	SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM
	Bridge Structure No. 52604298 Date 3/11/11 Initials Region (ABCD)
	Site Location 0.3 mi 3 of New Underwood on 167 Are Q ₁₀₀ = 152 by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq.
	$Q_{100} = \underline{152}$ by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq
	(should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)
PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Water Surface Elev. =ft
	Low Steel Elev. = 0.036 n (Channel) = 0.036 n (LOB) = 0.033 n (ROB) = 0.033 Pier Width = 0.033 Pier Length = 0.033 # Piers for 100 yr = 0.033
	CONTRACTION SCOUR
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 50$ ft
	Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = $ ft Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = $ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = $ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = $ ft
	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ ft
PGF	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer)
	From Figure 9 W_2 (effective) =ft y_{cs} =ft
PGRM: CWCSNEW	Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles) Estimated bed material $D_{50} = 0$, H ft Average approach velocity, $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1W_1) = 1.32$ ft/s Critical approach velocity, $V_0 = 11.17y_1^{1/6}D_{50}^{1/3} = 9.46$ ft/s
RM.	If $V_1 < V_c$ and $D_{50} >= 0.2$ ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.
PG	$D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 = 0.002$ ft If $D_{50} >= D_{c50}$, $\chi = 0.0$
	Otherwise, $\chi = 0.122y_1[\dot{q}_2/(D_{50}^{1/3}y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 = $ from Figure 10, $y_{cs} = $ ft
PGRM: Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS L/a ratio = Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = Using pier width a on Figure 11, \(\xi = \) Pier scour y _{ps} = ft
#	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS
PGRM: Abutment	Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 0.0$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 0.0$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1 = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = 0.0$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 0.0$ ft

Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 0.0$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 0.0$ ft

Route 161 Ave Stream	MRM Date 8/11/11 Initials G										
Bridge Structure No. 52608294 Location () 3 Mi C of New Underwood on KIA											
GPS coordinates: N440 05 04, 8" taken from: USL abutment \(\times \) centerline of \(\int \) MRM end											
W 102° 50' 03.5" Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27											
Drainage area = 0.2 sq. mi.											
The average bottom of the main channel was 10,3 ft below top of guardrail at a point 17 ft from left abutment.											
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations.											
MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS PK a/c/d 8/8											
Flows	$Q_{100} = 152$			$Q_{500} = 2.7/$			PK2 1	0			
Estimated flow passing through bridge	152			271				3.6			
Estimated road overflow & overtopping							10 4	9.2			
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly	25 8	2.3			
Chance of overtopping		X			X		60 11	4			
Chance of Pressure flow		X			X			52			
Armored appearance to channel		X			X		100	71			
Lateral instability of channel		\times			X	z	500 12	, (
V											
Riprap at abutments? Yes	No	Marginal									
Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know											
Debris Potential? High X Med Low											
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed?											
Riprap Yes No Don't know NA											
Spur Dike Yes No Don't know NA											
se • de servicio en marco en m											
Other YesNoDon't knowNA											
Red Material Classification Resed on Median Particle Size (D.)											
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D ₅₀)											
Material Silt/Clay Sand Gravel Cobbles Boulders											
Size range, in mm < 0.062 0.062-2.	00	2.00-64	(64-250		>250					
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital phot											
92-1P 97-L. Abut											
93-45											
93-US 94-US RB 96-US Face											
95-6363											
96 - R. Abut											
Summary of Results											
9		Q100			Q500						
Bridge flow evaluated	152			271							
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	0.0			0.0							
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	0.0			0.0							
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	0.0			0.0							
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet O.O.NA											
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	0.0			0.0							

Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet

1Flow angle of attack