Route $\frac{263 \text{ rd}}{94}$ , Stream $\frac{94 \text{ rd}}{100}$ Stream $\frac{94 \text{ rd}}{100}$ Structure No. $\frac{90126190}{26190}$ Location $\frac{1000}{100}$ From $\frac{1000}{100}$ Centerline of $\frac{1000}{100}$ MRM end $\frac{1000}{100}$ Drainage area = $\frac{1000}{100}$ Sq. mi.						
Bridge Structure No. 50/26/90 Location From I-29 Exit 79 4.5W. 2N. 0.3W						
GPS coordinates: NU30 39, 371 taken from: USL abutment centerline of \( \hat{\text{MRM end}} \)						
W 96° 52, 629′ Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27						
Drainage area = $49/45$ sq. mi.						
The average bottom of the main channel was 17.3 ft below top of guardrail at a point 33 ft from left abutment.						
Method used to determine flood flows: Freq. Anal. drainage area ratio regional regression equations.						
MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS						
Flows	$Q_{100} = 17,200$ $Q_{500} = 29,100$					
Estimated flow passing through bridge	15,702			15,702		
Estimated road overflow & overtopping					13,398	
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No Possibly	
Chance of overtopping Chance of Pressure flow	×		ļ	7		
Armored appearance to channel		×	-	-	X	
Lateral instability of channel		-/-	X		X	
Buttur misuomey of channel						
Riprap at abutments? YesNoMarginal						
Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know						
Debris Potential? High Med × Low						
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed?						
Riprap Yes No Don't know NA						
Spur Dike Yes X No Don't know NA						
Other Yes No Don't know NA						
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D <sub>50</sub> )						
Material Silt/Clay Sand	Gravel			Cobbles	Boulders	
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2	.00 2.00-64			64-250	>250	
3,332		2.000.		0120	200	
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos						
1-Bridge Deck Channel bage comes at angle but at						
2-Looking Upgfream 3-Looking Downgtream Notes: 100+500 flows will be gttaigh on, 4-Left overbank An angle of 00 was used.						
1 1 of t over bank						
E-Right Overbank An angle of Was used.						
(-Left Abutment						
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos   -Bridge Deck 2-Looking Upgtream 3-Looking Downgtream 3-Looking Downgtream 4-Left overbank 5-Right Overbank 6-Left Abutment 7-Right Abutment						
8-Piero						
Summary of Results						
Summary of Results		Q100			Q500	
Bridge flow evaluated	15,702			15.702		
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	2.77			7,77		
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	7.5			7.5		
Contraction scour depth (yes), in feet	6.1			6.1		
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	5,4			5,4		
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	11. /				17.7	
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	19,4				19,4	
1Flow angle of attack	0			0		