Poster Session



Building a Hydrology Science Plan for the Arctic Landscape Conservation Cooperative

B.T. Crosby, Arctic LCC Hydrology Work Group

Abstract

The Arctic Landscape Conservation Cooperative (LCC), administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, was one of the first LCCs to receive funding. As a consequence, this LCC bears the significant responsibility of building a framework for both science and implementation that other Alaskan and national LCCs will look to for guidance. Along with a suite of other science and management concerns, the Arctic LCC must address a diverse and abundant suite of hydrologic questions. These questions are complicated in the Arctic by the strong climatic and process gradients between the coastal wetlands and the glaciated landscape of the Brooks Range. Monitoring and implementation of management decisions is also complicated by the difficulty and cost of access in a region bisected by only one road. These challenges are buffered by a suite of existing and forthcoming observational sites spanning this gradient and supported by a diverse group of academic and agency scientists. Potential for continuing hydrologic work and receiving funding in the future is high given the rapid rate and dramatic scale of changes occurring in the far north. During 2011 and 2012, the Arctic LCC Hydrology Work Group will formulate three documents that will serve the LCC community and beyond: (1) the Arctic LCC Hydrology Science Plan, (2) the Arctic LCC Hydrologic Science Implementation Plan, and (3) a Report on Common LCC Hydrologic Concerns and Coordination Opportunities. Data synthesis and distribution will be facilitated through the use of new tools such as the Hydrologic Information System developed by the Consortium of Universities for the Advancement of Hydrologic Science, Inc., and other spatial data clearinghouses.

Crosby is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Geosciences at Idaho State University, 921 S. 8th Ave, Stop 8072, Pocatello, ID 83209-8072. Email: crosby@isu.edu. The Arctic LCC Hydrology Work Group is a large, diverse group of agency and academic scientists guiding the LCC hydrology documentation.

Renewable Energy Locations for Existing and Potential Facilities within BLM Leased Land

S. Davis, C. Doughty

Abstract

In 2009, secretarial orders directed the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to prioritize the development of renewable energy on public lands to reduce the nation's dependence on foreign oil and to reduce greenhouse gas pollution.

The Western Governors Association (WGA) collaborated with numerous stakeholders to develop the Western Renewable Energy Zones, identifying areas in 11 western states with vast renewable energy resources. The next steps support commercial transmission projects and their markets along with building interstate cooperation for transmission approvals, cost recoveries, and the evaluation of transmission strategies. A goal will be to facilitate the development of high voltage transmission to areas with high renewable energy and low or easily mitigated environmental effects.

The processing of renewable energy applications (existing and potential) for solar and wind applications and transmission lines were improved by providing complete, accurate, and easily accessible source documents, records, digital data, and map products. Environmental analysis included balancing the benefits of renewable energy with the needs to protect other resources such as crucial wildlife habitat. Data on census records, cities, roads, and wildfire-urban interface areas show areas of increased community growth.


The potential energy records are derived from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory models provided by the WGA. The probability classification system relates to electricity that could be produced (1500 MW of solar or wind and 500 MW of geothermal generating capacity), and that is needed to support the delivery of energy to major centers. The U.S. Geological Survey provided estimates for the geothermal energy sites.

The Western Interconnection is the electricity grid that includes the western states and is overseen by the Western Electricity Coordinating Council. Additional information is available at http://www.westgov.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=219&Itemid=81.

Davis is with the Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 25047, Bldg. 50, Lakewood, CO 80225. Doughty, Premier Data Services, Inc. 15000 W. 64th Ave., Arvada, CO 80007. Email: scott_davis@blm.gov, carolee.doughty@premierdata.com.

Renewable Energy Locations

Existing and Potential Facilities located within Bureau of Land Management Leased Land



- Geothermal Energy Sites
- Electronic Transmission Lines (EIA)
- Potential Community Growth

This map was prepared March 4, 2010.

The data should be used for reference only.

Renewable Energy Datasets

Solar Facilities
(13,214,217.7 Total Acres)

EXISTING	POTENTIAL
2,106,496.4 Acres	11,107,721.3 Acres
219 Records (LR2000)	

Wind Facilities
(8,014,165.1 Total Acres)

EXISTING	POTENTIAL
3,736,416.5 Acres	4,277,748.6 Acres
430 Records (LR2000)	

The Existing Energy records were extracted from the LR2000 database (PDSStudio) then plotted using the CarteView application in ArcGIS. The LR2000 Existing Energy records have a disposition of Authorized or Pending. Data Source: Premier Data Services, July 2009

The Potential Energy records are derived from NREL models provided by the Western Governors Association. The displayed Potential Energy polygons are located on surface land that is managed by the BLM. These polygons have a probability classification of 4 or greater.

Premier
15000 W. 64th Avenue
Arvada, Colorado 80007
1-800-210-9100
www.premierdata.com

An Investigation of Carbon Dynamics in Beaver Creek, Alaska, Using in situ Sensors

Mark M. Dornblaser, Robert G. Striegl, Heather Best

Abstract

Carbon dioxide (pCO_2), chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), and water-quality sensors were deployed at two remote sites on subarctic Beaver Creek, Alaska, to characterize carbon dynamics during the open water season of 2010. Beaver Creek is a tributary of the Yukon River, with nearly half of its 300-mile length classified as a National Wild and Scenic River. Beaver Creek above Victoria Creek (BCV) drains 3,315 km^2 and receives water inputs primarily from the White Mountains and other headwater catchments. Beaver Creek near Michel Lake (BCM) drains 6,164 km^2 and is located 180 km downriver from BCV in the Yukon Flats. The location of the sites permitted the study of lake and wetland inputs between the sites. Seasonal pCO_2 ranged from approx. 1,000 to 2,200 ppm at BCV and from approx. 600 to 1,200 ppm at BCM. Diel pCO_2 variations were as high as 500 ppm at BCV and 200 ppm at BCM. Both sites were supersaturated in pCO_2 with respect to atmospheric levels for the entire open water season. CO_2 fluxes from water to atmosphere ranged from 38 to 52 moles/m^2/yr at BCV and from 58 to 98 moles/m^2/yr at BCM. While rapidly changing river levels resulted in sensors being exposed to the atmosphere for varying periods of time, the use of these in situ sensors provided a means to explore C dynamics on scales that would be impossible to investigate with random discreet sampling in this remote area of Alaska.

Dornblaser and Striegl are with the National Research Program, U.S. Geological Survey, 3215 Marine St., Suite E-127, Boulder, CO 80303. Best is with U.S. Geological Survey, 3400 Shell St., Fairbanks, AK 99701. Email: mmdornbl@usgs.gov, rstriegl@usgs.gov, hbest@usgs.gov.

The Yukon River Basin Indigenous Observation Network: Preliminary Results from Baseline Datasets Highlighting Climate Variation Indicators

L.M. Mackey, C. Thomas, R. Toohey, P.F. Schuster, N. Herman-Mercer, Indigenous Observation Network Technicians

Abstract

Long-term datasets have proven to be indispensable in documenting trends in systems and identifying drivers that cause noticeable shifts from baseline conditions. These datasets are becoming increasingly important as changing climate begins to alter the livelihoods of people around the world. The livelihoods of Indigenous populations, whom rely on subsistence resources, will likely be the first to feel the more substantial effects of a changing climate. The Yukon River Inter-Tribal Watershed Council (YRITWC) Science Department was developed to address increasing concerns about observed changes and the health of the Yukon River voiced by Indigenous Peoples living in the Yukon River Basin (YRB). As a result, the YRITWC Science Department has partnered with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and over 20 Alaska Native Tribes and Canadian First Nations to create the largest international Indigenous Observation Network (ION) in the world. This partnership is generating a long-term baseline dataset of water quality parameters to investigate evidence of climate change through changes in water chemistry. In 2001, the USGS initiated a five-year comprehensive water quality study throughout the YRB. In 2005, the YRITWC began collaboration with the USGS and local Tribal environmental coordinators to develop a seamless continuation water quality monitoring for the YRB that extends to the present day.

The foundation of the YRITWC is the participation and support of Tribes and First Nations within the YRB in Alaska and Canada. Interested participants from the Tribes and First Nations were trained to collect water samples and follow protocols in accordance with USGS method standards. Water quality parameters including pH, alkalinity, major ions, dissolved organic carbon, greenhouse gases, and water isotopes have been collected from 45 sites during 2001–2010. Of these sites, five have been continuously sampled since 2001. The ION expanded sampling efforts to include simultaneous biweekly collections from widely distributed sites on the Yukon River and tributaries by the indigenous technicians. From these data we have been able to detect natural trends in the river system, document major tributary influence over the course of the Yukon River, observe river seasonality from break-up to freeze-up, and calculate loads of chemical constituents.

The strong relationships and the high quality of data developed during the water quality study spurred the collaborative Active Layer Network (ALN) project in 2009. The long term ALN project monitors systematic changes in active layer thickness with correlating soil temperature and moisture analysis. Over the course of two years 20 ALN sites were installed in locations across the YRB. Each site contains a marked monitoring grid (50 m×50 m) that serves as a location for annual measurements of the active layer during maximum thaw, a set of soil moisture and soil temperature sensors placed just above permafrost and just below soil surface levels, and an air temperature sensor. The YRITWC and the USGS train the ION technicians to make the manual measurements and download the air temperature, soil temperature, and soil moisture data from each of the sites. Active layer grid measurement and accompanying data have been added to the online international Circumpolar Active Layer Monitoring (CALM) database. Though it is still early in the study, preliminary results are proving to be valuable components of the project's long-term strength and sustainability. Preliminary data for selected climate-sensitive water quality parameters and ALN datasets will be presented in a poster.

Mackey is a biologist with environmental focus in the Science Department (2008), Thomas is an environmental scientist (2009), and Toohey is the Science Department Manager (2011), all with the Yukon River Inter-Tribal Watershed Council, 323 2nd Street, Fairbanks, AK 99712 and 725 Christensen Dr., Anchorage, AK 99501. Schuster is a research hydrologist and Herman-Mercer is a social scientist, both with the U.S. Geological Survey National Research Program, 3215 Marine Street, Boulder, CO 80303. Over 100 ION technicians are trained throughout the YRB. Email: lmackey@yritwc.org, cthomas@yritwc.org, rtoohey@yritwc.org, pschuste@usgs.gov, nhmercer@usgs.gov.

Evaluating Cumulative Effects of Logging and Potential Climate Change on Dry-Season Flow in a Coast Redwood Forest

Leslie M. Reid, Jack Lewis

Abstract

Comparisons based on pretreatment calibrations between summer flows and antecedent precipitation indices (APIs) at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds show increased dry-season flow for 8 yr after selective logging, followed by at least 27 yr of depressed flow. In contrast, summer flow in a partially clearcut watershed remained higher than expected for 18 yr after logging. The API-based models were used to evaluate the effects of selected climate change scenarios when combined with logging-related hydrologic changes, with the effects assumed to act independently. Changes in rainfall late in the wet season have a disproportionate effect on dry-season flows, while autumn rains have little effect.

Keywords: cumulative effect, low flow, climate change, logging, instream flow

Introduction and Study Area

Low-flow changes associated with forest management can affect downstream water supply and alter habitat quality for instream biota. Quantification of the long-term effects of various silvicultural strategies on dry-season flows would provide information needed to assure desired flow in target stream reaches. However, such an analysis requires lengthy flow records after silvicultural treatments and also generally requires that analogous records be available from a control site. Although logging has long been known to augment runoff over the short term (Moore and Wondzell 2005), studies in the Pacific Northwest and elsewhere show that flow may eventually decline to below pretreatment levels as forest regrows (Hicks et al. 1991). Earlier work at the Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds in northwest California (Keppeler 1998, Figure 2) suggested that such a pattern may also have been emerging after selective logging of a coast redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*) forest.

Flow has been measured since 1962 at gaging weirs in the North and South Forks of Caspar Creek (Figure 1, 39°21' N., 123°44' W.). The 424-ha South Fork watershed underwent 67 percent volume-selection logging in 1971–73 for a study of the effects of tractor yarding. In 1985–86, about 13 percent of the 473-ha North Fork watershed was clearcut, and in 1989–92 an additional 37 percent was clearcut and mostly cable-yarded during a study of cumulative watershed effects. The 48-yr flow records thus provide an opportunity to compare summer flow responses to contrasting silvicultural strategies in a pair of matched watersheds.




Figure 1. Caspar Creek Experimental Watersheds.

Reid is a research geologist with the U.S. Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station, 1700 Bayview Drive, Arcata, CA 95521. Email: lreid@fs.fed.us. Lewis is a consulting hydrologic statistician, retired from PSW Research, 647 Elizabeth Drive, Arcata, CA. Email: jacklewis@suddenlink.net.

The watersheds are underlain by marine sandstones and siltstones, and most slopes are mantled by 0.5- to 1.5-m-deep clay-loam to loam soils, often with high gravel components. Annual rainfall averages 1,170 mm, and about half runs off as streamflow; snow is negligible. About 95 percent of the rain at Caspar Creek falls in October–May, a period that also accounts for 95 percent of runoff. The minimum flow usually occurs in early October, but most streams draining <20 ha are dry by June. Old-growth forest in the area was logged in the mid to late 1800s, and by 1960 the watersheds supported 60- to 100-yr-old second-growth stands dominated by coast redwood and Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*).

Anadromous coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) often spawn in the watersheds, but portions of the channel system used for summer rearing are constrained in part by the extent of dry-season flow. Salmonid populations have declined in the area, and there is concern that potential effects from broad-scale climate change may augment the effects of silviculturally-induced flow changes. We here adapt methods used by Reid (in press) to model the potential effects of altered rainfall regimes on dry-season flows at Caspar Creek when combined with changes due to selective and clearcut logging.

Methods

The South and North Fork weirs are concrete sharp-crested compound weirs, and flow has been measured there using a sequence of float gages (recorded using strip charts) and data-logged pressure transducers. For the first experiment, calibrations established between North and South Fork flows for the pre-logging period were used to estimate expected South Fork flows after logging, and the observed deviations from expected values allowed characterization of the initial South Fork dry-season flow response to selective logging (Keppeler 1998).

This analytical strategy was no longer useful after 1985, when logging began in the North Fork. In addition, because South Fork flows had not reliably returned to pretreatment levels by 1985, the North Fork now lacked a paired control watershed, and treatment effects from the new North Fork experiment thus could not be evaluated at the weir gage. The experimental design instead employed subwatersheds as controls, and the weir gage was not directly used in the experiment. Subwatershed gaging flumes were installed in 1984, and part of the watershed was logged soon after. Subwatersheds are not gaged during summer months because many of the gage sites run dry. Consequently, dry-season flow analysis must rely on gaging records from the North and South Fork weirs.

A method was thus needed to estimate expected dry-season flows at the weirs after the 1985 logging. Rainfall has been measured nearly continuously in the South Fork and in nearby Fort Bragg for the duration of the study period. If pretreatment flows at each weir could be predicted using the rainfall record, it would be possible to calculate expected flows after logging in the absence of a flow record from a control watershed.

Preliminary analyses suggested that an antecedent precipitation index (API) might be a useful predictor. The Fort Bragg gage provides the most complete record of summer rain, while winter rains are well represented by the South Fork gage. Summer rainfalls for events >0.6 mm are strongly correlated at the two gages ($SF\ Rain = 1.03\ FB\ Rain - 0.73$ mm, $r^2 = 0.89$). Smaller events generally represent fog drizzle, which is more common at coastal Fort Bragg than at the South Fork gage, located 6 km inland. We thus combined the October–May record from the South Fork with the June–September Fort Bragg record (with daily rainfalls <0.6 mm set to 0) to construct a continuous rainfall record from 1962 through 2008. A suite of APIs with recession coefficients ranging from 0.993 to 0.600 was then calculated from the rainfall record.

Late-summer flow data are unavailable for years when weir ponds were drained to remove sediment. For years with dry-season data, we selected three to five dates in August and September that had no rainfall during the previous 3 days, had <9 mm of rain during the previous 30 days, and, when possible, were more than 6 days apart. Mean daily flows on the selected dates during the pre-logging periods were then regressed against the suite of APIs to identify the API that best predicts observed flows at each site. The resulting calibrations allow estimation of expected August and September flows at the South Fork (L_{eS}, L/km^2-s) and North Fork (L_{eN}) weirs for pretreatment (unlogged) conditions:

$$L_{eS} = 0.0143\ API_{0.985} - 0.0320 \quad (1)$$

$$L_{eN} = 0.0272\ API_{0.977} + 0.0366 \quad (2)$$

with $API_{0.985}$ (mm) calculated using a recession coefficient of 0.985 and $API_{0.977}$ using a coefficient of 0.977 (Figure 2). Flow changes after treatment were then described using ratios of observed flows to those expected for forested conditions.




Figure 2. Calibration relations between late-summer flows and APIs in the (a) North Fork ($n = 46$, $r^2 = 0.87$) and (b) South Fork ($n = 22$, $r^2 = 0.94$).

We wanted to evaluate interactions between logging-related flow changes and those arising from potential climate change, but no significant trends in annual, spring, or autumn rainfall were evident over the 48-yr record, and climatic projections for the area are uncertain. We decided to test outcomes from six plausible rainfall regimes constructed by modifying the existing rainfall record to reflect altered annual rainfalls and changes in the seasonal rainfall distribution. We selected change scenarios within the observed range of variability so that they could reasonably be described by the API model. We did not consider indirect interactions between altered rainfall and logging effects, and we did not evaluate changes in other climatic attributes.

The 24 wettest years on record show an annual average 22 percent higher than the 48-yr average, so we constructed one 48-yr record by multiplying the recorded daily rainfalls by 1.22 and a second record by multiplying daily totals by 0.78. Rainfall in April and May accounts for an average of 10.4 percent of the annual rain over the 48-yr record and 14.9 percent during the 24 yr of that record with the highest percentages. We constructed a third record by increasing April–May daily rainfalls by a factor of 14.9/10.4 while multiplying rainfalls in other months by 85.1/89.6 to maintain the observed annual average. A fourth record was constructed by multiplying April–May rainfalls by 5.8/10.4 and rain in other months by 94.2/89.6.

Summer rainfall is minimal at Caspar Creek, with June and July accounting for only 1 percent of the annual rainfall. Years with lower than the median proportion of summer rain show a mean percentage 77 percent lower than average, so we constructed two additional records that reflect a 77 percent increase and decrease in June–July rainfall without modifying annual rainfall totals.

For each constructed 48-yr rainfall sequence, rainfall in August was set to 0 (a mean reduction of 6 mm), and values of $API_{0.977}$ and $API_{0.985}$ were calculated for September 1 of each year. Equations 1 and 2 were then used to estimate expected flow under unlogged conditions at each weir on that date for each of the six API sequences. The proportional logging-related changes in flow, having been defined as a function of time after clearcutting or selective logging, were then applied to the climatically altered flows predicted for unlogged conditions to estimate the combined effects of logging and hypothetical changes in rainfall.

Results and Discussion

Influence of Logging

Ratios between observed and expected flows show different post-logging trajectories for the partially clearcut and selectively logged watersheds (Figure 3). Late summer flows increased soon after selective logging began in the South Fork and remained high for 8 yr. By 1986, 15 yr after the 3-yr logging period began, flows had consistently dropped to below levels expected for unlogged conditions. Flows continued to drop until 1992, 21 yr after logging began. Flows have increased since then, but after 2000 they have remained slightly lower than pre-logging levels.




Figure 3. Late-summer flow changes after South Fork selective logging and North Fork clearcutting. Post-logging curves are fitted by loess regression. North Fork 12-yr and South Fork 30-yr values are considered outliers due to an unusual 50 mm/day June storm.

Flows took longer to respond after North Fork clearcutting, but over 11 yr the proportional increase reached a level similar to the maximum at the South Fork. The maximum mean increase at 11 yr is

equivalent to a 1.57 percent increase per percent of forest logged for the 50 percent clearcut North Fork (considering both the 1985–86 and 1989–92 logging), a rate 1.2 times the maximum 1.33 percent increase per percent of forest removed by 67 percent selection logging in the South Fork. North Fork flow again dropped to pretreatment levels by 19 yr after the onset of clearcutting, but the slope of the regression (Figure 3) suggests that further decline to below pretreatment levels might soon be expected.

The large difference in response patterns for selectively logged and partially clearcut watersheds probably reflects a difference in the distribution of trees that remain after logging. Before logging, potential transpiration in this area begins to exceed actual in late spring; by June, a mature forest could utilize more water than is available. In second-growth redwood forests, many of the trees originated as stump sprouts, so clusters of trees often share a common root system. Consequently, when neighboring trees are selectively logged, the remaining trees already have the plumbing in place to take advantage of soil moisture no longer tapped by the logged trees. After an initial rise, dry-season flow thus dropped quickly to pretreatment levels as the remaining trees used up excess moisture and then continued to drop while the newly established cohort of young trees grew larger.

In contrast, on a clearcut slope, the nearest trees are off the site, and significant regrowth of foliage must occur onsite before excess soil moisture can be fully used. Dry-season flow thus remains elevated longer than in the selectively logged watershed. In addition, effects in the North Fork clearcuts are likely to have been renewed in 1995–96 by herbicide application and in 1998 and 2001 by precommercial thinning.

The contrast in initial response times may reflect differences in the distribution of logging: South Fork logging began near the weir and progressed upstream, while North Fork logging began at the headwaters. Because buffer strips left the North Fork riparian zone largely undisturbed, root networks along the full channel length could utilize the hyporheic flow associated with initial increases in dry-season runoff

Combined Effects of Logging and Climate

Of the three kinds of rainfall change modeled for the South Fork, the 22 percent change in annual rainfall produces the largest effect (Figure 4). A shift to a rainfall regime having an average annual rainfall equivalent to that of the driest 50 percent of years in the study period would lead to a 23 percent reduction in the 10^{th} percentile September 1 flow under unlogged conditions. By 21 yr after selective logging, the 10^{th} percentile flow declines to 41 percent of unlogged levels, compared to 54 percent under the present rainfall regime. For unlogged conditions under the current regime, a September 1 flow <0.55 L/km^2-s is expected an average of once in 5 yr, while lower flows would be expected nearly twice as often during the 36-yr post-logging period. Such a decrease in average flow for the post-logging period would be similar to that expected from a 10 percent decrease in mean annual rainfall under unlogged conditions.

Because recent rains affect API more strongly than earlier ones, a shift in seasonal rainfall distribution can influence dry-season flows even if annual rainfall does not change (Figure 4B). In this case, a 44 percent reduction of spring rainfall (and corresponding increases of rainfall in other months to preserve the annual average) would reduce the 10^{th} percentile flow at 21 yr after selection logging to 47 percent of forested levels.

Because of the disproportionate influence of late rainfall, a 2.5 percent increase in annual rainfall, if it occurred only by increased May rain (equivalent to an 81 percent increase in May rain), would affect September 1 flows as much as a 10 percent change distributed through the year (Figure 5). Similar calculations for other months indicate the same effect would be attained by 6.3 and 16 percent increases in annual rainfall if restricted to March and January, respectively; these would correspond to 46 and 83 percent increases in March and January rain. In effect, rainfall occurring after February has considerably more influence on dry-season flow than that occurring earlier in the wet season.

A 77 percent reduction of June–July rainfall, with no change in annual rainfall, produces a calculated flow reduction of about half of that caused by the 44 percent decrease in April–May rain. However, the actual influence of altered summer rainfall is uncertain. Some evidence (Figure 3) suggests that summer rainfall may have less effect on dry-season flows than the API-based models predict, possibly because after a seasonal soil moisture deficit accumulates, a higher proportion of rainfall may be stored in the soil and transpired before it contributes to runoff. Field experience suggests that Caspar Creek hydrographs begin to show their characteristic responses to wet-season rainfall only after about 200 mm of autumn rain has fallen.




Figure 4. Modeled response of September 1 weir flows to logging and hypothetical rainfall changes. *Upper left:* Comparison of flow for which 10 percent of September 1 South Fork flows are lower for (A) a 22 percent increase and decrease in annual rainfall and (B) a 44 percent increase or decrease in April–May rainfall with no change in annual rainfall. *Upper right:* Comparison of calculated frequencies for September 1 South Fork flow <0.55 L/km^2-s (5-yr return interval) for the same changes in (C) annual and (D) spring rainfall. *Lower left:* Analogous plots for 10th percentile North Fork Caspar Creek September 1 flows after clearcut logging for the same changes in (E) annual and (F) spring rainfall. *Lower right:* Calculated frequencies for a September 1 North Fork flow <0.44 L/km^2-s (2-yr return interval) for the same changes in (G) annual and (H) spring rainfall.




Figure 5. Influence of rainfall timing on September 1 flows. For example, a 1 percent change in annual rainfall that occurs through altered May rainfall has an effect on South Fork flow equivalent to that of a 4 percent change distributed through the year, while a 1 percent change restricted to February is equivalent to a 1 percent distributed change.

Modeling of the same hypothetical changes in rainfall regime evaluated above, but this time in combination with clearcut logging, can be carried out only for the initial 19-yr period of flow increase at the North Fork (Figure 4). Under unlogged conditions, dry-season flows are lower per unit area at the North Fork, so although the 10^{th} percentile flows increase by a maximum factor of 1.8 at both sites, the magnitudes differ (Figure 4). For the North Fork, too, seasonal redistribution of rainfall can affect the post-logging response even without a change in annual rainfall, and the relative influence of rainfall late in the wet season is even more pronounced for the North Fork than for the South Fork (Figure 5).

Conclusions

Data from Caspar Creek show that partial clearcutting in a coast redwood forest, in combination with forestry activities that often ensue, produced a larger (per unit area of clearcut equivalent) and lengthier increase in dry-season flow than selective logging. Results also show a long period of dry-season flow depression after selective logging. Data are not yet available to determine whether the partially clearcut watershed will also undergo a period of reduced flow.

A variety of climatic projections suggest that major changes in annual rainfall are unlikely in the area, though an analysis by Madej (p. 40, this volume) indicates that the seasonal distribution of rainfall may be shifting. Calculations of the combined effects of logging and potential changes in rainfall regime indicate that a change in the proportion of rainfall that occurs late in the wet season may augment or reduce dry-season flows, in concert or opposition to logging effects, even if mean annual rainfall does not change.

Although we have considered only changes in rainfall regime, other kinds of climatic change may be important in the region, such as altered frequency of summer fogs and associated changes in dry-season temperature. Should conditions become warmer in the region, the major climatic contribution to an effect on summer flows may well be indirect. Increased temperatures during the growing season increase the water demands of crops, leading to increases in water diversions for irrigation. If such extractions are superimposed on a period of flow depression following logging, changes in dry-season flow might become of particular concern.

The contrasting patterns of flow change after selective and clearcut logging may allow design of watershed-scale silvicultural strategies to lessen risks of adverse dry-season flow changes in stream reaches of concern. In any case, it may be useful to employ a variety of silvicultural strategies well-dispersed through time and space to avoid synchronizing dry-season flow responses in watersheds where altered low flows may adversely affect beneficial uses in downstream reaches.

Acknowledgments

This study is part of the Caspar Creek research program conducted since 1960 by the U.S. Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station and the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. We thank Peter Cafferata, John Griffen, and Michael Furniss for reviews.

References

Hicks, B.J., R.L. Beschta, and R.D. Harr. 1991. Long-term changes in streamflow following logging in western Oregon and associated fisheries implications. Water Resources Bulletin 27:217–226.

Keppeler, E.T. 1998. The summer flow and water yield response to timber harvest. In R.R. Ziemer, tech. coord. Proceedings of the Conference on Coastal Watersheds: The Caspar Creek Story, pp. 35–43. U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, General Technical Report PSW GTR-168.

Moore, R.D., and S.M. Wondzell. 2005. Physical hydrology and the effects of forest harvesting in the Pacific Northwest: A review. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 41:764–784.

Reid, L.M. In press. Comparing hydrologic responses to tractor-yarded selection and cable-yarded clearcut logging in a coast redwood forest. In Proceedings of a Symposium, Coast Redwood Forests in a Changing California, Santa Cruz, CA, 21–23 June 2011. U.S. Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, General Technical Report.

Making Long Term Watershed Research Accessible

K.J. Cole, D.E. James and J.D. Obrecht

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Ames, IA & GIS Support and Research Facility, Iowa State University

USDA Agricultural Research Service

Conservation Effects Assessment Project

Abstract

The USDA's Agricultural Research Service (ARS) has conducted watershed-scale research since the 1930's. Recent efforts have been made to compile the independently collected research data collections into a system that would help in data preservation and facilitate research within and across watersheds with diverse collaborators. The driving force for the database project has been the Conservation Effects Assessment Project (CEAP) conducted in cooperation with the NRCS. The system that was developed (STEWARDS) uses an enterprise relational database and geospatial web server. The most challenging design issue involved the creation of a metadata system that would fully describe the data collections used in the watershed projects. The resulting metadata describes research methods, site descriptions, and spatial data. The methods cataloging system uses a data structure that was adapted from the National Environmental Methods Index (NEMI) as a searchable compendium of environmental methods. The implementation of the database system has elucidated some challenges. Compiling historic research data is very time consuming and there can be resource and technology limitations with the data producers. Management has to be supportive and provide consistent focus. Data policies need to be specific and part of research plans.


Description and Data Holdings

- Data were obtained from ARS CEAP long-term research watersheds. Themes include: hydrology, meteorology, land use, water-quality, soil quality, agronomic practices, and economics.
- The data table contains unique records based on the pairing of the Site ID and Date/Time stamp.
- A data-definition table maps to the unique columns of the data table. The definition table identifies the unique columns for the Site ID, Date/Time stamp, individual parameters, methods, and comments associated with the parameters (Fig. 1).
- Application was created using ArcGIS Server 9.3.1 and ArcGIS API for Flex (Fig. 2).
- The STEWARDS database consists of approximately 7 million records (Fig. 3).
- Thirteen ARS CEAP watersheds have contributed records to date.
- Data are added from the watersheds periodically.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the ARS team of J.L. Hatfield, G.J. Wilson, J.L. Steiner, E.J. Sadler and B.C. Vandenberg, who were instrumental in the development and support of the database system.

We would also like to thank the data providers who contributed to the STEWARDS system.

To access STEWARDS: http://www.ars.usda.gov/watersheds/stewards



	COL1	COL2	COL3	COL4	COL5	COL6	COL7	COL8	COL9
	1ABC264	6/15/2001 12:0:...	99	24.4	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.23	NSTL_PM10	AEE
	1ABC264	7/3/2001 12:00:...	99	AEE	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.04	NSTL_PM10	AEE
	1ABC264	7/5/2001 12:00:...	99	26.3	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	AEE	NSTL_PM10	AEE
	1ABC264	7/16/2001 12:0:...	99	16.2	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.09	NSTL_PM10	0.050
	1ABC264	8/16/2001 12:0:...	99	4.1	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.13	NSTL_PM10	0.030
	1ABC264	3/26/2002 7:50:...	99	15.9	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.01	NSTL_PM10	0.005
	1ABC264	4/2/2002 11:30:...	99	15.1	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.01	NSTL_PM10	0.040
	1ABC264	4/9/2002 10:10:...	99	14.5	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.01	NSTL_PM10	0.030
	1ABC264	4/16/2002 10:1...	99	15.5	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.01	NSTL_PM10	AEE
	1ABC264	4/23/2002 8:52:...	99	16.8	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.01	NSTL_PM10	0.030
	1ABC264	4/30/2002 9:45:...	99	22.3	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.07	NSTL_PM10	0.030
	1ABC264	5/7/2002 9:26:0...	99	21.4	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.01	NSTL_PM10	0.005
	1ABC264	5/14/2002 10:0:...	99	24.2	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.06	NSTL_PM10	0.050
	1ABC264	5/21/2002 10:1:...	99	24.7	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.05	NSTL_PM10	0.030
	1ABC264	5/28/2002 11:5:...	99	23.7	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.05	NSTL_PM10	0.020
	1ABC264	6/3/2002 11:30:0...	99	24.4	NSTL_PM10	NSTL_WQ1.1	0.05	NSTL_PM10	0.030
	1ABC264	6/11/2...							
	1ABC264	6/18/2...							
	1ABC264	6/25/2...							
	1ABC264	7/2/20...							
	1ABC264	7/9/20...							
	1ABC264	7/16/2...							
	1ABC264	7/23/2...							
	1ABC264	7/30/2...							
	1ABC264	8/6/20...							
	1ABC264	8/13/2...							
	1ABC264	8/20/2...							

	ColumnID	TopicID	VAR_Type	ParameterDesc...	LabMethodCode	FieldMethodCode	Comment
	COL1	siteid	Fixed	Site Identifier	AEE	AEE	AEE
	COL2	datetime	Fixed	Date/Time	AEE	AEE	AEE
	COL3	bottle	Fixed	Sample type	AEE	AEE	<99 = Automat...
	COL4	no3_n	Topic	Nitrate-N, water...	AEE	AEE	Non-detect = ne...
	COL5	no3_n_fldmthd	Field Method	Nitrate-N, water...	AEE	AEE	AEE
	COL6	no3_n_labmthd	Lab Method	Nitrate-N, water...	AEE	AEE	AEE
	COL7	total_p	Topic	Phosphate, total...	NSTL_WQ3	AEE	Non-detect = ne...
	COL8	total_p_fldmthd	Field Method	Phosphate, total...	AEE	AEE	AEE
	COL9	ortho_p	Topic	Orthophosphate...	NSTL_WQ2	NSTL_PM11	Non-detect = ne...
▶	AEE	AEE	AEE	AEE	AEE	AEE	AEE

Figure 1. Data table and data-definition table link data columns to metadata.




Figure 2. STEWARDS Application at opening screen and selected watershed displaying research sample locations.

Metadata

- Essential to describe disparate research methods.
- Used data schema from the National Environmental Methods Index for consistent metadata elements.
- Individual site descriptions with instrument histories are maintained.
- FGDC-compliant metadata for spatial database components are required for distribution.

Implementation Challenges

- Data provider reluctance to contribute due to limited resources and publishing concerns.
- Source data formats difficult to process.

Lessons Learned

- Strong leadership needed from local to national level.
- Data access and use policies need to be well defined and understood.
- Require modern data management products in research project plans.
- Make data contributions as easy as possible.




Figure 3. Example data selection and graphing.

Summary

ARS scientists collect watershed research data that vary spatially, thematically, and temporally. The STEWARDS application maintains a database that is easily accessible by the scientific community through an integrated environment using ArcGIS Server and SQL Server. This design represents the precursor to a future Agency-wide database that will provide access to ARS data holdings by the scientific community.




Using Remotely Sensed Brightness Temperatures to Infer Snowmelt Onset and Runoff in High Latitude Drainage Basins


Kathryn A. Semmens[1] and Joan Ramage[1]

[1] Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015 kas409@lehigh.edu

Detecting Snowmelt Onset and Duration

High latitude, snowmelt dominated basins are sensitive to increasing winter air temperatures with snowpacks' integrating these changes over the season, potentially influencing the timing of snowmelt onset and resulting in changes to spring runoff and associated flooding, often the most significant hydrologic events of the year. To understand and model these processes for ungauged basins with little meteorological data, passive microwave AMSR-E brightness temperature observations are used to determine snowmelt onset timing, melt-refreeze duration, and occurrence of early snowmelt events (short periods of melt before full spring melt onset). These data are then used as inputs into a simple flux based snowmelt runoff model (SWEHydro) to simulate peak discharge and timing.





A significant early snowmelt event on January 20th may have contributed to the small peak in discharge on ~day 60.

Figure 1. Brightness temperatures (T_b) and **diurnal amplitude variation** (DAV) (from AMSR-E data) for 2009 for pixel near the mouth of the Stewart River.

T_b is the product of emissivity and physical temperature. Frozen snow has low emissivity compared to wet snow.

DAV represents the dynamism of the snowpack, when high the snowpack is melting during day and refreezing at night.

When Tb>252K & DAV>18K the snowpack is melting.

- When sustained for several days it is **melt onset.**
- All melt before melt onset are **early melt events**.
- When thresholds no longer met (**end high DAV**) snowpack is fully melting (not refreezing)





Figure 3. DEM of the Yukon River Basin(YRB) with sub-basins color-coded to depict trend in melt onset for 2003-2010. Orange basins have a earlier melt onset trend while magenta basins have a later melt onset trend. Trends are linear best fits to the average melt onset date for each basin.

Data provided by NSIDC.
Funding from NASA Earth &Space Science Fellowship

Snowmelt Runoff Modeling






Figure 2. A) Map of flow length (meters) for Stewart and Pelly basins derived from GIS with EASE grid pixels (12.5 km) overlaid. 2003-2009 annual spatial time series of B) Snow water equivalent, SWE (mm), C) melt onset in Julian day of the year, D) date of end high DAV in Julian day, E) actual (red and blue) and modeled (orange and teal) discharge in m^3/s for the Stewart and Pelly River basins. Modeled discharge is from SWEHydro with SWE, melt onset, end high DAV, and flow length as primary inputs in addition to two snowmelt rate and flow timing parameters. See Yan *et al.* 2009 for details.




Figure 4. Average melt onset (2003-2010) for each basin (x axis) and linear trend slope (y axis). Bubble size corresponds to size of correlation coefficient with end members denoted (Lower and Chandalar). Note strong later melt onset trends for lower latitude headwater basins.

Conclusions

Remotely sensed brightness temperatures provide a viable means for detecting snowmelt timing in high latitude drainage basins. Such data can be used to drive a simple flux based snowmelt runoff model to effectively model peak discharge and timing. These techniques are important for understanding, studying, and modeling remote regions limited by data collection and instrumentation.

Future Objectives

1) Establish a longer time series using SSM/I Tb data from 1988 to 2010 for more robust trend analysis.
2) Conduct sensitivity analyses of snowmelt and flow parameters in SWEHydro to constrain estimates.
3) Incorporate elevation, permafrost, and land cover to improve and make the model transferable to other basins with varying characteristics.
4) Corroborate the occurrence and effects of early melt events with field data.

References

Apgar J, Ramage J, McKenney R, Maltais P, (2007), AMSR-E Algorithm for Snowmelt Onset Detection in Subarctic Heterogeneous Terrain. *Hydrol. Proc.* **21**: 1581-96.

Ashcroft P, Wentz F, (2006), *AMSR-E/Aqua L2A Global Swath Spatially-Resampled Brightness Temperatures V002*, [2003-2010]. Boulder, Colorado USA: NSIDC. Digital media.

Ramage J, McKenney R,Thorson B, Maltais P, Kopczynski S, (2006), Relationship between Passive Microwave Derived Snowmelt & Surface-Measured Discharge, Wheaton R., Yukon, *Hydrol. Proc.* ***20***, 689-704.

Yan F, Ramage J, McKenney R, (2009), Modeling of high lat. spring freshet from AMSR-E P.M. observ. *Water Res. Research* ***45,*** W11408.