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Chapter D

Geology and Assessment of Undiscovered Oil and Gas Resources of the Hope Basin Province, 2008

By Kenneth J. Bird, David W. Houseknecht, and Janet K. Pitman

Abstract

The Hope Basin, an independent petroleum province that lies mostly offshore in the southern Chukchi Sea north of the Chukotka and Seward Peninsulas and south of Wrangel Island, the Herald Arch, and the Lisburne Peninsula, is the largest in a series of postorogenic (successor) basins in the East Siberian-Chukchi Sea region and the only one with exploratory-well control and extensive seismic coverage.

In spite of the seismic coverage and well data, the petroleum potential of the Hope Basin Province is poorly known. The adequacy of hydrocarbon charge, in combination with uncertainties in source-rock potential and maturation, was the greatest risk in this assessment. A single assessment unit was defined and assessed, resulting in mean estimates of undiscovered, technically recoverable resources that include ~3 million barrels of oil and 650 billion cubic feet of nonassociated gas.

Introduction

The Hope Basin is the easternmost of six basins situated on the continental shelf of the East Siberian and western Chukchi Seas (fig. 1). The basin is wedge shaped and covers an area of 205,000 km² that is mostly offshore in the southern part of the Chukchi Sea. The basin extends ~700 km westward from Kotzebue Sound to just south of Wrangel Island at long ~178° W., where <1 km of sedimentary fill is mapped, and as much as 400 km northward from Chukotka to the Herald Arch (fig. 2). The Hope Basin is bordered on the northeast by the western Brooks Range, a small segment of the Arctic Alaska fold- and thrust belt, and the Lisburne Hills-Herald Arch, which separates the Hope Basin from the Arctic Alaska Province and the North Chukchi-Wrangel Foreland Province. For the purposes of this assessment, the Hope Basin is designated as both a separate province and an assessment unit (AU), ~5 percent of which is south of the Arctic Circle (fig.1).
Geologic Setting and Stratigraphy

The Hope Basin is a successor or intermontaine basin that formed on the Brooks Range-Chukotka orogenic belt. The orogenic belt, marking the southern part of the Arctic Alaska microplate, is bounded on the south by the South Anyui Suture and the Kobuk Fault along the south flank of the Brooks Range, and on the north by the Brooks Range-Herald Arch-Lisburne Hills thrust front and its proposed westward extension along the continental shelf to the New Siberian Islands (fig. 1). The South Anyui Suture formed in the Early Cretaceous (Neocomian) (Sokolov and others, 2002), whereas the north thrust boundary may be as old as Aaptian and as young as early Paleogene (~60 Ma) (Moore and others, 2002). Evidence from the Alaska North Slope foreland basin (huge Aaptian-Albian sediment volume, relatively fine sediment, and eastward-directed filling of the basin) led Molenaar (1985) to conclude that much more of the orogenic belt than just the relatively narrow Lisburne Hills-Herald Arch-Wrangell Arch was a sediment source area and that the orogenic belt probably included the entire Hope Basin area and beyond (because Hope Basin itself is too young to have been a source for the Colville Basin deposits). Later information indicating that a significant part of the North Chukchi basin fill is of similar age (Grantz and others, 1990; Lothamer, 1994) reinforces this interpretation. Thus, the Hope Basin region was probably a sediment source area throughout most of the Cretaceous. The origin of the basin is uncertain; it has been variously ascribed to strike-slip faulting, orogenic collapse, or escape tectonics (Tolson, 1987; Worrall, 1991; Klemperer and others, 2002; Elswick and Toro, 2003; Scholl and Stevenson, 1989).

Evidence from the only exploratory wells drilled in the basin, which are located in the far southeast (fig. 2) in an area that has been described as a subbasin (“Kotzebue” of most authors or “Selawik” of Decker and others, 1988) separated from the main part of the basin by a west-trending linear basement high (Kotzebue Arch), shows a stratigraphic section composed of a lower sequence of Paleogene volcanioclastic nonmarine sandstone and conglomerate, with tuffs and basalt flows overlying Neogene coal-bearing nonmarine and possibly marine sandstone and mudstone (Decker and others, 1988; Tolson, 1987; Haimila and others, 1990). In this area, Cenozoic deposits rest unconformably on Paleozoic metasedimentary rocks (fig. 3) similar to metasedimentary rocks widely exposed on the Seward Peninsula (Till and Dumoulin, 1994).

Projection of well control into the grid of publicly available seismic data covering the east half of the Hope Basin shows two or three mappable sequences above acoustic basement (Eittreim and others, 1978; Zerwick, 1998; Elswick and Toro, 2003). Total basin fill locally exceeds 6 km in thickness (fig. 2). Each sequence generally shows onlap and thinning toward the basin center. Numerous normal faults with both northward and southward vergence and an overall northwesterly trend characterize the basin (fig. 4). Deeper parts of some faults show fanning (growth) geometry, and many faults extend upward to the seafloor. Whereas the eastern part of the Hope Basin is interpreted as Cenozoic strata on acoustic basement, interpretations of proprietary seismic data in the western part of the basin show significant thicknesses of deformed Mesozoic strata in angular relation beneath Cenozoic strata (for example, Shipilov, 1989; Warren and others, 1995). The age, lithology, and thermal maturity of proposed Mesozoic strata are unknown. These observations suggest that parts of the orogenic belt are relatively more intact and not as highly deformed as observed in surface exposures.

Petroleum Systems

No petroleum systems have been identified in the Hope Basin Province. Indications of gas on seismic records are rare to nonexistent, but minor hydrocarbon occurrences interpreted as biogenic methane or bitumen derived from terrigenous organic material were documented by Troutman and Stanley (2004) in the Kotzebue Sound area in petroleum-exploration wells, seismic shoofles, and a water well. Demonstrated North Slope petroleum-source rocks (Mississippian coal and deep-marine mudstone and Triassic and Jurassic distal-marine facies) that are exposed in the western Brooks Range and the Lisburne Hills evidently underlie the eastern parts of the Hope Basin (Moore and others, 2002). However, these rocks are thermally mature to overmature for petroleum generation in this area (Johnsson and others, 1999; Moore and others, 2002) and reached maturity before the basin formed. For these reasons, petroleum-source rocks in the Hope Basin Province are limited to nonmarine Cenozoic basin fill and likely consist of coal and carbonaceous mudstone.

Burial history of a pseudowell located in the deepest part of the basin on the seismic profile in figure 4 was modeled to determine thermal maturity and the timing of petroleum generation (fig. 5). This analysis, using a higher initial heat flow coincident with documented volcanism decreasing over time, suggests that the onset of petroleum generation for source rocks at the base of the oldest sequence (unit I) could have occurred below 1.5 km of burial as early as 40 Ma; but as heat flow decreased, petroleum generation for source rocks in the overlying sequence (unit II) would not have occurred until nearly 2.5 km of burial at 11 Ma. No petroleum generation is indicated for source rocks in the uppermost sequence.

AU Description.—The Hope Basin AU encompasses the entire basin, covering an area of ~205,000 km² (fig. 2). The basin is a postorogenic, successor basin of uncertain origin. Basin fill, which is locally >6 km thick, is inferred to consist predominantly of Cenozoic nonmarine sandstone and conglomeratic strata that contain basalt, tuffs, and volcanic clasts in the Paleogene section and sandstone, mudstone, coal, and shallow-marine deposits in the Neogene section. Northwest-trending normal faults are pervasive and have been active at various times throughout the history of the basin; continuing
Figure 2. Maps of Hope Basin and nearby areas, Russian Arctic (modified from Grantz and others, 2009). A, Basin outline, showing locations of faults, contours of depth to acoustic basement (in kilometers), and locations of exploratory wells (A, Cape Espenberg; B, Nimiuk Point), and of pseudowell used in burial-history analysis (see fig. 5). B, Same features as in A, shown in relation to free-air-gravity-anomaly map (modified from Mazarovich and Sokolov, 2003).
seismic activity is indicated by many faults that extend to the seafloor and by recent earthquakes (Fujita and others, 1990).

Petroleum source rocks are postulated to be coal beds and carbonaceous mudstone and so are predominantly gas prone. However, gas indications on seismic records are virtually absent, and those occurrences in wells and shotholes are relatively minor and considered biogenic. Only that part of the basin with >2.5 km of fill is estimated to be capable of petroleum generation. Demonstrated North Slope petroleum source rocks of the Brooks Range-Lisburne Peninsula region probably underlie the eastern part of the Hope Basin as part of the acoustic basement, but these rocks evidently are thermally overmature as observed in outcrop.

*Geological Analysis of Assessment Unit Probability.*—Considering data limitations and sparse exploration activity, the probability that the Hope Basin AU contains at least one undiscovered petroleum accumulation >50 million barrels of oil equivalent (MMBOE) is considered to be ~0.17 (17 percent) (appendix 1).

*Charge.*—A charge probability of 0.3 (30 percent) was assigned to this AU (appendix 1). Source rocks were considered to be coal and carbonaceous mudstone of unknown quality and thickness. Furthermore, the area in which these rocks are thermally mature is limited to that part of the basin where they are buried to depths of >2.5 km.

*Rock.*—A rock probability of 0.7 (70 percent) for the adequacy of reservoirs, traps, and seals was assigned to this AU. The best reservoirs are probably present in the Neogene and upper part of the Paleogene section. Volcanic components in the lower Paleogene section likely resulted in greatly diminished reservoir quality. Adequate mudstone and fault seals are considered a significant risk.

*Timing and Preservation.*—Because the basin is currently at maximum burial and has a history of continuous subsidence, a relatively favorable timing and preservation probability of 0.8 (80 percent) for a field larger than the minimum size is assigned to this AU.

*Analogs.*—The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) World Analog Database (Charpentier and others, 2008) was searched on coaly source rock, Type III kerogen. This search yielded 24 analog AUs, which were then pared down by eliminating those analog AUs with marine deltaic settings, as well as foreland basins with fold-and-thrust structures, which are inconsistent with the geologic setting of the Hope Basin.

*Number of Accumulations.*—On the basis of the analog dataset, the total number of petroleum accumulations larger than 50 MMBOE was set to 1, 5, and 40 for the minimum, median, and maximum, respectively.

*Oil/Gas Ratio.*—On the basis of the inferred dominance of gas-prone kerogen in coaly source rocks and the absence of indications of oil-prone source rock, the minimum, median,
Figure 4. Seismic profile across the Hope Basin (see fig. 2 for location), part of line BS3AB from Klemperer and others (2002), showing characteristic extensional faulting and location of pseudowell used in burial-history analysis (see fig. 5). Note that some faults extend virtually to seafloor and that fanning reflectors on some fault blocks in deep part of basin indicate fault movement during sedimentation. Time-depth conversion from sonobouy-based curves of Thurston and Theiss (1987).
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Figure 5. Burial-history diagrams from a pseudowell located in deepest part of the Hope Basin traversed by seismic profile shown in figure 4 (see fig. 2 for location). Sequences and ages used in analysis are derived from exploratory wells in southeastern part of basin, as summarized in figure 3. Ro, vitrinite reflectance, in percent (%).

Table 1. Assessment results for the Hope Basin Province (conventional undiscovered resources).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petroleum systems and assessment units</th>
<th>AU probability</th>
<th>Field type</th>
<th>Oil (MMB)</th>
<th>Gas (BCF)</th>
<th>NGL (MMB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F95</td>
<td>F50</td>
<td>F5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope Basin AU 0.168 Oil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[BCF, billion cubic feet; MMB, million barrels. Results shown are fully risked estimates. For gas fields, all liquids are included in the category of natural-gas liquids (NGL). F95, 95-percent probability of at least the amount tabulated, and so on for F50 and F5. Fractiles are additive under the assumption of perfect positive correlation. AU, assessment unit; N/A, not applicable. Numbers do not exactly add to the totals because totals were calculated by statistical aggregation.]
and maximum oil/gas ratios were set at 0, 0.02, and 0.1 (appendix 1).

Size Distribution.—The median and maximum sizes of an oil field were set to 70 and 300 million barrels of oil (MMBO), and those of a gas field to 500 and 5,000 billion cubic feet of gas (BCFG), respectively.

Province Geologist’s Estimated Maximum Field Size.—Maximum sizes of oil and gas fields were set to 50 to 100 MMBO and 1,000 to 2,000 BCFG, on the basis of the interpretation that some very large traps are probably present but that maximum accumulation size would be constrained by limited hydrocarbon charge and, possibly, by seal integrity.

Ancillary Properties and Coproduct Ratios relied on the world averages reported by Charpentier and others (2008).

Results

Probabilistic estimates of volumes of undiscovered, technically recoverable hydrocarbons for the Hope Basin AU are summarized in table 1. These results include mean estimates of about 3 MMBO of oil and 648 BCFG of nonassociated gas.
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