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DIELECTRIC CONSTANT AND ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY OF NATURAL-STATE CORES

By G. V. Keller and P. H. Licastro

ABSTRACT

As part of a program to obtain basic data on the physical properties of the rock in and near uranium-mineralized zones, measurements of electrical resistivity and dielectric constant were made on parts of 27 cores from the Morrison formation in the Colorado Plateau uranium province. For frequencies between 50 cycles and 30 megacycles per second resistivity ranged from $10^2$ to $10^{12}$ ohm-centimeters, and the dielectric constant ranged from 4.0 to more than $10^6$. The water content of the cores seemed to be the controlling factor; the high resistivities were associated with low water content and the high dielectric constants with high water content.

INTRODUCTION

The electrical properties of the Morrison formation in the Uravan mineral belt of the Colorado Plateau have been measured in place by electric logging and in the laboratory on cores with restored water saturation (Keller, 1957). The resistivity of different members of the Morrison formation in drill holes ranged from 8 to 2000 ohm-meters. The resistivity of the sandstone members of the Morrison was found to be highest in areas that were considered most favorable for the occurrence of ore, probably because of the lower water saturation or lower salinity of the water in the favorable areas.
During 1952, a program of natural-state coring was begun by the U. S. Geological Survey in the Long Park area (Manger, Gates, and Cadigan, 1954) to obtain basic data on physical properties of rocks in and near uranium-mineralized zones. Drilling was done using air and oil-base drilling mud so that the water content of the cores could be preserved as nearly as possible in its original condition. Such cores offered an opportunity to obtain truly representative values of the resistivity.

Parts of twenty-seven cores of sandstones and mudstones of the Morrison formation, taken from two drill holes in the Long Park area, were used in this study. Both dielectric constant and electrical resistivity were measured from frequencies of 50 cycles to 30 megacycles per second.
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EXPERIMENTAL WORK

Preparation of core material

For measurements of dielectric constant and electrical resistivity, a thin wafer was cut from each of the cores. The plane faces of these wafers were coated with conductive silver paint to form a pair of
electrodes. The capacity and resistance of each piece of core were
determined by using the core as one arm of a bridge circuit. A second
arm contained a capacitor and resistor which were varied to match the
capacity and resistances of the core. The bridge was operated at
discrete frequencies from 50 cycles per second to 30 megacycles per
second.

The core holder consisted of a brass plate placed in contact
with the bottom of a core and a point electrode on a micrometer
adjustment in contact with the top. Use of a conical point electrode,
which has practically no capacitance of its own, and wafer-shaped
cores eliminated the necessity for Kelvin shielding of the cores, and
reduced considerably the complexity of the bridge arrangement.

Presentation of data for natural-state cores

The dielectric constants measured on the 27 natural-state cores
are presented graphically in figure 1. The data are presented as
dielectric ratios: that is, the capacity of a core divided by the
capacity that an air-condenser of the same dimensions would have. In
order to obtain dielectric constants in cgs units from figure 1, it is
necessary to multiply the figures by the factor $8.85 \times 10^{-14}$ farads per
centimeter, the dielectric constant of air.

The values of dielectric constant are strongly dispersed ranging
from 4 to more than $10^6$, at the low frequency end of the spectrum. At
frequencies above 10 megacycles per second, the dielectric constants
are all less than 80, as might be expected. In cores with very low
water saturations, such as numbers 10 and 12, there is almost no
variation of dielectric constant with frequency.
Figure 1 - Dielectric constants of natural-state Morison cores.
The results of the resistivity measurements on these same cores are presented in figure 2 in cgs units, ohm-centimeters. In the dry cores (see, for example, numbers 10 and 12) there is a linear inverse relationship between resistivity and frequency over practically the entire range of frequencies studied. On the other hand, in the wet cores such as numbers 21 and 23 there is no variation of resistivity with frequency.

The dispersion of resistivity and the anomalous behavior of dielectric constant raise a question as to which is the more important in controlling current flow. When a voltage is impressed on a material, the current that flows can be considered as consisting of two parts: one in phase with the applied voltage, resulting in 'ohmic' conductivity, and another in quadrature with the applied voltage, termed the displacement current. The ratio of these two currents is the tangent of the phase angle between the applied voltage and the resultant current and is a measure of the relative importance of resistivity and dielectric constant in determining the response of a material in an applied electric field. The ratio of ohmic current to displacement current was determined for each of the twenty-seven cores by

\[ \tan \delta = \frac{1}{\omega \varepsilon \varepsilon_0 \rho} \]  (1)
Figure 2 - Resistivity of Natural-State Morrison Core
where $\delta$ is the phase angle,

$\epsilon$ is the relative dielectric constant of a core,

$\epsilon_0$ is the dielectric constant of air,

$\omega$ is the frequency in radians per second, and

$\rho$ is the electrical resistivity.

The phase angles are shown graphically in figure 3.

Conduction in the cores studied ranges from predominantly ohmic ($\tan \delta > 1$), to predominantly displacement conduction ($\tan \delta < 1$). The cores with the highest dielectric constant are those in which conduction is almost entirely ohmic, and in those with very low dielectric constant conduction is almost entirely by displacement currents.

The transition from displacement conduction at low water content to ohmic conduction at high water content is relatively sharp. This is shown in figure 4 by the phase angle data at 10 kilocycles per second. At this frequency the transition occurs at a water content of 3 percent. At lower frequencies the transition takes place at lower water contents, but even at frequencies as low as 50 cycles per second, the quadrature component of conduction was important in some of the sandstone cores studied.

Measurements on dry cores

The behavior of resistivity and dielectric constant described in the preceding sections seems to be intimately associated with the presence of water in the cores. As a further check on this, measurements of dielectric constant and resistivity were made on the same group of cores after their fluid contents had been removed. The oil
Figure 3 - Loss angles measured on natural-state Morrison cores.
Figure 4 - Phase Angle vs Water Content at a Frequency of 10 kc.
in the cores taken with oil-base mud was removed in a Soxhlet extractor with pentane. Most of the water was removed by baking the cores at 160° C for 72 hours. The cores were evacuated while still hot, and resaturated with dry nitrogen, and then stored in a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Measurements were later made with the cores in a vacuum. This procedure ensured the removal of all water except that closely associated with minerals in the cores and prevented re-entrance of moisture from the atmosphere while measurements were being made.

Some dispersion is evident in the dielectric constants of the dry cores (fig. 5). The dielectric constants at flow frequencies are as much as 20 percent more than those at the high frequencies, but the tremendous variations observed in the cores in their original state are absent. It is apparent that the observed dispersion was entirely dependent on the presence of water.

Determination of resistivity and phase angle were made on these dried cores, but as might be expected, the ohmic conductivity is practically negligible. Because the in-phase current is such a small part of the total current in the dried cores, only a very low accuracy was achieved in these determinations. It was found, however, that all dried cores behaved as did cores number 10 and 12 in their natural state, that is, the resistivity of the dried cores was inversely proportional to the frequency.
Figure 5 - Dielectric Constants of Fried Morrison Cores Measured in Vacuum
EVALUATION OF THE DATA IN TERMS OF DISPERSION THEORIES

Examples of dispersion of electrical resistivity and dielectric constant are abundant in the literature, though few measurements of dielectric constants as high as those observed on some of the cores in the present work have been reported. The most pertinent of these earlier measurements are those of H. M. Evjen (1948), who estimated a dielectric constant of $10^8$ to explain transients observed in a sedimentary section. Dielectric constants as large as $10^3$ or $10^5$ have been reported by Fricke (1925, 1926) for certain biological cell suspensions, by Fricke and Curtis (1935) for kaolin-gelatin suspensions, by Koops (1951) for artificially prepared ferrites, by Von Hippel (1954) for bottom round steak, by Dunlap and Makower (1945) for dehydrated carrots, and by Smith-Rose (1934) and Tarkhov (1948) for rocks and other earth materials.

Several explanations for such anomalous behavior of dielectric materials have been advanced by the various authors. Smith-Rose (1934) and Tarkhov (1948) have suggested that the high dielectric constants observed on moist rocks are caused by electrode polarization. It is a well-known fact that a pair of metallic electrodes immersed in an ionic solution act as a condenser of large capacity if current is caused to flow between the electrodes. This capacity is confined to a narrow zone near the electrode surface and is caused in part by a change in concentration near the electrodes during current flow, resulting in a change of the normal electrode potential in opposition to the direction of current flow. In addition, the increased density of cations near the cathode and of anions near the anode results in
a concentration potential, also opposed to the current flow. For steady-state direct currents, the capacity of a smooth electrode surface is of the order of 6 to 12 microfarads per square centimeter. The capacity of metal electrodes with a large specific surface area may be much larger, and polarization capacities as large as 0.02 farads per square centimeter have been reported (Jaffe and Rider, 1952). With an alternating current, these reactions are effective only if the ions have time to concentrate at the electrodes during each half cycle. It can be shown theoretically that if the diffusion potential is the only factor contributing to the polarization capacity, then that capacity should decrease as the square root of frequency. In a study of polarization capacity at intermediate and high frequencies, Jolliffe (1923) found that the inverse square-root relationship held for platinum electrodes. Other investigators have found that the capacity dies off less rapidly than the square root of frequency, and still others have found an inverse proportionality.

Jolliffe (1923) has suggested that electrode polarization can be represented by the equivalent circuit shown in figure 6. The effects of electrode polarization are represented by a resistor and variable capacitor in series, and shunted with a second resistor. The capacitance is assumed to decrease exponentially as frequency increases, the factor $s$ representing the rate of decrease. Such an equivalent circuit would result in an apparent dispersion of the dielectric constants as shown by the curves presented in figure 7. For comparison, one of the observed dielectric dispersion curves is shown. It is apparent that the observed dielectric constant decreases less rapidly
\[ \rho = \left( \frac{1}{\rho_0} + \frac{1}{R_p} \frac{C_p^2 R_p^2 \omega^2 (1-s)}{1 + C_p^2 R_p^2 \omega^2 (1-s)} \right)^{-1} \]

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{C_p}{1 + C_p^2 R_p^2 \omega^2 (1-s)} \]

(C.B. Jolliffe, 1923)

**Figure 6**: Equivalent circuit for electrode polarization
Figure 7 - Dispersion curves showing the effect of electrode polarization capacity decaying as an inverse power of the frequency.

\[ \frac{\omega^s}{\omega_0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} = \frac{\left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^{-s}}{1 + \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^{(2s-1)}} \]

...-O--O-- observed dielectric constant for core Mo-17.
with frequency than any of the cases where the dispersion might be the result of electrode polarization.

Further evidence that the high dielectric constants are not caused by electrode polarization is given by extrapolating dielectric constants to zero frequency. The apparent dielectric constant that would result from an electrode polarization capacity of ten microfarads per square centimeter is shown as a function of water content by the solid curve in figure 8. The observed values of static dielectric constant are 2 to 5 orders of magnitude smaller than would be expected if the dispersion were caused by electrode polarization. This indicates that in the frequency range used in the present work, the effects of electrode polarization are relatively unimportant.

Actually, all solid and liquid dielectrics possess abnormal or anomalous properties at low frequencies beyond those that can be explained by electrode polarization (Hartshorn, 1926). In addition to the normal charging current and conduction current, such dielectrics exhibit an anomalous charging current when subjected to a steady-state voltage. When a static voltage is applied to a material with anomalous behavior, the current flowing may be expressed as:

\[ I_t = i_0 + i_1(t) + i_2(t) \]  

(2)

where \( i_0 \) is the ohmic current flowing in the medium,

\( i_1(t) \) is the normal capacitative charging current, and

\( i_2(t) \) is the anomalous charging current.

This anomalous charging current is comparatively large at the instant the voltage is applied but decreases rapidly with time. However, in some materials, an appreciable part of this current may persist for
Figure 8 - STATIC DIELECTRIC CONSTANT vs WATER CONTENT.
months. As the anomalous current is proportional to the applied voltage, its characteristics may be expressed by the equation:

\[ i_2(t) = C_0E\beta F(t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( C_0 \) is the geometric capacity of a system,

\( E \) is the applied voltage,

\( \beta \) is a proportionality parameter specifying the magnitude of the anomalous current in a particular material, and

\( F(t) \) is the characteristic time function, describing the manner in which the anomalous current decreases with time.

The form of \( F(t) \) is a matter of some dispute. Many early investigators in the field found that within the limits of their measurements the characteristic time function was a simple power function:

\[ F(t) = \beta t^{-m}; m < 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

It is obvious, though, that this expression cannot be physically correct, because if one considers zero time, the current is infinite, and if one considers the accumulated charge during an infinite charging period, this is also infinite.

In an alternating field, the anomalous current will increase both the apparent capacity and the loss; the amount of this increase depends on the length of a cycle. According to Hartshorn (1926) Schweidler has shown that the fractional increase in capacity caused by the anomalous current is:

\[ \frac{\Delta C}{C} = \beta \int_0^\infty F(t) \cos \omega dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the alternating field.
An exponential form for $F(t)$:

$$F(t) = \beta e^{-\alpha t}$$

has been suggested on theoretical grounds by Maxwell and by Pellat (Hartshorn, 1926). Maxwell arrived at this expression by considering the discharge current from a material composed of alternating layers of different electrical properties, and Pellat arrived at the same result by considering a viscous displacement of charge following the initial elastic deformation in an applied field.

Unfortunately, observed data do not ordinarily follow simple exponential time functions. Schweidler has extended Pellat's analysis by considering a characteristic time function consisting of a sum of exponential terms:

$$F(t) = \sum \beta_n e^{-\alpha_nt}$$

where there is an infinite variety of arbitrary coefficients $\beta_n$, $\alpha_n$.

This equation may be applied in a practical case by specifying a law of distribution for these arbitrary factors. Several such laws have been suggested (Fuoss and Kirkwood, 1941; MacDonald, 1952; and Davidson and Cole, 1950), but the law which is most applicable to our data is a log-normal distribution law suggested by Wagner (1913). Wagner's equation for the characteristic time function is:

$$F(t) = \int_0^\infty \gamma(T) dT \ e^{-\frac{t}{T}}$$

where $\gamma(T) dT$ is the sum of the amplitude of all terms in a range from $T$ to $dT$, and where $\beta_n$, the amplitude parameters for the individual terms, have been replaced by the distribution function, $\gamma(T)$, and the parameters $\alpha_n$ are expressed as relaxation times $T$. 
For a log-normal distribution, the distribution function is:

\[ \gamma(T) \, dT = \gamma_0 \, e^{-b^2 z^2} \, dz; \quad z = \ln \frac{T}{T_0} \]  

(9)

where \( T_0 \) is the principal value of \( T \), the time constants, and \( b \) is a parameter expressing how closely values of the time constant are grouped about their principal value. Curves showing the distribution of time constants for various values of \( b \) are presented in figure 9.

Wagner has shown that with such a distribution of time constants, the capacity and phase angle of a material are given by the following equations:

\[ \Delta C = \frac{\gamma_0}{C} \, e^{-b^2 z_0^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-b^2 u^2} \, \frac{\cosh (2b^2 z_0^2 - 1) u}{\cosh u} \, du \]  

(10)

\[ (1 + \Delta C) \tan \psi = \gamma_0 \, e^{-b^2 z_0^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-b^2 u^2} \, \frac{\cosh b^2 z_0 u}{\cosh u} \, du \]  

(11)

where \( z = \ln T/T_0 \),

\( z_0 = \ln \omega T_0 \) and

\( z + z_0 = u \)

Curves for this form of dielectric dispersion are presented in figure 10, and curves for the variation of phase angle with frequency are presented in figure 11.

An adaptation of a graphical method described by Yager (1936) was used to determine the parameters \( b \), \( \gamma \), and \( T_0 \) for the dispersion data obtained with the natural-state Morrison cores. In this technique, a set of curves relating to a factor \( \delta \) (defined as the ratio of two values of dielectric constant, one at ten times the frequency of the other) a
Figure 9 - DISTRIBUTION OF RELAXATION TIMES AS A FUNCTION OF THE DISTRIBUTION PARAMETER $b$. 
Figure 10 - Wagner's equation for dispersion of the real dielectric constant in a substance with a normal distribution of relaxation times.
Figure 11 - Equation for dispersion of the imaginary dielectric constant in a substance with a normal distribution of relaxation times.
factor \( z = \ln \frac{T_0}{T_r} \) (\( T_0 \) is the principal relaxation time, and \( T_r \) is the relaxation time for the frequency at which \( S \) is defined) and the distribution parameter, \( b \), are used. These curves are presented in figure 12.

In analyzing a particular group of data, the ratio of two dielectric constants a decade apart in frequency is formed, and by using the curves in figure 12, a curve relating \( z \) and \( b \) is constructed. This process is repeated with other pairs of dielectric constants taken at other frequencies. The final result is a series of curves of \( z \) vs \( b \) for a particular set of dielectric constants, as shown by the example in figure 13. The intersection of these trial curves determines the correct values of \( b \) and \( z_0 \) for the data. The principal relaxation frequency may be obtained from the definition:

\[
\omega_0 = \ln \frac{\omega}{\omega_0}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the frequency at which the first dielectric ratio was formed and \( \omega_0 \) is the principal relaxation frequency.

The second stage in the graphical evaluation of the data is the determination of the low-frequency or static value of dielectric constant. For this purpose, a group of curves showing the relation between \( b \) (the distribution parameter), \( z = \ln \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \) (the frequency) and the dielectric constant (expressed as a fraction of the maximum value attained at low frequencies) is used. These curves are presented in figure 14.

As \( b \) and \( z \) are already known from the analysis described in the preceding paragraph, it is a simple matter to determine a theoretical value for the dielectric constant from figure 14 for each of the
Figure 12 - DIELECTRIC RATIO AS A FUNCTION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETER, AND FREQUENCY.
Figure 13 - EXAMPLE OF THE GRAPHICAL DETERMINATION OF WAGNER'S PARAMETERS $b$ AND $z_0$. 

CORE Mo-18

Ratio taken at 50 and 500 cycles per second $\gamma = 0.272$

Ratio taken at 100 and 1000 cycles per second $\gamma = 0.252$

Ratio taken at 500 and 5000 cycles per second $\gamma = 0.166$
Figure 14 - THEORETICAL DIELECTRIC CONSTANT AS A FUNCTION OF DISTRIBUTION PARAMETER AND FREQUENCY.
frequencies at which an experimental value was determined. When the experimental values are plotted as a function of these theoretical values, a straight line relationship with a slope equal to the low frequency dielectric constant is obtained provided the data satisfy Wagner's equations. An example is shown in figure 15.

This procedure was used to determine the dispersion parameters for each of the cores studied. The results are given in table 1.

An example of the agreement between the experimental data and Wagner's equations is given in figure 16. The observed values of resistivity are plotted in terms of an imaginary dielectric constant, defined as:

\[ \varepsilon'' = \frac{i \omega \rho}{\varepsilon_0} \quad (12) \]

where \( \omega \) is the frequency in radians per second, \( \rho \) is the electrical resistivity in ohm-centimeters, and \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the dielectric constant of a vacuum in farads per centimeter. The agreement between the real dielectric constant and Wagner's equation was excellent in all cases, but the agreement between imaginary dielectric constant (resistivity) and theoretical curves is not as good.

Wagner's equations have provided a satisfactory description of dispersion of the dielectric constant and resistivity, but the problem of constructing a pragmatic model that can explain the physical processes involved remains.

Maxwell (1892) has shown that anomalous phenomena can result from a particular megascopical structure of the dielectric material. If a material is composed of alternating layers of two materials with different electrical properties, these layers may be represented by
Figure 15 - Graphical determination of the maximum dielectric constant and the parameter k of Wagner's equation.
Table 1.— Characteristics of the dielectric dispersion of natural-state cores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Number</th>
<th>Water Content</th>
<th>Relaxation Frequency</th>
<th>Distribution Parameter b</th>
<th>Low Frequency Dielectric Constant</th>
<th>High Frequency Dielectric Constant</th>
<th>Dielectric Increase Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>7.0x10^4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.0x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8.0x10^3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.16x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.95x10^2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.58x10^1</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>4.43x10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>7.1x10^3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.9x10^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00179</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>8.65x10^2</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00103</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>2.45x10^5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>4.0x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0609</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.0x10^5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.6x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0240</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.00796</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.00618</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0340</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>1.41x10^3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2.10x10^5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.33x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.94x10^5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.15x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>6.3x10^4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.9x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.10x10^4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.97x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0730</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.63x10^4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.86x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.0545</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>8.4x10^5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.12x10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.0556</td>
<td>2470</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>4.3x10^5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.1x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
<td>4589</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.88x10^5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.17x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0415</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.90x10^4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.39x10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.04x10^5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.25x10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0728</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.75x10^6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.13x10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.96x10^5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2.88x10^4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by U. S. Bureau of Mines, San Francisco, California
Observed real dielectric constant

Observed imaginary dielectric constant

Theoretical real dielectric constant

Theoretical imaginary dielectric constant

Theoretical curves are Wagner's equations with the following numerical parameters.

\[ f_c = 1.35 \text{ cycles per second} \]
\[ b = 0.205 \]
\[ \varepsilon^o = 66,000 \]
\[ \varepsilon = 6.6 \]
\[ k = 10,000 \]

Figure 16 - DIELECTRIC CONSTANTS IN CORE Mo-18
the equivalent circuit shown in figure 17. The increase in low
frequency dielectric constant over the high frequency constant for
such a system is given by:

\[ k = \frac{(\tau_1 + \tau_2 - \tau)\tau - \tau_1 \tau_2}{\tau_1 \tau_2} \]  

(13)

where \( \tau_1 = R_1 C_1 \),
\( \tau_2 = R_2 C_2 \),
\( \tau = R_1 R_2 (C_1 + C_2) (R_1 + R_2) \), and

\( R_1, R_2, C_1, C_2 \) are the resistances and capacities of the
alternate layers.

The ratio of the increase has been plotted as a function of water
content for a hypothetical rock consisting of layers of quartz and
water (fig. 18). Although Maxwell's interfacial polarization can
account for large dielectric constants, these constants are found only
at very large water contents.

Von Hippel (1954) points out that there is a tacit assumption in
Maxwell's derivation that the migration of charge carriers (ions)
through the layers is unimpeded. McCardell (McCardell and Winsauer, 1953)
has suggested that mudstones or clay-bearing sandstones actually act as
ion sieves. If a rock is considered to be a semipermeable membrane with
fixed negative charges scattered through it, then it is expected that
positive ions may flow through the rock more readily than negative
ions. The characteristics of such selectively permeable membranes have
been discussed by Sollner (1945).
Figure 17: Equivalent circuit for a dielectric material having more than one relaxation time

\[
\frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon^\infty}{\varepsilon^\infty} = \frac{k b}{\sqrt{\pi T}} e^{-b^2 z_o^2} \int_0^\infty e^{-b^2 u^2} \frac{\text{ch}(2 b z_o / u)}{\text{ch} u} \, du
\]

(Wagner, 1913; Macdonald, 1952)
Figure 18 - DIELECTRIC CONSTANT FOR A LAMINATED MIXTURE OF QUARTZ AND WATER.
A typical pore structure in a clastic detrital rock might be considered as a relatively large, possibly equidimensional void, connected to other such voids by thin fibrous channels. The essential features of such a pore structure are shown by the idealized "porelet" of figure 19. An example of the actual pore structure in a sandstone of the Morrison formation is shown in figure 20, a tracing made from a photomicrograph of a stained thin section.

Clay particles positioned in constrictions in connecting channels will effectively block migration of negative ions because of the small size of the channels and the force of repulsions from the negative charges usually present on the clay particles. In such a situation, the positive ions are free to move through the rock under the influence of an external field, but anions can migrate only until they come in contact with one of these selectively permeable pore constrictions. As a result, there is an accumulation of negative charge as the anions migrate from one side to the other of the free area in a pore. The average anion would migrate a distance equal to:

\[
d_- = \mu_- \int_{t = 0}^{t = t_1} \frac{V_1(t)}{D} \, dt
\]

where \( \mu_- \) is the mobility of anions,

\( V_1(t) \) is the voltage drop across a given pore,

\( D \) is the diameter of that pore, and

\( t \) is the length of time that voltage is applied.

The voltage drop across a pore would be a function of time because of the counter-emf set up by the concentration differences as anions collect
Connecting pore
Storage pore
ion accumulation
clay particle

Figure 19 - IDEALIZED PORE STRUCTURE
Black areas show pore structures impregnated by stained plastic

Figure 20 - SKETCH OF THE PORE STRUCTURE OF A TYPICAL SALT WASH SANDSTONE (taken from a photomicrograph of a stained thin section supplied by R. A. Cadigan).
at the barriers. The diffusion potential set up by the anion concentra-
tions will cause an accumulation of positive ions and an associated
polarization, but as long as the diffusion potential is small compared
to the applied voltage drop, the polarization due to cation concen-
tration will be small compared to the polarization of the anions.

If it is assumed that the cations are relatively unaffected by
the anion concentrations, the charge stored by the anions in one
porelet will be:

\[ q = \frac{\mu_+}{\mu_+ + \mu_-} \cdot \frac{a-a_0}{2a-a_0} \cdot I \cdot t \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where \( I \cdot t \) is the total quantity of electricity passing through the
pore by means of anion motion, and \( \frac{d-}{D} \) represents the fraction of that
motion which is not compensated by motion of cations in the opposite
direction.

The fraction of the total current carried by the anions is a
function of the ionic mobilities and the relative concentrations of
cations and anions. The clay particles act as immobile anions, so
the number of mobile anions will be less than the number of cations.
The part of the total current carried by anions will be:

\[ I_- = \frac{\mu_-}{\mu_+ + \mu_-} \cdot \frac{a-a_0}{2a-a_0} \cdot I \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where \( \mu_+ \) and \( \mu_- \) are the mobilities of the cations and anions,
respectively,

\( a \) is the concentration of cations, and

\( a_0 \) is the equivalent concentration of the clay anions.

Values of \( a_0 \), measured on a group of typical sandstones of the
Morrison formation, fully water saturated, are presented in figure 21.
Figure 21 - NUMBER OF IMMOBILE CATIONS IN A TYPICAL SALT WASH SANDSTONE.
The volume of the central part of a pore, as presented in the idealized form in figure 19, would be $\pi D^3/8$, and the volume of the small connecting channels can be called $k\pi D^3/8$, so that the number $n$ of pores in a unit volume of rock having a porosity, $\phi$, is:

$$n = \frac{8\phi}{(1+k)\pi D^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

If the connecting channels of only a fraction, $N_f$, of these pore structures are blocked by selectively permeable membranes, the charge stored in a unit volume will be:

$$Q = nNq$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Under the application of a unit voltage, the capacity of this unit volume will be equal to the charge, $Q$. Ordinarily, most of the resistance of a rock is contributed by the small pores because of their small cross section and great length. The larger, central pores will have a smaller voltage gradient, smaller by a factor, $m$, because of their smaller resistance per unit length.

Combining all of these considerations, it is possible to estimate the dielectric constant of a typical, water-saturated sandstone:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{C}{C_0} = \frac{Q}{8.85 \times 10^{-14}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $C_0$ is the capacity of a cubic centimeter of vacuum, or $8.85 \times 10^{-14}$ farads. Using equations 14 through 18 to replace $Q$, we obtain:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2 \times 10^{13}}{(1+k)\pi D^5} \left( N\right) \int_{t=0}^{t=t_1} V_1(t) dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

It is necessary to know how the diffusion potential caused by the concentration of anions depends on charging time and pore diameter.
to evaluate the integral precisely. However, in a qualitative approach, the integral may be evaluated as:

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} V(t) dt = A(t, D) \frac{t E}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where $E$ is the externally applied voltage gradient, taken as one volt per centimeter for the purposes of this discussion and $A$ is a constant depending on $t$ and $D$.

Also, Archie's law (Archie, 1947) may be used to express the current, $I$, in equation 20 in terms of porosity and water resistivity:

$$I = \frac{E \rho_s S^2}{\omega}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $\rho_s$ is the resistivity of the water in the pores, and $S$ is the fraction of the pores filled with water. Assuming that the pores are fully saturated and that $E$ is one volt per cm, equation 20 becomes:

$$\mathcal{C} = 9 \times 10^{13} \frac{3\phi A N t^2 \mu^2 (a-a_0)}{(1+k)\pi D^2 \rho_s m(\mu_+ + \mu_-)(2a-a_0)}$$

An evaluation of this expression for several hypothetical sets of conditions is presented in table 2.

The influence of any single factor on the dielectric constant cannot readily be evaluated because of the qualitative nature of this analysis. However, if values which are geologically probable are assumed for the various parameters, it is obvious that the existence of a membrane effect would lead to high values of static dielectric constant.
Table 2. — Evaluation of dielectric constant under certain hypothetical conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>0.01 cm</td>
<td>0.05 cm</td>
<td>0.2 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>50,000 ppm</td>
<td>20,000 ppm</td>
<td>10,000 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_0$</td>
<td>40,000 ppm</td>
<td>1,000 ppm</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_-$</td>
<td>for chloride ion: $67.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/sec per volt/cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_+$</td>
<td>for sodium ion: $45.6 \times 10^{-5}$ cm/sec per volt/cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>12 ohm-cm</td>
<td>34 ohm-cm</td>
<td>65 ohm-cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{E}$</td>
<td>$8.05 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$1.19 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One may infer from such considerations that siltstones will probably exhibit larger dielectric constants than any other rock types. In shales, all the negative charges may be immobile, so that no polarization can take place, and in clean sandstones, there may be no anion traps to cause polarization. Siltstones will provide a large number of anion traps and at the same time have a sufficient number of mobile anions to make use of these traps.

Other mechanisms for the origin of large polarizations have been suggested (Dakhnov and others, 1952). In a metal-bearing rock, electrode polarization may take place at every interface between metal and electrolytic solution. The sandstones of the Morrison formation contain only small percentages of metallic minerals, so this mechanism is not of interest. A second phenomenon suggested by Dakhnov is the storage of charge by electro-osmosis. When a voltage is applied across a rock, the water in the pores may be carried along with the electric current. Following the cessation of current, the displaced water will return to its original position, giving rise to an electrokinetic potential. As the water in sandstones of the Morrison formation is highly saline, it is not likely that an appreciable electrokinetic potential could be generated or that an appreciable charge could be stored.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The experiments described in this report suggest that the electrical characteristics and probably the nature of electrical conduction in earth materials is considerably more complicated than is commonly assumed in geophysical prospecting. Measurements on water-bearing sandstones and siltstones from the Morrison formation showed a strong dispersion of both
resistivity and dielectric constant with frequency. The variation in resistivity is most pronounced in rocks with low water contents and large dielectric constants are associated with rocks having the higher water contents, such as siltstones and mudstones. If a rock is considered to contain selectively permeable membranes, as has been suggested to explain natural potentials observed in electric logging, it is possible to obtain extremely high dielectric constants.

These measurements were made on parts of only 27 cores from two neighboring drill holes in a small area of the Colorado Plateau, but there is no reason to believe that these specimens are unique. The high dielectric constants observed with the water-bearing mudstones (as great as $10^6$) might also be observed with any equally wet mudstone or shale. The dispersion of resistivity observed on the sandstones may not be so common, as it appears only with water contents of less than 3 percent of the rock volume, but there are examples of sandstones in desert areas and in oil-wet oil reservoirs where such low saturations are the rule rather than the exception. For this reason, the consideration of these phenomena in electrical prospecting should be of general interest to geophysicists.
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