	SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM
	Bridge Structure No. 03 28 6 120 Date 9-28-12 Initials RET Region (ABCD)
	Site Location 40470 202 nd St James River
	Q50= 58600 by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq. X
	Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = 58600$ (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Water Surface Elev. = ft Low Steel Elev. = 19.8 ft n (Channel) = .035 mostly Smooth, flat, some rocks few sticks n (LOB) = .035 cornfield 6.3 ft Pier Width = 3.0 ft Pier Length = 3.0 ft Piers for 100 yr = 3 ft Standing corn makes it difficult to estimate overbank depths
	CONTRACTION SCOUR
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 328$ ft
	Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = 328$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = 5.6$ ft
Š	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = 328$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = 6.9$ ft
Š	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer)
<u></u>	$x = 7$ From Figure 9 W_2 (effective) = 311.8 ft $y_{cs} = 7.8$ ft
	, y ₂ (should) , y ₃
× ×	Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles)
SS	Estimated bod material $D_{50} = 100$ ft/s Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = 100$ ft/s
Ĭ C	Critical approach velocity, $Vc = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} =$
PGRM: CWCSNEW	If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.
<u>P</u>	$D_{c_{30}} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 =ft$ If $D_{50} >= D_{c_{50}}$, $\chi = 0.0$
	Otherwise, $\chi = 0.122y_1[q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3}y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 =ft$
5	DIED COMP ON CHI ATTIONS
<u>⊼</u>	L/a ratio =/ PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 =/
PGRM: Pier	L/a ratio = $\frac{1}{1000}$ Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = $\frac{1}{1000}$ Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = \frac{10007}{1000}$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = \frac{10007}{1000}$ ft
_	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS
ıtmen	Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 5 \cdot \mu$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = \mu$ ft
Abu	Shape coefficient K ₁ = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through
PGRM: Abutment	Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = 16.1$ and $\psi_{RT} = 18.4$
PG	Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 16.1$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 18.4$ ft

Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 22.7$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 23.8$ ft

Route 202 St Stream James River MRM Date Initials								
Bridge Structure No. 03 286120 Location 40470 202nd S+								
Bridge Structure No. 03 78 6120 Location 40470 202nd St GPS coordinates: N 440 27,440 taken from: USL abutment centerline of 11 MRM end W 98° 7.231 Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27								
W 18 7.231 Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27								
Drainage area = 13381,1 sq. mi.								
The average bottom of the main channel was 27.5 ft below top of guardrail at a point 108 ft from left abutment.								
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations.								
MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS								
Flows	QSP= 58600			QLP 93100				
Estimated flow passing through bridge	58600			93100				
Estimated road overflow & overtopping	0			07				
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly		
Chance of overtopping								
Chance of Pressure flow								
Armored appearance to channel	·	1						
Lateral instability of channel					V			
Riprap at abutments? Yes VNo Marginal								
Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know aboutments croded Debris Potential? High X Med Low some dead trees in Acodplain upstream								
Debris Potential? High X Med Low some dead trees in floodplain upstream								
Debug Lorential: Light Low Some and 1 res 1 result day con								
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed?								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Riprap Yes No Don't know NA								
Spur DikeYesNoDon't knowNA								
Other YesNo Don't know NA								
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D ₅₀)								
Material Silt/Clay X Sand	Gravel			Cobbles Boulders				
Material Silt/Clay ★ Sand	00	2.00-64		64-250		>250		
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital phot	os			0				
Str. no.		<u></u>	t al	tron	ح مد .	eda.		
* approach from bridge								
LOB LOM COAd								
and the contract of the contra								
1.1. C. ct. approach								
bridge Room et approach left about scour								
Summary of Results								
	Q 100 50			و 500 اه ن				
Bridge flow evaluated	58600			93100				
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	5.6			11,7				
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	5.6 6.9			13.0				
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	7,8			16,0 9.5				
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	9.3 16.1							
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	16,1			22.7				
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet 18,4 23,8								
1Flow angle of attack	126			126				