	Bridge Structure No. 16 18 1175 Date $\frac{41610}{1610}$ Initials $\frac{CW}{A}$ Region (A BCD) Site
"RegionC", or "RegionD"	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method Bridge Width = 466 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 466 Abut. Skew = 466 effective Skew = 466 Normalise Needed to Apply Method Avg. flow depth at bridge, y_2 iteration = 466 Avg. flow depth at bridge Section = 466 Avg. flow depth at bridge Section = 466 Final 4
PUKM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = \underline{150}$ ft Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = \underline{146}$ ft Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = \underline{146}$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = \underline{150}$ ft Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = \underline{3}, \underline{05}$ From Figure 9 W_2 (effective) = $\underline{132.2}$ ft $y_{cs} = \underline{3.6}$ ft
PGKM: CWCSNEW	
PGRM: Pier	Froude # at bridge = 0.27 PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = 1.0 Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 0.0$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 0.0$ ft
PGRM: Abutment	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 2.5$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 1.75$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1 = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $y_{LT} = 9.4$ ft Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = y_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 9.4$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = y_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 7.2$ ft

4									
Route 4 Ares Stream Big Sioux Ri	1er	MRM	Dat	e	Init	ials			
Bridge Structure No. 15181175 Loc	ation in	Waterto	wn						
GPS coordinates N 44° 53′ 51.0"	taken from:	USL abutmer	it X	centerline of	î MRM €	end	-		
W 097° 07'32,2"	Datum of co	oordinates: W	GS84_X	NAD27_					
Drainage area = 363.2 sq mi				7-					
The average bottom of the main channel was 14.	7 ft belov	w top of guard	rail at a point	57	ft from let	ft abutment.			
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq.	Anal.	drainage area	adjustment	regiona					
						•			
MIS	SCELLANE	EOUS CONSI	DERATION	NS					
Flows	$Q_{100} = 5940$			$Q_{500} = 95609720$					
Estimated flow passing through bridge	5140			6758					
Estimated road overflow & overtopping				2962					
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly			
Chance of overtopping		\rightarrow	-	×					
Chance of Pressure flow Armored appearance to channel		-	-						
Lateral instability of channel		2			1				
Lateral histability of chamici				r1 41	41	Constant	m-+ - 1-		
Riprap at abutments? Yes	No	Marginal	Some @ 14	ett aput,	Dut	tor sever	NOT KOL		
The state of the s	X No	Don't know	r.						
	Med	Low	Y						
Debris Potential? High	Ned	Low							
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been	designed?								
RiprapYesNoNA									
Spur Dike Yo	1		n't know	NA					
		10000	-	100					
OtherY	es	NoDo	n't know	X NA					
Red Material	Classificatio	on Based on M	edian Particle	e Size (D.)					
V				W 5000		Douldars			
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.	00	2.00-64		64-250		>250			
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital phot	00								
		110							
1094-Bridge 1D	97- U	5 LB							
95-45 bridge fore (100-452B									
95- US bridge face (100- US ZB)									
97-US									
98-US RB									
Summary of Results									
Summary of Results		0100			0500				
Bridge flow evaluated	640	Q100		176	Q500				
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	27	3		6/5	8				
Flow depth at right abutment (yaLT), in feet	1.7	5		2 .	T				
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	3.6			46					
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	7			77					
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	9.4			11.5					
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	9.4			8.1	6				

1Flow angle of attack