	Bridge Structure No. 58205000 Date $6/29/11$ Initials Region (ABCD) Site Location from Stratford 55 0.5 E $Q_{100} = 3390$ by: drainage area ratio flood freq. anal. regional regression eq. Bridge discharge (Q_2) = 3390 (should be Q_{100} unless there is a relief bridge, road overflow, or bridge overtopping)							
	Analytical Procedure for Estimating Hydraulic Variables Needed to Apply Method Bridge Width = 136 ft. Flow angle at bridge = 28 ° Abut. Skew = 28 ° Effective Skew = 0 °							
PGRM: "RegionA", "RegionB", "RegionC", or "RegionD"	Width (W_2) iteration = 134 134 Avg. flow depth at bridge, y_2 iteration = 9.9 9. Q Corrected channel width at bridge Section = W_2 times cos of flow angle = 134 ft* $q_2 = Q_2/W_2 = 24.6$ ft²/s							
	Bridge Vel, $V_2 = 2.5$ ft/s Final $y_2 = q_2/V_2 = 9.9$ ft $\Delta h = 0.1$ ft Average main channel depth at approach section, $y_1 = \Delta h + y_2 = 10$ ft							
	* NOTE: repeat above calculations until y_2 changes by less than 0.2 Effective pier width = $L \sin(q) + a \cos(q)$ If y_2 is above LS, then account for Road Overflow using PRGM: RDOVREGA, RDOVREGB, RDOVREGC, or RDOVREGD,							
	Water Surface Elev. = $\begin{array}{c} & \text{ft} \\ \text{Low Steel Elev.} = & \begin{array}{c} 10.3 \\ \text{ft} \\ \text{n (Channel)} = \begin{array}{c} 0.040 \\ \text{O} \end{array}$ ft $\begin{array}{c} 3.6 \\ \text{n (ROB)} = \begin{array}{c} 0.037 \\ \text{Pier Width} = \begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ \text{I} \end{array}$ ft $\begin{array}{c} \text{ft} \\ \text{Piers for 100 yr} = \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \text{ft} \end{array}$							
	CONTRACTION SCOUR							
PGRM: Contract	Width of main channel at approach section $W_1 = 140$ ft Width of left overbank flow at approach, $W_{lob} = 136$ ft Average left overbank flow depth, $y_{lob} = 3.6$ ft							
	Width of right overbank flow at approach, $W_{rob} = 136$ ft Average right overbank flow depth, $y_{rob} = 3.7$ ft							
	Live Bed Contraction Scour (use if bed material is small cobbles or finer) $x = 4,64 \text{From Figure 9} W_2 \text{ (effective)} = 133,6 \text{ft} y_{cs} = 5,3 \text{ft}$							
PGRM: CWCSNEW								
PGRM: Pier	Froude # at bridge = 0.14 PIER SCOUR CALCULATIONS Correction factor for flow angle of attack (from Table 1), $K2 = 1.0$ Using pier width a on Figure 11, $\xi = 5.2$ Pier scour $y_{ps} = 3.9$ ft							
PGRM: Abutment	ABUTMENT SCOUR CALCULATIONS Average flow depth blocked by: left abutment, $y_{aLT} = 3.6$ ft right abutment, $y_{aRT} = 3.7$ ft Shape coefficient $K_1 = 1.00$ for vertical-wall, 0.82 for vertical-wall with wingwalls, 0.55 for spill-through Using values for y_{aLT} and y_{aRT} on figure 12, $\psi_{LT} = 12.6$ and $\psi_{RT} = 12.7$ Left abutment scour, $y_{as} = \psi_{LT}(K_1/0.55) = 15.75$ ft a. Right abutment scour $y_{as} = \psi_{RT}(K_1/0.55) = 16.0$ ft and $\psi_{RT} = 12.7$							

Route 148 St Stream Mud Cre	201	MRM	Da	6/20	2/II Tali	tials Chy
Deides Structure No. 5 030 5000	CC	VIICIVI	The Da	1 7 50		E
Bridge Structure No. 5820 5000 Lo	cation +re	m 241	at tor	a, 5	5,015	1=
GPS coordinates: <u>N 46° 14' 29.3"</u> W 48° 17' 58.3"	Datum of or	USL abutmen	CSS4		of Î MRM e	end
W 19 1/ 30ι.	Datum of co	ordinates: w	US64	NAD27		
Drainage area = (637.09) sq. mi.				211		
The average bottom of the main channel was 14.						
Method used to determine flood flows:Freq.	. Anal.	dramage area	ratio	regional reg	gression equ	ations.
MI	SCELLANE	OUS CONSII	DERATIO	NS		
Flows	$Q_{100} = 3390$			$Q_{500} = 7800$		
Estimated flow passing through bridge	3396			3688		
Estimated road overflow & overtopping	-			4112		
Consideration	Yes	No	Possibly	Yes	No	Possibly
Chance of overtopping		X	100.00	X		
Chance of Pressure flow			X	X		
Armored appearance to channel		X			X	
Lateral instability of channel		X			X	
Riprap at abutments? Yes	No	Marginal				
	No					
Evidence of past Scour? Yes		Don't know	/			
Debris Potential?High	Med _X	Low				
Does seems countermoscure(s) annous to have been	n designed?					
Does scour countermeasure(s) appear to have been	and the second s		1	V		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		loDor		1		
Spur DikeY	1997	loDoi		NA		
OtherY	esN	loDoi	ı't know	X_NA		
w						
		n Based on Me	edian Particl			
Material Silt/Clay Sand Sand	Gravel			Cobbles Boulders		
Size range, in mm < 0.062 0.062-2	.00	2.00-64		64-250		>250

Comments, Diagrams & orientation of digital photo-						
1670-10 75-U	5 L. A)	but	12	1 0	X5 /	look, 45
71-45 1 76-		11	80-	- APP	112	01,143
72-45 77-9	D'ar.		81-	App,	X5 100	K. 45 @
and the second s			47-	1 0	banku	
73-45 RB 78-1	L. Abut	7.0	00	2 eng	ena he	end
74-45 LB 79- U	S fre	bridge	83-	Ken	brukt	nont
The contract of the contract o	Tuce	o of				
Summary of Results						
	Q100			Q500		
Bridge flow evaluated	3390			3689		
Flow depth at left abutment (yaLT), in feet	3.6			4.0		
Flow depth at right abutment (yaRT), in feet	3. 7			4.1		
Contraction scour depth (ycs), in feet	5.3			6.0		
Pier scour depth (yps), in feet	3,9			3.9		
Left abutment scour depth (yas), in feet	on -		2.6	an	16.6	13.3
Right abutment scour depth (yas), in feet 1Flow angle of attack	cw -	16,0	23.2	cmy	010	24.4
ir low aligie of attack					()	