

Prepared in cooperation with the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, City of Houston, Fort Bend Subsidence District, Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, and Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District

## Status of Groundwater-Level Altitudes and Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper Aquifers, Houston-Galveston Region, Texas, 2020



Scientific Investigations Report 2020–5089

**Cover.** Front, left to right: Photographs showing U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) hydrologic technician measuring depth to groundwater at a production well at USGS site 302409095414201 (station TS-60-35-814) in Montgomery County, Texas, February 25, 2020.  
Back: Photograph showing USGS hydrologist measuring depth to groundwater at a production well at USGS site 295228095262901 (station LJ-65-13-220) in Harris County, Texas, December 19, 2019.

# **Status of Groundwater-Level Altitudes and Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper Aquifers, Houston-Galveston Region, Texas, 2020**

By Christopher L. Braun and Jason K. Ramage

Prepared in cooperation with the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, City of Houston, Fort Bend Subsidence District, Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, and Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District

Scientific Investigations Report 2020–5089

**U.S. Department of the Interior  
U.S. Geological Survey**

**U.S. Department of the Interior**  
DAVID BERNHARDT, Secretary

**U.S. Geological Survey**  
James F. Reilly II, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2020

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment—visit <https://www.usgs.gov> or call 1–888–ASK–USGS.

For an overview of USGS information products, including maps, imagery, and publications, visit <https://store.usgs.gov>.

Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this information product, for the most part, is in the public domain, it also may contain copyrighted materials as noted in the text. Permission to reproduce copyrighted items must be secured from the copyright owner.

Suggested citation:

Braun, C.L., and Ramage, J.K., 2020, Status of groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 2020: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2020–5089, 18 p., <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20205089>.

Associated data for this publication:

Ramage, J.K., 2020, Depth to groundwater measured from wells completed in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 2020: U.S. Geological Survey data release, <https://doi.org/10.5066/P90EJL2E>.

Ramage, J.K., and Braun, C.L., 2020, Groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 2020: U.S. Geological Survey data release, <https://doi.org/10.5066/P98IX480>.

ISSN 2328-0328 (online)

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the owners and operators of wells throughout the study area for granting access and providing pertinent information that expedited data-collection activities.

Additionally, the authors gratefully acknowledge the following U.S. Geological Survey colleagues for assisting in collecting groundwater-level data outside of normal duty hours as necessary and for assisting with processing and analyzing the large amount of resulting data within an abbreviated period: Dexter W. Brown, Robert H. Ellis, Eric M. Boeding, Keith E. Mecum, Matthew L. Barnes, Charles P. Coker, Samuel H. Rendon, Jason D. Payne, Kyle Rennell, and Stephen Bradford.

## Contents

Acknowledgments .....	iii
Abstract .....	1
Introduction.....	1
Purpose and Scope .....	3
Hydrogeology of the Study Area .....	3
Previous Studies .....	6
Methods.....	6
Groundwater-Level Measurements.....	6
Determination of Groundwater-Level Altitudes.....	7
Depicting Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes.....	7
Groundwater-Level Altitudes and Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes.....	8
Chicot Aquifer.....	8
Evangeline Aquifer.....	8
Jasper Aquifer.....	8
Data Limitations.....	15
Summary.....	15
References Cited.....	15

## Figures

1. Map showing locations of groundwater regulatory districts; approximate trace of hydrogeologic cross section A–A'; and outcrops and updip limits of the hydrogeologic units in the Gulf Coast aquifer system in the Houston-Galveston region study area, Texas.....	2
2. Hydrogeologic cross section A–A' of the Gulf Coast aquifer system in Grimes, Montgomery, Harris, and Galveston Counties, Texas.....	4
3. Stratigraphic column showing geologic and hydrogeologic units of the Gulf Coast aquifer system in the Houston-Galveston region study area, Texas .....	5
4. Map showing approximate 2020 groundwater-level altitudes and updip limit of the Chicot aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas .....	9
5. Map showing approximate 1977–2020 groundwater-level changes in the Chicot aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas.....	10
6. Map showing approximate 2020 groundwater-level altitudes and updip limit of the Evangeline aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas.....	11
7. Map showing approximate 1977–2020 groundwater-level changes in the Evangeline aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas.....	12
8. Map showing approximate 2020 groundwater-level altitudes and updip limit of the Jasper aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas .....	13
9. Map showing approximate 2000–20 groundwater-level changes in the Jasper aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas.....	14

## Conversion Factors

U.S. customary units to International System of Units

<b>Multiply</b>	<b>By</b>	<b>To obtain</b>
Length		
foot (ft)	0.3048	meter (m)
mile (mi)	1.609	kilometer (km)
Area		
square mile (mi <sup>2</sup> )	2.590	square kilometer (km <sup>2</sup> )
Transmissivity		
foot squared per day (ft <sup>2</sup> /d)	0.09290	meter squared per day (m <sup>2</sup> /d)

International System of Units to U.S. customary units

<b>Multiply</b>	<b>By</b>	<b>To obtain</b>
Length		
meter (m)	3.281	foot (ft)
meter (m)	1.094	yard (yd)

## Datum

Vertical coordinate information is referenced to either the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD 29) or the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88).

Horizontal coordinate information is referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83).

## Abbreviations

BCGCD	Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District
DEM	digital elevation model
FBSD	Fort Bend Subsidence District
GIS	geographic information system
HGSD	Harris-Galveston Subsidence District
LSGCD	Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District
NWIS	National Water Information System
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey



# Status of Groundwater-Level Altitudes and Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper Aquifers, Houston-Galveston Region, Texas, 2020

By Christopher L. Braun and Jason K. Ramage

## Abstract

Since the early 1900s, most of the groundwater withdrawals in the Houston-Galveston region, Texas, have been from the three primary aquifers that compose the Gulf Coast aquifer system—the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers. Withdrawals from these aquifers are used for municipal supply, commercial and industrial use, and irrigation. This report, prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, City of Houston, Fort Bend Subsidence District, Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, and Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, is one in an annual series of reports depicting the status of groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers in the Houston-Galveston region. This report contains regional-scale maps depicting approximate 2020 groundwater-level altitudes (represented by measurements made during December 2019 through March 2020) and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers.

In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Chicot aquifer ranged from 150 feet (ft) below the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (hereinafter referred to as “datum”) to 200 ft above datum. The 1977–2020 groundwater-level-change contours for the Chicot aquifer depict a large area of decline in groundwater-level altitudes (120 ft) in northwestern Harris County. The largest rise in groundwater-level altitudes in the Chicot aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (200 ft) was in southeastern Harris County.

In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Evangeline aquifer ranged from 250 ft below datum to 200 ft above datum. The 1977–2020 groundwater-level-change contours for the Evangeline aquifer depict broad areas where groundwater-level altitudes either declined or rose. The largest decline in groundwater-level altitudes (280 ft) was in southern Montgomery and northern Harris Counties. The largest rise in groundwater-level altitudes in the Evangeline aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (240 ft) was in southeastern Harris County.


In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Jasper aquifer ranged from 200 ft below datum to 250 ft above datum. The 2000–20 groundwater-level-change contours for the Jasper aquifer depict groundwater-level declines throughout most of the study area where groundwater-level-altitude data from the Jasper aquifer were collected, with the largest decline (220 ft) in southern Montgomery County.

## Introduction

The Houston-Galveston region, Texas, includes portions of 11 counties (Harris, Galveston, Fort Bend, Montgomery, Brazoria, Chambers, Grimes, Liberty, San Jacinto, Walker, and Waller) and is approximately 11,000 square miles (fig. 1). Groundwater withdrawn from the three primary aquifers that compose the Gulf Coast aquifer system—the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers—has been the primary source of water for municipal supply, commercial and industrial use, and irrigation in the Houston-Galveston region since the early 1900s (Kasmarek and Robinson, 2004). Prior to 1975, the withdrawal of groundwater from the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers was unregulated, and water levels in the aquifers were declining annually, resulting in land-surface subsidence in the Houston-Galveston region (Coplín and Galloway, 1999). By 1977, the withdrawals had resulted in groundwater-level declines in southeastern Harris County of 300 and 350 feet (ft) below the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88; hereinafter referred to as “datum”) in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, respectively (Gabrysch, 1979).

To regulate and reduce groundwater withdrawals in Harris and Galveston Counties, the 64th Texas State Legislature authorized the establishment of the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District (HGSD) in 1975 (Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, 2020). After establishing the HGSD, the Texas State Legislature established an additional subsidence district (Fort Bend Subsidence District [FBSD]) and four groundwater conservation districts (Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District [LSGCD], Brazoria

2 Status of Groundwater-Level Altitudes and Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes, Houston-Galveston Region, Texas, 2020



**Figure 1.** Locations of groundwater regulatory districts; approximate trace of hydrogeologic cross section A–A'; and outcrops and updip limits of the hydrogeologic units in the Gulf Coast aquifer system in the Houston-Galveston region study area, Texas (modified from Strom and others, 2003a, b, c; Kasmarek and Robinson, 2004).

County Groundwater Conservation District [BCGCD], Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District, and Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District) in the Houston-Galveston region (fig. 1) to enable the regulation of groundwater withdrawals within their respective jurisdictions. The FBSD was established by the 71st Texas State Legislature in 1989 (Fort Bend Subsidence District, 2020), the LSGCD and Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District were established by the 77th Texas State Legislature in 2001 (Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, 2020; Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District, 2020), the BCGCD was established by the 78th Texas State Legislature in 2003 (Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, 2020), and the Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District was established by the 79th Texas State Legislature in 2005 (Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District, 2020). Regulatory plans to gradually decrease groundwater withdrawals (in conjunction with increased usage of alternative surface-water supplies) are currently (2020) being phased in throughout most of the study area (fig. 1). The current groundwater management plans of each district are available on their respective websites (Fort Bend Subsidence District, 2013; Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, 2013; Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, 2013; Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, 2017; Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District, 2018; Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District, 2019). Groundwater withdrawals are currently (2020) not regulated by a groundwater conservation district in two counties in the Houston-Galveston region (Liberty and Chambers Counties; fig. 1).

Since the 1970s, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), in cooperation with the HGSD, has monitored groundwater-level altitudes and published reports on the status of groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Houston-Galveston region; following their establishment by the Texas State Legislature, the FBSD, LSGCD, and BCGCD became cooperative participants in the project as well. An extensive well-monitoring network was first established by the USGS in 1977, and water-level data were collected and used to create the first published water-level-altitude maps of the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers in the Houston-Galveston region (Gabrysch, 1979). A comprehensive water-level-altitude report for the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers was first published by the USGS in 1991 (Barbie and others, 1991). The USGS also first published a water-level-altitude map in 2001 of the Jasper aquifer in the Houston-Galveston region (Coplin, 2001). Additional information on the history of groundwater-level-altitude monitoring and of the USGS reports published to document groundwater-level altitudes and changes in groundwater-level altitudes in the Houston-Galveston region is provided in Kasmarek and Ramage (2017).

## Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report, prepared by the USGS in cooperation with the HGSD, City of Houston, FBSD, LSGCD, and BCGCD, is to depict groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers in the Houston-Galveston region, Texas. An overview of the hydrogeology of the study area is provided, and regional-scale maps depicting approximate groundwater-level altitudes for 2020 (represented by measurements made during December 2019 through March 2020) and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers (1977–2020) and the Jasper aquifer (2000–20) are featured. Individual groundwater-level altitudes measured at each well for all three aquifers that were assessed (Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers) and associated metadata are available for download in companion data releases (Ramage, 2020; Ramage and Braun, 2020).

## Hydrogeology of the Study Area

The following overview of the hydrogeology of the study area is summarized from Kasmarek and Ramage (2017). The three primary aquifers in the Gulf Coast aquifer system in the Houston-Galveston region study area (the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers) are composed of laterally discontinuous deposits of gravel, sand, silt, and clay (Baker, 1979). The percentage of clay and other fine-grained, clastic material generally increases downdip (Baker, 1979). The uppermost aquifer, the Chicot aquifer, is contained in Holocene- and Pleistocene-age (Quaternary-age) sediments; the underlying Evangeline aquifer is contained in Pliocene- and Miocene-age sediments; and the most deeply buried of the three aquifers, the Jasper aquifer, is contained in Miocene-age sediments (fig. 2) (Baker, 1979, 1986). Hydrogeologic units in the study area include the Chicot aquifer, Evangeline aquifer, Burkeville confining unit, Jasper aquifer, and Catahoula confining system (fig. 3). The stratigraphic relations between these hydrogeologic units are shown on hydrogeologic cross section *A–A'* (figs. 1 and 2) of the Gulf Coast aquifer system, which extends through the Houston-Galveston region from northwestern Grimes County southeastward through Montgomery and Harris Counties before terminating at the coast in Galveston County.

Through time, geologic and hydrologic processes created accretionary sediment wedges (stacked sequences of sediments) more than 7,600 ft thick at the coast (fig. 2) (Chowdhury and Turco, 2006); these sediments, which compose the Gulf Coast aquifer system, were deposited by fluvial-deltaic processes and subsequently were eroded and redeposited by worldwide episodic changes in sea level that occurred as a result of oscillations between glacial and




Figure 2. Hydrogeologic cross section A-A' of the Gulf Coast aquifer system in Grimes, Montgomery, Harris, and Galveston Counties, Texas (modified from Baker, 1979, fig. 4).

Geologic units					Hydrogeologic units (Baker, 1979)	
Erathem	System	Series	Years before present	Stratigraphic units	Aquifers and confining units	
Cenozoic	Quaternary	Holocene	11,000	Alluvium	Chicot aquifer	
		Pleistocene		Beaumont Formation		
				Lissie Formation		Montgomery Formation
				Bentley Formation		
		Willis Sand				
	Tertiary	Pliocene	1.8 million	Goliad Sand	Evangeline aquifer	
		Miocene	5.0 million	Fleming Formation	Burkeville confining unit	
				Lagarto Clay		
				Oakville Sandstone		
		Oligocene	23 million	Vicksburg Formation	Catahoula confining system	
<sup>1</sup> Catahoula Sandstone <sup>2</sup> Upper part of Catahoula Sandstone <sup>2</sup> Anahuac Formation <sup>2</sup> Frio Formation						
Early Oligocene- and pre-Oligocene-age sediments						

<sup>1</sup>Located in the outcrop.  
<sup>2</sup>Located in the subcrop.

**Figure 3.** Geologic and hydrogeologic units of the Gulf Coast aquifer system in the Houston-Galveston region study area, Texas (modified from Sellards and others, 1932; Baker, 1979; Meyer and Carr, 1979).

interglacial climate conditions (Lambeck and others, 2002). The Gulf Coast aquifer system consists of hydrogeologic units that dip and thicken from northwest to southeast (fig. 2); the hydrogeologic units representing the aquifers and confining units thus crop out in bands inland from and approximately parallel to the coast and become progressively more deeply buried and confined toward the coast (fig. 2) (Kasmarek, 2013). The aquifers receive recharge where the hydrogeologic units composing the aquifers crop out (Kasmarek and Robinson, 2004) (fig. 2). The Burkeville confining unit is stratigraphically positioned between the Evangeline and Jasper aquifers (fig. 2), thereby restricting groundwater flow between these two aquifers. There is no confining unit between the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers (fig. 2); therefore, these two aquifers are hydraulically connected, which allows groundwater flow between them. Because of this hydraulic

connection, groundwater-level changes that occur in one aquifer can affect groundwater levels in the adjoining aquifer (Kasmarek and Robinson, 2004). Supporting evidence of the interaction of groundwater flow between the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers includes the differences between long-term groundwater-level-change maps, which indicate that the areas where water levels have risen or declined are approximately spatially coincident. Additional evidence of the hydraulic connection between the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers is provided by Borrok and Broussard (2016, p. 330); their geochemical evaluation of the Chicot aquifer system from 1993 to 2015 in Louisiana indicated that in some years (1998, 2008, and 2011) certain wells screened in the Chicot aquifer “appeared to be tapping water with a geochemistry (temperature, salinity, alkalinity, [and so forth]) matching the underlying Evangeline aquifer.”

Hydraulic properties of the Chicot aquifer do not differ appreciably from those of the hydrogeologically similar Evangeline aquifer but can be differentiated on the basis of hydraulic conductivity (Carr and others, 1985, p. 10). From aquifer-test data, Meyer and Carr (1979) estimated that the transmissivity of the Chicot aquifer ranges from 3,000 to 25,000 feet squared per day (ft<sup>2</sup>/d) and that the transmissivity of the Evangeline aquifer ranges from 3,000 to 15,000 ft<sup>2</sup>/d. The outcrop and updip limits of the geologic units that compose the Chicot aquifer are depicted in figure 1. Proceeding updip and inland of the Quaternary-age sediments containing the Chicot aquifer (fig. 2), the older geologic units (containing the Evangeline aquifer, the Burkeville confining unit, the Jasper aquifer, and the Catahoula confining system) sequentially outcrop (figs. 1 and 2). In the updip areas of the Jasper aquifer, the aquifer can be differentiated from the Evangeline aquifer on the basis of the depths to water below land-surface datum, which are shallower (closer to land surface) in the Jasper aquifer compared to those in the Evangeline aquifer. Additionally, in the downdip parts of the aquifer system, the Jasper aquifer can be differentiated from the Evangeline aquifer on the basis of stratigraphic position relative to the elevation of the Burkeville confining unit (figs. 2 and 3).

Precipitation falling on the land surface overlying these aquifers returns to the atmosphere as evapotranspiration, discharges to streams, or infiltrates as groundwater recharge to the unconfined updip sediments composing the aquifers. The infiltrating water moves downgradient toward the coast, reaching the intermediate and deep zones of the aquifers southeastward of the outcrop areas, where it can be withdrawn and discharged by wells or is naturally discharged by diffuse upward leakage in topographically low areas near the coast (Kasmarek and Robinson, 2004). Water in the coastal, deep zones of the aquifers is denser, and this higher density water causes the fresher, lower density water that has not been captured and withdrawn by wells to be redirected as diffuse upward leakage to shallow zones from the confined downdip areas of the aquifer system (Kasmarek and Robinson, 2004).

## Previous Studies

An extensive well-monitoring network was established by 1977, and groundwater-level data were collected and used to create the first published groundwater-level-altitude maps of the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers in the Houston-Galveston region in 1979 (Gabrysch, 1979). The FBSD adopted its groundwater management plan in 1990 (Fort Bend Subsidence District, 2013), and in cooperation with the FBSD, an increased number of wells were inventoried by the USGS in Fort Bend, Harris, Brazoria, and Waller Counties in 1989 and 1990. A more comprehensive groundwater-level-altitude report for the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers was published by the USGS in 1991 (Barbie and others, 1991) and was revised in 1997 when updated well data became available (Kasmarek, 1997). Similarly, after the establishment of the LSGCD in 2001, the USGS began publishing groundwater-level-altitude maps of the Jasper aquifer in the

Houston-Galveston region (primarily Montgomery County) (Coplin, 2001). In 2004, 2006, and 2007, as additional wells with reliable groundwater-level data were inventoried, revised groundwater-level-altitude maps for the Jasper aquifer were prepared (Kasmarek and Lanning-Rush, 2004; Kasmarek and others, 2006; Kasmarek and Houston, 2007). Since 2007, comprehensive maps for the Jasper aquifer have been included in the annual series of reports that depict groundwater-level altitudes and groundwater-level changes in the Houston-Galveston region (Kasmarek and Houston, 2008; Kasmarek and others, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016; Johnson and others, 2011; Kasmarek and Ramage, 2017; Shah and others, 2018; Braun and others, 2019).

## Methods

This section describes the methods used to (1) collect and process groundwater-level data used in this report, (2) determine groundwater-level altitudes, and (3) depict long-term groundwater-level changes in the study area. These methods are similar to those described in previous reports by Gabrysch (1979), Kasmarek and Houston (2007), and Kasmarek and Ramage (2017).

## Groundwater-Level Measurements

Groundwater-level measurements were obtained from 590 observation wells in the study area by USGS personnel during December 2019 through March 2020. The depth to water below land-surface datum was measured at each well to represent the 2020 groundwater-level altitudes of the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers (Ramage, 2020). These 590 measurements were made by using a calibrated steel tape, airline, or electric water-level tape in accordance with methods described in Cunningham and Schalk (2011). Each well was measured during the December 2019 through March 2020 time period to provide a “snapshot” of groundwater-level altitudes in the aquifers associated with individual wells.

In 2020, 20 additional measurements representing about 3 percent of the total number of groundwater-level measurements were furnished by industrial entities and powerplants operating within the study area that use water for hydrocarbon processing and electrical power generation, respectively. The furnished water levels were typically measured by using air pressure to determine the saturated thickness above the pump intake, and multiple pressure measurements were usually collected as a quality-control measure. Air pressure measurements tend to provide less precision than do measurements made with either a steel tape or electric tape; therefore, all furnished measurements were rounded to the nearest foot. Water levels that were furnished by industrial entities or powerplants are identified as such in the “source” field in Ramage (2020); additional information about these wells can be obtained from the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020a).

One additional well located in a part of Galveston Bay that is critical for the delineation of Chicot aquifer contours in Galveston and Trinity Bays could not be measured because of new safety concerns outlined by staff members who had measured the well in previous years. Engineering controls were identified that could reduce the risk associated with measuring groundwater levels at this location but implementing these controls could not be accomplished within the designated project timeline for 2020. As a result, a median value from the prior 11 years of groundwater-level measurements was used to represent the 2020 groundwater-level measurement at this well (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020a [station name DH-64-26-701; USGS site number 293156094515501]) because this well is located in an area otherwise devoid of network wells (along the southeastern boundary of the contoured areas represented in figs. 4 and 5) that are measured in conjunction with this report. Therefore, the exclusion of this well could have had substantial effects on contours associated with both the 2020 groundwater-level altitudes (fig. 4) and the 1977–2020 groundwater-level changes (fig. 5) in the Chicot aquifer. The standard deviation for the 11 years of water-level measurement data used to calculate the median water-level measurement that was used for this well was 2.3 ft, so the relative stability of water-level measurements over this span seemed to justify the course of action that led to the inclusion of this well.

Most of the measured wells were being pumped at least once daily and some more frequently during the study period. Therefore, well pumps were turned off for at least 1 hour before the groundwater-level measurements were made in order to obtain a groundwater-level measurement that approximated the static conditions within the aquifer. Antecedent withdrawal rates and pumping status of nearby wells were not always known and, in such instances, could have affected the representativeness of the groundwater-level data that were collected. To ensure that the recorded groundwater-level measurement was accurate, at least two groundwater-level measurements were made at each well while the well was not being pumped. After the groundwater-level-measurement data were collected, they were thoroughly evaluated and incorporated into a geographic information system (GIS) as point-data layers and subsequently used for the construction of groundwater-level-altitude and groundwater-level-change maps. The groundwater-level measurements collected or provided for this study were carefully reviewed by USGS personnel and were compiled in Ramage (2020). All collected and compiled water-level measurements were also loaded into NWIS (U.S. Geological Survey, 2020a).

## Determination of Groundwater-Level Altitudes

The 2020 regional-scale depictions of groundwater-level altitudes presented in this report were derived from groundwater-level-measurement data collected throughout the 11-county study area from December 2019 through March 2020 (water levels usually are higher because

groundwater use is at its lowest during these months compared to the rest of the year). Groundwater-level altitudes were calculated by subtracting the groundwater-level measurement from the land-surface elevation at each well referenced to datum (National Ocean Service, 2020). Prior to 2016, groundwater-level altitudes published in this annual series of USGS reports were referenced to either the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 or NAVD 88.

The accuracy of land-surface-elevation data at wells used in the annual series of reports has gradually improved over time as digital elevation models (DEMs) progressively replaced traditional methods of determining land-surface elevations from topographic maps. The most accurate land-surface data available were used by the USGS for each historical annual depiction of groundwater-level altitudes in the study area. To determine land-surface elevations in 2020, a corresponding land-surface datum was obtained for each well by using a USGS National Geospatial Program 1-meter DEM that provides three-dimensional elevation values referenced to datum (Arundel and others, 2015; U.S. Geological Survey, 2020b). The height above land surface of the measuring point at each well was measured with an engineering ruler. In 1977, land-surface-elevation data were calculated from USGS 1:24,000-scale 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle maps for the Gulf of Mexico coastal area, which have a 5-ft contour as described in Gabrysch (1979), thereby providing an accuracy of 2.5 ft. The DEM used to determine land-surface elevation in 2020 provides an accuracy of 0.5 ft.

The 2020 groundwater-level altitudes measured in wells completed in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers are depicted on contour maps with 50-ft contour intervals. The groundwater-level-altitude contours are approximate, regional-scale depictions of the water levels in wells in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, and the areal extents and locations of these contours represent the combined effects of total groundwater withdrawals from all groundwater wells screened in the Gulf Coast aquifer system.

## Depicting Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes

Maps depicting changes in groundwater-level altitudes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers were constructed for the period of record available for each of the aquifers; data are from 1977 to 2020 for the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers and from 2000 to 2020 for the Jasper aquifer. Groundwater-level-change maps were constructed by contouring the set of mapped point values, computed either as the difference in groundwater-level altitude at each well for which a groundwater-level measurement was made in 2020 and in the historical year (1977 or 2000) or as the difference in the groundwater-level altitude at that point in 2020 and the groundwater-level altitude on a gridded surface of the historical year groundwater-level-altitude map (Gabrysch, 1979; Kasmarek and Houston, 2007). Gridded-surface values

(rather than actual measured values) for the historical year were only used to compute differences (mapped point values) if the wells measured in 2020 did not exist in 1977 (for the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers) or 2000 (for the Jasper aquifer). The datasets of groundwater-level-change values (difference between 2020 and historical year groundwater-level-altitude values) are available in Ramage (2020) and Ramage and Braun (2020).

## Groundwater-Level Altitudes and Long-Term Groundwater-Level Changes

Groundwater-level-altitude and long-term groundwater-level-change maps were constructed for the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers in the study area (figs. 4–9). Whereas the aquifer maps in this report depict approximate groundwater-level altitudes for 2020 by using 50-ft contour intervals (figs. 4, 6, and 8), 20-ft contour intervals were used to depict groundwater-level changes for 1977–2020 in the Chicot aquifer (fig. 5), 40-ft contour intervals were used for 1977–2020 in the Evangeline aquifer (fig. 7), and 20-ft contour intervals were used for 2000–20 in the Jasper aquifer (fig. 9). All long-term groundwater-level changes in this report are considered approximate. Locations of wells used to construct the 2020 groundwater-level-altitude maps for the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers are presented in Ramage (2020) and Ramage and Braun (2020). Certain groundwater-level-altitude measurements collected during December 2019 through March 2020 were not used to construct the contour maps presented in this report for the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers because they were not consistent with water-level altitudes obtained from other wells screened in the same aquifer and located nearby for one or more of the following reasons: (1) the well in question was screened in a shallower part of the aquifer or with a smaller depth interval that provided poor communication between the well and surrounding aquifer; (2) the well in question had been pumped recently and the water-level altitude at this well was still rising; and (3) the well in question is near one or more other wells with water-level altitudes that more closely match those of the regional water table, such that retaining the water-level altitude from the well in question would result in a localized “bullseye” contour or otherwise unrealistic-looking contour pattern. The companion data release by Ramage and Braun (2020) identifies the water-level-altitude measurements that were used to construct the contour maps for each aquifer.

### Chicot Aquifer

Groundwater-level-measurement data from 173 wells (Ramage and Braun, 2020) were used to depict the 2020 groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Chicot aquifer (fig. 4). In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Chicot aquifer ranged from 150 ft below datum in a relatively

small area (less than 8 square miles) in northwestern Harris County near Jersey Village to 200 ft above datum west of Conroe in southern Montgomery County.

The largest decline in groundwater-level altitudes (120 ft) indicated by the 1977–2020 groundwater-level-change contours for the Chicot aquifer was also in northwestern Harris County near Jersey Village (fig. 5). The largest rise in groundwater-level altitudes in the Chicot aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (200 ft) was in southeastern Harris County between Deer Park and Baytown (fig. 5).

### Evangeline Aquifer

Groundwater-level-measurement data from 326 wells (Ramage and Braun, 2020) were used to depict the approximate 2020 groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Evangeline aquifer (fig. 6). In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Evangeline aquifer ranged from 250 ft below datum at two locations south of Jersey Village in western Harris County and two locations near The Woodlands and Spring in northern Harris and southern Montgomery Counties, respectively, to 200 ft above datum along a contour line that extends northeast from near Todd Mission (in the southeastern part of Grimes County) through Montgomery and Willis (both in Montgomery County).

The 1977–2020 groundwater-level-change contours for the Evangeline aquifer (fig. 7) depict broad areas where groundwater-level altitudes either declined or rose. The largest decline in groundwater-level altitudes in the Evangeline aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (280 ft) was in southern Montgomery and northern Harris Counties, near The Woodlands (fig. 7). The largest rise in groundwater-level altitudes in the Evangeline aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (240 ft) was in southeastern Harris County along Buffalo Bayou near Galena Park (fig. 7).

### Jasper Aquifer

Groundwater-level-measurement data from 112 wells (Ramage and Braun, 2020) were used to depict the approximate 2020 groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Jasper aquifer (fig. 8). In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Jasper aquifer ranged from 200 ft below datum at two locations near The Woodlands in northern Harris and southern Montgomery Counties to 250 ft above datum in eastern Grimes County, northwestern Montgomery County, and southwestern Walker County (fig. 8).


Whereas annual groundwater-level-altitude data have been collected since 1977 from wells completed in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, annual groundwater-level-altitude data have been collected from wells completed in the Jasper aquifer since only 2000. The 2000–20 groundwater-level-change contours for the Jasper aquifer (fig. 9) depict groundwater-level declines throughout most of the study area where groundwater-level-altitude data from the Jasper aquifer were collected, with the largest decline (220 ft) in southern Montgomery County between Spring and The Woodlands.



**EXPLANATION**

- 20 --- Line of equal water-level rise—Interval 20 feet
- -20 --- Line of equal water-level decline—Interval 20 feet
- 0 --- Line of zero water-level change
- Boundary of study area
- Updip limit of Chicot aquifer (University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, 1968; Baker, 1979)

**Note:**  
 This change map was created by contouring the set of mapped point values computed as the difference in water-level altitude at each point (well) for which a water-level measurement was made in 2020 and the water-level altitude at that point on a gridded surface of the 1977 water-level-altitude map (Gabrysch, 1979, sheet 1). Gridded-surface values for 1977 (rather than actual measured values) were used to compute differences (mapped point values) because many of the wells measured in 1977 have been destroyed or were not measured in 2020. Conversely, some of the wells measured in 2020 either were not measured or were not in existence in 1977. Thus, using the gridded surface yielded more point values than would have been available by using only the subset of wells measured in both 2020 and 1977. For the subset of wells measured in both 2020 and 1977, the mapped point values used were the differences in water-level-altitude values between 2020 and 1977 rather than the differences between 2020 water-level-altitude values and 1977 gridded-surface values. The area of water-level change shown is based on availability of water-level data from 1977.




Base from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000  
 Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 15  
 North American Datum of 1983

**Figure 5.** Approximate 1977–2020 groundwater-level changes in the Chicot aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas.

**EXPLANATION**

-  **Water-level contour**—Shows altitude at which water level would have stood in tightly cased well. Contour interval 50 feet. Datum is North American Vertical Datum of 1988
-  **Boundary of study area**
-  **Updip limit of Evangeline aquifer (Baker, 1979)**

**Note:**  
 The annual regional depictions of water-level altitudes presented in this report are substantiated by water-level-measurement data collected from December 2019 through March 2020 throughout an 11-county area encompassing about 11,000 square miles. Contour locations and orientations should be viewed in a regional context because the water-level surface of the aquifers is a dynamic surface, constantly changing because of the dense network of wells operating in the study area. Users should be aware that water-level changes may have occurred since the water levels were determined for this report. Inherent uncertainties exist in these data such as antecedent withdrawal rates and the pumping status of nearby wells. When evaluating these contoured depictions, users should exercise discretion and know the limitations of these data when drawing conclusions or making policy decisions. These data should not be used for engineering applications.




Base from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000  
 Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 15  
 North American Datum of 1983

**Figure 6.** Approximate 2020 groundwater-level altitudes and updip limit of the Evangeline aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas (groundwater-level-measurement data collected during December 2019 through March 2020).

**EXPLANATION**

- 40 --- Line of equal water-level rise—Interval 40 feet
- -40 --- Line of equal water-level decline—Interval 40 feet
- 0 --- Line of zero water-level change
- Boundary of study area
- Updip limit of Evangeline aquifer (Baker, 1979)

**Note:**  
 This change map was created by contouring the set of mapped point values computed as the difference in water-level altitude at each point (well) for which a water-level measurement was made in 2020 and the water-level altitude at that point on a gridded surface of the 1977 water-level-altitude map (Gabrysch, 1979, sheet 2). Gridded-surface values for 1977 (rather than actual measured values) were used to compute differences (mapped point values) because many of the wells measured in 1977 have been destroyed or were not measured in 2020. Conversely, some of the wells measured in 2020 either were not measured or were not in existence in 1977. Thus, using the gridded surface yielded more point values than would have been available by using only the subset of wells measured in both 2020 and 1977. For the subset of wells measured in both 2020 and 1977, the mapped point values used were the differences in water-level-altitude values between 2020 and 1977 rather than the differences between 2020 water-level-altitude values and 1977 gridded-surface values. The area of water-level change shown is based on availability of water-level data from 1977.



Base from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000  
 Universal Transverse Mercator projection, Zone 15  
 North American Datum of 1983

**Figure 7.** Approximate 1977–2020 groundwater-level changes in the Evangeline aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas.






Figure 9. Approximate 2000–20 groundwater-level changes in the Jasper aquifer, Houston-Galveston region, Texas.

## Data Limitations

As described in the “Methods” section, the accuracy of the land-surface-elevation data has gradually improved over time. Any changes in land-surface elevation could affect the accuracy of groundwater-level-change maps depicting the differences between the current year (2020) and the historical year (1977 or 2000) altitudes (Kasmarek and Ramage, 2017).

The depictions of groundwater-level altitudes and changes at any specific location are considered to represent a regional-scale approximation and, as such, are not intended for use in engineering or other design applications. The groundwater-level altitudes and changes presented in this report were rounded to the nearest foot; the values depicted on the maps represent a mathematical approximation that could vary as much as plus or minus 0.5 ft in addition to accuracies associated with the DEM source data. Users should exercise discretion when drawing conclusions or making policy decisions based on these contoured depictions.

## Summary

The Houston-Galveston region, Texas, consists of Harris, Galveston, Fort Bend, Montgomery, Brazoria, Chambers, Grimes, Liberty, San Jacinto, Walker, and Waller Counties. Groundwater withdrawn from the three primary aquifers that compose the Gulf Coast aquifer system—the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers—has been the primary source of water for municipal supply, commercial and industrial use, and irrigation in the Houston-Galveston region since the early 1900s. This report, prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, City of Houston, Fort Bend Subsidence District, Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, and Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, is one in an annual series of reports depicting the status of groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers in the Houston-Galveston region. Water levels in wells screened in these aquifers were measured during December 2019 through March 2020 (water levels usually are higher during these months compared to the rest of the year).

This report contains regional-scale maps depicting approximate 2020 groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers. Groundwater-level measurements from 173, 326, and 112 wells were used to depict the approximate 2020 groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, respectively.

In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Chicot aquifer ranged from 150 feet (ft) below the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (hereinafter referred to as “datum”) to 200 ft above datum. The 1977–2020

groundwater-level-change contours for the Chicot aquifer depict a large area of decline in groundwater-level altitudes (120 ft) in northwestern Harris County north of Jersey Village. The largest rise in groundwater-level altitudes in the Chicot aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (200 ft) was in southeastern Harris County between Deer Park and Baytown.

In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Evangeline aquifer ranged from 250 ft below datum to 200 ft above datum. The 1977–2020 groundwater-level-change contours for the Evangeline aquifer depict broad areas where groundwater-level altitudes either declined or rose. The largest decline in groundwater-level altitudes in the Evangeline aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (280 ft) was in southern Montgomery and northern Harris Counties, near The Woodlands. The largest rise in groundwater-level altitudes in the Evangeline aquifer from 1977 to 2020 (240 ft) was in southeastern Harris County along Buffalo Bayou near Galena Park.

In 2020, groundwater-level-altitude contours for the Jasper aquifer ranged from 200 ft below datum to 250 ft above datum. Whereas annual groundwater-level-altitude data have been collected since 1977 from wells completed in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, annual groundwater-level-altitude data have been collected from wells completed in the Jasper aquifer since only 2000. The 2000–20 groundwater-level-change contours for the Jasper aquifer depict groundwater-level declines throughout most of the study area where groundwater-level-altitude data from the Jasper aquifer were collected, with the largest decline (220 ft) in southern Montgomery County.

## References Cited

- Arundel, S.T., Archuleta, C.M., Phillips, L.A., Roche, B.L., and Constance, E.W., 2015, 1-meter digital elevation model specification: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods, book 11, chap. B7, 25 p. with appendixes, accessed July 16, 2018, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/tm11B7>.
- Baker, E.T., Jr., 1979, Stratigraphic and hydrogeologic framework of part of the Coastal Plain of Texas: Texas Department of Water Resources Report 236, 43 p.
- Baker, E.T., Jr., 1986, Hydrology of the Jasper aquifer in the southeast Texas Coastal Plain: Texas Water Development Board Report 295, 64 p.
- Barbie, D.L., Coplin, L.S., and Locke, G.L., 1991, Approximate altitude of water levels in wells in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers in the Houston area, Texas, January–February 1990: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 91–240, 2 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr91240>.

- Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District, 2018, Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District groundwater management plan: Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District, 178 p., accessed on April 13, 2020, at <http://www.bluebonnetgroundwater.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/ADOPTED-MGMT-Plan-10-108-v2.pdf>.
- Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District, 2020, History: Bluebonnet Groundwater Conservation District, accessed April 13, 2020, at <http://www.bluebonnetgroundwater.org/district/history/>.
- Borrok, D.M., and Broussard, W.P., III, 2016, Long-term geochemical evaluation of the coastal Chicot aquifer system, Louisiana, USA: *Journal of Hydrology*, v. 533, p. 320–331, accessed August 23, 2019, at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.12.022>.
- Braun, C.L., Ramage, J.K., and Shah, S.D., 2019, Status of groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 2019: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2019–5089, 18 p., accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20195089>.
- Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, 2017, Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District groundwater management plan: Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, 130 p., accessed April 13, 2020, at <https://www.bcggroundwater.org/images/bcg/documents/2017/Groundwater-Management-Plan-2017.pdf>.
- Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, 2020, About the district: Brazoria County Groundwater Conservation District, accessed April 13, 2020, at [https://www.bcggroundwater.org/bcg/About\\_the\\_District.asp](https://www.bcggroundwater.org/bcg/About_the_District.asp).
- Carr, J.E., Meyer, W.R., Sandeen, W.M., and McLane, I.R., 1985, Digital models for simulation of ground-water hydrology of the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers along the Gulf Coast of Texas: Texas Department of Water Resources Report 289, 101 p.
- Chowdhury, A.H., and Turco, M.J., 2006, Geology of the Gulf Coast aquifer, Texas, chap. 2 of Mace, R.E., Davidson, S.C., Angle, E.S., and Mullican, W.F., eds., *Aquifers of the Gulf Coast of Texas*: Texas Water Development Board Report 365, p. 23–50.
- Coplin, L.S., 2001, Water-level altitudes in wells completed in the Jasper aquifer, greater Houston area, Texas, spring 2000: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 01–147, 2 p., accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr01147>.
- Coplin, L.S., and Galloway, D., 1999, Houston-Galveston, Texas—Managing coastal subsidence, in Galloway, D., Jones, D.R., and Ingebritsen, S.E., eds., *Land subsidence in the United States*: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1182, p. 35–48, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/cir1182>.
- Cunningham, W.L., and Schalk, C.W., comps., 2011, Groundwater technical procedures of the U.S. Geological Survey: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods 1–A1, 151 p., accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/tm1A1>.
- Fort Bend Subsidence District, 2013, Fort Bend Subsidence District 2013 regulatory plan [amended 2007, 2009, and 2013]: Fort Bend Subsidence District, 14 p., accessed April 13, 2020, at <http://fortbendsubsidence.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/FBSD-Regulatory-Plan-20130828.pdf>.
- Fort Bend Subsidence District, 2020, About the district: Fort Bend Subsidence District, accessed April 13, 2020, at <https://fortbendsubsidence.org/about-the-district/>.
- Gabrysch, R.K., 1979, Approximate altitude of water levels in wells in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers in the Houston area, Texas, spring 1977 and spring 1978: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 79–334, 4 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr79334>.
- Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, 2013, Regulatory plan 2013: Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, 14 p., accessed April 13, 2020, at <http://hgsubsidence.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/HGSD-2013-Regulatory-Plan-with-Amendment.pdf>.
- Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, 2020, Legislative authority: Harris-Galveston Subsidence District, accessed April 13, 2020, at <https://hgsubsidence.org/about/legislative-authority/>.
- Johnson, M.R., Ramage, J.K., and Kasmarek, M.C., 2011, Water-level altitudes 2011 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2011 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston–Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3174, 17 p., 16 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3174>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., 1997, Water-level altitudes in wells completed in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Fort Bend County and adjacent areas, Texas, January–March 1990: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97–784, 2 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr97784>.

- Kasmarek, M.C., 2013, Hydrogeology and simulation of groundwater flow and land-surface subsidence in the northern part of the Gulf Coast aquifer system, Texas, 1891–2009 (ver. 1.1, December 2013): U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2012–5154, 55 p., accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20125154>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., and Houston, N.A., 2007, Water-level altitudes 2007 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2006 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 2968, 159 p., 18 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim2968>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., and Houston, N.A., 2008, Water-level altitudes 2008 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2007 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3031, 4 p., 17 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3031/>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Houston, N.A., and Brown, D.W., 2006, Water-level altitudes 2006 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2005 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006–1079, 15 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20061079>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Houston, N.A., and Ramage, J.K., 2011, Water-level altitudes 2009 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2008 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3081, 3 p., 16 sheets, 2 apps., accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3081>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Johnson, M.R., and Ramage, J.K., 2010, Water-level altitudes 2010 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2009 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3138, 17 p., 16 sheets, 1 app., accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3138>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Johnson, M.R., and Ramage, J.K., 2012, Water-level altitudes 2012 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2011 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3230, 18 p., 16 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3230>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Johnson, M.R., and Ramage, J.K., 2013, Water-level altitudes 2013 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2012 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3263, 19 p., 16 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3263>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Johnson, M.R., and Ramage, J.K., 2014, Water-level altitudes 2014 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2013 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3308, pamphlet, 16 sheets, accessed February 10, 2018, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3308>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., and Lanning-Rush, J., 2004, Water-level altitudes 2004 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2003 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2004–1084, 13 sheets, accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20041084>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., and Ramage, J.K., 2017, Water-level altitudes 2017 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2016 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2017–5080, accessed February 10, 2018, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20175080>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Ramage, J.K., Houston, N.A., Johnson, M.R., and Schmidt, T.S., 2015, Water-level altitudes 2015 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2014 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3337, pamphlet, 16 sheets, scale 1:100,000, accessed February 10, 2018, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3337>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., Ramage, J.K., and Johnson, M.R., 2016, Water-level altitudes 2016 and water-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers and compaction 1973–2015 in the Chicot and Evangeline aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3365, pamphlet, 16 sheets, scale 1:100,000, accessed February 10, 2018, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sim3365>.
- Kasmarek, M.C., and Robinson, J.L., 2004, Hydrogeology and simulation of ground-water flow and land-surface subsidence in the northern part of the Gulf Coast aquifer system, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2004–5102, 111 p., accessed April 28, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20045102>.

- Lambeck, K., Esat, T.M., and Potter, E.K., 2002, Links between climate and sea levels for the past three million years: *Nature*, v. 419, no. 6903, p. 199–206, accessed April 28, 2020, at <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v419/n6903/full/nature01089.html>.
- Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, 2013, Groundwater management plan—Re-adopted November 12, 2013: Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, 139 p., accessed July 24, 2020, at <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/58347802cd0f6854e2f90e45/t/58657753414fb5c4d356bf30/1483044714158/Lone-Star-Mgmt-Plan-Update-2013-FINAL.pdf>.
- Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, 2020, Our history: Lone Star Groundwater Conservation District, accessed April 13, 2020, at <https://www.lonestargcd.org/history>.
- Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District, 2019, Groundwater management plan: Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District, 106 p., accessed April 13, 2020, at [https://4596a5d4-d630-4058-8899-b9dd610c192c.filesusr.com/ugd/125bf6\\_b1700eade64040d7a3791979b153f1d3.pdf](https://4596a5d4-d630-4058-8899-b9dd610c192c.filesusr.com/ugd/125bf6_b1700eade64040d7a3791979b153f1d3.pdf).
- Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District, 2020, About LTGCD: Lower Trinity Groundwater Conservation District, accessed April 28, 2020, at <http://www.ltgcd.org/>.
- Meyer, W.R., and Carr, J.E., 1979, A digital model for simulation of ground-water hydrology in the Houston area, Texas: Texas Department of Water Resources LP-103, 27 p.
- National Ocean Service, 2020, What is geodesy?—Global positioning tutorial: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service, accessed July 23, 2020, at [https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/education/tutorial\\_geodesy/geo01\\_intro.html](https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/education/tutorial_geodesy/geo01_intro.html).
- Ramage, J.K., 2020, Depth to groundwater measured from wells completed in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 2020: U.S. Geological Survey data release, <https://doi.org/10.5066/P90EJL2E>.
- Ramage, J.K., and Braun, C.L., 2020, Groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 2020: U.S. Geological Survey data release, <https://doi.org/10.5066/P98IX48O>.
- Sellards, E.H., Adkins, W.S., and Plummer, F.B., 1932, The geology of Texas, volume 1—Stratigraphy: The University of Texas Bulletin 3232, 1,007 p.
- Shah, S.D., Ramage, J.K., and Braun, C.L., 2018, Status of groundwater-level altitudes and long-term groundwater-level changes in the Chicot, Evangeline, and Jasper aquifers, Houston-Galveston region, Texas, 2018: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2018–5101, 18 p., <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20185101>.
- Strom, E.W., Houston, N.A., and Garcia, C.A., 2003a, Selected hydrogeologic datasets for the Chicot aquifer, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03–297, 1 CD-ROM.
- Strom, E.W., Houston, N.A., and Garcia, C.A., 2003b, Selected hydrogeologic datasets for the Evangeline aquifer, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03–298, 1 CD-ROM.
- Strom, E.W., Houston, N.A., and Garcia, C.A., 2003c, Selected hydrogeologic datasets for the Jasper aquifer, Texas: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03–299, 1 CD-ROM.
- University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, 1968, Geologic atlas of Texas, Beaumont sheet: Austin, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.
- University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, 1974, Geologic atlas of Texas, Austin sheet: Austin, University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, scale 1:250,000.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2020a, USGS water data for the Nation: U.S. Geological Survey National Water Information System database, accessed April 9, 2020, at <https://doi.org/10.5066/F7P55KJN>.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2020b, 3D Elevation Program (3DEP)—Introduction and goals: U.S. Geological Survey, National Geospatial Program, accessed April 9, 2020, at <http://nationalmap.gov/3DEP/index.html>.

For more information about this publication, contact

Director, [Oklahoma-Texas Water Science Center](#)  
U.S. Geological Survey  
1505 Ferguson Lane  
Austin, TX 78754-4501

For additional information visit <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/tx-water>.

Publishing support provided by  
Lafayette Publishing Service Center

