The Effects of Management Practices on Grassland Birds— Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*)

Chapter L of

The Effects of Management Practices on Grassland Birds

Professional Paper 1842—L

Cover. Northern Harrier. Photograph by David O. Lambeth, used with permission.

Background photograph: Northern mixed-grass prairie in North Dakota, by Rick Bohn, used with permission.

The Effects of Management Practices on Grassland Birds—Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*)

By Jill A. Shaffer,¹ Lawrence D. Igl,¹ Douglas H. Johnson,¹ Marriah L. Sondreal,¹
Christopher M. Goldade,^{1,2} Melvin P. Nenneman,^{1,3} Jason P. Thiele,^{1,4} and Betty R.
Euliss¹

Chapter L of

The Effects of Management Practices on Grassland Birds

Edited by Douglas H. Johnson,¹ Lawrence D. Igl,¹ Jill A. Shaffer,¹ and John P. DeLong^{1,5}

¹U.S. Geological Survey.

²South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks (current).

³U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (current).

⁴Nebraska Game and Parks Commission (current).

⁵University of Nebraska-Lincoln (current).

Professional Paper 1842–L

U.S. Department of the Interior
DAVID BERNHARDT, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey
James F. Reilly II, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2019

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment—visit <https://www.usgs.gov> or call 1–888–ASK–USGS.

For an overview of USGS information products, including maps, imagery, and publications, visit <https://store.usgs.gov>.

Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this information product, for the most part, is in the public domain, it also may contain copyrighted materials as noted in the text. Permission to reproduce copyrighted items must be secured from the copyright owner.

Suggested citation:

Shaffer, J.A., Igl, L.D., Johnson, D.H., Sondreal, M.L., Goldade, C.M., Nenneman, M.P., Thiele, J.P., and Euliss, B.R., 2019, The effects of management practices on grassland birds—Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*), chap. L of Johnson, D.H., Igl, L.D., Shaffer, J.A., and DeLong, J.P., eds., The effects of management practices on grassland birds: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1842, 11 p., <https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1842L>.

ISSN 2330-7102 (online)

Contents

Acknowledgments	iv
Capsule Statement.....	1
Breeding Range.....	1
Suitable Habitat.....	1
Prey Habitat	3
Area Requirements and Landscape Associations.....	4
Brood Parasitism by Cowbirds and Other Species	4
Breeding-Season Phenology and Site Fidelity	4
Species' Response to Management.....	5
Management Recommendations from the Literature	6
References.....	7

Figure

L1. Breeding distribution of the Northern Harrier (<i>Circus hudsonius</i>) in the United States and southern Canada, based on North American Breeding Bird Survey data, 2008–12	2
--	---

Table

L1. Measured values of vegetation structure and composition in Northern Harrier (<i>Circus hudsonius</i>) breeding habitat by study	11
---	----

Conversion Factors

International System of Units to U.S. customary units

Multiply	By	To obtain
Length		
centimeter (cm)	0.3937	inch (in.)
meter (m)	3.281	foot (ft)
Area		
square meter (m ²)	0.0002471	acre
hectare (ha)	2.471	acre
square kilometer (km ²)	247.1	acre
square meter (m ²)	10.76	square foot (ft ²)
hectare (ha)	0.003861	square mile (mi ²)
square kilometer (km ²)	0.3861	square mile (mi ²)

Abbreviations

CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DNC	dense nesting cover
spp.	species (applies to two or more species within the genus)
WPA	Waterfowl Production Area

Acknowledgments

Major funding for this effort was provided by the Prairie Pothole Joint Venture, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the U.S. Geological Survey. Additional funding was provided by the U.S. Forest Service, The Nature Conservancy, and the Plains and Prairie Potholes Landscape Conservation Cooperative. We thank the following cooperators who provided access to their bibliographic files: Louis B. Best, Carl E. Bock, Brenda C. Dale, Stephen K. Davis, James J. Dinsmore, Fritz L. Knopf (deceased), Rolf R. Koford, David R. C. Prescott, Mark R. Ryan, David W. Sample, David A. Swanson, Peter D. Vickery (deceased), and John L. Zimmerman. We thank Patsy D. Renz for her illustration of the Northern Harrier and the U.S. Geological Survey's Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Laurel, Maryland, for providing the range map. We thank Courtney L. Amundson, Joel S. Brice, Rachel M. Bush, James O. Church, Shay F. Erickson, Silka L.F. Kempema, Emily C. McLean, Susana Rios, Bonnie A. Sample, and Robert O. Woodward for their assistance with various aspects of this effort. Lynn M. Hill and Keith J. Van Cleave, U.S. Geological Survey, acquired many publications for us throughout this effort, including some that were very old and obscure. Earlier versions of this account benefitted from insightful comments from Mark Fisher, Robert K. Murphy, and Brian A. Tangen.

The Effects of Management Practices on Grassland Birds—Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*)

By Jill A. Shaffer,¹ Lawrence D. Igl,¹ Douglas H. Johnson,¹ Marriah L. Sondreal,¹ Christopher M. Goldade,^{1,2} Melvin P. Nenneman,^{1,3} Jason P. Thiele,^{1,4} and Betty R. Euliss¹

Capsule Statement

The key to Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*) management is providing tall, dense vegetation in extensive mesic or xeric grasslands or in wetlands. Northern Harriers have been reported to use habitats with 15–106 centimeter (cm) average vegetation height, 28–75 cm visual obstruction reading, 24–53 percent grass cover, 18–25 percent forb cover, less than or equal to ($\leq$) 2 percent shrub cover, 3–5 percent bare ground, 23–30 percent litter cover, and 2–6 cm litter depth. The descriptions of key vegetation characteristics are provided in table L1 (after the “References” section). Vernacular and scientific names of plants and animals follow the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (<https://www.itis.gov>), except for the species name of the Northern Harrier, which follows the 58th Supplement to the American Ornithological Society’s Check-list of North American Birds (Chesser and others, 2017).

Breeding Range

Northern Harriers breed from central Alaska and the western Northwest Territories to southern Quebec, Nova Scotia, and Maine; south to southern California, northern Texas, and central Illinois; and east to New Jersey (National Geographic Society, 2011). The relative densities of Northern Harriers in the United States and southern Canada, based on North American Breeding Bird Survey data (Sauer and others, 2014), are shown in figure L1 (not all geographic places mentioned in report are shown on figure).

¹U.S. Geological Survey.

²South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks (current).

³U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (current).

⁴Nebraska Game and Parks Commission (current).

Northern Harrier. Illustration by Patsy Renz, used with permission.

Suitable Habitat

Northern Harriers prefer moderately open habitats characterized by tall, dense vegetation, and abundant residual vegetation (Duebbert and Lokemoen, 1977; Hamerstrom and Kopeny, 1981; Apfelbaum and Seelbach, 1983; Kantrud and Higgins, 1992). The species uses native or tame vegetation in mesic or xeric grasslands, planted cover (such as Conservation

2 The Effects of Management Practices on Grassland Birds—Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*)

Figure L1. Breeding distribution of the Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*) in the United States and southern Canada, based on North American Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) data, 2008–12. The BBS abundance map provides only an approximation of breeding range edges.

Reserve Program [CRP] fields, dense nesting cover [DNC], Waterfowl Production Areas [WPAs], and Wetland Management Areas), fresh to alkali wetlands, lightly grazed pastures, croplands, fallow fields, oldfields (idle or neglected arable lands that have naturally reverted back to perennial cover), parkland, forest openings, and brushy areas (Stewart and Kantrud, 1965; Clark, 1972; Stewart, 1975; Linner, 1980; Evans, 1982; Apfelbaum and Seelbach, 1983; Faanes, 1983; Kantrud and Higgins, 1992; Svedarsky, 1992; Dhol and others, 1994; Prescott and others, 1995; Prescott, 1997; Evrard and

Bacon, 1998; Herkert and others, 1999; Johnson and Igl, 2001; Igl and others, 2008; Smith and others, 2011).

Northern Harriers nest on the ground in open habitats, including grasslands, wet meadows, and vegetated wetlands (Saunders, 1913; Bent, 1961; Sealy, 1967; Clark, 1972; Stewart, 1975; Smith and others, 2011). Ground nests are moderately to well concealed by tall, dense herbaceous vegetation, including low shrubs and living and residual grasses and forbs (Hecht, 1951; Duebbert and Lokemoen, 1977; Hamerstrom and Kopeny, 1981; Kantrud and Higgins, 1992; Herkert and

others, 1999). Wetland nests are located on platforms of vegetation over water in stands of cattails or other emergent vegetation (Saunders, 1913; Sealy, 1967; Clark, 1972).

Although Northern Harriers may nest in cropland and fallow fields, most nests are found in undisturbed wetlands or grasslands dominated by thick vegetation (Duebber and Lokemoen, 1977; Apfelbaum and Seelbach, 1983; Kantrud and Higgins, 1992). Nest success may be lower in cropland and fallow fields than in undisturbed areas (Kibbe, 1975). In the northern Great Plains, few nests were found in cropland or in areas where litter cover was less than (<) 12 percent of total cover, whereas areas with greater than (>) 40 percent residual cover were commonly used (Kantrud and Higgins, 1992). In fields seeded to grasses and legumes in North Dakota and South Dakota, 52 percent of 27 nests were in cover >60 cm tall and were surrounded by smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*), intermediate wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum intermedium*), and forbs (Duebber and Lokemoen, 1977). In Wisconsin, the dominant species of grass surrounding 17 Northern Harrier nests in CRP and WPA fields was switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*), and nests with a greater percentage cover of dead grass and of switchgrass had higher nest success than nests surrounded by live grass or by species other than switchgrass. In a study of various classes of wetlands in North Dakota, the highest densities of Northern Harriers were found in nonsaline semi-permanent wetlands with closed stands of emergent cover or with clumps of emergent cover interspersed with open water (Stewart and Kantrud, 1965).

Northern Harriers use native and tame grasslands but, among studies, show no clear preference for either grassland type. In DNC grasslands in Alberta, Northern Harriers preferred native-species plantings to tame-species plantings (Prescott and others, 1995). In Manitoba, Northern Harriers were absent from idle mixed-grass prairies and were as abundant in native as in tame DNC fields (Dhol and others, 1994). Native DNC fields were characterized by western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*), thickspike wheatgrass (*Elymus macrourus*), bearded wheatgrass (*Elymus caninus*), streambank wheatgrass (*Elymus lanceolatus*), green needlegrass (*Nassella viridula*), big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), switchgrass, and purple prairie clover (*Dalea purpurea*). Tame DNC fields were characterized by tall wheatgrass (*Thinopyrum ponticum*), intermediate wheatgrass, slender wheatgrass, and alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). In Illinois, nest placement by Northern Harriers was influenced less by whether the dominant grass cover in fields was native or tame than by whether the field was idled or had been disturbed by burning, mowing, seed-harvesting, or grazing (Herkert and others, 1999). In Missouri, Northern Harriers were present in CRP fields that were planted to either cool-season or warm-season grasses (McCoy and others, 2001).

Northern Harriers tolerate a moderate amount of shrub cover in nesting habitat. In the northern Great Plains, Northern Harrier nests often were associated with western snowberry (*Symphoricarpos occidentalis*) (Sealy, 1967; Messmer, 1990; Kantrud and Higgins, 1992; Murphy, 1993; Sedivec, 1994). In

Saskatchewan, success of nests in shrub patches was highly variable, with fledging success ranging from 0 to 100 percent (Sealy, 1967). In northwestern North Dakota, nests were placed in 0.05- to 0.5-hectare (ha) stands of western snowberry or in stands of western snowberry with forbs, grasses, and other shrubs (Murphy, 1993). On an 11-square-kilometer (km²) island in North Dakota, Northern Harriers nested in areas with western snowberry and tame grasses and legumes more commonly than predicted based on availability of that habitat type (Sutherland, 1987). Furthermore, the height of live vegetation and visual obstruction readings were greater at nest sites than at random sites. Northern Harrier nests in southwestern Missouri were found almost exclusively in blackberry (*Rubus* species [spp.]) patches with a mean size of 98 square meters (m²) (Toland, 1986). Northern Harriers may have chosen these sites for their protective value; within 90 cm of nests, mean ground cover was 100 percent (Toland, 1986).

Nests placed in wet sites may have an advantage over upland nests in that fewer predators may have access to them (Sealy, 1967; Simmons and Smith, 1985). In New Brunswick, Simmons and Smith (1985) examined the effects of ground moisture and vegetation on reproductive success. Nests placed in wet areas, defined as areas in which standing or running water was present within 1 meter (m) of a nest, were significantly more successful than nests placed in dry areas, defined as areas in which water was not present within 1 m of a nest. Nests were placed in wet sites where depredation was lower but near upland areas where vole (*Microtus* spp.) populations were higher. Female Northern Harriers preferred nest sites in wet areas relative to availability, and nests in cattails (*Typha* spp.) and wetland grasses (bluejoint [*Calamagrostis canadensis*] and prairie cordgrass [*Spartina pectinata*]) were more successful than those in shrubs (speckled alder [*Alnus incana* subspecies *rugosa*] and *Spirea* spp.) or in upland areas. Contrary to results from upland ground nests, the most successful wet-site nests were less concealed. Similar studies are lacking in the Great Plains. In Alberta, 83 percent of young from nine nests in a cattail wetland survived to fledging, whereas the young disappeared from the two nests in wheatgrasses (Sealy, 1967). Northern Harriers may nest semicolonially, even when large tracts of apparently suitable habitat are available, and they also have been found to nest in close association with ducks (Anatidae) and Greater Prairie-Chickens (*Tympanuchus cupido*) (Bildstein and Gollop, 1988).

Prey Habitat

Annual breeding numbers and productivity of Northern Harriers are strongly influenced by the availability of their principle prey, microtine voles, in spring; as such, Northern Harrier populations show considerable local variation from one year to the next (Smith and others, 2011). Voles and other small rodents are the primary prey of Northern Harriers in the northern Great Plains; other mammals, birds, and occasionally

reptiles and frogs also are taken (Sutherland, 1987; Smith and others, 2011). Insects constitute only a small part of the diet and are most frequently taken by recently fledged young (Smith and others, 2011). In Wisconsin, changes in vole abundance were closely paralleled by corresponding changes in numbers and productivity of nesting Northern Harriers (Hamerstrom, 1979; Hamerstrom and others, 1985).

Northern Harriers forage over open habitats of moderate-to-heavy cover, such as ungrazed prairies and wetlands (Smith and others, 2011). On an 11-km² island in North Dakota, Northern Harriers foraged in fields of tame grasses and legumes, wetlands, and native prairies (Sutherland, 1987). In shrubsteppe habitats in Idaho, Northern Harriers foraged over alfalfa fields until the alfalfa reached 46 cm and then shifted to foraging in open shrubsteppe (Martin, 1987). In Utah, the species preferred foraging over moist oldfields to foraging over cropland (Linner, 1980).

Area Requirements and Landscape Associations

Northern Harriers may be area sensitive (Ribic and others, 2009). In Alberta, Northern Harriers were more abundant in large (>8 ha) than in small (<1 ha) freshwater wetlands (Prescott and others, 1995). In North Dakota CRP fields, all patches occupied by Northern Harriers were >100 ha in size (Johnson and Igl, 2001). Northern Harrier density was positively correlated with patch size, and the species occupied large patches more than expected based on patch availability. However, in tallgrass prairies in North Dakota and Minnesota, density was not related to patch size (Winter and others, 2006). Density decreased with an increasing percentage of woody vegetation within 200 m of grassland patches, and Northern Harriers were not detected in grassland patches where woody cover exceeded 30 percent. In Illinois, grassland size did not affect nest placement (Herkert and others, 1999). Northern Harriers nested in grassland fragments ranging from 8 to 120 ha; 17 percent of 29 nests were in grassland tracts <45 ha. However, Herkert and others (1999) indicated that Northern Harriers may have been responding to the total amount of grassland available in the surrounding landscape more than to the sizes of individual grassland fragments; small fragments may have been used where larger blocks of contiguous grassland were adjacent to the small fragments. In another Illinois study, Northern Harriers were primarily found at the largest study sites, which included native warm-season grasses and non-native cool-season grasses; grassland patches ranged in size from 3 to 400 ha (mean=160 ha) (Buxton and Benson, 2016). In cool-season grasslands on the southeastern end of the Missouri Coteau of North Dakota, nest density ranged from 1.8 to 9.1 nests per 100 ha (Duebbert and Lokemoen, 1977). In the tallgrass prairies of southwestern Missouri, nesting density was 0.82 pairs per 100 ha, and male home ranges averaged 256 ha (Toland, 1985). A pair in central Wisconsin

used approximately 890 ha (Hamerstrom and De La Ronde Wilde, 1973). In Manitoba, males defended 27.7 ha, centered on the nest (Hecht, 1951). In Idaho, home ranges for four pairs averaged 1,570 ha for males and 113 ha for females (Martin, 1987). Using North American BBS data, Forcey and others (2014) evaluated the influence of land-cover and climatic variables at three spatial extents (1,000 ha; 10,000 ha; and 100,000 ha) in the upper Midwest of the United States. The most important model predictors of Northern Harrier abundance were wetland area at the intermediate and coarsest scales and herbaceous upland largest patch index at the intermediate scale. Inclusion of climatic variables in the models made only a small improvement in the model fit.

Brood Parasitism by Cowbirds and Other Species

The Northern Harrier is an unsuitable host of the Brown-headed Cowbird (*Molothrus ater*), and no known records of brood parasitism exist (Shaffer and others, 2019). There is one record of a facultative brood parasite, the Redhead (*Aythya americana*), laying eggs in a Northern Harrier nest; Fleskes (1992) reported a Northern Harrier nest in Alberta that contained 2 Redhead eggs and 5 harrier eggs. There are at least three records of Northern Harriers commandeering nests of other ground-nesting species. Laine (1928) observed a Northern Harrier incubating a prairie-chicken (*Tympanuchus*; species not given) nest with 12 prairie-chicken eggs and hatching at least 1 prairie-chicken egg. In North Dakota, Horn and Maul (1997) reported an observation of a Northern Harrier building its nest on top of a depredated Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) nest. Also in North Dakota, Stackhouse and Geaumont (2011) found a Mallard nest with eight Mallard eggs; the nest was later taken over by a Northern Harrier that laid two eggs of its own in the Mallard nest. At least two of the Mallard eggs and both of the harrier eggs hatched.

Breeding-Season Phenology and Site Fidelity

Northern Harriers arrive on the breeding grounds between late February and early May and nest from April through July (Hammond and Henry, 1949; Stewart, 1975; Duebbert and Lokemoen, 1977; Linner, 1980; Bildstein and Gollop, 1988; Murphy and Ensign, 1996; Smith and others, 2011). Northern Harriers produce only one brood per breeding season; however, renesting may occur if the nest is destroyed or deserted during egg laying (Bildstein and Gollop, 1988; Smith and others, 2011). In Michigan, 1 of 8 pairs renested after nest failure (Bildstein and Gollop, 1988). Northern Harriers leave for the wintering grounds between August and November (Saunders, 1913; Bent, 1961; Bildstein and Gollop, 1988).

Northern Harriers may return to breed in the same general area as in the previous year (Hamerstrom, 1969; Burke, 1979). However, return rates of offspring to natal areas are very low. (Hamerstrom, 1969; Burke, 1979; Smith and others, 2011). Polygyny has been reported in this species (Hecht, 1951; Hamerstrom and others, 1985). During the breeding season, Northern Harriers are not strongly territorial, and the species may nest in loose assemblages, although internest distances may be highly variable (Smith and others, 2011).

Species' Response to Management

Northern Harriers generally prefer dense cover in grasslands and associated wetlands. During extensive nest searching in North Dakota, Duebbert and Lokemoen (1977) found few Northern Harrier nests in annually grazed, hayed, burned, or tilled areas. Similarly, in Delta Marsh, Manitoba, no nests were found in burned or mowed areas (Hecht, 1951). Although Northern Harriers typically avoid nesting in disturbed areas, periodic disturbance may be necessary to maintain suitable habitat. Berkey and others (1993) indicated that DNC in uplands could be hayed periodically to stimulate plant growth. Burning or mowing every 3–5 years is recommended to maintain habitat for Northern Harriers and small rodents, their principal prey (Leman and Clausen, 1984; Hands and others, 1989; Kaufman and others, 1990). In a Wisconsin wetland subjected to chemical shrub control, willows (*Salix* spp.), bulrushes (*Scirpus* spp.) and sedges (*Carex* spp.) were reduced as goldenrod (*Solidago* spp.) and white meadowsweet (*Spiraea alba*) increased; Northern Harriers subsequently switched from nesting in the willows, bulrushes, and sedges to nesting in the goldenrod and meadowsweet (Hamerstrom and Kopeny, 1981).

Use of prescribed burning in drier, more northern areas may have immediate detrimental effects because it reduces litter accumulation and may destroy nests (Kruse and Piehl, 1986; Berkey and others, 1993). In North Dakota, 3 of 4 active nests were destroyed by prescribed burns conducted in June; 1 nest hatched (Kruse and Piehl, 1986). In Kansas, Northern Harriers foraged in annually burned and unburned areas but nested only in unburned areas (Zimmerman, 1993). In Missouri, Northern Harriers preferred large areas of idle prairie with patches of invading woody plants and avoided areas that were annually burned (Toland, 1986). The reduction of cattails by burning or by applying herbicides could eliminate nesting cover in wetlands (Berkey and others, 1993).

In South Dakota and Illinois, Northern Harriers preferred idle areas more than managed areas (grasslands managed through rotary mowing, hay mowing, seed harvesting, grazing, or burning) (Luttschwager and Higgins, 1992; Herkert and others, 1999). In Illinois, Northern Harriers preferred fields that had been idle less than 2 years; only one occupied field had been idle more than 3 years (Herkert and others, 1999). Early mowing can destroy Northern Harrier nests

(Hamerstrom, 1986). In North Dakota, mowing displaced Northern Harriers (Messmer, 1990). In South Dakota, Northern Harriers nested in idle strips and blocks within mowed CRP fields (Luttschwager and Higgins, 1992). In Iowa CRP fields planted to switchgrass, Northern Harriers were found in totally harvested plots, strip-harvested plots, and unharvested plots, but no nests were found in totally harvested plots (Murray and Best, 2003). Igl and Johnson (2016) assessed the effects of haying on grassland birds in 483 CRP grasslands in 9 counties in 4 States in the northern Great Plains between 1993 and 2008. Northern Harriers occurred in all nine counties but at relatively low densities in each; Northern Harrier densities were lower in the first year after haying in 8 of the 9 counties but responses were inconsistent in the second, third, and fourth years after haying. Igl and Johnson (2016) concluded that the species' irruptive tendencies during the breeding season might prevent detection of a consistent management response to haying.

Northern Harriers do not use heavily grazed habitats (Stewart, 1975; Berkey and others, 1993; Bock and others, 1993) but may use lightly to moderately grazed grasslands (Kantrud and Kologiski, 1982). Also in North Dakota, the species used lightly grazed native prairies near wooded draws (Faanes, 1983). In North Dakota, Northern Harriers preferred idle areas and had significantly higher nesting densities on ungrazed areas than areas grazed season long (leaving cattle on the same pasture for the entire growing season) or grazed under a twice-over, deferred grazing system (grazing a number of pastures twice per season, with about a 2-month rest between grazing) (Messmer, 1990; Sedivec, 1994). In Montana, Northern Harriers nested only in ungrazed plots, and nest density was positively correlated with visual obstruction readings (Fondell and Ball, 2004). In aspen parkland of Alberta, Northern Harriers were most abundant in deferred grazed (grazed after July 15) mixed-grass prairies but were absent from continuously grazed mixed-grass prairies and deferred or continuously grazed tame pastures (Prescott and others, 1995).

Before regulations and restrictions on the use of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) in the United States came into effect in the late 1960s and early 1970s, reproductive success of Northern Harriers was reduced owing to eggshell thinning caused by the pesticide (Hamerstrom, 1969, 1986; Smith and others, 2011). Hamerstrom (1986) noted a dramatic decrease in the number of Northern Harrier nests in her study area in Wisconsin during a period of heavy applications of DDT. Northern Harriers also have been killed by various other pesticides, often after consuming prey that had ingested pesticide (Mineau and others, 1999).

Northern Harriers may exhibit behavioral responses to energy development. Smallwood and others (2009) observed Northern Harriers changing flight behavior within 50 m of wind turbines and traveling through turbine rows rather than foraging. In Wisconsin, Northern Harriers appeared to avoid wind-energy infrastructure, as their abundance declined 2-years post-construction (Garvin and others, 2011). Eight years later, Northern Harriers still had not returned to the

wind facility, indicating long-term displacement (Dohm and others, 2019). In the United Kingdom, Pearce-Higgins and others (2009) determined that the zone of significant avoidance around wind turbines for the closely related Hen Harrier (*Circus cyaneus*) was 250 m; the predicted reduction in raptor flight activity within 500 m of turbines was 52.5 percent. The risk of collision with wind turbines is believed to be low (Madders and Whitfield, 2006; Smallwood and others, 2009; Garvin and others, 2011). In Saskatchewan, Northern Harrier occurrence decreased in areas with increasing oil well density (Unruh, 2015).

Management Recommendations from the Literature

Northern Harriers use native prairie and planted grasslands during the breeding season. Collaborations with ranching and farming interests on issues of mutual benefit may help to maintain native rangeland and pastures (Johnson, 1996). Hands and others (1989) and Johnson (1996) emphasized the importance of protecting native grasslands through conservation easements, land purchases, and development of farm programs that hold conservation of wildlife habitat in high priority. Planted grasslands, such as WPA grasslands or those enrolled in the CRP, also provide suitable habitat for Northern Harriers and other grassland birds (Johnson, 1996; Evrard and Bacon, 1998). Several authors have recommended the continuation of the CRP as a means to provide nesting and foraging habitat (Kantrud and Higgins, 1992; Bock and others, 1993). Northern Harriers prefer large blocks of grasslands (100 ha or larger) over smaller blocks (Kantrud and Higgins, 1992; Johnson and Igl, 2001), especially large grasslands that are idle with patches of woody plants, such as western snowberry or blackberry (Toland, 1986; Messmer, 1990; Kantrud and Higgins, 1992; Sedivec, 1994).

Northern Harriers also nest and forage in wetlands during the breeding season. Wetlands may be protected from drainage or tilling through conservation easements, land purchases, tax incentives, management agreements, restoration, continuation of the Wetland Reserve Program, and enforcement of wetland-protection regulations (Hands and others, 1989; Johnson and others, 1994; Johnson, 1996). To avoid the submergence of Northern Harrier nests in wetlands where water levels can be artificially manipulated, Hands and others (1989) indicated that water depth should be maintained below 15 cm from April to August. On large islands, Sutherland (1987) recommended maintaining tame grasses, legumes, and brush for nesting cover and reducing mammalian predators.

Providing a mosaic of grasslands and wetlands will ensure that some units are available for nesting or foraging

while other units are being treated to halt succession (Hands and others, 1989; Ryan, 1990; Murphy, 1993). Hands and others (1989) recommended that treated units should be small (100–200 ha) to minimize the number of displaced nesting Northern Harriers, and untreated units should be large enough to meet the requirements of multiple female Northern Harriers during the nesting season.

In the north-central United States, periodic disturbances by mowing, burning, or grazing will help to maintain the 2–5 year old accumulations of residual vegetation preferred by Northern Harriers (Duebber and Lokemoen, 1977; Hands and others, 1989; Berkey and others, 1993; Murphy, 1993). Where native vegetation composition or structure have been altered by drainage, tillage, overgrazing, or conversion to cropland, Duebber and Lokemoen (1977) recommended that restoration or reconstruction efforts should emphasize planting native warm-season grasses and legumes. Mowing, burning, or grazing is recommended every 3–5 years to maintain habitat for small mammal prey (Leman and Clausen, 1984; Kaufman and others, 1990). Delaying haying until July 15 may allow Northern Harriers to nest successfully (Berkey and others, 1993). In tallgrass areas, recently idled native or tame grasslands (undisturbed for ≤ 3 years) may be important for nesting Northern Harriers. Northern Harriers preferred nesting in idle areas over nesting in mowed areas in Illinois (Herkert and others, 1999) and preferred idle areas over annually burned areas in Missouri (Toland, 1986). Increasing the amount of western rangeland from which livestock are excluded, especially in U.S. Forest Service National Grasslands, may benefit Northern Harriers and other species that require relatively dense vegetation (Bock and others, 1993). Northern Harriers preferred idle areas to grazed areas in North Dakota (Sedivec, 1994).

Disturbance of nesting areas during the breeding season, which extends from April through July, may negatively impact Northern Harrier productivity (Hamerstrom, 1986; Toland, 1986; Berkey and others, 1993). Several authors have recommended minimizing disturbances near nests (Hamerstrom, 1969; Toland, 1985; Hands and others, 1989). Pesticides should not be used in habitats that are utilized by Northern Harriers (Hamerstrom, 1969; Hands and others, 1989).

Dohm and others (2019) recommended that developers of wind facilities consider the local raptor community when estimating the impacts of wind developments, as some species, such as the Red-tailed Hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), may acclimate to wind-energy infrastructure, whereas others, such as the Northern Harrier, may not. Long-term monitoring may be required to determine species-specific duration and magnitude of displacement, such that appropriate mitigation strategies can be developed. Knowledge of habituation behavior can inform the potential for cumulative disturbance impacts on raptors from the development of additional wind facilities in an area (Dohm and others, 2019).

References

- Apfelbaum, S.I., and Seelbach, P., 1983, Nest tree, habitat selection and productivity of seven North American raptor species based on the Cornell University nest record card program: Raptor Research, v. 17, no. 4, p. 97–113.
- Bent, A.C., 1961, Marsh Hawk (*Circus hudsonius*), in Bent, A.C., ed., Life histories of North American birds of prey. Part 1—Order Falconiformes: New York, N.Y., Dover Publications, Inc., p. 78–95. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.167.i>.]
- Berkey, G., Crawford, R., Galipeau, S., Johnson, D., Lambeth, D., and Kreil, R., 1993, A review of wildlife management practices in North Dakota—Effects on nongame bird populations and habitats: Denver, Colo., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Report submitted to Region 6, 51 p.
- Bildstein, K.L., and Gollop, J.B., 1988, Northern Harrier, in Palmer, R.S., ed., Handbook of North American birds, volume 4—Diurnal raptors: New Haven, Conn., Yale University Press, p. 251–303.
- Bock, C.E., Saab, V.A., Rich, T.D., and Dobkin, D.S., 1993, Effects of livestock grazing on Neotropical migratory landbirds in western North America, in Finch, D.M., and Stangel, P.W., eds., Status and management of Neotropical migratory birds: Fort Collins, Colo., U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report RM-229, p. 296–309.
- Burke, C.J., 1979, Effect of prey and land use on mating systems of harriers: Stevens Point, Wis., University of Wisconsin, Master's Thesis, 46 p.
- Buxton, V.L., and Benson, T.J., 2016, Conservation-priority grassland bird response to urban landcover and habitat fragmentation: Urban Ecosystems, v. 19, no. 2, p. 599–613. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-016-0527-3>.]
- Chesser, R.T., Burns, K.J., Cicero, C., Dunn, J.L., Kratter, A.W., Lovette, I.J., Rasmussen, P.C., Remsen, J.V., Jr., Rising, J.D., Stotz, D.F., and Winker, K., 2017, Fifty-eighth supplement to the American Ornithological Society's Check-list of North American Birds: The Auk, v. 134, no. 3, p. 751–773. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1642/AUK-17-72.1>.]
- Clark, R.J., 1972, Observations of nesting Marsh Hawks in Manitoba: Blue Jay, v. 30, no. 1, p. 43–48.
- Dhol, S., Horton, J., and Jones, R.E., 1994, 1994 non-water-fowl evaluation of Manitoba's North American Waterfowl Management Plan: Winnipeg, Manitoba, Manitoba Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife Branch, 12 p.
- Dohm, R., Jennelle, C.S., Garvin, J.C., and Drake, D., 2019, A long-term assessment of raptor displacement at a wind farm: Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, accessed September 2019 at <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2089>.
- Duebbert, H.F., and Lokemoen, J.T., 1977, Upland nesting of American Bitterns, Marsh Hawks, and Short-eared Owls: Prairie Naturalist, v. 9, no. 3–4, p. 33–40.
- Evans, D.L., 1982, Status reports on twelve raptors: Washington, D.C., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Special Scientific Report-Wildlife, no. 238, 70 p.
- Evrard, J.O., and Bacon, B.R., 1998, Northern Harrier nest site characteristics in northwestern Wisconsin: Passenger Pigeon, v. 60, no. 4, p. 305–312.
- Faanes, C., 1983, Breeding birds of wooded draws in western North Dakota: Prairie Naturalist, v. 15, no. 4, p. 173–187.
- Fleskes, J.P., 1992, Record of a Redhead, *Aythya americana*, laying eggs in a Northern Harrier, *Circus cyaneus*, nest: Canadian Field-Naturalist, v. 106, no. 2, p. 263–264.
- Fondell, T.F., and Ball, I.J., 2004, Density and success of bird nests relative to grazing on western Montana grasslands: Biological Conservation, v. 117, no. 2, p. 203–213. [Also available at [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(03\)00293-3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(03)00293-3).]
- Forcey, G.M., Thogmartin, W.E., Linze, G.M., and McKann, P.D., 2014, Land use and climate affect Black Tern, Northern Harrier, and Marsh Wren abundance in the Prairie Pot-hole Region of the United States: The Condor, v. 116, no. 2, p. 226–241. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.1650/CONDOR-13-019-R1.1>.]
- Garvin, J.C., Jennelle, C.S., Drake, D., and Grodsky, S.M., 2011, Response of raptors to a windfarm: Journal of Applied Ecology, v. 48, no. 1, p. 199–209. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01912.x>.]
- Hamerstrom, F., 1969, A harrier population study, in Hickey, J.J., ed., Peregrine Falcon populations, their biology and decline: Madison, Wis., University of Wisconsin Press, p. 367–383.
- Hamerstrom, F., 1979, Effect of prey on predator—Voles and harriers: The Auk, v. 96, no. 2, p. 370–374.

- Hamerstrom, F., 1986, Harrier, hawk of the marshes—The hawk that is ruled by a mouse: Washington, D.C., Smithsonian Institution Press, 171 p.
- Hamerstrom, F., and De La Ronde Wilde, D., 1973, Cruising range and roosts of adult harriers: *Inland Bird Banding News*, v. 45, no. 4, p. 123–127.
- Hamerstrom, F., Hamerstrom, F.N., and Burke, C.J., 1985, Effects of voles on mating systems in a central Wisconsin population of harriers: *The Wilson Bulletin*, v. 97, no. 3, p. 332–346.
- Hamerstrom, F., and Kopeny, M., 1981, Harrier nest-site vegetation: *Raptor Research*, v. 15, no. 3, p. 86–88.
- Hammond, M.C., and Henry, C.J., 1949, Success of Marsh Hawk nests in North Dakota: *The Auk*, v. 66, no. 3, p. 271–274. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4080358>.]
- Hands, H.M., Drobney, R.D., and Ryan, M.R., 1989, Status of the American Bittern in the northcentral United States: Columbia, Mo., University of Missouri, Report prepared for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Fort Snelling, Minnesota, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, 13 p.
- Hecht, W.R., 1951, Nesting of the Marsh Hawk at Delta, Manitoba: *The Wilson Bulletin*, v. 63, no. 3, p. 167–176.
- Herkert, J.R., Simpson, S.A., Westemeier, R.L., Esker, T.L., and Walk, J.W., 1999, Response of Northern Harriers and Short-eared Owls to grassland management in Illinois: *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, v. 63, no. 2, p. 517–523. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3802637>.]
- Horn, D.J., and Maul, J.D., 1997, Northern Harrier builds nest on top of depredated Mallard nest: *Prairie Naturalist*, v. 21, no. 1, p. 49.
- Igl, L.D., and Johnson, D.H., 2016, Effects of haying on breeding birds in CRP grasslands: *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, v. 80, no. 7, p. 1189–1204. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21119>.]
- Igl, L.D., Johnson, D.H., and Kantrud, H.A., 2008, A historical perspective—Changes in grassland breeding bird densities within major habitats in North Dakota between 1967 and 1992–1993, in Springer, J.T., and Springer, E.C., eds., *Prairie invaders: Proceedings of the 20th North American Prairie Conference: Kearney, Nebr.*, University of Nebraska, p. 275–295.
- Johnson, D.H., 1996, Management of northern prairies and wetlands for the conservation of Neotropical migratory birds, in Thompson, F.R., III, ed., *Management of midwestern landscapes for the conservation of Neotropical migratory birds*: St. Paul, Minn., U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Forest Experiment Station, General Technical Report NC-187, p. 53–67.
- Johnson, D.H., Haseltine, S.D., and Cowardin, L.M., 1994, Wildlife habitat management on the northern prairie landscape: *Landscape and Urban Planning*, v. 28, no. 1, p. 5–21. [Also available at [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2046\(94\)90039-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-2046(94)90039-6).]
- Johnson, D.H., and Igl, L.D., 2001, Area requirements of grassland birds—A regional perspective: *The Auk*, v. 118, no. 1, p. 24–34. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4089756>.]
- Kantrud, H.A., and Higgins, K.F., 1992, Nest and nest site characteristics of some ground-nesting, non-passerine birds of northern grasslands: *Prairie Naturalist*, v. 24, no. 2, p. 67–84.
- Kantrud, H.A., and Kologiski, R.L., 1982, Effects of soils and grazing on breeding birds of uncultivated upland grasslands of the northern Great Plains: Washington, D.C., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Wildlife Research Report 15, 33 p.
- Kaufman, D.W., Finck, E.J., and Kaufman, G.A., 1990, Small mammals and grassland fires, in Collins, S.L., and Wallace, L.L., eds., *Fire in North American tallgrass prairies*: Norman, Okla., University of Oklahoma Press, p. 46–80.
- Kibbe, D.P., 1975, The nesting season June 1–July 31, 1975—Niagara-Champlain Region: *American Birds*, v. 29, no. 5, p. 967–970.
- Kruse, A.D., and Piehl, J.L., 1986, The impacts of prescribed burning on ground nesting birds, in Clambey, G.K., and Pemble, R.H., eds., *Proceedings of the ninth North American Prairie Conference: Moorhead, Minn.*, Tri-College University, Centre for Environmental Studies, p. 153–156.
- Laine, W.H., 1928, Marsh hawk hatches prairie chicken: *Canadian Field-Naturalist*, v. 42, no. 2, p. 47.
- Leman, C.A., and Clausen, M.K., 1984, The effects of mowing on the rodent community of a native tallgrass prairie in eastern Nebraska: *Prairie Naturalist*, v. 16, no. 1, p. 5–10.
- Linner, S.C., 1980, Resource partitioning in breeding populations of Marsh Hawks and Short-eared Owls: Logan, Utah, Utah State University, Master's Thesis, 66 p.
- Luttschwager, K.A., and Higgins, K.F., 1992, Nongame bird, game bird, and deer use of Conservation Reserve Program fields in eastern South Dakota: *Proceedings of the South Dakota Academy of Science*, v. 71, p. 31–36.
- Madders, M., and Whitfield, D.P., 2006, Upland raptors and the assessment of wind-farm impacts: *Ibis*, v. 148, no. s1, p. 43–56. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2006.00506.x>.]
- Martin, J.W., 1987, Behavior and habitat use of breeding Northern Harriers in southwestern Idaho: *Journal of Raptor Research*, v. 21, no. 2, p. 57–66.

- McCoy, T.D., Ryan, M.R., and Kurzejeski, E.W., 2001, Grassland bird conservation—CP1 vs. CP2 plantings in Conservation Reserve Program fields in Missouri: *American Midland Naturalist*, v. 145, no. 1, p. 1–17. [Also available at [https://dx.doi.org/10.1674/0003-0031\(2001\)145%5B0001:GBCCVC%5D2.0.CO;2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1674/0003-0031(2001)145%5B0001:GBCCVC%5D2.0.CO;2).]
- Messmer, T.A., 1990, Influence of grazing treatments on non-game birds and vegetation structure in south central North Dakota: Fargo, N. Dak., North Dakota State University, Ph.D. Dissertation, 164 p.
- Mineau, P., Fletcher, M.R., Glaser, L.C., Thomas, N.J., Brasseur, C., Wilson, L.K., Elliott, J.E., Lyon, L.A., Henny, C.J., Bollinger, T., and Porter, S.L., 1999, Poisoning of raptors with organophosphorus and carbamate pesticides with emphasis on Canada, US and UK: *Journal of Raptor Research*, v. 33, no. 1, p. 1–37.
- Murphy, R.K., 1993, History, nesting biology, and predation ecology of raptors in the Missouri Coteau of northwestern North Dakota: Bozeman, Mont., Montana State University, Ph.D. Dissertation, 212 p.
- Murphy, R.K., and Ensign, J.T., 1996, Raptor nesting chronology in northwestern North Dakota: *Prairie Naturalist*, v. 28, no. 2, p. 51–58.
- Murray, L.D., and Best, L.B., 2003, Short-term bird response to harvesting switchgrass for biomass in Iowa: *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, v. 67, no. 3, p. 611–621. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3802718>.]
- National Geographic Society, 2011, Field guide to the birds of North America (6th ed.): Washington, D.C., National Geographic Society, 576 p.
- Pearce-Higgins, J.W., Stephen, L., Langston, R.H.W., Bainbridge, I.P., and Bullman, R., 2009, The distribution of breeding birds around upland wind farms: *Journal of Applied Ecology*, v. 46, no. 6, p. 1323–1331. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2009.01715.x>.]
- Prescott, D.R.C., 1997, Avian communities and NAWMP habitat priorities in the northern prairie biome of Alberta: St. Albert, Alberta, Land Stewardship Centre of Canada, NAWMP-029, 41 p.
- Prescott, D.R.C., Murphy, A.J., and Ewaschuk, E., 1995, An avian community approach to determining biodiversity values of NAWMP habitats in the aspen parkland of Alberta: Edmonton, Alberta, Alberta NAWMP Centre, NAWMP-012, 58 p.
- Ribic, C.A., Koford, R.R., Herkert, J.R., Johnson, D.H., Niemuth, N.D., Naugle, D.E., Bakker, K.K., Sample, D.W., and Renfrew, R.B., 2009, Area sensitivity in North American grassland birds—Patterns and processes: *The Auk*, v. 126, no. 2, p. 233–244. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.1525/auk.2009.1409>.]
- Robel, R.J., Briggs, J.N., Dayton, A.D., and Hulbert, L.C., 1970, Relationships between visual obstruction measurements and weight of grassland vegetation: *Journal of Range Management*, v. 23, no. 4, p. 295–297. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3896225>.]
- Ryan, M.R., 1990, A dynamic approach to the conservation of the prairie ecosystem in the Midwest, in Sweeney, J.M., ed., *Management of dynamic ecosystems*: West Lafayette, Ind., The Wildlife Society, North Central Section, p. 91–106.
- Sauer, J.R., Hines, J.E., Fallon, J.E., Pardieck, K.L., Ziolkowski, D.J., Jr., and Link, W.A., 2014, The North American Breeding Bird Survey, results and analysis 1966–2012 (ver. 02.19.2014): Laurel, Md., U.S. Geological Survey, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, accessed August 2019 at <https://www.mbr-pwrc.usgs.gov/bbs/bbs2012.shtml>.
- Saunders, A.A., 1913, A study of the nesting of the Marsh Hawk: *The Condor*, v. 15, no. 3, p. 99–104. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1361976>.]
- Sealy, S.G., 1967, Notes on the breeding biology of the Marsh Hawk in Alberta and Saskatchewan: *Blue Jay*, v. 25, no. 2, p. 63–69.
- Sedivec, K.K., 1994, Grazing treatment effects on and habitat use of upland nesting birds on native rangeland: Fargo, N. Dak., North Dakota State University, Ph.D. Dissertation, 124 p.
- Shaffer, J.A., Igl, L.D., and Johnson, D.H., 2019, The effects of management practices on grassland birds—Rates of Brown-headed Cowbird (*Molothrus ater*) parasitism in nests of North American grassland birds, chap. PP of Johnson, D.H., Igl, L.D., Shaffer, J.A., and DeLong, J.P., eds., *The effects of management practices on grassland birds*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1842, 24 p., accessed August 2019 at <https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1842PP>.
- Simmons, R., and Smith, P.C., 1985, Do Northern Harriers (*Circus cyaneus*) choose nest sites adaptively?: *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, v. 63, no. 3, p. 494–498. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.1139/z85-071>.]

- Smallwood, K.S., Rugge, L.M., and Morrison, M.L., 2009, Influence of behavior on bird mortality in wind energy developments: *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, v. 73, no. 7, p. 1082–1098. [Also available at <https://dx.doi.org/10.2193/2008-555>.]
- Smith, K.G., Wittenberg, S.R., Macwhirter, R.B., and Bildstein, K.L., 2011, Northern Harrier (*Circus cyaneus/hudsonius*) (ver. 2.0), in Poole, A.F., ed., *The birds of North America*: Ithaca, N.Y., Cornell Lab of Ornithology, accessed August 2019 at <https://birdsna.org/Species-Account/bna/species/norhar>. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.2173/bna.210>.]
- Stackhouse, J.W., and Geaumont, B.A., 2011, Northern Harrier hatches Mallard nest: *Prairie Naturalist*, v. 43, no. 3/4, p. 117–118.
- Stewart, R.E., 1975, *Breeding birds of North Dakota*: Fargo, N. Dak., Tri-College Center for Environmental Studies, 295 p.
- Stewart, R.E., and Kantrud, H.A., 1965, Ecological studies of waterfowl populations in the prairie potholes of North Dakota: Jamestown, N. Dak., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1965 Progress Report, 14 p.
- Sutherland, J.E., 1987, The predation ecology of the Northern Harrier (*Circus cyaneus hudsonius*) on Mallard Island, North Dakota: Grand Forks, N. Dak., University of North Dakota, Master's Thesis, 152 p.
- Svedarsky, W.D., 1992, Biological inventory of a multi-purpose flood control impoundment in northwest Minnesota and potentials for nongame and game bird management: Crookston, Minn., University of Minnesota, Northwest Research and Outreach Center, Miscellaneous Publication, 114 p.
- Toland, B.R., 1985, Nest site selection, productivity, and food habits of Northern Harriers in southwest Missouri: *Natural Areas Journal*, v. 5, no. 2, p. 22–27.
- Toland, B.R., 1986, Nesting ecology of Northern Harriers in southwest Missouri: *Transactions of the Missouri Academy of Science*, v. 20, p. 49–57.
- Unruh, J.H., 2015, Effects of oil development on grassland songbirds and their avian predators in southeastern Saskatchewan: Regina, Saskatchewan, University of Regina, Master's Thesis, 186 p.
- Winter, M., Johnson, D.H., and Shaffer, J.A., 2006, Does body size affect a bird's sensitivity to patch size and landscape structure?: *The Condor*, v. 108, no. 4, p. 808–816. [Also available at <https://doi.org/10.1093/condor/108.4.808>.]
- Zimmerman, J.L., 1993, *Birds of Konza—The avian ecology of the tallgrass prairie*: Lawrence, Kans., University of Kansas Press, 186 p.

Table L1. Measured values of vegetation structure and composition in Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*) breeding habitat by study. The parenthetical descriptors following authorship and year in the “Study” column indicate that the vegetation measurements were taken in locations or under conditions specified in the descriptor; no descriptor implies that measurements were taken within the general study area.

[cm, centimeter; %, percent; --, no data; >, greater than; CRP, Conservation Reserve Program; WPA, Waterfowl Production Area; WMA, Wildlife Management Area]

Study	State or province	Habitat	Management practice or treatment	Vegetation height (cm)	Vegetation height-density (cm)	Grass cover (%)	Forb cover (%)	Shrub cover (%)	Bare ground cover (%)	Litter cover (%)	Litter depth (cm)
Duebbert and Loken, 1977 (nests)	North Dakota, South Dakota	Mixed-grass prairie, tame grassland	--	>15	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Evrard and Bacon 1998 (nests)	Wisconsin	Tame grassland (CRP, WPA)	Idle	106.4	41.6 ^a	23.5	18.6	--	--	57 ^b	2.6
Fondell and Ball, 2004 (nests)	Montana	Mixed-grass prairie	Idle, grazed	--	28 ^a	--	--	--	--	--	--
Kantrud and Higgins, 1992 (nests)	Manitoba, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota	Mixed-grass prairie	Idle	--	38 ^a , 57 ^c	--	--	--	--	42 ^b	--
Murphy, 1993 (nests)	North Dakota	Mixed-grass prairie, tame grassland	Burned, grazed	--	35 ^a	--	--	--	--	--	--
Murray and Best, 2003	Iowa	Tame grassland (CRP)	Total-harvested switchgrass	80.9	71 ^a	51.6	19.6	0.4	5	23.2	1.9
Murray and Best, 2003	Iowa	Tame grassland (CRP)	Strip-harvested switchgrass	81.7	75 ^a	53.3	17.5	0.1	2.8	29.6	3.5
Murray and Best, 2003	Iowa	Tame grassland (CRP)	Unharvested switchgrass	78.1	71 ^a	32.9	25.4	2.1	2.9	22.9	5.5
Sedivec, 1994 (nests)	North Dakota	Mixed-grass prairie	Multiple	--	49 ^a	--	--	--	--	--	--
Sutherland, 1987 (nests)	North Dakota	Multiple	Multiple	80	48.8 ^a	--	--	--	--	--	--
Svedarsky, 1992 (nests)	Minnesota	Tame grassland (WMA)	--	--	54 ^a	--	--	--	--	--	--
Toland, 1986 (nests)	Missouri	Tallgrass prairie	Multiple	79.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

^aVisual obstruction reading (Robel and others, 1970).

^bStanding dead vegetation.

^cEffective vegetation height.

For more information about this publication, contact:
Director, USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center
8711 37th Street Southeast
Jamestown, ND 58401
701-253-5500

For additional information, visit: <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/npwrc>

Publishing support provided by the
Rolla Publishing Service Center