	SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM
	Bridge Structure No. 02186050 Date 9-27-12 Initials ZFT Region (ABCD)
	Site Location 0.5 m; E of Hwy 281 on 243 rd St
	Site Location 0.5 m; E of Hwy 281 on 243 rd St by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal regional regression eq. x
	Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = 682$ (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
	bridge discharge $(Q_2) = \underline{Q_1 Q_2}$ (should be Q_{100} unless there is a reflet bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
	A A STATE OF THE S
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method
gionA", "l or "Regio	Bridge Width = 36 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 8 ° Abut. Skew = 0 ° Effective Skew = 8 °
	Width (W_2) iteration = 36 Avg. flow depth at bridge, y_2 iteration = 6.2
	Avg. flow depth at bridge, y_2 iteration = 6.2
	Corrected channel width at bridge Section = W_2 times cos of flow angle = 35.65 ft* $q_2 = Q_2/W_2 = 19.1$ ft ² /s
	Bridge Vel, $V_2 = 3$, ft/s Final $y_2 = q_2/V_2 = 6$, ft $\Delta h = 0$, ft
	Average main channel depth at approach section, $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 = 6.4$
Σ. i	* NOTE: repeat above calculations until y ; changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$
Keg Reg	If y ₂ is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGD,
	this site does not have a well-defined change
	Water Surface Elev. = d. (4 ft
	Water Surface Elev. = $\frac{1}{6}$, $\frac{1}{8}$ ft In (Channel) = $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ ft
	n (Channel) = , 035
	$n(LOB) = \frac{0.028}{1000}$
	n (ROB) = .028
	Pier Width = (I, O) ft
	Pier Length = 1.0 ft
	# Piers for $100 \text{ yr} = \underline{2}$ ft
	CONTRACTION SCOUR
	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 36$ ft
act	Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = 36$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = 4.3$ ft
PGRM: Contract	
	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = 36$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = 4.3$ ft
	Live Dad Contraction Season (use if had motorial is small polybles on finan)
	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer)
	$x = 9.27$ From Figure 9 W_2 (effective) = 33.7 ft $y_{cs} = 10.2$ ft
_	Class Water Contraction Securities if had material is larger than small cabbles
PGRM: CWCSNEW	Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles)
Š	Estimated bed material $D_{50} = \underline{\qquad}$ ft Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = \underline{\qquad}$ ft/s Critical approach velocity, $V_0 = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} = \underline{\qquad}$ ft/s If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above. $D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = \underline{\qquad}$ ft $D_{50} >= D_{c50}, \chi = 0.0$ Otherwise, $\chi = 0.122y_1[q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3}y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 = \underline{\qquad}$ From Figure 10, $y_{cs} = \underline{\qquad}$ ft
Č C	Critical approach velocity, $Vc = 11.17y_1^{110}D_{50}^{1/3} = 11.17y_1^{110}D_{50}^{1/3}$
Ξ	If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.
ξ	$D_{cs0} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = ft$
	Otherwise, $\gamma = 0.122 v_1 [q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3} v_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - v_1 = $ From Figure 10, $v_{cc} = $
	Troil righte 10, y _{es}
_	NED COMP OUT OUT ATTOMO
PGRM: Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Competion for the form and the form Table 1) K2 To
ξ	L/a ratio = $\frac{1}{2}$ Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = $\frac{1}{2}$ Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = \frac{3.9}{2}$ ft
7	Froude # at bridge = 0.22 Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 4, 9$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 3.9$ ft
	A DUTRICKIT COOLD OAL OUL ATIONS
ıcnt	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS
butn	Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{al.T} = \frac{4.3}{1}$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = \frac{4.3}{1}$ ft
f: A	Shape coefficient K ₁ = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through
PGRM: Abutment	Shape coefficient K_1 = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = 13.8$ and $\psi_{RT} = 13.8$ Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 20.5$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 20.5$ ft
7	Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 20.3$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 20.3$ ft
	This bridge appears to have down sail-though I I want
	This bridge appears to have the spill-through abutments that are very eroded. Although wing walk are not present, use K, = 0.82 to reflect eroded abutments under bridge.
	anded also tracents are not present, use K = 0.82 to reflect
	eroses abutilians under bridge.

SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM

auard co) (D) (_						
Route 243'd St Stream Donegan's D	raw	MRM	Da	te		ials	_		
Bridge Structure No. 62186050 Loc	cation <u>(), 5</u>						_		
GPS coordinates: N 43 51.869 taken from: USL abutment centerline of 1 MRM end Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27									
Drainage area = 14.97 sq. mi.									
The average bottom of the main channel was 10 4 5 ft below top of guardrail at a point 9 ft from left abutment.									
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations.									
August a labouro dolloro de la companyo de la compa									
MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS									
Flows	QZ5= 681_			Q50 1010					
Estimated flow passing through bridge	682			1010			ł		
Estimated road overflow & overtopping Consideration	0			0					
	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly			
Chance of overtopping Chance of Pressure flow		7				1425	1		
Armored appearance to channel					1/	196-			
Lateral instability of channel							1		
Citation has been to and in t									
Riprap at abutments? Yes No Marginal up- and downstream ditch (channel) be Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know no real riprap									
Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know and Course of the Channel of									
Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know no real riprap									
Debris Potential?HighMedLow contraction and aboutment scour evident									
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed?									
Riprap Yes No Don't know NA									
Spur DikeYesNoDon't knowNA									
OtherYesNoDon't knowNA									
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D ₅₀)									
Material Silt/Clay Sand Gravel Cobbles Boulders Boulders									
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.	00	2.00-64		64-250		>250			
Comments Diagrams & orientation of digital photos									
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos Str. no. left abut. Under bridge									
str. no. left abut. Under bridge									
approach from bridge rt. abut. under bridge LOB from bridge CII class possesseum side of bridge									
Lois from bridge Field stone on upstream side of bridge									
JOB Com bridge tield Store or opsill									
a 1 C. Mastream									
Bridge from upstream									
C CD k									
Summary of Results						<u> </u>	l		
D.1. 0	Q1 00 25			Q500- 250					
Bridge flow evaluated	682			101 ⁰ 5.8					
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	4,3			3.8					
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	4,3			5,8					
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	10,2			14,1 3,9					
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	3.9 20.5			24.5					
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	20.5			24.5					
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet 20.5 24.5 1Flow angle of attack 8°									
							,		