marvin and others (1978) for parts of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. These ages range from about 24.7 to 25.6 Ma, and are all young relative to new 40Ar/39Ar data presented herein. McIntosh and Bryan (2000) reported three 40Ar/39Ar ages for the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and have established their preferred age as 26.8 Ma. We determined the ages of all three of the volumetrically significant members of outflow facies Rhyolite Canyon Tuff using the 40Ar/39Ar method.

Sample 201769 (fig. 1, locality B) represents the lower member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. Two splits of this sanidine separate, as well as of the sanidine separate that represents the upper member (201765; fig. 1, locality D), were analyzed several years apart in order to evaluate the reproducibility of ages determined during the several years that geochronologic investigations were conducted. Both age spectra for sample 201769 are simple (fig. 11S and T) and give statistically indistinguishable plateau ages of 26.97±0.09 and 26.93±0.12 Ma, respectively. An isochron age for one yields a statistically identical age of 27.09±0.04 Ma with a slightly elevated (40Ar/39Ar), of 309±2. The average of the two sanidine ages, 26.95±0.07 Ma, is our preferred age for the lower member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff.

Sample DY91-36 (fig. 1, locality Q) represents the middle member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. The age spectrum for sanidine from this sample is simple (fig. 11U) and gives a plateau age of 27.03±0.11 Ma. Sample 201765 (fig. 1, locality D) represents the upper member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff; as just indicated, two splits of this separate were analyzed. The age spectrum for one of these splits (fig. 11V) shows some evidence of excess argon, particularly in the low-temperature steps, is broadly U-shaped, and does not include a plateau age; the isochron age for this split is 26.98±0.04 Ma with (40Ar/39Ar) = 309±2, which reflects the presence of minor excess argon. The spectrum for the other split (fig. 11W) is simple and gives a plateau age of 26.94±0.12 Ma. The ages for the two splits are statistically indistinguishable, and their average, 26.96±0.06 Ma, is our preferred age for the upper member of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff. Data for two splits each of samples 201765 and 201769 indicate that variation of analytical data acquired over several years has not adversely impacted analytical precision or accuracy. The five analyses of sanidine from Rhyolite Canyon Tuff indicate that, within analytical uncertainty, each of the principal ash-flow tuffs that constitute this unit is the same age and that they must have been erupted in rapid succession. The absence of erosional breaks or other significant discontinuities between the members further indicates rapid eruption and emplacement of these pyroclastic flows.

Samples 201587 and P3 (fig. 1, localities J and H, respectively) represent dacite porphyry that was emplaced, after eruption of the Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and caldera collapse, as a resurgent intrusion in the core of the Turkey Creek caldera (201587) and as lava flows in the caldera’s evolving moat (P3). The age spectrum for sanidine for sample 201587 is slightly disturbed (fig. 11X) and does not yield a plateau. The weighted average age for this sample is 26.84±0.17 Ma and the isochron age is 26.90±0.04 Ma with (40Ar/39Ar) = 296±2. The age spectrum for sanidine from sample P3 is also disturbed (fig. 11Y), shows some evidence of excess argon, and does not yield a plateau. The isochron age for this sample is 27.44±0.15 Ma with an elevated (40Ar/39Ar) = 303±2. The characteristic saddle-shaped spectrum results from the presence of excess argon. Others (Lanphere and Dalrymple, 1976) have interpreted the age of the lowest age step defining the saddle to best represent a maximum age estimate. In this case, the lowest age step in the saddle is 26.97±0.13 Ma, which is indistinguishable from the age of sanidine from sample 201587. Given this assessment of the 40Ar/39Ar data for samples of the dacite porphyry, our best estimate of its age is 26.90±0.04 Ma, which is the isochron age for sample 201587. These age data indicate that dacite porphyry intrusion and extrusion followed Rhyolite Canyon Tuff eruption and caldera collapse within less than 100,000 years, which is consistent with dacite porphyry lava flows being interbedded with lava-flow-like phase intracaldera Rhyolite Canyon Tuff in exposures north and west of John Long Canyon (fig. 1) (du Bray and others, 1997).

Sample 201996 (fig. 1, locality F) represents the biotite rhyolite lava, the first of the rhyolite lavas erupted into the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera; both biotite and sanidine separates were analyzed. The age spectrum for biotite from this sample is relatively simple (fig. 11Z), although it shows some evidence of excess argon in the low-temperature steps. It gives a plateau age of 27.11±0.06 Ma and an isochron age of 26.71±0.02 Ma with a significantly enhanced (40Ar/39Ar) of 366±15. The plateau age is implausible given the well-defined 26.9 Ma age for Rhyolite Canyon Tuff on which this rhyolite was deposited; the isochron age is more geologically reasonable. The age spectrum for sanidine from this sample is relatively simple (fig. 11A), although it also shows some slight evidence of excess argon; it gives a plateau age of 26.74±0.05 Ma. This age is consistent with the 26.9 Ma age for Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and 26.9 Ma dacite porphyry on which the rhyolite was deposited.

Samples 201538 and 201580 (fig. 1, localities K and G) represent unit 1 rhyolite lava (Trm1) in the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera; a sanidine separate from each of these
samples was analyzed. The age spectrum for sanidine from sample 201538 is relatively simple (fig. 11BB) but is disturbed and does not include a plateau; it gives a weighted-mean age of 26.93±0.17 Ma and an isochron age of 26.89±0.05 Ma with (40Ar/39Ar), of 298±1. This apparent age is slightly too old to be consistent with the 26.7 Ma age of the biotite rhyolite on which unit 1 rhyolite was deposited. The age spectrum for sanidine from sample 201580 is relatively simple (fig. 11CC), although it shows some evidence of excess argon in the low-temperature steps; it gives a plateau age of 26.64±0.13 Ma. This age seems slightly too young given that this sample is from the same unit as sample 201538 (26.9 Ma). Averaging the isochron age for sample 201538 and the plateau age for sample 201580 yields a preferred age of 26.77±0.12 Ma for unit 1 lava. Given the analytical uncertainties associated with the ages determined for the biotite rhyolite (201967) and the unit 1 lava (201538 and 201580), all of these ages are statistically indistinguishable. Perhaps the best reconciliation of the 40Ar/39Ar data for the rhyolite moat sequence would result in an age estimate of 26.7 Ma for the biotite rhyolite and unit 1 lava. Because units 2 and 3 lavas—the final rhyolite lavas erupted into the moat of the Turkey Creek caldera—are so crystal poor, no mineral separates could be prepared and their ages were not determined. Consequently, the full duration of volcanism associated with the caldera cannot be closely defined. However, the lack of significant erosional/depositional break indicates that these moat lavas were erupted in a relatively short time interval, perhaps as little as 10,000 years.

Post-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks

Sample 202151 (fig. 1, locality N) represents the rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak, which is the youngest volumetrically significant volcanic unit in the central Chiricahua Mountains. The age spectrum for sanidine from this sample is relatively simple (fig. 11DD) and gives a plateau age of 26.20±0.07 Ma. Because this unit overlies unit 1 lava, the youngest rocks associated with Turkey Creek caldera volcanism must be at least this old. Consequently, the full eruptive cycle associated with the Turkey Creek caldera lasted no more than 700,000 years, from 26.9 to no less than 26.2 Ma, and all middle Tertiary volcanic activity in the central Chiricahua Mountains apparently ended about 26.2 Ma.

Concluding Remarks

Geochemical and petrographic data presented here show that volcanic units of the central Chiricahua Mountains are individually distinctive. These data can be used to corroborate stratigraphic identifications made with other data; they can also be used to identify units when either available data are insufficient or stratigraphic context is absent or ambiguous. Diagnostic age, petrographic, and geochemical features for middle Tertiary volcanic rocks of the region are summarized in table 4. In many cases, macroscopically observable features of the area's volcanic rocks, including contained phenocrysts (mineral, size, and abundance), textures (degree of welding or flow features), and (or) lithic or pumice content (size, number, and composition), are sufficient to enable rapid, field-based stratigraphic identification. When these features are insufficient, other simple techniques, such as transmitted light microscopy, can in many cases be used to rapidly eliminate uncertainties. When more detailed data are required to determine or confirm stratigraphic identity, geochemical, geochronologic, or paleomagnetic data may be necessary. Of these, geochemical data, especially trace-element data obtained by energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence analysis of rock powders, are probably the least expensive and most readily obtainable type of data for establishing stratigraphic identity. Trace-element data demonstrate that almost all the stratigraphic units of this area have characteristic geochemical features diagnostic of their stratigraphic identity. Combining geochemical data with macroscopically observable rock features results in virtually certain identification of the study area rocks, which enhances correlation of isolated or ambiguous occurrences of these rocks throughout the Boot Heel volcanic field.

The preponderant volume of volcanic rock preserved in the range is ash-flow tuff, although a significant volume of lava is present as well. The overwhelmingly dominant source of volcanic rocks preserved in the area is the Turkey Creek caldera. Between 500 and 1,000 km² of Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and those that subsequently produced the pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks are probably the least expensive and most rapidly obtainable type of data for establishing stratigraphic identity. Trace-element data demonstrate that almost all the stratigraphic units of this area have characteristic geochemical features diagnostic of their stratigraphic identity. Combining geochemical data with macroscopically observable rock features results in virtually certain identification of the study area rocks, which enhances correlation of isolated or ambiguous occurrences of these rocks throughout the Boot Heel volcanic field.

The preponderant volume of volcanic rock preserved in the range is ash-flow tuff, although a significant volume of lava is present as well. The overwhelmingly dominant source of volcanic rocks preserved in the area is the Turkey Creek caldera. Between 500 and 1,000 km² of Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and those that subsequently produced the pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks are probably the least expensive and most rapidly obtainable type of data for establishing stratigraphic identity. Trace-element data demonstrate that almost all the stratigraphic units of this area have characteristic geochemical features diagnostic of their stratigraphic identity. Combining geochemical data with macroscopically observable rock features results in virtually certain identification of the study area rocks, which enhances correlation of isolated or ambiguous occurrences of these rocks throughout the Boot Heel volcanic field.

The preponderant volume of volcanic rock preserved in the range is ash-flow tuff, although a significant volume of lava is present as well. The overwhelmingly dominant source of volcanic rocks preserved in the area is the Turkey Creek caldera. Between 500 and 1,000 km² of Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and those that subsequently produced the pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks are probably the least expensive and most rapidly obtainable type of data for establishing stratigraphic identity. Trace-element data demonstrate that almost all the stratigraphic units of this area have characteristic geochemical features diagnostic of their stratigraphic identity. Combining geochemical data with macroscopically observable rock features results in virtually certain identification of the study area rocks, which enhances correlation of isolated or ambiguous occurrences of these rocks throughout the Boot Heel volcanic field.

The preponderant volume of volcanic rock preserved in the range is ash-flow tuff, although a significant volume of lava is present as well. The overwhelmingly dominant source of volcanic rocks preserved in the area is the Turkey Creek caldera. Between 500 and 1,000 km² of Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and those that subsequently produced the pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks are probably the least expensive and most rapidly obtainable type of data for establishing stratigraphic identity. Trace-element data demonstrate that almost all the stratigraphic units of this area have characteristic geochemical features diagnostic of their stratigraphic identity. Combining geochemical data with macroscopically observable rock features results in virtually certain identification of the study area rocks, which enhances correlation of isolated or ambiguous occurrences of these rocks throughout the Boot Heel volcanic field.

The preponderant volume of volcanic rock preserved in the range is ash-flow tuff, although a significant volume of lava is present as well. The overwhelmingly dominant source of volcanic rocks preserved in the area is the Turkey Creek caldera. Between 500 and 1,000 km² of Rhyolite Canyon Tuff and those that subsequently produced the pre-Turkey Creek Caldera Rocks are probably the least expensive and most rapidly obtainable type of data for establishing stratigraphic identity. Trace-element data demonstrate that almost all the stratigraphic units of this area have characteristic geochemical features diagnostic of their stratigraphic identity. Combining geochemical data with macroscopically observable rock features results in virtually certain identification of the study area rocks, which enhances correlation of isolated or ambiguous occurrences of these rocks throughout the Boot Heel volcanic field.
Table 4. Diagnostic age, petrographic, and geochemical features of middle Tertiary volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Age, in Ma</th>
<th>Petrographic characteristics</th>
<th>Geochemical characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thl</td>
<td>Rhyolite tuff of High Lonesome Canyon.</td>
<td>34.16±0.17</td>
<td>Quartz and sanidine phenocrysts; 5 percent crystals. Pumice and lithic poor.</td>
<td>Unusually low Zr and Na₂O relative to other study area rhyolite tuffs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tjg</td>
<td>Lower member of the rhyolite of Joe Glenn Ranch.</td>
<td>33.81±0.08</td>
<td>Biotite, feldspar, and quartz phenocrysts; 20-40 percent crystal.</td>
<td>Higher FeO*, TiO₂, and Ba and lower Nb abundances than other study area rhyolite tuffs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TkR</td>
<td>Rhyolite lava of Krentz Ranch.</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Aphyric. Massive to flow banded</td>
<td>Low Nb abundances relative to other aphyric rhyolite lavas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc</td>
<td>Rhyolite lava of Cave Creek.</td>
<td>28.10±0.12</td>
<td>Aphyric. Massive to flow banded</td>
<td>Low Nb abundances relative to other aphyric rhyolite lavas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tfre</td>
<td>Rhyolite of Erickson Ridge.</td>
<td>27.89±0.09</td>
<td>Biotite and feldspar phenocrysts; 4-10 percent crystals.</td>
<td>Low-silica rhyolite with high FeO*, CaO, Sr, Ba and Eu and low Rb, Ta, Th, and U abundances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thel</td>
<td>Tuff of Horseshoe Canyon lower member.</td>
<td>27.62±0.10</td>
<td>Biotite, quartz, and sanidine phenocrysts; 20-35 percent crystals.</td>
<td>High K₂O, Ba, and Eu and low Zr, Nb, and Th abundances relative to other study area rhyolite tuffs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thcu</td>
<td>Tuff of Horseshoe Canyon upper member.</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Biotite, quartz, and sanidine phenocrysts; 10-20 percent crystals.</td>
<td>High Al₂O₃, FeO*, TiO₂, P₂O₅, Sr, Zr, Ba, Co, Ni, Cr, Sc, Hf, and Eu and low SiO₂, Ta, Th, and U abundances relative to other study area rhyolite tuffs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ttj</td>
<td>Jesse James Canyon Tuff.</td>
<td>27.56±0.04</td>
<td>Biotite, sanidine and quartz phenocrysts; 10 percent crystals.</td>
<td>Low FeO*, Zr, Ta, Th, and Hf abundances relative to other rhyolite tuffs of the study area. Low abundances of K₂O, Rb, Ba, and Eu distinguish it from Thel.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rocks associated with the Turkey Creek caldera

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Age, in Ma</th>
<th>Petrographic characteristics</th>
<th>Geochemical characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treb</td>
<td>Rhyolite Canyon Tuff basal member.</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Sanidine and quartz phenocrysts; 7-35 percent crystals.</td>
<td>High Zr, Nb, Ta, U, Th, and Hf abundances relative to all study area tuffs. High SiO₂, Rb, Nb, and Ta and low TiO₂, Zr, Ba, and La abundances relative to Treu, Trei, and Tref. High K₂O and Rb abundances relative to Tred and Trec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trel</td>
<td>Rhyolite Canyon Tuff lower member.</td>
<td>26.95±0.07</td>
<td>Sanidine and quartz phenocrysts; 7-35 percent crystals.</td>
<td>High Zr, Nb, Ta, U, Th, and Hf abundances relative to all study area tuffs. High SiO₂, Rb, Nb, and Ta and low TiO₂, Zr, Ba, and La abundances relative to Treu, Trei, and Tref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trem</td>
<td>Rhyolite Canyon Tuff middle member.</td>
<td>27.03±0.11</td>
<td>Sanidine and quartz phenocrysts; 7-35 percent crystals.</td>
<td>High Zr, Nb, Ta, U, Th, and Hf abundances relative to all study area tuffs. High SiO₂, Rb, Nb, and Ta and low TiO₂, Zr, Ba, and La abundances relative to Treu, Trei, and Tref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treu</td>
<td>Rhyolite Canyon Tuff upper member.</td>
<td>26.96±0.06</td>
<td>Sanidine and quartz phenocrysts; 7-35 percent crystals.</td>
<td>High Zr, Nb, Ta, U, Th, and Hf abundances relative to all study area tuffs. High SiO₂, Rb, Nb, and Ta and low TiO₂, Zr, Ba, and La abundances relative to Treu, Trei, and Tref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trei</td>
<td>Rhyolite Canyon Tuff intracaldera facies.</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Sanidine and quartz phenocrysts; 7-35 percent crystals. Red-brown color. Abundant lithic fragments.</td>
<td>High Zr, Nb, Ta, U, Th, and Hf abundances relative to all study area tuffs. High SiO₂, Rb, Nb, and Ta and low TiO₂, Zr, Ba, and La abundances relative to Treu, Trei, and Tref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tref</td>
<td>Rhyolite Canyon Tuff lava-flow-like phase.</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Sanidine and quartz phenocrysts; 7-35 percent crystals. Red-brown color. Abundant lithic fragments.</td>
<td>High Zr, Nb, Ta, U, Th, and Hf abundances relative to all study area tuffs. High SiO₂, Rb, Nb, and Ta and low TiO₂, Zr, Ba, and La abundances relative to Treu, Trei, and Tref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tdpl</td>
<td>Dacite porphyry lava.</td>
<td>26.97±0.13</td>
<td>Feldspar phenocrysts 5 mm to 3 cm long. Granophytic to glassy groundmass.</td>
<td>High FeO*, MgO, CaO, TiO₂, P₂O₅, Ba, Co, Cr, Ni, Sc, and Eu abundances.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Diagnostic age, petrographic, and geochemical features of middle Tertiary volcanic rocks of the central Chiricahua Mountains.—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit symbol</th>
<th>Age, in Ma</th>
<th>Petrographic characteristics</th>
<th>Geochemical characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tdpi</td>
<td>26.90±0.04</td>
<td>Feldspar phenocrysts 5 mm to 3 cm long. Granophyric groundmass.</td>
<td>High FeO*, MgO, CaO, TiO₂, P₂O₅, Ba, Co, Cr, Ni, Sc, and Eu abundances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ttp</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Sanidine crystals 2 mm to 1 cm long.</td>
<td>High Na₂O, Y, Zr, and Nb and low Sr and Ba abundances relative to the dacite porphyry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmr₂</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Aphyric. Flow banded. Spherulitic.</td>
<td>Higher Nb abundances than Tc and Tk, lower Rb and Nb than Trdp. Lower SiO₂, Nb, Ta, Th and higher CaO, Ba, and Eu abundances than Tmr₂ and Tmr₃.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmr₃</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Aphyric. Flow banded. Spherulitic.</td>
<td>Higher Nb abundances than Tc and Tk, lower Rb and Nb than Trdp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Turkey Creek caldera rocks

| Rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak. | Tdpi | 26.20±0.07 | Nearly aphyric | Higher Rb and Nb abundances than other study area rhyolite lavas. |

pertinent mineral-melt distribution coefficients, and crystal-liquid fractionation processes, the source reservoir likely had an initial composition similar to that of the geochemically least evolved (last erupted) part of the outflow sequence, and developed more evolved (first erupted) parts. Gradients within the reservoir apparently resulted from crystallization and fractionation of small amounts of clinopyroxene, sanidine, and zircon, the principal phenocrysts characteristic of the reservoir’s least evolved part. In contrast, sequential evolution of the moat rhyolite lavas from initial, relatively primitive rhyolite to final, highly evolved rhyolite appears to have been principally controlled by variable contamination of a homogeneous reservoir by relatively primitive lithologic contaminants derived from the reservoir’s roof and walls. In addition, evolution of the first erupted rhyolite (the biotite rhyolite) to the subsequent batch of rhyolite (unit 1 lava) requires fractionation of biotite and sanidine, the principal phenocrysts in the biotite rhyolite, to yield a composition like that of unit 1 lava. Subsequently erupted, more evolved, moat rhyolite lava (units 2 and 3 lavas) represents a composition similar to that of unit 1 lava that contains progressively less contamination derived from intermediate-composition lava flows and dacite porphyry that enclosed the source reservoir.

New ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar analyses provide accurate and precise absolute ages for many volcanic rock units whose ages were previously poorly known or unknown. These new ages are useful in stratigraphic correlation both within and beyond the central Chiricahua Mountains. For example, the new age data suggest that the Jesse James Canyon Tuff and the lower member of the tuff of Horseshoe Canyon are part of the same stratigraphic unit. Geochemical data are entirely consistent with this interpretation. The precision and small uncertainties associated with the new ages also help constrain the timing and nature of the volcanologic events that controlled middle Tertiary geologic evolution in this part of the Boot Heel volcanic field. Voluminous volcanic deposits, largely composed of regionally distributed ash-flow tuffs erupted from various caldera sources, were erupted between 34.2 and 26.2 Ma. A distinctive eruptive hiatus between 33.3 and 28.1 Ma indicates that magmatic activity in this region was not continuous but was confined to at least two discrete pulses separated by an approximately 5.2 m.y. hiatus. McIntosh
and others (1992) defined a hiatus of 3.2 m.y., between 28.9 and 32.1 Ma, within volcanic rocks of the large Mogollon-Datil volcanic field, immediately north of the Boot Heel field. McIntosh and Bryan (2000) defined a hiatus of 5.1 m.y., between 27.6 and 32.7 Ma, for the Boot Heel volcanic field itself; they also indicated that the geographic evolution of the Boot Heel field was time transgressive, with magmatism sweeping from east to west across the field. Magmatism associated with the Turkey Creek caldera, the largest and best preserved volcanic system in the central Chiricahua Mountains, as well as the westernmost and youngest major component of the Boot Heel field, seems to have been confined to a narrow time window. The oldest rocks associated with this system, Rhyolite Canyon Tuff, were erupted 26.9 Ma, whereas the youngest, moist rhyolite lavas, have ages between 26.7 and 26.8 Ma. Consequently, all eruptions from the Turkey Creek caldera occurred in as little as 200,000 years. Volumetrically significant middle Tertiary volcanic activity seems to have ended about 26.2 Ma with eruption of the rhyolite lava of Dobson Peak, the stratigraphically youngest volcanic unit preserved in the study area.

The age and composition of these volcanic rocks help to define the large-scale tectonic processes that were active along the western margin of North America and that controlled the geologic evolution of the Boot Heel volcanic field during middle Tertiary time. As suggested by du Bray and Pallister (1991) and substantiated herein, the geochemical characteristics of the area's volcanic rocks indicate genesis that changes from a subduction or arc-related tectonic regime to a within-plate, extensional regime. The transitional geochemistry of these rocks, as well as the periodicity and east-to-west sweep of magmatism within the Boot Heel volcanic field during its 35 to 27 Ma history, may reflect a sequence of events that includes (1) subduction-related magmatism between 35 and 33 Ma, (2) magmatism related to rapid, low-angle subduction (Coney and Reynolds, 1977) between 33 and 28 Ma, (3) renewed magmatism and the beginning of extensional tectonics between 28 and 27 Ma when subduction diminished or ceased and the downgoing Farallon plate began to founder and become more steeply inclined, and (4) magmatic re-initiation in response to restoration of an asthenospheric mantle wedge beneath this region (Coney and Reynolds, 1977; Armstrong and Ward, 1991). We interpret the approximately 5 m.y. magmatic hiatus in the central Chiricahua Mountains and the Boot Heel volcanic field as resulting from a combination of shallow subduction and the regional tectonic reorganization that began along the west edge of the North American plate during this time (Atwater, 1970). The final, brief period of magmatism terminated as subduction (and therefore subduction-related magmatism) ended with the initiation of strike-slip faulting along western North America (Atwater, 1970). In the study area, magmatism ceased as the center of extension-related magmatism rapidly shifted westward to the Great Basin, where bimodal basalt-rhyolite magmatism became dominant.
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