Appendix 1. Model Archival Summary for Chlorophyll Concentration at Station 06887500; Kansas River at Wamego, Kansas, during August 30, 2012, through June 10, 2014

This model archival summary summarizes the chlorophyll (Chl; uncorrected for degradation products) concentration model developed to estimate Chl concentrations using YSI Model 6025 sensor-measured 15-minute chlorophyll fluorescence (fChl) data during August 30, 2012, through June 10, 2014.

Site and Model Information
Site number: 06887500
Site name: Kansas River at Wamego, Kansas
Location: Lat 39°11'54", long 96°18'19" referenced to North American Datum of 1927, in SW 1/4 NW 1/4 SE 1/4 sec.9, T.10 S., R.10 E., Pottawatomie County, KS, Hydrologic Unit 10270102.

Equipment: A YSI 6600 water-quality monitor equipped with model 6025 fChl sensor was installed during August 2012 through June 2014. The monitor was housed in a 4-inch diameter galvanized steel pipe. Readings from the water-quality monitor were recorded every 15 minutes and transmitted by way of satellite, hourly. Sensor maximum was not exceeded during this time (operable range: 0 to 400 micrograms per liter).

Date model was created: August 3, 2017

Model calibration data period and application date: August 30, 2012–June 10, 2014

Model-Calibration Dataset
All data were collected using U.S. Geological Survey protocols (U.S. Geological Survey, variously dated; https://water.usgs.gov/owq/FieldManual; Wagner and others, 2006) and are stored in the National Water Information System (U.S. Geological Survey, 2018) database and available to the public. Calibration of the fChl sensor was periodically checked (quarterly), and recalibrated if needed, using the YSI-recommended Rhodamine WT dye solution and YSI-provided calibration tables (Yellow Springs Instruments, 2012). If recalibration was required, calibration check data were used to apply prorated calibration drift corrections to the sensor-measured fChl data during the sensor-deployment time period. Ordinary least squares analysis was used to develop regression models between sensor-measured fChl and laboratory-measured Chl (uncorrected for degradation products) at the Kansas River at Wamego, Kansas (Kans.), site using the open-source software package “R.” This model is specific to the Kansas River at Wamego site during this study period and cannot be applied to data collected from other sites on the Kansas River or data collected from other water bodies.

The final selected regression model is based on 25 concurrent measurements of sensor-measured fChl and laboratory-measured Chl collected during August 30, 2012, through June 10, 2014. Samples were collected throughout the range of continuously observed hydrologic conditions. No samples were below laboratory detection limits. Summary statistics and the complete model-calibration dataset are provided below. Studentized residuals from the final model were inspected for values greater than three or less than negative three. Values outside of that range were considered potential outliers and were investigated. None of the samples in this dataset were deemed outliers or removed from the model calibration dataset.

Chlorophyll Sampling Details
Cross-section samples were typically collected either from the downstream side of the bridge or instream within 100 feet of the bridge. The equal-width-increment method was used, and samples typically were composited for analysis. During August 2012 through June 2014, cross-section samples were collected every 2 weeks during March through October, once a month during November through February, and during selected reservoir release and runoff events. A FISP US DH-95 or D-95 depth integrating sampler was used from the downstream side of the bridge. Additional detail on sample collection is available in Graham and others (2018). Chl (uncorrected for degradation products) was analyzed fluorometrically using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 445.0 (Arar and Collins, 1997) modified for heated ethanol extraction (Sartory and Grobbelaar, 1984 and a fluorometer equipped with a flow-through cell (Knowlton, 1984) at the U.S. Geological Survey Kansas Water Science Center in Lawrence, Kans.
**Model Development**

Ordinary least squares regression analysis was done using R version 3.4.2 (R Core Team, 2017) with sensor-measured fChl as the explanatory variable for laboratory-measured Chl concentrations. The distribution of residuals was examined for normality, and the plots of residuals (the difference between the measured and computed values) were examined for homoscedasticity (meaning that their departures from zero did not change substantially over the range of computed values).

Values for all the aforementioned statistics were computed and are included below along with all relevant sample data and more in-depth statistical information.

**Model Summary**

The following is a summary of final regression analysis for sensor-measured fChl and laboratory-measured Chl at the Kansas River at Wamego, Kans., streamgage (U.S. Geological Survey station number 06887500) during August 30, 2012, through June 10, 2014. A limitation in model predictive ability on the upper range of the observed concentrations is likely due to the small sample size (25) and can be seen in the cross-validation plots below.

Chl concentration model:

\[ Chl_E = 2.05 \times fChl + 6.55 \]

where

- \( Chl_E \) = regression estimated chlorophyll (uncorrected for degradation products) concentration in micrograms per liter; and
- \( fChl \) = sensor-measured chlorophyll fluorescence in micrograms per liter.
R Output for the relation between YSI model 6025 sensor-measured chlorophyll fluorescence and laboratory-measured chlorophyll concentration; 06887500; Kansas River at Wamego, Kansas

Model Statistics, Data, and Plots

Definitions for terms used in this output can be found at the end of this document.

Model

\[ \text{Chl}_E = 2.05 \times \text{fChl} + 6.55 \]

Variable Summary Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chl</th>
<th>fChl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quartile</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>9.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>13.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>17.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quartile</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>21.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>50.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Box Plots
Exploratory Plots

Red line shows the locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS).

Percent of time streamflow is equal to or less than given value.
Basic Model Statistics

For a detailed explanation of the terms used below, refer to Helsel and Hirsch (2002).

Number of Observations                             25
Standard error (RMSE)                            16.5
Upper Model standard percentage error (MSPE)     38.3
Lower Model standard percentage error (MSPE)     38.3
Coefficient of determination (R²)               0.755
Adjusted Coefficient of Determination (Adj. R²) 0.744

Explanatory Variables

| Coefficients | Standard Error | t value | Probability(>|t|) |
|--------------|----------------|---------|------------------|
| (Intercept)  | 6.55           | 5.440   | 1.20             | 2.41e-01 |
| fChl         | 2.05           | 0.244   | 8.41             | 1.79e-08 |
## Correlation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>fChl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fChl</td>
<td>-0.796</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Outlier Test Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leverage</th>
<th>Cook's D</th>
<th>DFFITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Flagged Observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chl Estimate</th>
<th>Residual Standard</th>
<th>Residual Studentized</th>
<th>Residual</th>
<th>Leverage</th>
<th>Cook's D</th>
<th>DFFITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>-36.900</td>
<td>-2.650</td>
<td>-3.100</td>
<td>0.2790</td>
<td>-1.9300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>37.000</td>
<td>2.300</td>
<td>2.560</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
<td>0.5430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>40.600</td>
<td>2.520</td>
<td>2.900</td>
<td>0.0436</td>
<td>0.6190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>-2.630</td>
<td>0.1790</td>
<td>0.1760</td>
<td>0.2040</td>
<td>0.0889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>10.300</td>
<td>0.7280</td>
<td>0.7210</td>
<td>0.2640</td>
<td>0.4320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>-0.386</td>
<td>-0.0252</td>
<td>-0.0247</td>
<td>0.1340</td>
<td>-0.0096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical Plots
Cross Validation

Fold - equal partition of the data (10 percent of the data)
Large symbols – observed value of a data point removed in a fold
Small symbols – recomputed value of a data point removed in a fold
Recomputed regression lines – adjusted regression line with one fold removed

Minimum MSE of folds: 33.80
Mean MSE of folds: 289.00
Median MSE of folds: 128.00
Maximum MSE of folds: 876.00

(Mean MSE of folds) / (Model MSE): 1.07
**Model-Calibration Data Set**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Chl</th>
<th>fChl</th>
<th>Computed</th>
<th>Residual</th>
<th>Normal Quantiles</th>
<th>Censored Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 9/10/2012</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 9/24/2012</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>-7.79</td>
<td>-0.765</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 10/15/2012</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>-3.14</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 10/29/2012</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>-15.2</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 12/17/2012</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>-4.38</td>
<td>-0.303</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 1/14/2013</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>-6.13</td>
<td>-0.409</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 2/11/2013</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>-0.563</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 3/11/2013</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 4/8/2013</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-36.9</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 5/6/2013</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 5/20/2013</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 6/3/2013</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 6/17/2013</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-6.91</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19  7/1/2013  8.6  4.4  15.6  -6.97  -0.637  --
20  7/15/2013  43   17  41.3  1.74   0.52   --
21  8/5/2013  9.23  9.96  27   -17.7  -1.53   --
22  8/19/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
23  8/29/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
24  8/31/2013  5.3   4.3   16.6  -0.106  0.2    --
25  9/9/2013  51.9  23.2  54.1  -2.23  -0.0996 --
26  9/10/2013  55.2  18.8  45.1   10.0   0.906  --
27  9/16/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
28  9/26/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
29  9/28/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
30  10/2/2013  8.6  4.4  15.6  -6.97  -0.637  --
31  10/8/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
32  12/16/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
33  12/18/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
34  12/20/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
35  12/22/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
36  12/24/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --
37  12/26/2013  9.27  9.3   25.6  -16.3  -1.26   --

Definitions

**Chl**: Chlorophyll a, fluorometric method, uncorrected, micrograms per liter (parameter code 32217).

**ChlE**: Estimated chlorophyll concentration, micrograms per liter.

**Cook’s D**: Cook’s distance (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**DFFITS**: Difference in fits statistic (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**Leverage**: An outlier’s measure in the x direction (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**LOWESS**: Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (Cleveland, 1979; Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**MSE**: Model standard error (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**MSPE**: Model standard percentage error (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**Probability(>|t|)**: The probability that the independent variable has no effect on the dependent variable (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**RMSE**: Root mean square error (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

**fChl**: Chlorophyll, total, water, fluorometric, 650-700 nanometers, in situ sensor, micrograms per liter (parameter code 62361).

**t value**: Student’s t value; the coefficient divided by its associated standard error (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).
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