n y Sp

190 asr

dre ggr, and re

- 5

PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Bridge Structure No. 0.0053 Date 0.0053
	Water Surface Elev. =
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = \frac{180}{40}$ ft Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = \frac{40}{40}$ ft Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = \frac{100}{40}$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = \frac{0.70}{40}$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = \frac{0.80}{40}$
	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = 0.5$ From Figure 9 w_2 (effective) = 269.8 ft $v_{cs} = 0.5$ ft
PGRM: CWCSNEW	
PGRM: Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS L/a ratio = Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 5.6$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 4.6$ ft
PGRM: Abutment	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 0.70$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 0.80$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1 = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through. Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $y_{LT} = 3.1$ and $y_{RT} = 3.5$ Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = y_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 3.5$ ft

Route 466 th St Stream Big Sioux	R. ber	MRM	Dat	te	Ini	tials			
Bridge Structure No. 06 12 00 53 Loc	ation 4//	#41 14	1 1/	CPM	4.		-0		
						1	 1		
W 960 53 [4,6]		USL abutmen oordinates: W				end			
Drainage area = \(\frac{1548.8}{}\) sq. mi.									
The average bottom of the main channel was1	ft belo	w top of guardi	ail at a poin	1 156	ft from le	ft abutment.			
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq.									
					ė.		CIV		
Flows		EOUS CONSI	DERATION		1700		5/15		
	Q100 = 4220			$Q_{500} = 6750$) 1600		
Estimated flow passing through bridge Estimated road overflow & overtopping	4220			6471			5 4220		
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Vac	299	Doggible	-		
Chance of overtopping	1 65	X	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly	10 6750		
Chance of Pressure flow		×		X		-	25 10900		
Armored appearance to channel		1 X			X		50 14700		
Lateral instability of channel					X	1	100 19000		
Euteral instability of chamier							soc 31000		
Riprap at abutments? Yes	No	Marginal					1		
Evidence of past Scour? Yes	No	Don't know	, deel						
The Carlot Control of the Control of									
Debris Potential?High	×Med	Low							
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been	designed?								
Riprap Yes No Don't know NA									
Spur Dike Yes No Don't know NA									
Other Ye				NA					
			I t KIIOW						
Bed Material	Classification	on Based on Me	edian Particl	e Size (Dso)				
Material Silt/Clay Sand		Gravel		Cobbles	,	Boulders			
				AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF					
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.	00	2.00-64		64-250		>250			
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photo	os								
2270 SJC NO	2286	, left al	ut.						
2280 upstream from bridge	2287	re-ba-	exposed	or pie	Γ				
	2000	111	A STATE OF THE STA						
2281 ROB from bridge 2282 LOB from bridge 2283 under bridge from it about 2284 under bridge from left al 2285 junk under bridge	2291	chable -	l- Imen	+					
7287 FOR FISH PLOGE	2291	went a	- Jon an	17					
2283 under bridge from it abou	1. 270	17 500100	Saction	Com					
2284 under bridge from left a	but.	C'ab+	ditch	Home					
2285 junk under bridge		3.4	and or						
Summary of Results							_		
	Q 100 5			Q 500 /D					
Bridge flow evaluated	4223			6471]		
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	G,70			2.1]		
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	0.80			2,2					
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	0.6.0.5			2.5]		
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet		4.6			1.7]		
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet		5.6		l:	5,7		1		
Right abutment scour depth (vas), in feet		3.5			9		1		

50

1Flow angle of attack