



UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY  
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

JUL 22 1953

AEC-1324/3

Dr. Phillip L. Merritt, Assistant Director  
Division of Raw Materials  
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission  
P. O. Box 30, Ansonia Station  
New York 23, New York

Dear Phil:

Transmitted herewith are six copies of TEI-327, "Hydroxyl substitution in thorite and zircon," by Clifford Frondel, May 1953.

We plan to publish this report in the American Mineralogist and are asking Mr. Hosted to approve this plan.

Sincerely yours,

*for* *Dwight M. Lemmon*  
W. H. Bradley  
Chief Geologist

JAN 16 2001

7 JUN 1983

(200)  
T677

UNCLASSIFIED

Geology and Mineralogy

This document consists of 20 pages.  
Series A.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

HYDROXYL SUBSTITUTION IN THORITE AND ZIRCON\*


By

Clifford Frondel

May 1953

Trace Elements Investigations Report 327

This preliminary report is distributed without editorial and technical review for conformity with official standards and nomenclature. It is not for public inspection or quotation.



\*This report concerns work done on behalf of the Division of Raw Materials of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

USGS - TEI-327

## GEOLOGY AND MINERALOGY

<u>Distribution (Series A)</u>	<u>No. of copies</u>
American Cyanamid Company, Winchester .....	1
Argonne National Laboratory .....	1
Atomic Energy Commission, Washington .....	2
Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus .....	1
Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Company, Y-12 Area .....	1
Division of Raw Materials, Grants .....	1
Division of Raw Materials, Denver .....	1
Division of Raw Materials, Hot Springs .....	1
Division of Raw Materials, New York .....	6
Division of Raw Materials, Salt Lake City .....	1
Division of Raw Materials, Richfield .....	1
Division of Raw Materials, Butte .....	1
Division of Raw Materials, Washington .....	3
Dow Chemical Company, Pittsburg .....	1
Exploration Division, Grand Junction Operations Office .....	1
Grand Junction Operations Office .....	1
Technical Information Service, Oak Ridge .....	6
Tennessee Valley Authority, Wilson Dam .....	1
U. S. Geological Survey:	
Mineral Deposits Branch, Washington .....	1
Geochemistry and Petrology Branch, Washington .....	15
Geophysics Branch, Washington .....	1
Alaskan Geology Branch, Washington .....	1
Fuels Branch, Washington .....	1
D. M. Lemmon, Washington .....	1
L. R. Page, Denver .....	1
R. P. Fischer, Grand Junction .....	1
A. E. Weissenborn, Spokane .....	1
J. D. Love, Laramie .....	1
A. H. Koschmann, Denver .....	1
E. H. Bailey, San Francisco .....	1
J. R. Cooper, Denver .....	1
W. P. Williams, Joplin .....	1
C. E. Dutton, Madison .....	1
R. A. Laurence, Knoxville .....	1
R. J. Roberts, Salt Lake City .....	1
Q. D. Singewald, Beltsville .....	1
TEPCO, Washington:	
Resource Compilation Section .....	2
Reports Processing Section .....	2
(Including master)	

## CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract .....	4
Introduction .....	4
X-ray and optical data .....	6
Chemistry .....	7
Thermal data .....	10
Zircon .....	11
Acknowledgments .....	13
References .....	14

## TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Thorite-like minerals .....	15
2. X-ray powder spacing data for thorogummite .....	17
3. Tetragonal unit cell dimensions of thorite and thorogummite .....	18
4. Chemical analyses of thorogummite and related substances .....	19
5. Formulas of thorite-like minerals .....	20

## HYDROXYL SUBSTITUTION IN THORITE AND ZIRCON

By Clifford Frondel

## ABSTRACT

The ill-defined thorite-like minerals thorogummite, nicolayite, hydrothorite, maitlandite, mackintoshite and hyblite are found to be minor chemical variants of a single phase, for which the name thorogummite has priority. Thorogummite is isostructural with thorite and has virtually the same unit cell dimensions. It differs from thorite in being secondary in origin, being formed by the alteration of primary thorium minerals including thorite itself, in occurring as fine-grained aggregates that are not metamict but crystalline, and in containing essential water. Chemically, thorogummite seems to be a hydroxyl-containing variant of thorite,  $\text{ThSiO}_4$ , in which there is a serial substitution of  $(\text{OH})_4$  for  $(\text{SiO}_4)$  with the formula  $\text{Th}(\text{SiO}_4)_{1-x}(\text{OH})_{4x}$ .

Cyrtolite apparently stands in an analogous relation to zircon.

## INTRODUCTION

Thorite, like zircon, is surrounded by a diffuse nomenclatural halo of ill-defined minerals. These minerals approximate in composition hydrous thorite, and like thorite often contain uranium, lead, rare earths or other elements in variable and sometimes large amounts in substitution for thorium. For this reason, together with the occasional evidence of tetragonal crystal form, they are commonly listed in textbooks as synonyms or varieties of thorite. Table 1 lists these minerals, and notes are made therein of authentic specimens that were available for the author's study.

There are features of occurrence and composition that make the identification of these minerals as thorite seem doubtful or unusual. Thorite hitherto has been known only as a primary mineral. It occurs chiefly in pegmatites, but also in small amounts in hydrothermal deposits formed probably at moderate temperatures. It hence is of interest to find that many of the thorite-like substances listed in table 1 clearly are of secondary origin. The thorogummite from Japan is a yellow earthy alteration product of yttrialite. Both the thorogummite (nicolayite) and hydrothorite from Wodgina, Western Australia, occur as yellow earthy nodules in the weathered outcrops of pegmatites and have been derived by the alteration of primary thorium silicates -- sometimes observed as black residuals within the nodules. The thorogummite from Easton, Pennsylvania, is a secondary product formed by the alteration of thorianite, apparently during serpentinization of the matrix. Hyblite, from Hybla, Ontario, is an alteration of thorite itself. It occurs as white crusts in cracks between uranoan thorite and the weathered feldspathic matrix, and locally grades into the glassy black thorite from which it has been derived. The thorogummite from Baringer Hill, Texas, is found as dull gray-green pseudomorphs after thorite and locally encloses glassy residuals of metamict black thorite. The thorogummite from Manchuria also seems to be a pseudomorph after thorite. The metamict thorite from Jamestown, Colorado, has altered to a weakly birefringent, hydrated substance that was tentatively identified by Phair and Shimamoto (1952) as hydrothorite, and a similar alteration has been observed in metamict thorite from California (George, 1951) and other places.

## X-RAY AND OPTICAL DATA

All of the thorite-like substances listed in table 1 for which specimens were available, except pilbarite, were found to give identical X-ray patterns for unheated material. This pattern is virtually identical with that of tetragonal  $\text{ThSiO}_4$ , thorite. The  $\text{ThSiO}_4$  samples used for comparison were prepared by sintering coprecipitated  $\text{ThO}_2$  and  $\text{SiO}_2$  with  $\text{NaCl}$  in air at  $1000^\circ\text{C}$ , and by heating coprecipitated  $\text{ThO}_2$  and  $\text{SiO}_2$  with  $\text{ThF}_4$  and water in a steel bomb at  $240^\circ\text{C}$ . The pattern of the natural thorite-like minerals was completely indexed in terms of a tetragonal cell identical with that of  $\text{ThSiO}_4$  and with almost the same cell dimensions. The X-ray powder data are given in table 2. Similar cell dimensions have been obtained by others on natural, nonmetamict thorite, as shown in table 3.

Four specimens of ordinary, coarsely crystallized isotropic thorite from pegmatites also were examined by X-rays. Unheated samples of the Hybla material described by Ellsworth (1927) gave a few very faint and diffuse thorite lines, and two different specimens from Arendal, Norway, gave no pattern at all. Glassy yellow crystals from Ambatofotsikely, Madagascar, gave no pattern, but yellow, opaque material veining the crystals gave a sharp thorite pattern for unheated material. Pabst (1952) also has noted that an unheated thorite from Madagascar gave an X-ray pattern. The behavior of these metamict thorites on heating is described below. The very faint diffraction patterns given by some isotropic, glassy, pegmatitic thorite (and zircon) crystals are conventionally attributed to residual order.

None of the substances listed in table 1 had to be heated in order to give a good X-ray pattern. The thorogummite from Baringer Hill, Easton,

and Wodgina was seen under oil immersion to be microcrystalline. Most of the material is barely resolved as formless birefringent specks, but some minute rods that have parallel extinction can be distinguished. Hyblite, hydrothorite and the Japanese thorogummite were sensibly isotropic, although some grains of hyblite showed weak birefringence presumably due to strain or to parallel aggregation of submicroscopic crystallites. The thorogummite from Manchuria is described by Henmi (1951) as birefringent in part. The mean index of refraction of all samples was low and variable and increased when the samples were heated, presumably due to loss of water. Similar observations have been made by others (Pabst, 1952; Larsen, 1921) both on supposed thorite proper and on alteration products.


#### CHEMISTRY

The pertinent chemical analyses are listed in table 4. The empirical formulas derived therefrom by the original authors are given in table 5. The ratio of  $\text{SiO}_2$  to the sum of  $\text{RO}_2$ ,  $\text{R}_2\text{O}_3$ , etc., ranges from virtually 1:1 to about 3:4, with the water being regarded as essential in all instances. As the X-ray work shows that all of the substances are isostructural with anhydrous  $\text{ThSiO}_4$ , with  $\text{SiO}_2:\text{RO}_2 = 1:1$ , the departure from the ideal thorite formula must be explained on crystallochemical grounds. The principal problem is to account for the presence of water and for the diminished ratio of  $\text{SiO}_2$ . It may be assumed that the apparent deficiency of Si is due to a substitution of  $(\text{OH})_4$  for  $(\text{SiO}_4)$ . To test this, the cations were calculated to a total of 4, the ideal unit cell contents of thorite being  $\text{Th}_4(\text{SiO}_4)_4$ . Enough O was then assigned to Si to make  $\text{SiO}_4$  (and to P or S, when present, to make  $\text{PO}_4$  or  $\text{SO}_4$ ) and  $(\text{OH})_4$  was then




calculated in the amount needed to balance the remaining charge of the cations. The test of agreement with the thorite type of formula is that the sum of  $\text{SiO}_4$ ,  $\text{PO}_4$ ,  $\text{SO}_4$  and  $(\text{OH})_4$  is 4, or that  $(\text{OH}) + 0 = 16$ . This is a stringent test because all of the analytical error is thrown into the  $(\text{OH})$ . The atomic ratios derived in this way are given in table 5.

Analyses 1 and 2 are the best of those available. The calculated cation to anion ratios are 1:1.05 and 1:1.12, respectively, indicating that these substances conform to a thorite type formula in which an omission of  $(\text{SiO}_4)_4^{4-}$  is balanced by a substitution of  $(\text{OH})_4^{4-}$ . The mechanism of compositional variation may be formulated in terms of the ideal unit cell contents as



with  $x = 1.31$  in the Baringer Hill material of analysis 1 and  $x = 0.99$  in the Wodgina material of analysis 2. This general mechanism has already been identified in the so-called hydrogarnets by Flint and others (1941), Pabst (1942), and Hutton (1943), in which an isostructural series conforming to the formula



extends from grossularite,  $\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2(\text{SiO}_4)_3$ , through hibschite to calcium aluminate,  $\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2(\text{OH})_{12}$ . Griphite is a phosphate that shows the same mechanism (McConnell, 1942).

In both analyses 1 and 2 only part of the available  $\text{H}_2\text{O} (+110^\circ)$  is required for valence compensation. The water content of the derived formulas (table 5) is 4.11 and 2.95 percent, respectively. The point  $+110^\circ$  is a wholly arbitrary limit for "essential" water. The thermal data described below indicate that a considerable amount of water is lost at not much higher temperatures and this may well be nonessential. The large and variable content of nonessential water and the fine-grained to earthy

nature of some of the substances makes the measured specific gravities uncertain; the calculated values are higher.

The remaining analyses conform to a thorite-type formula, but less closely. Analysis 3 has been disregarded because the large content of  $\text{CO}_2$  and other evidence indicates that it contains much impurity. The material of analysis 4 was stated to contain about 10 percent impurities; here only the  $\text{CO}_2$  was disregarded. Analysis 7 probably contained admixed fergusonite,  $\text{YCbO}_4$ , according to Henmi (1951). Analysis 5 has a very low summation. Both analyses 5 and 6 show an anion deficiency after valence compensation of the cations is effected, and in analysis 6 not only is no  $(\text{OH})_4$  required but a small amount of  $(\text{OH})$  must be calculated as  $\text{Si}(\text{O},\text{OH})_4$ . The anion deficiency of these analyses would be remedied if a small amount of the U reported as  $\text{U}^4$  were present as  $\text{U}^6$ . It also may be noted that in such cases the requirement of  $(\text{OH}) + \text{O} = 16$  is satisfied if  $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$  is assigned to the vacant anion positions.


The substitution of  $(\text{PO}_4)$  for  $(\text{SiO}_4)$  in the thorite structure type is well known, with  $\text{Si:P} = 1:0.8$  in the auerlite variety of thorite and  $\text{Si:P} = 1:0.2$  in the yamaguchilite variety of zircon. Xenotime,  $\text{YPO}_4$ , an isotype of thorite, has been reported to contain  $(\text{SO}_4)$  and the unanalyzed hyblite of the present study was found qualitatively by Ellsworth (1927) to contain  $(\text{SO}_4)$ .

Roughly four dozen analyses of thorite have been reported in the literature. In many instances it is impossible to tell from the description whether the material was the original (metamict) thorite or a crystalline alteration product thereof of the nature under discussion here. Almost all of the analyses show a deficiency of Si together with more than enough water to effect the mechanism  $(\text{SiO}_4) = (\text{OH})_4$ . The question whether

primary thorite may contain essential (OH) remains open.

#### THERMAL DATA

Thermal study indicates that the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}+(\text{110}^\circ)$  reported in these thorite-like minerals is at least in part essential and retained until high temperatures, presumably as (OH). Simpson (1927) found that the hydrothorite of analysis 4 lost 9.12 percent  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at  $100^\circ$ , about 5 percent  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at  $600^\circ$ , and the remaining  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 1.06 percent, at about  $800^\circ$ . Differential thermal analysis of the Baringer Hill thorogummite showed a gradual loss of water around  $150^\circ$  and an exothermic peak at  $750^\circ$ , with no further change to  $1050^\circ$ . Separate samples heated in air for 60 minutes at  $110^\circ$ ,  $470^\circ$ , and  $610^\circ$  gave a thorite X-ray pattern, as did unheated material, and the  $1050^\circ$  DTA sample gave a thorite pattern plus distinct lines of  $\text{ThO}_2$ . The thermal break at  $750^\circ$  is interpreted as loss of (OH) with accompanying recrystallization to a mixture of  $\text{ThSiO}_4$  and  $\text{ThO}_2$ , as follows



Another sample of Baringer Hill thorogummite that differed from typical material in its wholly isotropic nature and yellow color gave a DTA curve with a low broad exothermic peak at about  $575^\circ$  instead of  $750^\circ$ . Samples of hydrothorite and thorogummite from Wodgina and the Baringer Hill specimens all showed a mixture of tetragonal  $\text{ThSiO}_4$  and  $\text{ThO}_2$  when heated for 30 minutes in air at  $1000^\circ$ . The hydrothorite, with the lowest content of  $(\text{OH})_4$ , showed the least amount of  $\text{ThO}_2$ .

Differential thermal analyses also were made of two metamict thorite crystals from Arendal and of one from Hybla. These all showed a gradual loss of water at  $100^\circ$  to  $225^\circ$  and a sharp single exothermic break at  $875^\circ$  to  $880^\circ$  due to crystallization. Separate samples of these when heated in air

at 470° and 610° proved to be still metamict, but at 920° a faint ThO<sub>2</sub> pattern appeared, and the 1050° DTA samples gave patterns with lines of both ThO<sub>2</sub> and the monoclinic polymorph of ThSiO<sub>4</sub>, huttonite. Normal crystalline ThSiO<sub>4</sub> and ZrSiO<sub>4</sub> gave DTA graphs without breaks. Microcrystalline thorogummite and metamict thorite are seen from the present evidence to differ in that on heating to 1000° the former affords the tetragonal, the latter the monoclinic polymorph of ThSiO<sub>4</sub>. ThO<sub>2</sub> also is formed in both cases. It is formed in relatively larger amounts when metamict thorite is heated, and here too it may be ascribed to crystallization from a (SiO<sub>4</sub>)-deficient matrix. The Hybla material, for which a superior analysis is available (Ellsworth, 1927), has a cation to Si ratio of 1:0.945. The conventional explanation for the appearance of ThO<sub>2</sub> or ZrO<sub>2</sub> on heating metamict thorite or zircon is that an equivalent amount of SiO<sub>2</sub> remains unreacted and amorphous, and does not give an X-ray pattern.


#### ZIRCON

Zircon is isostructural with thorite and at least in synthetic material made at a high temperature by sintering the mixed oxides with SiO<sub>2</sub> forms a complete series to that substance. Zircon often alters, especially when it contains U, Th, P and cations of valence lower than four, and is then characterized by the presence of water up to 10 or 12 percent and by a deficiency of silica. These features provide grounds for suspecting the existence of an (OH)<sub>4</sub> = (SiO<sub>4</sub>) substitution such as has been indicated for thorite. It also is found that many altered zircons, especially the reddish-brown crystals with curved faces commonly termed cyrtolite, are microcrystalline, pseudomorphous, recrystallization products of metamict zircon.

George (1949) has noted the microcrystalline granular to fibrous structure of cyrtolite. A cauliflower-like aggregate of cyrtolite crystals from a pegmatite at Hybla, Ontario, was examined here in some detail. The outer parts of the crystals are dull and are composed of an aggregate of microscopic crystalline grains with a variable amount of an isotropic ground-mass. This material inwardly grades into or embays and veins residuals of clear metamict zircon on both a macroscopic and microscopic scale. It locally follows lineage boundaries or cracks, and sometimes particular growth zones are strongly affected. Unheated samples of the recrystallized portions gave a strong X-ray pattern of zircon. A DTA curve of material containing about 15 percent of metamict material showed a gradual loss of water at  $100^{\circ}$  to  $225^{\circ}$ , a broad exothermic peak at  $460^{\circ}$  to  $550^{\circ}$  and a single sharp peak at  $880^{\circ}$  due to crystallization of the metamict material. Kulp, Volchok and Holland (1952) obtained a similar curve on metamict zircon from Hybla and Bedford, N. Y., except that, as in other metamict zircons examined by them, a distinct double peak was obtained at  $890^{\circ}$  to  $910^{\circ}$ .

The only metamict zircon for which an analysis and X-ray, dehydration, and thermal data are available is a cyrtolite from northern Karelia described by Kostyleva (1946) (analysis 8, table 4). This material had  $a_0 = 6.455 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $c_0 = 5.938$ , and sp. gr. 4.14 after ignition (3.76 unheated). The dehydration curve showed a gradual loss of 5.22 percent  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  up to  $200^{\circ}$  where there was a slight inflection, a gradual loss of 4.78 percent  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  from  $200^{\circ}$  to  $600^{\circ}$  where there was a slight inflection, and a gradual loss of the remaining 1 to 1.5 percent  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  from  $600^{\circ}$  to  $1000^{\circ}$ . The DTA curve showed a single recrystallization break at  $860^{\circ}$ . Above  $600^{\circ}$  the water is regarded by Kostyleva as essential and required for valence compensation in the structure.

If the 1.27 percent  $U_3O_8$  is disregarded as due to admixture, and Al, Fe, and S are reckoned in four-coordination with Si, then (Zr, Hf, RE, Ca, Mg, Mn, Na, K):(Si, Al, Fe, S) = 1:1 and a substitution of (OH) for O in the  $(XO_4)$  tetrahedra is required. Each (OH) would nullify a Si-O-cation bond and, as Kostyleva recognizes, make the crystal prone to metamictization. If the Al and Fe are reckoned with the cations then there is a deficiency of  $(XO_4)$ ; a small substitution of (OH) as  $Si(O,OH)_4$  is still required but no  $(OH)_4$ , and the summation of  $(XO_4)$  is only 3.55. Possibly  $(H_2O)_4$  is present in the 0.45 anion vacancies, and the formula then becomes:



This formula gives a total water content of 5.27 percent, 0.18 from  $Si(O,OH)_4$  and 5.09 from  $(H_2O)_4$ . If the U is regarded as essential then  $(OH)_4$  is required.

The water characteristically present in metamict substances is generally disregarded as nonessential and due to alteration, but it now seems that its role might be fundamental. This matter and that of the natural recrystallization of metamict material, as in thorogummite and cyrtolite, with its implication of the readjustment of the original composition, also bear on the use of thorite and zircon in geologic age studies by thermal, X-ray and chemical methods.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The writer wishes to express his appreciation to Dr. Arthur Montgomery of Lafayette College, Dr. S. E. Clabaugh of the University of Texas, and (the late) Mr. O. I. Lee of Jersey City, N. J., for the loan of specimens. This work was done on behalf of the Division of Raw Materials of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

## REFERENCES

- Bonati, S., and Gallitelli, P., 1951, Soc. toscana sci. nat. Atti, Mem. 57 (A), p. 3.
- Ellsworth, H. V., 1927, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 12, p. 368.
- Flint, E. P., McMurdie, H. F., and Wells, L. S., 1941, Nat. Bur. Standards Research Paper 26, p. 13.
- George, D. R., 1949, Mineralogy of uranium and thorium bearing minerals: RMO 563, p. 88.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1951, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 36, p. 129.
- Hemmi, K., 1951, Geol. Soc. Japan Jour., vol. 57, p. 345.
- Hutton, C. O., 1943, Royal Soc. New Zealand Trans., vol. 73, p. 174.
- Kostyleva, E. E., 1946, Acad. sci. URSS, Fersman Memorial Volume, 27.
- Kulp, J. L., Volchok, H. L., and Holland, H. D., 1952, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 37, p. 709.
- Larsen, E. S., Jr., 1921, U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 679, p. 144.
- McConnell, D., 1942, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 27, p. 452.
- Pabst, A., 1942, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 27, p. 783.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1951, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 36, p. 557.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1952, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 37, p. 137.
- Phair, G., and Shimamoto, K. O., 1952, Am. Mineralogist, vol. 37, p. 659.
- Simpson, E. S., 1927, Royal Soc. Western Australia Jour., vol. 13, p. 27.

Table 1.--Thorite-like minerals

## \*Material examined in the present study

- Auerlite Hidden and Mackintosh (Am. Jour. Sci. 36, 461, 1888).  
Henderson County, North Carolina.
- Calciothorite Brøgger (Geol. fören. Förh. 9, 258, 1887).  
Langesund Fiord, Norway.
- Chlorothorite Hidden (New York Acad. Sci. Trans. 8, 185, 1889).  
Baringer Hill, Texas. Name intended as a synonym of thorogummite.
- Enalite Kimura and Miyako (Chem. Soc. Japan Jour. 53, 93, 1932).  
Naegi, Japan.
- Eucrasite Pajkull (Geol. fören. Förh. 3, 350, 1877). Langesund  
Fiord, Norway.
- Ferrothorite Lacroix (Min. de Madagascar 3, 309, 1923).  
Befarita, Madagascar. Name given to a supposed ferroan variety  
of thorite.
- Freyalite Esmark cited in Damour (Soc. min. France Bull. 1, 33, 1878).  
Langesund Fiord, Norway.
- \*Hyblite Ellsworth (Am. Mineralogist 12, 368, 1927). Two types were  
distinguished, called alpha- and beta-hyblite. Harvard specimen  
verified personally by Ellsworth in 1952.
- \*Hydrothorite Simpson (Royal Soc. Western Australia Jour. 13, 27, 1927).  
Wodgina, Western Australia. Harvard specimen labelled as a gift  
from Simpson.
- \*Mackintoshite Hidden and Mackintosh (Am. Jour. Sci. 46, 98, 1893).  
Baringer Hill, Texas. A supposed later occurrence described by  
Simpson, Geol. Surv. Western Australia Bull. 48, 1912, and Nat.  
History Soc. Western Australia Jour. 4, 1912, from Wodgina, Western  
Australia [see also maitlandite]. A U. S. National Museum specimen  
answering description, but without direct evidence of authenticity.
- \*Maitlandite Simpson (Royal Soc. Western Australia Jour. 16, 33, 1930).  
Wodgina, Western Australia. Name applied to material earlier  
described under the name mackintoshite. U. S. National Museum  
specimen originally obtained from Simpson.
- \*Nicolayite Simpson (Royal Soc. Western Australia Jour. 16, 25, 1930).  
Wodgina, Western Australia. Name applied to material earlier  
described under the name thorogummite.



Table 1.--Continued

- \*Pilbarite Simpson (Chem. News 102, 283, 1910). Wodgina, Western Australia. U. S. National Museum specimen originally from Simpson, and a specimen from the O. I. Lee collection originally from the Government Museum, Western Australia. These specimens gave unlike X-ray patterns, and neither mineral was found to be related to thorite.
- \*Thorium gummite Wells, Fairchild and Ross (Am. Jour. Sci. 26, 45, 1933). Easton, Pennsylvania. Commonly called thorogummite. Thorogummite, uranophane and two unidentified minerals, all alterations of thorianite, were found in a suite of 60 specimens from the locality. None of these minerals could be identified with certainty with the analyzed material of Wells, Fairchild and Ross, as the several substances very closely resemble each other; none of analysis material is extant (C. S. Ross, personal communication, 1952).
- \*Thorogummite Hidden and Mackintosh (Am. Jour. Sci. 38, 480, 1889); also mentioned by Hidden and Hillebrand, Am. Jour. Sci. 46, 98, 1893, and Hidden, Am. Jour. Sci. 19, 425, 1905. Baringer Hill, Texas. Three later occurrences were described by Iimori and Hata, Tokyo Inst. Phys. Chem. Res., Sci. papers 34, 447, 1938, from Iisaka, Japan; by Simpson, Geol. Surv. Western Australia Bull. 48, 1912 and Nat. History Soc. Western Australia Jour. 4, 1912, from Wodgina, Western Australia [see also nicolayite]; and by Henmi, Geol. Soc. Japan Jour. 57, 345, 1951, from South Manchuria. The material available here included a Harvard specimen from Iisaka labelled as a gift from Iimori; a Harvard specimen and a U. S. National Museum specimen from Wodgina, the latter a gift from Simpson; and four specimens from the Harvard and O. I. Lee collections from Baringer Hill, Texas, all of which answered the description but none of which is known to be type material.

Table 2.--X-ray powder spacing data for thorogummite. Baringer Hill, Texas. Indexing for tetragonal cell with  $a_0 = 7.068 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $c_0 = 6.260 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $c_0/a_0 = 0.8857$ . Copper radiation, nickel filter. Corrected for film shrinkage.

I	d (meas.)	d (calc.)	hkl	I	d (meas.)	d (calc.)	hkl
9	4.695	4.686	011	2	1.379	1.379	431
10	3.537	3.534	200	3	1.326	1.326	224
4	2.821	2.821	121	2	1.267	1.267	152
6	2.653	2.653	112	4a	1.172	1.171	044
3	2.499	2.499	220	1a	1.130	1.130	532
1/2	2.336	2.343	022	2	1.112	1.112	244
4	2.203	2.205	031	1/2a	1.088	1.087	541
4	2.000	2.001	013	1/2a	1.055	1.052	622
3	1.869	1.871	321	1/2a	1.039	1.039	631
6	1.818	1.819	312	1b	1.021 to 1.013	1.011	415
1	1.767	1.767	400	3a	0.976	0.976	444
1	1.740	1.741	123	2b	0.953 to 0.951	0.952	552
1.	1.653	1.653	411	3a	0.908	0.909	624
1	1.582	1.580	420	a	0.888	0.884	800
1	1.565	1.565	004	c	0.833	0.831	644
2	1.469	1.470	332	c	0.807	0.805	662
3	1.431	1.431	024	c	0.791	0.790	840

a = diffuse line; b = diffuse line, possibly a doublet; c = broad bands centering as given, relative intensity uncertain.

Table 3.--Tetragonal unit cell dimensions of thorite and thorogummite

$a_0$ (Å)	$c_0$ (Å)	Material	Locality	Reference
7.03	6.25	Thorite	Nettuno, Sicily	Bonati and Gallitelli (1951)
7.08	6.28	Thorogummite	Manchuria	Henmi (1951)
7.12	6.32	Uranothorite	New Zealand	Pabst (1951)
<hr/>				
7.068	6.260	Thorogummite	Texas	Present study
		ThSiO <sub>4</sub>	Synthetic	Present study

Table 4.--Chemical analyses of thorogummite and related substances

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ThO <sub>2</sub>	41.44	24.46	25.05	57.79	45.30	24.72	21.20	
ZrO <sub>2</sub>			4.78		0.88		0.05	47.82
UO <sub>3</sub>	22.43	37.33	7.91	2.98			18.63	
UO <sub>2</sub>					22.40	35.60		
(Ce,La) <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	} 6.69	0.12	0.57	0.24	tr.	0.10	0.08	} 1.42
(Y,Er) <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		0.32	12.19	0.73	1.86	0.25	9.47	
CaO	0.41	1.62	0.95	1.65	0.59	1.28		4.72
MgO		0.16		0.60	0.10	0.15		0.17
PbO	2.16	7.78	tr.	1.25	3.74	7.90	0.17	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.965		2.22	0.88			8.84	0.71
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.845		8.67				2.84	1.56
SiO <sub>2</sub>	13.085	15.30	21.10	15.77	13.90	16.19	14.43	28.84
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	1.19		1.77	1.33	0.67		2.63	
H <sub>2</sub> O+	7.88	8.37	} 10.80	6.06	4.31	12.04	7.82	1.56
H <sub>2</sub> O-	1.23	4.19		9.12	0.50	0.88	5.61	8.52
Rem.		0.40	4.48	1.50	2.25	0.94	8.28	4.67
Total	98.325	100.05	100.49	99.90	96.50	100.05	100.05	100.01
Sp. gr.	4.43- 4.54	4.13	3.26- 3.31		5.361- 5.438	4.31- 4.45		

- 1 Thorogummite, Baringer Hill, Texas. Hidden and Mackintosh (1889). Atomic weight of rare earths given as 135.
- 2 Thorogummite (nicolayite). Wodgina, Western Australia. Simpson (1930). Remainder is (Nb,Ta)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 0.40.
- 3 Thorogummite, Iisaka, Japan. Iimori and Hata (1938). Remainder is (Nb,Ta)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 0.40, BeO 0.40, MnO 0.35, (As,Sb)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 0.32, CO<sub>2</sub> 3.01.
- 4 Hydrothorite, Wodgina, Western Australia. Simpson (1927). Remainder is CO<sub>2</sub> 1.50.
- 5 Mackintoshite, Baringer Hill, Texas. Hidden and Hillebrand (1893). Remainder is K<sub>2</sub>O 0.42, (Na,Li)<sub>2</sub>O 0.68, FeO 1.15.
- 6 Maitlandite, Wodgina, Western Australia. Simpson (1930). Remainder is (Nb,Ta)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 0.67, MnO 0.07, FeO 0.20. Another partial analysis is cited by Simpson.
- 7 Thorogummite, Haicheng Prefecture, South Manchuria. Yosimura and Yamada analysis in Henmi (1951). Remainder is BeO 0.16, SnO<sub>2</sub> 0.31, Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 7.81.
- 8 Zircon (cyrtolite), Karelia, U.S.S.R. Kostyleva (1946). Remainder is TiO<sub>2</sub> 0.02, HfO<sub>2</sub> 1.70, U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> 1.27, MnO 0.11, Na<sub>2</sub>O 0.59, K<sub>2</sub>O 0.28, SO<sub>3</sub> 0.72.

Table 5.--Formulas of thorite-like minerals

Analysis no.	Oxide ratios of original authors	Atomic ratios referred to thorite-type formula
1	$3\text{ThO}_2 \cdot \text{UO}_3 \cdot 3\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$[\text{Th}_{1.94}\text{U}_{.97}\text{Ce}_{.52}\text{Al}_{.23}\text{Fe}_{.13}^3\text{Ca}_{.09}\text{Pb}_{.12}]_4 [(\text{SiO}_4)_{2.69}(\text{PO}_4)_{.21}((\text{OH})_4)_{1.31}]_{4.21}$
2	$3\text{ThO}_2 \cdot 4\text{UO}_3 \cdot 2(\text{Pb,Ca})0.8\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$[\text{Th}_{1.25}\text{U}_{1.75}\text{Ce}_{.01}\text{Y}_{.04}\text{Ca}_{.39}\text{Mg}_{.05}\text{Pb}_{.47}\text{Cb}_{.04}]_4 [(\text{SiO}_4)_{3.43}((\text{OH})_4)_{.99}]_{4.42}$
3	$(\text{ThO}_2, \text{UO}_3, \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3, \text{etc.}) \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	
4	$\text{ThO}_2 \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$[\text{Th}_{2.79}\text{U}_{.13}^6\text{Ce}_{.02}\text{Y}_{.08}\text{Ca}_{.37}\text{Mg}_{.32}\text{Pb}_{.07}\text{Al}_{.22}]_4 [(\text{SiO}_4)_{3.34}(\text{PO}_4)_{.24}((\text{OH})_4)_{.09}]_{3.67}$
5	$3\text{ThO}_2 \cdot \text{UO}_3 \cdot 3\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$[\text{Th}_{1.96}\text{U}_{.90}^4\text{Zr}_{.08}\text{Y}_{.19}\text{Ca}_{.12}\text{Mg}_{.03}\text{Fe}_{.18}^2\text{Pb}_{.19}\text{K}_{.10}\text{Na}_{.25}]_4 [(\text{SiO}_4)_{2.64}(\text{PO}_4)_{.11}((\text{OH})_4)_{.71}]_{3.46}$
6	$3\text{ThO}_2 \cdot 4\text{UO}_2 \cdot 2(\text{Pb,Ca})0.8\text{SiO}_2 \cdot 23\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$[\text{Th}_{1.28}\text{U}_{1.71}^4\text{Ce}_{.01}\text{Y}_{.03}\text{Ca}_{.31}\text{Mg}_{.05}\text{Fe}_{.04}\text{Pb}_{.49}\text{Mn}_{.01}\text{Cb}_{.07}]_4 [\text{Si}(\text{O},\text{OH})_4]_{3.70}$
7		$[\text{Th}_{.63}\text{U}_{.51}^6\text{Zr}_{.003}\text{Sn}_{.02}\text{Cb}_{.46}\text{Y}_{.66}\text{Ce}_{.003}\text{Al}_{1.37}\text{Fe}_{.28}^3\text{Pb}_{.01}\text{Be}_{.05}]_4 [(\text{SiO}_4)_{1.90}(\text{PO}_4)_{.29}((\text{OH})_4)_{2.07}]_{4.26}$