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CHAPTER B. Geology and Hydrogeology

By Donald S. Sweetkind, Wayne R. Belcher, Claudia C. Faunt, and Christopher J. Potter

Introduction

The geology of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system (DVRFS) region, consisting of many types of rocks that have been subjected to a variety of structural disruptions, is stratigraphically and structurally complex. These rocks form a complex, three-dimensional (3D) framework that can be subdivided into aquifers and confining units on the basis of their ability to store and transmit water. The principal aquifer is a thick sequence of Paleozoic carbonate rock that extends throughout the subsurface of much of central and southeastern Nevada (Dettinger, 1989; Harrill and Prudic, 1998) and crops out in the eastern one-half of the DVRFS region (fig. B–1). Fractured Cenozoic volcanic rocks in the vicinity of the Nevada Test Site (NTS) and permeable Cenozoic basin fill throughout the DVRFS region (fig. B–1) locally are important aquifers that interact with the regional flow through the underlying Paleozoic carbonate rocks (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Harrill and others, 1988, sheet 2; Dettinger, 1989). Proterozoic to Early Cambrian metamorphic and siliciclastic rocks and Paleozoic siliciclastic rocks are the primary regional confining units; they are associated with abrupt changes in the potentiometric surface. Zeolitically altered and nonwelded tuffs within the Cenozoic volcanic rocks and fine-grained parts of the Cenozoic basin fill form locally important confining units (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). Stratigraphic units in the DVRFS region are disrupted by large-magnitude offset thrust, strike-slip, and normal faults. Combinations of normal, reverse, and strike-slip faulting and folding episodes (Carr, 1984) have resulted in a complex distribution of rocks. Consequently, diverse rock types, ages, and deformational structures are juxtaposed, creating variable and complex subsurface conditions. These faults juxtapose units with different hydraulic properties that may disrupt regional flow paths. Broader zones of distributed deformation may enhance permeability through the creation of secondary (fracture) permeability (Carr, 1984). Understanding the ground-water flow system in Death Valley or in any area depends on understanding the geologic framework of the area, especially in stratigraphically and structurally complex areas.

More than 20 years of ground-water flow modeling of the DVRFS has produced a succession of models that represent the regional hydrogeologic framework and ground-water flow system. Different approaches were taken, however, in incorporating the geologic framework in the models with different geologic data sets or subsurface interpretations. In general, the models have used increasing levels of geologic detail, which has resulted in better model calibration. The increase in computing power and advances in modeling routines over time has allowed the incorporation of more geologic detail in framework and flow models. The data and descriptions presented in this chapter attempt to (1) integrate and resolve different geologic interpretations used in the two most recent regional flow models (IT Corporation, 1996a; D’Agnese and others, 1997; see discussion in Chapter A, this volume); and (2) incorporate abundant new data that were developed during or following the construction of the two models.

This chapter describes the geologic and hydrogeologic framework of the DVRFS region, summarizes the stratigraphic and structural settings, and discusses the major structures that affect ground-water flow. The hydrogeologic units and stratigraphic and structural data are discussed that are used as input for the 3D hydrogeologic framework model (HFM) (Chapter E, this volume) and used in the transient ground-water flow model (Chapter F, this volume).

Stratigraphic and Structural Setting

Stratigraphic Setting

In Late Proterozoic to Devonian time, the southwestern part of the United States was largely characterized by deposition of marine sedimentary rocks at the continental margin. The Paleozoic shelf province in the DVRFS region is bounded on the southeast by the westward limit of cratonal sections and on the northwest by facies transitions to rocks interpreted to have been deposited in deeper water (fig. B–1). In the DVRFS region, Late Proterozoic and Early Cambrian rocks form a westward-thickening wedge of predominantly quartzites and siltstones that record deposition on the early shelf edge of western North America (Stewart and Poole, 1974; Poole and others, 1992). These rocks are overlain by a thick succession of predominantly continental shelf-facies carbonate rocks deposited throughout most of the eastern and central parts of the DVRFS region during Paleozoic (Middle Cambrian through Devonian) time. These carbonate rocks and calcareous...
Figure B–1. Generalized geology within and surrounding the area of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system.
shales form a westward-thickening carbonate- and clastic-rock section up to 4,500 m thick (Burchfiel, 1964) (fig. B–2). In the western and northwestern parts of the DVRFS region, Middle Cambrian through Devonian strata consist of slope-facies carbonate rocks intermixed with siliciclastic and volcanic rocks (Stewart, 1980). To the east of the DVRFS region, Middle Cambrian through Devonian strata form a relatively thin (hundreds of meters) cratonic sequence; to the west and northwest of the DVRFS region, these rocks represent deeper water facies (figs. B–1 and B–2). In the eastern and central parts of the DVRFS region, carbonate sedimentation was interrupted by two periods of siliciclastic rock deposition that resulted from periods of Paleozoic orogenesis.

In the vicinity of the NTS, deposition of marine carbonate rocks was interrupted during Late Devonian to Mississippian time (Poole and Sandberg, 1977; Poole, 1981; Trexler and others, 1996). Siliciclastic sediments were shed from uplifts to the north and west of the DVRFS region and deposited in a northeast-to-southwest-trending foreland basin. This basin dominantly consists of relatively low permeability argillites and shales and is now defined by the location of the Chainman Shale. Deposition of shelf-type carbonate rocks continued during Mississippian time in the southeastern part of the DVRFS region. By Pennsylvanian time, shallow marine carbonate rocks were deposited over much of the eastern and southern parts of the DVRFS region. During late Paleozoic and Mesozoic time, the Paleozoic stratigraphic sequence was deformed by regional thrust faulting (Armstrong, 1968; Barnes and Poole, 1968) of the older Late Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian siliciclastic section over the younger Paleozoic carbonate rock section.

Only minor amounts of Mesozoic sedimentary rocks are preserved in most of the DVRFS region (fig. B–1). Mesozoic cratonic sedimentary rocks are exposed east of the DVRFS region in the Las Vegas area and in the Spring Mountains; Mesozoic metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks are sparsely exposed in the western part of the DVRFS region. Mesozoic plutonic rocks associated with the Sierra Nevada batholith are abundant immediately south and west of the DVRFS model area.

The distribution and character of Cenozoic volcanic and sedimentary rocks of the DVRFS region are influenced by two factors: (1) the general southward and westward sweep of volcanism across this area in Oligocene and Miocene time (fig. B–3) (Best and others, 1989; McKee, 1996; Dickinson, 2002); and (2) the timing, location, and magnitude of extension and the formation of basin-and-range topography. For the purposes of the regional ground-water flow model, the volcanic rocks of the region can be categorized into four groups: (1) Cenozoic volcanic centers and volcanic rocks north of the NTS, mostly older than volcanic rocks at the NTS (Ekren and others, 1971, 1977; Best and others, 1989; McKee, 1996); (2) the southwestern Nevada volcanic field (SWNVF), characterized in part by a thick section of regionally distributed welded tuffs that were derived from a central complex of nested calderas (Byers, Carr, Orkild, and others, 1976; Sawyer and others, 1994); (3) the central Death Valley volcanic field that is composed of a series of lava flows and nonwelded tuffs that were derived from localized volcanic centers rather than climactic caldera-forming eruptions (Wright and others, 1991); and (4) local, mostly younger extrusive rocks, both rhyolite flows and basaltic centers (fig. B–3). Eruptions of the SWNVF began about 16 Ma, peaked between 13.5 and 11 Ma, and then declined with time as the focus of volcanism migrated generally westward, largely moving out of the region about 5 Ma (fig. B–3).

Changes in sedimentation patterns of Cenozoic continental sedimentary rocks reflect the Cenozoic tectonic evolution of the DVRFS region. Relatively quiescent alluvial to lacustrine sedimentation of Oligocene to Early Miocene age gives way to post-Middle Miocene sedimentary rocks deposited in relatively small intermontane basins with local sediment sources as basin-range topography developed in the DVRFS region. Post-Miocene alluvial basins have progressively filled with as much as 1,500 m of coarse gravel and sand and locally fine-grained playa-lake deposits of silt and clay. In many basins, coarse synorogenic clastic sediments filled opening basins, later to be supplanted by alluvial fan, playa, and local channel deposits in Neogene time. Basin-range topography first developed in the DVRFS region from about 14 to about 12 Ma, and it is still actively evolving in the southwesternmost part of the region and to the west. Areas of thick Cenozoic rocks, both sedimentary and volcanic (fig. B–4), are interpreted on the basis of low-density gravity anomalies and depth-to-basement modeling (Jachens and Moring, 1990; Saltus and Jachens, 1995; Blakely and others, 1998, 1999, 2001).

More detailed stratigraphic descriptions are found in geologic compilations of the DVRFS region or parts of the region by Wahl and others (1997), Slate and others (2000), and Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others (2002).

**Structural Setting**

The oldest deformation of hydrologic significance in the DVRFS region was the formation of regional thrust belts in late Paleozoic and Mesozoic time. Thrust faults are exposed in mountain ranges throughout the central and southern parts of the DVRFS region, from the Pahranagat Range, Sheep Range, and Spring Mountains on the east to the Funeral, Grapevine, and Cottonwood Mountains on the west (fig. B–5; see also map compilations of Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002, and Workman, Menges, Page,Ekren, and others, 2002, and references cited therein). The northern part of the DVRFS region is largely covered by volcanic rocks and Cenozoic sediments, making the projection of thrusts northward uncertain.

Individual thrust faults that are exposed in separated range blocks have been interpreted to be regionally continuous Paleozoic and Mesozoic structures that were disrupted by Cenozoic extensional and strike-slip faulting (Armstrong, 1968; Barnes and Poole, 1968; Longwell, 1974; Stewart, 1988; Wernicke and others, 1988; Caskey and Schweickert, 1992; Snow, 1992; Serpa and Pavlis, 1996; Cole and Cashman, 1999;
Figure B–2. Stratigraphic diagram of Paleozoic carbonate-rock units.
EXPLANATION

Map units
(from Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor and others, 2002)

- **Death Valley regional ground-water flow system model boundary**
- **Nevada Test Site boundary**
- **Boundary of southwestern Nevada volcanic field** (from Laczniak and others, 1996)
- **Caldera boundary** (from Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002)

**Figure B–3.** Volcanic features of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
Figure B–4. Basins of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
Figure B–5. Thrust faults of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
of the faults. The upper plates commonly are highly extended broadly domed metamorphic complexes in the lower plates dipping, large-offset extensional detachment faults expose Local large-magnitude extension is expressed as detachment-dipping detachment at depth (Brocher and others, 1998). Striking normal faults apparently do not merge into a gently region, such as at Yucca Mountain, closely spaced north-east-dipping, rotated range blocks are bounded by west-side-the northern part of the DVRFS region (fig. B–6). Tracts of spatially variable but in general of greater magnitude than in deposits in the basins between the exposed range-front faults. That some of the larger faults are concealed beneath surficial displacement. Gravity data (Healey and others, 1981) indicate faults generally dip 50° to 65° and have as much as 3,000 m of normal faults producing a half-graben geometry. These normal faults generally have asymmetric cross sections, with dominant normal faults producing a half-graben geometry. These normal faults generally dip 50° to 65° and have as much as 3,000 m of displacement. Gravity data (Healey and others, 1981) indicate that some of the larger faults are concealed beneath surficial deposits in the basins between the exposed range-front faults. In the southern part of the DVRFS region, extension is spatially variable but in general of greater magnitude than in the northern part of the DVRFS region (fig. B–6). Tracts of east-dipping, rotated range blocks are bounded by west-side-down normal faults that are inferred to flatten and converge at depth into a deep detachment zone (Guth, 1981, 1990; Wernicke and others, 1984). In other parts of the DVRFS region, such as at Yucca Mountain, closely spaced north-striking normal faults apparently do not merge into a gently dipping detachment at depth (Brocher and others, 1998). Local large-magnitude extension is expressed as detachment-related core complexes. In these areas, gently to moderately dipping, large-offset extensional detachment faults expose broadly domed metamorphic complexes in the lower plates of the faults. The upper plates commonly are highly extended and tilted along normal faults that merge into the detachment faults. Although these detachment faults generally have gentle dips, the fault surfaces locally have dips of 50° to 60°. Strike-slip faults of both northwest and northeast strike may have transferred extensional strain between individual extensional domains (Wernicke and others, 1984). The northwest-trending Walker Lane belt (Stewart, 1988; Stewart and Crowell, 1992) transects the DVRFS region (fig. B–7). The Walker Lane belt is a complex structural zone that is dominated by large right-lateral faults with northwest orientations, such as the Pahrump-Stewart Valley fault zone and the Las Vegas Valley shear zone (LVVSZ) (fig. B–7). The belt also contains a variety of structures that are discontinuous and appear to interact complexly in accommodating an overall mixed right-shear and extensional strain field (Stewart, 1988; Stewart and Crowell, 1992). The Walker Lane belt has been subdivided into a series of structural blocks according to their style of deformation (Stewart, 1988; Stewart and Crowell, 1992) (fig. B–7). In the northwestern part of the DVRFS region, the Goldfield block is notable for its lack of through-going strike-slip faults and relative lack of normal faults (fig. B–6). The Spotted Range—Mine Mountain block is characterized by east-northeast-trending, left-lateral strike-slip faults, such as the Rock Valley fault zone and the Cane Spring and Mine Mountain faults (fig B–7). The Spring Mountains block is a relatively intact block that is bounded by the Pahrump-Stewart Valley fault zone and the LVVSZ. The Inyo-Mono block (redefined as part of the Basin and Range province of eastern California by Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002) features large, northwest-striking right-lateral faults, such as the Furnace Creek fault zone and the southern Death Valley fault zone and also features major extensional detachment faults (fig. B–7). Most of the deformation in the Walker Lane belt may have occurred during Middle Miocene time (Hardyman and Oldow, 1991; Dilles and Gans, 1995), although deformation in the vicinity of Death Valley continued into Late Miocene time (Wright and others, 1999; Snow and Wernicke, 2000). Some structures in the belt, such as the Rock Valley fault zone, continue to be active (Rogers and others, 1987; von Seggern and Brune, 2000).

Hydrogeologic Units

The rocks and deposits forming the hydrostratigraphic framework for a ground-water flow system are termed hydrogeologic units (HGU)s. An HGU has considerable lateral extent and has reasonably distinct hydrologic properties because of its physical (geological and structural) characteristics.

Previous Use

The basic pre-Cenozoic hydrostratigraphic setting for the DVRFS region, particularly in the vicinity of the NTS, was established by Winograd and Thordarson (1975). The pre-Cenozoic sedimentary rocks were grouped into four HGUs:
Figure B–6. Normal faults and greatly extended domains of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.

EXPLANATION

Map units
- Pre-Cenozoic bedrock
- Middle Proterozoic metamorphic rocks (from Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002)
- Greatly extended domains (after Wernicke, 1992)
- Pre-Cenozoic bedrock and greatly extended domains (after Wernicke, 1992)

- Death Valley regional ground-water flow system model boundary
- Nevada Test Site boundary
- Normal fault, mapped or inferred (from Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002)
- Low-angle normal fault or detachment fault (from Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002)
EXPLANATION

Walker Lane belt structural blocks
(after Stewart, 1988; Stewart and Crowell, 1992)

- Goldfield
- Inyo-Mono
- Spotted Range-Mine Mountain
  (after Carr, 1984)
- Spring Mountains

Death Valley regional ground-water flow system model boundary
Nevada Test Site boundary
Mapped or inferred strike-slip fault
(from Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002)

Figure B–7. The Walker Lane belt and strike-slip faults of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
the lower clastic aquitard (confining unit), composed of Late Proterozoic through Middle Cambrian siliciclastic rocks; the lower carbonate aquifer, composed of Middle Cambrian through Devonian mostly carbonate rocks; the upper clastic aquitard, composed of Devonian and Mississippian siliciclastic rocks; and the upper carbonate-rock aquifer, composed of Pennsylvanian and Permian carbonate rocks which, in the vicinity of the NTS, overlie the rocks of the upper clastic aquitard. Most subsequent tabulations of HGUs and groundwater flow models of the region (Waddell, 1982; Luckey and others, 1996; Laczniak and others, 1996; IT Corporation, 1996a; D’Agnese and others, 1997) have honored these HGU subdivisions of the pre-Cenozoic sedimentary section. For example, table B–1 shows similar treatment of these units in the two recent regional groundwater flow models (IT Corporation, 1996b; D’Agnese and others, 1997).

In contrast to the general consistency in the treatment of the pre-Cenozoic section, a number of approaches have been taken to subdividing the Cenozoic section into HGUs, particularly the volcanic rocks at the NTS. Past approaches have differed in the number of HGUs used and in the treatment of spatially variable material properties in the volcanic-rock units. Winograd and Thordarson (1975; their table 1) assigned the volcanic rocks at the NTS to HGUs based upon lithology and inferred hydrologic significance—for example, tuff aquitard, bedded tuff aquifer, welded tuff aquifer, lava flow aquifer. The geologic units described and their stratigraphic position, however, were based upon older 1960’s-era geologic mapping, and the designations did not necessarily account for spatial variability of properties in an HGU. Laczniak and others (1996; their table 1) extended the work of Winograd and Thordarson (1975) to produce a more detailed description of volcanic-rock HGUs in the area around the NTS. The updated designations were based on new volcanic-rock stratigraphic unit assignments (Sawyer and others, 1994); each formation was assigned as a welded tuff aquifer, lava flow aquifer, or tuff confining unit and also designated as to where on the NTS the units were important aquifers or confining units. Both of these studies provided essential descriptions of the volcanic-rock HGUs; however, neither study was sufficiently detailed to define the stratigraphic complexities throughout the DVRFS region and model domain.

The two recent regional groundwater flow models (IT Corporation, 1996a; D’Agnese and others, 1997) differ significantly in how the Cenozoic section of the DVRFS region has been grouped into HGUs, both in terms of the number of units and in how the spatial variability of material properties in the volcanic units is addressed (table B–1, fig. B–8). The volcanic rock HGUs in the YMP/HRMP model (D’Agnese and others, 1997) were based on a hydrogeologic map compilation (Faunt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOE/NV-UGTA model units (IT Corporation, 1996b)</th>
<th>YMP/HRMP model units (D’Agnese and others, 1997)</th>
<th>Description of geologic unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>QTvf</td>
<td>Basin-fill deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>QTvf</td>
<td>Playa deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>QTvf</td>
<td>Lacustrine limestone and spring deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA, VCU, VU</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Younger Tertiary volcanic rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCU, TSDVS, VU</td>
<td>Tvs</td>
<td>Younger Tertiary sedimentary rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA, VA</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Timber Mountain Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC, VA</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Paintbrush Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Calico Hills Formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Wahmonic Formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBCU</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Prow Pass Tuff, Crater Flat Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCB</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Bullfrog Tuff, Crater Flat Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBCU</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Tram Tuff, Crater Flat Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBCU</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Belted Range Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBCU, TBQ, VCU, VU</td>
<td>QTv, Tv</td>
<td>Older Tertiary volcanic rocks (pre-Belted Range Group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCU, TSDVS</td>
<td>Tvs</td>
<td>Older Tertiary sedimentary rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>Mvs</td>
<td>Mesozoic volcanic and sedimentary rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA3</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Upper Paleozoic carbonate rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCCU</td>
<td>ECU</td>
<td>Mississippian and Devonian siliciclastic rocks (Eleana Formation and Chainman Shale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA, LCA1</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Middle Cambrian through Devonian mostly carbonate rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCCU</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>Late Proterozoic through Middle Cambrian siliciclastic rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCCU</td>
<td>PCgm</td>
<td>Metamorphic and igneous rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>TJg</td>
<td>Intrusive rocks, undifferentiated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abbreviations: QTv, Quaternary and Tertiary volcanic rocks; Tv, Tertiary volcanic rocks; P2, Paleozoic carbonate-rock aquifer

A  YMP/HRMP model (D’Agnese and others, 1997)

HGUs from 3D framework model are discretized into the three layers of the flow model. To approximate the hydrologic effects of spatially varying material properties, different hydraulic conductivities (K3, K5,...) were applied to specific parts of each model layer during flow modeling.

Abbreviations: TMA, Timber Mountain aquifer; TC, Paintbrush tuff cone; TCB, Bullfrog confining unit; VA, volcanic aquifer; VCU, volcanic confining unit

B  DOE/NV-UGTA model (IT Corporation, 1996b)

HGUs change for different geographic regions represented in the 3D framework model based on stratigraphic changes in the volcanic section. To approximate the hydrologic effects of spatially varying material properties, different hydraulic conductivities (K3, K4,...) were applied to specific parts of each model layer during flow modeling.

Abbreviations: TMA, Timber Mountain aquifer; TC, Paintbrush tuff cone; TCB, Bullfrog confining unit; VA, volcanic aquifer; VCU, volcanic confining unit

C  Current model

HGUs remain consistently named throughout the 3D framework model and are referenced to geologic map units, geologic cross sections, and borehole logs. Spatially varying material properties based upon geologic judgment are derived for each HGU (zone 1, zone 2...). Assignment of hydraulic conductivities and modification of geologically based zonations are discussed in Chapter F.

Abbreviations: TMVA, Timber Mountain volcanic aquifer; PVA, Paintbrush volcanic aquifer; CHVU, Calico Hills volcanic unit; CFBCU, Crater Flat-Bullfrog confining unit; LCA, Lower carbonate-rock aquifer

Figure B–8. Treatment of hydrogeologic units and spatially varying material properties in previous and current regional models.
and others, 1997) and geologic cross sections (Grose, 1983) in which all volcanic rocks were designated as Tertiary volcanic rocks (Tv) or Tertiary-Quaternary volcanic rocks (QTv) (table B–1). Spatial variability in hydrologic properties in the volcanic-rock section was addressed using zones of variable hydraulic conductivity in the flow model (D’Agnese and others, 1997, 2002) (fig. B–8). The volcanic rock HGUs in the DOE/NV-UGTA model (IT Corporation, 1996b) were based on abundant borehole data from the NTS and are considerably more detailed (table B–1). Spatial variation in the volcanic units was handled in part by developing different HGU schemes for specific parts of the NTS (fig. B–8), with specific aquifers (primarily lava flow and welded tuff) and confining units assigned for each geographic area. Belcher and others (2002) merged these two HGU schemes in the creation of a 3D HFM for the DVRFS region by using the DOE/NV-UGTA model (IT Corporation, 1996b) HGUs in the immediate vicinity of the NTS and the volcanic-rock HGUs of the YMP/HRMP model (D’Agnese and others, 1997) outside of the NTS. This HFM was used as input for a steady-state prepumping ground-water flow model of the DVRFS region (D’Agnese and others, 2002).

Volcanic-rock HGUs for the current model (fig. B–8) remain consistently named throughout the entire HFM and are defined by group-level stratigraphic designations that are based on recent geologic map compilations (Slate and others, 2000; Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002), geologic cross sections (Sweetkind, Dickerson, and others, 2001), and borehole lithologic data. The spatial variability of material properties is defined for each volcanic-rock HGU on geologic grounds, discussed herein.

**Description of Hydrogeologic Units**

The unconsolidated sediments and consolidated rocks of the DVRFS region have been subdivided into 25 HGUs (table B–2). These HGUs are based primarily on the work of Laczi ńak and others (1996). Lithologically similar HGUs are discussed together in this section. In general, HGUs whose abbreviated names end in the letter “A”, such as LCA, are considered aquifer units; those names ending in “CU” are considered confining units, and those ending in “U” are units that can function either as aquifers or confining units. These designations are only generally applicable because almost all of the HGUs have spatially varying material and hydraulic properties throughout the DVRFS region.

**Unconsolidated Cenozoic Basin-Fill Sediments and Local Young Volcanic Rocks**

Unconsolidated Cenozoic basin-fill sediments consist of coarse-grained alluvial and colluvial deposits, fine-grained basin axis deposits, and local lacustrine limestones and spring discharge deposits and are divided into six HGUs. Relatively local basaltic- and rhyolitic-lava flows and tuffs form another HGU. All seven of these HGUs are defined on the basis of geologic map data from a 1:250,000-scale geologic compilation of the DVRFS region (Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002) (fig. B–9). The age terms “younger” and “older” in the names of the alluvial aquifer and confining unit HGUs refer to the relative ages of mapped surficial-deposit units, as described by Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others (2002).

**Younger and Older Alluvial Aquifers (YAA and OAA)**

Coarse-grained surficial units are included in the younger alluvial aquifer (YAA) and the older alluvial aquifer (OAA). The YAA and OAA consist of Holocene to Pliocene alluvium, colluvium, and minor eolian and debris-flow sediments associated with alluvial geomorphic surfaces (Swan and others, 2001; Potter, Dickerson and others, 2002). In general, fluvial deposits are predominant sandy gravel with interbedded gravelly sand and sand, whereas alluvial fans have a more gradational decrease in grain size from proximal to distal fan. Local eolian accumulations consist of Holocene sand sheets or dune fields or relict upper to middle Pleistocene sand-ramp deposits that are banked along the flanks of some ranges. Sediments generally are not cemented but are more indurated with increasing depth. These HGUs tend to be aquifers, but finer grained sediments and intercalated volcanic rocks locally can impede ground-water movement.

**Younger and Older Alluvial Confining Units (YACU and OACU)**

The alluvial confining units (YACU and OACU) consist of Holocene to Pliocene fine-grained basin-axis deposits. These units consist of late Holocene playa and (or) salt-pan deposits that are commonly underlain by older playa or lacustrine sequences of middle to early Holocene and Pleistocene age. These rocks typically are mixtures of moderately to well stratified silt, clay, and fine sand. The thickness is poorly constrained but may range from 1 to 10 m for Holocene deposits and may be greater than 300 m for the older deposits (Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002).

**Limestone Aquifer (LA)**

The limestone aquifer (LA) consists of Holocene to Pliocene lacustrine and spring deposits that are interfingered with the alluvial basin-fill units. Typically, these are dense, crystalline deposits of limestone or travertine. The hydrologic properties of these deposits can differ greatly over short distances because of abrupt changes in grain size, fracturing, and consolidation. These deposits can be productive local aquifers, such as in parts of the Amargosa Desert. In general, the LA does not crop out and is identified only from drill holes in the basin-filling units.
Table B–2. Geologic and hydrogeologic units of the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system (DVRFS) model.

[SWNVF, southwestern Nevada volcanic field]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogeologic unit abbreviation and name</th>
<th>Age and description of geologic units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unconsolidated Cenozoic basin-fill sediments and local younger volcanic rocks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAA; Younger alluvial aquifer</td>
<td>Pliocene to Holocene coarse-grained basin-fill deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YACU; Younger alluvial confining unit</td>
<td>Pliocene to Holocene playa and fine-grained basin-fill deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAA; Older alluvial aquifer</td>
<td>Pliocene to Holocene coarse-grained basin-fill deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OACU; Older alluvial confining unit</td>
<td>Pliocene to Holocene playa and fine-grained basin-fill deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA; Limestone aquifer</td>
<td>Cenozoic limestone, undivided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFU; Lava-flow unit</td>
<td>Cenozoic basalt cones and flows and surface outcrops of rhyolite-lava flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVU; Younger volcanic-rock unit</td>
<td>Cenozoic volcanic rocks that overlie the Thirsty Canyon Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Consolidated Cenozoic basin-fill deposits</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper VSU; Volcanic- and sedimentary-rock unit (upper)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower VSU; Volcanic- and sedimentary-rock unit (lower)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cenozoic volcanic rocks of the southwestern Nevada volcanic field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMVA; Thirsty Canyon–Timber Mountain volcanic-rock aquifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA; Paintbrush volcanic-rock aquifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHVU; Calico Hills volcanic-rock unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WVU; Wahmonie volcanic-rock unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFPPA; Crater Flat–Prow Pass aquifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFBCU; Crater Flat–Bullfrog confining unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFTA; Crater Flat–Tram aquifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRU; Belted Range unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVU; Older volcanic-rock unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogeologic units associated with Mesozoic, Paleozoic and Late Proterozoic sedimentary rocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCU; Sedimentary-rock confining unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCA; Upper carbonate-rock aquifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCCU; Upper clastic-rock confining unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA; Lower carbonate-rock aquifer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCCU; Lower clastic-rock confining unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrogeologic units associated with crystalline metamorphic rocks and plutons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XCU; Crystalline-rock confining unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU; Intrusive-rock confining unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lava-Flow Unit (LFU)**

The lava-flow unit (LFU) consists of local Neogene (generally 11 Ma and younger) basalt- and rhyolite-lava flows in the DVRFS region. Pliocene and Pleistocene volcanism on the NTS is expressed by isolated, relatively small basaltic cinder cones and associated lava flows. The eruptive style and chemical composition of the basalts is typical of Pliocene and Pleistocene basalts throughout most of the western part of the Basin and Range province (Hedge and Noble, 1971). They probably represent the waning stages of regional volcanism that peaked around 11 Ma. Basalts of about 10 Ma in the vicinity of the NTS include lava flows on Skull Mountain and Little Skull Mountain, the southern part of Crater Flat, Black Mountain and to the west of the NTS (fig. B–9). Basalts of similar ages are part of the Funeral Formation in the Furnace Creek basin (Cemen and others, 1985; Greene, 1997; Wright and others, 1999). The LFU also includes volcanic rocks of the Towne Pass area and west of the model domain in the Darwin plateau. Younger basalts in the Amargosa Desert and in the southeast part of Crater Flat include an approximately 3.7-Ma event (Crowe and others, 1995) that is characterized by basalt-lava flows and exposed dikes along a north-trending
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Figure B–9. Outcrop distribution of hydrogeologic units associated with alluvial sediments and local young volcanic rocks.
alignment of vents, four 1.0-Ma cinder cones that form a slightly curved north-northeast alignment in Crater Flat, and a single cinder cone (Lathrop Wells cone, 77.76 ka, Heizler and others, 1999) at the southern end of Yucca Mountain. Aeromagnetic anomalies and local basaltic float are evidence for shallowly buried basalt flows at several locations in the northern part of Amargosa Desert (O’Leary and others, 2002).

The LFU also includes Miocene rhyolite-lava flows in the northern part of Yucca Mountain and the Calico Hills, where they form extensive surface outcrops (fig. B–9). Individual lava flows are not laterally extensive. Because the LFU is typically above the water table, the unit is not a regional aquifer.

Younger Volcanic-Rock Unit (YVU)

The younger volcanic-rock unit (YVU) consists of Neogene (mostly 15 to 11 Ma) tuffs and other volcanic rocks that are not associated with sources in the SWNVF. Individual units are not laterally extensive, such as the isolated exposures of Kane Wash Tuff to the north of the Desert Range (fig. B–9); these are outliers of much more extensive volcanic outcrops that lie to the northeast of the model domain (Ekren and others, 1989). Most of the unit lies above the water table and is thought to have limited influence on ground-water flow in the DVRFS region.

Consolidated Cenozoic Basin-Fill Deposits—Volcanic- and Sedimentary-Rock Unit (VSU)

The volcanic- and sedimentary-rock unit (VSU) (fig. B–10) consists of all Cenozoic basin-filling sedimentary and volcanic rocks, except for the named volcanic-rock units in the vicinity of the SWNVF and the alluvial HGUs discussed previously. Consolidated Cenozoic basin-fill units of the DVRFS region range from late Eocene to Pliocene in age and generally underlie the more recent alluvial sediments assigned to the alluvial aquifers and confining units described herein. They consist of a broad range of both volcanic and sedimentary rocks including lavas, welded and nonwelded tuffs, and alluvial, fluvial, colluvial, eolian, paludal, and lacustrine sediments. Cenozoic volcanic and sedimentary rocks in the DVRFS region may be generalized into three sequences according to their relation to the tectonic evolution of the region (Snow and Lux, 1999): (1) an early extensional sequence that generally predates the formation of basin-range topography; (2) a synextensional and synvolcanic sequence that corresponds to the major period of formation of basin-range topography in this region and to the peak of volcanic activity in the southwestern Nevada and central Death Valley volcanic fields; and (3) a 6-Ma to present, late extensional to post-extensional sequence. This general subdivision is similar to that used by Ekren and others (1977) and Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others (2002) and is more clearly documented in Fridrich and others (2000).

Rocks in the early extensional sequence are late Eocene to Miocene in age and have variable thickness and facies, and their distribution is discontinuous, probably because they were deposited on the irregular pre-Cenozoic erosional surface. Many of these rocks were deposited in a fluvio-lacustrine regime. Included in this sequence are the Titus Canyon Formation along the east side of the Funeral and Grapevine Mountains (Reynolds, 1974; Wright and Troxel, 1993), sedimentary rocks informally called the “rocks of Winapi Wash” that occur in and near the NTS, 25- to 14-Ma sedimentary strata including the Rocks of Pavits Spring in the vicinity of the NTS (Slate and others, 2000), and unnamed units widely exposed in and around the Grapevine Mountains and the Funeral Mountains.

Rocks in the synextensional and synvolcanic sequence are middle Miocene in age and include such units as the Artist Drive Formation in the Furnace Creek Basin and similar sedimentary rocks that probably underlie parts of the Amargosa Desert, Pahrump Valley, and Death Valley. Middle Miocene synextensional sedimentary rocks consist of coarse, tuffaceous clastic types, locally derived megabreccias, and tuffaceous sandstone locally interbedded with lavas that range in composition from basalt through rhyolite. The geology and stratigraphic relations of these middle Miocene rocks are discussed by Cemen and others (1985), Greene (1997), and Wright and others (1999).

Also included in the synextensional and synvolcanic sequence are the volcanic rocks of the central Death Valley volcanic field and volcanic rocks around the margins of the SWNVF that have not been correlated to a specific unit. Volcanic rocks of the central Death Valley volcanic field consist of predominantly silicic- to intermediate-composition lava flows and associated fallout tephra (Wright and others, 1991). Only one relatively widespread welded ash-flow tuff, the Rhodes Tuff, is recognized in the volcanic field (Wright and others, 1991); most of the volcanic-rock units appear to be associated with local source areas and have limited areal distribution (Wright and others, 1991). The general absence of strong magnetic anomalies in the vicinity of the Amargosa Desert between the SWNVF and the central Death Valley volcanic field implies that strongly magnetic volcanic rocks from either volcanic field are thin or absent (Carr, 1990; Blakely and others, 2000).

Rocks of the late extensional to post-extensional sequence include units such as the Funeral Formation of the Furnace Creek Basin that were deposited mostly in restricted, intermontane basins that developed as extension progressed (Snow and Lux, 1999). Synextensional sedimentary rocks were deposited during this time in the Nova basin on the western side of the Panamint Mountains (Hodges and others, 1989).
Figure B–10. Outcrop distribution of the volcanic- and sedimentary-rock unit (VSU).
The VSU is lithologically diverse and rock types are complexly interfingered. For example, interpreted lithologic data from boreholes in the southern part of the Amargosa Desert (fig. B–11) reveal a heterogeneous basinfill with few lithologically similar intervals that can be correlated between adjacent boreholes. Interpolation of lithologic data between boreholes indicates complex interfingerling of basin-fill lithologies (Oatfield and Czarnecki, 1989). In order to generalize the basin-fill lithologic diversity for use in a regional model, Sweetkind, Fridrich, and Taylor (2001) delineated regional facies trends on the basis of borehole and outcrop data. Five zones of potential hydrologic significance were defined on the basis of the relative amounts of coarse- and fine-grained sedimentary rocks compared to volcanic rocks at each locality (fig. B–12). Mapped zones (fig. B–12) do not imply the existence of the VSU throughout the region; rather, they are a guide to which set of material properties applies where the VSU exists in the 3D HFM (Chapter E, this volume).

In order for units to stack correctly when constructing a 3D HFM of the DVRFS region (Chapter E, this volume), the VSU was divided into two units. The lower VSU consists of those rocks that underlie these named volcanic rocks (table B–3); the upper VSU consists of those rocks that overlie the named volcanic rocks of the SWNVF (table B–4). Outside of the SWNVF, the boundary between the two units is arbitrary. Upper VSU hydrogeologic zones are delineated by their relation to aquifer and confining units in the overlying basin-fill material.

**Volcanic Rocks of the Southwestern Nevada Volcanic Field**

Volcanic rocks that emanated from the SWNVF are widely distributed in the west-central part of the DVRFS region; associated caldera collapse structures of the SWNVF dominate the northwestern and west-central parts of the NTS (fig. B–13). Volcanism associated with the SWNVF occurred episodically between about 15 and 9 Ma (Byers, Carr, Orkild, and others, 1976; Sawyer and others, 1994). Eruption of voluminous, extensive ash-flow-tuff sheets resulted in the collapse of at least seven known calderas, two of which overlapped to form the Silent Canyon caldera complex (SCCC), and three of them overlapped or were nested to form the Timber Mountain caldera complex (TMCC) and the Claim Canyon caldera. The sources of many of the older ash-flow tuffs remain uncertain because associated calderas have been buried or destroyed by younger calderas. Volumetrically subordinate, but related, silicic-lava flows and minor pyroclastic flows were erupted from the calderas and from isolated volcanic vents in the field (Sawyer and others, 1994). Numerous authoritative sources exist for more detailed information on the volcanic rocks (Byers, Carr, Orkild, and others, 1976; Christiansen and others, 1977; Carr, Byers, and Orkild, 1986; Sawyer and Sargent, 1989; Ferguson and others, 1994; Sawyer and others, 1994), and for a number of geologic-map compilations that portray the volcanic rocks at the NTS (Byers, Carr, Christiansen, and others 1976; Frizzell and Shulters, 1990; Wahl and others, 1997; Slate and others, 2000).

The volcanic-rock units of the SWNVF are important hydrogeologic units because they are thick enough in the vicinity of the NTS to be important subregional aquifers, and a number of nuclear weapons tests were conducted in the volcanic rocks at Rainier Mesa and Pahute Mesa at the NTS. The proposed high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain on the western edge of the NTS would be located in these volcanic rocks.

Volcanic rocks of the SWNVF consist of the pre-Belted Range Group rocks, the Belted Range and Crater Flat Groups, the Calico Hills and Wahmonie Formations, the Paintbrush, Timber Mountain, and Thirsty Canyon Groups, and the Stonewall Mountain Tuff. The volcanic-rock units are divided at the group level into nine HGUs, except for the Crater Flat Group (table B–2). In order to maintain consistency with the Yucca Mountain 3D geologic framework model (YMP-GFM) (Bechtel SAIC Company, 2002), the Crater Flat Group is subdivided at the formation level with separate HGUs for the Prow Pass, Bullfrog, and Tram Tuffs (table B–2).

**Method for Assigning Material Property Variations to Hydrogeologic Units of the Southwestern Nevada Volcanic Field**

The Cenozoic volcanic rocks of the SWNVF have varying degrees of both fracture and matrix permeability. Most of the crystallized and densely welded tuffs have very low matrix permeabilities (Montazer and Wilson, 1984); consequently, fracture networks and faults are the primary pathways for gas and water flow through the welded parts of the rock mass. Poorly welded to nonwelded ash-flow tuffs and ash-fall tuff, reworked tuff, and volcaniclastic rocks have higher matrix permeabilities but poorly developed and connected fracture networks. Fracture-dominated flow in the welded portions of the tuffs of the SWNVF changes to matrix-dominated flow in the comparatively unfractured units (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Montazer and Wilson, 1984; Lacznak and others, 1996). Alteration of rock-forming minerals to zeolite, clay, carbonate, silica, and other minerals, most prevalent in nonwelded rocks, can reduce permeability.

At the group and formation level, mapped volcanic-rock units commonly display widely variable lithology and degree of welding both vertically and horizontally (fig. B–14). The hydraulic properties of these deposits depend mostly on the mode of eruption and cooling, by the extent of primary and secondary fracturing, and by the degree to which secondary alteration (crystallization of volcanic glass and zeolitic alteration) has affected primary permeability. Fractured rhyolite-lava flows and moderately to densely welded ash-flow tuffs are the principal volcanic-rock aquifers. Rhyolite-lava flows and thick intracaldera welded tuff (fig. B–15A) are relatively restricted areally, whereas outflow welded-tuff sheets are more
Vertical panel is a slice through a three-dimensional rock properties model of basin-filling deposits corresponding to the lower volcanic- and sedimentary-rock hydrogeologic unit (lower VSU) beneath the Amargosa Desert. Model was created by numerical interpolation of borehole lithologic data from the southern Amargosa Desert. Cylinders represent the location and drilled depth of boreholes; colors represent lithologic units penetrated by the boreholes. View is to the southwest. Cross section panel is approximately 25 kilometers long and 1 kilometer deep. With the exception of thin surficial units, the various lithologic units penetrated by all of the boreholes shown correspond to hydrogeologic unit lower VSU.

Figure B–11. Lithologic variability in the volcanic- and sedimentary-rock unit (VSU).
Hydrogeologic zones in the volcanic- and sedimentary-rock unit (VSU).

**Figure B–12.** Hydrogeologic zones in the volcanic- and sedimentary-rock unit (VSU).
regionally distributed and may provide lateral continuity for water to move through the regional flow system. The confining units are formed generally by nonwelded or partly welded tuff that has low fracture permeability (fig. B–15B) and can be zeolitically altered in the older, deeper parts of the volcanic sections (Laczniak and others, 1996). The hydraulic properties of the volcanic rocks underlying Pahute Mesa were described by Blankenagel and Weir (1973); analysis of additional volcanic rock material and hydraulic properties (Belcher and others, 2001) indicates that these concepts may apply throughout the SWNVF.

For each of the volcanic-rock HGUs of the SWNVF, zones of potential enhanced and reduced permeability (termed hydrogeologic zones) were evaluated on the basis of lithologic and material property information available from boreholes (Warren and others, 1999) and surface localities (R.M. Drake, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 2001). At each location, the percentage of welded, fractured rock and percentage of altered rock were calculated by dividing the aggregate thickness of brittle (welded-tuff and lava-flow lithologies) or altered rock, respectively, by the total thickness of the HGU (R.M. Drake, written commun., 2001). The brittle rock and alteration data were interpolated and extrapolated from the available data over the modeled spatial extent of each HGU (see Chapter E, this volume) to produce gridded surfaces of these respective properties. Areas with greater than 50 percent brittle rock were considered potential enhanced permeability zones, whereas areas with less than 50 percent brittle rock were considered potential reduced permeability zones (Table B–5). Areas with greater than 60 percent altered rock were considered potential enhanced permeability zones (Table B–5). The brittle rock and alteration characteristics were combined to produce four types of zones: brittle rock that is not altered; brittle, altered rock; nonbrittle rock that is altered; and nonbrittle rock that is unaltered. Zones with a combination of a high percentage of brittle rock and a small degree of alteration are inferred to have enhanced permeability (zone 1, Table B–5); zones with a combination of a low percentage of brittle rock and a high degree of alteration are inferred to have reduced permeability (zone 3, Table B–5). The combined effects of fracturing and alteration on permeability are less predictable for highly altered brittle rocks (zone 2, Table B–5) and unaltered nonbrittle rocks (zone 4, Table B–5). Mapped zones do not imply the existence of each HGU throughout the zone; rather, they are a guide to which set of material properties applies where the HGU exists in the 3D HFM (Chapter E, this volume).

**Volcanic-Rock Hydrogeologic Units of the Southwestern Nevada Volcanic Field**

**Thirsty Canyon–Timber Mountain Volcanic-Rock Aquifer (TMVA)**

The Thirsty Canyon–Timber Mountain volcanic-rock aquifer (TMVA) is composed of the volcanic rocks of the 11.6- to 11.45-Ma Timber Mountain Group, the 9.4- to 9.15-Ma Thirsty Canyon Group, and the 7.5-Ma Stonewall Flat Tuff (Sawyer and others, 1994; Slate and others, 2000). Volcanic activity in the SWNVF peaked volumetrically with the eruption of the Timber Mountain Group ash-flow tuffs, which were erupted from the TMCC (Christiansen and Lipman, 1965; Byers, Carr, Orkild, and others, 1976; Byers, Carr, Christiansen, and others, 1976; Christiansen and others, 1977; Sawyer and others, 1994). The TMCC consists of the Rainier Mesa caldera, which formed as a result of the eruption of the 11.6-Ma Rainier Mesa Tuff, and the Ammonia Tanks caldera, which formed as a result of the eruption of the 11.45-Ma Ammonia Tanks Tuff (Sawyer and others, 1994;
Figure B–13. Outcrop distribution of hydrogeologic units associated with volcanic rocks of the southwestern Nevada volcanic field.
Vertical panels are slices through a three-dimensional rock-properties model of volcanic rocks within the southwestern Nevada volcanic field at Pahute Mesa. Cylinders represent the location and drilled depth of boreholes; colors represent lithologic units and welding variations in the Cenozoic volcanic rocks penetrated by the boreholes. View is from north to the south. Cross-section panels are approximately 20 kilometers long and 1 kilometer deep.

**Figure B–14.** Variability in lithology and relative degree of welding in volcanic rocks of the southwestern Nevada volcanic field.
A. View of the north end of Yucca Mountain, looking WSW

Example of regional-scale lithologic variability associated with calderas of the southwestern Nevada volcanic field. A heterogeneous assemblage of partly to densely welded tuff, volcanic megabreccia, and rhyolite lava flows within the Claim Canyon caldera. The stratigraphic complexity of the intracaldera rocks contrasts with the regionally widespread outflow tuffs exposed at Yucca Mountain. Field of view shown in the photograph is approximately 10 kilometers. Photograph by C.J. Potter, U.S. Geological Survey.

B. Tiva Canyon Tuff, Paintbrush Group

Example of welding controls on fracture connectivity in the Tiva Canyon Tuff, Paintbrush Group. Well-developed columnar joints in densely welded tuff terminate abruptly at the transition to partly welded, vitric rock at the base of the ash-flow tuff (approximate contact shown by arrows). The partly welded rock is characterized by short, irregular, poorly connected fractures. Outcrop is approximately 2 meters in height. Photograph by D.S. Sweetkind, U.S. Geological Survey.

Figure B–15. Examples of lithologic and welding variability in volcanic rocks of the southwestern Nevada volcanic field.
Sawyer and others, 1995). Borehole UE–18r, located to the north of Timber Mountain, penetrated up to 1,200 m of Timber Mountain Group rocks (Warren and others, 1999) and provides clear evidence for the structural collapse of both calderas (Christiansen and others, 1977). Timber Mountain Group rocks were deposited in a generally radial pattern surrounding the caldera complex, with some preferential flow to the west (fig. B–16). In addition to the two regionally extensive ash-flow tuffs, the Timber Mountain Group includes minor ash-flow tuffs, rhyolite-lava flows and domes, and intracaldera landslide breccia (Wahl and others, 1997; Slate and others, 2000). Thirsty Canyon Group rocks were erupted from the Black Mountain caldera (Noble and others, 1964; 1984) and cover large areas of the Pahute Mesa area and the northwestern part of the NTS.

Similar to most of the HGUs in the SWNVF, hydrologically significant material properties vary spatially on the basis of the presence of rhyolite-lava flows, the degree of welding of the ash-flow tuffs, and the presence of alteration. Hydrogeologic zones in the TMVA are mapped in fig. B–16.

**Paintbrush Volcanic-Rock Aquifer (PVA)**

The Paintbrush volcanic-rock aquifer (PVA) is composed of rhyolite tuffs and lavas of the Paintbrush Group, whose source was the Claim Canyon caldera north of Yucca Mountain (Christiansen and Lipman, 1965; Byers, Carr, Christiansen, and others, 1976; Byers, Carr, Orkild and others, 1976; Potter, Dickerson, and others, 2002). The Paintbrush Group includes rhyolite-lava flows and four densely welded tuffs near the Claim Canyon caldera and at the northernmost part of Yucca Mountain. To the south, the Paintbrush Group consists of the densely welded 12.7-Ma Tiva Canyon and 12.8-Ma Topopah Spring Tuffs separated by a comparatively thin interval of mostly nonwelded, vitric pyroclastic deposits and minor bedded tuff units (Sawyer and others, 1994; Buesch and others, 1996). These two densely welded ash-flow tuffs are the thickest stratigraphic units exposed on Yucca Mountain.

Hydrogeologic zones for the PVA are mapped in figure B–17. Paintbrush Group rocks at Yucca Mountain are generally above the water table; alteration in these rocks is primarily local argillic or zeolitic alteration of the nonwelded interval between the Tiva Canyon Tuff and the Topopah Spring Tuff (Moyer and others, 1996). Paintbrush Group rocks lie above the water table in the eastern and central parts of Pahute Mesa, and below the water table in the western part of Pahute Mesa, where they are zeolitically altered locally in downfaulted blocks (Laczniak and others, 1996, plate 4). The Topopah Spring Tuff is zeolitically altered in southern and central Yucca Flat where it approaches its depositional terminus. Paintbrush Group rocks are affected by silicic, argillic, and hematitic alteration in the vicinity of Tram Ridge and in the Calico Hills (Simonds, 1989).

**Calico Hills Volcanic-Rock Unit (CHVU)**

The Calico Hills Formation is the Calico Hills volcanic-rock unit (CHVU). The 12.9-Ma Calico Hills Formation is a sequence of thick rhyolite-lava flows and intercalated, variably welded ash-flow deposits and nonwelded ash-fall deposits that lie between the Crater Flat Group and Paintbrush Group rocks at Yucca Mountain and Pahute Mesa (Sawyer and others, 1994). Thick lava flows and intercalated tuffs of the Calico Hills Formation are exposed in the Calico Hills and Fortymile Canyon and to the north of Crater Flat and are penetrated in several boreholes at Yucca Mountain (Moyer and Geslin, 1995) and at Pahute Mesa (fig. B–18). Rhyolite lavas in the Calico Hills Formation are common proximal to source vents (Dickerson and Drake, 1998); elsewhere the unit is dominated by nonwelded pyroclastic flows that commonly are zeolitically altered. The rocks were erupted from vents in two spatially distinct volcanic centers—the Calico Hills and Fortymile Canyon area and beneath Pahute Mesa (Sawyer and others, 1994) (fig. B–18).

Hydrogeologic zones of potential enhanced permeability in the CHVU are controlled by the distribution of fractured, vent-proximal, rhyolite-lava flows. For example, the CHVU is an aquifer in the central and western parts of Pahute Mesa (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Laczniak and others, 1996, plate 4), where thick accumulations of rhyolite-lava flows function as a single fractured aquifer (brittle, nonaltered zone, fig. B–18). In the northeastern part of Pahute Mesa (nonbrittle, nonaltered zone, fig. B–18) and beneath the southern part of Yucca Mountain (nonbrittle, altered zone, fig. B–18), relatively minor lava flows are isolated between thick intervals of nonwelded ash-flow tuff, and the CHVU functions as a confining unit (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Moyer and Geslin, 1995; Laczniak and others, 1996; Prothro and Drellack, 1997).
Figure B–16. Hydrogeologic zones in the Thirsty Canyon–Timber Mountain volcanic-rock aquifer (TMVA).
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Figure B–17. Hydrogeologic zones in the Paintbrush volcanic-rock aquifer (PVA).
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**Figure B–18.** Hydrogeologic zones in the Calico Hills volcanic-rock unit (CHVU).
Crater Flat–Prow Pass Aquifer (CFPPA)

The Crater Flat–Prow Pass aquifer (CFPPA) consists of the Prow Pass Tuff of the Crater Flat Group and local time-equivalent tuffs and rhyolite-lava flows present in the subsurface beneath Pahute Mesa. The Prow Pass Tuff is exposed to the northwest of Yucca Mountain (Moyer and Geslin, 1995) and at the south end of Yucca Mountain (fig. B–20); drilling indicates that it exists in the subsurface in Crater Flat (Carr, Byers, and Orkild, 1986; Moyer and Geslin, 1995). The unit is thickest and most densely welded beneath Yucca Mountain; it thins westward into Crater Flat and southward. Tuffs and rhyolite-lava flows present in the subsurface beneath Pahute Mesa that are equivalent in age to the Prow Pass Tuff include the Andesite of Grimy Gulch, Tuff of Jorum, Rhyolite of Sled, and Rhyolite of Kearsarge (Ferguson and others, 1994).

Hydrogeologic zones for the CFPPA are mapped in figure B–20. Nonwelded to partly welded parts of the unit are zeolitically altered.

Crater Flat–Bullfrog Confining Unit (CFBCU)

The Bullfrog Tuff of the Crater Flat Group composes the Crater Flat–Bullfrog confining unit (CFBCU). The Bullfrog Tuff is widely distributed around the TMCC (Carr, Byers, and Orkild, 1986). The thickness of the outflow tuff is 100 to 150 m in the Bullfrog Hills, at Yucca Mountain, and in Jackass Flats, but it may be greater than 400 m thick in Crater Flat (Carr, Byers, and Orkild, 1986). Maximum thickness in boreholes in intracaldera tuff in the SCCC is about 680 m (Ferguson and others, 1994; Sawyer and others, 1994). The CFBCU is nonwelded to poorly welded through most of the SCCC and Yucca Flat, where it is classified as nonbrittle and altered (fig. B–21) and is a confining unit (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Lacziak and others, 1996). In the vicinity of Yucca Mountain, the Bullfrog Tuff forms a compound-cooling unit with variable welding and alteration characteristics (fig. B–21). In general, the unit has a moderately to densely welded and devitrified interior with nonwelded to partly welded margins in the Yucca Mountain area. The Bullfrog Tuff at Yucca Mountain was included in a “lower volcanic aquifer” HGU described by Luckey and others (1996), primarily because of fracture permeability in the interior welded zone.

Crater Flat–Tram Aquifer (CFTA)

The Tram Tuff of the Crater Flat Group constitutes the Crater Flat–Tram aquifer (CFTA). The Tram Tuff is a mostly nonwelded to partially welded, ash-flow tuff (fig. B–22), but...
50,000-meter grid based on Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 11. Shaded-relief base from 1:250,000-scale Digital Elevation Model; sun illumination from northwest at 30 degrees above horizon.
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Figure B–19. Hydrogeologic zones in the Wahmonie volcanic-rock unit (WVU).
Figure B–20. Hydrogeologic zones in the Crater Flat–Prow Pass aquifer (CFPPA).
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Figure B–21. Hydrogeologic zones in the Crater Flat–Bullfrog confining unit (CFBCU).
Figure B–22. Hydrogeologic zones in the Crater Flat–Tram aquifer (CFTA).
is densely welded at Tram Ridge (Fridrich and others, 1999). It is locally exposed and also encountered in boreholes in the Crater Flat and Yucca Mountain areas (Carr, Byers, and Orkild, 1986). Regionally, the Tram Tuff extends as far west as the Grapevine Mountains and east beneath Jackass Flats (Carr, Byers, and Orkild, 1986). Hydrogeologic zones for the CFTA are mapped in figure B–22.

**Belted Range Unit (BRU)**

Rocks of the Belted Range Group constitute the Belted Range unit (BRU). The Belted Range Group is composed of the 13.7-Ma Grouse Canyon Tuff and associated pre-caldera lava flows and post-caldera lavas and tuffs of the Dead Horse Flat Formation (Sawyer and others, 1994). Belted Range Group rocks are interpreted to have erupted between 13.85 Ma and 13.5 Ma from the Grouse Canyon caldera, now buried in the SCCC. Syn- and post-collapse volcanic-rock units thicken toward the eastern margin of the caldera, on the basis of borehole data and gravity inversion analysis (Ferguson and others, 1996; Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Prothro and others, 1997; Hildenbrand and others, 1999). Thick post-caldera rhyolitic lavas of the Dead Horse Flat Formation accumulated in the eastern and northeastern parts of the caldera (Laczniak and others, 1996, plate 4; McKee and others, 1999). Belted Range Group rocks are not present in the southern parts of the SWNVF, including Yucca Mountain.

Aquifers in the BRU include both thick post-caldera rhyolitic lavas of the Dead Horse Flat Formation and welded Grouse Canyon Tuff. The lavas are highly fractured and form the principal aquifer unit on the eastern part of Pahute Mesa (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Prothro and Drellack, 1997; Laczniak and others, 1996, plate 4). The 50-percent brittle rock area (fig. B–23) incorporates all of the thick intracaldera lava flows of the Dead Horse Flat Formation that dominate the deeper parts of the eastern one-half of the SCCC, plus the thickest welded intervals of Grouse Canyon Tuff that are proximal to the SCCC.

**Older Volcanic-Rock Unit (OVU)**

The older volcanic-rock unit (OVU) consists of Oligocene and early Miocene volcanic rocks that consist of ash-flow tuff, ash-fall tuff, reworked tuff, tuff breccia, lava flows, and volcaniclastic rocks. The OVU may be subdivided into two general groups: (1) those volcanic rocks in and near, and perhaps originating from, the SWNVF; and (2) volcanic rocks that originated from volcanic centers to the north of the SWNVF. Volcanic rocks associated with these two general groups are for the most part separated from each other. The older volcanic rocks of the NTS (almost entirely within the SWNVF) do not extend more than a few tens of kilometers north of the northern boundary of the NTS (Slate and others, 2000), whereas older volcanic rocks derived from outside the SWNVF are common to the north and northeast of the NTS but are known only in the extreme northeastern and northern parts of the NTS (Ekren and others, 1971; Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002). Oligocene and lower Miocene volcanic rocks north of the NTS consist predominantly of partly to densely welded ash-flow tuffs that have an aggregate thickness of up to several hundred meters over large parts of western Lincoln County and central Nye County, Nev. (Ekren and others, 1971; Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002). Regionally distributed, welded ash-flow tuffs include the Monotony Tuff, the Shingle Pass Tuff, the “Tuffs of Antelope Springs,” and the Tuff of White Blotch Springs. Proposed source areas for these units are volcanic centers to the north of the SWNVF that include known or inferred calderas in the Cactus Range, the Kawich Range, the Quinn Canyon Range, and the Mt. Helen area (Ekren and others, 1971; Best and others, 1989; McKee, 1996; Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002).

A locally thick section of 15.5- to 13.8-Ma pre-Belted Range Group volcanic rocks is associated with, and perhaps originated from, the SWNVF. These units are known from limited outcrops at the NTS and from boreholes in Pahute Mesa, Yucca and Frenchman Flats, and Yucca Mountain. Most of these units do not extend more than a few tens of kilometers north of the northern boundary of the NTS. Most of the pre-Belted Range Group volcanic-rock units are nonwelded to partly welded, with the exception of the densely welded Redrock Valley and Tub Spring Tuffs (Sawyer and others, 1995), and the nonwelded tuffs typically are devitrified and zeolitically altered (Drellack, 1997; Prothro and others, 1999).

Because of the large number of volcanic-rock units that are included in this HGU, the OVU has widely varying material properties. The OVU may be subdivided into areas of potentially different material and hydrologic properties on the basis of geography and the presence of calderas (fig. B–24). OVU rocks north of the NTS form a series of regionally extensive ash-flow tuffs that are locally fractured volcanic-rock aquifers throughout a large part of southern Nye County (Plume and Carlton, 1988). OVU rocks to the north of the NTS can be divided into intracaldera and outflow components (fig. B–24), on the basis of caldera boundaries shown in Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others (2002). This zonation is based on the presence of thick intracaldera accumulations of tuff and lavas, regardless of their correlation to specific ash-flow sheets.

In most places in the SWNVF, OVU rocks likely act as a confining unit because they generally are nonwelded to partially welded and zeolitic alteration is widespread (Sawyer and others, 1995; Drellack, 1997; Prothro and others, 1999). Lava flows and densely welded tuffs in this section can form fracture-flow aquifers but are generally too localized or too deep in the section to be significant. The OVU is important in Yucca and Frenchman Flats, where it separates the overlying fractured volcanic-rock aquifers from the underlying regional carbonate-rock aquifer. The OVU is saturated in much of the central part of Yucca Flat, and measured transmissivities are very low (IT Corporation, 1996b).
Figure B–23. Hydrogeologic zones in the Belted Range unit (BRU).
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Figure B–24. Hydrogeologic zones in the older volcanic-rock unit (OVU).
Hydrogeologic Units Associated with Mesozoic, Paleozoic, and Late Proterozoic Sedimentary Rocks

The pre-Cenozoic sedimentary rocks of the DVRFS region are grouped into five HGUs: the sedimentary-rock confining unit (SCU), the upper carbonate-rock aquifer (UCA), the upper clastic-rock confining unit (UCCU), the lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA), and the lower clastic-rock confining unit (LCCU) (table B–2; fig. B–25). This usage is similar to that established by Winograd and Thordarson (1975), particularly for the vicinity of the NTS.

Sedimentary-Rock Confining Unit (SCU)

The sedimentary-rock confining unit (SCU) consists of unmetamorphosed Mesozoic cratonic sedimentary rocks in the eastern part of the DVRFS region (fig. B–25) and Mesozoic metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks that are sparsely exposed in the western part of the DVRFS region. Local exposures of Mesozoic sedimentary rocks as young as the Lower Jurassic Aztec Sandstone crop out in the Las Vegas, Nev., area. Triassic rocks (Middle(?) and Lower Triassic Moenkopi Formation and Upper Triassic Chinle Formation) crop out in the Pahrump Valley and Spring Mountains area. These units consist of interbedded conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, shale, calcareous shale, limestone, and gypsum. Mesozoic metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks are exposed in the extreme southwestern part of the DVRFS region in the southern Panamint Mountains and Avawatz Mountains.

Hydraulic properties of the SCU vary according to grain size and sorting in the different units. Some of these rocks are regional aquifers on the Colorado Plateau east of the DVRFS region, but most exposures of the SCU either lie outside the boundary of the DVRFS region or are too small or shallow to have significance in the regional ground-water flow system.

Upper Carbonate-Rock Aquifer (UCA)

The upper carbonate-rock aquifer (UCA) includes Pennsylvanian and Mississippian limestone, dolomite, and calcareous shales in the vicinity of the NTS that are stratigraphically above the Eleana Formation and Chainman Shale (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Laczniak and others, 1996). Where the Eleana Formation and Chainman Shale are absent to the southeast of the NTS, the Pennsylvanian and Mississippian carbonate rocks are included in the lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA). The UCA exists primarily in the area of Yucca Flat (fig. B–25), where Pennsylvanian carbonate rocks are preserved in a syncline at Syncline Ridge. In general, the rocks of the UCA are of only local importance and are not significant in the regional flow system.

Upper Clastic-Rock Confining Unit (UCCU)

The upper clastic-rock confining unit (UCCU) is composed of Upper Devonian through Mississippian synorogenic siliciclastic and carbonate rocks including the Eleana Formation and the Chainman Shale (Laczniak and others, 1996). The Eleana Formation is present in parts of the western and northern part of the DVRFS region and consists of up to 2,000 m of siltstone, argillite, sandstone, conglomerate, and minor limestone deposited as turbidites and debris flows filling the Antler foredeep to the east of the Antler orogenic belt (Poole and others, 1961; Nilsen and Stewart, 1980; Poole, 1981; Trexler and others, 1996). The Eleana Formation grades laterally into and is thrust eastward over the 1,200-m-thick Mississippian Chainman Shale in Yucca Flat and the northern part of Jackass Flats at the NTS (Trexler and others, 1996) (fig. B–25).

The Eleana-Chainman section is a locally important siliciclastic-rock confining unit in the vicinity of the NTS. Steep hydraulic gradients in the area of Yucca Flat are attributed to the low transmissivity values of the Eleana Formation (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; D’Agnese and others, 1997). Southeast of the NTS in the Spotted Range and in the Indian Springs Valley carbonate platform limestones of Mississippian age are less than 350 m thick (Poole and others, 1961; Barnes and others, 1982). In the Cottonwood Mountains and the Last Chance Range in the western part of the DVRFS region, the Mississippian section is represented by carbonate-dominated units such as the Tin Mountain limestone and the Perdido Group (Stevens and others, 1991; 1996). These Mississippian carbonate rocks that occur outside of the NTS vicinity are not designated as part of the UCCU but instead are considered part of the lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA).

Lower Carbonate-Rock Aquifer (LCA)

The lower to middle Paleozoic carbonate-rock succession forms the major regional carbonate-rock aquifer in the eastern two-thirds of the Great Basin (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Bedinger and others, 1989a; Dettinger and others, 1995; Harrill and Prudic, 1998). As in previous regional analyses of ground-water flow in the southern Great Basin, these carbonate rocks are treated as a single HGU, the lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA) (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Laczniak and others, 1996).

The Paleozoic carbonate rocks of the LCA are widely distributed in the eastern part of the DVRFS region (fig. B–25). These rocks consist of a Middle Cambrian through Middle Devonian carbonate-dominated succession, about 4,500 m thick in this region, that includes dolomite, interbedded limestone, and thin but persistent shale, quartzite, and calcareous clastic units (Burchfiel, 1964). The lower part of this carbonate-rock section (Lower and Middle Cambrian Carrara Formation, Middle and Upper Cambrian Bonanza King Formation, Upper Cambrian Nopah Formation, Lower and Middle Ordovician Pogonip Group) is exposed in most of the mountain...
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Figure B–25. Outcrop distribution of hydrogeologic units associated with Mesozoic, Paleozoic, and Late Proterozoic sedimentary rocks.
ranges in the central and southern parts of the DVRFS region (fig. B–25). In contrast to the Proterozoic siliciclastic rocks, thickness variations in this interval are generally small across much of the DVRFS region (fig. B–2) (Cornwall, 1972). In the northwestern part of the DVRFS region, the Middle Cambrian through Middle Devonian rocks are somewhat thicker and represent a somewhat deeper-water facies of shale and impure carbonate rocks, including the Campito Formation (Cornwall, 1972; Burchfiel and others, 1982).

Southeast of the NTS, the LCA consists of Mississippian and Pennsylvanian carbonate rocks where the siliciclastic rocks of the UCCU do not separate the Paleozoic carbonate rocks into an upper and lower aquifer. The Bird Spring Formation is nearly 2,000 m thick in the central part of the Spring Mountains (Langenheim and Larson, 1973; Burchfiel and others, 1974). In the west and northwest parts of the DVRFS region, predominantly carbonate rocks of Mississippian, Pennsylvanian, and Permian age are exposed in the Grapevine, Cottonwood, and Panamint Mountains (Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002).

The LCA carbonate rocks have an aggregate thickness of as much as 8,000 m and are generally the most permeable rocks in the DVRFS region (Bedinger and others, 1989b; Belcher and others, 2001). Where hydraulically connected, they provide a path for interbasinal flow (Dettinger and Schaefer, 1996; Harrill and Prudic, 1998). Most of the springs in the area are associated with the carbonate rocks (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). Compared to flow through secondary openings in the carbonate rocks of the LCA, intergranular flow is relatively insignificant. The large hydraulic conductivities reported for rocks of this unit primarily are because of fractures, faults, and solution channels (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). Hydraulic tests of carbonate-rock aquifers throughout eastern and southern Nevada indicate that faults can increase the carbonate-rock transmissivity by a factor of 25 or more (Dettinger and others, 1995).

Areas affected by multiple deformational events are inferred to have potentially greater secondary fracture permeability.

Eleven hydrogeologic zones are defined for the LCA (fig. B–26, table B–6) on the basis of stratigraphic facies, inferred continuity of the aquifer, and degree of structural deformation. As with previous maps, mapped zones do not imply the existence of each HGU throughout the zone; rather, they are a guide to which set of material properties applies where the HGU exists in the 3D HFM (Chapter E, this volume).

In the eastern part of the DVRFS region, shelf sequence rocks of the central carbonate corridor (Dettinger and others, 1995) are differentiated from the basinal facies that exist in the extreme northwestern part of the region (Zone 9, fig. B–26A and table B–6). Outcrops of Paleozoic rocks are extremely sparse northwest of the NTS; in this region, the aquifer properties of the LCA are highly uncertain (Zone 10, fig. B–26A and table B–6). Paleozoic carbonate rocks are inferred to be absent or highly altered in the vicinity of the calderas of the SWNVF and exist only as tectonically dismembered blocks in a broad belt through the southern part of Death Valley (Zone 5, fig. B–26A and table B–6).

Rocks of the central carbonate corridor are subdivided on the basis of the inferred degree of structural disruption (fig. B–26B). The magnitude of Cenozoic extension was heterogeneous in the DVRFS region; regions of large-magnitude extension alternated with areas of lesser extension (Wernicke and others, 1984; Wernicke, 1992). Relatively undeformed stable blocks of the Sheep Range and Spring Mountains occupy the eastern part of the DVRFS region (Zone 1, fig. B–26B and table B–6). To the west of each of these blocks, the LCA is broken into a series of back-rotated, extended range blocks in the vicinity of the Desert Range and the Nopah Range (Zone 4, fig. B–26B and table B–6). Abundant normal faults in these extended blocks may provide potential flow pathways; however, structural thinning could limit the available thickness of the carbonate aquifer (Dettinger and Schaefer, 1996).

East of the NTS is a regional syncline (Zone 3, fig. B–26B and table B–6). Increased fracture permeability may exist along the axis of this fold. Much of the northeastern and central parts of the DVRFS region have been affected by basin-range faulting (Zone 8, fig. B–26B and table B–6). The degree of deformation and amount of extension in these areas is not as high as in the rotated, extended blocks to the southeast. In the western part of the DVRFS region, relatively large blocks have been displaced by extension and by movement on large regional strike-slip faults (Zone 7, fig. B–26B and table B–6). These blocks may be isolated from the regional carbonate aquifer (Dettinger and Schaefer, 1996) but may be of local importance.

Three additional types of deformation that potentially increase fracture-related permeability of the LCA are regional shear zones, oroflexural bending associated with regional strike-slip faults, and the presence of brittle detachments (fig. B–26C). In addition to major northwest-striking strike-slip faults, the Walker Lane belt includes northeast-striking shear zones that are transverse to the main trend of the belt (Carr, 1984; Stewart, 1988; Stewart and Crowell, 1992). These zones (Zone 2, fig. B–26C and table B–6) are characterized by subparallel, northeast-striking faults that accommodate relatively small amounts of sinistral and normal offset across a broad regional zone. Two such zones in the DVRFS region are the Spotted Range–Mine Mountain shear zone in the southern part of the NTS (Carr, 1984; Stewart, 1988) and the Pahranagat shear zone along the eastern boundary of the DVRFS region (Jayko, 1990). Broad areas of oroflexural bending (Albers, 1967) associated with major northwest-striking strike-slip faults have been defined by arcuate trends in the strike of tilted beds and fold axes (Burchfiel, 1965; Guth, 1981; Wernicke and others, 1984) (Zone 6, fig. B–26C and table B–6). In the vicinity of the LVVSZ, the clockwise bending appears to be related to the dextral slip and represents a broad zone of shear accommodated by crushing and local vertical axis rotation of blocks on the order of a few kilometers in lateral dimension (Nelson and Jones, 1987; Sonder and others, 1994). Local zones of potential enhanced permeability also are inferred in the upper plates of certain shallow-level, low-angle normal faults in the LCA (Zone 11, fig. B–26C and table B–6).
Map symbols
- Outcrop of units that compose lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA; from Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor and others, 2002)
- Central carbonate corridor (modified from Dettinger, 1989; subdivided in figure B–26B)
- LCA not continuous (Zone 5)
- Basinal facies (Zone 9)
- Uncertain (Zone 10)

Subdivision of central carbonate corridor by hydrogeologic zone
- Stable block (Zone 1)
- Displaced blocks (Zone 7)
- Regional syncline (Zone 3)
- Basin-range faulting (Zone 8)
- Rotated range blocks (Zone 4)

Structural symbols
- Normal fault, mapped or inferred
- Strike-slip fault
- Low-angle normal fault or detachment fault
- Caldera boundary
- Death Valley regional ground-water flow system model boundary
- Nevada Test Site boundary

Figure B–26. Hydrogeologic zones in the lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA). A, Based on facies and continuity. B, Addition of zones based on degree of structural disruption. C, Addition of zones based on deformation that potentially increases fracture permeability.
Figure B–26. Hydrogeologic zones in the lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA). A, Based on facies and continuity. 
B, Addition of zones based on degree of structural disruption. C, Addition of zones based on deformation that potentially increases fracture permeability.—Continued

Abbreviations: PSZ, Pahranagat shear zone; LVVSZ, Las Vegas Valley shear zone; SR-MM, Spotted Range–Mine Mountain shear zone
Table B–6. Hydrogeologic zones for the lower carbonate-rock aquifer (LCA).

[SWNVF, southwestern Nevada volcanic field]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stable block: Relatively unextended and unfaulted blocks of the Spring Mountains and Sheep Range.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Regional syncline: Spotted Range syncline, a large regional fold; moderate fault/fracture density along axis of fold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rotated range blocks: Highly extended, rotated range blocks. May be associated with detachment at depth. Moderate to high fault/fracture density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LCA not continuous: LCA is absent (near calderas of the SWNVF) or exists as tectonically dismembered blocks in areas of extreme extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Oroflexural bending: Associated with major strike-slip faults. High fault and fracture density associated with rotation of kilometer-scale (and smaller) blocks of LCA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Displaced blocks: Relatively intact blocks of carbonate rocks that are involved in regional extension. Mesozoic thrusts reactivated as normal faults; moderate fault/fracture density. May be associated with detachment at depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Basin-range faulting: LCA that occurs in basin-range fault blocks. Low to moderate fault/fracture density.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Basinal facies: Low matrix permeability as carbonate rocks transition to shale in the extreme northwest part of the DVRFS region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Uncertain: Aquifer properties of LCA highly uncertain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lower Clastic-Rock Confining Unit (LCCU)**

The lower clastic-rock confining unit (LCCU) consists of Middle Proterozoic to Cambrian siliciclastic rocks and subordinate dolomite, and locally, their metamorphic equivalents. Throughout much of the central part of the DVRFS region, Late Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian strata consist of a westward-thickening wedge of fine- to coarse-grained sandstone, conglomeratic sandstone, siltstone, and minor amounts of carbonate rock (Stewart, 1970). The stratigraphic section includes the Late Proterozoic Johnnie Formation and Stirling Quartzite, the Late Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian Wood Canyon Formation, the Lower Cambrian Zabriskie Quartzite (Stewart, 1970), and the lower one-third of the interbedded carbonate and quartzose rocks of the Lower and Middle Cambrian Carrara Formation (Palmer and Halley, 1979). These rocks are exposed in the northwestern part of the Spring Mountains where they are about 3,000 m thick (Buchfiel, 1964; Stewart, 1970); in the Nopah Range, where the interval is up to 3,300 m thick, to the east of the NTS (Barnes and Christiansen, 1967; Reso, 1963); and in the Panamint Mountains west of Death Valley (Hunt and Mabey, 1966; Diehl, 1974; Wright and others, 1974) where they are about 2,500 m thick; and in the Funeral Mountains (Labotka and others, 1980; Wernicke and others, 1986; Wright and Troxel, 1993). Strata of equivalent age to the east of the DVRFS region are only a few hundred meters thick, mostly Early Cambrian, and are similar to the stratonic sections exposed in the Grand Canyon (Rowland, 1987; Poole and others, 1992).

Stratigraphically underlying the rocks described above are the oldest sedimentary rocks in the DVRFS region, which are exposed in a relatively small area of the southern part of the region. These consist of the Middle and Late Proterozoic carbonate and siliciclastic rocks of the Pahrump Group and the Late Proterozoic Noonday Dolomite. These rocks unconformably overlie the Early Proterozoic basement gneiss and intrusive rocks and are as thick as 2,500 m in an east-west-trending trough that extends from southern Death Valley to the Kingston Range (Wright and others, 1974). Pahrump Group rocks thin to the north, south, and east (Stewart, 1972; Wright and others, 1974). Abrupt stratigraphic pinch-outs and facies changes have been used to infer that these rocks were deposited in a fault-controlled, rift basin setting (Wright and others, 1974). The extent and thickness of Pahrump Group rocks throughout most of the DVRFS region are not known, however, because this stratigraphic unit is not exposed.

In the northwestern part of the DVRFS region, Late Proterozoic and Cambrian strata that correlate with those of the central part of the DVRFS region are thicker and finer grained and contain significant amounts of carbonate rocks. They consist of interbedded siltstone, shale, limestone, dolomite, and fine-grained quartzite (Nelson, 1962; Stewart, 1970; Albers and Stewart, 1972). The stratigraphic section of this region includes the Late Proterozoic Wyman Formation, Reed Dolomite and Deep Spring Formation, and the Lower Cambrian Campito, Poleta, and Harkless Formations. These strata are considered to be the White-Inyo assemblage (Stewart, 1970). They contrast with their more quartzose correlates to the south—the Death Valley assemblage. Typical exposures are found in the White and Inyo Mountains and Last Chance Range in California (Nelson, 1962; McKee, 1985; Signor and Mount, 1986) and exposures in Esmeralda County, Nev. (McKee and Moiola, 1962; Stewart, 1970; Albers and Stewart, 1972; Nelson, 1978).

The LCCU has long been considered a major confining unit in the DVRFS region (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975) and, along with the crystalline confining unit (XCU),
represents the hydraulic basement for the DVRFS region (D’Agnese and others, 1997). The low hydraulic conductivity of the rock matrix permits negligible ground-water movement, but in many places the rocks are highly fractured and locally brecciated (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). At shallow depths, the fractures and breccias can be conduits to flow, converting the elasic rocks into locally important shallow aquifers (D’Agnese and others, 1997).

The LCCU has been subdivided into six hydrogeologic zones based on lithology and structural considerations (Sweetkind and White, 2001) (fig. B–27, table B–7). The main facies transition in the Late Proterozoic through Lower Cambrian stratigraphic section of the DVRFS region is from an eastern region dominated by thick intervals of coarse siliciclastic rocks interbedded with shale (Zone 2; fig. B–27 and table B–7) to a more shale-dominated region with significant amounts of carbonate rocks (Zone 3; fig. B–27 and table B–7). Rocks of the LCCU are metamorphosed to medium and high grades where present in the lower plates of major detachment faults in the Panamint and Funeral Mountains (Labotka and others, 1980; Wernicke and others, 1986; Wright and Troxel, 1993) (Zone 5; fig. B–27 and table B–7). In the southernmost part of the DVRFS region, thick sections of Middle and Late Proterozoic carbonate rocks of the Panrump Group are shallow enough that they could potentially be aquifers (Zone 4; fig. B–27 and table B–7).

**Hydrogeologic Units Associated with Crystalline Metamorphic Rocks and Plutons**

**Intrusive-Rock Confining Unit (ICU)**

The rocks of the intrusive-rock confining unit (ICU) include granodiorite, quartz monzomite, granite, and tonalite. Mesozoic and Cenozoic plutonic rocks in the DVRFS region are widely scattered, poorly exposed, and not abundant in the northeastern two-thirds of the DVRFS (fig. B–28). Plutonic rocks are much more common in the southwestern and western parts of the DVRFS region and include both plutons of the Mesozoic Sierran arc and synextensional plutons of the southern DVRFS region (Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002).

Mesozoic granitic rocks include the Late Triassic to Early Jurassic quartz monzodioritic plutonic rocks underlying most of the Avawatz Mountains, Jurassic (mostly 186–161 Ma) plutons mostly to the west of Death Valley, and Cretaceous (mostly 100–92 Ma) in the Panamint Mountains and Owlshead Mountains. Small exposures of Cretaceous plutonic rocks in the vicinity of the NTS include the Climax stock on the northern side of Yucca Flat, the Gold Meadows stock north of Rainier Mesa, and granitic rocks on the eastern flank of the southern Kawich Range.

Oligocene and Miocene plutonic rocks crop out locally in the vicinity of the NTS, some of which are associated with caldera-related volcanism ranging in age from 32 to 11 Ma (Ekren and others, 1971; Cornwall, 1972; Ekren and others, 1977; Kleinhampl and Ziony, 1985; Slate and others, 2000). To the north of the NTS, a subcaldera pluton has been inferred in the Quinn Canyon Range (Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002). At the NTS, outcrops of Neogene plutonic rocks include those near Wahmonie Flat and small intrusive bodies mapped in the Calico Hills and near Timber Mountain (Maldonado, 1985; Potter, Dickerson, and others, 2002). Neogene plutonic rocks that are associated with extension crop out in the southern part of Death Valley (Wright and others, 1999). These rocks include the gabбро to diorite intrusive rocks in the Black Mountains (about 10.3 Ma, Holm and others, 1992), the granites of the Kingston Range (12.4 Ma, Fowler and Calzia, 1999), the Little Chief stock in the Panamint Mountains, and other Neogene plutons of the Greenwater Range and central Death Valley volcanic field (Wright and others, 1991).

The ICU unit acts mostly as a confining unit. Although small quantities of water may pass through these intrusive crystalline rocks, where fractures or weathered zones exist, the fractures are poorly connected, and these rocks generally impede ground-water flow (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975).

**Crystalline-Rock Confining Unit (XCU)**

The crystalline-rock confining unit (XCU) consists of Early Proterozoic (about 1.7 Ga, Wright and Troxel, 1993) quartzofeldspathic schist, augen gneiss, granitic intrusive rocks, and metamorphosed Middle and Late Proterozoic sedimentary rocks. Early Proterozoic rocks are present in scattered exposures in the southern and southwestern parts of the DVRFS region and are rarely exposed throughout most of the rest of the DVRFS region (fig. B–28). These rocks crop out in the central part of the Panamint Mountains (Labotka and others, 1980), in the southern part of the Black Mountains (Holm and others, 1994), in the southern end of the Nopah Range, and in small exposures in the Funeral Mountains (Wright and Troxel, 1993) and the Bullfrog Hills (Hoisch and others, 1997) (fig. B–28). In many of these places, the Early Proterozoic crystalline rocks are in the lower plates of detachment faults. The Early Proterozoic crystalline rocks presumably form a continuous basement beneath most of the DVRFS region; they have been tectonically thickened and thinned and are locally invaded by younger plutons.

Ground water likely is present only locally in the XCU where the rock is fractured. Much of the XCU has gneissic or schistose foliation and lacks a continuous fracture network. Because the fractures are poorly connected, these rocks act mostly as confining units or barriers to flow (D’Agnese and others, 1997).
Figure B–27. Hydrogeologic zones in the lower clastic-rock confining unit (LCCU).
Table B–7. Hydrogeologic zones for the lower clastic-rock confining unit (LCCU).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LCCU is very thin (a few hundred meters) and is similar to the cratonic sedimentary interval exposed in the Grand Canyon. Fine-grained siliciclastic rocks that generally act as a confining unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LCCU forms a westward-thickening wedge (generally 2,000 to 3,000 m thick) of fine- to coarse-grained sandstone, siltstone, conglomeratic sandstone, shale, and minor amounts of carbonate rock. Generally low permeability but may form local aquifer where highly deformed and complexly fractured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LCCU is a thick (&gt;3,000 m) section of interbedded siltstone, limestone, dolomite, and fine-grained sandstone. Generally finer grained and more poorly sorted than rocks in Zone 2; however, interbedded sandstones and carbonate rocks locally may act as aquifers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LCCU includes rocks of the Pahrump Group, a locally thick accumulation of Middle and Late Proterozoic sedimentary rocks. The Pahrump Group includes a significant thickness of dolomite and locally might be important to ground-water flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LCCU exposed beneath regional detachment structures. In these exposures, metamorphic grade is high, and the rocks are foliated and are of relatively low permeability. Possibly the lowest permeability of the LCCU.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LCCU either missing or properties are completely unknown.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Structural Factors Affecting Ground-Water Flow**

The hydrogeologic effects of faulting in the DVRFS region result from either fault-caused juxtaposition of HGUs with contrasting hydrologic properties or from the physical characteristics of the fault zones themselves that may cause specific parts of the fault zone to act either as conduits or barriers to flow. Faults can have two effects on ground-water flow: direct effects associated with alterations to flow rates and ground-water velocities within the faulted zone, and indirect effects associated with alterations to the flow field in the area near the faulted zone (Black and others, 1987). Direct effects are related to (1) the physical characteristics of the fault-zone material or the material properties of the rock on either side of the fault that may cause specific parts of the zone to act either as conduits or as barriers to ground-water flow, (2) orientation of a fault with respect to the present stress field that affects dilatancy and possibly influences hydraulic conductivity along the fault zone, and (3) the recency of fault motion or association with contemporary seismicity where active stresses maintain fault openings and enhance permeabilities. Indirect effects are related to (1) fault juxtaposition of HGUs with contrasting hydrologic properties that may cause ground-water discharge and other perturbations in the flow system, and (2) the orientation of the structure with respect to the flow field. Structural controls on ground-water flow in the DVRFS region have long been recognized (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973; Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Dudley and Larsen, 1976; Lacziak and others, 1996; Dettinger and Schaefer, 1996; McKee and others, 1998). Matrix permeability is low for both the LCA (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975) and for the welded parts of the volcanic-rock aquifers (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973). As such, faults, shear zones, and fractures largely determine the secondary water-transmitting properties of these rocks (McKee, 1997; McKee and others, 1998).

**Juxtaposition of Hydrogeologic Units**

Fault juxtaposition of hydrogeologic units with contrasting hydraulic and hydrologic properties may result in ground-water discharge and other perturbations in the regional flow system. Regional flow of ground water in the LCA in the DVRFS region is greatly influenced by the structural position of the relatively low permeability clastic-rock confining units (fig. B–29) (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). Previous ground-water modeling studies (D’Agnese and others, 1997; IT Corporation, 1996a) have inferred that structurally elevated confining units divert ground-water flow in the central Funeral Mountains, the northwestern part of the Spring Mountains, and in the western part of Yucca Flat (fig. B–29). D’Agnese and others (1998) show that steep hydraulic gradients correlate in general with places where relatively low permeability rocks or structures are juxtaposed with aquifers.

The influence of structures and the juxtaposition of HGUs on a ground-water flow system emphasize the importance of subsurface geologic interpretation and the resulting depiction in a 3D digital HFM (Chapter E, this volume). The two recent regional ground-water flow models (IT Corporation, 1996a; D’Agnese and others, 1997) differ substantially in their subsurface structural geologic interpretation of the DVRFS region in terms of level of detail and structural style portrayed and internal consistency of the interpretations. The geologic framework in the YMP/HRMP model (D’Agnese and others, 1997) was based on a regional geologic map compilation (Faunt and others, 1997) and on a set of regional geologic cross sections (Grose, 1983; Grose and Smith, 1989). The cross sections did not include interpretations of large-magnitude extension (Wernicke and others, 1988; Snow, 1992; Snow and Wernicke, 2000) and more recent interpretations of regional thrust correlation (Trexler and others, 1996; Cole and Cashman, 1999). The DOE/NV-UGTA geologic framework model (IT Corporation, 1996b) incorporated recent interpretations of compressional and extensional structures, but cross sections drawn by multiple authors led to some inconsistencies in the geologic interpretations. Further, the cross sections were not referenced to a regional geologic map to guide structural interpretations.
50,000-meter grid based on Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 11. Shaded-relief base from 1:250,000-scale Digital Elevation Model; sun illumination from northwest at 30 degrees above horizon

**EXPLANATION**

*Hydrogeologic units*
(from Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002)

- Intrusive-rock confining unit (ICU)
- Crystalline-rock confining unit (XCU)
- Death Valley regional ground-water flow system model boundary
- Nevada Test Site boundary

**Figure B–28.** Outcrop distribution of hydrogeologic units associated with metamorphic rocks and igneous plutons.
Inferred subsurface extent of barriers related to structurally high siliciclastic rocks (from Winograd and Thordarson, 1975)

General direction of ground-water flow (from D’Agnese and others, 1997; Laczniak and others, 1996)

Death Valley regional ground-water flow system model boundary

Nevada Test Site boundary

EXPLANATION

Hydrogeologic units
(from Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor and others, 2002)

Green: Upper clastic-rock confining unit (UCCU)

Brown: Lower clastic-rock confining unit (LCCU)

LCCU in thrust plates

Light brown: Intrusive-rock confining unit (ICU)

Purple: Crystalline-rock confining unit (XCU)

Figure B–29. Outcrop distribution of confining unit hydrogeologic units that potentially influence ground-water flow through juxtaposition of hydrogeologic units.
The current HFM (Chapter E, this volume) incorporates data from an integrated series of geologic investigations to develop a subsurface structural geologic interpretation. A regional geologic map compilation (Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002) was created using a regionally consistent set of geologic map units and incorporating numerous sources of recent unpublished mapping. An accompanying regional tectonic map (Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002) was created using regional magnetic and gravity compilations (Ponce and others, 2001; Ponce and Blakely, 2001; Blakely and Ponce, 2001) to interpret buried structures. A derivative regional structural map (Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002) interpreted the hydrologic significance of the features on the tectonic map on the basis of the regional potentiometric surface, springs, and structural evidence such as magnitude of fault offset. Subsurface geologic interpretation is depicted on 28 geologic cross sections (Sweetkind, Dickerson, and others, 2001) that were explicitly referenced to the geologic and structural map compilations. Cross-section interpretations used by the previous regional models were incorporated where appropriate.

Juxtaposition of Hydrogeologic Units by Thrust Faults

Thrust faults in the DVRFS region juxtapose hydrogeologic units of contrasting hydrologic properties and complicate the ground-water flow patterns by serving as local barriers (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; McKee and others, 1998). These thrust faults are capable of causing significant diversion of ground-water flow or steep hydraulic gradients in the DVRFS region (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; D’Agnes and others, 1998; Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002). The major thrust faults of the DVRFS region have stratigraphic offsets of several kilometers and horizontal displacements of up to several tens of kilometers based on offsets in regional facies trends (Fleck, 1970; Snow, 1992). This magnitude of stratigraphic offset typically results (for all thrusts except the frontal Keystone thrust and its equivalents; fig. B-5) in the juxtaposition of the older Late Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian siliciclastic-rock section in the upper plate against the younger Paleozoic Cambrian through Permian, predominantly carbonate-rock section in the lower plate (fig. B-30) (Armstrong, 1968; Fleck, 1970; Burchfiel and others, 1974). A complete description of thrust faults in the area is found in the tectonic map compilation of the DVRFS region (Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002); thrust faults in the vicinity of the NTS are described by Cole and Cashman (1999). Structural reconstructions based on thrust correlation are summarized in Snow and Wernicke (2000).

To affect regional ground-water flow, thrust faults in the DVRFS region (fig. B-31) must have sufficient stratigraphic offset and along-strike continuity and be at an angle to the regional flow direction. Thrusts in the western part of the DVRFS region in the Funeral, Cottonwood, and Grapevine Mountains are generally subparallel to the regional northeast-to-southwest flow direction and may not influence the flow field except to divert water locally (D’Agnes and others, 1997). To the west of the Spring Mountains, several smaller thrusts are exposed in the rotated range blocks (Burchfiel and others, 1982, 1983; Snow and Wernicke, 2000). These thrusts exist in a tract of LCCU that generally separates Pahrump Valley from the Amargosa Desert, but the thrust plates are, in general, broken by normal faults and may be too discontinuous to be regionally significant. The Spring Mountains preserve two major, regionally extensive thrust faults, the Keystone thrust to the east and the Wheeler Pass thrust to the west (Burchfiel and others, 1974). Although well exposed, these thrusts crop out in the highest part of the DVRFS region; therefore, the large amount of water available as potential recharge may overwhelm bedrock geologic controls from the thrusts (D’Agnes and others, 1998).

The Belted Range thrust is the most northwesterly thrust structure identified in the vicinity of the NTS and is almost completely buried beneath Cenozoic volcanic rocks (fig. B-32). Late Proterozoic to Cambrian siliciclastic rocks in the upper plate of the thrust, part of the LCCU, are exposed only locally at the NTS and are known from borehole data (Cole and Cashman, 1999). In a general sense, the Belted Range thrust and related imbricate thrusts in its footwall juxtapose siliciclastic-rock confining units of the LCCU and UCCU against the Paleozoic carbonate rocks of the LCA. The great permeability contrast between these units is thought to create an effective barrier to ground-water flow (Laczniak and others, 1996) and segregates flow systems in the volcanic rocks of the western part of the NTS from carbonate-rock flow systems of the eastern part of the NTS (fig. B-31). The steep hydraulic gradient along most of the western side of Yucca Flat appears to be related to the combined effects of the Belted Range thrust and its footwall imbricates (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; D’Agnes and others, 1998). This thrust was not explicitly included in the geologic framework of the YMP/HRMP model (D’Agnes and others, 1997), and a zone of low hydraulic conductivity that approximated the trace of the thrust had to be added during model calibration. The Belted Range thrust was included explicitly in the geologic framework of the DOE/NV-UGTA model (IT Corporation, 1996b) but was generalized as a vertical barrier in this flow model (IT Corporation, 1996a).

The Gass Peak thrust, along the eastern margin of the DVRFS region (fig. B-31), juxtaposes older siliciclastic Late Proterozoic Stirling Quartzite and Late Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian Wood Canyon Formation in its upper plate over highly folded and locally overturned younger Pennsylvanian and Permian carbonate-rock strata in the lower plate (Longwell and others, 1965; Guth, 1981). The thrust extends for at least 100 km along the eastern side of the Sheep Range and southward into the Las Vegas Range and may have greater than 30 km of horizontal displacement (Longwell and others, 1965; Guth, 1981). The siliciclastic rocks above the Gass Peak thrust may compartmentalize regional flow and
Late Proterozoic and Lower Cambrian siliciclastic rocks of hydrogeologic unit LCCU are thrust over lower Paleozoic carbonate rocks of hydrogeologic unit LCA, which are themselves thrust over younger carbonate rocks. Red lines denote thrust faults with arrow on the upper plate. Black lines portray general attitude of bedding. Geology after Burchfiel and others (1983). Photograph by D.S. Sweetkind, U.S. Geological Survey.

In this photo, the Baxter thrust places older rocks included within hydrogeologic unit LCCU (units Zs, Czw, Cz, and Cc) over younger Paleozoic carbonate rocks of hydrogeologic unit LCA (units Cb and Cn). Red line denotes thrust fault, with barbs on upper plate. Cenozoic deformation has rotated the strata 25 to 40 degrees to the east, exposing the Paleozoic carbonate rocks that lie beneath the thrust. The thrust climbs upsection in both the hanging wall and the footwall, successively truncating younger units. Geology after Burchfiel and others (1983). White truck in wash at lower right for scale. Photograph by D.S. Sweetkind, U.S. Geological Survey.

**Figure B–30.** Examples of thrust fault relations in the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
Figure B–31. Juxtaposition of hydrogeologic units by thrust faults in the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
Cross section geology and symbols from Sweetkind, Dickerson, and others (2002) sections H-1, H-2, and H-3. View is to the northeast. Colors on the section correspond to hydrogeologic units as follows: Unit colored gray, XCU; units colored brown or tan, LCCU; units colored in shades of blue, LCA; gray, UCCU; red and pink, ICU; orange and light brown units at west (left) end of each section and beneath Yucca Flat are Cenozoic volcanic rocks; yellow color denotes undifferentiated basin fill and alluvial deposits. BRT, Belted Range thrust.

EXPLANATION

Map units
(from Workman, Menges, Page, Taylor, and others, 2002)

- Mississippian dominantly siliciclastic rocks (UCCU)
- Paleozoic carbonate rocks (LCA)
- Late Proterozoic to Cambrian siliciclastic rocks in upper plate of thrust (LCCU)
- Late Proterozoic to Cambrian siliciclastic rocks
- Undifferentiated Cenozoic volcanic rocks
- Undifferentiated Cenozoic basin fill
- Other rocks

Figure B-32. Interpreted subsurface geology, Belted Range thrust.
Figure B–33. Interpreted subsurface geology, Gass Peak thrust.
separate the DVRFS from the Colorado River flow system to the east (Eakin, 1966). However, Cenozoic normal faults to the west of the Sheep Range have disrupted the continuity of the Gass Peak thrust (Guth, 1981, 1990; Wernicke and others, 1984) (fig. B–33). These faults are part of the Sheep Range detachment, a system of down-to-the-west normal faults that are inferred to flatten and converge at depth into a deep detachment zone, on the basis of significant rotation of bedding in the eastern part of the DVRFS region (Guth, 1981, 1990; Wernicke and others, 1984). These listric faults disrupt the continuity of the upper plate of the Gass Peak thrust and potentially allow connection of the two regional flow systems (fig. B–33). Guth (1981) presents an alternative view in which upper plate LCCU units thicken rapidly westward and effectively prohibit hydraulic connection of carbonate rocks of the upper and lower plate. Structurally elevated LCCU in the Desert Range (fig. B–33) is interpreted as a structural duplex of the Gass Peak thrust plate (Caskey and Schweikert, 1992) that has been subsequently disrupted by regional extension. This area forms a regional high of LCCU that diverts flow coming from the northeastern part of the DVRFS region (Dettinger and others, 1995; Dettinger and Schaefer, 1996).

The Specter Range thrust (fig. B–31) is a south-east-vergent thrust exposed in the Specter Range just south of the southern border of the NTS (Burchfiel, 1965; Sargent and Stewart, 1971). The thrust fault places older Late Proterozoic Stirling Quartzite and Late Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian Wood Canyon Formation (LCCU) over younger folded Ordovician, Silurian, and Devonian, strata (LCA) in the footwall (Burchfiel, 1965). The Specter Range thrust fault climbs upsection and loses stratigraphic throw to the northeast, where it appears to die out beneath Mercury Valley (McKee and others, 1998; Cole and Cashman, 1999). Interpretation of the subsurface extent of this thrust (McKee and others, 1998) indicates that it is a barrier to ground-water flow and channels flow in the regional carbonate aquifer southwestward toward discharge sites at Ash Meadows.

**Juxtaposition of Hydrogeologic Units by Detachment and Normal Faults**

Structurally high LCCU and XCU hydrogeologic units in the southwest part of the DVRFS region are associated with areas of highly disrupted surface rocks that are underlain by gently dipping extensional detachments that commonly expose a metamorphic core in their lower plates. The ranges bounding Death Valley (including the Panamint, Grapevine, Funeral, and Black Mountains) (fig. B–34) preserve major detachment faults that juxtapose lower plate, midcrustal, medium- and high-grade metamorphic rocks against unmetamorphosed upper-plate rocks across mylonite zones (Hamilton, 1988). The Grapevine and Funeral Mountains preserve the upper and lower plates, respectively, of the Boundary Canyon detachment, a gently dipping fault that juxtaposes amphibolite-grade metamorphic rocks of the lower plate against the unmetamorphosed rocks of the upper plate across a mylonitic zone only a few meters thick (Hamilton, 1988; Wright and Troxel, 1993). A major system of gently inclined normal faults exposes midcrustal metamorphic rocks in the Black Mountains, to the east of Death Valley. Overlying these major, low-angle detachment faults are Cenozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks (fig. B–35A) that are cut by abundant listric normal faults (Greene, 1997). The Panamint Mountains (fig. B–34) are bounded on the east, north, and west sides by extensional structures known as the Tucki Mountain detachment system (Wernicke and others, 1986; McKenna and Hodges, 1990; Andew, 2000). Exposures of Proterozoic metamorphic and siliciclastic rocks in the Funeral and Black Mountains are associated with a steep hydraulic gradient along the east side of Death Valley (D’Agnese and others, 1997). Regional springs are present in Death Valley only in the northern part of the Grapevine Mountains and the southern part of the Funeral Mountains (Steinkampf and Werrell, 2001), where more permeable rocks allow ground-water flow; no regional springs are present where the confining units are exposed.

The Fluorspar Canyon–Bullfrog Hills detachment system (fig. B–35B) separates nonmetamorphosed Cenozoic volcanic strata in the upper plate from the pre-Cenozoic bedrock of the lower plate at Bare Mountain (Monsen and others, 1992; Fridrich and others, 1999). In the southern Bullfrog Hills, complexly faulted upper plate volcanic rocks are disrupted by listric normal faults that merge with the detachment zone, which consists of fault-bounded lenses of nonmetamorphosed Paleozoic strata (fig. B–35B) (Maldonado and Hausback, 1990; Maldonado, 1990), all of which overlie a lower plate of amphibolite-grade metamorphic rocks (Hoisch and others, 1997). This fault was not included in the geologic framework of the YMP/HRMP model, and a zone of low hydraulic conductivity that approximated the fault was added during flow-model calibration (D’Agnese and others, 1997). Inverse models of gravity data (fig. B–35C) (Ponce and others, 2001) and recent geologic mapping (Monsen and others, 1992; Fridrich and others, 1999) show that Cenozoic volcanic rocks are thin and that pre-Cenozoic rocks lie at shallow depths throughout most of the southern part of the Bullfrog Hills. These data substantiate the existence of the detachment fault in the Bullfrog Hills.

Juxtaposition of contrasting HGUs along large-offset normal faults localizes substantial ground-water discharge at several places in the DVRFS region. Regional northeast-to-southwest flowing ground water is likely diverted to the surface in the eastern Amargosa Desert, where the LCA is juxtaposed against the low-permeability basin-fill materials across the Gravity fault (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Dudley and Larsen, 1976). At Oasis Valley, a cluster of springs is localized along the Hogback normal fault (Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002). These springs appear to be localized by the juxtaposition of permeable volcanic rocks on the east against LCCU on the west (Grauch and others, 1999; Fridrich and others, 1999). As a result, westward-flowing ground water in the volcanic rocks is forced to the land surface when it contacts the LCCU. Several springs in the central part of the DVRFS region appear to be related to fault juxtaposition of contrasting
Figure B–34. Juxtaposition of hydrogeologic units by detachment faults in the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
View is to the east from the western side of Death Valley. The crystalline core of the Black Mountains (PCm and Tws on the figure) lie beneath a gently northwest-dipping detachment fault. Upper plate rocks are Cenozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks (Tad on figure; equivalent to hydrogeologic unit VSU) cut by abundant listric normal faults that flatten and merge with the detachment fault. Normal faults are shown by red lines, with ball and bar on downthrown side.

View of Fluorspar Canyon–Bullfrog Hills detachment. Tilted Cenozoic volcanic rocks (Tlr, Tcb, Tpc) are truncated against a subhorizontal detachment fault that locally has complexly faulted Paleozoic strata (Pz in figure) in its lower plate. Geology after Maldonado and Hausback (1990). Inverse models of gravity data (below) show that pre-Cenozoic rocks lie at shallow depths throughout most of the southern part of the Bullfrog Hills.


**EXPLANATION**

Modeled thickness of Cenozoic rocks from gravity data (from Blakely and others, 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 100 meters</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 – 250 meters</td>
<td>Dark orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 – 500 meters</td>
<td>Dark pink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 – 750 meters</td>
<td>Dark teal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 – 1,000 meters</td>
<td>Light blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 – 2,000 meters</td>
<td>Light green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2,000 meters</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcrop of pre-Cenozoic bedrock

Detachment or low-angle normal fault (from Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002)
I implicate of Alternative Interpretations on Magnitude of Regional Extension

Ground-water investigations of the DVRFS region have assumed a relatively continuous Paleozoic carbonate aquifer throughout at least the eastern one-half of the DVRFS region (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Prudic and others, 1995; Thomas and others, 1996; Laczi~niak and others, 1996; D’Agnese and others, 1997, 2002). The Paleozoic carbonate-rock aquifer crops out extensively in the ranges throughout most of the eastern one-half of the DVRFS region; its presence beneath basin-fill sediments in the valleys, however, is subject to interpretation. Regional models of extension (Wernicke, 1992; Snow and Wernicke, 2000) imply discontinuity between range blocks in the carbonate-rock section. Regional estimates of extension based on correlation of thrust faults indicate that many of the carbonate-rock mountain ranges of the DVRFS region lie in a zone of extreme crustal extension, implying that these ranges are thin slivers of crust that detached above a migrating flexure in highly thinned crust (Holm and others, 1992). In this view, Proterozoic siliciclastic or crystalline rocks might be expected beneath basin-fill sediments in the valleys. In contrast, a group of rectilinear fault-block basins formed by caldera collapse localized by preexisting linear normal faults (Ferguson and others, 1994; Warren and others, 2000). An example of such a fault is the Thirsty Canyon lineament (corresponding to feature 14 of Grauch and others, 1999; their figure B–7). Juxtaposition of Hydrogeologic Units at Caldera Boundaries

The structural and topographic margins of calderas in the SWNVF juxtapose intracaldera and outflow-facies volcanic rocks. Intracaldera rocks differ in their geometry and material properties from equivalent outflow facies in having greater thicknesses of welded material and more complex welding zonation, greater lithologic diversity including megabreccia and thick lava accumulations, and a greater degree of alteration. Fracture patterns in intracaldera rocks tend to be more irregular than those of outflow tuffs (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973), leading to a smaller number of connected flow paths. Outflow tuff sheets, although thinner than intracaldera tuff accumulations, have better connected fracture networks and there is less likelihood of significant alteration (Blankennagel and Weir, 1973). Few boreholes in the SWNVF are located such that the hydraulic significance of juxtaposition at caldera boundaries can be defined.

A caldera model with gently inwardly sloping topographic walls along with near-vertical ring faults defining the structural boundary of caldera subsidence (Lipman, 1984; Lipman 1997) was used as a conceptual basis for simulating all calderas within the SWNVF in the YMP/HRMP model (D’Agnese and others, 1997, p. 15). An alternative conceptual model for the buried calderas of the SCCC and TMCC was used in the geologic framework of the DOE/NV-UGTA model (IT Corporation, 1996b). The alternative model envisions a group of rectilinear fault-block basins formed by caldera collapse localized by preexisting linear normal faults (Ferguson and others, 1994; Warren and others, 2000). An example of such a fault is the Thirsty Canyon lineament (corresponding to feature 14 of Grauch and others, 1999; their figure B–7 and table B–4) that is interpreted from geophysical data to be a preexisting fault zone that was later exploited to form the straight northwestern boundaries (fig. B–13) of the SCCC and TMCC (Grauch and others, 1999). Numerous local fault blocks proposed for this alternative model (Ferguson and others, 1994; Warren and others, 2000) were not used in recent 3D geologic framework models of the Pahute Mesa area (McKee and others, 1999; McKee and others, 2001) because (1) the geophysical data are insufficient to detect the high-angle fault-block basins and (2) the geologic data from boreholes in the upper 900 m define small-offset, high-angle faults (McKee and others, 1999, 2001).
EXPLANATION

- Normal fault (from Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002)
- Detachment or low-angle fault (from Potter, Sweetkind and others, 2002)
- Death Valley regional ground-water flow system model boundary
- Nevada Test Site boundary
- Location of borehole that penetrates hydrogeologic unit LCA
- Location of large-volume spring with chemistry consistent with flow through hydrogeologic unit LCA

Figure B–36. Greatly extended domains, faults, boreholes, and regional springs associated with the Paleozoic carbonate-rock aquifer.
Faults as Hydrogeologic Features

Many brittle fault zones contain a narrow core of fine-grained, relatively low-permeability gouge that is the locus of fault displacement (Caine and others, 1996). In many cases, the core will have reduced permeability, relative to that of the original rock or the surrounding damage zone, as a result of progressive grain-size reduction, dissolution, reaction, and mineral precipitation (Caine and others, 1996). The core zone can be flanked by damage zones, a network of subsidiary small faults and fractures that enhance secondary permeability (Caine and others, 1996; Caine and Forster, 1999). Fault cores typically restrict fluid flow across the fault, while the damage zone may conduct ground-water flow parallel to the fault zone. In general, large-displacement faults are characterized by a continuous, relatively low permeability core zone (Chester and Logan, 1986).

Hydraulic Barriers

On the basis of characteristics of the potentiometric surface, the location of springs, and the location of the fault with respect to predominant northeast-to-southwest ground-water flow in the DVRFS region, several of the large strike-slip faults in the DVRFS region, including the LVVSZ, the Pahrump–Stewart Valley fault zone, and the Death Valley–Furnace Creek fault system (fig. B–7), are thought to be potential barriers to ground-water flow. The large strike-slip faults in the southwestern part of the DVRFS region are generally buried beneath Cenozoic sediments, although traces of the faults are commonly defined by Quaternary fault scarps (Anderson and others, 1995; Piety, 1996). Geophysical investigations of the LVVSZ (Langenheim and others, 2001) and the Pahrump–Stewart Valley fault zone (Blakely and others, 1998, 1999) portray a structurally complex pre-Cenozoic surface adjacent to these faults consisting of steep-sided local depressions and ridges that likely are fault-bounded (fig. B–37) and probably represent local compression and extension in the overall strike-slip environment (Wright, 1989).

The LVVSZ extends more than 100 km northwestward from its eastern end near Frenchman Mountain, on the east side of Las Vegas Valley (fig. B–7). The LVVSZ is a complex system of right-lateral faults with several fault strands and associated steep-sided pull-apart subbasins (Langenheim and others, 2001). Right-lateral offset of correlative features across the LVVSZ is estimated to be from 40 to 66 km (Stewart and others, 1968; Longwell, 1974); displacement is thought to have occurred between 14 and 8.5 Ma (Bohannon, 1984; Duebendorfer and Black, 1992). The LVVSZ appears to form a hydraulic barrier in the Indian Springs, Nev., area; spring discharge at Indian Springs (fig. B–36) may reflect upward flow of ground water against a low-permeability fault barrier (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975). The Pahrump–Stewart Valley fault zone (Stewart and others, 1968; Burchfiel and others, 1983; Stewart and Crowell, 1992) is a regionally extensive, right-lateral, strike-slip fault zone that roughly parallels the California–Nevada border through the Stewart and Pahrump Valleys. The fault zone may be as long as 150 km (Schweickert and Lahren, 1997; Blakely and others, 1998) and is estimated to have between 20 and 30 km of right-lateral offset based on offset of Proterozoic and Paleozoic rocks (Stewart and others, 1968), interpreted correlations of thrust sheets, and offsets in regional facies trends (Stevens and others, 1991). The faults are almost everywhere buried by Cenozoic rocks; part of the zone is exposed in the southern Montgomery Mountains (fig. B–38) (as defined by Burchfiel and others, 1983).

The 250-km-long Death Valley–Furnace Creek fault system consists of right-lateral strike-slip and normal faults that cross the entire western part of the DVRFS region (fig. B–7) (Stewart, 1988; Piety, 1996). The southern part of the system is a 50-km-long set of northwest-striking, predominantly right-lateral faults that underlie southern Death Valley (Workman, Menges, Page, Ekren, and others, 2002). The central part of the system is a 60-km-long, north-northwest-trending, primarily oblique normal-slip fault zone that forms the western range front of the Black Mountains (fig. B–6) (Piety, 1996). The northern part of this fault system is an active right-lateral fault zone (Piety, 1996) with a total cumulative right-lateral offset estimated at about 65 to 80 km (Stewart, 1967; Stewart and others, 1968; Snow and Wernicke, 1989). Springs in the northern part of Death Valley may be localized along the northern Death Valley–Furnace Creek fault zone where upward flow of ground water is localized against a low-permeability fault barrier (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002).

Potter, Sweetkind, and others (2002) compiled the locations of principal faults and structural zones in the DVRFS region that may influence ground-water flow. A subset of the mapped faults in DVRFS region was chosen for possible inclusion as hydraulic barriers in the ground-water flow model (fig. B–39). Faults were chosen on the basis of their length, offset, type of slip, orientation, characteristics of the potentiometric surface, and the location of springs. The emphasis was on faults that may have special hydraulic characteristics that may require them to be treated as separate entities in the flow model. Juxtaposition of HGUs with different hydraulic properties was not a primary consideration as these relations are incorporated in the HFM (Chapter E, this volume). Structural features were classified based on a hierarchical approach for possible sequential inclusion into the flow model (table B–8). Initially, northwest-striking faults were separated from faults of other (primarily north-south) orientation (table B–8; fig. B–39). The northwest-striking faults typically are the large-offset strike-slip faults that are oriented approximately perpendicular to the flow direction. These faults are interpreted as being the most likely structural barriers to regional ground-water flow. Second-level subdivision of these faults consists of dividing the northwest-striking faults that involve the regional carbonate-rock aquifer from those that involve other, primarily confining, units. Finally, local segments of strike-slip faults are subdivided; these segments of different orientation from the main fault trace correspond to releasing or restraining bends.
that may differ significantly in hydraulic conductivity from other parts of the fault (Potter, Sweetkind and others, 2002). North-south-striking normal faults were subdivided primarily on magnitude of offset, and then by distribution in the DVRFS region (table B–8; fig. B–39).

**Hydraulic Conduits**

Comparison of the location of large-offset structures with the regional potentiometric surface (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; D’Agnese and others, 1998) and the results of recent ground-water flow models (IT Corporation, 1996a; D’Agnese and others, 1997) indicates that few of the individual structures are hydraulic conduits on the regional scale. Rather than being associated with single faults, hydraulic conduits in the DVRFS region appear to be spatially associated with broad, northeast-striking zones that are transverse to the main trend of the Walker Lane belt (fig. B–7) (Carr, 1984; Stewart, 1988; Stewart and Crowell, 1992). These zones are characterized by active seismicity associated with subparallel, northeast-striking faults that accommodate relatively small amounts of sinistral and normal offset across a broad zone (Carr, 1984; Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002).

In the southern part of the NTS, the Spotted Range–Mine Mountain shear zone (Carr, 1984; Stewart, 1988) includes the Rock Valley, Cane Spring, and Mine Mountain faults (fig. B–7). These faults generally strike north-northeast, have demonstrated left-lateral offset of a few kilometers, have variable sense and amount of normal displacement (Frizzell and Shulters, 1990), and are associated with minor seismic events (Piety, 1996; Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002). These strike-slip faults are linked by north-striking normal faults that form local pull-apart basins and create complex map patterns in the south-central part of the Nevada Test Site (Maldonado, 1985; Frizzell and Shulters, 1990). Winograd and Pearson (1976) described a transmissive pathway or “megachannel” between Mercury...
(A) Outcrop of a splay of the Pahrump–Stewart Valley fault zone exposed east of Stewart Valley. Fault is in Late Proterozoic Sterling Quartzite, part of hydrogeologic unit LCCU. Fault core consists of 10 centimeters of foliated clay-rich fault gouge, surrounded by a zone of brecciated wall rock. Hammer is about 30 centimeters in length.

(B) Looking west from near locality shown in (A) across splay of the Pahrump–Stewart Valley fault zone to Stewart Valley. Fault zone has a northwest strike and is about 250 meters wide. Fault zone consists of fault-bounded lenses of Late Proterozoic Stirling Quartzite; fault contacts are shown as black dashed lines.


Figure B–38. Examples of strike-slip faults east of Stewart Valley, Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
Figure B–39. Structures designated as potential flow barriers in the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system region.
Valley and Ash Meadows to explain the carbon-14 content of spring water at Ash Meadows. The Spotted Range–Mine Mountain shear zone (Carr, 1984; Stewart, 1988) is associated with a trough in the regional potentiometric surface, potentially indicating high transmissivity in the Paleozoic carbonate rocks (D’Agnese and others, 1998), and corresponds in part to the “megachannel” defined by Winograd and Pearson (1976). Previous work (Winograd and Thordarson, 1975; D’Agnese and others, 1997; Faunt, 1997) indicates this area has greater permeability associated with highly fractured LCA.

Another zone of minor northeast-striking faults associated with active seismicity, has been inferred to exist in the Gold Mountain area (fig. B–7) northeast of the northern terminus of Death Valley (Albers and Stewart, 1972; Carr, 1984; Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002). This region is characterized by highly jointed granite adjacent to the northern Death Valley–Furnace Creek strike-slip fault zone and, to the south, by closely spaced normal faults that cut both the Cenozoic volcanic rocks and the underlying Paleozoic carbonate rocks (Potter, Sweetkind, and others, 2002). This zone corresponds spatially with spring discharge in the northern part of Death Valley; a region of greater transmissivity was added to the YMP/HRMP flow model during calibration (D’Agnese and others, 1997) to simulate this zone.

Although not part of the Walker Lane belt, the Pahranagat shear zone is another northeast-trending system of left-lateral strike-slip faults at the northern end of the Sheep Range (fig. B–7) (Tschanz and Pampeyan, 1970; Jayko, 1990). The fault zone is about 13 km wide, extends for at least 40 km along strike, and consists of several steeply dipping fault strands with oblique left-lateral strike-slip displacement.

### Summary

Decades of study in the southern Great Basin have shown that the geologic framework, which is stratigraphically and structurally complex, is important in controlling ground-water flow. Flow within the regional carbonate-rock aquifer and in more localized basin-fill and volcanic-rock aquifers reflects structural and lithologic conditions that produce permeability variations. The hydrogeologic units (HGUs) in the Death Valley regional ground-water flow system (DVRFS) region generally include: Cenozoic basin-fill and playa deposits; as much as 2,000-m-thick sequence of Cenozoic lava flows, welded and nonwelded tuffs; Cenozoic and Mesozoic intrusive rocks; Mesozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks; as much as 8,000-m-thick Paleozoic carbonate and siliciclastic rocks that are the principal aquifer, and Paleozoic to Late Proterozoic siliciclastic rocks and Proterozoic igneous and metamorphic rocks that are the primary regional confining units.
Ground-water flow is affected by faults with kilometers of offset that cause juxtaposition of aquifers and confining units; structural deformation; degree of welding; and facies transitions, lithologic features, and hydrothermal alteration that produce variations in permeability.

Based on characteristics of the potentiometric surface, the location of springs, and the location with respect to predominant northeast-to-southwest ground-water flow in the DVRFS region, the LVVSZ, the Pahrump–Stewart Valley fault zone, and the Death Valley–Furnace Creek fault system strike-slip faults are potential barriers to ground-water flow; broad, northeast-striking zones that are transverse to the main trend of the Walker Lane belt, but not individual faults, are hydraulic conduits.
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