

**SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM**

Bridge Structure No. 58049160 Date 10-11-11 Initials RT Region (A B C D) (D)  
 Site \_\_\_\_\_ Location 1.3 mi NW Athol on 164<sup>th</sup> St  
 $Q_{100} =$  22,100 by: drainage area ratio \_\_\_\_\_ flood freq. anal. \_\_\_\_\_ regional regression eq.   
 Bridge discharge ( $Q_2$ ) = 9000 (should be  $Q_{100}$  unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)

**Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method**

Bridge Width = 118 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 15 ° Abut. Skew = 217 ° Effective Skew = 15 °  
 Width ( $W_2$ ) iteration = 114  
 Avg. flow depth at bridge,  $y_2$  iteration = 18.3  
 Corrected channel width at bridge Section =  $W_2$  times cos of flow angle = 114 ft\*  $q_2 = Q_2/W_2 =$  79 ft<sup>2</sup>/s  
 Bridge Vel,  $V_2 =$  4.3 ft/s Final  $y_2 = q_2/V_2 =$  18.3 ft  $\Delta h =$  0.4 ft  
 Average main channel depth at approach section,  $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 =$  18.7 ft

\*NOTE: repeat above calculations until  $y_2$  changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width =  $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$   
 If  $y_2$  is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD.

Water Surface Elev. = 3.8 ft  
 Low Steel Elev. = 15.6 ft  
 $n$  (Channel) = .025  
 $n$  (LOB) = .027  
 $n$  (ROB) = .028  
 Pier Width = 2.25 ft  
 Pier Length = 2.25 ft  
 # Piers for 100 yr = 2

smooth, muddy  
tall ungrazed grass  
corn field

too deep to wade to piers - diam estimated  
 Bridge will not pass  $Q_{100}$   
 Road overflow will begin at approximately 17.2 ft. Assume  $Q_{max}$  scour occurs at 18.0 ft and is approximately 9000 cfs

**CONTRACTION SCOUR**

Width of main channel at approach section  $W_1 =$  118 ft  
 Width of left overbank flow at approach,  $W_{lob} =$  5 ft Average left overbank flow depth,  $y_{lob} =$  38-19 = 19 ft  
 Width of right overbank flow at approach,  $W_{rob} =$  5 ft Average right overbank flow depth,  $y_{rob} =$  6.8+1 = 7.8 ft

Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer)

$x =$  0.93 From Figure 9  $W_2$  (effective) = 113.5 ft  $y_{cs} =$  1.4 ft

Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles)

Estimated bed material  $D_{50} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft Average approach velocity,  $V_1 = Q_{100}/(y_1 W_1) =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft/s  
 Critical approach velocity,  $V_c = 11.17 y_1^{1/6} D_{50}^{1/3} =$  N/A ft/s  
 If  $V_1 < V_c$  and  $D_{50} \geq 0.2$  ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.  
 $D_{c50} = 0.0006(q_2/y_1^{7/6})^3 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft If  $D_{50} \geq D_{c50}$ ,  $\chi = 0.0$   
 Otherwise,  $\chi = 0.122 y_1 [q_2 / (D_{50}^{1/3} y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ From Figure 10,  $y_{cs} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

**PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS**

L/a ratio = 1 Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1),  $K_2 =$  1  
 Froude # at bridge = 0.18 Using pier width a on Figure 11,  $\xi =$  8.8 Pier scour  $y_{ps} =$  6.8 ft

**ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS**

Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment,  $y_{aLT} =$  1.9 ft right abutment,  $y_{aRT} =$  6.8 ft  
 Shape coefficient  $K_1 =$  1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, (0.55) for spill-through  
 Using values for  $y_{aLT}$  and  $y_{aRT}$  on figure 12,  $\psi_{LT} =$  7.8 and  $\psi_{RT} =$  18.2  
 Left abutment scour,  $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) =$  7.8 ft Right abutment scour  $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) =$  18.2 ft

left bank is high and almost level with roadway  
 overbank lengths will be small because of overtopping

PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"  
 PGRM: Contract  
 PGRM: CWCNEW  
 PGRM: Pier  
 PGRM: Abutment

**SCOUR ANALYSIS AND REPORTING FORM**

Bridge Structure No. 58049160 Date \_\_\_\_\_ Initials \_\_\_\_\_ Region ( A B C D ) \_\_\_\_\_

Site \_\_\_\_\_ Location \_\_\_\_\_

$Q_{500} =$  49,500 by: drainage area ratio \_\_\_\_\_ flood freq. anal. \_\_\_\_\_ regional regression eq.

Bridge discharge ( $Q_2$ ) = \_\_\_\_\_ (should be  $Q_{500}$  unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)

**Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method**

Bridge Width = \_\_\_\_\_ ft. Flow angle at bridge = \_\_\_\_\_ ° Abut. Skew = \_\_\_\_\_ ° Effective Skew = \_\_\_\_\_ °

Width ( $W_2$ ) iteration = \_\_\_\_\_

Avg. flow depth at bridge,  $y_2$  iteration = \_\_\_\_\_

Corrected channel width at bridge Section =  $W_2$  times cos of flow angle = \_\_\_\_\_ ft\*  $q_2 = Q_2/W_2 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft<sup>2</sup>/s

Bridge Vel,  $V_2 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft/s Final  $y_2 = q_2/V_2 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft  $\Delta h =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

Average main channel depth at approach section,  $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

\* NOTE: repeat above calculations until  $y_2$  changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width =  $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$

If  $y_2$  is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD,

- Water Surface Elev. = \_\_\_\_\_ ft
- Low Steel Elev. = \_\_\_\_\_ ft
- n (Channel) = \_\_\_\_\_
- n (LOB) = \_\_\_\_\_
- n (ROB) = \_\_\_\_\_
- Pier Width = \_\_\_\_\_ ft
- Pier Length = \_\_\_\_\_ ft
- # Piers for 500 yr = \_\_\_\_\_

*Bridge will not pass  $Q_{100}$ . see top sheet for evaluation at approx.  $Q_{max}$  scour.*

**CONTRACTION SCOUR**

Width of main channel at approach section  $W_1 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

Width of left overbank flow at approach,  $W_{lob} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft Average left overbank flow depth,  $y_{lob} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

Width of right overbank flow at approach,  $W_{rob} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft Average right overbank flow depth,  $y_{rob} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer)

$x =$  \_\_\_\_\_ From Figure 9  $W_2$  (effective) = \_\_\_\_\_ ft  $y_{cs} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

Clear Water Contraction Scour (use if bed material is larger than small cobbles)

Estimated bed material  $D_{50} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft Average approach velocity,  $V_1 = Q_{500}/(y_1 W_1) =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft/s

Critical approach velocity,  $V_c = 11.17 y_1^{1/6} D_{50}^{1/3} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft/s

If  $V_1 < V_c$  and  $D_{50} \geq 0.2$  ft, use clear water equation below, otherwise use live bed scour equation above.

$D_{c50} = 0.0006 (q_2 / y_1^{7/6})^3 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft If  $D_{50} \geq D_{c50}$ ,  $\chi = 0.0$

Otherwise,  $\chi = 0.122 y_1 [q_2 / (D_{50}^{1/3} y_1^{7/6})]^{6/7} - y_1 =$  \_\_\_\_\_ From Figure 10,  $y_{cs} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

**PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS**

L/a ratio = \_\_\_\_\_ Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1),  $K_2 =$  \_\_\_\_\_

Froude # at bridge = \_\_\_\_\_ Using pier width a on Figure 11,  $\xi =$  \_\_\_\_\_ Pier scour  $y_{ps} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

**ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS**

Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment,  $y_{aLT} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft right abutment,  $y_{aRT} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

Shape coefficient  $K_1 =$  1.00 for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through

Using values for  $y_{aLT}$  and  $y_{aRT}$  on figure 12,  $\psi_{LT} =$  \_\_\_\_\_ and  $\psi_{RT} =$  \_\_\_\_\_

Left abutment scour,  $y_{as} = \psi_{LT} (K_1 / 0.55) =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft Right abutment scour  $y_{as} = \psi_{RT} (K_1 / 0.55) =$  \_\_\_\_\_ ft

PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"

PGRM: Contract

PGRM: CWCNEW

PGRM: Pie

PGRM: Abutment

Route 164<sup>th</sup> St Stream S. Fork Snake MRM \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ Initials \_\_\_\_\_

Bridge Structure No. 58049160 Location 1.3 mi NW from Athol on 164<sup>th</sup> St

GPS coordinates N 45° 00.827' taken from: USL abutment \_\_\_\_\_ centerline of ↑ MRM end \_\_\_\_\_  
W 98° 37.029' Datum of coordinates: WGS84  NAD27 \_\_\_\_\_

Drainage area = 1415.94 (cont.) sq. mi.

The average bottom of the main channel was 20.1 ft below top of guardrail at a point 28 ft from left abutment.

Method used to determine flood flows: \_\_\_\_\_ Freq. Anal. \_\_\_\_\_ drainage area ratio  regional regression equations.

**MISCELLANEOUS CONSIDERATIONS**

Flows	Q <sub>100</sub> = <u>22,100</u>			Q <sub>500</sub> = <u>49,500</u>		
Estimated flow passing through bridge	<u>9000</u>					
Estimated road overflow & overtopping	<u>13,100</u>					
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly
Chance of overtopping	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Chance of Pressure flow	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Armored appearance to channel		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Lateral instability of channel			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Riprap at abutments? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No  Marginal  
 Evidence of past Scour?  Yes  No \_\_\_\_\_ Don't know *scour at abutments (see photos)*  
 Debris Potential? \_\_\_\_\_ High  Med \_\_\_\_\_ Low

Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been designed?  
 Riprap \_\_\_\_\_ Yes  No \_\_\_\_\_ Don't know \_\_\_\_\_ NA  
 Spur Dike \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Don't know  NA  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Don't know  NA

**Bed Material Classification Based on Median Particle Size (D<sub>50</sub>)**

Material Silt/Clay  Sand \_\_\_\_\_ Gravel \_\_\_\_\_ Cobbles \_\_\_\_\_ Boulders \_\_\_\_\_  
 Size range, in mm <0.062 0.062-2.00 2.00-64 64-250 >250

Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photos  
*Abutment scour is probably overestimated because of road overflow beginning at bridge.*

*Photos*  
 structure number  
 approach section from bridge  
 bridge section from Left approach  
 left overbank  
 right overbank  
 left abutment under bridge

**Summary of Results**

	Q100	Q500
Bridge flow evaluated	<u>9000</u>	
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	<u>1.9</u>	<u>≠</u>
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	<u>6.8</u>	
Contraction scour depth (y <sub>cs</sub> ), in feet	<u>1.4</u>	
Pier scour depth (y <sub>ps</sub> ), in feet	<u>6.8</u>	
Left abutment scour depth (y <sub>as</sub> ), in feet	<u>7.8</u>	
Right abutment scour depth (y <sub>as</sub> ), in feet	<u>18.2</u>	
Flow angle of attack	<u>15°</u>	

See Comments/Diagram for justification where required

Basin characteristics from  
provisional Stream Stats 10-7-11

Cont. D.A. = 1415.94 mi<sup>2</sup>

PII = 0.82

100% subregion B

Manually calculated peaks:

$Q_{100} =$ ~~21,100~~ 22,100 cfs

$Q_{500} = 49,500$  cfs