	SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM
	Bridge Structure No. 524 19 299 Date 4/4/11 Initials (ABCD)
	Site Location <u>Fast Boulevard</u> over <u>Repid Creek</u> Q ₁₀₀ = <u>4800</u> by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal regional regression eq
	Q ₁₀₀ = 1800 by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq.
	Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = \underline{\qquad}$ (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method
PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Bridge Width = $\frac{200}{100}$ ft. Flow angle at bridge = $\frac{5}{100}$ Abut. Skew = $\frac{5}{100}$ ° Effective Skew = $\frac{5}{100}$ °
	Width (W_2) iteration = $210 - 163 - 165$
	Avg. flow depth at bridge, y_2 iteration = 4.0 4.6 4.6
	Corrected channel width at bridge Section = W_2 times cos of flow angle = $\frac{162.36}{160}$ ft* $\frac{1}{160}$ ft
tegio	
GRM: "Ro 'RegionC",	Average main channel depth at approach section, $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 = 5$, $\frac{1}{2}$ ft * NOTE: repeat above calculations until y_2 changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$
GRN	* NOTE: repeat above calculations until y_2 changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$ If y_2 is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD,
<u> </u>	
	Water Surface Elev. = ft
	Low Steel Elev. 6
	n (Channel) = 0.040 n (LOB) = 0.035
	n (ROB) = 0.035
	Pier Width = 1.25 ft
	Pier Length = $\frac{40}{5}$ ft # Piers for 100 yr = $\frac{3}{5}$ ft
	# Piers for $100 \text{ yr} = \underline{} $ ft
	CONTRACTION SCOUR
tract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 20$ ft
	Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = \frac{1}{10000}$ Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = \frac{1}{10000}$ ft
PGRM: Contract	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = $ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = $ ft
GRM	Line Bod Controlling Space (confidence of the confidence of the co
Ъ	<u>Live Bed Contraction Scour</u> (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{From Figure 9} \qquad W_2 \text{ (effective)} = \text{ ft} \qquad y_{cs} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ ft}$
	$x = $ ft $y_{cs} = $ ft
×	<u>Clear Water Contraction Scour</u> (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles) $Z = 0$
SNI	Estimated bed material $D_{50} = Q_{100}/Q_{1$
CWC	Critical approach velocity, $Vc = 11.52y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} = 6.65$ ft/s
PGRM: CWCSNEW	If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.
PG	$D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = 0.0006(q_2/q_1^{7/6})^3 = 0.0006(q_2/q_1^{7/6})^3 = 0.0006$
	Otherwise, $\chi = 0.122 y_1 [q_2/(D_{50}^{1/3} y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 = $ From Figure 10, $y_{cs} = $ ft
icr	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS
PGRM: Pier	L/a ratio = $\frac{1}{2}$ Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = \frac{1}{2}$
PGR	Froude # at bridge = 0.53 Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 5.6$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 7.7$ ft
=	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS
PGRM: Abutment	Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 0$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 0$ ft
: Ab	Shape coefficient K_1 = 1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through
JRM	Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = 0.0$ and $\psi_{RT} = 0.0$
Ъ	Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 0$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 0$ ft



Route Bowlevard Stream Rapid Cre	eek	MRM	Dat	e Ma	-4/4/ Init	ials_Ch		
Bridge Structure No. 52419299 Location East Bowlevard over Ropid Creek								
GPS coordinates: 1/44° 04′ 55/1″ taken from: USL abutment X centerline of \(\text{MRM end} \)								
GPS coordinates:								
Drainage area = 419.57 sq. mi.								
The average bottom of the main channel was 19.0 ft below top of guardrail at a point 86.0 ft from left abutment.								
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations.								
MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS								
Flows	$Q_{100} = 4800$			$Q_{500} = 18100$				
Estimated flow passing through bridge	4810			19100				
Estimated road overflow & overtopping								
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly		
Chance of overtopping		×			X			
Chance of Pressure flow		Ý			X			
Armored appearance to channel	-	X			X			
Lateral instability of channel		X			X			
Riprap at abutments?YesNo								
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.	.00	2.00-64		64-250		>250		
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos Photos 51-R. Abut L. Abut 56-Gate & R. Abut 57New channel on RB 47-US 48-RBUS 53-4-R. Abut 56-US face bridge 59-US face bridge 50-1D 55-R. Abut Summary of Results								
Summary of Results		0100	Т		0500			
Deidas flau systystad	Q100			Q500				
Bridge flow evaluated	4800			19100				
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	0.0			1.55				
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	0,0			1.55				
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	<u> </u>			0.0				
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet 7.7 7.9								
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	0.0			1.55 6.4				

Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet

1Flow angle of attack