100							
	Bridge Structure No. $20200071$ Date $99190$ Initials Region (ABCD)  Site						
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method  Bridge Width = $10\%$ ft. Flow angle at bridge = $10\%$ Abut. Skew = $10\%$ Effective Skew = $10\%$ Abut. Skew = $10\%$ Effective Skew = $10\%$ Abut. Skew = $10\%$ Abut. Skew = $10\%$ Effective Skew = $10\%$ Abut. Skew = $10\%$						
PGRM: Contract							
	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = 3$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = 2$ ft						
	<u>Live Bed Contraction Scour</u> (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = 2.07  \text{From Figure 9}  W_2 \text{ (effective)} = 66.1  \text{ft}  y_{cs} = 2.6  \text{ft}$						
PGRM: CWCSNEW							
PGRM: Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS  Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = $ Froude # at bridge = $0.23$ Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = $ Pier scour $y_{ps} = \underline{5.6}$ ft						
PGRM: Abutment	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS  Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 0.7$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for $y_{aLT}$ and $y_{aRT}$ on figure 12, $y_{LT} = 3.1$ and $y_{RT} = 3.1$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = y_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 3.1$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = y_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 3.1$ ft						

296Ch'76

758, 74 ,87 ,96 到京權, 75 ohh

Route 486 Avc Stream		MRM	Da	ite 8/1/12	Init	ials pat			
Bridge Structure No. 2020007/ Loc	cation I M	: h/ + F	9 No	f Gane	n 486	Ave	_		
GPS coordinates: 1/440 57' 2471	taken from:	LISI abutmer	nt \	centerline o	fî MRM e	end			
	Datum of co								
Drainage area = 13,50 sq. mi.	Datum of co	ordinates. W	0504						
The average bottom of the main channel was 70	7 ft below	ton of guard	rail at a noir	nt 64	ft from lef	ft abutment			
The average bottom of the main channel was 20,2 ft below top of guardrail at a point 66 ft from left abutment.  Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratiox regional regression equations. 7/3									
Method used to determine nood nows1 req.	Allai.	aramage area	ratio _x	regional reg	ression equ	ations.	0 107		
MI	SCELLANE	OUS CONSI	DERATIO	NS			6725		
Flows	Q <sub>100</sub> =	155		Q <sub>500</sub> =	2420	1	2 13		
Estimated flow passing through bridge	1550			23/20			5 36		
Estimated road overflow & overtopping	()			17					
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly	10 57		
Chance of overtopping		×			$\propto$		25 9		
Chance of Pressure flow		V			1		50 12		
Armored appearance to channel		4			~		100 15		
Lateral instability of channel		40			~				
						*	500 24		
Riprap at abutments? YesYes	No	Marginal							
Evidence of past Scour? Yes	No	Don't know	MINO	contract	CA				
Evidence of past Scour?  Evidence of past Scour?  Wes No Don't know Minor contraction  Debris Potential?  High Med Low									
Debris Fotential:		<u></u>							
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been					n	10			
Riprap									
Spur Dike Yes No Don't know NA									
Other YesX_NoDon't knowNA									
Bed Material	Classification	n Based on M	edian Partic	ele Size (D <sub>50</sub> )	)				
	Gravel			Cobbles Boulders_					
				64-250		>250			
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.	.00	2.00-04		04-230		-230			
Comments Disgrams & orientation of digital photo	tos								
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos  () Lett CB  () main change									
D. main chance									
3)sht03									
4), ple 1 5-6), lettabutust 7-5), light abutust									
in pic									
S-6), lettabilities									
7-51 John abulmat									
Summary of Results									
		Q100			Q500		7		
Bridge flow evaluated	1550			2420			1		
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	0,7			2.1			1		
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	2			2.7			1		
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	2.6			3.7			1		
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	5.6			5.7			]		
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	3.1			8.6					
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet		8.2		1)					

1Flow angle of attack