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Conversion Factors and Datums

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiply</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>To obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inch</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>centimeter (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inch</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>millimeter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foot (ft)</td>
<td>0.3048</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mile (mi)</td>
<td>1.609</td>
<td>kilometer (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yard (yd)</td>
<td>0.9144</td>
<td>meter (m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flow rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gallon per minute (gal/min)</th>
<th>0.06309</th>
<th>Liter per second (L/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gallon per day (gal/d)</td>
<td>0.003785</td>
<td>Cubic meter per day (m³/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Million gallons per day (Mgal/d)</td>
<td>0.04381</td>
<td>Cubic meter per second (m³/s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vertical coordinate information is referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). Historical data collected and stored as National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 have been converted to NAVD 88 for use in this publication.

Horizontal coordinate information is referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83). Historical data collected and stored as North American Datum of 1927 (NAD 27) have been converted to NAD 83 for use in this publication.

Altitude, as used in this report, refers to distance above the vertical datum.

Specific conductance is given in microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius (μS/cm at 25 °C).

Concentrations of chemical constituents in water are given either in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter (μg/L).
Ground-Water Conditions and Studies in Georgia, 2006–2007

by Michael F. Peck, Jaime A. Painter, and David C. Leeth

Abstract

The U.S. Geological Survey collects ground-water data and conducts studies to monitor hydrologic conditions, better define ground-water resources, and address problems related to water supply, water use, and water quality. Water levels were monitored continuously, in Georgia, in a network of 184 wells during 2006 and 182 wells during 2007. Because of missing data or the short period of record (less than 3 years) for several of these wells, a total of 166 wells from the network are discussed in this report. These wells include 18 in the surficial aquifer system, 21 in the Brunswick aquifer system and equivalent sediments, 67 in the Upper Floridan aquifer, 15 in the Lower Floridan aquifer and underlying units, 10 in the Claiborne aquifer, 1 in the Gordon aquifer, 11 in the Clayton aquifer, 12 in the Cretaceous aquifer system, 2 in Paleozoic-rock aquifers, and 9 in crystalline-rock aquifers. Data from the network indicate that water levels generally declined from 2005 levels, with water levels in 99 wells below normal, 52 wells in the normal range, 12 wells above normal, and 3 wells with insufficient data for comparison of 5-year trends and period of record statistics.

In addition to continuous water-level data, periodic synoptic water-level measurements were collected and used to construct potentiometric-surface maps for the Upper Floridan aquifer in Camden, Charlton, and Ware Counties, Georgia, and adjacent counties in Florida during September 2006 and 2007, in the Brunswick area during July 2006 and August 2007, and in the City of Albany–Dougherty County area during October 2006 and October 2007. In general, the configuration of the potentiometric surfaces showed little change during 2006–2007 in each of the areas.

Ground-water quality in the Upper Floridan aquifer is monitored in the Albany, Savannah, and Brunswick areas and in Camden County; and water quality in the Lower Floridan aquifer is monitored in the Savannah and Brunswick areas and in Camden County. In the Albany area, nitrate concentrations generally have increased since the end of the drought during 2002. During 2006, water from two wells had nitrate as N concentrations above the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (USEPA) 10-milligram-per-liter (mg/L) drinking-water standard. During 2007, only one well had concentrations above the drinking-water standard.

In the Savannah area, measurement of fluid conductivity and chloride concentration in water samples from discrete depths in three wells completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer and one well in the Lower Floridan aquifer were used to assess changes in water quality in the Savannah area. At Tybee Island, chloride concentrations in samples from the Lower Floridan aquifer decreased during 2006–2007 but were still above the 250-mg/L USEPA drinking-water standard. At Skidaway Island, water in the Upper Floridan aquifer is fresh, and chloride concentrations did not appreciably change during 2006–2007. However, chloride concentrations in samples collected from the Lower Floridan aquifer during 2006–2007 showed disparate changes; whereby, chloride concentration increased in the shallowest sampled interval (900 feet) and decreased slightly in a deeper sampled interval (1,070 feet). At Fort Pulaski, water samples collected from the Upper Floridan aquifer were fresh and did not appreciably change during 2006–2007.

In the Brunswick area, maps showing the chloride concentration of water in the Upper Floridan aquifer were constructed by using data collected from 29 wells during July 2006 and from 26 wells during August 2007. Analyses indicate that concentrations remained above the USEPA drinking-water standard in an approximate 2-square-mile area. During 2006–2007, chloride concentrations increased in only three of the wells sampled and ranged from 4.0 to 20 mg/L chloride.

In the Camden County area, chloride concentration during 2006–2007 was analyzed in water samples collected from eight wells, six completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer and two in the Lower Floridan aquifer. In most of the wells sampled during this period, chloride concentrations did not appreciably change; however, since the closure of the Durango Paper Mill in October 2002, chloride concentrations in the Upper Floridan aquifer near the mill decreased from a high of 184 mg/L in May 2002 to 42 mg/L in September 2007.
Ground-water studies during 2006–2007 include

- Evaluation of ground-water flow, water quality, and water-level monitoring in the Augusta–Richmond County area;
- Evaluation of geohydrology of fractured crystalline rock of northern Georgia;
- Evaluation of ground-water flow and water quality, and water-level monitoring in the city of Albany–Dougherty County area;
- Evaluation of saltwater intrusion, water-level, and water-quality monitoring in the city of Brunswick–Glynn County area;
- Evaluation of saltwater intrusion and alternative water sources as part of the Coastal Sound Science Initiative;
- Evaluation of hydrogeology and effects of pumping on shallow water-bearing zones and the Upper Floridan aquifer, south-central Georgia;
- Evaluation of geohydrology and effects of climate and pumpage change on water resources of the Aucilla–Suwannee–Ochlockonee River Basin, south-central Georgia and adjacent areas of Florida;
- Collection of ground-water data in and adjacent to the State of Georgia;
- Simulation and particle-tracking analysis of selected ground-water pumping scenarios at Vogtle Electric Generation Plant, Burke County, Georgia;
- Assessment of the sustainability of ground-water resources in the City of Lawrenceville area; and
- Participation in the U.S. Air Force Plant 6 remediation assistance program.

Introduction

Reliable and impartial scientific information on the occurrence, quantity, quality, distribution, and movement of water is essential to resource managers, planners, and others throughout the Nation. The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)—in cooperation with numerous local, State, and Federal agencies—collects hydrologic data and conducts studies to monitor hydrologic conditions and better define the water resources of Georgia and other States and territories.

Ground-water-level and ground-water-quality data are essential for water-resource assessment and management. Water-level measurements from observation wells are the principal source of information about the hydrologic stresses on aquifers and how these stresses affect ground-water recharge, storage, and discharge. Long-term, systematic measurements of water levels provide essential data needed to evaluate changes in the resource over time, develop ground-water models and forecast trends, and design, implement, and monitor the effectiveness of ground-water management and protection programs (Taylor and Alley, 2001). Ground-water-quality data are necessary for the protection of ground-water resources because deterioration of ground-water quality may be virtually irreversible, and treatment of contaminated ground water can be expensive (Alley, 1993). Reliable water-use data are important to many organizations and individuals in support of research and policy decisions and are essential in understanding the effects of humans on the hydrologic system (Hutson and others, 2004).
Purpose and Scope

This report presents an overview of ground-water conditions, water-use information, and hydrologic studies conducted during 2006–2007 by the USGS in Georgia. Summaries are presented for selected ground-water studies along with objectives and progress. These summaries include:

- Evaluation of ground-water flow, water quality, and water-level monitoring in the Augusta–Richmond County area;
- Evaluation of geohydrology of fractured crystalline rock of northern Georgia;
- Evaluation of ground-water flow and water quality, and water-level monitoring in the city of Albany–Dougherty County area;
- Evaluation of saltwater intrusion, water-level, and water-quality monitoring in the city of Brunswick–Glynn County area;
- Evaluation of saltwater intrusion and alternative water sources as part of the Coastal Sound Science Initiative;
- Evaluation of hydrogeology and effects of pumping on shallow water-bearing zones and the Upper Floridan aquifer, south-central Georgia;
- Collection of ground-water data in and adjacent to the State of Georgia;
- Simulation and particle-tracking analysis of selected ground-water pumping scenarios at Vogtle Electric Generation Plant, Burke County, Georgia;
- Assessment of the sustainability of ground-water resources in the City of Lawrenceville area;
- Participation in the U.S. Air Force Plant 6 remediation assistance program; and
- Publication of reports on ground-water conditions in Georgia (listed on page 4).

Water-use data compiled for 2003–2007 and reported herein are based on State-mandated reporting requirements for water users withdrawing more than 100,000 gallons per day (gal/d). State-mandated reporting includes data for public supply, industrial and commercial, and thermoelectric-power water use; however, reporting of information on irrigation water use is not mandated and, therefore, not discussed in this report.

Continuous water-level measurements were obtained from 184 wells during 2006 and 182 wells during 2007 (however, data from only 166 wells are summarized herein). Of the 182 wells equipped with continuous water-level recorders during 2007, 153 wells had electronic data recorders, which recorded the water level at 60-minute intervals, and the data generally were retrieved bimonthly. Twenty-nine wells had real-time satellite telemetry, which recorded the water level at 60-minute intervals, and three of the sites were equipped to monitor specific conductance. Real-time data are transmitted every 1 to 4 hours (based on equipment) for display on the USGS Georgia Water Science Center Web site at http://water.usgs.gov/ga/nwis/current?type=gw/.

Median-annual water levels for 2007 were compared with the normal range of ground-water levels for the period of record; results of this comparison are shown on maps for selected aquifers and areas of the State. In addition, hydrographs showing monthly mean ground-water levels for the period 2003–2007 are shown with period-of-record water-level statistics for selected wells.

Periodic synoptic water-level measurements in the Upper Floridan aquifer were collected in 68 and 70 wells during October 2006 and October 2007, respectively, in south-central Dougherty County near Albany; maps showing the potentiometric surface of the aquifer were constructed from these data. A similar map of the Upper Floridan aquifer was constructed for Camden, Charlton, and Ware Counties and adjacent counties in Florida by using water-level measurements collected during September 2006 and 2007 (Kinnaman and Dixon, 2007, 2008).

The quality of ground water from the Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers is being monitored in Albany and in several areas along the coast of Georgia. Water from the Upper Floridan aquifer was analyzed for nitrate concentrations in 13 wells during November 2006 and in 12 wells during October 2007 in south-central Dougherty County near Albany.

In the city of Savannah, ground-water quality was assessed by using a combination of fluid resistivity logs and depth-dependent “grab” samples instead of the more traditional water-quality collection method of purging and sampling a well, as is done in the city of Brunswick and in Camden County. In Savannah, four wells were assessed during December 2006 and 2007 using this technique.

Chloride concentrations in water collected from the Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers are presented in graphs for five wells in the city of Brunswick area and for eight wells in the Camden County area. Maps were constructed showing the chloride concentrations in water from the upper water-bearing zone of the Upper Floridan aquifer at Brunswick for July 2006 and August 2007.
Previously published U.S. Geological Survey reports on ground-water conditions in Georgia.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of data collection</th>
<th>USGS report series and number</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>OFR 00–151</td>
<td>Cressler, A.M.</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methods of Analysis, Sources of Data, and Data Accuracy

Hydrographs from selected wells are presented herein to compare 5-year trends and seasonal fluctuations to period-of-record statistics in major aquifers throughout Georgia. Additional well information can be obtained from the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) at http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ga/nwis/gw/.

Median water levels for 2007 were compared to period-of-record normal water levels to determine if water levels were above normal, below normal, or normal. For these comparisons the period of record must be greater than 3 years. In this report, the normal range is defined as water-level observations during the calendar year that were between the 25th and 75th percentiles (first and third quartiles), also known as the interquartile range, for the period of record. The 75th percentile (third quartile) means that three-quarters of the observations lie below it; the 25th percentile (first quartile) means that one-quarter of the observations lie below it, and the median or 50th percentile (second quartile) means that two-quarters (one-half) of the observations lie below it and two-quarters (one-half) of the observations lie above it (Hamburg, 1985). The normal range can be shown by examining a graphical representation of these values known as a boxplot (Tukey, 1977; below).

The results of this comparison are graphically represented on maps in the ground-water-level section of this report either by an arrow pointing upward for 2007 monthly mean water levels above period-of-record normal values, an arrow pointing downward for 2007 monthly mean water levels below the normal range for the period of record, or a circle for 2007 monthly mean water levels within the normal range for the period of record (see map below for example).
Data showing monthly mean ground-water levels during 2003–2007 were plotted with data showing period-of-record water-level statistics (monthly mean normal, minimum, and maximum water levels; see hydrograph below). The period-of-record monthly statistics were calculated through December 2006 and are repeated on the graphs for 5 consecutive years. Land-surface altitude for most wells was determined from topographic maps and is accurate to about one-half the contour interval (usually from 2.5 to 5 feet). Some land-surface altitudes were determined by surveying methods or global positioning system (GPS) and are more accurate.

Water samples were analyzed for nitrate at the USGS laboratory in Denver, Colorado. Chloride analyses were conducted at the St. Johns River Water Management District in Palatka, Florida (for Camden County), and at TestAmerica Laboratory, Savannah, Georgia. Additional water-quality data for Georgia can be obtained from the USGS NWIS at http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ga/nwis/qw/.

The Georgia Water-Use Program (GWUP)—a cooperative project between the USGS and the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division—has documented the use of water in the State since 1977. Water-use data compiled by various Federal, State, and local agencies are combined into a centralized database known as the Georgia Water-Use Data System (GWUDS). The GWUDS contains permitted water-use information on public supplies, industrial and commercial supplies, and thermoelectric-power and hydroelectric-power uses for 1980–2005. Georgia water law requires a withdrawal permit for all public-supply, industrial, and other water users who withdraw more than 100,000 gal/d. An exception to this requirement is for irrigation water. During 1988, the Georgia Legislature enacted a permitting law for irrigation water users who withdraw more than 100,000 gal/d, but the reporting of water withdrawal is not required.

**Georgia Well-Naming System**

Wells described in this report are assigned a well name according to a system based on the index of USGS 7.5-minute topographic maps of Georgia. Each map in Georgia has been assigned a two- to three-digit number and letter designation (for example, 07H) beginning at the southwestern corner of the State. Numbers increase sequentially eastward, and letters advance alphabetically northward. Quadrangles in the northern part of the State are designated by double letters: AA follows Z, and so forth. The letters “I,” “O,” “II,” and “OO” are not used. Wells inventoried in each quadrangle are numbered consecutively, beginning with 001. Thus, the fourth well inventoried in the 11A quadrangle is designated 11A004. In the USGS NWIS database, this information is stored in the “Station Name” field; in NWIS Web, it is labeled “Site Name.”
Cooperating Organizations and Agencies

Ground-water monitoring and hydrologic studies in Georgia are conducted in cooperation with numerous local organizations and State and Federal agencies. Cooperating organizations and agencies include:

- Department of Defense, U.S. Air Force
- Department of Defense, U.S. Army
- Georgia Department of Agriculture
- Georgia Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division
- St. Johns Water Management District (Florida)
- Jekyll Island Authority
- Flint River Water Planning and Policy Center
- Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission
- Camden County
- Glynn County
- Lee County
- Liberty County Development Authority
- McIntosh County
- City of Brunswick
- City of Lawrenceville

With the exception of the Federal agencies, all of these organizations participate in the USGS Cooperative Water Program, an ongoing partnership between the USGS and State of local agencies. The program enables joint planning and funding for systematic studies of water quantity, quality, and use. Data obtained from these studies are used to guide water-resources management and planning activities and provide indications of emerging water problems. For a more complete description of the Cooperative Water Program, see Brooks (2001).
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Aquifer and well characteristics in Georgia [modified from Clarke and Pierce, 1985; Peck and others, 1992; ft, feet; gal/min, gallons per minute]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquifer name</th>
<th>Aquifer description</th>
<th>Well characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surficial aquifer system</td>
<td>Unconsolidated sediments and residuum; generally unconfined.</td>
<td>Depth (ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Typical range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yield (gal/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May exceed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunswick aquifer system, including upper and lower Brunswick aquifers</td>
<td>Phosphatic and dolomitic quartz sand; generally confined</td>
<td>85–390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers</td>
<td>Limestone, dolomite, and calcareous sand; generally confined</td>
<td>40–900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000–5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon aquifer system</td>
<td>Sand and sandy limestone; generally confined</td>
<td>270–530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87–1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claiborne aquifer</td>
<td>Sand and sandy limestone; generally confined</td>
<td>20–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayton aquifer</td>
<td>Limestone and sand; generally confined</td>
<td>40–800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cretaceous aquifer system</td>
<td>Sand and gravel; generally confined</td>
<td>30–750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50–1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleozoic-rock aquifers</td>
<td>Sandstone, limestone and dolomite; generally confined</td>
<td>15–2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalline-rock aquifers</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and quartzite; generally confined</td>
<td>40–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer name</td>
<td>Aquifer description</td>
<td>Hydrologic response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalline-rock</td>
<td>Limestone, dolomite, and quartzite; generally confined</td>
<td>Water-level fluctuations mainly are caused by variations in precipitation, evapotranspiration, and natural drainage or discharge. In addition, water levels in the city of Brunswick area are influenced by nearby pumping, precipitation, and tidal fluctuations (Clarke and others, 1990). Water levels generally rise rapidly during wet periods and decline slowly during dry periods. Prolonged droughts may cause water levels to decline below pump intakes in shallow wells, particularly those located on hilltops and steep slopes, resulting in temporary well failures. Usually, well yields are restored by precipitation (Clarke, 2003).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleozoic-rock</td>
<td>Phosphatic and dolomitic limestone, dolomite; generally confined</td>
<td>In the coastal area, the aquifers may respond to pumping from the Upper Floridan aquifer as a result of the hydraulic connection between the aquifers. Elsewhere the water level mainly responds to seasonal variations in recharge and discharge. In Bulloch County, unnamed aquifers equivalent to the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers are unconfined to semiconfined and are influenced by variations in recharge from precipitation and by pumping from the Upper Floridan aquifer; in the Wayne and Glynn County area, the aquifers are confined and respond to nearby pumping (Clarke and others, 1990; Clarke, 2003).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayton aquifer</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>In and near outcrop areas, the aquifers are semiconfined and water levels in wells tapping the aquifers fluctuate seasonally in response to variations in recharge rate and pumping. Near the coast, where the aquifers are confined, water levels primarily respond to pumping, and fluctuations related to recharge are less pronounced (Clarke and others, 1990).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claiborne aquifer</td>
<td>Sandstone, limestone; water levels are primarily affected by seasonal variations in recharge from precipitation, discharge to streams, and evapotranspiration (Clarke and others, 1985).</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by seasonal fluctuations in recharge from precipitation, discharge to streams, and evapotranspiration (Clarke and others, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon aquifer system</td>
<td>Sand and gravel; water levels mainly are affected by precipitation and by local and regional pumping (Hicks and others, 1981). The water level is generally highest following the winter and spring rainy seasons, and lowest in the fall following the summer irrigation season.</td>
<td>Water levels mainly are affected by precipitation and by local and regional pumping (Hicks and others, 1981).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunswick aquifer</td>
<td>Sand and sandy limestone; yields about 30 percent of daily water use in coastal Georgia; yields about 10 percent of ground water use in coastal Georgia.</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in local and regional pumping (Hicks and others, 1981).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper and Lower</td>
<td>Sand and sandy limestone; yields about 20 percent of daily water use in coastal Georgia; yields about 7 percent of ground water use in coastal Georgia.</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in precipitation and pumping (Clarke and others, 1983, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floridan aquifer</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels mainly are affected by precipitation and local pumping (Cressler, 1964).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Brunswick</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels mainly are affected by precipitation and evapotranspiration, and locally by pumping (Cressler and others, 1983). Precipitation can cause a rapid rise in water levels in wells tapping aquifers overlain by thin regolith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer system</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are primarily affected by seasonal variations in local and regional pumping (Hicks and others, 1981).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surficial aquifer</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in precipitation and pumping (Clarke and others, 1983, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in precipitation and pumping (Clarke and others, 1983, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in precipitation and pumping (Clarke and others, 1983, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunswick</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in precipitation and pumping (Clarke and others, 1983, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper and Lower</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in precipitation and pumping (Clarke and others, 1983, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floridan</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are influenced by variations in precipitation and pumping (Clarke and others, 1983, 1985).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer system</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are primarily affected by seasonal variations in local and regional pumping (Hicks and others, 1981).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surficial</td>
<td>Granite, gneiss, schist, and unconsolidated sediments; generally confined</td>
<td>Water levels are primarily affected by seasonal variations in local and regional pumping (Hicks and others, 1981).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ground-Water Resources

Contrasting geologic features and landforms of the physiographic provinces of Georgia (table, pages 8, 9; map, facing page) affect the quantity and quality of ground water throughout the State. The surficial aquifer system is present in each of the physiographic provinces. In the Coastal Plain Province, the surficial aquifer system consists of intermixed layers of sand, clay, and limestone (table, pages 8, 9). The surficial aquifer system usually is under water-table (unconfined) conditions and is used for domestic and livestock supplies. The surficial aquifer system is semiconfined to confined locally in the coastal area. In the Piedmont, Blue Ridge, and Valley and Ridge Provinces, the surficial aquifer system consists of soil, saprolite, stream alluvium, colluvium, and other surficial deposits.

The most productive aquifers in Georgia are in the Coastal Plain Province in the southern half of the State. The Coastal Plain is underlain by alternating layers of sand, clay, dolomite, and limestone that dip and thicken to the southeast. Coastal Plain aquifers generally are confined, except near their northern limits where they crop out or are near land surface. Aquifers in the Coastal Plain include the surficial aquifer system, Brunswick aquifer system, Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers, Gordon aquifer system, Claiborne aquifer, Clayton aquifer, and Cretaceous aquifer system.

In the Valley and Ridge Province, ground water is transmitted through primary and secondary openings in folded and faulted sedimentary and metasedimentary rocks of Paleozoic age, herein referred to as “Paleozoic-rock aquifers.”

In the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces, the geology is complex and consists of structurally deformed metamorphic and igneous rocks. Ground water is transmitted through secondary openings along fractures, foliation, joints, contacts, or other features in the crystalline bedrock. In these provinces, aquifers are referred to as “crystalline-rock aquifers.” For a more complete discussion of the State’s ground-water resources, see Clarke and Pierce (1985).
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Areas of use of major aquifers in Georgia (modified from Clarke and Pierce, 1985).

Permitted water-use data can be used to assess the effects of ground-water withdrawal on ground-water systems. Only water-use data from permitted water systems are included in this report. Estimates are provided for public supply, industrial and commercial, and thermoelectric-power withdrawals; estimates for irrigation, livestock, and domestic use are not included (J.L. Fanning, U.S. Geological Survey, written commun., 2009). During 2007, permitted water withdrawal in Georgia totaled 4,647 million gallons per day (Mgal/d), of which 90 percent was from surface-water sources and 10 percent from ground-water sources. Permitted water withdrawal by public-supply systems totaled about 1,237 Mgal/d, of which about 82 percent was from surface-water sources and 18 percent from ground-water sources (pie charts, below). Eighteen thermoelectric plants, the largest water users in Georgia, withdrew about 2,808 Mgal/d during 2007, mostly from surface-water sources. Permitted withdrawals by industrial and commercial users totaled about 602 Mgal/d, of which 60 percent was from surface-water sources and 40 percent from ground-water sources. The major industrial uses in Georgia include paper, textiles, chemicals, stone and clay, and mining.

To understand the areal distribution and trends of permitted ground-water withdrawal in the State, data from 2003 to 2007 were grouped into five areas (map and bar charts, facing page). In general, permitted ground-water withdrawal decreased slightly during 2003–2007. This decrease largely is a result of continued conservation efforts made by industrial and municipal users. In the Coastal Plain area, permitted ground-water use decreased during 2003–2007. In the eastern Coastal Plain, the decrease was about 4.37 Mgal/d, mostly because of a reduction in industrial withdrawals. In the central Coastal Plain, the decrease was about 1.37 Mgal/d; in the southwestern Coastal Plain, the decrease was about 2.42 Mgal/d. In the Valley and Ridge area, which had decreases in ground-water withdrawals in the past, an increase of 0.5 Mgal/d occurred during 2003–2007. The Piedmont and Blue Ridge area was the only area in the State where permitted ground-water withdrawals increased during 2003–2007 by about 6 Mgal/d.

Percentages of permitted water use in Georgia by category and source, 2007.
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Ground-Water Levels

Maps in this section provide an overview of ground-water levels in major aquifers in Georgia during 2007. In addition, hydrographs provide a visual summary of ground-water conditions for the past 5 years (2003–2007) compared to the period of record. Discussion of each aquifer is subdivided into areas where wells likely would have similar water-level fluctuations and trends if they were unaffected by pumping. The map on the facing page gives the locations of selected wells that were continuously monitored by the U.S. Geological Survey during the 2007 calendar year, including 29 wells that were monitored in real time.

Changes in aquifer storage cause changes in ground-water levels in wells. Taylor and Alley (2001) described many factors that affect ground-water storage; these factors are discussed briefly here. When recharge to an aquifer exceeds discharge, ground-water levels rise; when discharge from an aquifer exceeds recharge, ground-water levels decline. Recharge varies in response to precipitation and surface-water infiltration to an aquifer. Discharge occurs as natural flow from an aquifer to streams and springs, as evapotranspiration, and as withdrawal from wells. Hydraulic responses and controls on ground-water levels in major aquifers in Georgia are summarized in the table on pages 8 and 9.

Water levels in aquifers in Georgia typically follow a cyclical pattern of seasonal fluctuation. Water levels rise during winter and spring because of increased recharge from precipitation, and water levels decline during summer and fall because of decreased recharge, greater evapotranspiration, and pumping. The magnitude of fluctuations can vary greatly from season to season and from year to year in response to varying climatic conditions. This cyclical pattern can be seen on the 5-year hydrograph of well 31U009 in Bulloch County (below).

Ground-water pumping is the most important human activity that affects the amount of ground water in storage and the rate of discharge from an aquifer (Taylor and Alley, 2001). As ground-water storage is depleted within the radius of influence of pumping, water levels in the aquifer decline, forming a cone of depression around the well. In areas having a high density of pumped wells, multiple cones of depression can form and produce water-level declines across a large area. These declines may alter ground-water-flow directions, reduce flow to streams, capture water from a stream or adjacent aquifer, or alter ground-water quality. The effects of sustained pumping can be seen on a hydrograph of well 07N001 in Randolph County (below).
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Example hydrographs showing monthly mean water levels in wells 31U009 and 07N001 for the period 2003–2007 and summary statistics for the period of record for each well.
Locations of ground-water-level monitoring wells used to collect long-term water-level data in Georgia during 2007.
Ground-Water Levels

Surficial Aquifer System

Water levels in 18 wells were used to define conditions in the surficial aquifer system during 2007 (map and table, facing page). Water in the surficial aquifer system typically is in contact with the atmosphere (referred to as an unconfined or water-table aquifer), but locally (especially in coastal Georgia) may be under pressure exerted by overlying sediments or rocks (referred to as a confined aquifer). Where unconfined, water levels change quickly in response to recharge and discharge. Consequently, hydrographs from these wells have a strong relation to climatic fluctuations.

During 2007 water levels in eight of the wells measured were within or above the normal range, below normal in nine wells, and insufficient in one well for comparison to the period of record. Water-level hydrographs for three wells completed in the surficial aquifer system (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. The hydrographs show that water levels in the three wells generally rose during 2004–2005 and declined during 2006; during 2007 water levels declined in well 07H003 and rose in well 35P094.

The hydrograph for well 11AA01 in Spalding County in the Piedmont Province shows that the water level during 2003–2005 mostly was above normal but began to decline to below normal during 2006, then rose to normal in early 2007. The hydrograph for well 07H003 in Miller County in the southwestern part of the Coastal Plain Province shows a similar but slightly different pattern in that the water level was at or above normal during most of the period under discussion but declined below normal for short periods during early 2004 and early 2005. During mid-2006 the water level was normal to slightly above normal then declined to the below-normal range during 2007. The hydrograph for well 35P094 in Chatham County in the southeastern part of the Coastal Plain Province shows a similar pattern to that of well 11AA01 in which water level was in or above the normal range during 2003, which continued through early 2007 when it was below normal before rising to the normal to above-normal range.
**EXPLANATION**

- **Surficial aquifer system**
- **Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level**
  - Above normal — Above 75th percentile water level for period of record
  - Normal — Between 25th and 75th percentile water levels for period of record
  - Below normal — Below 25th percentile water level for period of record
  - Insufficient data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33D072</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, St. Marys, test well 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35P094</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>University of Georgia, Bamboo Farm well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37P116</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Skidaway Institute, test well 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38Q208</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Fort Pulaski, Savannah Harbor Expansion, monitoring well 4, COE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39Q029</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Tybee, Savannah Harbor Expansion, monitoring well 1, COE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09G003</td>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33H208</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Koch Cellulose, south test well 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H492</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Coastal Georgia Community College P-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H515</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Coffin Park test well 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34J082</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Coastal Sound Science Initiative, Ebenezer Bend AR-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13FF31</td>
<td>Gwinnett</td>
<td>Lawrenceville, Georgia, Johnson Road, shallow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14FF66</td>
<td>Gwinnett</td>
<td>Lawrenceville, Georgia, Highway 316, shallow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12Z001</td>
<td>Lamar</td>
<td>Dixie Pipeline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07H003</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11J013</td>
<td>Mitchell</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11AA01</td>
<td>Spalding</td>
<td>University of Georgia, Experiment Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32L017</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Gardi, test well 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13M007</td>
<td>Worth</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ground-Water Levels

Brunswick Aquifer System

Water levels in 21 wells were used to define conditions in 2007 in the Brunswick aquifer system, which consists of the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers and equivalent low-permeability sediments to the north and west in southeastern Georgia. The Brunswick aquifer system is confined throughout the known area of extent (map and table, facing page). In 8 wells, water levels were in the normal range; in 1 well the water level was above the normal range; and in 12 wells, water levels were in the below-normal range. These variations reflect differences in local pumping, interaquifer-leakage effects, and recharge.

Water-level hydrographs for three wells in the Brunswick aquifer system and equivalent-sediment wells (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. Hydrographs indicate that water levels in two of the wells rose during late 2003 through 2005 reflecting recovery from drought effects; however, water levels in all three wells declined during 2006–2007 because of a drought that began in 2006. The water level in well 31U009 in Bulloch County (completed in undifferentiated sediments equivalent to the upper Brunswick aquifer) was normal to below normal during 2003–2007. Water levels rose during 2003 to early 2006 but had a slight decline in mid-2004 and then remained in the below-normal range from mid-2006 to the end of 2007.

The water level for well 32L016 near Jesup in Wayne County (completed in the upper Brunswick aquifer) shows the water level rose during 2003 to early 2006 from below to above normal then declined to the below-normal range by the end of 2007. The water level for well 34H437 near Brunswick in Glynn County (completed in the upper Brunswick aquifer), unlike the water levels in the other two wells, generally remained above normal from 2003 to early 2006 then declined to the normal and below-normal range by mid-2007.
Ground-Water Conditions

EXPLANATION

- **Approximate extent of Brunswick aquifer system**
- **Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level**
  - **Above normal** — Above 75th percentile water level for period of record
  - **Normal** — Between 25th and 75th percentile water levels for period of record
  - **Below normal** — Below 25th percentile water level for period of record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Water-bearing unit</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36N012</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Bryan</td>
<td>Genesis Pointe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31U009</td>
<td>UX</td>
<td>Bulloch</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Hopeulikit, test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32G047</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>Waverly Firestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D071</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, St. Marys, test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35Q050</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Georgia Forestry Commission, test well CB-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39Q026</td>
<td>UX</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Tybee Island, test well 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38Q209</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Fort Pulaski, Savannah Harbor Expansion, monitoring well 3, COE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34S008</td>
<td>LX</td>
<td>Effingham</td>
<td>Pineora test well EB-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35S008</td>
<td>LX</td>
<td>Effingham</td>
<td>Effingham County, Georgia Geologic Survey, corehole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35T005</td>
<td>UX</td>
<td>Effingham</td>
<td>Springfield, Georgia, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33G028</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia Ports Authority, well 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33J062</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia Forestry Commission, test well GB-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33J065</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia Forestry Commission, test well GB-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H437</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Coffin Park, test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34J077</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Golden Isle, test well 1S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34J080</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Coastal Sound Science Initiative, Ebenezer Bend AR-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34J081</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Coastal Sound Science Initiative, Ebenezer Bend AR-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35H077</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Coastal Sound Science Initiative, St. Simons test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35N073</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>Old Sunbury Road OW-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34K104</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>McIntosh</td>
<td>McIntosh County Development Authority TW-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32L016</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Gardi, test well 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1L, lower Brunswick aquifer; UX, undifferentiated, low-permeability equivalent to the upper Brunswick aquifer; U, upper Brunswick aquifer; B, Brunswick aquifer system; LX, undifferentiated, low-permeability equivalent to the lower Brunswick aquifer.
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Upper Floridan Aquifer

The Upper Floridan aquifer underlies most of the Coastal Plain of Georgia, southern South Carolina, extreme southeastern Alabama, and all of Florida (Miller, 1986). The aquifer is one of the most productive in the United States, and a major source of water in the region. During 2005, about 658 million gallons per day (Mgal/d) were withdrawn from the Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers in Georgia, primarily for industrial and irrigation uses (Fanning and Trent, 2009).

The Upper Floridan aquifer predominately consists of Eocene to Oligocene limestone, dolomite, and calcareous sand. The aquifer is thinnest along its northern limit (map, facing page) and thickens to the southeast, where the maximum thickness is about 1,700 feet (ft) in Ware County (Miller, 1986). The aquifer is confined throughout most of its extent, except where it crops out or is near land surface along the northern limit, and in areas of karst topography in parts of southwestern and south-central Georgia.

The Coastal Plain of Georgia has been divided informally into four hydrologic areas for discussion of water levels (map, facing page)—the southwestern, south-central, east-central, and coastal areas. This subdivision is a modification of that used by Peck and others (1999) and is similar to that used by Clarke (1987).

Southwestern area. All or parts of 16 counties constitute the southwestern area. In this area, the Upper Floridan aquifer ranges in thickness from about 50 ft in the northwest to about 475 ft in the southeast (Hicks and others, 1987). The aquifer is overlain by sandy clay residuum, which is hydraulically connected to streams. With the introduction of center-pivot irrigation systems around 1975, the Upper Floridan aquifer has been used widely as the primary water source for irrigation in southwestern Georgia (Hicks and others, 1987). According to Fanning and Trent (2009), about 314 Mgal/d of water was withdrawn from the Upper Floridan aquifer in the southwestern area during 2005, and 80 percent of this amount was used for irrigation.

The city of Albany–Dougherty County area lies within the southwestern area. During 2005, most of the water withdrawn from the Upper Floridan aquifer in this area was used for public-supply (about 14 Mgal/d) and industry (14 Mgal/d; Fanning and Trent, 2009).

South-central area. Six counties constitute the south-central area. In this area, the Upper Floridan aquifer ranges in thickness from about 300 to 700 ft (Miller, 1986). Lowndes County is a karst region, having abundant sinkholes and sinkhole lakes that have formed where the aquifer crops out and the overlying confining unit has been removed by erosion (Krause, 1979). Direct recharge from rivers to the Upper Floridan aquifer occurs through these sinkholes at a rate of about 70 Mgal/d (Krause, 1979). In the south-central area, ground-water use totaled about 91 Mgal/d in 2005, and most of this withdrawal was used for irrigation (Fanning and Trent, 2009).

East-central area. Four counties constitute the east-central area. In this area, the Upper Floridan aquifer can be as thick as 650 ft in the southeast or absent in the north. In this area, ground-water withdrawal totaled about 15 Mgal/d during 2005 and was used predominantly for irrigation (Fanning and Trent, 2009).

Coastal area. The Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GaEPD) defines the coastal area of Georgia as a 24-county area that includes the 6 coastal counties and adjacent 18 counties—an area of about 12,240 square miles. In the coastal area, the Upper Floridan aquifer may be thin or absent in the north (Burke County) to about 1,700 ft thick in the south (Ware County; Miller, 1986). Excluding withdrawals for thermoelectric-power generation, nearly 70 percent of all withdrawals in the area are from ground water, primarily for industrial purposes. During 2005, about 308 Mgal/d of water was withdrawn from the Upper Floridan aquifer in the coastal area (Fanning and Trent, 2009).

The coastal area has been subdivided by GaEPD into three subareas—the northern, central, and southern—to facilitate implementation of the State’s water-management policies. The central subarea includes the largest concentration of pumpage in the coastal area—the Savannah, Brunswick, and Jesup pumping centers. The northern subarea is northwest of the Gulf Trough (Herrick and Vorhis, 1963), a prominent geologic feature that is characterized by a zone of low permeability in the Upper Floridan aquifer that inhibits flow between the central and northern subareas. In this area, pumping from the aquifer primarily is for agricultural use, and no large pumping centers are located in the area. The southern subarea is separated from the central subarea by the Satilla line, a postulated hydrologic boundary (W.H. McLemore, Georgia Environmental Protection Division, Geologic Survey Branch, oral commun., 2000). In this area, the largest pumping center is at Fernandina Beach, Florida.
Areas of the Upper Floridan aquifer referred to in this report.
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### Upper Floridan Aquifer

**Southwestern Area**

Water levels in 20 wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer in southwestern Georgia during 2007 (map, facing page). In this area, water in the Upper Floridan aquifer typically is confined; however, in areas where no sediments overlie the aquifer (typically to the north and west), water is unconfined. Water levels in 14 of the 20 wells were below the normal range during 2007, and 6 wells had water levels in the normal range.

Hydrographs for three wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer in southwestern Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. Water levels in all three wells declined during 2006–2007 because of the effects of a drought that began in 2006. The water level in well 09F520 in Decatur County ranged from above normal in early 2003 to below normal in early 2004, and from above normal through most of 2005 to below normal for all of 2006 and 2007, except for a few months in early 2007 when the water level was in the normal range. The water level in well 08K001 in Early County was above normal at the beginning of 2003 but declined to the below-normal range during early 2004; the water level rose during mid-2004 and remained in the normal or above-normal range through mid-2006 when the water level declined to below normal before rising to the normal range through early 2007. The water level for most of 2007 was in the below-normal range. The water level in well 15L020 in Worth County has had a downward trend for most of the period of record. The rate of this downward trend increased during early 1999 and continued through most of 2002 when the water level in this well reached a record low. The water level rose slightly during 2003–2005 but was still below normal through 2006–2007; a new record-low water level was recorded during late 2007.
**EXPLANATION**

- **Upper Floridan aquifer**
- **Southwestern area**

**Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level**

- Normal—Between 25th and 75th percentile water levels for period of record
- Below normal—Below 25th percentile water level for period of record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10H009</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Ichauway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12K014</td>
<td>Baker</td>
<td>Blue Springs, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10K005</td>
<td>Calhoun</td>
<td>Jordan, Ocala well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15Q016</td>
<td>Crisp</td>
<td>CDM site 12 prod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08E038</td>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08E039</td>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>Lake Seminole Project, International Paper well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09F520</td>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>Bolton, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09G001</td>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06G006</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>Harvey, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08K001</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>Newberry, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12F036</td>
<td>Grady</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, Cairo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12M017</td>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07H002</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08G001</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Viercocken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10G313</td>
<td>Mitchell</td>
<td>Meinders, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11J012</td>
<td>Mitchell</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13J004</td>
<td>Mitchell</td>
<td>Aurora Dairy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06F001</td>
<td>Seminole</td>
<td>Roddenbery Company Farms, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13M006</td>
<td>Worth</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15L020</td>
<td>Worth</td>
<td>City of Sylvester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Upper Floridan Aquifer

City of Albany—Dougherty County Area

Water levels in 12 wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer near Albany, Georgia, during 2007 (Dougherty County map, facing page). Water levels were below the normal range in nine of the wells and in the normal range in the three remaining wells.

Hydrographs for three wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer in the Albany area, Dougherty County (below), illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. The water level in well 11K003 was below normal in early 2003 but rose to the normal to above-normal range through mid-2006. The water level declined and remained below normal through 2007 except for a short period in early 2007 when it was in the normal range. Water levels in wells 12L029 and 13L049 generally were normal or above normal from 2003 to early 2007 but then began to decline to the normal and below-normal range, respectively.

In addition to continuous water-level monitoring, synoptic water-level measurements are made periodically in wells southwest of Albany. Water-level measurements were collected from 64 wells during October 2006 and 58 wells during October 2007. The measurements were used to construct maps showing the potentiometric surface of the Upper Floridan aquifer for these two time periods. The potentiometric-contour maps (facing page) show that water generally flows from northwest to southeast toward the Flint River. In the southeastern part of the mapped area, flow was away from the river toward the west. Water levels were higher during 2006 than during 2007.

Reference

EXPLANATION

Upper Floridan aquifer

City of Albany–Dougherty County area

Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level

Normal—Between 25th and 75th percentile water levels for period of record

Below normal—Below 25th percentile water level for period of record

Site name Other identifier
11K003 Nilo test well, north
12K141 Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission, A750
12K180 Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission, Georgia Environmental Protection Division, MW-2
12L029 U.S. Geological Survey, test well 13
12L030 U.S. Geological Survey, test well 16
12L277 Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission, test well 1
12L370 Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission, MW-100D
12L373 Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission, Georgia Environmental Protection Division, MW-1
13K014 U.S. Geological Survey, test well 15
13L012 U.S. Geological Survey, test well 3
13L049 Miller, observation well
13L180 Marine Corps Logistic Base, core hole 3

EXPLANATION

Potentiometric contour—Shows altitude at which water level would have stood in tightly cased wells. Hachures indicate depression. Contour interval 10 feet. Datum is North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (October 2007 map modified from Gordon, 2008)

Direction of ground-water flow

Well data point
Ground-Water Levels

Upper Floridan Aquifer

South-Central Area

Water levels in three wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer in south-central Georgia during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water in the Upper Floridan aquifer generally is confined but locally is unconfined in areas of karst features in Lowndes County. Water levels in all three wells were below normal during 2007 because of the effects of a drought that began in 2006, and two of the wells had record-low water levels.

Hydrographs for three wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer in south-central Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. The water level in well 19E009 in Lowndes County was in the normal to above-normal range from 2003 to early 2006 when the water level declined to below normal through the end of 2007. In well 19E009, the water level has a quicker, more pronounced response to climatic effects because of proximity to karst. In the other two wells, climatic effects are less pronounced, and water levels primarily are influenced by pumping. Hydrographs for wells 18H016 in Cook County and 18K049 in Tift County both show a long-term downward trend, with water levels in the below-normal range. The water level in well 18H016 was mostly below normal during 2003–2007. Record-low water levels were recorded in well 18H016 during 2007. The water level in well 18K049 was below normal from 2003 to early 2005 when the water level briefly rose to normal and then declined to below normal through the end of 2007 when record-low water levels were recorded.
**EXPLANATION**

- **Upper Floridan aquifer**
- **South-central area**

**Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level**

- Below normal — Below 25th percentile water level for period of record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18H016</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey. Adel test well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19E009</td>
<td>Lowndes</td>
<td>City of Valdosta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18K049</td>
<td>Tift</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ground-Water Levels

*Upper Floridan Aquifer*

*East-Central Area*

Water levels in two wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer in east-central Georgia during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water in the Upper Floridan aquifer is confined to the southeast and is semiconfined to the northwest. The water levels in wells 21T001 and 25Q001 were below normal during 2007 because of the effects of a drought that began in 2006, and record-low water levels were recorded in both wells.

Hydrographs for both wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer in east-central Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. Well 21T001 in Laurens County is in the northwestern part of the area where the aquifer is semiconfined.

The water level in this well was above normal during much of 2003 and early 2004 but declined to below normal through mid-2004. The water level remained at or above normal through late 2005 when it declined to below normal and remained below normal through 2007 when a record-low water level was recorded. Water levels in this area are influenced by climatic effects and agricultural pumping. Well 25Q001 in Montgomery County is located in an area where the aquifer is deeply buried and confined and is influenced by local and regional pumping. During 2003–2007, the water level in the well was below normal, and record-low water levels were recorded in 2007. The water level in this well has shown a downward trend for most of the period of record; however, during 2003 through 2005, the water level in this well rose, a trend that began in 2002, but then began to decline in early 2006 through 2007. Water levels remained below normal as a result of long-term declines from pumping.
EXPLANATION

- Upper Floridan aquifer
- East-central area

Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level

Below normal—Below 25th percentile water level for period of record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21T001</td>
<td>Laurens</td>
<td>Hogan, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25Q001</td>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>Montgomery County Board of Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ground-Water Levels

Upper Floridan Aquifer

Northern Coastal Area

Water levels in two wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer in the northern coastal area during 2007 (map and table, facing page). Water in the Upper Floridan aquifer is unconfined in this area, especially in updip areas to the north, and confined elsewhere. Water levels in the two wells were below normal during 2007 because of the effects of a drought that began in 2006, and record-low water levels were recorded in one well. Both wells are located in areas where agricultural water use is prevalent.

Hydrographs for both wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer in northern coastal Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. The water-level trend in well 26R001 in Toombs County has been downward for most of the period of record continuing through 2007, when water levels reached record daily lows. During 2003–2007, the water level remained below normal and began a steeper decline in mid-2006. The water level in well 31U008 in Bulloch County shows a similar trend. During 2003 to mid-2006, the water level rose and ranged from normal to below normal; it began to decline in early 2006 and remained below normal through the end of 2007.
Below normal—Below 25th percentile water level for period of record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26R001</td>
<td>Toombs</td>
<td>City of Vidalia, well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31U008</td>
<td>Bulloch</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Hopeulikit, test well 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ground-Water Levels

Upper Floridan Aquifer

Central Coastal Area

Water levels in 16 wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer in the central coastal area of Georgia (excluding Glynn County) during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water in the Upper Floridan aquifer is confined and influenced primarily by pumping. Water levels in eight wells were within the normal range, above normal in one well, and below normal in seven wells. A record-high water level was recorded in one well.

Hydrographs for three wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer in the central coastal area of Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. The water level in well 35T003 in Effingham County during 2003–2007 was in the normal to above-normal range for the period, and a record-high water level was recorded in early 2006. The water level has been declining since then, however, and dropped below normal for a few months in mid-2007. Well 36Q008 near Savannah in Chatham County was normal or above normal during 2003–2007 because of continued decreases in water use as a result of conservation (J.L. Fanning, U.S. Geological Survey, oral commun., 2008). The hydrograph for well 33M004 in Long County shows a slight water-level rise during 2003 to mid-2006 when the water level was mostly in the normal range—a trend that began in 2002 when the previous drought ended. However, the water level started to decline in mid-2006 to below normal and reached a record-low water level by the end of 2007.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35P110</td>
<td>Bryan</td>
<td>Richmond Hill, test well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36Q008</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Lance-Atlantic Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36Q020</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Morrison, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37P114</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Skidaway Institute, test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37Q016</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>East Coast Terminal well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37Q185</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, Hutchinson Island, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38Q002</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>U.S. National Park Service, test well 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39Q003</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39Q025</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Tybee Island, test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35T003</td>
<td>Effingham</td>
<td>City of Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34G033</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Jekyll Island Authority Number 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34N089</td>
<td>Liberty</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33M004</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35M013</td>
<td>McIntosh</td>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Harris Neck 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30L003</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>City of Jesup Housing Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32L015</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Gardi, test well 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Well is completed in the upper and lower Brunswick aquifers and the Upper Floridan aquifer.
**Ground-Water Levels**

**Upper Floridan Aquifer**

**City of Brunswick Area**

Water levels in five wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer near the city of Brunswick in the central coastal area of Georgia during 2006–2007 (map and insets, facing page). In this area, water in the Upper Floridan aquifer is confined, and ground-water flow paths are influenced primarily by pumping for industrial and public supply. Water levels in four of the five wells were near the normal range during 2007. Hydrographs for three wells (33H133, 34H334, and 34H371, below) in the Upper Floridan aquifer near the city of Brunswick, Glynn County, show water-level trends. The water level in well 33H133 remained in the above-normal range during 2006–2007, whereas water levels in wells 33H334 and 34H371 were above-normal through mid-2006 and then decreased to normal levels by the end of 2007. These water-level declines are a departure from near record-high levels recorded during 2004 (Leeth and others, 2007).

In addition to continuous water-level monitoring, synoptic water-level measurements are made periodically in wells in the Brunswick area. Water-level measurements from 20 wells were collected during July 2006 and from 22 wells during July and August 2007 and subsequently were used to construct potentiometric-surface maps of the Upper Floridan aquifer. The maps on the facing page show that ground water generally flows from the south-southeast, where water-level altitudes are greater than 10 feet, toward industrial pumping centers in northern Brunswick, where water-level altitude is less than 0 feet. Water-level altitudes decreased from 1.5 to 8.2 feet during 2006–2007, indicating an expansion of the zone of influence from industrial production wells in the northwest. Despite these water-level declines, the overall direction of ground-water flow remained the same.

**References**


EXPLANATION

- Upper Floridan aquifer
- City of Brunswick area

Observation well, site name, and comparison of mean annual water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level

- **33H127** Above normal—Above 75th percentile water level for period of record
- **33H207** Normal—Between 25th and 75th percentile water level for period of record
- **33H325** Insufficient data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33H127</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33H133</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33H207</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia-Pacific, south test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33H324</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia-Pacific, Lower water-bearing zone Coastal Sound Science Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33H325</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia-Pacific, Upper water-bearing zone Coastal Sound Science Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H334</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H371</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

July 2006

July–August 2007

EXPLANATION

- **15** Potentiometric contour—Shows altitude at which water level would have stood in tightly cased wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer. Contour interval 5 feet.
- Datum is North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (modified from Cherry and Clarke, 2008)

General direction of ground-water flow
Ground-Water Levels

Upper Floridan Aquifer

Southern Coastal Area

Water levels in five wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Upper Floridan aquifer in the southern coastal area of Georgia during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water in the Upper Floridan aquifer is confined and influenced mostly by pumping to the east in the Fernandina Beach area, Florida, and by climatic effects and pumping to the west. Water levels in three of the wells monitored were in the normal range and in the below-normal range in the other two wells during 2007.

Hydrographs for three wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer in the southern coastal area (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. The water-level in all three wells rose during 2003 to early 2006 and then declined through the end of 2007 when record-low water levels were recorded in two of the wells. The water level in well 33E027 in Camden County did not reach a record-low level and remained higher than water levels in the other wells because of the closure of the nearby Durango Corporation Paper Mill in St. Marys in 2002, which decreased water use by about 35 million gallons per day (Peck and others, 2005).

In addition to continuous water-level monitoring, synoptic water-level measurements are made periodically, in cooperation with the St. Johns River Water Management District, in wells in and around the southern coastal area of Georgia and adjacent parts of Florida. During September 2006 and 2007, water levels were measured in wells completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer in northeastern Florida and southeastern Georgia and subsequently were used to construct potentiometric-surface maps of the aquifer (Kinnaman and Dixon 2007, 2008). The maps for 2006 and 2007 (insets, facing page) show that water generally flowed from west to east toward the Atlantic Ocean and toward pumping centers at Fernandina Beach and Jacksonville, Florida.

References


EXPLANATION

Upper Floridan aquifer
Southern coastal area

Observation well, site name, and
comparison of monthly mean
water level during 2007 to
period-of-record water level

- Normal—Between 25th and 75th percentile
  water levels for period of record
- Below normal—Below 25th percentile
  water level for period of record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33D069</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>U.S. National Park Service, Cumberland Island National Seashore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33E007</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>Huntly-Jiffy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33E027</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>U.S. Navy, Kings Bay, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27E004</td>
<td>Charlton</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well OK-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27G003</td>
<td>Ware</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Well is completed in both Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers; most contribution is from the Upper Floridan aquifer.

EXPLANATION


Direction of ground-water flow
Ground-Water Levels

Lower Floridan Aquifer and Underlying Units in Coastal Georgia

Water levels in 15 wells in central and southern coastal Georgia were used to define ground-water conditions in the Lower Floridan aquifer and underlying units during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water in the Lower Floridan aquifer is confined and influenced mostly by pumping. Water levels in six of the wells were below the normal range, in the normal range in seven wells, and above normal in two wells.

Hydrographs for four wells in the Lower Floridan aquifer in coastal Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. Water levels in the four wells show a similar pattern and were generally in the normal to above-normal range during 2003 to mid-2006 when water levels began to decline to the normal to above-normal range except in well 33H188 in Glynn County, which reached a record-low water level during mid-2007. In well 33H188, a sharp decline in water level occurred during mid-2005 when the well was allowed to flow for a 24-hour period prior to water sampling during June 2005. The water level in the well fell during this period and remained at the lower level after flow was stopped. A record-high water level was recorded in well 38Q201 in Chatham County during mid-2006.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Water-bearing unit</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33R045</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Bryan</td>
<td>Coastal Sound Science Initiative test well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D073</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>St. Marys, test well (deep)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D074</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>Coastal Sound Science Initiative, St. Marys test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37Q186</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Hutchinson Island, test well 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38Q201</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Fort Pulaski, test well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39Q024</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Chatham</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Tybee Island, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34S011</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Effingham</td>
<td>Coastal Sound Science Initiative, Pineora Ball Park test well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33H188</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33H206</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia-Pacific, south, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33J044</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H391</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H436</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Coffin Park, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34H500</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Glynn</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35L085</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>McIntosh</td>
<td>Dan Hawthorne, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32L005</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Hopkins No. 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1LF, Lower Floridan aquifer; P, Paleocene unit of low permeability; F, Fernandina permeable zone.
2Statistical comparison based on period of record less than 3 years.
Ground-Water Levels

Claiborne and Gordon Aquifers

Water levels in 10 Claiborne aquifer wells and 1 Gordon aquifer well were used to define ground-water conditions in southwestern and east-central Georgia during 2007 (map and table, facing page). Water in the Claiborne and Gordon aquifers can be confined or unconfined. Water levels in wells completed in the Claiborne and Gordon aquifers were mostly below normal during 2007, likely reflecting effects of a drought that began in 2006. Water levels in seven of the wells were below normal, normal in two wells, and above normal in the remaining two wells.

Hydrographs showing water levels in two wells in the Claiborne aquifer and one well in the Gordon aquifer (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. In the Claiborne wells, water levels generally rose during 2003 through early 2006. Water levels in wells 12L019 in Dougherty County and 06K010 in Early County were in the below-normal range during early 2003, rose to the normal to above-normal range by early 2006, and then declined to the normal to below-normal range by the end of 2007. The water level in the Gordon aquifer well 32Y033 in Burke County was in the normal to below-normal range during most of 2003–2007. Water levels declined into the below-normal range during 2006 and remained there through the end of 2007 when record-low water levels were recorded.
Ground-Water Conditions

EXPLANATION

Claiborne aquifer
Gordon aquifer

Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level

Above normal—Above 75th percentile water level for period of record
13L011

Normal—Between 25th and 75th percentile water levels for period of record
14P015

Below normal—Below 25th percentile water level for period of record
13M005

Site name Water-bearing unit^ Water-bearing unit^ Water-bearing unit^ County Other identifier

14P015 C Crisp Georgia Geologic Survey, Veteran’s Memorial State Park, test well 2
12L019 C Dougherty U.S. Geological Survey, test well 5
13L011 C Dougherty U.S. Geological Survey, test well 2
13L015 C Dougherty Miller Brewing Company
06K010 C Early Georgia Geologic Survey, Kolomoki Mounds State Park, test well 3
11P015 C Lee Long, test well 2
12M001 C Lee U.S. Geological Survey, test well 8
11J011 C Mitchell U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-10
09M009 C Randolph Martin, test well 1
13M005 C Worth U.S. Geological Survey, test well DP-7
32Y033 G Burke Brighams Landing, test well 3

^C, Claiborne aquifer; G, Gordon aquifer.
Ground-Water Levels

Clayton Aquifer

Water levels in 11 wells were used to define ground-water conditions in the Clayton aquifer in southwestern Georgia during 2007 (map, facing page). In this area, water in the Clayton aquifer is confined and influenced mostly by pumping. During 2007, water levels in 7 of the 11 wells were below normal, and in the remaining 4 wells, water levels were normal in 2 and above normal in the other 2.

Hydrographs for three wells in the Clayton aquifer in southwestern Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. All three wells show a decline beginning in early 2006 from the effects of a drought that began in 2006. During 2003–2007, water levels in well 13L002 in Dougherty County rose to within the normal range for most of the period but began to decline in early 2006 and were slightly below normal for a short period in 2007. The water level in well 07N001 in Randolph County remained below normal during the period 2003–2007 and began a decline in early 2005. Record-low water levels were recorded in this well during late 2007. Water level in well 14P014 rose from below normal to the normal range through mid-2006 when the water level began to decline to the below-normal range where it remained through the end of 2007.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14P014</td>
<td>Crisp</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Veteran’s Memorial State Park, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11K005</td>
<td>Dougherty</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11L002</td>
<td>Dougherty</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Albany Nursery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12L020</td>
<td>Dougherty</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13L002</td>
<td>Dougherty</td>
<td>Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission, Turner City 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13L013</td>
<td>Dougherty</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06K009</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Kolomoki Mounds State Park, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11P014</td>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>Long, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12M002</td>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07N001</td>
<td>Randolph</td>
<td>City of Cuthbert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09M007</td>
<td>Randolph</td>
<td>Martin, TW-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ground-Water Levels

Cretaceous Aquifer System

Water levels in 12 wells in the Cretaceous aquifer system were used to define ground-water conditions throughout central and southwestern Georgia during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water in the Cretaceous aquifer system mostly is confined but can be unconfined in stream valleys. Water levels in 10 of the wells were in the below-normal range during 2007, reflecting continued declines related to ground-water pumping. Water levels in two wells were within or above the normal range.

Hydrographs for three wells in the Cretaceous aquifer in central and southwestern Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. Water levels in well 28X001 in Burke County and well 06S001 in Muscogee County were below the normal range during most of 2003–2007. In well 28X001, water level reached record lows during 2003 and 2007 and remained below normal through 2007. In well 12L021 in Dougherty County, the water level was below normal during early 2003 then rose to above normal in early 2004 and remained above normal through 2007. The effects of long-term water-level decline are apparent from the hydrograph of well 06S001, which has had little change in water level during the past 5 years, although it has had heavy continual agricultural pumping. A record-low water level was recorded in this well at the end of 2007.
### Site name | Water-bearing unit | County | Other identifier
--- | --- | --- | ---
28X001 | M | Burke | U.S. Geological Survey, Midville, test well 1
32Y030 | LM | Burke | Brighams Landing, test well 1
32Y031 | LD | Burke | Brighams Landing, test well 2
06S001 | T | Muscogee | U.S. Army, Fort Benning
12L021 | P | Dougherty | U.S. Geological Survey, test well 10
24V001 | M | Johnson | U.S. Geological Survey, test well 1
21U004 | M | Laurens | Georgia Department of Natural Resources, No. 3
18T001 | M | Pulaski | U.S. Geological Survey, Arrowhead test well 1
29AA09 | UM | Richmond | Georgia Geologic Survey, Gracewood State Hospital
18U001 | D | Twiggs | Georgia Kraft, U.S. Geological Survey, test well 3
23X027 | DM | Washington | City of Sandersville, well 8

1M, Midville aquifer system; LM, Lower Midville aquifer; LD, Lower Dublin aquifer; T, Tuscaloosa Formation; P, Providence aquifer; UM, Upper Midville aquifer; DM, Dublin–Midville aquifer system; D, Dublin aquifer system.
Ground-Water Levels

Paleozoic-Rock Aquifers

Water levels were measured in two wells in the Paleozoic-rock aquifers of northwestern Georgia during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water in the Paleozoic-rock aquifers is under confined conditions. Water levels were within the normal range in one well and below normal in the other well.

Hydrographs for the two wells in the Paleozoic-rock aquifer in northwestern Georgia (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. The U.S. Geological Survey monitors only two wells in this aquifer; therefore, these statistics represent only a limited area and not the aquifer as a whole. The water level in well 07KK64 in Gordon County was normal or above normal through early 2004 when it declined to below normal, then rose to normal and above-normal levels through early 2006. In 2006 the water level declined to below normal through 2007, and record-low water levels were recorded in this well in mid-2007. The water level in well 03PP01 in Walker County was normal or above normal during 2003–2007.
EXPLANATION

Paleozoic-rock aquifers

Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level

- Normal—Between 25th and 75th percentile water levels for period of record
- Below normal—Below 25th percentile water levels for period of record

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07KK64</td>
<td>Gordon</td>
<td>Calhoun, Georgia, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03PP01</td>
<td>Walker</td>
<td>U.S. National Park Service, Chickamauga Battlefield Park</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ground-Water Levels

Crystalline-Rock Aquifers

Water levels in nine wells were measured in crystalline-rock aquifers in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge physiographic provinces of Georgia during 2007 (map and table, facing page). In this area, water is present in discontinuous joints and fractures and may be confined or unconfined. In general, crystalline-rock aquifers have local extent and can be greatly affected by localized water use and climate. Water levels in eight of the wells were below normal during 2007.

Hydrographs for three wells in the crystalline-rock aquifer (below) illustrate monthly mean water levels during 2003–2007 and period-of-record water-level statistics. During 2003, water levels were in the normal to above-normal range; then during 2004 through early 2006, water levels ranged from normal to below normal, and remained in the below-normal range through 2006–2007. The water level in well 10DD02 in Fulton County was in the normal range during 2003 and through mid-2007, when it declined to the below-normal range and record-low water levels were recorded. The water level in well 21BB04 in Greene County ranged from above to below normal from 2003 through 2006, then declined to below normal through 2007 when record-low water levels were recorded.
EXPLANATION

Crystalline-rock aquifers

Observation well, site name, and comparison of monthly mean water level during 2007 to period-of-record water level

Above normal—Above 75th percentile water level for period of record

Below normal—Below 25th percentile water level for period of record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09JJ02</td>
<td>Cherokee</td>
<td>Reinhardt College, well A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12JJ04</td>
<td>Dawson</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11FF04</td>
<td>DeKalb</td>
<td>U.S. Geological Survey, test well 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10DD02</td>
<td>Fulton</td>
<td>U.S. Army, Fort McPherson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21BB04</td>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>Veazey, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13FF30</td>
<td>Gwinnett</td>
<td>Lawrenceville, Georgia, Johnson Road, deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14FF65</td>
<td>Gwinnett</td>
<td>Lawrenceville, Georgia, Highway 316, deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14GG02</td>
<td>Gwinnett</td>
<td>Motes, observation well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16MM03</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Unicoi State Park, well 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ground-Water Quality in the Upper and Lower Floridan Aquifers

The quality of water from the Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers is monitored in the Albany and coastal areas. In the south-central part of Dougherty County near Albany, wells are monitored annually for nitrate concentrations. In coastal Georgia, chloride concentration in water from the Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers has been monitored since the 1950s in the Savannah and Brunswick areas and since the early 1990s in the Camden County area.
Ground-Water Quality in the Upper and Lower Floridan Aquifers

City of Albany Area

The Upper Floridan aquifer is shallow in southwestern Georgia where agricultural land use is prevalent, which increases the susceptibility of ground water to contamination from nitrates and other chemicals. Monitoring may provide an early warning of potential contamination of water supplies. Nitrate levels greater than 10 milligrams per liter (mg/L), the maximum contaminant level for nitrate set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2000), have been measured in wells southwest of Albany.

Nitrate as nitrogen (N) concentrations have been measured in the southwestern Albany area at least annually since September 1998. During November 2006 and October 2007, samples were collected from selected wells (13 and 12, respectively) and at one site on the Flint River. Since the end of a prolonged drought period during 2002, nitrate concentrations generally have increased in the area; however, concentrations decreased slightly in several wells during 2007 (graph, below).

During 2006, nitrate concentrations increased in 7 of the 13 ground-water samples. Of the samples collected during November 2006, water from two wells had nitrate as N concentrations greater than 10 mg/L. By October 2007, water from only one well had a nitrate as N concentration greater than 10 mg/L (A, facing page).

Samples collected during November 2006 and October 2007 were plotted on trilinear diagrams. These diagrams (B, facing page) show that ground-water samples remain chemically distinct from the surface-water sample. Ground-water samples had a lower sodium, potassium, and magnesium content and a higher carbonate and bicarbonate content than the surface-water sample.
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(A) Southwestern Albany area showing nitrate (NO$_3$) as nitrogen (N) concentrations, October 2007; and (B) major cation and anion compositions of water samples from the Upper Floridan aquifer and the Flint River, November 2006 and October 2007.
Ground-Water Quality in the Upper and Lower Floridan Aquifers

City of Savannah Area

During 2006–2007, borehole geophysical logs and water samples were collected from open intervals in wells completed in the Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers to assess changes in chloride concentration in the Savannah area, as part of a continuing program that started during 2003 (Leeth and others, 2007). Borehole geophysical logs include fluid resistivity, an indicator of dissolved-solids concentration, and fluid temperature, an indicator of possible breaches in the well casing that could compromise the reliability of water-quality measurements. The inverse of fluid resistivity is fluid conductivity, which is reported in units of specific conductance, in microsiemens per centimeter (µS/cm) at 25 degrees Celsius. High values reflect high concentrations of dissolved solids, which are mostly composed of dissolved chloride in the Savannah area. Water samples were collected at specific intervals to determine chloride concentration; analytical results are summarized in a table and shown with graphs on the facing page.

At Tybee Island, fluid conductivity (resistivity) logs and water samples were collected December 12, 2006, and December 4, 2007, from well 39Q024 completed in the Lower Floridan aquifer. The fluid resistivity logs collected during 2006–2007 indicated no changes or breaches in the well casing, and the mean specific conductance in the open borehole decreased slightly from 10,870 to 9,769 µS/cm during the period. Chloride concentrations of samples collected at common depths in well 39Q024 also decreased during 2006–2007, which is consistent with a downward trend that began during 2005 (A, facing page). During 2006 and 2007, chloride concentrations in samples collected at a depth of 845 feet (ft) were 2,900 and 2,880 mg/L, respectively, and 2,900 and 2,850 mg/L at a depth of 860 ft, respectively. Previous composite samples from the entire open interval (840–880 ft) during 1994–2001 had chloride concentrations that ranged from about 2,700 to 3,400 mg/L.

At Skidaway Island, fluid conductivity (resistivity) logs and water samples were collected December 12, 2006, and December 4, 2007, from well 39Q024 completed in the Lower Floridan aquifer and December 13, 2006, and December 5, 2007, from well 37P114 completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer. The fluid resistivity logs collected during 2006–2007 indicated no changes or breaches in the well casing, and the mean specific conductance in the open borehole showed little change and ranged from 238 to 231 µS/cm during the period. During 2006–2007, chloride concentrations in samples collected at depths of 300 and 360 ft ranged from 5.0 to 6.8 mg/L. Previous composite samples from the entire open interval (262–400 ft) during 1984–2002 had chloride concentrations that ranged from 2 to 29 mg/L.

At Fort Pulaski, fluid conductivity (resistivity) logs and water samples were collected December 11, 2006, and December 3, 2007, from well 38Q002 completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer (D, facing page). The fluid resistivity logs collected during 2006–2007 indicated no changes or breaches in the well casing, and the mean specific conductance in the open borehole showed little change and ranged from 238 to 231 µS/cm during the period. During 2006–2007, chloride concentrations in samples collected at depths of 200 and 320 ft ranged from 8.8 to 12 mg/L.

Reference
Chloride concentration in water from wells in the Upper and Lower Floridan aquifers in the Savannah area, Georgia, 2000–2007.

### Upper Floridan aquifer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
<th>Open interval (feet below land surface)</th>
<th>Water-bearing unit</th>
<th>Chloride concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Water sample depth (feet below land surface)</th>
<th>Chloride concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39Q024</td>
<td>Georgia Geologic Survey, Tybee Island, test well 1</td>
<td>840–880</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,900</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>2,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37P113</td>
<td>Skidaway Institute test well 1</td>
<td>700–1,100</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>1,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>1,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37P114</td>
<td>Skidaway Institute test well 2</td>
<td>262–400</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38Q002</td>
<td>U.S. National Park Service, Fort Pulaski Pilot House</td>
<td>110–348</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. L, Lower Floridan aquifer; U, Upper Floridan aquifer; mg/L, milligrams per liter.
Ground-Water Quality in the Upper and Lower Floridan Aquifers
City of Brunswick Area

Water supply in the Brunswick area primarily is obtained from wells completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer. Saltwater was first detected in the southernmost part of Brunswick during the late 1950s (Wait, 1965), and chloride concentrations have been monitored since that time. Saltwater was migrating upward from deep saline zones through breaches in confining units as a result of reduced pressure in the aquifer. By the 1960s, a plume of saltwater had migrated northward toward two major industrial pumping centers. During June 2005, the chloride concentration in water from the Upper Floridan aquifer was above the 250-milligrams per liter (mg/L) State and Federal secondary drinking-water standards (Georgia Environmental Protection Division, 1997; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000) in a 2-square-mile area and exceeded 2,250 mg/L in part of the area.

Graphs of chloride concentration in water samples from wells in the upper and lower water-bearing zones of the Upper Floridan aquifer are shown for wells in the southern Brunswick area (graphs for wells 34H393 and 34H403 below) and northern Brunswick area (graphs for wells 33H127 and 33H133 below). Chloride concentration in water from the Lower Floridan aquifer is shown for well 34H391 in the southern Brunswick area (graph below). More information on Brunswick area monitoring is available at http://ga.water.usgs.gov/projects/brunswick/.

Maps showing the concentration of dissolved chloride in the Upper Floridan aquifer at Brunswick were prepared for July 2006 (using data from 29 wells) and July and August 2007 (using data from 26 wells; chloride-concentration maps, facing page). The 2007 map is similar to the previously published map from 2005 (Leeth and others, 2007) and shows that areas of highest concentrations are near the two industrial pumping centers in the northern part of the city, and the original area of contamination in the southern part of the city.

During 2006–2007, chloride concentration within the plume area generally decreased. The greatest decrease in concentration was 200 mg/L at well 34H434, in the southern part of the plume. Only three wells had increases in chloride concentration that ranged from 4.0 to 20 mg/L during 2006–2007. These changes probably reflect shifts in local pumping patterns.
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Change in chloride concentration from 2006 to 2007

MAPS BELOW
Chloride concentration in water from upper water-bearing zone of Upper Floridan aquifer—in milligrams per liter

MAP AT RIGHT
Change in chloride concentration in water from upper water-bearing zone of Upper Floridan aquifer, in milligrams per liter, and site name

- 200 to 50.01
- 50 to 0
0.001 to 15

Well and site name—L, lower water-bearing zone of Upper Floridan aquifer; LFA, Lower Floridan aquifer

Data point

Chloride concentration, July 2006

Chloride concentration, July–August 2007

Modified from Cherry and Clarke, 2008
Ground-Water Quality in the Upper and Lower Floridan Aquifers

Camden County Area

In the Camden County area, chloride concentrations have been monitored periodically in the Upper Floridan aquifer from 1959 to 1993 and annually to semiannually from 1994 to present. In the Lower Floridan aquifer, chloride concentrations have been monitored from 2001 to present. During 2006–2007, the U.S. Geological Survey collected 32 water samples from eight wells in Camden County; six wells were completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer and two wells were completed in the Lower Floridan aquifer. These wells (table, below) are part of a network maintained for the St. Johns River Water Management District in Florida. During 2006–2007, the range of chloride concentrations in the Upper Floridan aquifer was from 29 to 45.3 milligrams per liter (mg/L), which is within the background range of 20 to 40 mg/L for the area (Peck and others, 2005) and below the 250-mg/L drinking-water standard (Georgia Environmental Protection Division, 1997; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000).

Since the closure of the Durango Paper Mill October 2002, the chloride concentration in well 33D061 decreased from a high of 184 mg/L during May 2000 to 42.6 mg/L during September 2007. The decrease in concentration corresponds to a 22- to 26-foot water-level rise that occurred after the closure of the Durango Paper Mill (Peck and others, 2005). This rise reversed the downward hydraulic gradient near the well and caused upward movement of relatively fresh ground water, resulting in decreased chloride concentration in the well. During 2006–2007, chloride concentrations in the Lower Floridan aquifer were below the 250-mg/L drinking-water standard. Concentrations ranged from 29.5 to 30.8 mg/L in well 33D073 completed in the upper section of the Lower Floridan aquifer at 1,360–1,560 ft, and from 93.2 to 99.2 mg/L in well 33D074 completed in the lower section of the Lower Floridan aquifer at 1,840–2,004 ft (table, below).
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Chloride-monitoring network in the Floridan aquifer system, Camden County, Georgia

[UF, Upper Floridan aquifer; LF, Lower Floridan aquifer; —, no data]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Other identifier</th>
<th>Aquifer</th>
<th>Open interval (feet below land surface)</th>
<th>Chloride concentration (milligrams per liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32E033</td>
<td>Georgia Welcome Center</td>
<td>UF</td>
<td>420–600</td>
<td>1'29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D054</td>
<td>St. Marys 2</td>
<td>UF</td>
<td>563–1,000</td>
<td>1'30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D061</td>
<td>Gilman Paper Company 1</td>
<td>UF</td>
<td>550–1,090</td>
<td>1'45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33E049</td>
<td>Osprey Cove</td>
<td>UF</td>
<td>522–840</td>
<td>1'33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33E053</td>
<td>Kings Bay 2</td>
<td>UF</td>
<td>570–900</td>
<td>1'34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34E001</td>
<td>Cumberland Island</td>
<td>UF</td>
<td>540–640</td>
<td>1'31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgia Geologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survey test well 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D073</td>
<td>CSSI St. Marys test</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1,360–1,500</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33D074</td>
<td>CSSI St. Marys test</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>1,840–2,004</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Bill Osborne, St. Johns River Water Management District, written commun., July 2008.
EXPLANATION

Chloride-monitoring well and site name

NOTE: Vertical scales vary
Selected Ground-Water Studies in Georgia, 2006 – 2007

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) — in cooperation with local, State, and other Federal agencies — conducted several studies in Georgia and adjacent states during 2006–2007 to better define the occurrence and quality of ground water and to monitor hydrologic conditions. Summaries of current USGS studies in Georgia are provided in the following sections and include information regarding:

- Study title
- Study area location
- Study chief
- Cooperating agency or agencies
- Year study began
- Problem
- Objectives
- Progress and significant results

EXPLANATION

- Geohydrology of fractured crystalline rock of northern Georgia
- 24-county study area of the Georgia Coastal Sound Science Initiative
- Effects of pumping on shallow water-bearing zones and the Upper Floridan aquifer
- Aucilla–Suwannee–Ochlockonee River Basin
Ground-Water Monitoring Program for the Augusta–Richmond County Area

Study Chief  Lester J. Williams and Stephen J. Lawrence
Cooperator  Augusta Utilities Department
Year Started  2006

Problem
Water supply in the Augusta–Richmond County area is provided in part by three well fields that withdraw water from the Dublin–Midville aquifer system—a sand aquifer of Late Cretaceous age. The Augusta ground-water permit currently is limited to 10 million gallons per day by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division. Low levels of tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene have been detected in a supply well at the northernmost extent of well field number 2. To ensure that ground-water pumping does not adversely affect water levels in adjacent areas and to monitor ground-water quality, the U.S. Geological Survey operates a ground-water monitoring program for the Augusta–Richmond County area. Data from this network will provide information to support water-management decisions and provide a basis for future ground-water modeling efforts while adding to the regional characterization of ground-water conditions.

Objectives
• Determine current ground-water levels, flow directions, and water quality of the Dublin–Midville aquifer system in the Augusta–Richmond County area.
• Expand the continuous water-level recorder network by installing water-level recorders at selected sites.
• Collect synoptic water-level measurements and construct potentiometric-surface maps to establish seasonal flow gradients and directions.
• Collect and analyze water samples from selected wells in and near well field number 2.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007
• Installed continuous water-level recorder in well 30AA33 at Augusta Regional Airport.
• Obtained water-level measurements during January 2007 and constructed a potentiometric-surface map for the Dublin–Midville aquifer system (map on facing page).
• Completed a field inventory of new and existing wells to re-establish a ground-water monitoring network in the Augusta–Richmond County area.
• Published a report on the hydrogeologic conditions in the study area (Williams, 2007).
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Continuous water-level measurements showing fluctuations in the depth to water at the recorder well (30AA33) east of well field number 2. Saw-tooth patterns are water-level fluctuations caused by nearby pumping wells in the well field.

Hydrogeologic profiles showing lithology, confining layers, major aquifers, and well screen locations for Richmond County well 106 (top) and the well at the Continental Can facility (bottom) (modified from Williams, 2007).
Geohydrology of Fractured Crystalline Rock of Northern Georgia

Study Chief  Lester J. Williams
Cooperator  Coweta County, Forsyth County, Oconee County, Rockdale County, Troup County
Year Started  2007

Problem

Ground-water development and use in the fractured crystalline rocks of northern Georgia are critical to sustain population growth and economic development. Knowledge about ground-water movement, storage, vulnerability, quality, and connection with surface-water resources is limited because of the complexity of fractured-rock aquifers that underlie the region. A better understanding of the relation between the complex geology and ground-water resources in these areas is needed for water-resource managers and planners to develop effective management strategies and optimize available supply for future use.

Objectives

The primary objective is to evaluate the occurrence and quality of ground water in fractured crystalline rock of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge physiographic provinces of northern Georgia. Specific objectives are to

- Compile existing information on geology and wells.
- Conduct a field inventory of existing wells to verify construction, yield, and location.
- Collect borehole geophysical and flowmeter logs to determine depth, yield, and geologic and water-quality characteristics of water-bearing intervals.
- Evaluate geologic, well, and borehole geophysical data to identify geologic settings favorable for the development of sustainable water supplies.
- Establish a ground-water-level monitoring network for northern Georgia.
- Develop and maintain a comprehensive geohydrologic database of well and geophysical data.

This investigation provides information to help guide future ground-water development and water-management decisions for local communities while enhancing understanding of the hydrogeology of fractured rocks in the southern Piedmont and Blue Ridge physiographic provinces. Data from this study are entered into the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) and are available on the Web at http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ga/nwis/inventory/.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007

Coweta County—Developed plan of study to include well inventory and borehole geophysical logging in selected wells.

Forsyth County—Collected borehole geophysical logs and conducted borehole flowmeter traverse in two wells located along the Chattahoochee River north of Littles Ferry Bridge. Developed plan of study for well-inventory program.

Oconee County—Collected borehole geophysical logs, grab water samples, and conducted borehole flowmeter traverse in two wells near the town of Watkinsville to evaluate causes of water-quality problems in the well water. In one well, dissolved carbon dioxide was being emitted; water in the other well was contaminated by iron bacteria.

Troup County—Completed compilation of data and field well inventory. Data for 138 wells were added to the existing 79-well NWIS database, which increased the total to 217 wells. Developed spatial hydrological data layers for wells and surficial geology. Began preparation of final report.

Rockdale County—Collected borehole geophysical logs in two test wells located adjacent to production wells in the Conyers area to determine structural orientations of fractures and bedrock foliation. This information was used to help assess the interconnection between test wells and production wells as a follow-up to aquifer testing conducted during 2005 (Williams and Cressler, 2007).

Other areas—In addition to the efforts in each of these counties, borehole geophysical logs were collected at several other sites in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces, including two wells in White County, one well in Habersham County, and one well in Madison County.

Outreach—Prepared a Fact Sheet describing how borehole geophysical logs can provide valuable information to evaluate ground-water resources in fractured crystalline rock (U.S. Geological Survey, 2007). The Fact Sheet describes the types of data collected and how these data are used to evaluate the water-bearing characteristics of fractured crystalline rock. See http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2007/3048/.
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Borehole geophysical logs collected in two wells in Forsyth County, Georgia, demonstrate the relation between geology and productive water-bearing zones in the wells. The caliper log indicates areas of voids in the borehole, such as fractures. The optical televiewer log shows an image of the rock and structure of the borehole. A flowmeter traverse conducted in well 13GG20 indicates water-bearing zones at 52, 143, and 263 feet (ft), with the 263-ft zone producing about 68-percent of the total yield. The 263-ft water-bearing zone is indicated by a larger well diameter on the caliper log and a dark void on the optical televiewer log. Structural measurements derived from borehole data indicate that water-bearing intervals are parallel to rock foliation at about a 23-degree dip angle. Projecting this dip angle from well 13GG20 eastward 150 ft indicates that the same water-bearing zone occurs at a depth of about 323 ft in well 13GG21. Interconnection of this zone between the two wells was also indicated by a 20-ft water-level response in well 13GG21 during pumping of well 13GG20.

In Troup County, a well inventory conducted during 2007 indicated that well yields vary greatly across the county ranging from 0.5 to more than 150 gallons per minute (gal/min). Of the wells inventoried, 97 had estimated yields from 0.5 to 25 gal/min, 13 wells from 26 to 50 gal/min, 14 wells from 51 to 100 gal/min, and 1 well with an estimated yield of 150 gal/min. There seems to be potential for development of high-yielding wells because 28 of the wells had yields of 26 gal/min or greater. The majority of the wells in the county probably were located based on convenience in relation to a home or business. A combination of detailed geologic mapping, well inventory, and geophysical logging can increase the probability of drilling a high-yielding well.

Borehole geophysical logs enable characterization of ground-water resources in fractured crystalline rock. These cross sections are excerpted from USGS Fact Sheet 2007–3048 and demonstrate how geophysical logs can be used to detect and prevent water-bearing zones from being exposed in the open portion of the borehole: (A) shows a correct pumping water level, (B) shows an over-pumped well without a liner, and (C) shows a liner that seals off shallow-water zones. The Fact Sheet can be accessed on the Web at http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2007/3048/.
City of Albany Cooperative Water Program

Study Chief  Debbie Warner Gordon
Cooperator  Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission
Year Started  1977

Problem
Long-term heavy pumping from the Claiborne and Clayton aquifers and the Cretaceous aquifer system (includes the Providence aquifer), which underlie the Upper Floridan aquifer, has resulted in substantial water-level declines in these deep aquifers in the Albany area. To provide additional water supply and reduce the demand on the deep aquifers, the Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission (WGL) developed a large well field southwest of Albany. The supply wells at this location primarily penetrate the Upper Floridan aquifer, a karstic unit that is the uppermost reliable source of water in the area. Because of local recharge to the aquifer, water quality may be affected by land-use practices. Nitrate levels exceeding the 10-milligrams per liter maximum contaminant level (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000) have been detected in some wells upgradient from the well field.

Objectives
• Monitor water-level fluctuations in the four deep aquifers in the Albany area and relate water-level trends to changes in climatic conditions and pumping patterns.
• Describe the ground-water flow and water quality of the Upper Floridan aquifer near the new well field in the southwestern Albany area.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006 – 2007
• Continued operation of the 14-well continuous ground-water-level monitoring network in the surficial, Upper Floridan, Claiborne, Clayton, and Providence aquifers.
• Continued ground-water-quality monitoring program. Water samples were collected and analyzed for cations, anions, and nutrients during November 14–16, 2006 (14 wells), and October 15–18, 2007 (12 wells).
• Constructed potentiometric-surface maps for the Upper Floridan aquifer near the well field based on measurements from 64 wells during October 16–24, 2006, and 60 wells during October 23–25, 2007. Both maps indicate that water generally flows from northwest to southeast near the well field. Although water levels during 2006 and 2007 generally were lower than during 2005, the well-field pumping did not result in the formation of a cone of depression surrounding the well field.
• Published “Potentiometric surface of the Upper Floridan aquifer in the southwestern Albany area, Georgia, 1998–2005, based on revised land-surface altitudes” (Gordon, 2006). The enhanced land-surface accuracy improved delineation of ground-water flow in the area.
• Continued to map sinkholes at the well field. No new sinkholes formed during 2006; however, during 2007, 12 new sinkholes developed.
• Continued to develop ground-water flow model for the well-field area. A variety of changes were made to the model design, including layering and boundary conditions. An extended abstract and poster presentation describing model development and preliminary results were prepared for the 2007 Georgia Water Resources Conference in Athens, March 27–29, 2007 (Gordon and Payne, 2007).

References
In the Albany area, water level in the Clayton aquifer declined from 1957 to the mid-1970s, as pumping by the city of Albany increased. The rate of decline increased from 1977 to 1981 as seasonal irrigation pumping increased (Clarke and others, 1984). Since 1981, water levels have stabilized because the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GaEPD) imposed restrictions on pumping by the city of Albany, and the city instituted a management strategy using water levels to determine which wells to pump (Barber, 1997). During 1992, the GaEPD placed a moratorium on new withdrawals from the Clayton aquifer. Despite these measures, drought conditions during 1998–2002 resulted in increased withdrawals by permitted water users, and a record-low water level was measured on September 3, 2000.

(A) Water level in the Upper Floridan aquifer near the Albany well field was above the median daily value from January to July 2006, near median levels through July 2007, and below median levels through the end of 2007. (B) Twelve sinkholes formed in the well field during 2007; these sinkholes may have developed because of low water levels.
City of Brunswick and Glynn County Cooperative Water Program

Study Chief Gregory S. Cherry
Cooperator City of Brunswick
Glynn County
Jekyll Island Authority
Year Started 1959

Problem
In the Brunswick area, saltwater has contaminated the Upper Floridan aquifer for nearly 50 years. Currently within an area of several square miles in downtown Brunswick, the aquifer yields water with a chloride concentration greater than 2,000 milligrams per liter (mg/L), above the State and Federal secondary drinking-water standard of 250 mg/L (Georgia Environmental Protection Division, 1997; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). Saltwater contamination has constrained further development of the Upper Floridan aquifer in the Brunswick area, which has stimulated interest in the development of alternative sources of water supply, primarily from the shallower surficial and Brunswick aquifer systems.

Objectives
• Define mechanisms of ground-water flow and movement of saltwater in the Floridan aquifer system.
• Define the vertical geometry of the high-chloride plume.
• Assess alternative sources of water supply from the surficial and Brunswick aquifer systems and the Lower Floridan aquifer.
• Monitor long-term ground-water levels and quality; develop and maintain a comprehensive ground-water database.
• Provide information to the Glynn County Water Resources Management Committee to mitigate saltwater intrusion in the Brunswick area.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007
• A network of 33 continuous ground-water-level monitoring wells was operated—12 wells in the Upper Floridan aquifer, 8 wells in the Lower Floridan aquifer, 8 wells in the Brunswick aquifer system, and 5 wells in the surficial aquifer system (A, facing page). Twenty of these wells are funded by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (GaEPD) through the Coastal Georgia Sound Science Initiative.
• Potentiometric surfaces of the Upper Floridan aquifer were mapped as follows:
  • For July 2006, based on analyses of chloride concentrations in samples collected from 71 wells.
  • For July and August 2007, based on analyses of chloride concentrations in samples collected from 76 wells.
• Test wells that were completed in the surficial, Upper and Lower Floridan, and lower Brunswick aquifers in Glynn County and at a well-cluster site on St. Simons Island were incorporated into the GaEPD statewide network.
• The regional MODFLOW model of coastal Georgia and adjacent parts of Florida and South Carolina (Payne and others, 2005) was refined with higher resolution near Brunswick to simulate hydraulic gradients near pumping centers and help evaluate additional pumping in the Upper Floridan aquifer while containing the saltwater plume.
• Real-time monitoring systems were installed in wells completed in the upper and/or lower water-bearing zones of the Upper Floridan aquifer that surround the area of chloride contamination with the following continuous data collected:
  • Water levels at Southside Baptist Church (wells 34H504 and 34H505), Perry Park (well 34H514), and Georgia-Pacific Cellulose (wells 33H324 and 33H325).
  • Specific conductance at Southside Baptist Church (well 34H505), Perry Park (well 34H514; hydrograph, facing page) and Georgia-Pacific Cellulose (well 33H325).

References
Real-time water-level and specific-conductance monitoring well at the Georgia-Pacific Cellulose plant in Brunswick, Georgia. The mast holds a solar panel for power supply and an antenna for data transmittal. Photo by John S. Clarke, U.S. Geological Survey.

(A) Locations of study area and continuous ground-water-level monitoring network for the Brunswick–Glynn County area, Georgia; (B) positions of June 2001 and June 2005 chloride plumes in Upper Floridan aquifer; and locations of real-time monitoring wells; and (C) daily mean ground-water levels and periodic specific conductance in the Upper Floridan aquifer at well 34H514 during 2007. Ground-water-level data help in monitoring effects of pumping on flow directions, and real-time specific conductance data (a surrogate for chloride) provide information to track the current position of chloride contamination.
Pumping from the Upper Floridan aquifer has resulted in substantial water-level decline and saltwater intrusion at the northern end of Hilton Head Island, South Carolina, and at Brunswick, Georgia. This saltwater contamination has constrained further development of the Upper Floridan aquifer in the coastal area and created competing demands for the limited supply of water. The Georgia Environmental Protection Division has presented the Georgia Comprehensive Statewide Water Management Plan (Georgia Environmental Protection Division, 2008), which calls for water-resource assessment and management studies and a better understanding of the effects of ground-water pumping in the coastal area.

Objectives

- Define mechanisms of ground-water flow and movement of saltwater.
- Assess long-term ground-water levels and quality, and develop and maintain a comprehensive ground-water database.
- Update existing ground-water flow and saltwater transport models with new information.
- Simulate a variety of ground-water management scenarios, including:
  - unchanged or increasing pumpage,
  - pumpage reductions,
  - pumpage optimization,
  - engineered solutions to saltwater intrusion.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006 – 2007

- Completed study of saltwater intrusion in the Savannah–Hilton Head Island area and reported results in Provost and others (2006).
- Completed study of effects of historical and future pumping on regional ground-water flow and saltwater intrusion and reported results in Payne and others (2006).
- Developed and installed real-time water-level and specific conductance monitors in wells at Brunswick to track current position of the area of chloride contamination.
- In partnership with City of Brunswick and Glynn County, refined and updated the ground-water flow model to 2004 conditions (Payne and others, 2005) to simulate more precisely conditions near the chloride plume in the Brunswick area.

References


**Modeling results.** Numerical simulations using a previously published, variable-density, solute-transport model (Provost and others, 2006) were used to test the relative effects of variable pumpage reductions in Target Areas A and B on the movement of chloride plumes in the Upper Floridan aquifer. Maps show locations of study area and simulated target areas (far left), and section lines (left). Simulation results indicate that saltwater in the Upper Floridan aquifer near the Colleton River (section B–B’) responds more to pumpage reductions in the Savannah area than does saltwater at the northern end of Hilton Head Island (section A–A’), and that saltwater in the Upper Floridan aquifer at the northern end of Hilton Head Island responds more to local pumpage reductions in the Hilton Head Island area than does saltwater near the Colleton River. Saltwater in the Upper Floridan aquifer at Pinckney Island (section C–C’) responds to pumpage reductions at both Savannah and Hilton Head Island areas.
Hydrogeology and Impact of Pumping on Shallow Water-Bearing Zones and the Upper Floridan Aquifer, South-Central Georgia

Study Chief  Lester J. Williams
Cooperator  Georgia Department of Natural Resources
            Environmental Protection Division
Year Started  2007

Problem
During the drought conditions of 2007, ground-water-level declines in south-central Georgia have resulted in numerous domestic wells “going dry,” requiring pumps to be lowered or drilling of replacement wells. The cause of the declines is unknown but could be related to reduced recharge during the drought, increased pumping from the Upper Floridan aquifer, or a combination of both. Data from the Statewide ground-water monitoring network indicates that ground-water levels have declined more than 30 feet in the past 30 years (see graph, lower right). Additional data were needed to better define the geologic and hydrogeologic characteristics and the interconnection between the shallow water-bearing zones and the Upper Floridan aquifer.

Objectives
The objective of this study is to define geologic and hydrologic characteristics and interconnection of the shallow water-bearing zones and the Upper Floridan aquifer. The study area includes eight counties in south-central Georgia (see map, upper right). Field work for this study includes:

- Inventory existing domestic and irrigation wells to provide aquifer data in areas of sparse data coverage.
- Collect core samples and install test wells at selected sites.
- Evaluate paleontology and stratigraphy of core samples.
- Collect borehole geophysical logs in new and existing wells to further define the stratigraphy and hydrogeologic characteristics of the area.
- Conduct aquifer tests in selected wells to determine hydraulic properties and aquifer interconnection.
- Install continuous water-level recorders to establish fluctuations and trends in the shallow water-bearing zones.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007
- Compiled drilling and well-construction information for domestic and irrigation wells.
- Mobilized and started coring at the 18K049 test-drilling site in Tift County.
- Collected borehole geophysical logs from existing wells.
- Prepared field maps and began field well inventories during December 2007.
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Low water level (drought)

Pump settings in numerous individual (domestic) wells had to be lowered during 2007 because of decreasing water levels throughout the region. A water-well contractor lowers the pump by adding additional sections of pipe at the land surface and reconnecting the well-pumping system. Photo by Lester J. Williams, USGS.

(A) Typical well-to-pond system consisting of a single well with a discharge pipe to a pond.

(B) Typical center-pivot system consisting of a well connected to a sprinkler system.

Permitted agricultural wells provide a large source of information on aquifer water levels. Permit holders work with the USGS to provide water levels in many of these wells. Measurements are made during the nongrowing season when the wells are not pumping. (A) Submersible pump installations, such well-to-pond systems, are easy to access; whereas, (B) turbine installations require accessing the well through a port at the base of the well or through the vent pipe. Photos by Lester J. Williams, USGS.

Above: Optical televiewer image from well 18K049 showing a porous section of water-bearing limestone in the open portion of the borehole. Left: Borehole geophysical logs of well 18K049 are used to determine the lithologic and hydrologic characteristics of geologic units. The flow-meter log indicates that water is derived from four main zones in this well. [~, approximately; %, percent; gal/min, gallon per minute; APIU, American Petroleum Institute Units]

Rock core samples are collected at selected sites to enable assessment of the interconnection between the shallow water-bearing zones and the Upper Floridan aquifer. The photo shows the drilling crew from the Georgia Environmental Protection Division extracting core samples from the Tift County drilling site during November 2007. Photo by Lester J. Williams, USGS.
Geohydrology and Effects of Climate and Pumpage Change on Water Resources of the Aucilla–Suwannee–Ochlockonee River Basin, South-Central Georgia and Adjacent Areas of Florida

Study Chief  Lynn J. Torak
Cooperator  Georgia Department of Natural Resources
Year Started  2006

Problem
Episodic droughts and increased pumpage since the mid-1970s have caused unprecedented ground-water level decline in the Upper Floridan aquifer in much of the roughly 8,000 square-mile Aucilla–Suwannee–Ochlockonee (ASO) River Basin. Recent drought has triggered record-low ground-water levels during October 2006 in Charlton, Cook, Tift, Ware, and Worth Counties. A 25-foot water-level decline during the first 10 months of 2006 in Lowndes County nearly negated a post-drought recovery of that amount since 2003. Eastward relocation of municipal pumpage at Cairo, Georgia, during late 1973, reversed 35 feet of ground-water-level decline that occurred during the previous 7 years, giving the appearance of stable-to-increasing ground-water levels.

Increased center-pivot irrigation during the early 1990s along the boundary of the ASO River Basin with the neighboring Apalachicola–Chattahoochee–Flint (ACF) River Basin intercepts ground water previously available to recharge the ASO River Basin as regional (interbasin) flow and accelerates ground-water-level decline in the Upper Floridan aquifer. Drought- and pumpage-induced ground-water-level decline in the Upper Floridan aquifer reduces the hydraulic gradient along the boundary of the ASO and ACF River Basins, which reduces regional ground-water (interbasin) flow to the south and east into the ASO River Basin. Recent pumpage increases threaten the ability of managers to meet current and future demand and increase the potential for reduced spring flow, base flow (ground-water discharge to streams), and interbasin flow from the north and west.

Objectives

- Improve current understanding of the lithology and hydraulic properties of the Upper Floridan aquifer and hydraulically connected geologic units that enable assessment of ground-water and surface-water exchange.
- Identify spatial and temporal distributions of agricultural-irrigation pumpage from the Upper Floridan that define growing-season variability and cause-and-effect relations of agricultural pumpage to streamflow decline in the basin.
- Develop a hydrogeologic framework and conceptual model for evaluating agricultural pumpage and ground-water and surface-water exchange between the Upper Floridan aquifer and other hydrologic units connected to surface water.
- Collect and compile ground-water-level and streamflow measurements to provide an overview of current hydrologic conditions.
- Develop a conceptual understanding of ground-water and surface-water exchange mechanisms and causal relations between ground-water pumpage in the Upper Floridan aquifer, ground-water-level decline, reductions in streamflow and spring flow, and changes in interbasin flow.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007

- Measured ground-water levels at about 350 wells, and stream stage and discharge at 83 stations during September 19–29, 2006.
- Evaluated and compiled existing hydrologic, geologic, climate, and pumpage information.
- Data collection and compilation thus far indicate
  - Complex geologic structure and variations in hydraulic properties create diverse patterns of ground-water flow and recharge in the Upper Floridan aquifer and govern the hydraulic connection and water exchange between the Upper Floridan and surficial aquifers and surface water. Low water-transmitting properties of the Gulf Trough–Apalachicola Embayment limit regional flow and recharge to the aquifer in the Trough–Embayment region.
  - Infiltration of precipitation and surface water in the karst region along the Florida–Georgia State line provides recharge to the Upper Floridan aquifer in the ASO River Basin located to the south and east of the Gulf Trough.
  - Comparison of historical potentiometric surfaces since the late-1960s indicates changes in ground-water-flow directions across the boundary between ASO and ACF River Basins that coincide with increased agricultural-irrigation pumpage and drought.
  - Ground-water hydrographs indicate basinwide response to variations in climate and pumpage despite little hydraulic connection of the Upper Floridan aquifer to land surface and surface water in areas of the Gulf Trough and Okefenokee Swamp.

Reference

Location of study areas in the Aucilla–Suwannee–Ochlockonee River Basin and lower Apalachicola–Chattahoochee–Flint River Basin, and continuous-recorder observation wells and streamgaging stations, south-central Georgia and northwestern Florida. Data from these sites are available from the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Information System at http://ga.water.usgs.gov/waterdata.html
Ground-water supplies about 22 percent of freshwater withdrawals in Georgia—more than 1.2 billion gallons per day during 1985. More than 1.9 million people are served by ground-water supplies, and 752 million gallons per day are withdrawn for irrigation (Fanning and Trent, 2009). The distribution and quality of ground water are highly variable and directly related to geology and natural and human stresses. Monitoring ground-water levels and ground-water quality is essential for the management and development of this resource.

Objectives

• Collect ground-water-level and ground-water-quality data to assess the quantity, quality, and distribution of ground water.
• Provide data to address water-management needs and evaluate the effects of national and local management and conservation programs.
• Contribute data to national databases that will be used to advance the understanding of regional and temporal variations in hydrologic conditions.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007

• Continuous water-level recorders were operated in 186 wells during 2006 and 182 wells during 2007. An additional 10 wells were instrumented with real-time transmission (satellite relay) of continuous water-level records, increasing the network to 29 real-time sites. Three of the real-time sites in the coastal area were equipped to monitor specific conductance. All of the data can be accessed through the National Water Information System (NWIS) database on the Web at http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ga/nwis/current/?type=gw.
• Periodic water-level measurements were made in more than 3,615 wells to define potentiometric surfaces and to assess long-term trends.
• Water samples for chloride analyses were collected from 72 wells during 2006 and 68 wells during 2007 in the Brunswick area, and from 4 wells in the Savannah area and 8 wells in Camden County.
• Borehole geophysical logs were collected in 13 wells in northern Georgia and in 7 wells in southern Georgia. Data from these wells are available on the Web at http://ga2.er.usgs.gov/geologs/.
• Well-inventory, water-level, and geologic data were verified for entry into the NWIS database. Field inventories of well sites were conducted to assist projects, and 104 sites were added to the NWIS Ground-Water Site Inventory to improve ground-water data coverage in the State. The NWIS database can be accessed on the Web at http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ga/nwis/inventory/.

Reference


A hydrologic technician from the Ground Water Information and Project Support unit collects a water sample from a well in the Mayhaw Wildlife Management Area, Miller County, Georgia. The well is a reference site that is not affected by agricultural practices, and samples are analyzed for helium for age dating of the water. Photo by Alan M. Cressler.

Hydrologic technicians set up a real-time continuous recorder in Glynn County, Georgia, to monitor water-levels and specific conductance. The site is a multipoint well completed in the upper and lower water-bearing zones of the Upper Floridan aquifer. The equipment consists of a data logger, two 30-pounds-per-square-inch transducers to record water levels, an electric pump, and a specific conductance probe. Water levels are measured hourly and specific conductance once-per-day and transmitted by satellite telemetry. Photo by Alan M. Cressler.

A hydrologist prepares to open a well completed in the Upper Floridan aquifer to collect a water sample. The well, located along the East River in Brunswick, Georgia, can only be accessed during high tide and is allowed to flow for 24 hours before a sample is collected. Samples are collected annually in support of the Brunswick and Glynn County Cooperative Water Resources Program. Photo by Alan M. Cressler.

A hydrologic technician from the Ground Water Information and Project Support unit is monitoring the specific conductance prior to collecting a water sample for chloride analysis. The well is completed in the Lower Floridan aquifer and is allowed to free flow for 1 hour before a sample is collected. Samples are collected annually in support of the Brunswick and Glynn County Cooperative Water Resources Program. Photo by Alan M. Cressler.
Simulation and Particle-Tracking Analysis of Selected Ground-Water Pumping Scenarios at Vogtle Electric Generation Plant, Burke County, Georgia

Study Chief Gregory C. Cherry
Cooperator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Year Started 2007

Problem
The Vogtle Electric Generation Plant (VEGP), in Burke County, Georgia, is one of Southern Company’s two nuclear-generating facilities in Georgia. On August 15, 2006, Southern Nuclear Company applied to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for an early site permit (ESP) for an additional two reactors at the site. As part of the ESP permitting process, the NRC is charged with development of an environmental impact statement (EIS) to evaluate the effects of constructing and operating these new reactors on the site and surrounding area. The EIS must describe the magnitude and nature of expected effects on ground water resulting from present and potential future ground-water withdrawal. The assessment should include the area of VEGP and extend for distances great enough to cover potentially affected aquifers, including those within the boundary of the U.S. Department of Energy, Savannah River Site (SRS), located in South Carolina across the Savannah River from VEGP. The addition of two new reactors (Units 3 and 4) at VEGP will require an increase in pumping from the lower Dublin and upper and lower Midville aquifers, which currently provide the water needed for reactor Units 1 and 2. NRC would like to evaluate the effects of additional pumage on ground-water flow in the surrounding area.

Objectives
• Simulate the effect of current (2002) and potential future pumping on ground-water levels and flow paths near VEGP for three pumping scenarios in a 4,455-square-mile area near Augusta, Georgia.
• Compare simulated water levels to a Base Case representing 2002 pumping rates throughout the model area.
• Conduct a particle-tracking analysis for each scenario to determine the source of water for VEGP production wells.
• Describe the pumping distribution, simulated water-level changes, and ground-water flow paths relative to the Base Case.
• Define the limitations of the model analysis.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007
• An updated and calibrated MODFLOW ground-water flow model (Cherry, 2006) was used to simulate the effect of current and potential future pumping on ground-water levels and flowpaths near VEGP for a Base Case representing year 2002 conditions and three pumping scenarios (see table). The pumping scenarios focused on pumping increases at VEGP based on projected future demands and the addition of two electrical-generating reactor units. Scenarios simulated pumping increases at VEGP ranging from 1.09 to 3.42 million gallons per day (Mgal/d), with one of the scenarios simulating the elimination of 5.3 Mgal/d of pumping at the SRS. The largest simulated water-level changes at VEGP were for the scenario whereby pumping at the facility was more than tripled, resulting in drawdown exceeding 4–8 feet (ft) in the aquifers screened in the production wells. For the scenario that eliminated pumping at SRS, water-level rises of as much as 4–8 ft were simulated in the same aquifers at SRS.
• Results of MODFLOW simulations were analyzed using the U.S. Geological Survey particle-tracking code MODPATH to determine the source of water and associated time of travel to VEGP production wells. For each of the scenarios, most of the recharge to VEGP wells originated in an upland area near the Burke and Jefferson County line. None of the recharge originated on the SRS or elsewhere in South Carolina. An exception occurs for the scenario whereby pumping at VEGP was more than tripled. For this scenario, some of the recharge originates in an upland area in eastern Barnwell County, South Carolina. Simulated mean time of travel from recharge areas to VEGP wells for the Base Case and the three other pumping scenarios was between about 2,700 and 3,800 years, with some variation related to changes in head gradients because of pumping changes (see table).

Reference
For Scenario B, the largest water-level changes were on the SRS, with maximum increases of greater than 4 ft in the Gordon aquifer, greater than 1 ft in the Millers Pond aquifer, greater than 4 ft in the upper Dublin aquifer, greater than 8 ft in the lower Dublin aquifer, and greater than 4 ft in the upper and lower Midville aquifers. The water-level rise resulting from elimination of SRS pumping reduced the effect of pumping at VEGP on ground-water levels. Maximum declines near VEGP were greater than 2 ft in the upper and lower Midville aquifers, greater than 1 ft in the lower Dublin aquifer, and greater than 0.5 ft in the upper Dublin aquifer. There was no observed change at VEGP in the overlying Gordon and Millers Pond aquifers. Simulation results for scenario B indicate that ground-water recharge is provided in an upland area near the Burke and Jefferson County line, with a mean simulated time of travel of about 2,700 years (yr) in the lower Dublin aquifer, about 3,300 yr in the upper Midville aquifer, and about 3,200 yr in the lower Midville aquifer. The fastest simulated time of travel was for a particle in the lower Dublin aquifer (about 2,100 yr), and slowest was for a particle in the upper Midville aquifer (about 5,200 yr). None of the recharge originated on SRS or elsewhere in South Carolina.

Simulated pumpage at VEGP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Pumping rate</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gal/min</td>
<td>Mgal/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Case 2002</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3,099</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of simulated travel time for scenarios in the VEGP model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquifer (model layer)</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Simulated time of travel in years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monitoring of Ground-Water and Surface-Water Resources in the City of Lawrenceville Area

Study Chief  Lester J. Williams and Paul D. Ankcorn  
Cooperator  City of Lawrenceville, Georgia  
Year Started  2002

Problem

Ground water from wells completed in fractured crystalline rock provides about 6 percent (0.12 million gallons per day) of the water supply to the city of Lawrenceville, Georgia. Additional ground-water withdrawal is planned in the Redland–Pew Creek and Alcovy River Basins. To enable informed decisions, city managers want to be able to quantify the effects (if any) of ground-water pumping on the surface-water resource as development increases. In addition, city managers recognize a need to collect data to help evaluate the effects of natural and human factors on stream-water quality and, thus, evaluate best-management practices.

To support long-term management goals, the city of Lawrenceville, in cooperation with the U.S. Geological Survey, established a hydrologic monitoring network. The network consists of ground water (regolith and bedrock wells) and surface water (streamgages) sites in the two newly developed basins and in a background basin (upper Apalachee River Basin) that is not influenced by the main pumping centers. An additional streamgage was installed in each of the basins and, beginning in 2006, in the Shoal Creek Basin. The data and information collected during the study can be used by local resource managers to develop a sustainable ground-water supply while minimizing the effects on surface-water resources. The data also will help in understanding changes in surface-water quality over time.

Objectives

- Monitor the effect of increased ground-water withdrawal on ground-water levels and streamflow.
- Determine pre- and post-pumping hydrologic budgets of the Redland–Pew Creek Basin.
- Monitor surface-water flow and precipitation at four monitoring sites.
- Monitor surface-water quality at two of the four surface-water-flow monitoring sites.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007

- Installed continuous-recording streamgage and water-quality monitoring station for the Shoal Creek Basin.
- Maintained continuous-recording streamgages at the outflow of the upper Alcovy River Basin, the upper Apalachee River Basin, the Redland–Pew Creek Basin, and the Shoal Creek Basin to establish information on base flow, runoff, and other hydrologic properties, including selected water-quality characteristics.
- Maintained and obtained streamflow readings at four additional staff-gage monitoring sites.
- Made low-flow (seeage) measurements during fall 2006 (22) and 2007 (8) low-flow period to quantify the ground-water contribution to streamflow in areas being monitored.
- Completed packer testing of well 14FF55 to investigate elevated uranium levels in water samples collected from specific intervals within the well.
- Updated the project Web site, which can be accessed at http://ga.water.usgs.gov/projects/lawrencevillegw/.
Hydrographs from monitoring sites in the upper Apalachee River Basin for (A) discharge, (B) specific conductance, and (C) precipitation from station 02218565 on the Apalachee River at Fence Road; and (D) water level in well 14GG02 near Dacula, Georgia. Data collected in the upper Apalachee River Basin represent an area that has been minimally affected by development of the ground-water resource (background watershed). Changes in stream water quality can be detected by using specific conductance data (B), which clearly show a sewage spill during late 2006. (Right) A hydrologic technician measures low flow by using an acoustic doppler velocity meter at station 02205508 along Pew Creek near Lawrenceville, Georgia. Photo by Alan M. Cressler, U.S. Geological Survey.
U.S. Geological Survey

U.S. Air Force Plant 6
Remediation Assistance Program

Study Chief  John S. Clarke
Cooperator  U.S. Air Force, Aeronautical System Center
Year Started 1995

Problem

U.S. Air Force Plant 6 (AFP6) in Marietta, Georgia, is a government-owned, contractor-operated, military-aircraft fabrication and repair facility. As part of the operations at AFP6, large quantities of petroleum fuels, oils, lubricants, chlorinated solvents, and protective coatings are used. Past manufacturing practices have resulted in the release of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), primarily trichloroethylene (TCE), tetrachloroethylene, and benzene, along with semivolatile organic compounds and metals into the soil and ground water at the plant. In 1995, dissolved TCE was detected in a ground-water sample collected from a new, unused irrigation well located at Southern Polytechnic and State University, which indicated that VOCs may have migrated offsite of AFP6 (Stewart, 2000). These ground-water contaminants are transported through discontinuities in the otherwise impermeable crystalline rock. Discontinuities can include fractures, joints, faults, contacts between rock bodies, shear zones, and bedding planes.

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the U.S. Air Force (USAF), Aeronautical System Center (ASC), is conducting a multidiscipline, field-based study to improve understanding of contaminant fate and transport in a fractured crystalline-rock setting and to support remedial activities at AFP6.

Objectives

• Support and enhance environmental remediation activities at AFP6, with emphasis on contaminant hydrology.
• Monitor ground-water level and quality.
• Assist and advise ASC contractors.
• Conduct specific characterization and delineation efforts that directly support remediation.

Progress and Significant Results, 2006–2007

The USGS continued to investigate contaminant migration in fractured crystalline rock in support of ASC environmental remediation activities. Major focuses included the following:

• Geophysical logging and use of a straddle packer to assess hydraulic properties, head, and water quality of water-bearing intervals in boreholes b4mwi, rw203, and rw208.
• Modification of existing bedrock wells sct1, sct2, b4mwhhh, ob208c, b4mwi, and pmw10d to enable isolation of discrete water-bearing zones.
• Operation of water-level monitoring network.
• Collection and analysis of well-water samples for VOCs and degradation byproducts.

• Assessment of ground-water discharge and contaminant movement to Rottenwood Creek by making streamflow measurements and deploying passive-diffusion samplers during a low-flow period during August 2007.
• Entry of various well data, including geophysical, aquifer test, and water quality, into USGS databases.
• Assessment of offsite migration of contaminants by using tracer studies.
• Development of a AFP6 Web site to serve as a repository for various well data, including geophysical, aquifer test, and water-quality; publications; and other site information. See http://ga.water.usgs.gov/projects/airforce/.
• Publication of a report describing a graphical method to estimate barometric efficiency using continuous water-level data (Gonthier, 2007).
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Two USGS scientists collecting a water sample from one of the passive-diffusion samplers deployed in Rottenwood Creek and neighboring tributaries during a low-flow period in August 2007 to measure volatile organic compounds in stream water. Because streamflow during low-flow periods is largely ground-water discharge, concentrations are indicative of ground-water contamination. Photo by L. Elliott Jones, USGS.

Well sct2 was modified to isolate discrete water-bearing intervals by constructing three new test wells using bentonite and grout. Well sct2-b includes two screened intervals that are isolated from each other using a continuously inflated packer. Water samples are periodically collected from each of the wells and analyzed for VOCs.

A straddle-packer system used to isolate discrete water-bearing intervals in bedrock wells at AFP6. The system contains the following components: (A) upper packer, (B) lower packer, and (C) tubing and cable connections between middle packer and shroud. Photo by O. Gary Holloway.

Periodic and continuous water-level data are collected from a network of wells at AFP6. This hydrograph shows continuous water levels in well sct6, a 575-foot deep well completed in bedrock. The hydrograph shows a daily fluctuation that corresponds to earth-tide effects, and a longer-term fluctuation that reflects climatic trends. The sharp spikes on the graph are slug tests or water-sampling events. Data for this well and other wells in the AFP6 network can be accessed at http://groundwaterwatch.usgs.gov/countymaps.asp?sc=13&cc=067.
Selected Ground-Water Publications, Conferences, and Outreach, 2006–2007

Numerous reports, conference proceedings papers, and abstracts were published during 2006 and 2007 that present results of U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) ground-water investigations in Georgia. Oral and poster presentations were given at various technical conferences and outreach events throughout the State. These publications and presentations provide results of investigations conducted in cooperation with State, Federal, and local agencies including the Georgia Department of Natural Resources (mainly the Environmental Protection Division); U.S. Department of Defense, City of Brunswick and Glynn County; Albany Water, Gas, and Light Commission; City of Lawrenceville; and Rockdale County. Most of these publications are Web-only and can be viewed and downloaded at http://ga.water.usgs.gov/publications.html.

Georgia Water Resources Conference for 2007

The biennial Georgia Water Resources Conference is co-sponsored by the USGS and at which results of several USGS investigations are highlighted. The 10th biennial conference was held at The University of Georgia in Athens during March 2007. Twenty-one USGS papers, 11 of which addressed ground-water investigations, were published in the conference proceedings (see bibliographic listing, below).

Other Conferences and Outreach Events

Other conferences and outreach events in which USGS ground-water scientists participated during 2006–2007 include

- Georgia Association of Water Professionals Spring Conference and Expo, April 2006
- Carl E. Kindsvader Symposium, April 2006
- Geological Society of America, October 2006
- Sunbelt Agricultural Exposition, October 2006 and October 2007
- Georgia Water Resources Conference, March 2007
- Southeastern Coastal States USGS Cooperators’ Roundtable, March 2007
- USGS Global Climate Change Workshop, June 2007
- Georgia Public Television, Georgia Weekly, December 2007
- Georgia Ground Water Association, various
- Future Farmers of America
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