	Bridge Structure No. 55065438 Date 600 Initials Region (A BCD) Site Location 15 m' 5 cf HWV 12 on 455 Arc Q ₁₀₀ = 000 by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq. ×	
PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionD"	Bridge discharge $(Q_2) = 1000$ (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)	
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method Bridge Width = 41 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 5 ° Abut. Skew = 6 ° Effective Skew = 5 ° Width (W ₂) iteration = 40 ° Effective Skew = 5 ° Avg. flow depth at bridge, y ₂ iteration = 40 ° Effective Skew = 5 °	
	Corrected channel width at bridge Section = W_2 times cos of flow angle = $\frac{40.64}{1}$ ft* $q_2 = Q_2/W_2 = \frac{24.5}{1}$ ft Seridge Vel, $V_2 = \frac{3.5}{1}$ ft/s Final $y_2 = q_2/V_2 = \frac{7}{1}$ ft $\Delta h = \frac{0.2}{1}$ ft Average main channel depth at approach section, $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 = \frac{7}{1}$ ft	1
	*NOTE: repeat above calculations until y 1 changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = L sin(q) + a cos(q) If y 2 is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD, [2] 5, Z -3, Z	- 6
	Water Surface Elev. = $\frac{dN_{y}}{dt}$ ft Low Steel Elev. = $\frac{q.4}{4}$ ft n (Channel) = $\frac{0.032}{0.032}$ n (ROB) = $\frac{0.032}{0.032}$ Pier Width = $\frac{1.35}{1.35}$ ft Pier Length = $\frac{1.35}{1.35}$ ft # Piers for 100 yr = $\frac{1}{1.35}$ ft	
	CONTRACTION SCOUR	
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = \frac{CC}{ft}$ Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = \frac{QC}{ft}$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = \frac{QC}{ft}$	
	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = \frac{U}{ft}$ Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = 3.0$	_ft
	<u>Live Bed Contraction Scour</u> (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ From Figure 9} \qquad W_2 \text{ (effective)} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ ft } \qquad y_{cs} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ ft}$	
PGRM: CWCSNEW		
PGRM: Pier	PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), K2 = Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 6$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 4.8$ ft	
PGRM: Abutment	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 2.6$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 3.0$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1 = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = 10.6$ and $\psi_{RT} = 11.5$ Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 17.3$ ft Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 20.9$ ft	

Route 455 Ave Stream Dig Sious	Kihor	MRM_		e 8/2/1		ials/2				
Bridge Structure No. 55660438 Location 1.5 m; Sof HWY 12 on 455 fre										
GPS coordinates: N 45° 16′ 041′ taken from: USL abutment centerline of îl MRM end Datum of coordinates: WGS84 NAD27										
W 97°6'11,011	Datum of co	ordinates: W	GS84_>_	NAD27_						
Drainage area = 13.14 sq. mi.										
The average bottom of the main channel was 13 49 ft below top of guardrail at a point 3 ft from left abutment.										
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq. Analdrainage area ratioregional regression equations.										
MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS										
Flows	Q ₁₀₀ =	1000		$Q_{500} = 1600$			12			
Estimated flow passing through bridge	C100	1000		600			5			
Estimated road overflow & overtopping		0		0			2510			
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly	25			
Chance of overtopping		X			X		50			
Chance of Pressure flow		×			>		100			
Armored appearance to channel		_<			><		500			
Lateral instability of channel		×)-c	2	500			
Riprap at abutments? Yes	No	Marginal		r						
Evidence of past Scour?Yes	No	Don't knov	v substanti	al pier/a	antiaction -					
Evidence of past Scour? Yes No Don't know substantial pier/continuation— Debris Potential? High Med Low Since covered by the tag.										
		2000								
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been	designed?					Citt				
Riprap Yes No Don't know NA 105e quartz filling up										
Spur Dike Yes No Don't know NA Change										
Other YesNoNA										
Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D ₅₀)										
					Cobbles Boulders					
Charles and the second				1			_			
Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.	00	2.00-64	_							
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital phot	os		C	namel	s ripra	P				
Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos										
2) main charriel										
3). ight CB										
4). pie-										
5-6), right abridgent										
7-1). left abutment										
9/main Channy										
Summary of Results							1			
	Q100			Q500						
Bridge flow evaluated	1000			1600			-			
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	2,6			4.6			-			
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	3.0			5.0			1			
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	0			9.9			1			
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	19.3			26.0			1			
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet		20.9			7.3		1			
1Flow angle of attack	5			-112						