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Geology and Assessment of Undiscovered Oil and Gas Resources of the East Siberian Sea Basin Province, 2008

By Kenneth J. Bird, David W. Houseknecht, and Janet K. Pitman

Abstract

The East Siberian Sea Basin, which lies beneath the continental shelf east of the New Siberian Islands, is one of the better-known basins in a series of postorogenic (successor) basins in the East Siberian-Chukchi Sea region because of a reconnaissance network of seismic-reflection profiles and outcrops on nearby islands. In spite of the seismic coverage, the basin’s petroleum potential is poorly known. It is considered a separate petroleum province for the purposes of the Circum-Arctic Resource Appraisal. The probability that the East Siberian Sea Basin contains at least one undiscovered accumulation >50 million barrels of oil equivalent (MMBOE) is considered to be ~22 percent. A single assessment unit was defined and studied, resulting in mean estimates of technically recoverable conventional undiscovered resources of ~20 million barrels of oil (MMBO) and 580 billion cubic feet of gas (BCFG), nonassociated.

Introduction

The East Siberian Sea Basin, the westernmost of six sedimentary basins situated on the continental shelf of the East Siberian and western Chukchi Seas (fig. 1), lies just east of the New Siberian Islands, west of the Vilkitskii Basin, and north of the Chaus Basin. The East Siberian Sea Basin has an elongate northwesterly trend, with dimensions of ~ 600 by ~ 250 km, and covers an area of 120,000 km². The basin lies north of the Arctic Circle entirely offshore in 25- to 50-m water depths (fig. 1). For the purposes of this assessment, the East Siberian Sea Basin is designated as both a separate province and an assessment unit (AU).

Geologic Setting and Stratigraphy

The East Siberian Sea Basin is mostly a successor or intermontane basin that formed across the Brooks Range–Chukotka orogenic belt of the Arctic Alaska–Chukotka microplate. The orogenic belt is northward vergent, extending from the South Anyui suture on the south to the Herald–Lisburne Hills–Brooks Range thrust front on the north (fig. 1). The South Anyui suture, distinguished by discontinuous occurrences of ophiolites, marks the closure of the South Anyui ocean basin during the latest Jurassic and Early Cretaceous (Neocomian). The thrust front of the orogenic belt, however, may be as young as early Paleogene (~60 Ma) if timing is similar to that on the Alaskan North Slope. A small part (10–15 percent) of the East Siberian Sea Basin lies north of the thrust front and may compose a foreland-basin sedimentary succession, with ages, depositional environments, and lithologies similar to those in the North Slope Foreland Basin to the east. The De Long Massif (fig. 2), which borders this foreland-basin succession on the north, represents the autochthon of the orogenic belt. This autochthon is characterized by positive free-air gravity anomalies (fig. 2B) and <1 km of mid-Cretaceous and younger volcanic and sedimentary cover (fig. 3) that unconformably overlies deformed Paleozoic strata and igneous rocks. A seismic profile (fig. 4) shows northward onlap of the foreland-basin strata, as well as southward-fanning reflectors within the autochthonous (pre-foreland basin) section that is typically assigned to the acoustic basement.

Although the origin of the East Siberian Sea Basin is uncertain, the observed structural and stratigraphic features revealed by seismic profiles generally are compatible with strike-slip faulting, which has been proposed in numerous scenarios as affecting the entire shelf of the East Siberian Sea after the cessation of Arctic Alaska-Chukotka microplate orogenesis. The orientation and number of suggested strike-slip faults, however, vary widely; a regional system of northwest- and northeast-trending faults was proposed on the basis of alignments or apparent offsets of potential-field anomalies (for example, Mazarovich and Sokolov, 2003; Filatova and Khain, 2007), whereas other studies specific to the East Siberian Sea Basin (for example, Franke and others, 2004) proposed east-trending faults perpendicular to structural sags and pull-apart basins. According to most workers, strike-slip faulting was driven by the Cenozoic opening of the Eurasia Basin.

Details of the East Siberian Sea Basin are summarized here on a structure-contour map (fig. 2), a stratigraphic column showing the possible lithologic succession and ages (fig. 3), and a cross section based on a seismic profile.
Figure 1. Map showing the East Siberian Sea Basin and other sedimentary basins of the East Siberian and Chukchi Seas that lie within and adjacent to the Brooks Range-Chukotka orogenic belt (defined as area between the South Anyui suture and the Herald Arch-Lisburne Hills–Brooks Range thrust front). From Grantz and others (2009).
Figure 2. Selected details of the East Siberian Sea (adapted from Grantz and others, 2009). A, Map of East Siberian Sea Basin (yellow), showing depth to acoustic basement (in kilometers) and locations of selected seismic lines and pseudowell used in burial-history analysis. Islands in the De Long Massif area: B, Bennett; H, Henrietta; J, Jeannette; V, Vilkitskii; Z, Zokhov. B, Area of figure 2A, superimposed on a free-air–gravity-anomaly map (adapted from Mazarovich and Sokolov, 2003). Note general agreement of acoustic-basement depth contours with negative gravity anomalies for much of the basin, but not in that part of the basin north of the Herald Arch-Lisburne Hills–Brooks Range thrust front, where a seismic-reflection profile (fig. 4) indicates a greater thickness north of the thrust front (>3 seconds two-way traveltime) than that to the south—a relation opposite to that suggested by the gravity anomalies.
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Figure 3. Schematic stratigraphic succession that likely extends and thickens into the East Siberian Sea Basin, interpreted from outcrops and shallow drill holes on the New Siberian Islands (Kos’ko and Trufanov, 2002; Kuzmichev, 2009).
showing regional structural relations (fig. 4). The map (fig. 2), with contours on acoustic basement, shows the basin to be mostly >2 km deep and to have a maximum sedimentary thickness locally of >8 km. South of the thrust front, where most seismic sections are located (for example, Franke and others, 2004), the basin is characterized by elongate northerly trending sedimentary depocenters commonly bounded by syndepositional normal faults. Comparison of the basin outline, isopachs, and fault trends with free-air gravity anomalies (fig. 2B) shows moderately good agreement, except for that part of the basin north of the thrust front. In that area, although gravity anomalies suggest thinner strata than south of the thrust front (where more negative anomalies occur), the seismic profile (fig. 4) indicates the opposite relation: a greater thickness of strata north of the thrust front than to the south.

The stratigraphic section (fig. 3), as interpreted from outcrops and shallow boreholes reported from the New Siberian Islands, shows only that part of the succession believed to be present in the East Siberian Sea Basin. Rocks older than Early Cretaceous (Neocomian) in this region (Ordovician through Early Cretaceous [Valanginian]) have been affected to varying degrees by Late Jurassic through Early Cretaceous deformation related to the closure of the South Anyui Ocean. These older rocks consist of well lithified clastic and carbonate strata that are considered to form acoustic basement in the East Siberian Sea Basin (Kos’ko and Trufanov, 2002; Franke and others, 2004). The mid-Cretaceous and younger sedimentary succession is unlithified and dominated by nonmarine clastic sedimentary rocks that are coal-bearing in the Cretaceous and Paleogene section. Paralic to shallow marine strata are reported in the Eocene, Oligocene, and Pliocene-Pleistocene sections. Rhyolitic volcanic rocks occur in the Albian section on Kotel’ny Island, and Albian and Neogene basalt is present on Bennett Island. Neogene basalt is present on Vilkitksii and Zenkov Islands on the De Long Massif (fig. 2).

The northeast-trending cross section (fig. 4), >450 km long, is based on the only seismic profile (LARGE 89001) to cross the entire East Siberian Sea Basin. The section, which extends northward from the Siberian Sea shelf across the western part of the East Siberian Sea Basin onto the De Long Massif (fig. 2), is also the only published seismic profile in the basin to cross the westward extent of the Herald Arch-Lisburne Hills–Brooks Range thrust front, thus providing key information on the position and structure of this feature. A detailed view and interpretation of this feature by Drachev and others (2001, fig. 3) shows a northward-vergent, high-angle reverse fault that deforms the adjacent sequence, interpreted by them as Albian(?), producing fault-bounded antifoms interpreted as positive flower structures, thus leading to a conclusion of transpressional deformation. Overall, this regional section was interpreted by Drachev and others (2001) as consisting of at least six unconformity-bounded sequences, ranging in age from mid-Cretaceous to Holocene, that gradually thicken northward from <1-s two-way traveltime in the south to ~3.5-s two-way traveltime in fault-bounded deeps before shallowing to <0.5-s two-way traveltime at its north end on the De Long Massif. The section shows that the north and south boundaries of the East Siberian Sea Basin in this area occur where the reflective sedimentary section exceeds ~1.5-s two-way traveltime (~2 km), rather than at any distinct geologic break. Although six sequences have been identified, in any one place along the section only three sequences are commonly present. Other seismic sections in the basin typically are interpreted as consisting of only three sequences, to which various ages have been assigned by different investigators on the basis of geology of the New Siberian Islands and regional tectonostratigraphic history. As summarized by Kos’ko and Trufanov (2002), the youngest sequence has been interpreted to be Pliocene and Pleistocene, late Miocene through Pleistocene, or Oligocene and younger; the middle sequence has been interpreted to be Paleocene through Miocene or Oligocene through mid-Miocene; and the oldest sequence has been interpreted as mid-Late Cretaceous or Paleocene and Eocene. In the view of Kos’ko and Trufanov (2002), who mapped the geology of the New Siberian Islands, the three sequences are (1) mid- to Late Cretaceous, (2) Paleocene through Miocene, and (3) Pliocene and Pleistocene.

**Petroleum Systems**

No petroleum systems have been identified in the East Siberian Sea Basin. Some indications of gas are evident on available seismic records but these are rare and poorly resolved. No hydrocarbon indications have been reported from the New Siberian Islands, although the lower part of the Triassic and Jurassic shallow-marine mudstone section on Kotel’ny Island was described as “notable for oil shales” (Kuzmichev, 2009). Likely petroleum-source rocks in the East Siberian Sea Basin are coal and carbonaceous shale of Cenozoic and possible mid-Cretaceous age. Shallow-marine mudstone of Eocene and Oligocene age may also be present, on the basis of observed facies trends on Novaya Sibir’ Island. The presence of the early Eocene Azolla interval on the New Siberian Islands was indicated by Brinkhuis and others (2006). Although this interval is sufficiently rich in organic matter to be considered a potential source rock on the Lomonosov Ridge—2,000 km to the north, near the North Pole—its character in the East Siberian Sea Basin area is unknown. Of even greater uncertainty is the presence of Paleozoic and Mesozoic source rocks within acoustic basement—not only are their original thickness, distribution, organic richness, and thermal maturity unknown, but they also have been affected by the Neocomian orogeny. For these reasons, the most likely petroleum-source rocks in the East Siberian Sea Basin are considered to be gas prone.

Burial history of a pseudowell located in the deepest-known part of the basin on the seismic line in figure 5 was modeled to determine the thermal maturity and timing of petroleum generation (fig. 6). This analysis, using a constant
heat flow of 50 milli watts per square meter (determined from analysis of the Popcorn well on the Chukchi Shelf) and the sequence ages interpreted by Franke and others (2004), suggests that onset of petroleum generation would occur at \(\sim 3.8\) km of burial as early as middle Eocene (~47 Ma) for source rocks at the base of the lowermost sequence. In such depocenters like the one modeled, postulated source rocks as young as Oligocene would lie within the oil window at maximum burial, but outside of such depocenters, only source rocks older than Eocene are likely to be within the oil window. By using the 4-km contour on acoustic basement shown in figure 2 as a proxy for the top of the oil window, one can estimate that about half of the East Siberian Sea Basin would have enough sedimentary thickness sufficient to occur within the oil window. The area north of the Herald Arch-Lisburne Hills–Brooks Range thrust front (fig. 2) that may comprise the foreland basin succession could have a source potential in marine Cretaceous rocks if the interpreted geometry and age determinations shown in figure 4 are correct, and if those characteristics pertain to the entire thrust front across the basin.

**East Siberian Sea Basin Assessment Unit**

*AU Description.*—The East Siberian Sea Basin assessment unit encompasses the entire basin, about 120,000 km\(^2\) (fig. 2). The basin is mostly a post-orogenic successor basin of uncertain origin, although the northern part may include part of the Cretaceous foreland-basin system of the underlying orogenic belt. Basin fill, locally >8 km thick, typically consists of three unconformity-bounded sequences, the ages of which are uncertain because of the absence of well penetrations. Although regional tectonic considerations, in combination with geologic studies on nearby islands, have resulted in different age assignments for these sequences by different investigators, all of them agree that the sequences are mostly Cenozoic, but some interpretations include mid-Cretaceous and Upper Cretaceous strata. On the basis of the geology of nearby islands, the sequences are inferred to be composed predominantly of coal-bearing, nonmarine clastic sedimentary rocks with probable shallow-marine intervals in parts of the Eocene, Oligocene, and Pliocene-Pleistocene section. Volcanic rocks are known in the late Early Cretaceous and Pliocene-Pleistocene parts of the exposed succession. Seismic-reflection profiles show that structures are complex in the basin but limited to the two older sequences. The youngest sequence, generally ~1 km thick, forms an undisturbed cap. Typically, structures consist of north-trending listric normal faults associated with grabens, sags, and faulted anticlines. Stratigraphic changes in sags and grabens indicates that they were formed mostly during deposition of the middle sequence (Paleocene through Miocene; Paleocene and Eocene; or Oligocene and Miocene). Change in stratigraphic thickness across some faults suggests a component of transtensional deformation.

Petroleum source rocks are postulated to be coal, carbonaceous shale, and shallow-marine mudstone and so are expected to be predominantly gas prone. Gas indications (velocity sags and reflector “fadeouts”) have been observed on some seismic records but are rare and poorly resolved. The Eocene *Azolla* interval likely is present (Brinkhuis and others, 2006, table S-1), but its organic-carbon richness, areal extent, and burial depth in the East Siberian Sea Basin are unknown. Source rocks of Paleozoic and Mesozoic age also may be present in acoustic basement; however, their thickness, distribution, or source characteristics are unknown. On the basis of burial-history analysis, only that part of the basin with >~3.8 km of fill is estimated to be capable of petroleum generation.

**Geological Analysis of Assessment Unit Probability.**—Considering data limitations and sparse exploration activity, the probability that the East Siberian Sea Basin AU contains at least one undiscovered accumulation >50 million barrels of oil equivalent (MMBOE) is considered to be about 22 percent (table 1).

**Charge.**—A probability of 0.4 was assigned to charge sufficiency in this assessment unit. Petroleum-source rocks were considered to be coal and carbonaceous and shallow-marine mudstone of unknown quality and thickness. Furthermore, the area in which these rocks are mature is limited to that part of the basin where they are buried to depths >3.8 km, so that for most of the basin, only source rocks Eocene and older would be mature. Cretaceous marine source rocks may be present in the part of the basin north of the westward extension of the Herald Arch-Lisburne Hills–Brooks Range thrust front. Source rocks of unknown quality and distribution within acoustic basement may also be present.

**Rocks.**—A probability of 0.8 was assigned to the adequacy of reservoirs, traps, and seals in this assessment unit. Sandstone reservoirs may be present throughout the section and only limited by depth-related diagenetic effects. Unconformity and fault-trap geometries are abundant on available seismic images, where folded and faulted strata of the two older sequences are truncated and, possibly, sealed by the youngest sequence. Inadequate mudstone and fault seals are considered to be a significant risk.

**Timing and Preservation.**—Within the basin, currently at maximum burial and with a history of continuous subsidence, a favorable probability of 0.7 was assigned to the adequacy of timing and preservation of petroleum accumulations greater than the minimum size within this assessment unit.

**Analogs.**—A search of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) World Analog Database (Charpentier and others, 2008) on coaly source rock, Type III kerogen, yielded 24 analog assessment units that were then pared down by eliminating analogs with marine-deltaic settings and foreland basins with fold-and-thrust structures.

**Number of Petroleum Accumulations.**—On the basis of the set of analogs, the total petroleum accumulations >50 MMBOE was set to 1, 5, and 40 for the minimum, median, and maximum, respectively.
Table 1. Assessment results for the East Siberian Sea Basin Province (conventional undiscovered resources).

[AU, assessment unit; BCF; billion cubic feet; MMB, million barrels; MMBOE, million barrels of oil equivalent. Results shown are fully risked estimates. For gas fields, all liquids are included under the natural gas liquids category. F95, 95-percent probability of at least the amount tabulated, and so on for F50 and F5. Fractiles are additive under the assumption of perfect positive correlation. N/A, not applicable]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total petroleum system and AU</th>
<th>AU probability</th>
<th>Field type</th>
<th>Oil (MMB)</th>
<th>Gas (BCF)</th>
<th>Natural gas liquids (MMBOE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F95</td>
<td>F50</td>
<td>F5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Siberian Sea Basin</td>
<td>.0224</td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Cross section drawn from analysis of seismic line LARGE 89001, showing depositional sequences and provisional ages interpreted by Drachev and others (2001). Location of the line is shown in figure 2, and subdivisions (shelf, basin, massif) are from the map by Grantz and others (2009). Oligocene and younger interval unconformably overlies entire basin, thus providing a likely seal for hydrocarbon traps. Albian? interval is interpreted as part of a foreland basin, deformed near the thrust front with northward onlap thinning on the De Long Massif. Dotted lines in acoustic basement are reflective seismic horizons.

Figure 5. Cross section of deep synclinal sag and associated listric normal faults in the East Siberian Sea Basin drawn from analysis of seismic profile BGR94-12 (Franke and others, 2004, fig. 9). Threefold stratigraphic subdivision of the basin fill, stacking velocities, inferred ages of bounding unconformities, and characteristic extensional (transtensional?) faulting follows interpretation by Franke and others (2004). The pseudowell shows the location of burial-history analysis (fig. 6) and estimated top of the oil window at a depth of ~3.8 km (2.7-s two-way traveltime). See figure 2 for location.
Figure 6. Burial-history analysis of pseudowell located in a deep synclinal sag of the East Siberian Sea Basin traversed by the seismic-reflection profile in figure 5. Sequences, ages, and depths follow details provided by Franke and others (2004) and are summarized in figure 5. Occurrence of "source," "reservoir," and "seal" components of the petroleum system are hypothetical. Sediment-water-interface temperatures from Wygrala (1989). Ro, vitrinite reflectance, in percent (\%).
Oil/Gas Mix.—On the basis of the inferred dominance of gas-prone kerogen in coaly source rocks and the absence of oil-prone source-rock indications, the minimum, median, and maximum ratios for oil/gas mix were set at 0, 0.02, and 0.1, respectively. With an oil/gas mix in this range, the resulting numbers of undiscovered gas fields are 1, 2, and 18, and of undiscovered oil fields 0, 0, and 2.

Accumulation Size Distribution.—The median and maximum oil accumulation size was set at 70 and 300 million barrels of oil, respectively; and the median and maximum gas accumulation size was set at 500 and 5,000 billion cubic feet of gas (BCFG), respectively. The minimum field size required for a quantitative assessment as part of the Circum-Arctic Resource Appraisal was set at 50 MMBOE.

Estimated Maximum Field Size.—Maximum accumulation sizes of 50–100 MMBO and 1–2 trillion cubic feet of gas were selected on the basis of the interpretation that some very large traps may be present but that the maximum accumulation size would be constrained by limited hydrocarbon charge and, possibly, by seal integrity.

Ancillary properties and coproduct ratios relied on world averages reported by Charpentier and others (2008).

Summary of Province Assessment Results

Probabilistic estimates of the volumes of undiscovered, technically recoverable hydrocarbons for the East Siberian Sea Basin are summarized in table 1, including mean estimates of ~20 MMBO and 579 BCFG, nonassociated.
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