	SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM						
	Bridge Structure No. 20209/30 Date 8/112 Initials Region (ABCD)						
	Site Location in Cary on 1/9 St						
	$Q_{100} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} \hspace{1cm} 1$						
	Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = 1630$ (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)						
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method						
or "RegionD"	Bridge Width = 19 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 30 ° Abut. Skew = 0 ° Effective Skew = 30 ° Width (W ₂) iteration = 19 45 59 50 Avg. flow depth at bridge, y ₂ iteration = 50 9 , 10 9 9 , 10						
	Width (W_2) iteration = $\frac{119}{45}$ $\frac{45}{56}$ $\frac{59}{56}$						
	Avg. flow depth at bridge, y_2 iteration = $\frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{7}{1}$ $\frac{9}{8}$ $\frac{9}{2}$						
ground,	Corrected channel width at bridge Section = W_2 times cos of flow angle = 48.5 ft* $q_2 = Q_2/W_2 = 33.2$ ft²/s						
or "F							
nC",	Average main channel depth at approach section, $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 = 8.5$ ft						
RegionC",	* NOTE: repeat above calculations until y_2 changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = $L\sin(q) + a\cos(q)$						
Z K	$ \textit{If } y_2 \textit{ is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD, } \\$						
	Water Surface Elev. = 0-0,4 ft						
	Low Steel Elev. = $2\overline{2}_{13}$ ft						
	$n \text{ (Channel)} = \underline{0.070}$						
	$n \text{ (LOB)} = \frac{6.060}{0.060}$ $n \text{ (ROB)} = \frac{0.060}{0.060}$						
	Pier Width = $\frac{1}{2}$ ft						
	Pier Length = 1.65 ft						
	# Piers for $100 \text{ yr} = \underline{\qquad}$ ft						
	CONTRACTION SCOUR						
GKM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 60$ ft						
	Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = C_{ft}$ Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = C_{ft}$						
	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = $ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = $ ft						
M	With of right overeals flow at approach, with the second flow at approach, and the second flow at approach at the second flow at a second flow a						
5	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer)						
	$x = \frac{7.73}{1.9}$ From Figure 9 W_2 (effective) = $\frac{41.9}{1.9}$ ft $y_{cs} = \frac{8.6}{1.9}$ ft						
GRM: CWCSNEW	Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if had material is larger than small coholes) Note: colors in the						
	Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles) Estimated bed material $Q_{50} = 0$ ft Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = 0$ ft/s clear water $Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = 0$						
S	Critical approach velocity, $Vc = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} = $ ft/s						
7.	If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.						
282	$D_{sto} = 0.0006(g_2/v_1^{7/6})^3 = $ ft If $D_{so} >= D_{cso}$, $\gamma = 0.0$						
_	$D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = ft$ $Otherwise, \chi = 0.122y_1[q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3}y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 = ft$ $If D_{50} >= D_{c50}, \chi = 0.0$ $From Figure 10, y_{cs} = ft$						
	σιιοί νίος, χ στι 225 ([42 (ε 30 71 71 71						
Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS						
'GKM: Pier	L/a ratio = Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = \frac{1}{2}$ Froude # at bridge = $\frac{0.25}{0.25}$ Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = \frac{6.9}{0.25}$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = \frac{5.6}{0.25}$ ft						
5	Froude # at bridge = 0.25 Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 6.9$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 5.6$ ft						
	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS						
nent	Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 0$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 0$ ft						
Abut	Shape coefficient K ₁ = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0,55 for spill-through						
PGKM: Abutment	Shape coefficient K_1 = 1.00 for vertical-wall, Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, ψ_{LT} = 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through and ψ_{RT} = 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through the spill of the spill						
5	Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = $ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = $ ft						

506L'hh 5919196

6:57, Lh ohh

TOWNS OF THE STATE				-///-		2 I				
Route 179 St Stream Laughing W	afer CK	MRM	Date	e 8/1/12	Init	ials / A	_			
Bridge Structure No 2000 9130 Lo	ocation /	Gara	00 17	9 St			_			
GPS coordinates: N 440 (17 7.60)	taken from:	USL abutmer	nt X	centerline of	î MRM e	nd				
W 96 27 43,71	Datum of co	ordinates: W	GS84_X_	NAD27_						
Drainage area = 13.89 sq. mi										
The average bottom of the main channel was	26,0 ft belov	w top of guard	rail at a point	750	ft from lef	t abutment.		200		
Method used to determine flood flows:Free	. Anal.	drainage area	ratio X r	egional regr	ession equa	ations.	71	13		
		EOUS CONSI					81	23		
Flows	Q ₁₀₀ =	1630		Q ₅₀₀ =	2530		2	143		
Estimated flow passing through bridge		1630		2530			5	383		
Estimated road overflow & overtopping		0		0			10	610		
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly		1969		
Chance of overtopping		X			X		250	1280		
Chance of Pressure flow					$-\lambda$		100	1630		
Armored appearance to channel					\sim		500	2530		
Lateral instability of channel					X			0000		
Riprap at abutments? Evidence of past Scour? Debris Potential? Yes No Marginal some trimmed true branches on left Debris Potential? Yes No Don't know what contraction High Med Low										
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been	en designed?									
Riprap	YesN	NoDo	on't know	NA						
	Yes1	NoDo	on't know	NA						
	Yes \neq 1	No Do	on't know	NA						
				a						
X /		on Based on M		The second secon						
0.000 0.000					<u> </u>	Boulders				
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.00 2.00-64 64-250 >250								- edde		
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.00 2.00-64 64-250 >250 Note: >60/c of wet channel has a cott										
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital ph	otos)	Stone	botton	however	whom flood	lung	is almos		
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos 1) Lett oB 7). main channel all dist, consider dearwater contraction see										
2), main chance										
3) right c3 Note: Flar profile taken in 60th from										
4) wise										
4), pic/ S) levisht whether										
W. left extention +										
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
Summary of Results							1			
		Q100			Q500		-			
Bridge flow evaluated		1630		25	30		-			
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet							-			
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet		€.6			7.9					
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet		016			/ /		J			

0

0

30

Pier scour depth (yps), in feet Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet

Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet

1Flow angle of attack