	SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM
	Bridge Structure No. 67241270 Date 7/70/12 Initials Region (ABCD)
	Site Location I mi E of Tacoma Park on 1275+
	Q100 = Q5 (120 by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq. X
	Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = 1/20$ (should be $Q_{100}$ unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method
nB",	Bridge Width = $\frac{79}{100}$ ft. Flow angle at bridge = $\frac{150}{100}$ Abut. Skew = $\frac{150}{100}$ ° Effective Skew = $\frac{150}{100}$ ° Effective Skew = $\frac{150}{100}$ °
KegionB",	Width $(W_2)$ iteration =
A",	Corrected channel width at bridge Section = $W_2$ times cos of flow angle = $Q_2/W_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ft* $Q_2 = Q_2/W_2 = \frac{1}{2}$
PGKM: "RegionA", "Reg "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Bridge Vel, $V_2 = ft/s$ Final $y_2 = q_2/V_2 = ft/s$ $\Delta h = 0$ ft
اً. جو آ جو	Average main channel depth at approach section, $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 = \frac{7.5}{100}$ ft
egio	* NOTE: repeat above calculations until $y_2$ changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$
Z \\	If y 2 is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD,
	Water Surface Elev. = $0.6 - 1.5$ ft   Low Steel Elev. = $0.025$ ft   Pier Width = $0.025$ ft   Pier Length = $0.025$ ft   # Piers for $100 \text{ yr} = 0.025$ ft
	CONTRACTION SCOUR
	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ ft
ract	Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = $ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = $ f
GRM: Contract	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = $ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = $ f
Ž.	
PG	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer)
	$x = 0.34$ From Figure 9 $W_2$ (effective) = $74.6$ ft $y_{cs} = 0.6$ ft
>	Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles)
NE	Estimated bed material $D_{50} = ft$ Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = ft/s$
WC.	Estimated bed material $D_{50} = $ ft Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = $ ft/s  Critical approach velocity, $V_c = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} = $ ft/s
GKM: CWCSNEW	If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.
3	$D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = $ ft If $D_{50} >= D_{c50}$ , $\chi = 0.0$
	$D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = \underbrace{ft}$ $Otherwise, \chi = 0.122y_1[q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3}y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 = \underbrace{ft}$ $From Figure 10, y_{cs} = \underbrace{ft}$
Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS
GRM: Pier	L/a ratio = Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = ft  Froude # at bridge = $0$   $0$
bd	L/a ratio = Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = Froude # at bridge = Vising pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi$ = Pier scour $y_{ps}$ = ft
_	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS
PGRM: Abutment	Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $v_{al,T} = 0$ ft right abutment, $v_{aR,T} = 0$ ft
Abu	Shape coefficient K <sub>1</sub> = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through
KM:	Shape coefficient $K_1$ = 1.00 for vertical-wall, Using values for $y_{aLT}$ and $y_{aRT}$ on figure 12, $\psi_{LT}$ = $\psi_{LT}$ and $\psi_{RT}$ = $\psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55)$
PG	Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = $ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = $ ft

PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB",

PGRM: Contract

PGRM: CWCSNEW

GRM: Abutment

1,96248 12 09h 11,6982h 125 09h 1552236

Drainage area =
Method used to determine flood flows: Freq. Analdrainage area ratio \( \text{regional regression equations.} \)  MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS  Flows   Q00 = Q5   20   20   20   20   20   20   20   2
Flows  Flows    Possibly   Possib
Flows  Estimated flow passing through bridge  Estimated road overflow & overtopping  Consideration  Chance of overtopping  Chance of Pressure flow  Armored appearance to channel  Lateral instability of channel  Riprap at abutments?  Yes  No  Don't know minor  Possibly  Possib
Flows  Estimated flow passing through bridge  Estimated road overflow & overtopping  Consideration  Chance of overtopping  Chance of Pressure flow  Armored appearance to channel  Lateral instability of channel  Riprap at abutments?  Yes  No  Don't know minor  Possibly  Possib
Estimated flow passing through bridge  Estimated road overflow & overtopping  Consideration  Yes No Possibly Yes No Possibly  Chance of overtopping  Chance of Pressure flow  Armored appearance to channel  Lateral instability of channel  Riprap at abutments?  Yes No Marginal Only on right abutment  Evidence of past Scour?  Yes No Don't know miner plod abutment contraction  Debris Potential?  High Med Low
Estimated road overflow & overtopping  Consideration  Yes  No  Possibly  No  Possibly  No  Possibly  No  Possibly  Possibly  No  Possi
Consideration  Yes  No  Possibly  No  P
Chance of overtopping Chance of Pressure flow Armored appearance to channel Lateral instability of channel  Riprap at abutments?  Evidence of past Scour?  Debris Potential?  Yes No Don't know minor pladabutmat contraction  High Med Low
Chance of Pressure flow Armored appearance to channel  Lateral instability of channel  Riprap at abutments?  Evidence of past Scour?  Debris Potential?  Yes No Don't know minor plandabutmat contraction  High Med Low
Riprap at abutments?  Yes No Marginal Only on right abutment  Evidence of past Scour?  Debris Potential?  Yes No Don't know minor plandabutment contraction  High Med Low
Riprap at abutments?  Yes No Marginal Only on right abutment  Evidence of past Scour?  Debris Potential?  Yes No Don't know minor plandabutment contraction  High Med Low
LownigitnedLow
Riprap  Yes No Don't know NA  Spur Dike  Yes No Don't know NA  Other No Don't know NA  Wes No Don't know NA  Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D <sub>50</sub> )  Material Silt/Clay Sand Gravel Cobbles Boulders
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.00 2.00-64 64-250 >250
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos  1). left CPS 6-D risht abutment  2) main chance 8-92 left abutment  3) right CPS. 1c) main chance  4). pier-  5) pierscent
Summary of Results
QT00 Gs Q500 Q10
Bridge flow evaluated 120 1356
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet  Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet

0,6 5.1 0 0

0

0

Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet

1Flow angle of attack