	SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM  Dily Sunday No. 10 (10 20) Date (111 11) Initials D. T. Pagion (A P.C.D.)
	Bridge Structure No. 1510 206 Date Ellipto Initials Roll Region (A BOD)
	Bridge Structure No. 12510206 Date 111112 Initials Rot Region (A BOD)  Site Location 911 mi N of Wagner on 395 Anc  One by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq.
PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = 2000$ (should be $Q_{100}$ unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method  Bridge Width = $36$ ft. Flow angle at bridge = $36$ Abut. Skew = $36$ ° Effective Skew = $36$
	CONTRACTION SCOUR
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 13\%$ ft
	Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = 134$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = 49$ ft
	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = \frac{130}{100}$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = \frac{130}{100}$ ft
	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = \underbrace{9.1}_{\text{From Figure 9}}  \text{From Figure 9}  \text{W}_2 \text{ (effective)} = \underbrace{176.7}_{\text{ft}}  \text{ft}  \text{y}_{cs} = \underbrace{9}_{\text{cs}}  \text{ft}$
}	Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles)
PGRM: CWCSNEW	Estimated bed material $D_{50} = ft$ Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = ft/s$ Critical approach velocity, $V_c = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} = ft/s$ If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.
CWC	Critical approach velocity, $Vc = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{3} = ft/s$
RM:	If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.
PGI	$D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = ft$ If $D_{50} >= D_{c50}$ , $\chi = 0.0$
	$D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = \underline{\qquad \qquad \qquad } ft$ $Otherwise, \chi = 0.122y_1[q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3}y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 = \underline{\qquad \qquad } ft$ $From Figure 10, y_{cs} = \underline{\qquad \qquad } ft$
er	/
M: Pi	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS  Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 =
PGRM: Pier	L/a ratio = Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = $ Froude # at bridge = Pier scour $y_{ps} = $ ft
	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS
PGRM: Abutment	Average flow depth blocked by: ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 1$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 1$
Abu	Shape coefficient K.= 1.00 for vertical-wall 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls 0.55 for spill-through
RM:	Using values for $y_{aLT}$ and $y_{aRT}$ on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
PG	Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = \frac{14.7}{5}$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = \frac{14.7}{5}$ ft

PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB",

PGRM: Contract

PGRM: CWCSNEW

PGRM: Pic

2001 1 2/1 2	6	1 (D) (		7/1110		. 17 -		
Route 395 Are Stream Chotean C	1	MRM	Dat	e 6/11/11	Initi	iais rel		
Bridge Structure No. 125/02()6 Loca	ation 6.	1 mi N	of W	agner o	n 395	the	=	
GPS coordinates: $N 430   12   7.011$ W 986   77   15.471	taken from:	USL abutmen	t_X	centerline o	f II MRM e	nd		
	Datum of co	ordinates: W	GS84X	NAD27_				
Drainage area = $271.66$ sq. mi.				00				
The average bottom of the main channel was 14.	2_ft below	v top of guardi	ail at a poin	t_92	_ft from lef	t abutment.	010	5/4
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq.	Anal	drainage area	ratio 🔀	regional regi	ression equa	ations.	210	<b>7</b>
							872	2
MIS	23877	COUS CONSI	DERATION		01-10		2	199
Flows	Q100 =Q9	0 6100		Qsen = Q10e 9060			5	881
Estimated flow passing through bridge		8100		7203 7527 1677 1583			10	1840
Estimated road overflow & overtopping	V	O No	Dossibly	Yes	No	Possibly	25	3870
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	165	140	X	50	6100
Chance of overtopping		X	X				100	9060
Chance of Pressure flow		Υ			X		500	19300
Armored appearance to channel  Lateral instability of channel		V			V			
Lateral histability of channel								
Riprap at abutments? Yes Yes Yes Debris Potential? High	No	Marginal						
Evidence of past Scour? Yes	No -	Don't know	N pier	co.				
Evidence of past Scour:	Med	Y Low	est about	nest				
Debris Potential?High	IVICU	A_LOW	Jell out					
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been	designed?							
Riprap Yo	es X	NoDo	n't know	NA				
		NoDo						
	- 4		n't know					
OtherY								
Bed Material	Classification	on Based on M	edian Partic	le Size (D <sub>50</sub>	)			
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D <sub>50</sub> )  Material Silt/Clay Sand Gravel Cobbles Boulder								
The State of the S	00			64-250		>250		
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.	00	2.00-04		01220				
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital phot	os		L					
A Lt ob	8),05	ht abutmen						
Dight oB	9) 1.1.	ht abuther t orbutuer	CECCON					
3).1. ft OB	.)? [0]	chame )						
	10 mai	N Charles						
4). pic.								
5), plei scar								
5), pler scar Claight abstract 7). left abstract								
7).left abutment								
Summary of Results					0.500	0 .	1	
	Q100 Q50			Q500- Q100			1	
Bridge flow evaluated				7527		1		
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	1.7					1		
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet		9 5.4					1	
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet					1			

14.8

10

6.1

15.9

15.7

Pier scour depth (yps), in feet

1Flow angle of attack

Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet

Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet