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Introduction

The Bighorn Basin is one of many structural and sedimentary basins that formed in the Rocky Mountain foreland during the Laramide orogeny, a period of crustal instability and compressional tectonics that began in latest Cretaceous time and ended in the Eocene. The basin is nearly 180 mi long, 100 mi wide, and encompasses about 10,400 mi² in north-central Wyoming and south-central Montana (fig. 1). The basin is bounded on the northeast by the Pryor Mountains, on the east by the Bighorn Mountains, and on the south by the Owl Creek Mountains (fig. 2). The north boundary includes a zone of faulting and folding referred to as the Nye-Bowler lineament (Wilson, 1936). The northwest and west margins are formed by the Beartooth Mountains and Absaroka Range, respectively (figs. 1 and 2).

Important conventional oil and gas resources have been discovered and produced from reservoirs ranging in age from Cambrian through Tertiary (Fox and Dolton, 1989, 1996a, b; De Bruin, 1993). In addition, a potential unconventional basin-centered gas accumulation may be present in Cretaceous reservoirs in the deeper parts of the basin (Ryder, 1987; Surdam and others, 1997; Johnson and Finn, 1998; Johnson and others, 1999; Finn and others, 2010). It has been suggested by numerous authors, including Burtner and Warner (1984), Hagen and Surdam (1984), Meissner and others (1984), Ryder (1987), and Fox and Dolton (1989, 1996a, b), that various Cretaceous marine shales are the principal source rock for these accumulations. Numerous studies of various Upper Cretaceous marine shales in the Rocky Mountain region by several authors, including Schrayer and Zarrella (1963, 1966, 1968), Nixon (1973), Clayton and Sweetland (1977), Merewether and Claypool (1980), Burtner and Warner (1984), Hagen and Surdam (1984), Monper and Williams (1984), Davis (1986), Davis and others (1989), Nuccio (1990a, b), Longman and others (1998), Landon and others (2001), Roberts and others (2004, 2007, 2008), and Finn (2010a) have led to the general conclusion that these rocks have generated or are capable of generating oil and (or) gas.

In recent years, advances in horizontal drilling and multistage fracture stimulation have resulted in increased exploration and completion of wells in Cretaceous marine shales in other Rocky Mountain Laramide basins that were previously thought of only as hydrocarbon source rocks. Important parameters controlling hydrocarbon production from these shale reservoirs include: reservoir thickness, amount and type of organic matter, and thermal maturity (Milici, 1993; Curtis, 2002; Passey and others, 2010). The purpose of this report is to present maps and a cross section showing levels of thermal maturity, based on vitrinite reflectance ($R_o$), for selected Upper Cretaceous marine shales in the Bighorn Basin.

Stratigraphy

Figure 3 is a correlation diagram showing the stratigraphic nomenclature for Upper Cretaceous marine shales and associated rocks in the Bighorn Basin, and the relationship to various localities in the Powder River Basin to the east. The Bighorn Basin nomenclature was compiled from Keefer (1972), Gill and Burkholder (1979), Merewether and Cobban (1986), Cobban and Kennedy (1989), Obradovich (1993), Merewether (1996), Obradovich and others (1996), Merewether and others (1997), Keefer and others (1998), and Kirschbaum and others (2009); the Powder River Basin nomenclature was modified from Merewether (1996). The stratigraphic relationships and nomenclature for the Bighorn Basin are also shown on the regional cross section in figure 4.

Mowry Shale

The Mowry Shale was defined by Darton (1904) for exposures in the northwestern part of the Powder River Basin. The top of the Mowry was revised by Rubey (1931) to include the Clay Spur Bentonite Bed. In the Bighorn Basin no definitive correlation can be made to the Clay Spur, but based on comparisons with well logs in the northern Wind River Basin and the Casper arch area, the top of the Mowry is placed at a distinctive high-gamma geophysical log response (Finn, 2010b; Johnson, 2010). According to Keefer and others (1998), the Mowry Shale in the Bighorn Basin consists of two...
Figure 1. Map of Rocky Mountain region extending from southern Montana to northern New Mexico showing locations of Laramide sedimentary and structural basins (in brown) and intervening uplifts. Modified from Dickinson and others (1988).
Figure 2. Index map of the Bighorn Basin in Wyoming and Montana showing major structural and physiographic features. Structure contours are drawn on top of the Mesaverde Formation. Bighorn Basin Province boundary from Finn and others (2010). Outcrops from Green and Drouillard (1994), and Raines and Johnson (1995). Contour interval = 5,000 feet.
Figure 3. Correlation chart showing the stratigraphic relation of lowermost Upper Cretaceous rocks in the Bighorn Basin. Radiometric ages and fossil zones are from Obradovich (1993), and Merewether and others (1997). LK, Lower Cretaceous.
Figure 4. Regional northwest-southeast stratigraphic cross section of Cretaceous rocks in the Bighorn Basin. Modified from Finn (2010b).
distinct units. The lower unit consists of about 150 to 400 ft of soft fissile clay-rich shale similar to the older Thermopolis Shale and is referred to as the upper Thermopolis Shale by several authors including Mills (1956) and Haun and Barlow (1962), and as the Shell Creek Shale by Eicher (1962). The upper unit consists of about 240 to 400 ft of hard brittle siliceous shale. Numerous gray to tan bentonite beds are common throughout the Mowry, ranging in thickness from less than one inch to about 7 ft (Byers and Larson, 1979). The siliceous shales are dark brown to black, organic-rich, and contain an abundance of fish scales (Burtner and Warner, 1984). Locally, there are thin fine-grained sandstones in the middle to upper siliceous part of the Mowry. These units, referred to informally as the “Kimball” and “Octh Louie” sands, have produced oil from fields on the east side of the Bighorn Basin (Pierce, 1948; Mills, 1956; Cardinal and others, 1989). Davis (1987) also described two sandstone bodies in the Mowry Shale along the east and northeast sides of the basin. These sandstones are fine- to medium-grained, as much as 15 ft thick, and form elongate bodies that he believed (Davis, 1987) were deposited during a fall in sea level. The Mowry Shale is marine in origin with a combined thickness for the lower and upper parts ranging from about 400 ft in the southeastern part of the basin to more than 800 ft near the Beartooth Mountains (Finn and others, 2010). Paleontologic evidence and radiometric dating indicate the upper siliceous part of the Mowry is largely early Cenomanian in age (Cobban and Kennedy, 1989; Obradovich and others, 1996); however, radiometric dates for bentonite beds in the basal Mowry (Shell Creek Shale of Eicher, 1962) indicate an Albian (Early Cretaceous) age (Obradovich and others, 1996).

**Frontier Formation**

The Frontier Formation is early Cenomanian to early Coniacian in age and contains at least one major unconformity in the upper part (Merewether and others, 1975; Kirschbaum and others, 2009). The contact with the underlying Mowry Shale is placed at a distinctive gamma response on geophysical logs that is believed to correspond to the Clay Spur Bentonite Bed that is considered the top of the Mowry Shale in the Powder River Basin. The upper contact is typically placed at the top of the uppermost sandstone below the Cody Shale. The Frontier interval, as defined between the top of the Mowry and uppermost sandstone bed, ranges in thickness from less than 200 ft in the northern part of the basin to nearly 700 ft in the southeastern part of the basin. The Frontier Formation consists of marine and minor marginal-marine shale, siltstone, and sandstone that are generally interpreted to be deltaic or shoreline in origin (Hunter, 1952; Goodell, 1962; Van Houten, 1962; Merewether and others, 1975; Siemers, 1975; Merewether and others, 1998; Kirschbaum and others, 2009). The sandstones are continuous for tens of miles, but pinch out into marine shale or are truncated along their upper surfaces by marine-flooding surfaces (Kirschbaum and others, 2009). Minor amounts of carbonaceous shale and coal are present in the northwestern part of the basin (Merewether and others, 1975; Siemers, 1975).

**Cody Shale**

The Cody Shale in the Bighorn Basin consists of marine shale, sandstone, and siltstone deposited during a major marine transgressive-regressive cycle that extended from Coniacian to Campanian time (Kauffman, 1977). The lower and upper parts of the Cody Shale interfinger extensively with the underlying Frontier and overlying Mesaverde Formations (fig. 4). The Cody ranges in thickness from about 1,700 ft in the northern part of the basin to nearly 3,800 ft in the southeastern part (Finn and others, 2010). This southeastward thickening is due to the eastward stratigraphic rise and intertonguing of the contact between the Cody and the overlying Mesaverde Formation (fig. 4). In the Bighorn Basin, three members are recognized: (1) the informally named lower shaly member, (2) the informally named upper sandy member, and (3) the Claggett Member (Keefer, 1972; Keefer and others, 1998).

The lower shaly member is about 700-ft thick in the northern part of the basin and reaches a maximum thickness of about 1,200 ft in the southern part (Finn, in press). It is composed of gray to black shale, calcareous shale, bentonite, and minor amounts of sandstone and siltstone. The contact between the lower and upper members is poorly defined and indistinct, and in this report is drawn at a distinctive well log marker that can be traced in the subsurface throughout the basin (fig. 5). A persistent zone referred to as the “chalk kick” marker by Keefer (1972) is recognized in geophysical logs in the lower part of the lower shaly member (fig. 5). This zone can be traced throughout the basin and separates calcareous shales above, from noncalcareous shales below. Based on regional correlations across the Casper arch and Wind River Basin, the calcareous interval extending from the “chalk kick” marker to the base of the overlying sandy member is equivalent to the lower sandy member of the Cody Shale in the western part of the Wind River Basin, and the basal part of the Niobrara Formation in the Powder River Basin to the east. This interval reaches a maximum thickness of about 1,000 ft in the southwestern part of the basin and thins to about 500 ft in the northeastern part of the basin (Finn, in press). The interval extending downward from the “chalk kick” marker to the top of the uppermost sandstone bed of the Frontier Formation ranges in thickness from about 100 to 400 ft, and is equivalent to the uppermost part of the Carlile Shale of the Powder River Basin (Finn, in press).

The upper sandy member of the Cody Shale is about 2,700 ft thick in the southern part of the Bighorn Basin and thins to around 1,000 ft in the northern part. It consists of interbedded light to medium gray, or buff sandstones, and gray shales with the amount of sandstone increasing in the upper 500–1,200 ft (Johnson and others, 1998). In the subsurface, the sandstones in the upper part of the Cody can be traced over many miles and appear to pinch out into marine shale.
Figure 5. Type log of Lower Cretaceous and lowermost Upper Cretaceous rocks in the southeastern part of the Bighorn Basin. Sandstones and conglomerates of predominantly fluvial origin are shown in red; marine and marginal marine sandstones and siltstones, yellow; marine shales, various shades of gray; estuarine and fluvial sandstones shown in orange; floodplain and lacustrine sandstone and shale, brown; tidal sandstone, siltstone, and shale, light brown; interbedded marine sandstone and shale, tan; undifferentiated rocks, blue. GR, gamma ray; Res, resistivity. Location shown in figure 2.
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in all directions (Finn, 2010b; Johnson, 2010). The uppermost several hundred feet of the sandy member of the Cody is commonly referred to as the Telegraph Creek Formation in the Montana part of the basin (figs. 3 and 4). The Cody is poorly exposed; however, limited outcrop studies by Johnson and others (1998) of the uppermost Cody Shale indicate that the sandstones are very fine- to medium-grained, generally laterally persistent, and exhibit a variety of bedding features including hummocky cross-bedding, indicative of a nearshore marine origin.

According to Keefer and others (1998), the Claggett Member (known as the Claggett Shale in Montana) is a westward-thinning tongue of marine shale and fine-grained sandstone that is separated from the main part of the Cody Shale by the lower member of the Mesaverde Formation or its equivalent in Montana, the Eagle Sandstone (fig. 4). The Claggett Member extends across the eastern and central parts of the Bighorn Basin, and thins eastward from zero to nearly 500 ft thick at its eastern limit, where it merges with the main part of the Cody Shale (fig. 4) (Finn and others, 2010).

Thermal Maturity Maps

Maps showing thermal maturity levels based on vitrinite reflectance ($R_o$) for Upper Cretaceous marine shales are shown on sheets 1–3. The maps were constructed using $R_o$ data published by Hagen and Surdam (1984), Hagen (1986), Yin (1997), Nuccio and Finn (1998), Finn and Pawlewicz (2007), Roberts and others (2008), and Pawlewicz and Finn (2012) from samples collected from oil and gas well cuttings, and outcrops. For wells reporting $R_o$ measurements over a range of depths, these data were plotted on a log($R_o$) versus depth graph for each well and a visual best-fit line was drawn through the data, for example see figure 6. Data points appearing to be anomalously high or low with respect to the main trend were disregarded. In some cases, data from closely associated wells were combined into a composite log($R_o$) versus depth plot. For a single well or outcrop location where few $R_o$ measurements were available over a short depth range or stratigraphic interval, the available data were averaged and the calculated $R_o$ were plotted for that location.

**Figure 6.** Log($R_o$) versus depth plot for the Amoco Production 1 Chapman Bench well. $K_I$, Lance Formation; $K_{me}$, Meeteetse Formation; $K_{mv}$, Mesaverde Formation; $K_{cu}$, upper sandy member of Cody Shale; $K_{cl}$, lower shaly member of Cody Shale; $K_f$, Frontier Formation; $K_{mr}$, Mowry Shale.
Figure 7. West–east structural cross section of the central part of the Bighorn Basin, Wyoming and Montana, showing relation between present-day structure and levels of thermal maturity based on vitrinite reflectance. Line of section shown in figure 2, and sheets 1–3. The Oregon Basin part of the section is modified from Blackstone (1986), the Greybull monocline part of the section is modified from Stone (2004).
Three maps were constructed to show the thermal maturity of Upper Cretaceous marine shales in the Bighorn Basin: (1) top of the Cody Shale, (2) top of the lower shaly member of the Cody Shale (basal Niobrara Formation equivalent), and (3) the top of the Mowry Shale (sheets 1–3).

- Due to the intertonguing nature of the upper contact of the Cody Shale and the overlying Mesaverde Formation it was necessary to change the contoured horizon across the map from west to east (figs. 3, 4, and sheet 1). In the western and southwestern part of the basin the contours are drawn at the base of the main part of the Mesaverde Formation. In the central and northern part of the basin the contours are drawn at the base of the lower member of the Mesaverde Formation or its equivalent, the Eagle Sandstone in Montana. In the eastern and southeastern parts of the basin, where the lower member is absent, the contours are drawn at the base of the Mesaverde Formation (figs. 3 and 4, and sheet 1).

- The $R_o$ map for the top of the lower shaly member of the Cody Shale is contoured at the contact between the shaly member and the overlying sandy member (fig. 5, and sheet 2).

- The $R_o$ map for the Mowry Shale is drawn on a distinctive high gamma marker on geophysical logs that corresponds to the Clay Spur Bentonite Bed (fig. 5, and sheet 3).

All three mapped horizons show a general increase in thermal maturity from the margins of the basin into the deeper parts (sheets 1–3). In each case, the $R_o$ ranges from less than 0.6 to around 0.8 percent along the shallow margins of the basin, increasing to greater than 1.1 percent in the deeper parts along the main basin trough east of the Oregon Basin fault, in the Clark’s Fork sub-basin, and a small area near the eastern end of the Beartooth Mountains uplift (sheets 1–3). In these structurally deeper parts of the basin, both the lower Cody Shale and Mowry Shale $R_o$ values exceed 1.35 percent. In the deepest part of the basin along the main synclinal trough, adjacent to and east of the Oregon Basin fault they both exceed 2.0 percent $R_o$ (sheets 2–3). These thermal maturity trends generally reflect the structural configuration of the basin and indicate that large areas of the basin are thermally mature ($R_o > 0.6$ percent) to overmature ($R_o > 1.35$ percent) with respect to oil generation based on parameters defined by Peters and Cassa (1994). A structural cross section extending west–east across the central part of the basin illustrates the relation between the present-day structural configuration of the basin and thermal maturity (fig. 7). The isoreflectance lines are sub-parallel, nearly horizontal and cut across present-day structures indicating that maturation developed mainly after basin formation and deformation during the Laramide orogeny and subsequent accumulation of basin fill (Bustin and others, 1983).

Acknowledgments

The manuscript and sheets benefited from reviews by Ron Johnson, Laura Biewick, Dave Ferderer, and Tom Judkins, and their suggestions and comments are greatly appreciated.

References


References


Maps Showing Thermal Maturity of Upper Cretaceous Marine Shales in the Bighorn Basin, Wyoming and Montana


