| | SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Bridge Structure No. 05/87/00 Date 6/10/12 Initials Region (AB 6D) | | | | | | |
| | Site Location 3015+ $\sim 2m$; N , 2.7 m ; E Tynda11 $\sim B$ on Homm C $Q_{100} = 1740$ by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal regional regression eq. \propto | | | | | | |
| | Q ₁₀₀ = 1740 by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq. | | | | | | |
| | Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = 1740$ (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method Bridge Width = 49 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 5 ° Abut. Skew = 0 ° Effective Skew = 5 ° | | | | | | |
| "Qu | Width (W ₂) iteration = | | | | | | |
| | Avg. flow depth at bridge, y ₂ iteration = | | | | | | |
| | Corrected channel width at bridge Section = W_2 times cos of flow angle = $\frac{46.81}{1}$ ft* $q_2 = Q_2/W_2 = \frac{35.4}{1}$ ft ² /s | | | | | | |
| | Bridge Vel, $V_2 = 4$, Z_ft/s Final $y_2 = q_2/V_2 = 5$, Y_ft $\Delta h = 0$, Y_ft | | | | | | |
| " | Average main channel depth at approach section, $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 = 5$, \mathcal{E} ft | | | | | | |
| gion | * NOTE: repeat above calculations until y_2 changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$ | | | | | | |
| "R | If y 2 is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD, | | | | | | |
| | Water Surface Elev. = G ft Uq C | | | | | | |
| | Low Steel Elev. = 8,55 ft | | | | | | |
| | $ n \text{ (Channel)} = 0.040 \\ n \text{ (LOB)} = 6.025 0.050 $ | | | | | | |
| | $n(LOB) = \frac{1.032 \cdot 0.030}{0.030}$ | | | | | | |
| | Pier Width = 1.11 ft 2.4 | | | | | | |
| | $n (ROB) = \underline{ O.030}$ Pier Width = $\underline{I. II}$ ft Pier Length = $\underline{I. II}$ ft # Piers for $100 \text{ yr} = \underline{I}$ ft | | | | | | |
| | # Piers for 100 yr = ft ft | | | | | | |
| | CONTRACTION SCOUR | | | | | | |
| | Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ ft $\frac{3.7 \cdot 2.4}{2.9}$ 0.9 | | | | | | |
| | Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = \frac{49}{49}$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = \frac{3,3}{2}$ ft | | | | | | |
| | Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = \frac{VA}{ft}$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = \frac{3.0}{ft}$ | | | | | | |
| | Treating right overbank from at approach, wrong | | | | | | |
| | <u>Live Bed Contraction Scour</u> (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) | | | | | | |
| | $x = 5.33$ From Figure 9 W_2 (effective) = 47.7 ft $y_{cs} = 6$ ft | | | | | | |
| | Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles) | | | | | | |
| | Estimated bed material $D_{50} = ft$ Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = ft/s$ | | | | | | |
| | Critical approach velocity, $Vc = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} = ft/s$ | | | | | | |
| | If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{30} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above. | | | | | | |
| | $D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/\chi_1^{7/6})^3 = $ ft If $D_{50} >= D_{c50}$, $\chi = 0.0$ | | | | | | |
| | $\begin{split} D_{c50} &= 0.0006 (q_2) \chi_1^{7/6})^3 = \underline{\qquad \qquad } ft \\ Otherwise, \ \chi &= 0.122 y_1 [q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3} y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 = \underline{\qquad \qquad } ft \end{split} \qquad \qquad \\ From \ Figure \ 10, \ y_{cs} = \underline{\qquad \qquad } ft \end{split}$ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS | | | | | | |
| | PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = 1$ Froude # at bridge = 0.26 Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 5.2$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 9.2$ ft | | | | | | |
| | rioude # at oridge = ref scoul y _{ps} = | | | | | | |
| | ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS | | | | | | |
| | Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 3.3$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 3$ ft | | | | | | |
| | Shape coefficient K ₁ = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through | | | | | | |
| | Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = \frac{12}{11.5}$ and $\psi_{RT} = \frac{11.5}{11.5}$ Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = \frac{1.6}{11.5}$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = \frac{1.7.2}{11.5}$ ft | | | | | | |
| | Jas YLIVEI Jas YLIVEI Jas TRIVEI | | | | | | |

PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB",

PGRM: Contract

PGRM: CWCSNEW

PGRM: Abutment PGRM: Pier

97,79847 43.0251

| Route 301 St Stream Shatch | Ck | MRM | Da | te 6/10/17 | Z Ini | itials Rut | | | |
|---|-------------|----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--|--|
| Route 30 St Stream Snatch Ck MRM Date 6/10/12 Initials Part Bridge Structure No. 05/87/00 Location 2 mi N, 2.7 mi E of Tyndell GPS coordinates: N 130 1 30.611 taken from: USL abutment centerline of MRM end Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27 | | | | | | | | | |
| GPS coordinates: 11430 1/20/11 | talen fram | LICI abotes | M. E | ot ly | compa | | _ | | |
| W 970 47' 54.4' | Datum of co | ordinates: W | GS84 \ | NAD27 | of MRM | end | | | |
| Drainage area = $\frac{17.85}{17.85}$ sq. mi. | | | | | | | | | |
| The average bottom of the main channel was 12,4 ft below top of guardrail at a point 36 ft from left abutment. | | | | | | | | | |
| Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratio regional regression equations. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | oramage area i | <u> </u> | regional reg | ression eqe | iations. | 8/22 | | |
| | | OUS CONSII | DERATIO | | 2201 | | | | |
| Flows | $Q_{100} =$ | 1740 | | $Q_{500} =$ | 3380 | | 5 234 | | |
| Estimated flow passing through bridge | | 1740 | | 1792 | | | 10 437 | | |
| Estimated road overflow & overtopping | | 0 | I | | 1588 | | 1000 | | |
| Consideration | Yes | No | Possibly | | No | Possibly | 1.27 | | |
| Chance of overtopping | | X | | × | | | 50 123 | | |
| Chance of Pressure flow | X | | | × | | | 100 1740 | | |
| Armored appearance to channel | | | | | X | | 500 338 | | |
| Lateral instability of channel | | χ. | | | L_X | | 6/4 | | |
| Riprap at abutments? Yes | No | Marginal | | | | | | | |
| Riprap at abutments? Yes No Marginal | | | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know Contraction S 234 Debris Potential? High Med V Low | | | | | | | | | |
| Debris Potential? HighMedLow | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 83/ | | | | | | | | | |
| Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed? | | | | | | | | | |
| Riprap Yes No Don't know NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Spur Dike Yes No Don't know NA 50 3380 | | | | | | | | | |
| OtherYesNoDon't knowNA | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D ₅₀) | | | | | | | | | |
| Material Silt/Clay Sand Gravel Cobbles Boulders | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | 1-1-1-1-1 | 2 | | |
| Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.00 2.00-64 64-250 >250 | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1). Left oB 2). main channel 10). main Channel | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) main change | | | | | | | | | |
| 2). main channel 3). right OB 4). piers 5-6) let tabutrent 7-70, main (domeged) | | | | | | | | | |
| U) 1/2 17 | | | | | | | | | |
| of the threat | | | | | | | | | |
| 5-6) let tabular | | | | | | | | | |
| XXX right abut ment (and | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of Results | | | | | | | _ | | |
| p: | | Q100 | | | Q500 | | | | |
| Bridge flow evaluated | 1740 | | | 1792 | | | 1 | | |
| Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| ow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet 3 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet | | 6 | | | 6.3 | |] | | |
| er scour depth (yps), in feet 4.2 4, 3 | | | | | | |] | | |
| Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet | | | | | | | | | |
| Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet | | 17.2 | | | 17.4 | |] | | |
| 1Flow angle of attack | | 5 | | | 5 | |] | | |