| PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionC" | Bridge Structure No. 52480282 Date $9/3/12$ Initials Region (ABCD) Site Location Rader Hill Lt Box Elder Ck IN RC Q100 = $4/50$ by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq. Prince discharge (Q2) = $4/50$ (should be Q100 unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping) Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method Bridge Width $= 6$ ft. Flow angle at bridge $= 2/50$ Abut. Skew $= 1/50$ Effective Skew $= 1/50$ Effective Skew $= 1/50$ Effective Skew $= 1/50$ Sec. Adv. Bridge Width (W2) iteration $= 1/50$ Abut. Skew $= 1/50$ Effective Skew $= 1/50$ Sec. Adv. Bridge Vel, V2 = $1/50$ ft/S Final y2 = $1/50$ ft $1/50$ Abut. Skew $= 1/50$ ft $1/50$ ft Average main channel depth at approach section, $1/50$ ft $1/50$ ft NOTE: repeat above calculations until y , changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width $= 1/50$ ft NoTE: repeat above calculations until y , changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width $= 1/50$ ft Low Steel Elev. $= 1/50$ ft Low Steel Elev. $= 1/50$ ft In (Channel) $= 1/50$ ft Room (Channel) $= 1/50$ ft Room (Channel) $= 1/50$ ft Room (Channel) $= 1/50$ ft Right Hill Lt Box Clder Ck In RC Region (ABCD) Rober 100 Abut. Skew = 1/50 Pct. Skew = 1/50 P |
|---|---|
| PGRM: Contract | Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 61$ ft Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = 60$ ft Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = 38$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = 1.5$ ft Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = 1.30$ From Figure 9 w_2 (effective) = 1.30 ft w_3 ft |
| Σ | |
| PGRM: Pier | PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = \frac{1.05}{4}$ Froude # at bridge = 0.59 Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = \frac{1.05}{4}$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = \frac{1.05}{4}$ |
| PGRM: Abutment | ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 1.00$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 2.00$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1 = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $y_{LT} = 2.00$ and $y_{RT} = 2.00$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = y_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 2.00$ ft |

SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM

13060,501

111,92,5 0801 191,18,19 ohh

-Fx:+ 63 Cobble 120. Hill 1000.

| Route Rader Hill Rostream Box Elder | - CK | MRM | Da | te 5/3/1 | Z In | itials RA | | | |
|--|--|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| Bridge Structure No. 5248 6282 Location Boxelder Ck + Radar Hill Rd in RC GPS coordinates: N 440 061 24111 Location Boxelder Ck + Radar Hill Rd in RC taken from: USL abutment × centerline of îl MRM end Datum of coordinates: WGS84 × NAD27 | | | | | | | | | |
| CDS coordinates 1) 1410 06/ 04// tolon from USI shutment to contain of 1 MPM and | | | | | | | | | |
| 4/03/05 27:1/1 | Datum of co | ordinates: W | GS84 | NAD27_ | | | | | |
| Drainage area = \\\ \lambda \frac{37}{57} \text{sq. mi.} | | | | Without | | | | | |
| The average bottom of the main channel was | ft belov | v top of guard | rail at a poin | t 44 | ft from le | eft abutment. | | | |
| Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | OUS CONSI | | | | | 7/3 | | |
| Flows | | | DERATIO | | 10200 | | 3 1 159 | | |
| Estimated flow passing through bridge | $Q_{100} = 4650$ | | | $Q_{500} = 10200$ 5209 | | | 5 1419 | | |
| Estimated flow passing through bridge Estimated road overflow & overtopping | 1650 | | | 4991 | | | 10 935 | | |
| Consideration | Yes | No | Possibly | Yes | No | Possibly | 23 1870 | | |
| Chance of overtopping | 1 05 | 140 | V | X | 110 | 1 0331019 | 50 3040 | | |
| Chance of Pressure flow | × | | | × | | | 100 4650 | | |
| Armored appearance to channel | _ ~ | | | | X | | 500 1070 | | |
| Lateral instability of channel | | × | | | × | | 200) | | |
| Lateral instability of channel | in the second se | | | | | Li- | | | |
| Riprap at abutments? YesNoMarginal | | | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of past Scour? X Yes | No | Don't knov | v Minor Co | ontraction | | | | | |
| Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Marginal Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know Miner contraction Debris Potential? High Med X Low | | | | | | | | | |
| Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed? Yes No X Don't know NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been | designed? | _ | . boulded co | bble 3,2EC | 1000 | 1. | | | |
| RiprapY | es N | lo <u>×</u> Do | n't know | NA | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Spur DikeYesNoDon't knowNA | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| Red Material | Classificatio | n Based on M | edian Particl | e Size (D. |) | | | | |
| Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D ₅₀) | | | | | | | | | |
| terial Silt/Clay Sand Gravel Cobbles Boulders | | | | | | | | | |
| Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.00 2.00-64 64-250 >250 | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | Bildse | ansit | | |
| Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital phot | os | , main ch | va. A.C.) | | | L | 1 | | |
| 1), left 0B | 11) | , main Cr | incit to | | | | Ht | | |
| 3), right of [Bildge] 5-7), right abutnest 8 to). left abutnest | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 No. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| 41 25 | | | | | | Bildge | J | | |
| W. p. s. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5-7), light appropriate | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 My. 1874 abut ment | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Summary of Results | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of results . | | Q100 | | | Q500 | | | | |
| Bridge flow evaluated | 4650 | | | \$4 5209 | | | | | |
| Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet | 1.5 | | | 211 | | | | | |
| Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet | 2.4 | | | 2,7 | | | | | |
| Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet | 1,8 | | | 2.6 | | | 1 | | |
| Pier scour depth (yps), in feet | | 70 4.0 | | | DWY 4C | | | | |
| Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet | 6-3 | | | 8,6 | | | 1 | | |
| Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet | | | | | | | | | |
| 1Flow angle of attack | | 10 | | | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |