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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International System of Units to U.S. customary units</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiply</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centimeter (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>millimeter (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>kilometer (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilometer (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meter (m)</td>
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<tr>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square meter (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>square meter (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square kilometer (km²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liter (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liter (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liter (L)</td>
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<tr>
<td>liter (L)</td>
</tr>
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<td>cubic centimeter (cm³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liter (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic kilometer (km³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gram (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kilogram (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metric ton (t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metric ton (t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
</tr>
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<td>gram per cubic centimeter (g/cm³)</td>
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Temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) may be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) as follows:

°F = (1.8 × °C) + 32

Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit (°F) may be converted to degrees Celsius (°C) as follows:

°C = (°F − 32) / 1.8
### Abbreviations

#### Elements and Minerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>silver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>aluminum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>arsenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>barium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>bismuth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>bromine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>cadmium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>chlorine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>cobalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>gallium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>indium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>potassium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>magnesium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>manganese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>molybdenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>sodium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>nickel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>phosphorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>rubidium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>sulfur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>antimony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>selenium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>tin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>strontium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>thallium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>thorium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>uranium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>zinc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BaSO$_4$ barite
CaCO$_3$ calcite; calcium carbonate
Corg organic matter
Fe$^{2+}$ ferrous iron
Fe$^{3+}$ ferric iron
FeS$_2$ pyrite
H$_2$S hydrogen sulfide
O$_2$ dissolved oxygen
PbCO$_3$ cerussite
PbS galena
PbSO$_4$ anglesite
PO$_4$ phosphate
Fe$_{1-x}$S pyrrhotite
S$_{\text{metal}}$ pyrite sulfur
SO$_2$ sulfur dioxide
SO$_4$ sulfate
ZnS sphalerite

Units of Measure

< less than
> greater than
°C degree Celsius
°C/km degree Celsius per kilogram
μm micrometer
cm centimeter
g/cm$^3$ gram per cubic centimeter
g/L gram per liter
Ga giga-annum (billion years ago)
kg CaCO$_3$/t kilogram of calcium carbonate per metric ton of material
km kilometer
km$^2$ square kilometer
km$^3$ cubic kilometer
m meter
m.y. million years
Ma mega-annum (million years ago)
mg/kg milligram per kilogram
mg/L milligram per liter
mm millimeter
Mt million metric tons
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW/m²</td>
<td>megawatt per square meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>part per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>tonnage (in metric tons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vol. percent</td>
<td>volume percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/m • °C</td>
<td>watt per meter degree Celsius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt. percent</td>
<td>weight percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other**

- ABA: acid-base accounting
- AP: acid-generating potential
- BHT: Broken Hill-type
- MVT: Mississippi Valley-type
- NNP: net neutralization potential (NP – AP)
- NP: acid-neutralizing potential
- NPR: neutralizing potential ratio (NP:AP)
- NURE: National Uranium Resource Evaluation
- redox: reduction-oxidation
- sedex: sedimentary exhalative
- TDS: total dissolved solids
- TOC: total organic carbon
- VMS: volcanogenic massive sulfide
- XRF: x-ray fluorescence
Sedimentary Exhalative (Sedex) Zinc-Lead-Silver Deposit Model

By Poul Emsbo, Robert R. Seal, George N. Breit, Sharon F. Diehl, and Anjana K. Shah

Introduction

Sedimentary exhalative (sedex) deposits account for more than 50 percent of the world’s zinc (Zn) and lead (Pb) reserves (Tikkanen, 1986) and furnish more than 25 percent of the world’s current production of these two metals and a significant amount of silver (Ag) (Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). More than 129 deposits of this type have been recognized in sedimentary basins around the world (Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). A compilation by Taylor and others (2009) shows that deposits occur in 25 sedimentary basins, 7 of which contain more than 10 million metric tons (Mt) of combined Pb+Zn (figs. 1, 2). In order of decreasing endowment, these basins are the Mt. Isa-McArthur basins, Australia (7 deposits containing 112 Mt of Zn+Pb metal); Selwyn basin, Canada (17 deposits, 55 Mt); Brooks Range, Alaska, United States (3 deposits, 40 Mt); Kholodninskoye deposit, Russia (1 deposit, 23 Mt); Rajasthan basin, India (5 deposits, 20Mt); Belt-Purcell basin, United States and Canada (1 deposit, 19 Mt); and Rhenish basin, Germany (2 deposits, 11 Mt) (fig. 1).

Scope

Descriptions, data, conceptual/genetic models, and geoenvironmental assessments for sedex deposits have been compiled and reviewed previously (Kelley and others, 1995; Lydon, 1995; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Extensive and up-to-date grade-tonnage compilations of sedex deposits are available in the literature (Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007; Taylor and others, 2009). This report draws on previous syntheses and basic research studies of sedex deposits to arrive at the defining criteria, both descriptive and genetic, for sedex-type deposits. Studies of the tectonic, sedimentary, and fluid evolution of modern and ancient sedimentary basins have also been used to select defining criteria. The focus here is on the geologic characteristics of sedex deposit-hosting basins that contain greater than 10 Mt Zn+Pb. The enormous size of sedex deposits strongly suggests that basin-scale geologic processes are involved in their formation. It follows that mass balance constraints of basinal processes can provide a conceptual underpinning for the evaluation of potential ore-forming mechanisms and the identification of geologic indicators for ore potential in specific sedimentary basins.

Purpose

The objective of this report is to develop a model for sedex deposits based on processes critical to their formation. The framework for the model development is a “mineral systems approach” (see Kreuzer and others, 2008) that draws on both descriptive information and genetic consideration. The mineral system schemes have varied in detail, but critical elements of hydrothermal ore-forming processes include (1) source of fluids, (2) source of metal-transporting ligands, (3) source of metals, (4) drivers of fluid movement, (5) plumbing systems or pathways, (6) physical and chemical traps for metal, and (7) discharge zones for spent hydrothermal fluids. Empirical data and a genetic understanding of the physicochemical, geologic, and mass balance conditions required for each of these elements are used to establish a hierarchy of quantifiable geologic criteria that can be used in U.S. Geological Survey national assessments (see Johnson and others, 2008) for sedex Zn-Pb-Ag deposits.

Deposit Type and Associated Commodities

Name

Sedex Pb-Zn-Ag deposits

Synonyms

The term sedex, derived from sedimentary exhalative (Carne and Cathro, 1982), is based on the interpretation that the finely laminated or bedded sulfide ores that characterize this deposit type are chemical sediments that precipitated from hydrothermal fluids that had been “exhaled” or vented onto the sea floor. The merits of using a genetic criterion such as this to define a deposit type has been a subject of considerable debate, leaving some researchers to use purely descriptive terminology such as shale-hosted Zn-Pb-Ag deposits or sediment-hosted stratiform Zn-Pb-Ag deposits instead of sedex deposits (see Leach and others, 2005b).

Brief Description

Sedex Zn-Pb-Ag deposits are hosted by marine sedimentary rocks of intracratonic or epicratonic rift basins. Host rocks are carbonaceous shales, siltstones, and (or) carbonates...
of basin sag-phase sequences that were deposited on thick rift-fill sequences of sandstones, siltstones, conglomerates, red beds, and mafic or felsic volcanic rocks. Most deposits show no association with intrusive rocks. Orebodies are generally tabular or stratiform and are localized in smaller fault-controlled subbasins near the margins of major depocenters and outboard of shallow-water carbonate platforms margins. Laminated or bedded sulfide ores in carbonaceous, pyritic, fine-grained shales and siltstones are characteristic of this deposit type. The principal ore minerals, sphalerite and galena, precipitated on or just below the sea floor from warm, saline basin brines (approx. 100–200 °Celsius [C] and 17–30 percent total dissolved solids) that ascended along basin-controlling synsedimentary faults. The metals were deposited and sequestered by the precipitation of sulfide minerals as a consequence of mixing of the metal-transporting brine and the hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) produced locally by bacterial, and perhaps thermochemical, reduction of local seawater sulfate.

Deposit Model—Clarification and Exclusions

According to the original definition of Carne and Cathro (1982), sedex deposits are bedded or laminated, tabular sulfide-rich bodies that are hosted in carbonaceous shales or other, fine-grained clastic rocks. The sedex classification has been broadened substantially by subsequent researchers to include several genetically or geologically related deposit types (Lydon, 1996; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). The model set forth in this report adheres in a general way to the original definition because some variants, although formed by similar genetic processes, are sufficiently different to justify their own deposit models. This section identifies deposit subtypes that require their own geologic model and are not included in our definition of sedex deposits.

Sangster (2002) separated sedex deposits into vent proximal and vent distal types based on the presence or absence of discordant, feeder, vent/stringer zones below the stratiform ore. Whereas the presence or absence of discordant zones might reflect different geologic and hydrologic processes during ore formation, the differences are insufficient to warrant separate mineral deposit models. Varying proportions of base metals, barium (Ba), and gold (Au) led Emsbo (2000) to classify sedex deposits into very large Zn-Pb-Ag, intermediate size Zn-Pb-Ag-Ba=Au, and low base metal Ba and (or) Au deposits that do not produce Zn-Pb. Very large Zn-Pb-Ag deposits like the McArthur River (HVC), Century, Mount Isa, Sullivan, and Howards Pass deposits represent the base metal-rich end member of sedex deposits. They are enormous, with typically greater than 10 Mt Zn+Pb, generally of high grade, have gold contents near crustal abundance, and contain very little barite. The Red Dog deposit is an enormous gold-poor Zn-Pb-Ag deposit, but it also contains significant amounts of barite. Barite, however, is not temporally coincident with most of the sulfide mineralization as it is replaced by and therefore older than the Zn-Pb sulfides, and there is a general lack of spatial correlation between Ba and Zn-Pb-Ag in the rest of the western Brooks Range (Young, 1989; Kelley and others, 2004a). Intermediate Zn-Pb-Ag-Ba=Au deposits like the Rammelsberg, Tom, and Jason deposits and those of the Anvil district are generally smaller with less than 7 Mt Zn+Pb, have associated high-grade barite, and can contain significant gold grades. This classification reflects variation in the concentration and reduction-oxidation (redox) state of sulfur (S) in the brine, which is ultimately controlled by the lithology and redox buffering capacity of basin-fill sediments that make up the metal source region (Lydon and others, 1979, 1985; Emsbo and others, 1999; Cooke and others, 2000; Emsbo, 2000).

Whereas the lithology of basin fill is an important component of the model developed in this report and has implications for deposit formation, metal endowment, and prospectivity of sedimentary basins, the specific geologic conditions that lead to a reduced, low-sulfate (SO₄)₂, high-H₂S brine capable of forming the barite-Au end member are not discussed here. The recognition that sedex ores can form through the replacement of carbonate sediments below the sea floor has led to a “carbonate-hosted” sedex subclassification (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Sangster and Hillary, 2000; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Though it is arguably a valid sedex subtype, the distinctions among carbonate-hosted sedex deposits, Irish-type deposits, and Mississippi Valley-type deposits are somewhat subjective. Deposits formed within carbonate platforms on basin margins, inboard of clastic depocenters, are not properly assessed by our sedex model because these deposits are dominated by sub-sea-floor replacement processes and are not directly associated with organic-rich sediments. However, deposits formed through the replacement of carbonate turbidites within shale sequences, such as the Anarraq deposit in Alaska (Kelley and others, 2004a), are well represented by this model because they form in distal clastic depocenters outboard of the shallow carbonate platform margin and because sulfides are deposited by H₂S from bacterial sulfate reduction in the local organic-rich sedimentary environment (Johnson and others, 2004; Kelley and others, 2004b).

The following sections address deposit types not considered in this report because we classify them as distinct from the sedex model.

Irish-Type Deposits

Irish-type deposits are thought to represent a style of metal accumulation intermediate between sedex and Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) deposits and consequently have been considered as subclasses of both sedex deposits (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Lydon, 1995; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007) and MVT deposits (Leach and others, 2005b). Irish-type deposits

Figure 1 (-facing page). Global distribution of sedex zinc-lead-silver deposits with proportional symbol showing contained zinc and lead in tons. Insets show distribution of deposits in the (A) Selwyn, (B) Belt-Purcell, (C) Rajasthan, and (D) Mt. Isa-McArthur basins. (Data from Taylor and others, 2009)
Figure 2. Metal endowment in sedex zinc-lead-silver deposits. A, Grade-tonnage for 122 sedex deposits. Diagonal lines represent tonnage (t, in metric tons) of zinc and lead (Zn+Pb) metal in the deposits. B, Bar diagram showing the 50 largest sedex deposits with the largest amounts of contained Zn+Pb metal. (Data from Taylor and others, 2009)
share many characteristics of sedex deposits. For example, (1) they have similar grade-tonnage characteristics, (2) they occur in the sag phase of intracratonic and epicratonic rift systems in association with evaporative environments, (3) they were formed from basin brine, and (4) metals were deposited immediately below the sea floor by mixing with $H_2S$ derived from bacterial sulfate reduction in overlying organic-rich sea-floor sediments (Hitzman and Beaty, 1996; Leach and others, 2005b; Wilkinson and others, 2005). Although the sedex model presented in this report captures many of these processes and characteristics, there are significant differences, namely that Irish-type deposits form through the replacement of carbonates deposited on basin platform margins rather than in the clastic depositional settings within major depocenters.

**Broken Hill-Type Deposits**

Whether or not Broken Hill-type (BHT) deposits are a subtype of sedex deposits has been vigorously debated (see Leach and others, 2005b). The BHT deposits are all highly metamorphosed, but it is not entirely clear why metamorphism of a deposit after its formation should be a distinguishing criterion, as some have proposed. However, BHT deposits display distinctive empirical/genetic features that are unaccounted for by this sedex model. Most significantly, (1) BHT deposits are formed during the rift phase rather than the sag phase of basin development, (2) sulfide ores are interbedded with oxide-facies iron (Fe)- and manganese (Mn)-rich rocks, (3) grade-tomnag characteristics are distinctive and include higher Ag grades and Pb:Zn ratios (Leach and others, 2005b), (4) trace element signatures are distinct, (5) important examples are not hosted by organic-rich sediments, (6) ore sulfides typically have narrow $\delta^{34}S$ ranges that suggest distinctive mechanisms and (or) environments of ore deposition (Large and others, 1996a), and (7) some examples show possible links to igneous activity. Despite these differences, similarities between sedex and BHT deposits suggest genetic links and that a spectrum may exist between the two deposit types. Several significant deposits appear to be such intermediates. For example, deposits in the dismembered Proterozoic Namaqua-Natal metamorphic complex of South Africa are generally considered to be BHT deposits (Stalder and Rozendaal, 2004; Leach and others, 2005b). Several of the deposits in the district, however, including the world-class Gamsberg deposit, display sedex features such as occurrence within a regionally extensive graphitic black shale (metapelite), widely ranging $\delta^{34}S$ values for ore sulfides (6.2–32 per mil [%]), massive barite both as a cap and as a distal facies, and a peripheral oxide facies, including Fe formation, although oxide facies also occur in the deposit as a feature of the BHT subtype. Unfortunately, tectonism and metamorphism have obscured details of the basin settings of these deposits, but they are thought to have formed during the rift phase of basin development.

The Sullivan deposit is considered by many to be the archetypal sedex deposit. It bears some similarities to BHT deposits, including its formation during the rift-fill sequence of basin development (Lydon, 2004a), but it is similar enough to typical sedex deposits that Sullivan is included in the present report as a sedex deposit.

**Volcanogenic Massive Sulfide Deposits**

Several authors have proposed that sedex deposits have a genetic relation to volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) deposits (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Large and others, 2001, 2002). Linkage between the two deposit types has been suggested based on modern sediment-hosted VMS occurrences in the Middle Valley, Escanaba Trough, and Guaymas Basin that lie above sediment-covered spreading centers (Goodfellow and others, 1993). Although sedex deposits and sediment-hosted VMS deposits have some similarities, particularly sulfide deposition on or just below the sea floor, true sedex deposits are distinguished by their occurrence in sedimentary basins formed during the sag phase of intracratonic and epicratonic rift systems, the involvement of brines derived from the host basins, and the lack of spatial/genetic associations with volcanic rocks. The VMS deposits are not appropriately assessed by the model developed in this report because they formed from seawater modified during hydrothermal convection in volcanic-dominated systems and not from sedimentary basal brines (Emsbo, 2000).

**Associated Deposit Types**

**Mississippi Valley-Type and Irish-Type Deposits**

The co-occurrence of MVT Zn-Pb-Ag deposits in the Mackenzie/Macdonald carbonate platforms and sedex deposits in the Selwyn basin has long been noted and considered suggestive of a genetic link (Sangster, 1990; Goodfellow and others, 1993). The age of these MVT deposits is controversial because of conflicting isotopic and paleomagnetic ages (Nelson and others, 2002; Paradis and Nelson, 2007), but Devonian rubidium/strontium (Rb/Sr) dates of ore sphalerite and correspondence of radiogenic (Sr, Pb) and stable (carbon [C], oxygen [O]) isotopes have been used to argue that the MVT deposits in the Macdonald carbonate platform are far-field consequences of sedex deposits as fluids from the deeper part of the basin migrated into the carbonate platform (Nelson and others, 2002; Paradis and Nelson, 2007). An interesting finding of the analysis presented here is that all the important sedex deposit-hosting basins, with the exception of the Belt-Purcell basin (northwestern United States and Canada), have MVT deposits in associated basin-margin platform carbonates. The co-occurrence of both types of mineralization in the same basins supports previous interpretations that sedex and MVT deposits may be genetically linked.

**Sedex Barite**

Barite-only deposits are typically closely associated with sulfide deposits but are also found far removed from them (tens of kilometers) in the same stratigraphic horizons (Lydon and others, 1979; Emsbo and Johnson, 2004; Kelley and Jennings, 2004; Johnson and others, 2009).
Sediment-Hosted Manganese, Iron, Phosphate, and Metalliferous Black Shales

Diverse sediment-hosted deposit types, including organic-rich metalliferous black shales, Mn, and phosphate (PO₄), commonly occur in the same sedimentary horizons as sedex deposits (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Lydon, 1995; Large and others, 1998; Emsbo, 2004, 2008; Emsbo and others, 2005). These deposit types are interpreted by some researchers to have resulted from the upwelling of cold ocean waters—rich in biolimiting nutrients Mn, Fe, and PO₄—onto the continental shelves (Goodfellow and Jonasson, 1987; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007; Slack, 2008). The waters would trigger an increase in bioproductivity and eutrophication and thus precipitation of Mn, Fe, and PO₄. It has also been argued that the sedex ore fluids introduced the biolimiting nutrients and the resulting eutrophication and formation of these diverse deposits are, therefore, far-field consequences of sedex formation (Emsbo and others, 1999; Emsbo, 2004, 2008).

Primary Commodities

Zinc and lead are the primary commodities for sedex deposits. On average, sedex deposits contain approximately 7 percent Zn and 3 percent Pb metal (fig. 2).

Byproduct Commodities

The main byproduct commodities produced from sedex deposits include Ag, copper (Cu), and Ba. Sedex deposits average about 60 parts per million (ppm) Ag and represent a significant source of Ag. The Red Dog deposit, for example, is currently the leading Ag producer in the United States (Brooks, 2012). In a few deposits, such as Rammelsberg and Mount Isa, Cu is an important economic resource. Most sedex deposits receive refinery credits for cadmium (Cd) that is hosted in sphalerite at concentrations as high as 1 percent (Schwartz, 1997). Barite is present in about 25 percent of the Proterozoic and about 75 percent of the Phanerozoic sedex deposits (Goodfellow and others, 1993); in some cases, it makes up more than 25 percent of ores and is economically recovered. Although the Au content of sedex deposits is typically low (approx. equal to 2 parts per billion), the Anvil district and the Rammelsberg, Triumph, and Sullivan deposits have produced Au (Emsbo, 2000). Ore from the Anvil district and the Rammelsberg and Triumph deposits averaged nearly 1 ppm Au, with local concentrations as high as 30 ppm in the Rammelsberg deposits (Emsbo, 2000). Similarly, though tin (Sn) is typically low (less than 1 ppm) in most sedex deposits, a few deposits contain appreciable amounts of Sn. For example, the Sullivan deposit has produced Sn from ore that averaged 300 ppm with local concentrations as high as 2,000 ppm (mainly from cassiterite) in the vent complex (Lydon, 2004b). Although not recovered, Sn in the Rammelsberg deposit averaged 50 ppm with local concentrations as high as 730 ppm (Large and Walcher, 1999).

Trace Constituents

In addition to the ore-forming elements, a large suite of associated elements characterizes sedex deposits. Elements such as Fe, Mn, phosphorus (P), Ba, mercury (Hg), Cd, arsenic (As), antimony (Sb), thallium (Tl), and selenium (Se), are universally elevated. Elements such as Sn, indium (In), gallium (Ga), bismuth (Bi), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), and Tl have also been reported in some deposits (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Goodfellow, 1987; Goodfellow and Rhodes, 1991). Many of these elements (that is, As, Sb, Bi, Hg, In, Tl) can also be detrimental to mining operations as they can result in smelter penalties during ore refining and geoenvironmental liability during mining.

Example Deposits

Well-studied examples of sedex Zn-Pb-Ag deposits include Red Dog (Brooks Range); McArthur River (HYC), Century, Mount Isa, and Hilton-George Fisher (Mt. Isa-McArthur basins); Rammelsberg and Meggen (Rhenish basin); Howards Pass, Tom, Jason, and the Anvil district (Selwyn basin); and Sullivan (Belt-Purcell basin).

Historical Evolution of Descriptive and Genetic Knowledge and Concepts

While sedex deposits have been exploited for as much as a millennium, as is the case for Rammelsberg, the geologic and geochemical processes that formed these deposits were poorly understood until the late 1970s and early 1980s. Since then, a series of descriptive and genetic models have established sedex deposits as a distinct deposit type and have shaped our current understanding of the geologic processes that formed these enormous deposits. The analysis of sedex deposit-hosting sedimentary basins by Large (1980, 1983) established the relation between sedex deposits and basin architecture and geotectonic environment. The suggestions that sedex deposits were formed by saline basinal brines (Hutchinson, 1980; Badham, 1981; Lydon, 1983), now verified by a series of studies (Gardner and Hutcheon, 1985; Ansdel and others, 1989; Bresser, 1992; Leach and others, 2004; Polito and others, 2006), was a critical advance in formulating a theory for sedex deposit genesis. The realization that sedex deposits were formed from brines that are geochemically similar to those that formed MVT deposits and those in modern basins fostered cross-pollination with burgeoning geochemical, fluid inclusion, and thermodynamic modeling studies of modern and ancient brines. Integration of the results from these related fields have provided invaluable insights and geochemical constraints into the source, transport, and deposition of metals in sedimentary basins.
The radiogenic and stable isotopic compositions of sedex ores constrain the sources of ore components. Many studies have demonstrated that Pb and Sr are derived from well-mixed upper crustal rocks, most likely from the continental clastic sediments contained in host basins (Gustafson and Williams, 1981; Goodfellow and others 1993; Lydon, 1996; Ayuso and others, 2004; Emsbo and Johnson, 2004; Large and others, 2005; Leach and others, 2005b). Chemical modeling studies have emphasized the importance of oxidized clastic sediments (such as red beds, conglomerates, and sandstones) in source rocks in underlying rift-fill sequences (Emsbo and others, 1999; Cooke and others, 2000; Emsbo, 2000). The identified sources of metals and ore fluids have been central in developing models for the hydrologic processes that drove the enormous hydrothermal systems responsible for the formation of sedex deposits (Russell and others, 1981; Lydon, 1983, 1986; Sawkins, 1984; Goodfellow and others, 1993; Garven and others, 2001; Leach and others, 2004; Yang and others, 2004; Large and others, 2005).

Because of the importance of H$_2$S in trapping ore metals and forming sedex deposits, its source has been a focus of many studies. While interpretations of sulfur sources have varied, there is now general consensus that the ultimate source was marine sulfate that had been reduced to H$_2$S through bacterial sulfate reduction and, perhaps, thermochemical sulfate reduction (Sangster, 1968; Large and others, 2002, 2005; Lydon, 2004a; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). The original suggestion of a relation between secular marine $\delta^{34}$S trends and sedex deposits (Sangster, 1968) has been noted by many subsequent studies (Goodfellow, 1987; Goodfellow and Jonasson, 1987; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007; Johnson and others, 2009). More recently, a study of barite $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{18}$O compositions in sedex deposits (Johnson and others, 2009) demonstrates the same systematics observed in modern barite mineralization that occurs at fluid seeps on modern continental margins. This comparative study established that H$_2$S is ultimately controlled by rates of bacterial sulfate reduction with organic matter, methane, and (or) possibly light hydrocarbons as the primary electron donor. The evidence that bacteria metabolize organic compounds to produce sulfide that precipitates the ore metals in local sedimentary environments highlights the importance of organic matter in the genesis of sedex deposits.

The importance of basinwide organic-rich metalliferous black shales in mineralized horizons has been widely noted (Turner, 1992; Large and others, 1998; Emsbo, 2004; Emsbo and others, 2005; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). The basinwide sequestration of organic carbon traditionally has been explained by the upwelling of cold, nutrient-rich ocean waters onto the continental shelf, causing a surge in bioproductivity and corresponding eutrophication or anoxic/dysoxic conditions. Alternatively, Emsbo and others (2005) proposed that hydrothermal basinal fluids themselves may have been the source of biolimiting nutrients that trigger the anoxic/dysoxic conditions that lead to organic carbon sequestration.

### Regional Environment

#### Geotectonic Environment

Sedex Zn-Pb-Ag deposits occur in rift-generated sedimentary basins (Lydon, 1995; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Although rifting can occur in different tectonic settings—such as intracratonic regions (Mt. Isa-McArthur basins, Belt-Purcell basin), epirhythmic passive continental margins (Selwyn basin, Brooks Range), and distal back-arcs (Rhenish basin)—all these types of sedex-bearing basins share a common geologic architecture (fig. 3).

#### Relations to Structures

In his analysis of sedex deposit-hosting sedimentary basins, Large (1980, 1983) established a relation between sedex deposits and basin architecture. The relation, which has been verified by a host of subsequent studies (Large, 1980; Lydon, 1995; Kelley and others, 2004a; Large and others, 2005; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007), consists of the occurrence of sedex deposits in extensional, fault-bounded, first-order epicontinental and intracratonic basins that exceed about 100 kilometers (km) in diameter. Within first-order basins, structurally controlled second-order basins, which are tens of kilometers in dimension, are controlled by half-graben structures. Second-order basins are characterized by abrupt changes in sedimentary facies and isopachs and by the occurrence of intraformational debris flows and breccias. The graben-bounding faults served as conduits for hydrothermal brines travelling from underlying strata onto the sea floor to form the deposits. Third-order basins, which are a few kilometers in diameter, are bathymetric lows that represent euxinic, low-energy depositional environments dominated by organic-rich, pyritic, fine-grained shale and mudstone. Third-order basins provide favorable locations for dense, bottom-hugging, metalliferous brines to accumulate and to react with locally derived H$_2$S to form sulfide ores.

#### Relations to Sedimentary Rocks

The stratigraphic evolution of sedex deposit-hosting rift basins can be divided into two phases (fig. 3). The rift phase is characterized by a 4- to 15-km-thick accumulation of coarse continental clastic sediments, including conglomerates, red beds, sandstones, and turbidities, commonly with subordinate rift-related volcanic successions. Thermal subsidence of basin margins, which is a natural consequence of late-stage continental rifting, causes a landward migration of shorelines and the deposition of shallow-water platform sediments that characterize the sag phase (Einsele, 2000). Sag-phase shallow-water carbonate and fine-grained clastic rocks (shales and siltstones) eventually transgress and cover rift-fill depocenters. With the exception of the Sullivan deposit (western Canada), sedex deposits are hosted in 1- to 4-km-thick sequences of fine-grained sag phase sediments.
Sedimentary Exhalative (Sedex) Zinc-Lead-Silver Deposit Model

The host rocks for the ores are black shale, organic-rich siltstone, and calcareous mudstone. An identifying characteristic of sedex deposits is a high concentration of organic matter in ore horizons (Carne and Cathro, 1982). Laminated sulfide ores and strata on the periphery of shale-hosted sedex deposits generally contain between 3 and 10 percent total organic carbon (TOC) (Turner, 1992; Goodfellow and others, 1993; Large and Walcher, 1999; Large and others, 2005; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007; Feltrin, 2008). Leach and others (2005) noted a general relation between the size of sedex deposits and TOC contents and suggested that organic matter may be a factor in controlling deposit size. The regional enrichment of organic carbon in coeval sediments outboard from most sedex deposits, combined with high S:C ratios of these sediments, the absence of benthic fauna, and the correspondence between ore formation and secular trends of $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{13}$C, has been used to argue that widespread, perhaps even global, anoxic conditions may be fundamental to the deposition and preservation of sedex deposits on the sea floor (Goodfellow, 1987; Turner, 1992; Goodfellow and others, 1993; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007).

Temporal (Secular) Relations

Much has been written about the distribution of sedex deposits through time (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Lydon, 1995, 2004a; Goodfellow, 2004; Leach and others, 2005b). Sedex ores generally occur during two time periods in Earth history (fig. 4). The first period, in the Proterozoic (approx. 1.8 to 1.4 giga-annum [Ga]), is the most important in terms of contained metal and includes the deposits of the Mt. Isa-McArthur, Belt-Purcell, and Rajasthan basins. The second period (550 to 300 mega-annum [Ma]) includes the deposits of the Brooks Range and the Selwyn and Rhenish basins. The youngest known sedex deposits are Jurassic deposits in Pakistan and Cuba (Lydon, 2004a). Despite the larger amount of contained metal in the Proterozoic sedex period, there are no significant differences in grade, tonnage, and metal character between Proterozoic and Paleozoic deposits (Lydon, 2004b; Leach and others, 2005b).

The reasons for the bimodal age distribution of deposits are not entirely clear. The absence of deposits older than 1.8 Ga may be a consequence of highly reduced Fe and low sulfate, which suppressed H$_2$S in the Archean–Early Proterozoic anoxic oceans (Leach and others, 2005a; Lyons and others, 2006; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Lydon (1997) suggests that prior to 1.8 Ga there was a paucity of the large emergent continental areas needed to produce cratonic sedimentary basins with shallow-water shelf areas capable of producing evaporitic brines (Lydon, 1997; Bradley, 2008). Another possible explanation for the clustering of deposits in the Paleozoic, based on the correspondences between sedex deposits and global anoxic events, is that the sedex periods may reflect times when reducing conditions favored sulfide generation and the efficient precipitation and preservation of metal sulfides (Turner, 1992).
Figure 4. Distribution of contained lead and zinc (Pb+Zn) metal in known sedex deposits through time. Deposits are identified and plotted in age increments of 20 million years for their host rocks with significant deposits labeled. (Modified from Taylor and others, 2009) (BHT, Broken Hill type; HYC deposit now known as the McArthur deposit)
Duration of Hydrothermal System and (or) Mineralizing Processes

A potentially important observation is that most of the major sedex deposit-hosting basins contain multiple episodes of mineralization. The Selwyn basin, one of the best studied examples, contains four distinct world-class sedex events and one smaller sedex barite event, all of which occurred during discrete time intervals during 150 million years of basin history (fig. 5) (Goodfellow, 2007). The Anvil district’s seven deposits (fig. 6C) all formed during upper Middle Cambrian time, followed by the formation of at least 14 deposits and prospects in the Howards Pass district at the Llandovery-Wendlock boundary in Lower Silurian time (fig. 6D). Several deposits formed during two discrete time periods in the Upper Devonian: the Macmillan Pass district (fig. 6D) in middle Frasnian time and the Gataga district (fig. 6B) during upper Famennian time. The formation of small barite deposits in Lower Mississippian time was the final sedex event in Selwyn basin. Similarly, the Mt. Isa-McArthur basins host six of the world’s largest sedex deposits hosted in four distinct and well-dated age horizons spanning more than 50 million years of Proterozoic time (fig. 7) (Large and others, 2005). Both the Rhenish and Rajasthan basins have two periods of mineralization separated by as much as 10 million years (Large and Walcher, 1999; Deb and Thorpe, 2001). In the Devonian Rhenish basin, formation of the Eifelian Rammelsberg deposit was followed by the late Givetian Meggen deposit (Large and Walcher, 1999). While the

Figure 5. Geology of the Selwyn basin. A, Generalized paleogeographic map and generalized cross section (inset) of lower Paleozoic sedimentary architecture of Selwyn basin. (Modified from Abbott and others, 1986; Goodfellow, 2007) B, Schematic stratigraphic column of the Selwyn basin showing the age of sedex districts in the basin. (Modified from Abbott and others, 1986; Paradis and others, 1998) (Miss., Mississippian)
age constraints are not as precise for deposits in the Proterozoic Rajasthan basin, the two distinctly mineralized horizons were likely deposited during a narrow time interval (Deb and Thorpe, 2001). In the Belt-Purcell basin, though the vast majority of mineralization is hosted in the Sullivan deposit in rocks of the lower Aldridge Formation, several smaller deposits are hosted in units of the middle Aldridge Formation (Lydon, 2004b).

Whereas mineralizing events in a basin can occur periodically during time periods of tens of millions of years or more, estimates of the duration of individual sedex-forming hydrothermal events, determined from paleontologic-sedimentologic constraints, range from 75,000 years to more than 1 million years (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Paradis and others, 1998). The most precise constraints on the duration are provided by paleontologic studies of the Gataga district in the Selwyn basin. The data indicate that 22 Zn-Pb-Ag (Ba) and Ba deposits in the district formed during three discrete periods over an episode of approximately 7 million years in Upper Devonian time. Individual deposits such as the Driftpile deposit, however, may have formed solely within the lower middle *Palmatolepis marginifera* conodont zone (Paradis and others, 1998), indicating that brine expulsion events in some areas likely lasted less than 500,000 years (Kaufmann, 2006). Even shorter time intervals are estimated from thermal modeling of brine systems, which suggests individual sedex deposits formed in less than 100,000 years (Appold and Garven, 2000; Garven and others, 2001; Yang and others, 2004, 2006; Cathles and Adams, 2005).

**Relations to Igneous Rocks**

Although the occurrence of rift-related volcanic rocks within some sedimentary successions would allow for some igneous contribution to ore metals (for example, Mt. Isa basin; Cooke and others, 1998), there is little evidence that igneous rocks are important for sedex ore formation. The apparent spatial relation between the Sullivan deposit and an underlying gabbroic sill in the Belt-Purcell basin appears to be one of ground preparation controlling later fluid flow (Lydon, 2004a). The emplacement of thick gabbroic sills into wet and unconsolidated sediments triggered mud volcanoes that caused massive brecciation and tourmalinization. These zones of altered and deformed sediments could have acted as fluid conduits that focused later brine migration, although it remains problematic that the age of all dated sills are younger than the deposit (Lydon, 2004a).

**Relations to Metamorphic Rocks**

Sedex deposits rarely show spatial, temporal, or genetic associations with metamorphic rocks. Post-ore metamorphism of sedex deposits is generally low grade (greenschist facies or below) with a few deposits attaining amphibolite grades (for example, Balmat, United States; Kholodninskoye, Russia; and Rampura-Agucha, India).

**Physical Description of Deposit**

**Dimensions in Plan View**

At the time of formation, sedex orebodies were deposited as stratabound and stratiform bodies with strike lengths of hundreds of meters to more than 1.5 km (figs. 6, 8, 9). Therefore, footprint dimensions of orebodies generally reflect post-sedimentation structural disruptions of ores. For example, the Century deposit is generally flat lying, with minor offsets along the Pandora fault and erosional terminations by the overlying Cambrian sequence, and attains dimensions in plan view in excess of 1.5 km (fig. 8B). In contrast, the steeply dipping Mount Isa, George Fisher, and Hilton deposits, while formed as laterally extensive deposits, are structurally tilted (fig. 8A) and therefore have a small footprint (approx. 250 meters [m]) in plan view. Because the original dimensions of sedex deposits can be large, it is important to consider that distinct orebodies in highly folded terrains may, in fact, have been formed as a single contiguous orebody.

It is also common for sedex districts to contain multiple deposits within the same stratigraphic interval and distributed along structures that extend tens of kilometers (figs. 6, 8, 9). For example, the Gataga district (fig. 6B) has six deposits in the same stratigraphic interval for 100 km. Similarly, the Howards Pass district (fig. 6A) contains 14 named deposits over a strike length of 60 km, the Anvil district (fig. 6C) contains 7 deposits over a strike length of 35 km, and the 3 deposits of the Mt. Isa district (fig. 8A) occurs over 20 km. Highly anomalous ore metal concentrations between deposits in an ore-hosting stratigraphic horizon demonstrate the enormous scale of these ore-forming systems.

**Vertical Extent**

Sedex orebodies are generally composed of stacked tabular lenses of stratiform sulfide ore that, when combined, are typically a few tens of meters thick (Large and others, 2005; Leach and others, 2005b). Feeder zones can extend for several hundred meters below vent proximal deposits, but they rarely contribute ore to the deposit, with the exception of Rammelsberg. For that reason, vertical extent, as with plan view dimensions, is primarily determined by post-ore structural disruptions of orebodies, which can give them vertical extents of hundreds of meters (figs. 6, 8, 9).

**Form/Shape**

Sedex deposits typically taper towards their margins for strike lengths of as much as 1.5 km. Generally, aspect ratios of the orebodies (lateral extent divided by thickness) are more than 20 (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Lydon, 1995; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Vent-proximal deposits (such as Tom, Jason, and Rammelsberg) generally have lower aspect ratios, which is thought to reflect the influence of vent hydrodynamics. Vent-distal deposits (such as Howards Pass, McArthur River (HYC), Century, and Meggen) have higher ratios, which is believed to reflect the morphology/bathymetry of the basin lows in which
**A** Howards Pass district

**EXPLANATION**
- Cretaceous
- Quartz monzonite and granite
- Triassic
- Sandstone
- Carboniferous
- Shale

*Selwyn Basin*
- Devonian and Mississippian
- Earn Group
  - Shale and sandstone
  - Shale and chert
- Ordovician and Silurian Road River Group
  - Shale and chert

*Mackenzie Carbonate Platform*
- Cambrian, Ordovician, Silurian and Devonian
- Dolomite and limestone
- Ordovician and Cambrian
- Limestone
- Cambrian and Hadrynian
- Shale and sandstone

- Sedex deposit

**B** Gataga district

**EXPLANATION**
- Devonian-Mississippian
- Road River Group
- Ordovician-Devonian
- Kechika Group
  - Cambrian-Ordovician
  - Cambrian
- Geologic contact
- Thrust fault
- Sedex deposit

**Figure 6.** Generalized geologic maps of the major sedex mining districts of the Selwyn basin. See figure 5 for a location map and general stratigraphic position of the districts. **A**, Geologic map and location of deposits of the Silurian Howards Pass district (after Goodfellow, 2007) and a schematic section between the XY and HP deposits (modified from www.selwynresources.com, accessed September 30, 2012). **B**, Regional geology of the Kechika Trough showing the location of Late Devonian sedex deposits of the Gataga district (modified from Goodfellow, 2007) and a section through the Cirque deposit, the largest in the district (modified from Pigage, 1986). **C**, Generalized geology and location of deposits of the Anvil district (modified from Goodfellow, 2007) and a section of the Faro deposit (modified from Jennings and Jilson, 1986). **D**, Geology of Macmillan Pass district showing the location of sedex deposits (modified from Goodfellow, 2007; Bailes and others, 1987) and the geology of the Tom-Jason subbasin (modified from McClay and Bidwell, 1987). See figure 9D for a section through the Jason deposit. (%, percent; Zn, zinc; Pb, lead)
Figure 6. Generalized geologic maps of the major sedex mining districts of the Selwyn basin. See figure 5 for a location map and general stratigraphic position of the districts. A, Geologic map and location of deposits of the Silurian Howards Pass district (after Goodfellow, 2007) and a schematic section between the XY and HP deposits (modified from www.selwynresources.com, accessed September 30, 2012). B, Regional geology of the Kechika Trough showing the location of Late Devonian sedex deposits of the Gataga district (modified from Goodfellow, 2007) and a section through the Cirque deposit, the largest in the district (modified from Pigage, 1986). C, Generalized geology and location of deposits of the Anvil district (modified from Goodfellow, 2007) and a section of the Faro deposit (modified from Jennings and Jilson, 1986). D, Geology of Macmillan Pass district showing the location of sedex deposits (modified from Goodfellow, 2007; Bailes and others, 1987) and the geology of the Tom-Jason subbasin (modified from McClay and Bidwell, 1987). See figure 9D for a section through the Jason deposit. (%, percent; Zn, zinc; Pb, lead)
Figure 7. Geology of the Mt. Isa-McArthur basins. A, Simplified geologic map of the basins of northern Australia showing the location of major tectonic elements and the position of sedex deposits. Inset shows the outcrop distribution of major geological provinces of the North Australian craton. (Modified from Betts and others, 2003) B, Geologic cross section along transect A-A’. Only supersequence-level stratigraphy and large simplified structures are shown. (Modified from Southgate and others, 2006) C, Schematic comparative stratigraphy of the Mt. Isa-McArthur basins showing the stratigraphic position and age of the major sedex deposits in the basin. (Modified from Large and others, 2005) (Fm, Formation; Dol, Dolomite; Ss, Sandstone; Slts, Siltstone; Ma, mega-annum)
ore-forming brines could accumulate and deposit their metals (Lydon, 1995; Sangster, 2002; Ireland and others, 2004). Lydon (1995, 2004a) distinguished four facies on the basis of morphology (fig. 10). Feeder zones can extend for several hundred meters below vent-proximal deposits, but they rarely contain orebodies. Vent-complex facies above the feeder zones are characterized by massive to weakly bedded massive sulfide ores and are commonly the thickest and highest grade parts of orebodies. Bedded ore facies occur tens to hundreds of meters distal to the vent complexes in vent-proximal deposits and are the sole ore type in vent-distal deposits. This facies contains compositionally layered sulfides interbedded with shales, siltstones, and fragmental sedimentary rocks. Bedded ores taper in thickness along strike and become lower in grade until they become subeconomic. The distal hydrothermal facies represent the outward continuation of the mineralized horizon beyond the economic bedded ores. The economic ore represents a small fraction of the total sulfide in a deposit. A slight decrease in the cutoff grade (approx. 1 percent combined Zn-Pb) in the Tom deposit, for example, would increase the ore reserves by a factor of 5 (Goodfellow and others, 1993), and inclusion of sulfide in the distal hydrothermal facies would significantly increase this estimate further.

Host Rocks

Orebodies comprise as many as 15 individual ore lenses that are separated by pyritic, organic-rich shales, siltstones, and (at some localities) debris flows. Host rocks of sedex deposits are fine-grained marine sedimentary rocks that include carbonaceous (3–10 percent TOC) shale and chert (Howards Pass and Red Dog), dolomitic shale or siltstone (McArthur River [HYC] and Mount Isa), and micritic limestone (Meggen) (Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Turbidities and slump breccias are common within the ore-hosting sequences at a number of deposits, including Meggen and Rammelsberg, Jason and Tom, Faro, and McArthur River (HYC). Minor bentonite beds are present within the ore-hosting sequences at a number of deposits, including Meggen, Rammelsberg, Jason, Faro, and McArthur River (HYC). Distal hydrothermal facies on the fringes of orebodies are typically organic- and sulfide-rich black shales, cherts, and siltstones. Many deposits have been metamorphosed and deformed along with their host rocks (for example, Sullivan and Mount Isa).

Structural Setting and Controls

It is well established that sedex deposits are associated with long-lived regional fault systems that control the development of first- and second-order basins. Deposits and districts are typically oriented along second-order faults that control half-graben depositional centers. The longevity of these deep-rooted faults, which is apparent from their long-term influence on basin configuration and sedimentary facies, reflects a history of reactivation (Large and others, 2002; Emsbo and others, 2006). Most deposits show a direct relation between fault movement and ore formation. Fault movement is expressed as growth faults with associated rapid sedimentary facies changes, periodic influx of coarse breccias and debris flows from fault scarps, synsedimentary slumping, stratigraphic thickening toward subsiding basin margins, and foundering of basin environments. Inferred structural regimes include normal extensional faults, orthogonal transform faults, and wrench faults with transpressional and transtensional segments (Emsbo and others, 1999; Large and others, 2002; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Finally, the association of sedex deposits with post-ore thrust faults in tectonically disrupted strata reflects inversion of the basin by reverse movement on original normal faults (for example, Emsbo and others, 2006).

Geophysical Characteristics

Magnetic Signature

Magnetic field anomalies may be used to distinguish different rock types by indicating lateral variations in minerals that have strong magnetic properties, such as magnetite, hematite, and titanomagnetite, as well as iron-bearing sulfides such as pyrrhotite and greigite. For deposits associated with such minerals, the magnetic field can provide a direct means for exploration, but sedex deposits typically are not associated with these minerals, and magnetic signatures may be subtle, if present at all. The magnetic field can be used, however, to facilitate geologic mapping, particularly structural trends and the presence of volcanic or sedimentary rocks. The ease and relatively low cost of collecting magnetic field data make such data important for regional assessments for sedex deposits. Because magnetic field anomalies represent properties integrated over depth, additional constraints from geological observations, as well as seismic, well log, and other geophysical techniques, are a critical component of interpretation of magnetic data. Detailed descriptions of magnetic properties relevant to exploration, mainly susceptibility and remnant magnetization, are given by Hoover and others (1992) and Ford and others (2007).

High-resolution studies have yielded magnetic field anomalies near deposits (Brock, 1973). Larger scale studies can be used not only for discerning different rock types, but also for discerning different terranes and the faults that bound them. For sedex deposits, magnetic lineations are particularly useful for identifying faults that may have acted as fluid conduits, such as those mapped near the Belt basin in Montana (Sims and others, 2004), within the Belt-Purcell basin (Lowe and others, 2001), near the Mount Isa deposit (Betts and others, 2004), and near the Central Midlands basin in Ireland (Williams and Brown, 1986). Magnetic depth-to-source methods can be used to delineate large basins required for sedex formation, as sediments that fill these basins generally have much weaker magnetic properties than the underlying crystalline rock.
A  Mount Isa, Hilton, George Fisher deposits

Figure 8. Generalized geologic maps of the major sedex mining districts of the Mt. Isa-McArthur basins. See figure 7 for a location map and general stratigraphic position of the districts. A, Geologic map of the Isa Valley showing the structural position of the Mount Isa, Hilton, and George Fisher deposits (modified from Betts and Lister, 2001) and a geologic cross section of the Mount Isa and Hilton deposits (modified from Large and others, 2005). B, Structural setting of the Century deposit showing the spatial association with the intersection of the Termite Range fault and an inferred northeast-striking normal fault (after Betts and others, 2003) and a geologic cross section and stratigraphic column of ore and downhole metal distribution at Century (modified from Large and others, 2005). C, Simplified geologic map of the fault architecture in the region around the McArthur (HYC) deposit and a schematic cross section showing the relation between fault architecture, stratigraphy, and the McArthur (HYC) deposit (modified from Betts and others, 2003). (% percent; ppm, parts per million; Zn, zinc; P, phosphorus; Tl, thallium; C, carbon; O, oxygen)
Figure 8. Generalized geologic maps of the major sedex mining districts of the Mt. Isa-McArthur basins. See figure 7 for a location map and general stratigraphic position of the districts. A, Geologic map of the Isa Valley showing the structural position of the Mount Isa, Hilton, and George Fisher deposits (modified from Betts and Lister, 2001) and a geologic cross section of the Mount Isa and Hilton deposits (modified from Large and others, 2005). B, Structural setting of the Century deposit showing the spatial association with the intersection of the Termite Range fault and an inferred northeast-striking normal fault (after Betts and others, 2003) and a geologic cross section and stratigraphic column of ore and downhole metal distribution at Century (modified from Large and others, 2005). C, Simplified geologic map of the fault architecture in the region around the McArthur (HYC) deposit and a schematic cross section showing the relation between fault architecture, stratigraphy, and the McArthur (HYC) deposit (modified from Betts and others, 2003). (m, meter; %, percent; ppm, parts per million; Zn, zinc; Pb, lead; Tl, thallium; C, carbon; O, oxygen)—Continued
Figure 9. Generalized cross sections showing the structural setting, stratigraphic position, and morphology of other sedex deposits. A, Red Dog deposit, Brooks Range, Alaska, United States. B, Anarraaq deposit, Brooks Range, Alaska, United States. C, Sullivan deposit, Belt-Purcell basin, United States and Canada. D, Jason deposit, Selwyn basin, Canada. (Modified from Leach and others, 2005b) (Fe, iron; Zn, zinc; Pb, lead)
**Gravity Signature**

Gravity anomalies indicate variations in rock density integrated over depth. While VMS and barite deposits can be detected directly using gravity data if station coverage is dense enough, sedex deposits often exhibit a more subtle signature, if any can be detected at all. As with magnetic field data, gravity data more generally can be used to aid regional geologic characterization through the delineation of basin or terrane boundaries, faults, basement depth, and basement structure/lithology. Depending on data coverage, gravity data can be used for the delineation of dense bodies such as massive sulfide and barite within sedimentary units. Gravity data are more expensive to acquire compared to magnetic field data, and the data are more readily available for individual stations rather than on a grid because of the particularly high expense of airborne gravimetry. There is currently good station coverage over the contiguous United States to facilitate studies on scales of hundreds of kilometers, but coverage at finer scales varies substantially. As with magnetic field data, gravity data interpretations are most definitive when combined with independent constraints, such as geologic observations and (or) other geophysical data that include seismic or well log measurements. Detailed descriptions of rock density properties relevant to mineral exploration are given by Hoover and others (1992) and Ford and others (2007).

Faults, terrane boundaries, and basins may be delineated by highlighting density contrasts at depth. In particular, basins that are filled with sediments and bounded by denser basement rock may exhibit a corresponding gravity low over the less dense sediments. Some basins, however, are associated with gravity highs because of the presence of dense volcanic materials (for example, the Midcontinental Rift basin). Other basins, such as the Michigan and Illinois basins, do not show any clear correlation with gravity data because (1) complex cratonic history often generates heterogeneities within the basement that dominate the gravity signal, (2) some basins are filled with carbonate or other high-density sedimentary rock whose density may approach that of the surrounding basement, and (3) some broader basins may actually be in isostatic equilibrium and, without a topographic expression, this equilibrium would not be taken into account in the isostatic residual.

Gravity has been used for geologic characterization of sedex deposit areas at sites such as the Century deposit (Murphy and others, 2008), the Faro deposit (Brock, 1973), and the Meggen and Rammelsberg deposits (Werner, 1989). Gravity measurements have similarly been used on Irish-type deposits in the Central Midlands basin of Ireland (Williams and Brown, 1986). In particular, a detailed survey over the Mount Isa orebody found gravity lows associated with oxidation along a fault and a gravity high associated with silica dolomite alteration with some contribution from Zn-Pb-Ag mineralization (Fallon and Busuttil, 1992). An extensive evaluation of geophysical methods at the Red Dog deposit determined that gravity was most effective in delineating the dense sulfide+barite ore. Subsequent use of gravity surveys in the district represents one of the most successful examples of the use of geophysics in sedex exploration. Combined with geologic mapping and geochemistry, these surveys led to the discovery of the Anarraaq deposit and several extensions of the Red Dog deposit, doubling its reserves (Kelley and Jennings, 2004).
Electrical Signature

Electrical methods measure contrasts in the conductivity of Earth materials, with a variety of methods used to achieve different lateral and depth resolutions and sensitivities. Conductivity properties of given materials can vary by several orders of magnitude, making interpretation challenging (see Hoover and others, 1992, and Ford and others, 2007, for detailed descriptions). Nonetheless, the materials with the highest conductivities tend to be metals/massive sulfide, graphite, and higher porosity sediments, particularly if saltwater is present. Igneous and metamorphic rocks generally have low conductivities, but altered and weathered rocks exhibit intermediate values, as do sediments with high freshwater concentrations. Conductivity values of shales and clays vary widely. Electrical methods have a number of uses for mineral exploration, and the detection of sulfides, shales, and graphite is directly relevant to sedex assessment. Conductivity structure can also be used to support geologic mapping. Regrettably, electrical methods, which are mostly conducted on the ground, are typically complex and significantly more expensive to implement than magnetic and gravity surveys.

Known sulfides and alteration mineralization have been imaged using electrical methods at the Sullivan deposit (Wynn and others, 1977; Lowe and others, 2001), and downhole electromagnetic surveys showing responses directly attributable to orebodies have been done at the Mount Isa deposit (Fallon and Busuttil, 1992). Magnetotellurics have been used to support geologic mapping in the vicinity of the Century deposit (for example, Mutton, 1997; Murphy and others, 2008) but not at the deposit itself. The deposit was instead imaged using induced polarization (Hawke and Booker, 2001), which showed a response to either galena or perhaps sphalerite combined with an absence of more conductive sulfide minerals. Similarly, an extensive evaluation of the Red Dog deposit led to the determination that the most reliable electrical method for detecting sulfides was induced polarization (Kelley and Jennings, 2004).

In general, however, low contrast in conductivity between ore sulfides and the carbonaceous shale or mudstone that host the deposits make any electromagnetic response difficult to recognize (Kelley and Jennings, 2004).

Radiometric Signature

Gamma-ray scintillation spectrometry can be used to detect abundances of radioactive elements within roughly 20–60 centimeters (cm) of the Earth’s surface. Elements of interest are naturally occurring potassium (K), thorium (Th), and uranium (U), which are the most abundant of the radioactive elements. While these elements are not directly indicative of sedex deposits, they can be used to assist geological characterization. In particular, potassium enrichment accompanying sericitic alteration may indicate sedex deposition. Additional uses include correlating data with surface geologic maps of units that have particularly high concentrations of radioactive elements. Airborne radiometric data were collected over the conterminous United States and Alaska during the National Uranium Resource Evaluation (NURE) surveys of the 1970s with track spacing of one to several kilometers. Data have been cleaned, leveled, and gridded by the U.S. Geological Survey at corresponding resolutions (Duval and others, 2005) and are available on disc and online. Processed flight line data, however, required for mapping at scales of less than a few kilometers, are not available.

Radiometric data also reflect outcrop, land use, and vegetation patterns and are influenced by topography reflecting the distance between source and sensor. Elemental ratios are therefore more frequently diagnostic of chemical domains that are relevant to mineral exploration. Elevated levels of potassium and relatively depleted levels of thorium have been observed at the Sullivan deposit (Lowe and others, 2001), but other areas, such as the Mount Isa deposit, do not exhibit significantly enhanced potassium (Fallon and Busuttil, 1992).

Hypogene Ore/Gangue Characteristics

Mineralogy

Sedex ores are mixtures of hydrothermal chemical precipitates and clastic and biogenic sediments, which, in some cases, are complicated by the sub-sea-floor hydrothermal replacement of sediment (fig. 11). Iron sulfide is...
the principal sulfide mineral of most ores, except in the Red Dog, Howards Pass, and Century deposits, where sphalerite dominates (Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). The Fe sulfide in most ores is pyrite, except for the Sullivan and Mount Isa deposits (pyrrhotite) and the Duddar deposit in Pakistan (primarily marcasite). Primary economic minerals are sphalerite and galena and, although local concentrations of Pb can approach those of Zn, sedex deposits are Zn dominant with Zn:(Zn+Pb) ratios that average about 0.7 (Lydon, 1995). Minor chalcopyrite is typical of most ores and can be economically recovered in some deposits (for example, Rammelsberg). Sulfosalts such as tetrahedrite, freibergite, and boulangerite occur in some deposits and can be a significant host of Ag (Lydon, 1995). Barite is present in about 25 percent of the Proterozoic and about 75 percent of the Phanerozoic sedex deposits (Goodfellow and others, 1993); in some cases, it makes up more than 25 percent of ores and is economically recovered. Carbonate minerals reported from sedex deposits include calcite, dolomite, and Fe- (siderite and ankerite) and Mn-carbonates. Phosphate accumulations are characteristic of many sedex deposits, but it is not yet known whether sedex-associated phosphate is introduced by the hydrothermal brine, as has been suggested for the Howards Pass deposit (Goodfellow and Jonasson, 1987), or is a remobilization from organic matter and ferric oxyhydroxides in basin sediments as the seas become anoxic (Emsbo and others, 2005). Enrichments of quartz in stratiform ores, as chert and siliceous shales, are common, but the relation of quartz veining in vents and in vent-complex and ore-forming systems is usually equivocal (Leach and others, 2005b).

Paragenesis

Textural and paragenetic relations of fine-grained sedex ores have led to considerable debate as to the timing of mineralization, with interpretations ranging from syngenetic to syntectonic replacement to syntectonic (see Leach and others, 2005b; Large and others, 2005). While it is clear that the subsea-floor replacement of sediments (carbonate, barite, pyrite) is an important process in some sedex deposits (for example, Anarraaq, Kelley and others, 2004b; McArthur River [HYC], Large and others, 1998), there is now growing consensus that mineralization predates compaction and lithification of host rocks. Features such as load-casts and sedimentary compaction features that affect finely laminated fine-grained sulfide layers, synsedimentary debris flows that contain mineralized clasts, synsedimentary slumps that terminate mineralized beds and ore horizons, and microtextural evidence for the reworking of ore on the sea floor all establish the deposition of ore metals during the syngenetic to early syndiagenetic stages of sedimentation (fig. 12).

Zoning Patterns

Zoning patterns surrounding sedex deposits are well established (fig. 10) (Lydon, 1995, 2004a). Feeder zones, where present, are characterized by veins and wallrock replacements consisting of Fe- and Fe-magnesium (Mg)-Ca-carbonates, quartz, pyrite, and pyrrhotite, but are not typically a significant component of the ore. Feeder zones can contain significant chalcopyrite that, in some cases, can be economic; for example, at the Rammelsberg deposit these zones contained as much as 2 percent Cu (Large and Walcher, 1999). The vent complexes above feeder zones are characterized by massive, brecciated, and sometimes weakly bedded sulfide ore that commonly attains the highest ore grades of the deposits. The vent complex is also characterized by the lower sphalerite:galena ratios and the highest concentration of sulfosalts. Outboard of vent zones, well-bedded ores are characterized by finely laminated ore sulfides that are interbedded with host-rock sediments and contain varying amounts of chert, barite, and Fe-Mg-Ca-carbonates. Hydrothermal assemblages contain decreasing sulfides with distance from ore, and significant barite, chert, Fe-Mg-Ca-carbonates, magnetite, and hematite can be traced for tens of kilometers from deposits.

Textures, Structures, and Grain Size

Sedex ores are typically fine-grained and layered and have banded textures. Grain sizes of all sulfide minerals in unmetamorphosed deposits are generally less than 30 micrometers (μm). Grain size systematically increases with metamorphic grade. Deformed and folded deposits such as Mount Isa, Hilton, Lady Loretta, and Sullivan contained coarser sulfides in the range of 20–1,000 μm, whereas deposits metamorphosed at higher grades (that is, amphibolite) can have grain sizes with diameters of as much as 1 cm (Large and others, 2002).

Relations Between Alteration, Gangue, and Ore

Mineralogy

Alteration minerals that have been reported for hydrothermal feeder zones of sedex deposits include dolomite, alkali feldspar, clay, quartz, muscovite, chlorite, ankerite, siderite, tourmaline, and sulfides (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Lydon, 1996, 2004a; Davidson, 1998; Leach and others, 2005b). The sulfide minerals, though not typically concentrated at economic levels in feeder zones, include pyrite, pyrrhotite, galena, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, and arsenopyrite and sulfosalts such as tetrahedrite, freibergite, and boulangerite.
Figure 12. Textural evidence showing modification of sedex ores through sea-floor processes, suggesting sedex ores predate compaction and lithification of their host rocks. A, Polymictic sedimentary conglomerate overlying rhythmically laminated high-grade sphalerite and galena ore from the I 3/4 horizon in the McArthur River (Hyc) deposit, Australia. Inset 1 shows a scanning micro x-ray fluorescence (XRF) map of Pb concentrations demonstrating load-casts and sedimentary compaction features that affect finely laminated fine-grained sulfide layers. Inset 2 shows maps of Zn, Pb, and Fe concentrations. Note the deformation and partial truncation of fine, chemically graded laminae at contact with the conglomeratic base of the overlying turbidite and intralaminae deformation. Inset 3 shows mineralized clasts containing footwall vein material in the synsedimentary debris flows. Photograph and chemical micro-XRF maps of clast show mineralization is limited by sharp contacts to the clast, suggesting reworking of ore on the sea floor in the debris flow. B, Precompaction diagenetic Mn-Fe carbonate nodule (Fe, Mn maps) from the Century deposit, Australia. The nodule, itself a hydrothermal product, overgrew laminated ore sediments prior to compaction of the laminated carbonaceous mudstone. Fine pyrite, sphalerite, and galena laminae were plastically deformed and injected into the embayment in the nodule during compaction and lithification. (Fe, iron; Mn, manganese; Pb, lead; S, sulfur; Si, silicon; Zn, zinc; Ca, calcium)
Zoning Patterns

The hydrothermal alteration associated with sedex deposits is generally not well characterized. Investigations of footwall alteration are limited because mineralization in hydrothermal feeder zones is typically uneconomic and expressions of alteration can be subtle and appear much like those produced by burial diagenesis and greenschist metamorphism (Lydon, 1995, 2004a).

Widespread footwall alteration extending hundreds of meters below the ore horizon, and as far as several kilometers from the deposit along fault zones, has been recognized in some deposits (Lydon, 1995, 2004a; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Intense footwall silicification, for example, has been reported at the Tom, Jason, Vangorda, Rammelsberg, and Red Dog deposits (Goodfellow and Rhodes, 1991; Large and Walcher, 1999; Lydon, 2004a; Slack and others, 2004). “Kniest ore” in the Rammelsberg deposit consists of a pipe-like structure that is silicified and contains quartz veins that are characterized by local concentrations of chalcopyrite (Large and Walcher, 1999). Intense silicification in the Red Dog deposit has been interpreted to be ore related (Slack and others, 2004), yet paragenetic, isotopic, and fluid inclusion microthermometry and electrolyte analyses suggest that much of the silicification may be due to deep burial during the Mesozoic Brookian orogeny (Leach and others, 2004). The hydrothermal alteration pipe underlying the Sullivan deposit is much larger and conspicuous than pipes at other deposits (Lydon, 2004 a, b). The alteration is unique because it is dominated by tourmalinite (consisting of greater than 30 volume [vol.] percent tourmaline), which occurs as a pipe-like structure about 1,000 m in diameter and extends for hundreds of meters below the main ore horizon. At least five “stages” represented by seven types of hydrothermal alteration have been documented in the Sullivan deposit, but only a chlorite-pyrrhotite alteration assemblage can be directly linked to the main ore-forming event (Lydon, 2004b). The conspicuous tourmalinite pipe is thought to have formed during mud volcano activity triggered by the intrusion of thick gabbroic sills into the wet and consolidated sediments below the ore horizon. Brecciation and alteration associated with these mud volcanoes are thought to have acted as permeable conduits that focused the later ore-forming brine event (Lydon, 2004b).

Regional- to basin-scale alteration that has been recognized in sedex deposit-hosting basins can, as discussed in later sections, be controlled by major far-field tectonic events that drive basin-scale fluid flow. Davidson (1998) documented regional alkali alteration in the McArthur basin, which he attributed to regional fluid flow associated with the formation of the McArthur River (HYC) deposit. Similarly, the occurrence of MVT deposits and hydrothermal dolomite in the platform margin in the Selwyn basin has been linked to a far-field consequence of sedex mineralization farther in the basin. As discussed later, these occurrences may be very significant for assessing deposits in sedimentary basins.

Weathering/Supergene Processes

A review of the supergene characteristics of sedex deposits by Kelley and others (1995) demonstrates that the weathering and oxidation of deposits results in Fe-rich gossan. Gossan profiles are best developed in deposits with high Fe content, low metal:sulfur ratios in sulfide minerals, and wall rock with low buffering capacity, as they tend to form low-pH water during weathering. Oxidation depth is controlled partly by fracture density near orebodies and the presence of pyrrhotite, which is highly reactive with oxygenated groundwater. Resulting oxidation may extend as much as 100 m below the surface and extend up to 300 m adjacent to major faults and shear zones (Kelley and others, 1995).

Primary minerals in gossans are goethite and hematite, and minor quartz, kaolinite, and beudantite, all of which are important in exploring for sedex deposits. For example, galena generally weathers to form anglesite and cerussite, but coronadite, mimetite, nadorite, pyromorphite, plumbogummite, and lanarkite have also been reported. Silver halide minerals have also been reported. Secondary zinc minerals include hemimorphite, smithsonite, hydrozincite, and wurtzite. Gossan’s rich hemimorphite and smithsonite at the surface led to the discovery of the McArthur River (HYC) deposit (Large and others, 2005). Secondary sulfate minerals include jarosite, barite, and alunite. Native sulfur, produced by the oxidation of marcasite, is present at the Red Dog deposit, Alaska (Kelley and others, 1995). Secondary zinc minerals such as hemimorphite have also been discovered overlying the XY deposit (Howards Pass district, Yukon Territory).

Taylor and Scott (1982) demonstrated that chemical analyses and stepwise discrimination analysis of gossan geochemistry in the Mt. Isa basin using Pb, P, Ba, As, Zn, Mn, S, Co, and Sb were able to distinguish barren and fertile gossans. The use of similar discrimination analysis has been widely applied in northern Australia for the last 30 years (Large and others, 2005).

Geochemical Characteristics

Trace Elements and Element Associations

In addition to ore-forming elements, sedex deposits contain a large suite of ore-associated elements that are not generally recovered from sedex deposits; these elements commonly include Mn, Mg, Hg, As, Sb, Se, In, Ga, Bi, Co, Ni, and Tl (Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Because of the wide dispersion halos and zoning around orebodies, as described in later sections, many of these elements are used during exploration to detect and vector towards undiscovered ore. While Mn does not precipitate as a sulfide mineral under normal ore-formation conditions, gangue carbonate minerals in sedex ores commonly contain as much as 4 percent...
Mn, which in carbonate-rich ores can result in ores with greater than 1 percent Mn (Large and McGoldrick, 1998). Documented concentrations of many trace metals in sedex ores include tens of parts per million of Hg, Se, In, Tl, Ni, and Bi and hundreds of parts per million of As and Sb (Large and McGoldrick, 1998; Large and Walcher, 1999; Lydon, 2004a; Slack and others, 2004; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Vent-proximal ores that contain sulfosalts minerals such as tetrahedrite, freibergite, boulangerite, and arsenopyrite can contain thousands of parts per million of As and Sb (Lydon, 1995). While many of these elements can substitute in sphalerite, the bulk of these metals reside in pyrite. Kelley and others (2004b) found significant concentrations of As (<1.1 weight [wt.] percent), Co (<0.1 wt. percent), Cu (<0.2 wt. percent), Ni (<0.2 wt. percent), Tl (<1.2 wt. percent), and Sb (<0.7 wt. percent) in pyrite. Similarly, pyrite from Howards Pass contains As (<0.06 wt. percent), Ni (<0.2 wt. percent), Cu (<0.2 wt. percent), molybdenum (Mo) (0.02 wt. percent), Se (0.01 wt. percent), and Sb (<0.7 wt. percent) (Goodfellow and Jonasson, 1987). Sphalerite from the Red Dog deposit contains concentrations of Fe (<7.3 wt. percent), Cd (<1.0 wt. percent), As (<0.2 wt. percent), Pb (<1.0 wt. percent), and Hg (<0.4 wt. percent). Sphalerite samples from Broken Hill, Australia; Balmat, New York, United States; Faro, Canada; and Rammelsberg and Meggan, Germany, contained Hg concentrations between 0.3 and 4,680 ppm (Schwartz, 1997).

Zoning Patterns

Geochemical zoning patterns surrounding sedex deposits are well established (Lydon, 1996, 2004a) and generally reflect the mineralogic zoning described in the section “Zoning Patterns” (p. 22) (fig. 10). Feeder zones can typically contain the highest concentrations of Cu. Systematic increases in Zn:Pb and decreases in Zn:Ba, Zn:Fe, and Zn:Mn outward from vent complexes are well documented in several deposits (see Lydon, 2004a). Generally, vent complexes are also characterized by high concentrations of Ag, As, Sb, and Tl, which reflect the proximal occurrence of sulfosalts. Distal trace element halos are characterized by black shales and cherts that can contain tens to thousands of parts per million of Zn, Pb, Tl, As, Ba, Hg, and Mn that are zoned for tens, even hundreds, of kilometers from orebodies.

Fluid-Inclusion Thermometry and Geochemistry

The fine-grained nature of sedex ores, the scarcity of well-preserved footwall stringer zones, and the structural and thermal disruption that has overprinted most ores all limit conventional fluid-inclusion microthermometry studies of sedex deposits. Although limited, a few successful fluid-inclusion studies have demonstrated that sedex deposits form at temperatures of 100–200 °C from brines with 10–30 wt. percent total dissolved solids (Gardner and Hutcheon, 1985; Bresser, 1992; Leach and others, 2004, 2005b; Lydon, 2004b; Polito and others, 2006).

Stable Isotope Geochemistry

Sulfide is essential to ore formation because ore metals are trapped by H₂S produced on or just below the sea floor. Because of its importance in ore formation, sulfide has been intensely studied, using sulfur isotopes to attempt to identify its source. Recent compilations of isotope data for sedex sulfide minerals by Leach and others (2005b) document a range for all deposits of −8 to +30‰ and wide ranges for individual deposits of as much as 10 to 15‰. Interpretations of sulfur source have varied, but there is now general consensus that the ultimate source was marine sulfate that had been reduced to H₂S through bacterial sulfate reduction and, perhaps, thermochemical sulfate reduction (Large and others, 2002, 2005; Lydon, 2004a; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). A relation between secular marine δ³⁴S trends and sedex deposits has been noted (Goodfellow, 1987; Goodfellow and Jonasson, 1987; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007; Johnson and others, 2009). A recent δ³⁴S and δ¹⁸O study of barite from sedex Zn-Pb-Ag and Ba-only deposits has demonstrated linear or concave-upward trends on a δ³⁴S and δ¹⁸O cross-plot that project down toward the isotopic composition of seawater sulfate (Johnson and others, 2009). The trends resemble those observed in barite mineralization occurring at fluid seeps on modern continental margins and likely reflect a combination of (1) different rates of bacterial sulfate reduction with organic matter as the primary electron donor, as well as donations from methane or possibly light hydrocarbons; (2) oxygen isotope exchange between reduction intermediates; and (3) H₂O and sulfate availability (Johnson and others, 2009). The evidence that bacteria metabolize organic compounds and thus produce sulfide that precipitates the ore metals in local sedimentary environments highlights the importance of organic matter in the genesis of sedex deposits.

Radiogenic Isotope Geochemistry

A significant amount of Pb isotope data has been collected for sedex ores (see Leach and others, 2005b). The majority of the data indicate a crustal source, which suggests that Pb was derived from the clastic sediments filling the basin (Ayuso and others, 2004; Large and others, 2005; Leach and others, 2005b). Similarly, Sr in barite and hydrothermal carbonates has been shown to be highly radiogenic (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Ayuso and others, 2004; Goodfellow, 2004), which is also consistent with a clastic sediment source. Strontium in distal barite has been shown to be less radiogenic, consistent with the mixing of exotic hydrothermal Sr and ambient seawater Sr (Goodfellow and Rhodes, 1991).
Sedimentary Exhalative (Sedex) Zinc-Lead-Silver Deposit Model

Petrology of Associated Igneous Rocks

Not relevant to this model.

Petrology of Associated Sedimentary Rocks

Importance of Sedimentary Rocks to Deposit Genesis

Sedimentary host rocks are inextricably linked to the genesis of sedex deposits. For instance, organic matter in local host rocks is crucial to ore formation because, as described in the section “Nature of Traps and Wallrock Interaction that Trigger Ore Precipitation” (p. 34), ore metals are trapped by $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ generated by bacterial sulfate reduction in the local sedimentary host rocks. Moreover, once ore deposition has occurred, organic matter enrichments help maintain the reducing conditions that enhanced the preservation of ore sulfides. Another important attribute of the fine-grained sedimentary environment that produces the carbonaceous, pyritic, fine-grained sediments that host sedex ores is that it represents depositional environments with low rates of sedimentation and subdued detrital input, thus limiting the dilution of both organic matter and sedex metals and increasing the potential for economic metal accumulations.

Researchers have stressed the importance of oxidized rift-fill continental clastic rocks as source rocks for the metals in sedex deposits. The basin-filling rift accumulation that occurs several kilometers below ore horizons consists of coarse continental clastic sediments, including conglomerates, red beds, sandstones, and turbidites. These sedimentary rocks are important for ore formation because, as described in detail in later sections, they are permeable, voluminous, and rich in easily leached metals and, during water-rock interactions, they buffer ore fluids to oxidized compositions favorable for metal transport (see Emsbo and others, 1999; Cooke and others, 2000; Emsbo, 2000).

Rock Names

Host rocks of sedex deposits are fine-grained marine sedimentary rocks classified as carbonaceous shale and chert in the Howards Pass and Red Dog deposits, dolomitic shale or siltstone in the McArthur River (HYC), Mount Isa, George Fisher, and Hilton deposits, and micritic limestone in the Meggen deposit. Orebodies generally comprise stacked sulfide-rich ore layers parted by pyritic, organic-rich shales, siltstones, and, at some localities, debris flows. Turbidities and slump breccias are common within the ore-hosting sequences at a number of deposits, including Meggen, Rammelsberg, Jason, Tom, Faro, and McArthur River (HYC). Distal hydrothermal facies on the fringes of orefaces are typically organic- and sulfide-rich black shales, cherts, and siltstones that are commonly described as metalliferous black shales.

Mineralogy, Textures, and Grain Size

Unmetamorphosed host rocks of sedex deposits range from shales with fissility parallel to layering and bedding to mudstones that are similar in composition but do not show the fissility. Ore shales and mudstones are fine-grained clastic sedimentary rock composed of fine-grained (1–50 $\mu$m) bedding-parallel layers (centimeter-scaled) of ore minerals (predominantly sphalerite, galena, pyrite, and barite) intermixed with mud-sized grains of parallel-orientated clay minerals and organic material with various proportions of silt-sized particles of other minerals including quartz and chert, calcite, dolomite, and siderite. Minor bentonite beds are present within the ore-hosting sequences at a number of deposits, including Meggen, Rammelsberg, Jason, Faro, and McArthur River (HYC). Turbidities and slump breccias interbedded with ore horizons can contain polymeric sedimentary conglomerates containing centimeter- to meter-scaled clasts of limestone, sandstones, chert, and mudstone; in some cases, clasts containing physically transported ore minerals are common in sedex deposits.

Environment of Deposition

Sedex Zn-Pb-Ag deposits occur in fine-grained clastic rocks (shales and siltstones) outboard of shallow-water carbonate that eventually transgress and cover rift-fill depocenters during the sag phase of rift-generated sedimentary basins. Sedex deposits form in bathymetric lows that represent euxinic, low-energy depositional environments dominated by organic-rich, pyritic, fine-grained shale and mudstone. Bathymetric lows in the basin are generally believed to be structurally controlled third-order basins that provide favorable conditions for dense, bottom-hugging, metalliferous brines to accumulate and react with locally derived $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ to form sulfide ores in an anoxic environment. It is important that these depositional third-order basins are distal from eroding landmasses, as described in previous section, because rapid sedimentation results in the dilution of both organic matter and sedex metals, thus inhibiting economic accumulations of ore.

Petrology of Associated Metamorphic Rocks

Not relevant to this model.
Theory of Deposit Formation

Ore Deposit System Affiliation(s)

Sedex deposits are a subtype of a larger group of sediment-hosted Pb-Zn deposits that includes MVT, Irish-type, and BHT deposits. Despite the geologic differences highlighted in the section “Deposit Model—Clarification and Exclusions,” these deposits are all hosted in sedimentary basins and are formed by parallel genetic processes. See Leach and others (2005b) for a detailed review of these interrelations.

Source of Fluids Involved in Ore Component Transport

The supposition that sedex deposits were formed by saline basinal brines derived from their host basin was a critical advance in formulating a theory for sedex deposit genesis (Hutchinson, 1980; Badham, 1981; Lydon, 1983). Although direct study of sedex ore fluids has been limited, a few robust fluid-inclusion studies have verified that sedex deposits form from 100 to 200 °C brines with salinities between 10 and 30 wt. percent total dissolved solids (Gardner and Hutcheon, 1985; Ans dell and others, 1989; Bresser, 1992; Leach and others, 2004; Polito and others, 2006). Despite the importance to the understanding of sedex deposit genesis, the sources within basins of dissolved salt and water in the ore-forming brines is poorly understood. Historically, direct dissolution of evaporites in the flow path of meteoric/marine waters has been called on as the source for dissolved salts (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Lydon, 1995). Although evaporites commonly form early in rift-basin evolution, a shortcoming of the salt-from-evaporite hypothesis, as highlighted by Lydon (2004b), is that evaporites have not been reported in the rift sequences of any sedimentary basins hosting sedex deposits. Other proposed sources of salt are connate fluids trapped in sediments during burial (Lydon, 1995; Garven and others, 2001; Yang and others, 2004, 2006; Large and others, 2005) and the gravitational influx of brines generated by seawater evaporation on platforms at the same time that sedex host rocks are being deposited (Davidson, 1998; Leach and others, 2004; Lydon, 2004b). Estimates suggest that thousands of cubic kilometers of brine are required to form large sedex deposits or districts (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Garven and others, 2001; Cathles and Adams, 2005). Irrespective of brine source, basin-scale processes are required to produce a volume of brine this large. Thus, determining whether potential brine sources occur within a basin may allow for an effective assessment of its potential for hosting sedex deposits.

Geochemical studies and stable and radiogenic isotopic studies of modern basin brines have identified two processes for generating saline brines in sedimentary basins, both ultimately related to subaerial evaporation of seawater (see Hanor, 1994). Major solutes in basinal brines are derived from either (1) residual brine that infiltrated down into underlying sedimentary sequences during evaporation or (2) dissolution of evaporite minerals, primarily halite, in the subsurface. A third proposed mechanism, membrane filtration, has not been demonstrated in natural environments and is untenable for large-scale production of highly saline brines (Hanor, 1994). Solute ratios help discriminate between the two possible brine sources in modern basinal brines (Rittenhouse, 1967; Carpenter, 1978). Both end-members and mixtures of them are recognized in modern sedimentary basins, but the primary source of salt in most basins, even those filled with salt, is residual brine (Carpenter, 1978; Kharaka and others, 1987; Walter and others, 1990; Moldovanyi and Walter, 1992; Hanor, 1994, 1996).

Fluid inclusion solute data from six sedex deposits (Century, McArthur River [HYC], Sullivan, Red Dog, Watson’s Load, and Silver King) demonstrate that ores contain residual brine from seawater evaporation (Embsmo, 2009a, b). The chlorine:bromine (Cl:Br) and sodium:bromine (Na:Br) mole ratios for all six deposits plot on or near the seawater evaporation line. The data imply that the primary salinity source for the fluids that formed the sedex deposits was evaporatively concentrated seawater. Moreover, the data plot in the center of the relatively small compositional field for Mississippi Valley-type (MVT) basinal brines, which suggests that these deposits share a common origin. It is significant that nearly all of the Cl:Br values are below the modern seawater value of 670 and extend down to 220, which indicates that the brines formed from seawater near halite saturation. The similarity between sedex and MVT fluid compositions supports previous hypotheses that the two ore types formed from fluids of similar origins. Because neither deposit type provides evidence for halite dissolution as a primary source for the parent fluid, residual brines are considered fundamental to the genesis of all basin-hosted Zn-Pb-Ag deposits.

It has been demonstrated that evaporation of large volumes of seawater to salt saturation requires specific conditions: (1) shallow platform environments with circulation highly restricted by barriers such as sills or reefs, allowing evaporation to exceed the influx of fresh seawater, and (2) paleolatitude positions of 25°±10° characterized by hot, arid climates in which evaporation exceeds precipitation (Warren, 2006). A striking feature of sedex basins is that they are rimmed by unusually large (10³ and 10⁴ square kilometers [km²]) shallow-water epeiric carbonate platforms that represent ideal sedimentary environments for brine generation. Evidence for evaporative environments in marginal basin sag-phase sequences has been noted in several basins (Davidson, 1998; Leach and others, 2004; Lydon, 2004b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007), and paleolatitude reconstructions indicate that sedex basins were in positions conducive to evaporation during their sag phase (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Leach and others, 2005a). Among the six major sedex basins considered in this analysis (fig. 1), all have evaporative platform sequences with
evaporite minerals (anhydrite and some halite), breccias formed by salt dissolution or escape, or regional dolomitization. Dolomitization, both primary and secondary replacement, is a robust indicator of high-salinity evaporative environments (Moore, 1989). Moreover, as described in the section “Density-Driven Fluid Flow—A New Fluid-Flow Model” (p. 32), evaporation correlates in time with ore formation.

Deduced Geologic Assessment Criteria

- The study of sedex basins combined with new fluid-inclusion data shows that sedex deposits formed from residual brines generated by seawater evaporation. Because of the extensive platform areas needed to produce the large volumes of brine required to form sedex deposits, evaporative sediments should be recorded in the platform stratigraphy at or below prospective stratigraphic intervals. Indicators include evaporite minerals (anhydrite, some halite), breccias indicating salt dissolution or escape, and regional dolomitization of the platform. These indicators are observable in all six sedex deposit-hosting basins evaluated here.

- Paleolatitude reconstruction is an efficient method for determining if a basin is likely to have experienced seawater evaporation during its history, and such reconstruction may provide an effective method for screening prospective basins for sedex deposits.

- The absence of salt dissolution signatures in fluid that formed sedex deposits, combined with a lack of evaporites in the rift sequences of sedex deposit-hosting basins, suggests that evaporites in the fluid-flow path are not essential to the formation of sedex deposits.

Sources of Ligands and Chemical Transport and Transfer Processes Involved in Ore Component Transport

During the past 30 years, sedex deposit research, in conjunction with geochemical studies of modern brines and geochemical modeling, has provided insights into the source, transport, and deposition of metals in sedimentary basins. The studies constrain the temperature, salinity, pH, and chemical conditions necessary to transport Pb, Zn, and Ag in basin brines. Fluid inclusion data, mineral assemblages, and isotopic data indicate the deposits formed at 100–200 °C from fluids with neutral to moderately acidic pH and salinities from 10 to 30 wt. percent total dissolved solids (TDS). Additional insights on the brines can be obtained from comparisons with modern-day brines, from fluid inclusion data for MVT deposits, and from thermodynamic modeling.

There is a general consensus that ore metals are transported dominantly as chloride complexes, and therefore salinity (chlorinity) is a primary control on their solubilities. Empirical and thermodynamic evidence suggests that saline brine (greater than about 15 percent TDS) is necessary to form a sedex deposit. A compilation of chemical analyses of modern metal-rich brines (Hanor, 1996) shows that chlorinity of about 10^5 milligrams per liter (mg/L) (17 wt. percent TDS) is a threshold below which Zn concentrations are less than 1 ppm, which is far below what is necessary to form a sedex deposit (Hanor, 1996). Similarly, fluid temperatures obtained from fluid inclusions in minerals in sedex deposits are greater than 100 °C, and chemical modeling of metal solubility indicates that 100 °C is the minimum temperature for moving ore-forming quantities of Pb and Zn (Cooke and others, 2000; Emsbo, 2000). On the basis of this constraint, Southgate and others (2006) have proposed the concept of a “thermal leaching window,” a temperature interval of burial of approximately 150–250 °C, at normal geothermal gradients, through which a stratigraphic package of metal source rock can be efficiently leached, liberating metals to a deep basin brine. Temperature gradients of 20–25 degrees Celsius per kilometer (°C/km) are common in sedimentary basins, and 30 °C/km is commonly assumed as the upper limit in models of various processes in sedimentary basins (Raffensperger and Vlassopoulos, 1999; Lin and others, 2000; Armstrong, 2005). Theoretically, surface heat flow in continental regions is typically 50 to 65 megawatts per square meter (MW/m²) (Turcotte and Schubert, 1982). Because of lower-than-average heat production in sedimentary rocks, basal heat flows in sedimentary basins should span a similar range, except in active rift basins where basal heat flow may reach 90 MW/m². Sedimentary rocks typically have thermal conductivities of 1.5 to 2.5 watts per meter degree Celsius (W/m • °C) (Clauser and Huenges, 1995). If we assume a basal heat flow of 60 MW/m², no internal heat production, and a thermal conductivity of 2 W/m • °C, then a temperature gradient of 30 °C/km during the sag phase of a sedimentary basin is possible. With a typical mean annual surface temperature of 15 °C, this thermal gradient will result in a temperature of 105 °C at a depth of 3 km. Thus, 3 km is a conservative estimate for the total sediment thickness required to form a sedex deposit. This conclusion is supported by the fact that ores in the six most important sedex basins (fig. 1) occur in stratigraphic intervals overlying at least 5 km of sediment fill somewhere in the basin.

Analyses of modern brines and chemical modeling have demonstrated that, although variables like temperature and pH are important, high Zn and Pb solubilities in brines above 100 °C result primarily from a combination of high salinity and low H₂S concentration (Kharaka and others, 1987; Moldovanyi and Walter, 1992; Hanor, 1996). Chemical analyses of modern brines and thermodynamically calculated solubilities of base metals show an inverse relation to H₂S contents, and Zn and Pb concentrations in brines decrease by several orders of magnitude in the presence of even moderate contents of H₂S (Kharaka and others, 1987; Moldovanyi and Walter, 1992; Hanor, 1996; Cooke and others, 2000; Emsbo, 2000). Conversely, H₂S in sedimentary brines dramatically increases the solubility of Au (Emsbo, 2000). The solubility
of Ba is also controlled by salinity, temperature, and pH, but its dominant control in basin brines is the concentration of \( SO_4 \), as Ba solubilities are extremely low in the presence of \( SO_4 \). Variations in the proportions of base metals, barite, and Au were used by Emsbo (2000) to classify sedex deposits into very large Pb-Zn-Ag deposits, intermediate-size Pb-Zn-Ag-Ba±Au deposits, and barite deposits with low base metals with or without Au. This spectrum is believed to reflect the concentration and redox state of sulfur in the brine, which is ultimately controlled by the lithology and redox buffering capacity of basin-fill sediments. For example, the large Zn-Pb sedex deposits in the McArthur basin of Australia commonly contain greater than 100 Mt of ore and almost no barite and have Au contents that are the same as or less than those of surrounding unmineralized rocks. These characteristics indicate that they formed from oxidized brines that contained very little, if any, reduced sulfur (Large and others, 1998; Cooke and others, 2000). Conversely, intermediate Zn-Pb-Ag-Ba±Au sedex deposits are generally barite-rich and are typically significantly smaller (<50 Mt). These characteristics indicate that they formed from fluids that contained enough \( H_2S \) to transport some Au, yet at levels still low enough to form base metal deposits (Emsbo, 2000). Finally, high Ba-Au sedex deposits in Nevada and in the Neoproterozoic Nanhua rift basin of southeast China suggest that they were deposited from \( H_2S \)-rich and \( SO_4 \)-poor fluids at the other end of the spectrum of sedex deposits and that the high \( H_2S \) content of the fluids suppressed the solubility of base metals, precluding formation of large, more characteristic Zn-Pb-Ag sedex deposits (Emsbo and others, 1999, 2005; Emsbo, 2000).

Because it is a dominant control on metal solubility, \( H_2S \) concentration is an important parameter in basinial processes. Deep in sedimentary basins, \( H_2S \) is generated by thermochemical sulfate reduction as well as by thermal decomposition of kerogen, coal, and high-sulfur oils (Hunt, 1996). It is significant that these processes all involve organic carbon, consistent with the observation that the amount of \( H_2S \) in deep drill holes correlates with the content of organic matter in surrounding rocks (Le Tran, 1972). In addition to the generation of \( H_2S \), a fundamental control on \( H_2S \) concentration in sedimentary brines is its removal by reaction with Fe to form pyrite. The highest \( H_2S \) concentrations observed in sedimentary basins are in lithologies that are low in reactive Fe, such as carbonates (Hunt, 1996). Therefore, the amount of \( H_2S \) at deeper levels in sedimentary basins is controlled by the balance between the rate of production through thermochemical sulfate reduction and the rate of removal through the sulfidation of reactive Fe. Many researchers have stressed the importance of oxidized rift-fill continental clastic rocks (for example, red beds) as a source of metals in sedimentary basins (see Cooke and others, 2000; Emsbo, 2000). Most sedimentary basins are characterized by fluvial-deltaic and shallow marine continental clastic sequences composed of red beds, sandstones, conglomerates, and siltstones. These sequences, which are low in organic carbon and high in reactive Fe, are ideal for buffering saline brines to compositions favorable for the transport of Zn, Pb, and Ag.

### Deduced Geologic Assessment Criteria

- **Empirical and thermodynamic evidence suggests that saline brine (more than about 17 percent TDS) is necessary to form a sedex deposit. Thus, geologic evidence of brine-generating environments is required for a basin to be permissive for sedex mineralization.**

- **Fluid temperatures of at least 100 °C are required to form sedex deposits. According to thermal regimes of sedimentary basins, at least 3 km of sediment fill above a crystalline basement is required for these temperatures and for a basin to be a prospective Zn-Pb-Ag deposit. Moreover, basins of variable fill depths can only be a prospective Zn-Pb-Ag deposit in stratigraphic horizons overlying more than 3 km of sediment.**

- **Oxidized syn-rift sediments will tend to buffer ore fluids to compositions necessary for metal extraction and transport because of their low organic and high reactive Fe contents.**

- **Variations in the proportions of base metals, barite, and Au in mineral occurrences may ultimately reflect the lithology and redox-buffering capacity of basin-fill sediments in the metal source regions and thus provide information about metal endowment and prospectivity of sedimentary basins.**

### Sources of Metals and Other Ore Components

The radiogenic and stable isotopic compositions of sedex ores constrain the sources of ore components. Many studies have demonstrated that Pb and Sr are derived from the continental clastic sediments contained in host basins (Ayuso and others, 2004; Emsbo and Johnson, 2004; Large and others, 2005; Leach and others, 2005b). Moreover, fluid-flow and chemical arguments (see earlier sections) support the hypothesis that oxidized, coarse clastic sediments (such as red beds, conglomerates, and sandstones) in underlying rift-fill sequences are the most likely source of ore metals. The vast mass of metal that has been deposited in and surrounding major sedex deposits requires volumes of source rock that are large enough to be recognizable on a basin scale. For example, the largest sedex deposits can contain greater than 20 Mt of Zn metal. Tonnage estimates do not include lower grade, uneconomic mineralization marginal to deposits, which is likely to contain a metal mass 10 times greater than the ore reserve (Cathles and Adams, 2005). For example, lowering the cutoff grade (7 percent Zn+Pb) for the Tom deposit in Canada by 1 percent increases the ore tonnage estimate fivefold (Goodfellow and others, 1993). Thus, a conservative estimate of the Zn deposited by a world-class sedex system would be greater than 200 Mt. A study by Zielinski and others...
Deduced Geologic Assessment Criteria

- Mass balance considerations suggest that thousands of cubic kilometers of rift-fill coarse clastic sediments are required to supply the amount of metal contained in a major sedex deposit. This observation suggests that a prospective basin should have a volume of rift-fill sequences recognizable on the basin scale. Moreover, the volume of available source rock within a basin may place a limit on the maximum amount of metal that can be extracted and fixed in sedex deposits.

- Seawater is required to supply sulfur for a sedex deposit. Evidence of marine conditions should be evident in the stratigraphic intervals that host sedex deposits.

- The requirement of marine sulfate as a source of sulfur may limit sedex deposit formation to Proterozoic and Phanerozoic time. The correspondence of sedex deposits with regional, and perhaps global, anoxic events may identify periods of time that are favorable for formation of sedex deposits.

Fluid Drive—Thermal, Pressure, and Geodynamic Mechanisms

There is little agreement as to the nature of the hydrologic processes—such as sources of energy, heat transport and transfer processes, and geodynamic processes—that drove the hydrothermal systems responsible for sedex deposits. Previously proposed models can be classified into three general types, which are briefly summarized and evaluated in this section: sedimentary compaction models, free convection models, and topographically driven flow models. Also, because of the identified difficulties of previous models and the potential importance of a new model to assessments for sedex deposits, a new fluid-flow model is proposed in the following section (fig. 13) that incorporates new fluid inclusion data, results of basin analysis, and constraints from previous fluid-flow models.

Sedimentary Compaction Models

Several previous models for the formation of sedex deposits proposed that fluids were driven and discharged to the sea floor by sediment accumulation and compaction (Lydon, 1983, 1986; Sawkins, 1984). The basin architecture highlighted by the stratal aquifer model of Lydon (1983, 1986) forms the conceptual underpinning for several sedimentary compaction fluid-flow models as well as for thermal convection models. Fundamental to the sedimentary compaction model are 2- to 3-km-thick successions composed of low-permeability, fine-grained, sag-phase sediments that overlie permeable, syn-rift clastic rocks. The low-permeability cover is believed to act as an aquitard, attenuating flow and promoting the development of an over-pressured brine reservoir in underlying permeable clastic reservoirs. Breaching of the cover sequence by extensional faulting is thought to initiate fluid discharge, which continues as the sediment column compacts with declining fluid pressure. This model accords with the general architecture of sedex deposit-hosting basins, the occurrence of deposits in sag-phase sediments, and the localization of deposits along extensional faults that were active during mineralization. However, hydrologic modeling suggests that sedimentation and compaction rates in sedimentary basins are inadequate to mobilize sufficient quantities of fluid to form sedex deposits (Bethke, 1985; Neuzil, 1995). The “squeeze” model of Garven and others (2001), which proposed both compaction- and pressure-driven fluid flow in a transpressional regime, demonstrated that, although possible, a sedimentary compaction mechanism is still unlikely to provide the drive required to form sedex deposits.

Multiple periods of sedex mineralization throughout the same depocenter, a characteristic of most sedex basins, is also difficult to explain with this model. Compaction-driven models would require basinwide equilibration of fluid pressures and full compaction of the sedimentary column to produce the volume and flow of brine needed to form a substantial ore deposit. Thus, the breaching of an over-pressured reservoir and the subsequent
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Figure 13. Geologic setting of sedex zinc-lead-silver deposits with deduced geologic assessment criteria as put forth in this report. Gray arrows represent fluid-flow paths inferred from the distribution of altered rocks and an ongoing U.S. Geological Survey numerical fluid-flow modeling project. The locations of several important sedex deposits relative to the shallow-water platform margin are indicated and may reflect the structural architecture of the basin or the maturity of the rift cycle. (MVT, Mississippi Valley type; TOC, total organic carbon; Ba, barium; Mn, manganese; km, kilometer; km², square kilometer; PO₄, phosphate; K, potassium; Na, sodium; Fe, iron)
compaction and squeezing of the sedimentary column because of irreversible loss of porosity and permeability should be a one-time event within a basin.

Free Convection Models

Convective fluid flow is favored by many researchers to account for the formation of sedex deposits (Russell and others, 1981; Goodfellow and others, 1993; Garven and others, 2001; Large and others, 2005). Garven and others (2001) developed numerical models to compare previously proposed fluid-flow models, including sediment compaction, free convection, and topographically driven flow, on a geologically well constrained finite element mesh through the southern McArthur basin. They concluded that the most likely mechanism for the formation of the McArthur River (HYC) deposit was free convection driven by density variations that resulted from normal geothermal gradients. They proposed a two-stage hydrologic model similar to the stratal aquifer model of Lydon (1983, 1986). In stage one, brines formed by halite dissolution or evaporation infuse underlying clastic sediments during the rift phase of basin development. Burial of the rift-phase clastic sediments by low-permeability sag-phase sediments is thought to have promoted the formation of an isolated brine reservoir, in which convection cells developed and persisted, because of tectonic stability, for about 70 million years (m.y.). Long-lived convective circulation is thought to be crucial because it promotes scavenging of metals from the reservoir rocks and development of metal-rich brines. During the second stage of the model, rupture of the overlying aquitard by large, parallel, high-angle normal faults establishes a recharge-discharge convective cell that allows the hot, metal-rich reservoir brines to ascend a fault while being replaced by cold seawater descending along a sympathetic fault. Garven and others (2001) calculated that fluid zinc contents of 1,000 ppm would be sufficient to transport the 20 Mt of zinc contained in the McArthur River (HYC) deposit in less than 7 m.y. The duration of 7 m.y., though plausible, is considered an upper bound for the duration of sedex hydrothermal systems. A more conservative estimate of 100 ppm Zn in solution would give an untenable 70 m.y. to form a world-class sedex deposit. Moreover, Yang and others (2004) coupled transient fluid flow, heat, and solute transport modeling on the same finite element mesh used by Garven and others (2001), and they thus demonstrated that the model results are very sensitive to specific fault and aquifer geometries and basin salinity structure. For example, the salinity structure observed in most modern basins, with the highest salinities in the bottom of the basin, would require unrealistic thermal gradients to maintain convection. Application of a convective fluid-flow model to the Red Dog deposit requires paleo-heat flows of 150–160 MW/m² to drive hydrothermal convection (Garven and others, 2003). This heat flow is at least three times as high as the heat flow measurements in the sag phase of modern basins and is about twice those in rift-phase basins (see above). No geologic evidence has been found to support paleo-heat flows of this magnitude in sedex basins. Salt mass balance, without recharge of new brine into the system, is another potential problem with convective models, particularly in basins with several mineralizing events. For example, the simulations by Yang and others (2004) show a depletion of reservoir salinity to about 10 percent TDS after about 60,000 years. A drop in salinity to 10 percent would limit Zn solubility to less than 1 ppm (see previous section). Similarly, assuming a fluid Zn concentration of 1,000 ppm and about 10 percent porosity, mass balance considerations suggest that 200 km³ of brine would be required to form a large sedex deposit containing the 20 Mt of Zn that corresponds to the entire model reservoir volume. Using more conservative Zn concentrations of 10–100 ppm gives minimum brine volumes 10–100 times larger. The extraction of such a large mass of salt could potentially deplete the salinity of an entire basin. For example, an ore fluid with 100 ppm Zn would require the extraction of 2,000 km³ of brine. Its replacement by seawater (3.5 percent salt) would dilute a ±60,000-km³ reservoir, with 10 percent porosity, below the 17 percent salinity threshold required to transport Zn, making it difficult to form multiple deposits sequentially in the basin. Overall, mass balance considerations suggest that multiple large sedex events in the same basin, characteristic of important sedex basins (fig. 1), would require brine replenishment, a phenomenon that is unaccounted for in current convection flow models.

Topographically Driven Flow Models

Topographically driven flow models, similar to those proposed for MVT and Irish-type deposits, have been proposed for sedex deposits. However, there is little evidence of the regional-scale orogenic belts that would have provided the high elevations required to drive regional fluid flow at the time of sedex ore formation (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Large and others, 2005). Garven and others (2001) determined that elevated basin margins established during rifting are unlikely to drive sufficient fluid flow. Moreover, sedex deposits form during thermal subsidence when basins are rimmed by large, low-relief carbonate platforms that extend for hundreds of kilometers from the basin margin.

Density-Driven Fluid Flow—A New Fluid-Flow Model

As noted previously in this report, new fluid inclusion solute data suggest that sedex deposits are formed from residual brine that results from the evaporation of seawater. The general correspondence between the timing of mineralization and intense evaporation on platform margins, as well as the position of the important sedex basins at paleolatitudes that would have favored evaporative brine formation, is noteworthy. The large shallow-water epeiric carbonate platform sequences (>10⁵ km²) rimming sedex basins have been deposited at latitudes (25°±10°) conducive to high evaporation rates. Paleolatitude reconstructions of Phanerozoic sedex
deposit-hosting basins have shown that the majority of ores were deposited at such paleolatitudes and high-resolution stratigraphic, biostratigraphic, and geochronologic analysis of the six most fertile sedex basins reveals that the 12 mineralizing episodes described previously correspond closely to discrete periods of intense evaporation of seawater on the platform (Emsbo, 2002, 2009a, b; Leach and others, 2005a). The temporal correspondence between mineralization and evaportite deposition suggests a causative relation.

Numerical modeling by Jones and others (2002) has demonstrated that dense residual brines generated by seawater evaporation will infiltrate basin carbonate platform margins to depths of greater than 6 km, migrate, and eventually vent into adjacent ocean basins. Although not specifically applied to sedex deposits, this model demonstrates that density-driven fluid flow, or brine reflux, can drive high-salinity brine flow through carbonate platforms at substantial rates, on the order of 100 cubic meters per year per meter of section. Numerical modeling of fluid flow in basins with architectures more representative of those that host sedex deposits suggests that dense residual brines infiltrate and descend into deep, permeable clastic rock reservoirs (P. Emsbo, U.S. Geological Survey, unpub. data, 2010). Brines flow laterally through such clastic rock sequences toward the basin depocenter until expelled to the sea-floor by fault conduits in the distal basin. Calculated flow rates for density-driven simulations exceed those of other sedex fluid-flow models when similar permeability values are assumed. An important aspect of this modeling is the influence the permeability of rift-fill clastic sequences has on fluid flow. Slight reductions in the permeability of these sequences markedly decrease fluid flow to levels prohibitive to ore formation. Moreover, as demonstrated by the modeling of Jones and others (2002) of reflux brines in carbonate platforms, permeable faults along the platform margin will cause some fluid to migrate upward into shallow sequences of the platform margin. This flow might explain the common small MVT-style deposits or higher temperature saddle dolomite that have been recognized in most carbonate platforms inboard and approximately coeval with the basin facies that host the sedex deposits.

The fluid inclusion solute data, the temporal correlation between ore formation and seawater evaporation, and the numerical modeling jointly suggest that density-driven fluid flow triggered by the evaporation of seawater is fundamental to sedex ore formation. The association between sedex deposits and sag-phase sediments may have less to do with the influence of an aquitard on basin fluid flow than with the development of a basin environment during the sag phase characterized by large shallow-water epeiric carbonate platforms capable of generating large volumes of brine. It is interesting to note that the Belt basin is unusual in that a large evaporative platform margin developed during the rift phase. Thus, a density-driven model may explain the anomalous occurrence of the Sullivan deposit in rift-phase strata of the basin.

**Deduced Geologic Assessment Criteria**

- The empirical and conceptual relation of large evaporative shallow-water epeiric carbonate platforms to sedex deposits suggests that such a sedimentary environment is fundamental to sedex formation.
- The temporal correlation between ore formation and seawater evaporation suggests that distal stratigraphic intervals that are coeval with platform intervals exhibiting evidence of evaporative conditions (for example, evaporite minerals such as anhydrite and some halite, breccias indicating salt dissolution or escape, and regional dolomitization) should be viewed as highly prospective for sedex mineralization.
- The density-driven fluid-flow model suggests that the paleolatitude of sedimentary basins may be a useful parameter in identifying specific time intervals within basins that are prospective for sedex deposits.
- Fluid-flow modeling indicates that the permeability structure of rift-fill clastic sequences is critical to brine movement and sedex deposit formation.
- The occurrence of MVT-style mineralization and higher temperature dolomite along platform margins may be a strong indicator of the fluid-flow systems that form sedex deposits.

**Conduits or Pathways that Focus Ore-Forming Fluids**

It is well established that sedex deposits are associated with long-lived regional structures that control the development of first- and second-order basins (Large, 1980; Lydon, 1995; Kelley and others, 2004a; Large and others, 2005; Leach and others, 2005b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Deposits and districts are typically oriented along second-order structures that control basin half-graben depositional centers. The longevity of these structures, which is apparent from their influence on basin configuration and sedimentary facies through time, is thought to reflect repeated reactivations of deep-rooted primary basement faults (Large and others, 2002; Emsbo and others, 2006). Most deposits show a direct relation between active fault movement and ore formation. Fault movement is expressed as growth faults with rapid facies changes, periodic influx of coarse breccias and debris flows derived from fault-scars, synsedimentary slumping, stratigraphic thickening toward subsiding basin margins, and foundering of basin environments. Interpreted rift-related structural regimes include normal extensional faults, orthogonal transform faults, and wrench faults with transpressional and transtensional segments (Emsbo and others, 1999; Large and others, 2002; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Finally, the association of sedex deposits with post-ore thrust faults in tectonically disrupted strata reflects inversion of the basin by reverse movement on original normal faults (for example, Emsbo and others, 2006).
Pathways of fluid flow are indicated by Fe- and Mn-carbonate alteration and, in some cases, extensive silicification and perhaps tourmalinization (such as at the Rammelsberg, Sullivan, Tom and Jason, McArthur River [HYC], and perhaps Red Dog deposits) (Large and others, 1998; Kelley and others, 2004a; Lydon, 2004b; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Early diagenetic dolostone formation associated with descending or advecting brines derived from overlying evaporite sequences is universal in sedex basins. Moreover, porous clastic sediments in platform sequences and rift-fill sediments in the deeper sequences in the McArthur basin have been shown to be regionally alkali metasomatized (Davidson, 1998).

An important consideration in sedex ore genesis is the physical behavior of fluids at the seawater/sediment interface. Sangster (2002) separated sedex deposits into vent-proximal and vent-distal types on the basis of the presence or absence of discordant, feeder, vent, or stringer zones below the stratiform ore. In the case of vent-proximal deposits and those with sub-sea-floor replacement mineralization, such as the Anarraaq deposit in Alaska (Kelley and others, 2004a), the thickest accumulation of ore tends to be on the margin of feeder fault systems. In the case of the vent-distal deposits, metal accumulations are controlled by basin-floor topography. Exhaled brines, because of their density, hug the bottom and seek paleobathymetric lows in which they accumulate as brine pools. While bottom-hugging brines have been demonstrated to travel great distances in modern basins (Sangster, 2002), it is believed that most sedex deposits in fault-controlled, restricted, third-order basins lie within a few kilometers of major fault systems. Third-order subbasins are generally recognized by their greater proportion of reduced facies (pyritic- and organic-rich sediments), increased intrasтратal thicknesses, and increased presence of debris flows compared to stratigraphic equivalents elsewhere in the second-order basin.

### Deduced Geologic Assessment Criteria

- Areas within a few to tens of kilometers of large basin-boundary faults that control second-order basins within sedimentary basins are highly favorable.
- Indicators of synsedimentary faulting, such as abrupt and truncated facies boundaries in platform-to-slope transition, thick debris flows, intraformational breccias, changes in isopach thicknesses, and synsedimentary slump structures, are highly useful indicators of favorable stratigraphic horizons for ore.
- On a local scale, increasing intrasтратal and debris flow thicknesses and increasing organic matter and pyrite concentrations in reduced sediments can be used as vectors toward basin lows where brine may have accumulated and formed ore.
- Extensive dolomitization of platform carbonate rocks and alkali-altered platform and rift-phase clastic sediments are strong indicators of productive sedex basins.
- On a local scale, faults that served as fluid conduits may be identified by wallrock alteration with Fe- and Mn-rich carbonate rocks or silicification, and perhaps tourmalinization.

### Nature of Traps and Wallrock Interaction that Trigger Ore Precipitation

A unique attribute of sedex deposits is that ore metals are trapped by H₂S generated by bacteria in local sedimentary environments. Organic carbon (C_{org}) in sedex deposits commonly ranges from 3 to 10 wt. percent (Turner, 1992; Goodfellow and others, 1993; Leach and others, 2005b) and is more abundant than in typical shales, which average about 0.2 wt. percent (Brumsack, 2006). Organic matter is important in the genesis of sedex deposits because bacterial sulfate reduction, in which bacteria metabolize organic compounds, produces the sulfide that precipitates the ore metals. In addition, C_{org} enrichments are a consequence of the enhanced preservation of components destroyed by oxidation, which characterizes anoxic depositional basins, and of increased accumulation rates of C_{org} relative to diluting terrigenous clastic sediments. An increase in organic productivity may reflect the flux of biolimiting nutrients and metals delivered to the ocean by hydrothermal fluids (Embsbo and others, 1999; Embsbo, 2004, 2008).

Berner and Raiswell (1983) and Leventhal (1983) noted a linear correspondence between the amounts of C_{org} and pyrite sulfur (S_{pyr}) in normal marine sediments. Deviations from the linear relation are common and result from variations in the suitability of available C_{org} for metabolism by sulfate-reducing organisms, the availability of sulfate, and the abundance of reactive metals to capture produced sulfide. Modern euxinic environments are notable for their higher S:C_{org} ratios relative to typical marine sediments (Berner and Raiswell, 1983; Leventhal, 1983). The S:C ratios (Goodfellow and others, 1993) and the sulfur isotope systematics of sedex deposits are consistent with euxinic environments in which bacterial sulfate reduction was rapid relative to the supply of sulfate (Lyons and others, 2006; Johnson and others, 2009). These chemical and isotopic characteristics are expected in environments containing abundant organic carbon. Analyses of both normal and euxinic marine sediments demonstrate that greater than 1 wt. percent C_{org} is required to account for the high sulfur content of sedex deposits. The data of Berner and Raiswell (1983) and Leventhal (1983) show that sediment with less than 1 wt. percent C_{org} typically contains less than 0.5 wt. percent S_{pyr} and thus limits the maximum amount of zinc that could be precipitated to less than 1 wt. percent, which
is considerably below what is economic for sedex deposits. Therefore, \( C_{org} \) contents greater than 1 wt. percent are considered an indicator of a favorable host rock.

Accumulations of greater than 1 wt. percent \( C_{org} \) in marine sediments can be explained by sustained bottom-water anoxia or unusually high rates of organic-matter production (Rollkotter, 2006). Basins with stratified water columns, such as those with hypersaline bottom water, are particularly suited to the development of basal anoxic to euxinic water. A requirement for the development of euxinic water is that the flux of \( C_{org} \) must be sufficient to deplete the supply of electron acceptors that are preferentially favored over sulfate (\( O_2 \), \( NO_3 \), \( MnO_2 \), and \( Fe_2O_3 \)). Depending on the physiography of the sea floor proximal to a forming sedex deposit, deposition and preservation of \( C_{org} \) are likely to extend laterally from the deposit as carbonaceous sediments typified by black shales. This lateral accumulation is especially likely given the association of sedex deposits with global anoxic events (Goodfellow and others, 1993).

Achieving high concentrations of \( C_{org} \) also has implications for the sedimentological characteristics of the depositional environment. Anoxia enhances \( C_{org} \) preservation, but the flux of diluting the clastic and chemical components of the sediment must also be limited to achieve high \( C_{org} \) contents. Rapid sedimentation would result in the dilution of both \( C_{org} \) and sedex metals such that economic accumulations would be unlikely. Therefore, sedex deposits with economic ore grades are more likely to appear in depositional third-order basins that are distal from eroding landmasses.

**Deduced Geologic Assessment Criteria**

- High contents of \( C_{org} \) are essential for the production of sulfide, which is necessary for precipitation of sedex ore metals; organic matter is therefore a useful guide in the evaluation of the suitability of a potential host rock.
- Regional or global anoxic events may be favorable time periods for mineralization.

**Structure and Composition of Residual Fluid Outflow Zones**

Sedex deposits are unique in that their ore fluids are vented into hydrologically restricted basins. Dispersion halos and zoning of Fe, Ba, Si, and the ore metals are observed at distances of tens of kilometers from deposits (Goodfellow and others, 1993; Lydon, 1995; Large and others, 1996b; Large and McGoldrick, 1998; Large and others, 2005; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007). Moreover, the presence of basinwide organic-rich metalliferous black shales and \( PO_4^2- \), Fe, and Mn deposits in mineralized horizons has been widely noted (Turner, 1992; Large and others, 1998; Emsbo, 2004; Emsbo and others, 2005; Goodfellow and Lydon, 2007).

The interrelations between diverse deposit types are poorly understood. Traditionally, the basinwide phenomena have been explained by upwelling of cold, nutrient-rich ocean waters onto the continental shelf. The increased nutrients in shallow marine environments are thought to cause a surge in bioproductivity and corresponding eutrophication, anoxic or dysoxic conditions, and sequestration of \( C_{org} \) as observed along modern upwelling coastlines. In this model, metal and phosphate in the shale are derived from seawater and accumulated through a variety of biogenic and chemical mechanisms. Alternatively, Emsbo and others (2005) proposed that hydrothermal basin fluids may have been the source of nutrients. Brines contain very high concentrations of biolimiting nutrients such as ammonium, reduced C, trace metals, Ba, and Si. Recent estimates have shown that the flux of nutrients from a large sedex hydrothermal system can exceed the modern riverine flux into the ocean (Emsbo and others, 2005; Emsbo, 2008). Such an immense nutrient flux into a single sedimentary basin would undoubtedly cause massive increases in primary productivity. The resulting eutrophication would establish anoxic conditions and preserve organic matter. Variations in the redox state of the discharged brine can explain the extraordinary metal enrichments and some of the compositional variation in the black shales (Emsbo and others, 2005; Emsbo, 2008). However, the low solubility of phosphate in hydrothermal fluids suggests that it was not introduced by the brines (Hofstra and others, 2004; Emsbo and others, 2005). A plausible phosphate source other than seawater might be the remobilization of phosphate adsorbed on detrital ferric oxy-hydroxides as the seas become anoxic. An appealing aspect of this phosphate source is that it explains the temporal and spatial coincidence of phosphate and sedex deposits. Overall, the enormous flux of biolimiting nutrients and metals delivered to the ocean by hydrothermal fluids may have been sufficient to form the metal deposits and trigger basinwide anoxia and associated biological and chemical changes in the sedimentary basin.

**Deduced Geologic Assessment Criteria**

- Basinwide organic-rich metalliferous black shales and \( PO_4^2- \), Fe, and Mn deposits may identity prospective sedimentary intervals in basins.
- Zoning of metals and metal ratios can be used as local vectors toward areas with mineralization (fig. 10). Within a favorable horizon, increasing organic matter and pyrite and trace element concentrations (and ratios) in reduced sediments can be used as vectors toward areas favorable for ore. As described in this section, systematic zoning of elements like Zn, Pb, Ba, As, Hg, and Tl has been documented for tens to hundreds of kilometers around deposits. Moreover, increasing ratios of ore metals like Pb:Zn and Ba:Zn have been demonstrated to be effective vectors towards ore for up to 10 km around deposits.
The ore genesis model and the geologic assessment criteria deduced from empirical data and the current understanding of the physicochemical, geologic, and mass balance constraints on sedex ore formation are summarized in figure 13. In the following sections, it is demonstrated how these geologic criteria can be used in mineral assessments to define permissive tracts, assess the relative prospectivity of permissive tracts, and map favorability within permissive tracts. In each section, the criteria are ranked according to their relative importance.

Attributes Required for Inclusion in Permissive Tract at Various Scales

Geologic Criteria that Define Permissive Tracts

Central to the existing U.S. Geological Survey mineral assessment protocol is the determination of permissive tracts. Boundaries of permissive tracts are defined as areas outside of which the probability of a deposit occurring is negligible. This model has identified geologic criteria that can be used as a proxy for geologic processes essential for sedex formation. It is also important that the geologic criteria used be able to define permissive tracts at the scale of a regional assessment (1:500,000). In order for an area to be considered permissive, it must have the following attributes:

- Intracratic or epicratonic sedimentary basin: All sedex deposits occur in intracratic or epicratonic sedimentary basins. Thus, an intracratic or epicratonic sedimentary basin is a prerequisite for this deposit type.
- Depth to crystalline basement greater than 3 km: It has been well established that fluid temperatures in excess of 100 °C are required to form a sedex deposit. Empirical observations and the current understanding of the thermal regimes of sedimentary basins indicate that at least 3 km of sediment fill is required to achieve these temperatures.
- Organic-rich black shale or siltstone with greater than 1 percent $C_{org}$: A shale unit with greater than 1 percent $C_{org}$ is essential for sedex ore formation and must be identified for an area to be permissive.
- Brine salinity source: Saline brine (>17 percent TDS) is necessary to form a sedex deposit. Basin-scale processes are required to produce the volume of brine necessary to form a deposit. Thus, direct geologic evidence for saline brine generation, such as evaporite minerals, breccias indicating salt dissolution or escape, or regional dolomitized platform sequences, is required. In the rare case where platform margins are obscured or missing because of erosion or tectonic disruption, paleoreconstructions can be used to determine if a basin was at a latitude permissive of seawater evaporation during its history.

Geologic Criteria Used to Evaluate the Prospectivity of Permissive Tracts

Many of the geologic criteria defined in this section, though not adequate to limit permissive tracts, directly implicate basin and hydrothermal processes that may influence estimates of number, size, and density of undiscovered deposits within permissive tracts, defined here as tract prospectivity. Such criteria are listed below in order of decreasing importance:

- Already discovered sedex deposits or occurrences: All known sedex basins contain multiple deposits in multiple horizons. Thus, the occurrence of sedex ore mineralization is the strongest indicator of a prospective basin.
- Coeval evaporative platform and organic-rich basinal sediments: This analysis reveals that mineralizing episodes correspond to discrete periods of intense seawater evaporation on the platform and the formation of regional shales anomalously enriched in organic matter. The implication is that these phenomena are related to sedex ore formation and that their coincident occurrence is a strong indicator of sedex ore formation.
- Large evaporative shallow-water marine epeiric carbonate platform margins: The empirical and genetic relations documented here suggest that carbonate platforms are the source of ore brines and provide the fluid drive to form sedex deposits. The occurrence of multiple episodes of mineralization in the six most fertile sedex basins suggests that, once the proper basin architecture is established, sedex deposits will form when the basin is exposed to the proper climatic and paleolatitude conditions for brine generation.
- Oxidized rift-phase sediments: Empirical observation, radiogenic isotope studies, and chemical or fluid-flow modeling show that 2- to 4-km-thick sequences of syn-rift coarse continental clastic sediments (conglomerates, red beds, sandstones, turbidites, and subordinate volcanic successions) provided the metals for sedex deposits. Oxidized (low $C_{org}$ and high reactive Fe), permeable sequences at depths greater than 3 km are ideal. Mass balance estimates of leachable metal
suggest that the volume of these rocks within a given basin may provide an upper limit to the total amount of metal available for ore formation.

- Evidence of hydrothermal activity: The large volume of fluids required to form sedex deposits leaves alteration footprints that can be recognized on the basin scale. This evidence can include extensive dolomitization of platform carbonate rocks and pervasive alkali-alteration of clastic sediment aquifers. On the local scale, strong mineralogical and chemical alteration along growth faults and alteration and metal halos can be used to vector toward the deposits.

- Distal signatures of mineralization: Regional shales anomalous in C_{org}, metals, and sedimentary Ba, Mn, Fe, and PO_4 deposits are strong indicators of sedex deposits.

- Chemical composition of already discovered mineralization: Varying proportions of base metals, barite, and Au of sedex ores may ultimately reflect the lithology and redox buffering capacity of basin-fill sediments in the metal source regions, which may be a highly useful indicator for deposit formation, metal endowment, and prospectivity of a sedimentary basin.

- Age of the basin: The requirement of marine sulfate as a source of reduced sulfur may limit sedex deposit formation to Proterozoic and Phanerozoic time. The two most productive time periods of sedex formation, the Proterozoic (approx. 1.8–1.4 Ga) and the Paleozoic (550–300 Ma), may identify favorable times for sedex formation. Certainly, the correspondence of known sedex deposits to regional, and perhaps global, anoxic events may identify periods of time that are favorable for additional discoveries.

**Knowledge that Enables Favorability Zonation of Permissive Tracts**

- Basin architecture: On a regional scale, areas within tens of kilometers of large, long-lived basin-bounding faults that controlled second-order basin features are highly favorable. The close association of synsedi-
mentary faults (recognized by features in the regional to local stratigraphy or basin architecture that include abrupt and truncated facies boundaries in platform-to-slope transition), thick debris flows, intraformational breccias, changes in isopachs, and synsedi-
mentary slump structures indicates favorable stratigraphic hori-
zons and can be used to vector toward structures that may have been ore-fluid conduits.

- Geochemical anomalies or zoning: On a local scale, increasing organic matter and pyrite and trace ele-
ment concentrations (and ratios) in reduced sediments can be used as vectors toward areas favorable for ore. Hydrothermal alteration composed of Fe- and Mn-rich carbonate rocks or silification (or both) allows identi-
fication of mineralizing faults on a local scale.

- Sedex deposits or occurrences: It is common for multiple sedex deposits to be distributed for many tens of kilometers along basin-controlling faults. There-
fore, areas along large fault systems with evidence of mineralization should be viewed as very favorable for undiscovered deposits.

**Geoenvironmental Features**

**Weathering Processes**

Modern weathering processes that affect mine wastes from massive sulfide deposits are similar, in many respects, to those operating in the supergene environment after the initial formation of the mineral deposit, but there are important differences. Supergene alteration products such as anglesite, cerussite, hydrozincite, or hemimorphite are important indica-
tor minerals that are useful in exploring for sedex deposits. Supergene alteration processes are driven by oxygen and oxy-
genated waters reacting with a sedex orebody; therefore, the thickness of the zone of supergene alteration is dependent on the depth and amount of fluctuation of the local water table. In humid climates, water tables are shallow and near the surface, resulting in a thin zone of supergene activity. In arid climates, water tables are deep, and oxygen and surface precipitation may percolate to depth, resulting in a thick zone of supergene alteration minerals. Oxides of iron, such as goethite or hema-
tite, and sulfates, such as alunite and jarosite, are common surficial weathering products in gossans that overlie orebodies. Acid-mine drainage is one of the most significant chal-
genesis associated with sedex deposits because of the abun-
dance of pyrite, pyrrhotite, or both iron sulfides and the gen-
eral lack of any significant neutralizing potential or alkalinity. The geochemistry of acid-mine drainage has been reviewed by Nordstrom and Alpers (1999), and additional aspects of the weathering of a variety of ore and gangue minerals were discussed by Plumlee (1999). Seal and others (2001a), Seal
and Hammarstrom (2003), Seal (2004), and Kelley and others (1995) have previously reviewed the geoenvironmental char-
acteristics of sedex and other types of massive sulfide deposits.

Geochemical aspects of the formation of acid-mine drain-
age and its burden of metals and other elements of concern reflect the balance between sulfide-oxidation reactions, which produce acid, and acid-neutralization reactions. The abun-
dance of pyrite, and to a lesser extent pyrrhotite, in sedex ores dominates most aspects of the environmental behavior of the deposits and their mine wastes because of their acid-
generating potential. Pyrite is the most common iron-sulfide
mineral in sedex ores, but the Sullivan deposit in British Columbia (Canada) is a notable example where pyrrhotite is important (Leach and others, 2005b). Acid generated by their oxidative weathering aggressively attacks other ore and gangue minerals, thereby liberating a variety of potentially toxic elements including aluminum and manganese, which are not part of the typical metallic ore assemblage, but instead are found in silicate and carbonate gangue minerals. The acidic, metal-laden acid-sulfate waters can adversely affect the surrounding surface waters and groundwater. Within the hydrologic system of mine workings and mine wastes, carbonate minerals, silicate minerals, and even monosulfide minerals such as sphalerite can neutralize acid generated by the oxidative weathering of iron sulfide minerals.

The oxidation of pyrite and other sulfide minerals proceeds with either dissolved oxygen (O$_2$) or dissolved ferric iron (Fe$^{3+}$) as the oxidizing agent. Dissolved oxygen is the most important oxidant at pH values above about 4, whereas ferric iron dominates below that threshold (Williamson and others, 2006). The aqueous oxidation of pyrite (FeS$_2$) by dissolved oxygen is described by reaction 1.

\[ \text{FeS}_2 + \frac{7}{2} \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2 \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 2 \text{H}^+ \quad (1) \]

The aqueous oxidation of pyrrhotite (Fe$_{1-x}$S) by dissolved oxygen is described by reaction 2.

\[ \text{FeS}_x + (2-x/2) \text{O}_2 + x \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow (1-x) \text{Fe}^{2+} + x \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 2x \text{H}^+ \quad (2) \]

where \( x \) ranges from 0.000 to 0.125.

The aqueous oxidation of pyrite by ferric iron is described by reaction 3.

\[ \text{FeS}_2 + 14 \text{Fe}^{3+} + 8 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 15 \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2 \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 16 \text{H}^+ \quad (3) \]

Where ferric iron is the oxidant, ferrous iron (Fe$^{2+}$) must be oxidized to ferric iron to perpetuate the reaction, as described by reaction 4.

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + \frac{1}{4} \text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4) \]

The rate of the oxidation of ferrous iron to ferric iron is greatly enhanced by the bacterium *Acidithiobacillus ferroxidans*. Singer and Stumm (1970) observed that *A. ferroxidans* increased the rate of oxidation of ferrous iron to ferric iron by a factor of 100,000 compared to the abiotic rate. In the case of both sets of reactions for pyrite and pyrrhotite, additional acid is generated by the oxidation and hydrolysis of the aqueous ferrous iron, as described by reaction 5.

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + \frac{1}{4} \text{O}_2 + 5/2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 2 \text{H}^+ \quad (5) \]

which also produces the orange and brown precipitates that typify acid-mine drainage.

Pyrrhotite and other monosulfides, such as sphalerite (ZnS), can also undergo non-oxidative dissolution under anoxic conditions when exposed to acid, as described by reactions 6 and 7, respectively.

\[ \text{FeS}_x + (2-2x) \text{H}^+ + x \text{H}_2 \rightarrow (1-x) \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \quad (6) \]

\[ \text{ZnS} + 2 \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \quad (7) \]

Reaction 6, with pyrrhotite, effectively decouples iron and sulfur oxidation, and both of these reactions consume acid. Sphalerite and pyrite in sedex ores can carry significant concentrations of trace elements in solid solution that can get liberated during weathering, as discussed in following sections.

Ore minerals, such as sphalerite, galena, and chalcopyrite, undergo similar reactions with dissolved oxygen and ferric iron serving as oxidants. Zinc and copper tend to go into solution, but lead commonly forms secondary phases such as anglesite (PbSO$_4$) or cerussite (PbCO$_3$), depending upon the concentrations of sulfate and carbonate in solution. These secondary phases can limit dissolved lead concentrations.

Gangue minerals in the host rocks generally react to consume the acid generated by the oxidation of sulfides. Silicate minerals are far less reactive than carbonate minerals (Jambor and others, 2002). Carbonate minerals can occur as trace constituents of siliciclastic host rocks or as limestone host rocks. Carbonate minerals, such as calcite (CaCO$_3$), consume acid, as described by reaction 8.

\[ \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{HCO}_3^- \quad (8) \]

Under anoxic conditions, siderite will neutralize acid, but the oxidation and hydrolysis of the resulting ferrous iron will offset the alkalinity produced.

**Pre-Mining Baseline Signatures in Soil, Sediment, and Water**

Mine permitting and remediation planning require documentation or an estimation of pre-mining baseline conditions, particularly for regulated media such as groundwater and surface water, soil, and sediment, to serve as a goal for post-mining reclamation. Detailed baseline geochemical characterization prior to the onset of mining is essential. Many abandoned mines, however, did not undergo baseline characterization prior to mining, so a variety of methods, all of which are problematic, have been used to estimate pre-mining baseline conditions or an estimation of pre-mining baseline conditions.

Geochemical background data available in the literature for sedex deposits are dominated by data from unmined deposits or from samples collected prior to mining for several mineral deposits in Alaska. These geochemical data include analyses from stream sediment, soil, and surface water in the vicinity of the Red Dog, Drenchwater, and Lik deposits in the Brooks Range of northwestern Alaska (Kelley and others, 1992; Graham and others, 2009).
Stream-sediment samples (<0.2-millimeter [mm] and <0.5-mm fractions) associated with sedex deposits in cold semiarid settings in northwestern Alaska contain anomalous concentrations of many metals, including as much as 10 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) Ag; tens of mg/kg As, Cd, and Sb; hundreds of mg/kg Cu and Ni; thousands of mg/kg Mn, Pb, and Zn; and hundreds of thousands of mg/kg Ba (Theobald and others, 1978; Kelley and others, 1992). Soils that overlie mineralized rock, such as at the Red Dog and Lik deposits in the Brooks Range, Alaska, contains hundreds to tens of thousands of mg/kg Pb, hundreds to thousands of mg/kg Ba and Zn, tens of mg/kg Ag, and tens to hundreds of mg/kg Cu, but concentrations of other metals, including less than 2 mg/kg Cd, hundreds of mg/kg Mn, and tens of mg/kg Ni, are low relative to stream-sediment abundances (Briggs and others, 1992; Meyer and Kurtak, 1992; Kelley and Kelley, 2003; Kelley and Hudson, 2007). Soil overlying sedex deposits in warm semiarid settings in Australia similarly contains hundreds to thousands of mg/kg Cu, Pb, and Zn and tens of mg/kg Ag (Cox and Curtis, 1977).

The availability of atmospheric oxygen and the position of the groundwater table are two of the most important factors in determining the natural weathering behavior of sedex deposits. Therefore, these factors greatly influence water chemistry. Surface waters surrounding exposed deposits generally are more acidic and carry more dissolved metals than those draining buried deposits. The Alaskan sedex deposits—Red Dog, Lik, and Drenchwater—are exposed at the surface. Mining started at Red Dog in 1989, and reserves have been identified sufficient to continue mining until 2031. Neither Drenchwater nor Lik has been developed to date. The available data for water associated with these deposits have a range of pH from approximately 3 to 9 and a range of concentrations of dissolved iron from approximately less than 0.02 to 300 mg/L (fig. 14). The natural weathering of these rocks has caused elements of concern for aquatic ecosystem health, such as Fe, Zn, Cd, and Pb, to reach concentrations in excess of generic water-quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life (fig. 14). The presence of these elevated concentrations in the vicinity of the Red Dog, Drenchwater, and Lik deposits prior to the start of any mining activity underscores the importance of pre-mining baseline characterization to aid in establishing closure goals for the end of mining.

Mineral processing causes a number of physical and chemical changes to the ore from which the metal concentrates are produced. Most sedex massive sulfide deposits contain a large excess of iron-sulfide minerals relative to valuable base-metal sulfide minerals. The nature of ore processing and the method of disposal of the sulfide-mineral-rich tailings and waste rocks are critical parameters that influence the scope of environmental impacts associated with mining massive sulfide deposits. Some modern mines discharge fine-grained sulfide-rich tailings into ponds underlain by impermeable linings, but historical tailings impoundments generally lack impermeable barriers at the base.

Base-metal sulfide minerals are typically separated by froth flotation. The exact mix of flotation reagents will depend on the mineralogical character of the ores being processed and includes chemicals known as frothers, modifiers, and collectors to target specific minerals in the ores (Fuerstenau and others, 2007). Xanthates and dithiophosphates are some of the most common collectors used for base-metal ores, such as those from sedex deposits. The most important frothers are alcohols and short-chain aliphatic alcohols and polyglycols. Modifiers include inorganic compounds, oligomers and small organic compounds, and polymeric organic compounds that modify a variety of solution properties such as pH and pulp potential. Some of the reagents used in the process are toxic, but most are recycled and only relatively minor amounts are discharged to tailings facilities. Because of the recycling of process waters and the compaction of tailings, long-term risks from tailing piles associated with these chemicals should be minimal.

The fine grain size, the typically large volume of tailing piles, and the oxygen consumption of waste sulfide minerals establish distinct geochemical environments in tailings piles. The fine grain size enhances the reactivity of the sulfide and gangue minerals by increasing surface area, though in some instances it also facilitates the formation of hardpan layers that can act as semipermeable to impermeable barriers to oxygen diffusion, thus limiting sulfide oxidation (Blowes and others, 1991, 2003). Numerous studies of tailings from massive sulfide ores indicate that the pH of pore waters in the unsaturated and saturated zones of tailings piles is generally buffered by a predictable series of solid phases. Commonly, pore waters show a step-decrease in pH from 6.5–7.5, to 4.8–6.3, to 4.0–4.3, and finally to less than 3.5, which corresponds to buffering by calcite, siderite, Al(OH)₃, and Fe(OH)₃, respectively (Blowes and Placek, 1994; Jurjovec and others, 2002; Blowes and others, 2003).

**Past and Future Mining Methods and Ore Treatment**

Mining methods and ore-beneficiation techniques significantly influence the potential environmental impacts of sedex deposits. Both open-pit (for example, Red Dog, Alaska, and Faro, Yukon Territory, Canada) and underground (for example, Sullivan, British Columbia, Canada) methods have been used to mine sedex deposits in historical and modern operations. The hydrologic differences between underground and open-pit mines are significant, particularly at abandoned mines.

**Volume of Mine Waste and Tailings**

Most economic sedex deposits are between 1.4 and 120 Mt; the median deposit size is 15 Mt (Menzie and Mosier, 1986). The Howards Pass deposit, Yukon Territory, contains an estimated 550 Mt of ore (Goodfellow and others, 1993). Because ore grades typically reach several percent at most, the tonnage of tailings is similar to the tonnage of ore. However, the amount of waste rock will vary on the basis of mining method. Open-pit mines may need to strip significant amounts
of subeconomic, but potentially problematic, waste rock, whereas the amount of waste rock generated by underground mines is typically less. For example, approximately 140 Mt of ore have been mined at the Sullivan mine, British Columbia, and 90 million tonnes of siliceous tailings containing sulfide mineral waste cover about 373 hectares of land (O’Kane and Ryland, 1999). As another example, the mass of tailings to be impounded by closure at the Red Dog mine, Alaska, is estimated to be 80 Mt (TeckCominco, 2007).

Mine Waste Characteristics

Mineralogy

From an environmental perspective, the most important mineralogical characteristics of sedex massive sulfide ore and mine waste are those that relate to acid-generating potential, acid-neutralizing potential, and the source, transport, and fate of trace elements. Sedex deposits are collectively defined by the fact that they formed syngenerically on or near the ancient sea floor through hot-spring activity as lenslike or tabular bodies of stratiform sulfide minerals, dominantly pyrite or pyrrhotite. By definition, the deposits contain massive zones of sulfide minerals—many with sulfide-mineral contents exceeding 90 vol. percent. Many deposits also contain extensive zones of semimassive sulfide rock (25–50 vol. percent) that contain economically exploitable ore. Quartz- or carbonate-rich stringer ore zones in the footwall of the massive sulfides typically contain 5–20 vol. percent sulfide minerals hosted in quartz veins and disseminated in chloritic wall rocks. The primary ore mineralogy defines the suite of metals that may cause potential environmental problems. In addition to pyrite and pyrrhotite, the ore minerals sphalerite (ZnS) and galena (PbS) are commonly major constituents in these deposits. These minerals, and their weathering products, are the principal sources of elevated concentrations of zinc and lead, among other elements, in mine waste and drainage. Kelley and others (2004b) investigated the trace element chemistry of sphalerite and pyrite from the Red Dog mine, Alaska. In sphalerite, they found Fe concentrations reaching 7.3 wt. percent, Cd reaching 1.0 wt. percent, As reaching 0.2 wt. percent, Pb reaching 1.0 wt. percent, and Hg reaching 0.4 wt. percent. Schwartz (1997) observed that sphalerite has higher Hg concentrations in Proterozoic sedimentary exhalative deposits such as Broken Hill, Australia, and Balmat, New York, United States (4–4,680 mg/kg) than in deposits such as Faro, Canada, and Rammelsberg and Meggan, Germany (0.3–548 mg/kg). In pyrite from the Red Dog deposit, Kelley and others (2004b) found significant concentrations of As (<1.1 wt. percent), Co (<0.1 wt. percent), Cu (<0.2 wt. percent), Ni (<0.2 wt. percent), Tl (<1.2 wt. percent), and Sb (<0.7 wt. percent). The primary mineralogical characteristics of sedex and other types of massive sulfide deposits are summarized in table 1.

Various carbonate minerals, most of which contribute neutralizing potential, are associated locally with primary alteration assemblages of some of these deposit types in minor amounts. Calcite and ankerite dominate the carbonate mineralogy.

Acid-Base Accounting

The primary and secondary mineralogy of the ores, their solid mine wastes, and associated rock types define the acid-generating and acid-neutralizing potential of the waste in a procedure known as acid-base accounting (ABA). A series of static-test methods has been developed to predict the acid-generating potential of mine wastes as a tool to assist in waste management. Use of these tests is known as acid-base accounting or ABA (Sobek and others, 1978; White and others, 1999), which is discussed in detail by Jambor (2003). Acid-base accounting is based on the stoichiometric reaction

$$\text{FeS}_2 + 2\text{CaCO}_3 + 3.75 \text{O}_2 + 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 2\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{CO}_2(g)$$ (9)

which is simply the sum of reactions 1 and 8 to eliminate $\text{H}^+$ as a constituent. It describes acid generation through the oxidation of pyrite ($\text{FeS}_2$) and subsequent neutralization by calcite ($\text{CaCO}_3$) (Sobek and others, 1978). In the case of some sedex massive sulfide deposits, most notably Sullivan, British Columbia, pyrrhotite ($\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{S}$, where $x$ ranges from 0.000 to 0.106) is the dominant sulfide mineral. For pyrrhotite, acid-base accounting can be approximated by the simplified stoichiometric reaction

$$\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{S} + \text{CaCO}_3 + 2.25 \text{O}_2 + 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_3 + 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2(g)$$ (10)

The stoichiometry of calcite ($\text{CaCO}_3$) per unit to total sulfur is the same as in reaction 9, but the total sulfur per unit of solid will be lower because pyrrhotite has approximately half of the sulfur of pyrite.
### Table 1. Selected common mineralogical characteristics of sedimentary-exhalative deposits with a comparison to volcanic-hosted massive sulfide deposits.

[X, major characteristic; x, minor characteristic; –, not reported]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Sedimentary-exhalative deposits</th>
<th>Mafic-ultramafic</th>
<th>Bimodal-mafic</th>
<th>Bimodal-felsic</th>
<th>Siliciclastic-mafic</th>
<th>Siliciclastic-felsic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X, x</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>X, x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X, x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galena</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenopyrite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahedrite-tennantite</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnabar</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankerite</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcasite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covellite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcolcite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enargite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanterite/Rozenite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copiapite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halotrichite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alunogen</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epsomite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcantihite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goslarite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglesite</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siderite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerussite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferricydrite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goethite</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwertmannite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarosite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous Al(OH)$_3$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basaluminite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurbaniite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From an ABA perspective, sulfide minerals such as pyrite, pyrrhotite,chalcopyrite, and sphalerite dominate the primary ore mineralogy of these deposits and contribute to the maximum potential acidity. Secondary metal-sulfate salts that commonly accumulate as intermediate products of sulfide oxidation also will contribute acidity (Alpers and others, 1994; Cravotta, 1994; Hammarstrom and others, 2001). For example, melanterite (FeSO₄·7H₂O), rozenite (FeSO₄·4H₂O), copiapite (Fe₂⁺Fe³⁺(SO₄)₃(OH)·20H₂O), and halotrichite (Fe⁺Al₂(SO₄)₃·22H₂O), among others, are common and highly soluble; less soluble sulfate minerals such as jarosite and schwertmannite (Fe₅O₇(SO₄)·(OH)) also are common in mining environments (table 1). In contrast, the alkaline-earth sulfate minerals, such as barite, anhydrite, and gypsum, also are common as both primary and secondary minerals associated with sedex deposits (table 1), but they do not contribute acidity although their sulfur content will be reported in determinations of total sulfur. The most prominent differences in secondary sulfate-mineral speciation among mine wastes from sedex massive sulfide deposits are in their jarosite and metal-sulfate salts, particularly in the presence or absence of goslarite (ZnSO₄·7H₂O) and alunogen (Al₂(SO₄)₃·17H₂O). Modifications to the original ABA procedures attempt to accommodate these problems (White and others, 1999).

The acid-base account of a sample is expressed in terms of an acid-generating potential (AP) and an acid-neutralizing potential (NP), both expressed in terms of kilograms of calcium carbonate per metric ton of material (kg CaCO₃/t). The acid-base account can be expressed in terms of a neutralizing potential (NNP), defined as

\[\text{NNP} = \text{NP} - \text{AP}\]

Therefore, a negative NNP value corresponds to a sample where the acid-generating potential is greater than the acid-neutralizing potential; it is designated as being net acidic. A positive NNP value is designated as being net alkaline. Alternatively, the acid-base account can be expressed in terms of a neutralizing potential ratio (NPR), defined as

\[\text{NPR} = \frac{\text{NP}}{\text{AP}}\]

such that an NPR value below one is net acidic and a value above one is net alkaline.

Net neutralization potentials for tailings from sedex deposits are generally net acidic. A single sample of tailings from the Faro mine, Yukon Territory, Canada, has a highly acidic net neutralization potential of ~688 kg CaCO₃/t and a paste pH of 2.9 (Seal and others, 2009). Additional data for waste rock from Faro are provided by Gartner Lee Limited (2006, 2007). The acid-base accounting characteristics of waste rocks and tailings from the Faro (Yukon Territory, Canada) and the Red Dog (Alaska) deposits are summarized in figure 15. The range of waste-rock types at Red Dog range from net alkaline (NP:AP > 1) to net acidic (NP:AP < 1). The waste rock from Faro ranges from net neutral (NP:AP ~ 1) to net acidic. For both deposits, the tailings have high acid-generating potential (NP:AP << 1).

![Figure 15](image_url)  
**Figure 15.** Acid-base accounting characteristics of waste rock and tailing for the Faro and Red Dog sedex deposits. Measured acid-neutralizing potential (NP) values are plotted against the acid-generating potential (AP) values for the samples. Samples with NP:AP values less than 1 are considered to be “probably acid-drainage generating” (PAG) samples; those with NP:AP values greater than 2 are considered to be “non-probably acid-drainage generating” (non-PAG) samples; and those with NP:AP values between 1 and 2 are considered to have “uncertain” acid-drainage generating potential.

**Element Mobility Related to Mining in Groundwater and Surface Water**

The quality of mine drainage is controlled by the geological characteristics of the mineral deposit modified by the combined effects of the mineralogy, the mining and ore-beneficiation methods used, the hydrologic setting of the mine workings and waste piles, and climate. Data are available from several sedex deposits in different climatic settings.

Mine-drainage data are available for sedex deposits at the Faro mine in the Yukon Territory, Canada (Gartner Lee, 2006, 2007); the Red Dog mine in Alaska, United States (Tetra Tech, 2009); and the Troya mine, a carbonate hosted deposit, in Spain (Marqués and others, 2001). Data for Faro, an abandoned mine, include groundwater and surface-water geochemical data. The surface-water samples include pit water, drainage from waste and tailing piles, and major streams draining the area. Much of the drainage leaving the site is being treated prior to discharge while long-term remedial options are being evaluated. Data from Red Dog, an active mine, are from
monitoring sites around the active mine, and data from Troya, an inactive mine that has not been remediated, are from waters in and around the mine.

Mine drainage associated with sedex deposits shows a general, negative correlation between dissolved metals and pH for sulfate and most metals, such as Fe, aluminum (Al), Cu, Zn, Ni, Co, Cd, and Pb. The pH of these mine waters generally ranges between 5.5 and 8.5, which is a reflection of water treatment at Faro and Red Dog and of the neutralization of acid by carbonate host rocks at Troya. The low pH values seen at Faro generally represent surface waters and groundwaters that are upgradient from treatment facilities (fig. 16). Iron is typically a dominant cation, and sulfate is the dominant anion (figs. 16A, B). The correlations among pH, metals, and sulfate reflect acid generation dominantly through the aqueous, oxidative weathering of pyrite and associated ore sulfides. For the divalent metals, iron and aluminum, mine-drainage compositions overlap significantly with natural background compositions but extend to higher metal concentrations and lower pH values (figs. 14, 16). Increases in total dissolved base metals and iron in mine drainage generally correlate with increases in pyrite content, decreases in acid-neutralizing capacity, and increases in base-metal content of the waste material (Plumlee and others, 1999). The presence of anoxic conditions in tailings and other waste piles, however, may result in seepage waters that have high concentrations of iron at near-neutral pH values because the iron is predominantly in the more soluble ferrous state than in the less soluble ferric state (Seal and others, 2001b). This effect can be seen at Faro, where the groundwater concentrations for dissolved iron are higher than those for surface water at equivalent pH values.

The geochemistry of mine drainage from sedex deposits shows clear evidence of primary controls based on deposit type, as well as mineralogical controls for individual metals (Seal and Hammarstrom, 2003). In terms of dissolved Cu and Zn, the metals correlate positively, with sedex deposit types falling at distinctly high ranges of Zn:Cu ratios compared to most other types of massive sulfide deposits (fig. 17). The ratios of the metals dissolved in drainage waters are directly related to the primary chemical composition of the ores. The Zn:Cu ratios (mass basis) for waters associated with sedex deposits range from approximately 10:1 to 1,000:1.

Drainage compositions associated with sedex deposits further illustrate the importance of pre-mining baseline characterization. The pre-mining water-chemistry data available for the Alaskan deposits Red Dog, Lik, and Drenchwater reflect the predominance of silicilastic host rocks through the low pH values found in some samples; as discussed above, many of these samples have concentrations of trace metals that exceed water-quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic organisms (Kelley and Taylor, 1997). Mine water on the site can also exceed water-quality guidelines. For example, water pumped from the pit at Red Dog during current mining typically has a pH of approximately 3.5, TDS between 7 and 14 grams per liter (g/L), dissolved Zn between 1 and 2 g/L, dissolved Fe between 250 and 1,000 mg/L, and elevated concentrations of total As, Cd, and Cu (Tetra Tech, 2009).

Surface water from monitoring sites around the active mine shows a significantly more restricted range of pH values (16 to 17; fig. 16) than pre-mining samples from the Brooks Range deposits (2.5 to 8.5; fig. 3). The range of dissolved metal concentrations locally can exceed the generic water-quality guidelines (fig. 16), but the range of values is essentially the same as natural pre-mining conditions (fig. 14).

Water-chemistry data available for the Troya deposit, Spain, are for mine drainage from a deposit hosted by carbonate rocks. Despite having been disturbed by mining, the drainage from Troya has a higher pH and lower base-metal concentrations than the pre-mining drainage surrounding the Red Dog deposit (figs. 14, 16). The neutral pH associated with mine drainage from Troya clearly reflects the influence of the carbonate host rocks. In contrast, the lower pH and higher metal concentrations of background waters surrounding Red Dog highlight the combined effects of limited acid-neutralizing potential of the black shale host rocks and enhanced sulfide weathering due to surface exposure of a massive sulfide body.

### Pit Lakes

Studies of pit lakes associated with sedex deposits have not been identified. However, hypothetical pit-water quality at Red Dog has been estimated from current mine-sump water quality (Tetra Tech, 2009). Representative concentrations of the Main Pit Sump at Red Dog have a range of pH from 3 to 5, TDS from 3.3 to 11.2 g/L, sulfate from 1.9 to 7.4 g/L, total As of as much as 0.98 mg/L, total Cd from 3 to 99 mg/L, total Cu from 0.19 to 3.8 mg/L, total Pb from 0.5 to 47 mg/L, and total Zn from 336 to 11,200 mg/L.

### Ecosystem Issues

Ecosystem risks associated with sedex deposits are predominantly from acid-mine drainage, which targets aquatic environments, and associated dissolved trace elements, principally Fe, Zn, Cd, and Pb. The oxidative weathering of pyrite (FeS$_2$) is described by above reactions 1 to 5. The lower pH values generated by the oxidation of pyrite enhance the solubility of base metals such as Cu, Zn, Cd, Co, Ni, and Pb, and the ability of the solutions to attack silicate-gangue minerals, thus liberating Al, Mn, and other elements. Most metals show greater solubility at lower pH, but aluminum and ferric iron have solubility minima at circum-neutral pH, with greater solubility at both lower and higher pH. Once liberated, the metals and acidity can affect downstream aquatic ecosystems. Metal contamination can also be dispersed downstream by the erosion and transport of tailings, which subsequently release metals to the water column.

The toxicity of the metals to aquatic ecosystems is dependent on water hardness; higher concentrations of metals are needed to exceed toxicity limits at higher hardness values (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006). Hardness is a
measure of the concentrations of dissolved Ca and Mg and is expressed in terms of an equivalent concentration of CaCO$_3$, typically in milligrams per liter. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006) has presented hardness-dependent expressions for both acute (one-hour exposure) and chronic (four-day exposure) toxicity for Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn and limits independent of hardness for cyanide, Al, As, Fe, Hg, and Se (table 2).

Fugitive dust, which is windblown dust derived from mining operations or from trucks transporting ore concentrates, has been identified as an important issue associated with the Red Dog mine (Hasselbach and others, 2005; Kelley and Hudson, 2007). Detailed sampling of soils and moss along the 75-km haul-road corridor from the Red Dog mine to the coast reveals elevated concentrations of Cd and Pb. Concentrations are highest within 10 m of the haul road, but anomalous concentrations have been documented as far as 25 km away from the road (Hasselbach and others, 2005).

Atmospheric contamination can also occur because highly pyritic-pyrrhotitic orebodies that are exposed to oxidation by air circulating through open adits, manways, and exploration drill holes may evolve sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) gas. In some cases, spontaneous combustion can cause sulfide ore to burn, as has been documented at the Sullivan mine (Brown and Miller, 1977; Good, 1977). Hot muck, the spontaneous combustion of high-sulfide ore in mine workings, is an environmental concern associated with processing ore from deposits with abundant pyrrhotite in the orebodies. The primary environmental concern is evolved SO$_2$. Fires are ignited by the build-up of heat in stock piles caused by ore oxidation or may be triggered by blasting in areas of previously broken ore. Periodically, air emission can exceed 9.5 mg/kg SO$_2$ (Brown and Miller, 1977; Good, 1977), and SO$_2$ release can acidify water in areas downwind from the release site. Because hot muck is easily avoided by using proper blasting techniques, it does not pose significant risks in modern mining operations.

**Human Health Issues**

Human-health effects of sedex deposits are generally associated with either the inhalation or the ingestion of metals. Metals can be ingested either through drinking water or as particulates. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2009) has set primary maximum contaminant limits for Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Pb, Se, and Zn for drinking water (table 2).

Risks are generally related to ingestion of Pb-rich mine waste through incidental contact. Studies have demonstrated that the bioavailability of lead in the digestive tract varies according to mineralogy. Lead in galena (PbS) and anglesite (PbSO$_4$) is considered to be less bioavailable than lead in cerussite (PbCO$_3$) (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1999). In mine waste associated with sedex deposits, galena may weather to form either anglesite or cerussite. Anglesite is more likely to form in association with deposits with siliciclastic host rocks, whereas cerussite is more likely to form associated with carbonate-hosted deposits, such as Rampura-Agucha in Rajasthan, India, where galena in the gossan zone weathers to both anglesite and the more bioavailable cerussite (Shah, 2004).

Fugitive dust, as documented to be associated with the Red Dog mine, represents another medium for dispersing metals such as Pb, Zn, and Cd away from mining industrial areas. For all lead-bearing deposits, the fine grinding required for concentration by flotation increases the risk of inhalation because of the airborne transport of lead-bearing dust. This phenomenon is most likely to occur in semiarid to arid regions in which strong winds prevail.
Table 2. Maximum environmental guidelines for contaminants relevant to mineral deposits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Residential soil mg/kg EPA1</th>
<th>Industrial soil mg/kg EPA1</th>
<th>Drinking water μg/L EPA1</th>
<th>Drinking water μg/L WHO1</th>
<th>Acute toxicity μg/L EPA1</th>
<th>Chronic toxicity μg/L EPA1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>77,000</td>
<td>990,000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>570*</td>
<td>74*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>13*</td>
<td>11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65*</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>310,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>120*</td>
<td>120*</td>
<td>120*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Maximum contaminant levels; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2009.
2Maximum contaminant levels; World Health Organization, 2008.
3Maximum and continuous concentrations; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006.

*Hardness-dependent water-quality standards (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006); value is based on a hardness of 100 milligrams per liter CaCO₃.

In semiarid to arid areas, the human-health risks associated with Hg are more likely related to drinking-water supplies that are obtained from shallow groundwaters. At the Zn-rich sedex deposits in arid Rajasthan, India, the common usage of shallow-dug wells as drinking-water sources in the vicinity of mines represents a potential, but unproven, human-health risk; the surficial weathering of mine wastes, particularly during the rainy season, may liberate metals to the local groundwater. In the case of sedex ores, the most likely metals of concern in drinking water are Pb, Zn, Cd, As, and Tl, in addition to Hg.

An additional human-health risk has been documented at the Sullivan mine, where four fatalities occurred in May 2006 in the vicinity of a waste-rock dump (Dawson and others, 2009). The deaths were due to oxygen deprivation in an enclosed shed used to monitor the discharge and chemistry of a toe drain at the base of a waste pile; the decreased oxygen concentrations were attributed to sulfide oxidation in the waste pile (Dawson and others, 2009; Hockley and others, 2009; Lahmira and others, 2009).

**Climate Effects on Geoenvironmental Signatures**

Climate plays a particularly important role in the potential environmental impact of mines that exploit sedex deposits. Its effect, however, is difficult to quantify systematically because insufficient data are available for a wide spectrum of climatic settings. Sedex deposits are located in diverse climatic settings, from tropical Australian settings (such as McArthur River [HYC] and Mount Isa), to temperate settings in North America (such as Balmat, N.Y.), to permafrost settings in northern Alaska and Canada (such as Red Dog) (Leach and others, 2005b). Nevertheless, temperature and humidity are the prime variables that control evaporation. Evaporation can be expected to limit the amount of water in semiarid climates and can concentrate solutes in all climates. Acidity and total metal concentrations in mine drainage in arid environments are typically several orders of magnitude greater than those in temperate climates because of the concentrating effects of the evaporation of mine effluent and the resulting storage of metals and acidity in highly soluble metal-sulfate salt minerals. Minimal surface-water flow in these areas inhibits the generation of significant volumes of highly acidic, metal- enriched drainage. The concentrated release of stored contaminants to local watersheds may be initiated by precipitation following a dry spell. In wet climates, high water tables may reduce exposure of abandoned orebodies to oxidation and may continually flush existing tailings and mine dumps. Although metal-laden acidic mine water does form, it may be diluted to benign metal abundances within several hundred meters of the mine by mixing with a higher order stream.

Humidity-cell tests on mine tailings from the Red Dog mine provide some of the best insights into the role of climate on the behavior of sedex mine wastes. The humidity-cell tests were conducted at room temperature and under refrigerated conditions to assess the role of colder Alaskan climates on the weathering of mine wastes (Tetra Tech, 2009). The pH of the leachates from the room-temperature experiments reached a steady state of approximately pH 2 after one year, whereas the refrigerated experiments reached a steady state of approximately pH 4 after two years. Likewise, the steady-state concentration of sulfate in the leachates was approximately 1,000 mg/L for the room-temperature experiments and 100 mg/L for the refrigerated experiments. Thus, temperature has an important effect on the rate of weathering of sedex mine wastes.
Knowledge Gaps and Future Directions

Ore genesis research during the past 40 years has fostered the preparation of robust descriptive and genetic models for sedex deposits. These studies have dramatically improved our understanding of fluid and metal sources, metal-transporting ligands, plumbing systems, and physical and chemical traps responsible for metal accumulation. Characteristics of sedex deposits outlined in this report identify those geologic criteria essential to deposit formation. Moreover, the deposit model described herein ranks these geologic criteria using both empirical data and a genetic understanding of physicochemical, geologic, and mass balance parameters, to better evaluate the hydrothermal processes and sedimentary basin dynamics that control the number, size, and location of deposits within permissive tracts. While many sedex ore-formation processes are well understood, the synthesis presented here identifies several broad research topics listed below that, if addressed in meaningful ways, would further refine our understanding of sedex deposit genesis and enhance our ability to assess the unknown resource potential of diverse sedimentary basins.

- Direct study of sedex ore fluids has been limited. A few robust fluid inclusion microthermometry studies demonstrate that sedex deposits form as a consequence of warm saline brine circulation. New solute analyses of sedex fluid inclusions presented in this report define ore formation processes, sources of dissolved salt and water, and water/rock reactions that were critical to the geneses of these enormous ore-forming systems. However, as demonstrated by this model, new methods for quantifying fluid inclusion chemistry (that is, laser ablation inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry, raman, and ion chromatography) have the potential to provide new geochemical and mass-balance constraints that would further revolutionize our understanding of sedex deposit genesis.

- One of the main findings of the synthesis is that sedex deposits, because of their enormous size, require geologic processes that operate at the basin scale. Integration of stratigraphic, biostratigraphic, sedimentologic, and structural architecture data for sedex deposit-hosting basins have been critical to determining the processes responsible for deposit genesis. Conducting similar multidisciplinary studies focused on understanding the interplay between basin dynamics and ore formation will augment and further refine this new model. For example, the new density-driven fluid-flow model described herein involves the apparent synchronicity between seawater evaporation on the basin platform, formation of MVT/Irish type deposits on basin margins, and deposition of sedex ores in deeper basin environments. Developing better stratigraphic control in ore-forming basins would corroborate observed correlations between ore formation and basinwide organic-rich metalliferous black shales and PO₄, Ba, Fe, and Mn deposits, thus confirming the occurrence of these deposits as indicators of stratigraphic intervals with high mineral potential. Moreover, establishing better stratigraphic correlations would support regional geochemical zoning studies that might enhance our ability to vector towards deposits.

- The hydrologic processes that drove the hydrothermal systems responsible for sedex deposits are not well known. Sources of energy, heat transport and transfer processes, and geodynamic processes driving these basin-scale systems remain obscure. By integrating increasingly sophisticated numerical fluid-flow models, with constraints from fluid inclusion research, and robust stratigraphy and structural reconstructions, fluid-flow models ostensibly responsible for the formation of these deposits can be further evaluated and refined. Moreover, once these systems are better understood and integrated with appropriate numerical fluid-flow models, the accuracy of quantitative mineral assessments will increase significantly.
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