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SEPARATION OF ALUMINUM PHOSPHATE MINERALS
FROM KAOLINITE BY SELECTIVE SOLUTION

By Irving May and Roberta Smith

ABSTRACT

Wavellite, crandallite, and millisite are completely dissolved by boiling samples for 20 minutes with 1+1 hydrochloric acid; only 1 percent of the aluminum present in kaolinite is dissolved. This method of decomposition can therefore be used for differentiating the aluminum present in wavellite, crandallite, and millisite from that in kaolinite in samples from the aluminum phosphate zone. This method should prove valuable in prospecting and beneficiation studies.

INTRODUCTION

Solubility studies recently made in connection with the determination of aluminum in aluminum phosphate zone samples appear to be of sufficient general interest to report here, as part of a program conducted by the U. S. Geological Survey on behalf of the Division of Raw Materials of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

The samples are from Florida, and aluminum is present as aluminum phosphates (wavellite, crandallite, and millisite) and as kaolinite. The problem was to determine the amount of aluminum present as phosphates but not to include any present in the kaolinite.

Previous experience with various solution procedures for the determination of uranium in such samples suggested that a brief digestion with 1+1 HCl would dissolve the phosphate minerals (Grimaldi and Guttag, 1954). We
therefore first studied the effect of heating kaolinite with 1+1 HCl.

SOLUBILITY STUDIES ON KAOLINITE

One-gram portions of a finely ground standard sample of kaolinite 1/ were heated with 20-ml portions of 1+1 hydrochloric acid for varying periods. The digestions were made in resistant glass beakers on a hot plate. After addition of the acid to the clays, the acid was quickly brought to a boil and kept boiling for periods of 15, 30, 60, and 120 minutes. Immediately after the boiling period, the samples were diluted with water, filtered, and washed with 1+9 hydrochloric acid. Blanks were run in both glass and platinum to serve as checks on the reagents and the possibility of leaching aluminum from the glass vessels. Aluminum was determined on aliquots of the solutions spectrophotometrically with ferron reagent (Delevaux et al., 1954). The results of this experiment are shown in table 1.

For the time range studied, the extent of the leaching of aluminum from kaolinite by hot 1+1 hydrochloric acid is a linear function of the digestion time (fig. 1). A 20-minute boiling period would dissolve only 1.2 percent of the aluminum present in kaolinite. The data obtained for the blanks show that an insignificant amount of aluminum would dissolve from resistant glass in 20 minutes. This boiling period was therefore chosen for the decomposition of the aluminum phosphate minerals in the leached zone samples.

STUDIES ON ALUMINUM PHOSPHATE ZONE SAMPLES

Samples weighing 0.33 gram were boiled with 20 ml of 1+1 hydrochloric acid in resistant glass beakers for 20 minutes. The solutions were then diluted with water, filtered, and the residues washed with 1+9 hydrochloric acid.

1/ API sample H-1/4, kaolinite from Macon, Ga.
Table 1.—Leaching of aluminum from kaolinite by 1+1 hydrochloric acid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boiling period (min)</th>
<th>Wt. Al₂O₃ dissolved (g)</th>
<th>Fraction Al₂O₃ dissolved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (Blank in platinum)</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (Blank in glass)</td>
<td>0.00007</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{Fraction Al}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ dissolved} = \frac{\text{Wt. Al}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ dissolved}}{0.40} \]

1/ Al₂O₃ content of kaolinite taken as 40 percent; therefore Al₂O₃ taken in 1 g sample = 0.40 g; fraction Al₂O₃ dissolved = \( \frac{\text{Wt. Al}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ dissolved}}{0.40} \)

Acid. Aluminum was determined on an aliquot of the filtrate with ferron reagent.

In order to test whether the aluminum phosphate minerals had been completely decomposed, 8 out of 24 residues from the hydrochloric acid digestion were analyzed for phosphorus spectrophotometrically as the molybdovanadophosphate complex. The residue from the API kaolinite standard, which was run as a control, contained 0.2 mg of P₂O₅; all of the sample residues contained less than 0.6 mg of P₂O₅. As the P₂O₅ content of the original samples exceeded 10 percent, it may be concluded that there was a quantitative decomposition of the phosphate minerals. The analytical data obtained on these samples are summarized in table 2.

We wish to acknowledge the assistance of Zalman S. Altschuler on the mineralogical problems connected with this study.
Figure 1.--Leaching of aluminum from kaolinite by 1+1 hydrochloric acid.
Table 2.--Analytical results on aluminum phosphate zone samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Percent Al₂O₃ (in entire sample)</th>
<th>Percent Al₂O₃ (leached by 1+1 HCl)</th>
<th>Percent P₂O₅ (in residue from leaching with 1+1 HCl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/ Calculated as percentage of original sample.
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