	Bridge Structure No. $\frac{50222192}{50222192}$ Date $\frac{9-19-10}{100}$ Initials $\frac{1}{100}$ Region (ABOD)  Site Location $\frac{1}{100}$ Ave $\frac{1}{100}$ Region (ABOD)  Output $\frac{1}{100}$ By: drainage area ratio $\frac{1}{100}$ flood freq. anal. regional regression eq. Bridge discharge ( $\frac{1}{100}$ ) Should be $\frac{1}{1000}$ unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method  Bridge Width = $\frac{346}{100}$ ft.  Width (W2) iteration = $\frac{320}{100}$ Flow angle at bridge = $\frac{100}{100}$ Abut. Skew = $\frac{100}{100}$ Effective Skew = $\frac{100}{100}$ Avg. flow depth at bridge, y2 iteration = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  Corrected channel width at bridge Section = W2 times cos of flow angle = $\frac{324}{100}$ ft.  Average main channel depth at approach section, y1 = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  Average main channel depth at approach section, y1 = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  *NOTE: repeat above calculations until y2 changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = L sin(q) + a cos(q)  If y2 is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOIREGA, RDOIREGA, RDOIREGC, or RDOIREGD.  Water Surface Elev. = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  In (Channel) = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  In (ROB) = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  Flow angle at bridge = $\frac{100}{100}$ or Abut. Skew = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  Flow angle at bridge = $\frac{100}{100}$ ft.  Flow a
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 340$ ft  Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = 270$ ft  Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = 350$ ft  Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = 5$ , 8  ft  Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = 4$ , 75  From Figure 9 $W_2$ (effective) = 313, 9 ft $y_{cs} = 5$ , 4 ft
PGRM: CWCSNEW	
PGRM: Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS  Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = 1$ Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 1$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 0$ It
PGRM: Abutment	Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = \frac{5}{1.8}$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = \frac{4.3}{1.00}$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1$ = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for $y_{aLT}$ and $y_{aRT}$ on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = \frac{16.5}{1.00}$ and $\psi_{RT} = \frac{4.3}{10.00}$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = \frac{13.8}{10.00}$ ft

Route Bohngeh Avertam Big Sioux Rivet MRM Date 9-19-10 Initials ZAZ  Bridge Structure No. 50 Z Z Z 19Z Location Bahngeh Averin NE 9104× Falls  GPS coordinates: N43° 34, 236′ taken from: USL abutment centerline of 1 MRM end Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27								
D								
The average bottom of the main channel was $32.5$ ft below top of guardrail at a point [13] ft from left abutment.								
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations.								
MICCELL ANEQUE CONCIDED ATTOMS								
Flows		ELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS $Q_{100} = 34500 \qquad Q_{500} = 59300$				7		
Estimated flow passing through bridge				Q <sub>500</sub> = 99300				
Estimated road overflow & overtopping	34900 0			71700				
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly		
Chance of overtopping	1 05	× ×	Pussibly	105	X	Possibly		
Chance of Pressure flow		×			×			
Armored appearance to channel		×	-		×			
Lateral instability of channel			X			X		
Laterar histability of channel								
Riprap at abutments? Yes No Marginal								
The Article Assessment Control of the Control of th								
Evidence of past Scour? YesNoDon't know								
Debris Potential?HighLow								
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed?								
Riprap         Yes         No         Don't know         NA           Spur Dike         Yes         No         Don't know         NA								
Spur Dike Yes No Don't know NA								
Other Yes No Don't know NA								
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D <sub>50</sub> )								
Material Silt/Clay Sand	Gravel			Cobbles Boulders				
				64-250		>250		
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.00 2.00-64 64-250 >250								
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos								
2 - cooking Upt ream								
3- rooking homen								
4-Left Overbank								
5-Kight Overank								
4-Left Overbank  5-Right Overbank  6-Left Abut ment  7-Piers  8-Right Abut ment  9-Road Near Right Abut ment.								
2-Right Abutment								
9- Road weat Right Abutment.								
Summary of Results								
Q100 Q500								
Bridge flow evaluated	34900			59300				
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	6.75.8			21.5 10.2				
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	43.8-4.3			20,3 8.7				
Contraction scour depth (yes), in feet	5,4			10.3				
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	[0,]			10.3				
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	16.5			21.5				
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	13.8			20,3				
1Flow angle of attack	10			10				