PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Bridge Structure No. 34241218 Date 6917 Initials 120 Region (ABCD) 4258 Maximum Site Location 1.20 ; Not Yorked Bontomac Co. Give 131000 by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal regional regression eq Bridge discharge (Q_2) = 131000 (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method Bridge Width = 3445 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 10 ° Abut. Skew = 0 ° Effective Skew = 10 ° Width (W ₂) iteration = 0 Avg. flow depth at bridge, y ₂ iteration = 0 Final y ₂ = 0 ft. Final y ₂ = 0 ft. Final y ₂ = 0 ft. 0 ft
	CONTRACTION SCOUR
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = \frac{143}{150}$ ft Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = \frac{13.1}{150}$ ft Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = \frac{13.1}{150}$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = \frac{13.1}{150}$ ft Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = \frac{15.39}{150}$ From Figure 9 w_2 (effective) = $\frac{13.1}{150}$ ft w_3 ft w_4 ft w_5 ft w_6 ft
PGRM: CWCSNEW	
PGRM: Pier	Froude # at bridge = $\bigcirc \cdot \lor \lor \lor$ PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = $\bigcirc \cdot \lor \lor \lor$ Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = \bigcirc \cdot \lor \lor \lor$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = \bigcirc \cdot \lor \lor \lor$ ft
PGRM: Abutment	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = \frac{13}{3}$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = \frac{6.6}{3}$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1 = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = \frac{23.9}{3}$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = \frac{17.9}{3}$ ft

31'Eh

Route Stream Janes D.	121	MRM	Dat	e 6/9/1	Initi	ials Fo	=1			
Bridge Structure NoLoc	cation						_			
Bridge Structure No. Location GPS coordinates: \(\begin{align*}										
Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD21										
Drainage area = 18613,76 sq. mi.	20			TEG	0.0 1.0					
The average bottom of the main channel was 33.9 ft below top of guardrail at a point 350 ft from left abutment.										
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations.										
MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS 6/77										
Flows	Q ₁₀₀ =	103000			252000		5/12			
Estimated flow passing through bridge	10:3000			153842			12/967 2 5/6160 5			
Estimated road overflow & overtopping	0			98158			15/6/60 5			
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly	16 1000			
Chance of overtopping			X	X			15/115/			
Chance of Pressure flow	X			X			90 3070			
Armored appearance to channel		×			×		The 64500 50			
Lateral instability of channel		×			X					
Riprap at abutments? Yes No Marginal abutment only Fuidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know										
Evidence of past Scour? YesNoDon't know contraction										
Riprap at abutments? Yes No Marginal on left Evidence of past Scour? Debris Potential? Yes No Don't know contraction High Med Low 15/24 2 1696										
3 187 26										
N. 11										
· ·						7	15 48300			
Spur Dike Yes No Don't know NA Other Yes No Don't know NA										
100 1131 3200										
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D ₅₀) 5 00 324000										
Material Silt/Clay Sand Gravel Cobbles Boulders										
		00 2.00-64				>250				
Size fange, in film 40.002										
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos 1) cish+ OB 10) noin change Note: Bridge is sloped on a hill measured low strong bridge depth, with the A better estimated of the depth of the proof of the pr										
1). risht OB 1). noin channel 2). noin channel 3). left OB 4). plers 4). plers 10). noin channel 10).										
2) main channel of the depth should be made but I am to										
3) left ob unconfortable dains so with the high w										
where the bides and amount of traff										
r) plat scour										
6), right chatment										
4). pleas Q. pleas Q. pleas Sight of the Bridge and amount of traffic Sight obtained 7-8) left abut ment										
Summary of Results 2 right abulment										
Q100 Q500										
Bridge flow evaluated	103000			153842						
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	13.7			15.6						
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	6.6			9,1						
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	15,2			17.8			1			
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	816			8.7			1			
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	23.9			26 20 %			_			
							-			
1Flow angle of attack	10			0						