

# PRELIMINARY WATER-QUALITY CHARACTERIZATION OF LAKES IN WASHINGTON



U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Water-Resources Investigations 77-94



Prepared in Cooperation With State of Washington Department of Ecology

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. Report No.	2.			s Accession No.
4. Title and Subtitle				5. Report Dat	e
Preliminary water	-quality characterizati	on of lakes	s in	Approved	August 1977
Washington					
7. Author(s) G. C. Bo	rtleson				Organization Rept. -77-94
9. Performing Organization M U.S. Geological S				10. Project/1	Task/Work Unit No.
1201 Pacific Aven				11. Contract/	Grant No.
Tacoma, Washingto					
12. Sponsoring Organization				13. Type of R Covered	eport & Period
U.S. Geological S					Final
1201 Pacific Aven				14.	
Tacoma, Washingto	11 90402				
15. Supplementary Notes Prepared in coope	ration with the State o	of Washingto	on Department	of Ecolo	ду
16. Abstracts					
	ort describes a method f basis of water quality				
	ion efforts. To simpli				
	each of 617 lakes in W				
	-quality properties mea				
	ent of the three propert				
	orrelation matrix. The s of phosphorus and org				
	evaluated by regression				
	ndard error of estimate				
	e CV can be a useful in	dex in asse	essing relati	ve water-	quality and
trophic condition	s among lakes.				
17. Key Words and Documen	nt Analysis. 170. Descriptors				
		1701 . 1			
Analytical met	hods/Chemical propertie	s/Pnysical	properties		
17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended	Terms				
Lakes/Lakes	in Washington/Washingto	n			
17c. COSATI Field/Group					
18. Availability Statement			19. Security Cla Report)	iss (This	21. No. of Pages
No restrictio	n on distribution		UNCLAS 20. Security Cla	SIFIED	31 22 Price
no restrictio.	a on distribution		Page UNCLAS		22. Price
			UNCLAS	ON ILD	

FORM NTIS-35 (REV. 10-73) ENDORSED BY ANSLAND UNESCO. THIS FORM MAY BE REPRODUCED USCOMM-DC 8265-P74

PRELIMINARY WATER-QUALITY

CHARACTERIZATION OF LAKES

IN WASHINGTON

By G. C. Bortleson

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Water Resources Investigations 77-94

Prepared in cooperation with the State of Washington Department of Ecology



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR CECIL D. ANDRUS, Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

H. William Menard, Director

For additional information write to:

U.S. Geological Survey 1201 Pacific Avenue - Suite 600 Tacoma, Washington 98402

# CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Evaluation of lake-water quality by principal-component analysis	4
Method of analysis	4
Application and results	8
Limitations of lake comparisons	14
Summary	15
References cited	16
Appendix. Calculation of a characteristic value for	
lake-water quality	19

# TABLES

TABLE	1.	Matrix of correlation coefficients for 14 water-quality variables from 617 lakes in Washington	7
	2.	Principal-component analysis with factor loadings on the first three principal components for 14 water-quality variables from 617 lakes	8
	3.	Principal-component analysis with factor loadings on the first principal component for 14 water-quality variables from subsets of 617 lakes	9
	4.	Principal-component analysis with factor loadings on the first principal component for 5- and 3-variable analysis from 617 lakes	11
	5.	Preliminary water-quality characteristic values (CV) for 617 lakes and chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration predicted from CV for 100 lakes in the State of Washington	21

# PRELIMINARY WATER-QUALITY CHARACTERIZATION OF LAKES IN WASHINGTON

By G. C. Bortleson

# ABSTRACT

This report describes a method for comparing and characterizing lakes in Washington on the basis of water quality. The method can aid in selecting lakes for lake-restoration efforts. To simplify comparisons, a characteristic value (CV) was developed for each of 617 lakes in Washington using principal-component analysis. Three of 14 water-quality properties measured were used in this analysis because the principal component of the three properties accounted for 63 percent of the variance in the correlation matrix. The three properties were Secchi-disc visibility and concentrations of phosphorus and organic nitrogen. The significance of the derived CV's was evaluated by regression of chlorophyll a concentration against CV. The resulting standard error of estimate of +1.8 micrograms per liter of chlorophyll a indicated that the CV can be a useful index in assessing relative water-quality and trophic conditions among lakes.

## INTRODUCTION

Prior to 1973 most of Washington's lakes were studied only superficially. In 1973-74 a reconnaissance study of 760 selected lakes and reservoirs in the State was carried out by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Washington Department of Ecology. Most of the lakes investigated were selected because they have shorelines that may be regulated under the Shoreline Management Act of 1971 (Washington Department of Ecology, 1973). The statewide survey consisted in general of a data-collection program designed to document the present water quality of the lakes, and to provide basic data pertaining to physical and cultural characteristics of the lakes and their drainage basins. The results of the reconnaissance study are presented for each lake in a seven-volume series of reports (Bortleson and others, 1976a-d; Dion and others, 1976b-d). The data-collection program was designed to be useful to planning groups involved in lake management, and to sportsmen, tourists, and others interested in Washington's lakes.

A problem frequently encountered is that of comparing the water quality of one lake with that of another. The concept that each lake is unique has considerable scientific merit, but it provides little help to those who must make decisions based on comparisons between lakes. For example, in the State of Washington the lake-restoration program requires that comparisons of lake-water quality be made as part of the process of selecting candidate lakes for rehabilitation. The need to characterize lakes according to their water-quality condition is specifically required in Section 314(a) of the 1972 Amendments to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, which states that,

'Each State shall prepare or establish, and submit to the Administrator for his approval:

- an identification and classification according to eutrophic condition of all publicly owned fresh water lakes in such State;
- procedures, processes, and methods (including land use requirements) to control sources of pollution of such lakes; and
- 3) methods and procedures, in conjunction with appropriate Federal agencies, to restore quality of such lakes."

In response to the foregoing needs, this report was prepared to characterize the water quality of Washington lakes quantitatively. The data from the reconnaissance study provide the basis for this report because they are the only consistently determined information available for characterizing lake-water quality.

Lake-water quality cannot be defined by a single variable. The trophic state of a lake can, however, be expressed by a set of interrelated physical, chemical, and biological variables that influence plant and animal production. The water quality of a given lake, identified by a single sample taken at a specified site and time, may be described as a multivariate statistic of order i, where i denotes the number of water-quality constituents. Principal-component analysis then can be used to examine the dependence structure of the multivariate data. The usefulness of principal-component analysis in reducing the complexity of a large data set makes it particularly attractive for developing a quantitative, but relatively simple, characterization of lake-water quality.

In previous studies (Dawdy and Feth, 1967; Shannon and Brezonik, 1972; Steele and Matalas, 1974), principal components were derived to study interrelationships between water-quality constituents. The approach used in this study was similar to that of Shannon and Brezonik (1972), who used principal-component analysis to derive a trophic-state index for 55 Florida lakes, and Boland (1976), who used the same technique for 100 lakes in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan, and New York.

The lake variables used in the principal-component analyses by the above investigators are listed below:

Shannon and Brezonik (1972)

- 1. Secchi-disc visiblity
- 2. Specific conductance
- 3. Total organic nitrogen
- 4. Total phosphorus
- 5. Chlorophyll a
- 6. Primary productivity
- 7. Pearson's cation ratio

# Boland (1976)

- 1. Secchi-disc visibility
- 2. Specific conductance
- 3. Total organic nitrogen
- 4. Total phosphorus
- 5. Chlorophyll a
- 6. Algal assay

In all cases, principal-component analysis yielded a numerical expression describing the relation between the variables. Use of the expression to characterize lakes provides results which are relative rather than absolute. The lakes are characterized only with respect to one another; consequently, the classification of a particular lake may change if additional lakes are included in the data set.

# EVALUATION OF LAKE-WATER QUALITY BY PRINCIPAL-COMPONENT ANALYSIS

# Method of Analysis

After editing and screening, complete data sets became available for 617 of the original 760 lakes studied. The 14 water-quality variables determined for each lake and their corresponding symbol used in the tables in this report, are listed below:

		SYMDOL
1.	Total inorganic nitrogen (nitrite, nitrate, and ammonia), upper water	
	(mg/L, milligrams per liter)	Inorg N(u)
2.	Total inorganic nitrogen, bottom water (mg/L)	
3.	Total organic nitrogen, upper water (mg/L)	Org N(u)
4.	Total phosphorus, upper water (mg/L)	
5.	Total phosphorus, bottom water (mg/L)	P(b)
6.	Total orthophosphate phosphorus, upper water (mg/L)	PG, (u)
7.	Specific conductance, upper water (micromhos)	Sp <sup>t</sup> cond
8.	Temperature, bottom water (degrees Celsius)	Temp
9.	Dissolved oxygen, bottom water (mg/L)	Оху
10.	Color, upper water (cobalt-platinum units)	Color
11.	Secchi-disc visibility (meters)	Secchi disc
12.	Percent shoreline covered by emersed plants	
	(macrophytes)	Shoreline veg
13.	Percent lake surface covered by emersed plants	
	(macrophytes)	Lake sfc veg
14.	Fecal-coliform bacteria	
	(colonies per 100 milliliters)	Fecal bac

Water samples for chemical analyses were collected from the upper and lower waters of each lake, defined herein as at depths of 1 meter below the water surface and 1 to 2 meters above the lake bottom, respectively. Lakes too shallow to sample at these depths were sampled at about one-third and two-thirds the depth of the lake. Additional details of the data collection are given by Bortleson and others (1976a-1976d). In this study, concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus were emphasized because under most lake conditions these nutrients are usually responsible for causing a shift from a low to a more productive state (Wetzel, 1975, p. 640). Data for each of the 14 variables were transformed into normalized values before computation of the principal components. Each variable for each lake,  $L_{im}$ , was converted to the form

$$X_{im} = \frac{L_{im} - L_i}{S_i}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $X_{im}$  is the normalized value for variable i of lake m (m varies from 1 to 617) and  $\overline{L}_i$  and  $S_i$  denote mean and standard deviation, respectively, of the observed values for variable i. In order to make all variables unidirectional--so that a large value always indicates "poor" lake-water quality--the data for Secchi-disc visibility and dissolved oxygen were inverted prior to analysis. A correlation matrix and the principal components were then computed from the sets of normalized data, rather than from the original variables.

The matrix of correlation coefficients is presented in table 1. The correlation coefficient is a measure of the interrelation for pairs of water-quality variables, with a coefficient of 1.00 indicating complete agreement for the pair of variables. The matrix shows coefficients for all possible pairs.

The correlation coefficients are low for nearly all pairs of constituents. The paired variables that exhibited the highest correlation were concentrations of total phosphorus in upper water and total orthophosphate phosphorus in upper water. The only other paired variables which had a correlation coefficient greater than 0.75 were total inorganic nitrogen in bottom water and total phosphorus in bottom water.

Because principal-component analysis may be unknown to many readers, a brief explanation is given for the benefit of those with a technical interest. Principal-component analysis is a technique which rearranges the data contained in the correlation matrix so as to present it in a manner that explains the structure of the underlying system that produced the data. That is, the analysis redistributes the explained variance in the correlation matrix among a set of principal components (so-called eigenvectors) that reveal the underlying linear combinations of the original variables. The jth principal component of the ith variate system is the linear expression,

$$C_{j} = b_{1j}X_{1m} + b_{2j}X_{2m} + \dots + b_{ij}X_{im} , \qquad (2)$$

where  $C_j$  is the jth principal component,  $X_{im}$  is the ith normalized variable for lake m (j varies from 1 to i), and  $b_{ij}$  (for each variable in the analysis) is the coefficient. The coefficients are scaled so that they may range from -1.0 to +1.0, and the absolute value of the coefficient is a measure of the variance each variable contributes to each of the principal components. The coefficients are sometimes referred to as factor loadings, and this convention will be used herein.

The first principal component is defined as that combination of  $X_i$ 's that explains the greatest possible amount of variance in the correlation matrix. Each succeeding principal component is chosen as an independent combination of  $X_i$ 's, with each contributing less to the explained variance than the preceding one. By this method, as many principal components can be derived as there are variables in the original correlation matrix.

In most applications of this technique, however, a large portion of the variance is found to be accounted for by the first few components (Kutzbach, 1967, p. 793). If the variables have significant interrelationships, the first few principal components will account for a large part of the total variance. Each principal component is by definition independent of (orthogonal to) all other principal components. A more thorough discussion of this technique is given in mathematical terms by Morrison (1967).

Correlation coefficients														
Variable	Inorg N(u)	Inorg N(b)	Org N(u)	P(u)	P(b)	Р0 <sub>4</sub> (u)	Sp cond	Temp	Оху	Color	Secchi disc	Shore- line veg	Lake sfc veg	Fecal bac
l Inorg N(u)	1.0	-0.01	0.17	0,18	0.02	0.16	0.16	0.10	-0.05	0.21	0.33	0.06	0.03	0.09
2 Inorg N(b)		1.0	.03	.01	.82	.01	. 25	10	.13	02	02	07	05	03
3 Org N(u)			1.0	.52	.15	.44	. 27	.45	01	.45	.52	.31	.14	.16
4 P(u)				1.0	.20	.98	.33	. 23	05	. 28	. 29	.16	.04	.08
5 P(b)					1.0	. 20	.38	03	.08	.02	.03	03	03	01
6 PO <sub>4</sub> (u)						1.0	.30	.18	03	.22	.20	.13	03	.05
7 Sp cond							1.0	.12	01	. 25	.19	.03	.00	.00
8 Temp								1.0	33	.30	.35	.42	.26	.15
9 <sup>O</sup> xy									1.0	01	10	.06	06	07
10 Color										1.0	.44	.34	.22	.09
ll Secchi disc											1.0	23	.06	. 28
12 Shoreline veg												1.0	.46	.10
13 Lake sfc veg													1.0	.05
14 Fecal bac														1.0

TABLE 1.--Matrix of correlation coefficients for 14 water-quality variables from 617 lakes in Washington

# Application and Results

The principal component matrix for data in this study is given in table 2. Fourteen variables were used in the matrix with only 3 of the 14 possible principal components being listed in the table. The first principal component explained 26 percent of the variability of the lake variables, and the second component explained an additional 15 percent. The first three principal components account for 51 percent of the total variance in the original data. The low percentage of variability explained by the first component is attributed to the low correlations between the water-quality variables given in table 1. Some indication of the meaning of the principal components is made by examining the magnitude and algebraic sign of the factor loadings (table 2) and noting the relationship to the original variables. Loading of certain variables dominates each principal component and often suggests a descriptive label for that component. Because the components are by definition independent, each one may be interpreted independently with respect to its relationship to the original variables. Loadings whose absolute values are 0.33 or greater are underlined to indicate those variables that contribute most to each component.

TABLE 2 Principal-o	component analysis	with factor loadir	igs
on the first three	principal componer	its for 14 water-qua	lity
variables from 617	lakes		

	Pr	incipal compone	ent
Variable	1	2	3
l Inorg N(u)	0.190	0.024	-0.070
2 Inorg N(b)	.041	<u>538</u>	.393
3 Org N(u)	.414	.023	.001
4 P(u)	.400	156	414
5 P(b)	.129	558	.305
6 PO <sub>4</sub> (u)	.365	174	433
7 Sp cond	.245	301	.022
8 Temp	.303	.255	.182
9 Оху	067	178	.087
10 Color	.327	.119	.149
ll Secchi disc	.339	.120	.052
12 Shoreline veg	. 252	. 248	.372
13 Lake sfc veg	.148	.228	.412
14 Fecal bac	.131	.115	.064
Percent of variance	26	15	10
Cumulative proportion of			
total variance	26	41	51

[Underline indicates variable with dominant loadings]

For the first principal component (table 2, column 1), the highest factor loadings are for total organic nitrogen in upper water, total phosphorus in upper water, orthophosphate phosphorus in upper water, color, and Secchi-disc visibility. These are a combination of interrelated variables of nutrient concentrations and water clarity. The second component (column 2) is dominated by inorganic nitrogen in bottom water, and total phosphorus concentration in bottom water. Both variables represent summer buildup of nutrients in the lower water. Usually, the first few components reflect information in the data, but beyond that the pattern is less discernible. An inverse relationship exists between those variables of opposite sign.

The statistical stability of the factor loadings for each of the 14 variables was tested by performing principal-component analysis on subsets of 617 lakes. The subsets correspond to geographic regions shown in previous reports (Bortleson and others, 1976a-d; Dion and others, 1976b-d). The factor loadings for the 14-variable analysis from regional subsets are given in table 3. The five variables with highest loadings ( $\geq 0.33$ ) on the first principal component for the overall State analysis also have the highest loadings for the regional subset analysis in 29 out of 35 cases (7 regions x 5 variables equal 35). The variables with highest loadings on the second component for the overall State analysis (table 2) also load highest on component analysis for subsets, but the remaining components do not show consistent factor-loading patterns.

		Regional subset						
Variable	State	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 Inorg N(u)	0.190	0.306	0.349	0.327	0.279	0.121	-0.032	0.318
2 Inorg N(b)	.041	-,060	012	.306	.120	.002	.124	032
3 Org N(u)	.414	.315	.388	.373	.342	.380	.433	.310
4 P(u)	.400	.381	.394	.388	.365	.425	.432	.408
5 P(b)	.129	.136	.020	.376	.301	.035	.187	.173
6 PO4 (u)	.365	.370	.382	. 360	.330	.375	.400	.390
7 Sp cond	. 245	,318	,190	. 287	. 292	087	. 342	.301
8 Temp	.303	.212	.175	.042	, 234	. 271	. 234	. 273
9 Oxy	067	039	.086	.184	-,039	-,041	121	096
10 Color	.327	. 389	.327	. 242	.340	. 424	.351	.350
11 Secchi disc	.339	.412	.402	. 217	. 292	.429	. 204	.365
12 Shoreline	. 25 2	.154	. 235	.105	. 266	.179	. 212	.118
13 Lake sfc veg	.148	.079	.151	.043	.178	.159	.084	. 090
14 Fecal bac	.131	016	.053	.027	.113	.118	.101	036
Percent of variance	26	38	38	38	41	30	24	31
Number of lakes	617	73	136	60	57	73	143	75

TABLE 3.--Principal-component analysis with factor loadings on the first principal component for 14 water-quality variables from subsets of 617 lakes

[Underline indicates variable with dominant loading]

The first principal component accounts for the largest percentage of the variance explained, remains consistent among subsets, and appears to show the most conspicuous pattern for characterizing lake-water quality. Thus, the first principal component was chosen to formulate a characteristic value (CV) for lake-water quality. From this first component each lake can be quantified as a linear function of the original normalized variables. In deciding how many variables to use to derive the CV, a rationalization must be made between simplicity (number of variables) and the amount of acceptable variance to be explained.

An evaluation was thus made of the effect on the first principal component of dropping variables from the data-correlation matrix that have low loadings on the first principal component, or conversely, of retaining those variables with high factor loadings (table 3). As expected, the amount of variance explained is increased when poorly correlated variables are omitted from the principal-component analysis (table 4). The first principal component accounts for 55 and 63 percent of the variance using five and three variables, respectively. These variables were measures of nutrient concentration and water clarity. The first principal component using three variables was chosen to derive the CV due to (1) the documented significance of total organic nitrogen, total phosphorus, and Secchi-disc visibility in assessing the trophic state of lakes (Dillon and Rigler, 1974; Lueschow and others, 1970; Shapiro and others, 1975; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1974); (2) the larger percentage of variance accounted for; and (3) the fewer number of variables needed for The CV's generated, using phosphorus and nitrogen definition. concentrations and Secchi-disc visibility, are probably a greater reflection of open water rather than nearshore processes. The first principal component of a three-variate system for each lake can thus be derived according to:

$$C_{1m} = b_{11}X_{1m} + b_{21}X_{2m} + b_{31}X_{3m} , \qquad (3)$$

where  $C_{lm}$  is the first principal component for lake m (m varies from 1 to 617);  $X_{lm}$ ,  $X_{2m}$ , and  $X_{3m}$  are normalized variables for lake m; and  $b_{11}$ ,  $b_{21}$ , and  $b_{31}$  are factor loadings corresponding to each of the three variables in the first principal component.

Variable	First principal component using 5 variables	Variable	First principal component using 3 variables
Org N(u)	0.471	Secchi dise	c 0.54 <b>8</b>
P(u)	.525	Org N(u)	. 635
PO4 (u)	.491	P(u)	.545
Color	.352		
Secchi disc	.367		
Percent of			
variance	55		63

TABLE 4.--Principal-component analysis with factor loadings on the first principal component for 5 and 3 variable analysis from 617 lakes

The CV's in this report are derived from equation 3 by a minor modification of the respective principal components. The modification consisted of adding a constant value to the principal component and multiplying the sum by 100 so that the CV's would always be positive and greater than unity. The constant was obtained by evaluating the first principal component with raw-data values of zero for total organic nitrogen and total phosphorus concentrations and 34 meters for Secchi-disc visibility (highest observed for the study). Thus, a lake with the above water-quality characteristics would have a CV of one, and lakes with increasing total organic nitrogen and total phosphorus decreasing Secchi-disc concentrations and values would exhibit increasingly higher CV's. The absolute magnitude of the resulting CV has no physical meaning, but it should be a good relative value for water-quality comparison between lakes. An example of a typical CV computation is given in the Appendix and the computed CV's for 617 lakes are given in table 5 (at end of report). The lakes are arranged in descending order of CV for seven regions throughout the State.

In order for the lake data to be of maximum use to water managers, the counties of the State were grouped into seven regions roughly corresponding to physiographic provinces (Bortleson and others, 1976a, fig. 1). On a statewide basis, the maximum, minimum, mean, and median CV's were 1,259, 1, 104, and 55, respectively. A plot of lake CV's on a statewide map indicated that the only conspicuous patterns were higher CV's in the Columbia Plateau of eastern Washington and lower CV's in the higher-altitude Cascade Range. In general, throughout most of the State CV's varied considerably from lake to lake within a region. To illustrate general trends occurring in the transition from high to low CV's, three lakes from table 5 (region 1) are listed in a comparison below.

Lakes	CV	Secchi disc (meters)	Org N (u) (mg/L)	P (u) (mg/L)
Kah Tai	625	0.30	3.2	1.2
Big	46	2.4	.31	.016
Wiseman	2	21	.03	.001

Kah Tai Lake is a shallow, nutrient-rich lake that is high in biological productivity. Big Lake has nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations several orders of magnitude less than Kah Tai Lake and is considered moderate in biological productivity (Dion and others, 1976a). Wiseman Lake is a low-productivity lake with extremely clear water. Thus, the assigned CV's and the rankings of lakes shown in table 5 allow the lake manager to make between-lake comparisons from a large, diverse sample of lakes.

As an aid in estimating the significance of CV, regression analysis was performed with chlorophyll a as a dependent variable and the CV as an independent variable. Secchi-disc visibility and concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus (variables defining the CV) are commonly correlatable to chlorophyll a concentration, a measure of algal biomass (Bachmann and Jones, 1974; Dillon and Rigler, U.S. 1974: Environmental Protection Agency, 1974). Data on chlorophyll a concentrations were obtained from 104 of 617 lakes. These lakes were sampled in 1972-75 (Bortleson and others, 1976e; McConnell and others, 1976; Dion and others, 1976a; Uchida and others, 1976; Dion and others, (1978), independently of the reconnaissance study. A regression analysis of the data resulted in the following relationship:

 $\log_{10}$  CHLA = 0.682  $\log_{10}$  CV - 0.443 ,

where chlorophyll a concentrations (CHLA) are expressed in ug/L (micrograms per liter) and represent the average of four samples taken seasonally--winter, spring, summer, and autumn. The correlation coefficient for this relation was 0.58. The predicted chlorophyll a concentrations computed from CV's are given in table 5 for 100 of 104 lakes used to derive the relationship. The accuracy of the regression equation is shown by the standard error, +1.8 ug/L chlorophyll a. Estimates of chlorophyll a concentration computed from this equation will be, on the average, within the stated range of standard error of the equation two-thirds of the time. Applications of this simple relation can be used, with limitations, to estimate the chlorophyll a

concentration from a CV. For example, a CV of 130 yields a chlorophyll a of approximately 10 ug/L. Nuisance algal growth is often considered to exist when chlorophyll a concentrations exceed 10 ug/L (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1974, p. 9). On a statewide basis, 139 of 617 lakes had CV values greater than 130. About 63 percent of these lakes are in the Columbia Plateau of eastern Washington.

## LIMITATIONS OF LAKE COMPARISONS

The preliminary characterization of lake-water quality is intended only to aid in comparisons between lakes. Limitations of such comparisons are generated both by the input data and the statistical procedure used.

The basic data should not be abandoned in favor of total reliance on single characterizing values for several reasons:

- 1. Lake ecosystems are highly complex and often show integrated patterns of both "poor" and "good" limnological characteristics. For this reason, independent evaluation of several critical factors is often useful.
- 2. Because limnological characteristics may be highly variable seasonally and spatially, the CV described by principal-component analysis for a given lake applies only to a specified time and sampling site. The analyses described in this report were necessarily restricted to a study of midsummer values of lake-water-quality variables.
- 3. Each lake should be viewed with the needs of a variety of potential users in mind. The CV is formulated on the premise that "wanted conditions" embrace a set of generally accepted water-quality variables that influence the human uses of the water resource, such as drinking-water supplies, trout (cold-water) fishing, and bathing. The above conditions, for example, may not reflect water quality needed for warm-water fish or for waterfowl breeding and feeding.

The use of principal-component analysis to yield a quantitative, algebraic expression is a relative system and should be viewed as a dynamic rather than a static concept. That is, the lakes are quantified only relative to one another, the principal components are defined by only one set of input data, and the CV for a particular lake may change if additional lakes are analyzed or if other data sets are used. Thus, extrapolation of the CV concept to other lakes is not suggested or recommended. If data based on more frequent sampling or new variables should become available, then the input data set should be redefined, and, as a result, the CV expression could change. The principal-component technique as applied allows for the simultaneous examination of all lakes and all variables in a large data set in an objective and repeatable manner. The technique was applied to expedite the description and interpretation of water-quality data from several hundred lakes in Washington. An examination of the correlation and principal-component matrices provides information on the interrelationship of the 14 lake-water-quality variables. The assigned characteristic values (CV's) and ranking of lakes shown in table 5 allow the lake manager to make between-lake comparisons from a large, diverse sample of lakes.

## REFERENCES CITED

- Bachmann, R. W., and Jones, J. R., 1974, Phosphorus inputs and algal blooms in lakes: Iowa State Jour. Research, v. 49, no. 2, p.
- Boland, D. H. P., 1976, Trophic classification of lakes using Landsat-1 (Erts-1) multispectral scanner data: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ecological Research Ser., EPA-600/3-76-037, 245 p.
- Bortleson, G. C., Dion, N. P., McConnell, J. B., and Nelson, L. M., 1976a, Reconnaissance data on lakes in Washington, volume 1, Clallam, Island, Jefferson, San Juan, Skagit, and Whatcom counties: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 43, v. 1, 248 p.
- -----1976b, Reconnaissance data on lakes in Washington, volume 2, King and Snohomish Counties: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 43, v. 2, 424 p.
- -----1976c, Reconnaissance data on lakes in Washington, volume 3, Kitsap, Mason, and Pierce counties: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 43, v. 3, 259 p.
- -----1976d, Reconnaissance data on lakes in Washington, volume 4, Clark, Cowlitz, Grays Harbor, Lewis, Pacific, Skamania, and Thurston counties: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 43, v. 4, 197 p.
- Bortleson, G. C., Higgins, G. T., McConnell, J. B., and Innes, J. K., 1976e, Data on selected lakes in Washington, part 3: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 42, 143 p.
- Dawdy, D. R., and Feth, J. H., 1967, Application of factor analyses in study of chemistry of groundwater quality, Mojave River Valley, California: Water Resources Research, v. 3, no. 2, p. 505-510.
- Dillon, P. J., and Rigler, F. H., 1974, The phosphorus-chlorophyll relationship in lakes: Limnology and Oceanography, v. 19, p. 767-773.
- Dion, N. P., Bortleson, G. C., and Innes, J. K., 1976a, Data on selected lakes in Washington, part 5: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 42, 125 p.
- -----1978, Data on selected lakes in Washington, part 6: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 42, (in press).

- Dion, N. P., Bortleson, G. C., McConnell, J. B., and Nelson, L. M., 1976b, Reconnaissance data on lakes in Washington, volume 5, Chelan, Ferry, Kittitas, Klickitat, Okanogan, and Yakima Counties: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 43, v. 5, 264 p.
- -----1976c, Reconnaissance data on lakes in Washington, volume 6, Adams, Benton, Douglas, Franklin, Grant, Lincoln, Walla Walla, and Whitman Counties: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 43, v. 6, 407 p.
- -----1976d, Reconnaissance data on lakes in Washington, volume 7, Pend Oreille, Spokane, and Stevens Counties: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 43, v. 7, 267 p.
- Kutzbach, J. E., 1967, Empirical eigenvector of sea-level pressure, surface temperature and precipitation complexes over North America: Jour. Appl. Meteorology, v. 6, no. 5, p. 791-802.
- Lueschow, L. A., Helm, J. M., Winter, D. R., and Karl, G. W., 1970, Trophic nature of selected lakes: Wisconsin Acad. Sci., Arts and Letters Trans., v. 58, p. 237-264.
- McConnell, J. B., Bortleson, G. C., and Innes, J. K., 1976, Data on selected lakes in Washington, part 4: Washington Dept. Ecology Water-Supply Bull. 42, 145 p.
- Morrison D. F., 1967, Multivariate statistical techniques: New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 338 p.
- Shannon, E. E., and Brezonik, P. L., 1972, Eutrophication analysis a multivariate approach: Am. Soc. Civil Engineers Proc., Jour. Sanitary Eng. Div. v. 98, SA1, Proc. Paper 8735, p. 37-57.
- Shapiro, Joseph, Lundquist, J. B., and Carlson, R. E., 1975, Involving the public in limnology--an approach to communication: Verh. Internat. Verin. Limnol., v. 19, p. 866-874.
- Steele, T. D., and Matalas, N. C., 1974, Principal-component analysis of streamflow chemical quality data: Mathematical models in hydrology, Proc. of Warsaw Symposium, July 1971, IAHS-AISH publ. 100, p. 355-363.
- Uchida, B. K., Whitmore, C. M., Duffield, E. C. S., Condon, J. F., Brenner, R. R., Matsuda, R. I., and Farris, G. D., 1976, An intensive water quality survey of selected lakes in the Lake Washington and Green River drainage basins (1973-74): Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle, 88 p.

- U.S Environmental Protection Agency, 1974, The relationships of phosphorus and nitrogen to the trophic state of Northeast and North-Central lakes and reservoirs: EPA Working Paper 23, 28 p.
- Washington Department of Ecology, 1973, Lakes constituting shorelines of the State Shoreline Management Act of 1971: Washington Administrative Code, ch. 173-20, 27 p.
- Wetzel, R. G., 1975, Limnology: Philadelphia, W. B. Saunders Co., 743 p.

Using Lake Aldwell (number 51 in table 5) for an example: Equation 3, p. 10:  $C_{lm} = b_{11}X_{lm} + b_{21}X_{2m} + b_{31}X_{3m}$ ,

where  $C_{lm}$  is the first principal component of lake number m (or 51); and  $b_1$  (0.548),  $b_2$  (0.635), and  $b_3$  (0.545) are factor loadings corresponding to the first principal component for Secchi-disc visibility, total organic nitrogen, and total phosphorus, respectively.

Substituting equation 1 (p. 5) into equation 3:

$$C_{51} = 0.548 \frac{\frac{1}{L_1} - \overline{L}_1}{S_1} + 0.635 \frac{L_2 - \overline{L}_2}{S_2} + 0.545 \frac{L_3 - \overline{L}_3}{S_3}$$

where  $1/L_1$  (0.40),  $L_2(0.02)$ , and  $L_3$  (0.004) are values for Secchi-disc visibility, in meters (inverted, see p. ); and total organic nitrogen and total phosphorus are in milligrams per liter.  $\overline{L}_1$  (0.661),  $\overline{L}_2$  (0.697),  $\overline{L}_3$  (0.124),  $S_1$  (0.923),  $S_2$ (0.848), and  $S_3$  (0.433) denote the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of the observed variates. The first principal component for Lake Aldwell is calculated as follows:

$$C_{51} = 0.548 \left( \frac{0.40 - 0.661}{0.923} + 0.635 \left( \frac{0.02 - 0.697}{0.848} \right) \right)$$

+ 0.545  $\frac{(0.004 - 0.124)}{0.432}$  = -0.813

Modification of first principal component (p.11):

 $CV = 100 \times (C_{51} + 1.0410)$ , or  $100 \times (-0.813 + 1.0410)$ 

= 23 for Lake Aldwell.

TABLE 5 Preliminary	water-quality characteristic values (CV) for 617 lakes and
chlorophyll	a concentration predicted from CV for 100 lakes in the
	State of Washington

Lake	Lake name	County	CV*	Seasonal average chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration (ug/L)			
umber				measured	predicted from CV		
		Region	1				
1	Kah Tai	Jefferson	625	-	-		
2	Hummel	San Juan	382	-	-		
3	Crockett	Island	195	-	-		
4	Lone	Island	174	-	-		
5	Zylstra	San Juan	158	-	-		
6	Pass	Skagit	146				
			141				
7	Anderson	Jefferson			-		
8	Kristoferson	Island	141	-	-		
9	Heart (36N-7E-5)	Skagit	139	-	-		
10	Campbell	do.	134	-	-		
11	Unnamed (33N-2E-7)	Island	127				
			120				
12	Seafield	Clallam		-	-		
13	Cranberry	Island	113	-	-		
14	Fazon	Whatcom	101	-	-		
15	Terrell	Whatcom	95	-	-		
16	Squalicum	do.	94	-	-		
17	Leland	Jefferson	92	-	-		
18	Gibbs	do.	88	_	-		
		San Juan	83	-	2		
19	Briggs		79	2			
20	Louise	Whatcom	19	-			
21	Marting	San Juan	77	-			
21	Martins			-			
22	Heart (35N-1E-36)	Skagit	66		-		
23	Cranberry	do.	65		-		
24	Crocker	Jefferson	62	-	-		
25	Pine	Whatcom	59	-	-		
26	Caskey	Skagit	53	-	-		
27	Devils	do.	52	-	-		
28	Peterson	Jefferson	51	-	-		
29	Shannon	Skagit	48	_	-		
30	Horseshoe	San Juan	47		-		
30	Horseshoe	San ouan					
31	Big	Skagit	46	6.2	4.9		
32	Wentworth	Clallam	46	-	_		
		do.	45	_			
33	Dickey		44	-			
34	Elk	do.		-			
35	Beaver	do.	42	-	-		
36	Silver	Whatcom	42	-	-		
37	Tarboo	Jefferson	41	-	-		
38	Reed	Whatcom	40	-	-		
39	Cain	do.	40	-	-		
40	Trout	San Juan	37	-	-		
41	Ozette	Clallam	37	2.6	4.2		
42	Sixteen	Skagit	36	-	-		
43	Toad (Emerald)	Whatcom	35	5.2	4.1		
44	Spencer	San Juan	33	-	-		
45	Cascade	do.	33	-	-		
45		Jefferson	31	-	-		
	Sandy Shore	Whatcom	31	-	_		
47	Canyon		29	2.1	3.5		
48	Padden	do.			3.3		
49	Day	Skagit	26	1.1	3.3		
50	Pleasant	Clallam	25	-	-		
			23				
51	Aldwell	do.		-	-		
52	Whatcom	Whatcom	23	-	-		
53	Ten	Skagit	21	-	-		
54	Mountain	San Juan	21	-	-		
55	Myrtle	Skagit	20	-	-		
56	Deer	Island	18	2.6	2.6		
57	Goss	do.	17	3.5	2.5		
57	McMurray	Skagit	16	5.4	2.4		
			15	2.4	2.3		
59	Clear (34N-5E-7) Shuksan	do. Whatcom	12		-		
60							

Lake number	Lake name	County	CV *	Seasonal average chlorophyll a concentration (µg/L)		
				measured	predicted from CV	
				measured	predicted from cv	
		Region 1continued				
51	Jordan, Lower	Skagit	8		-	
52	Tomyhoi	Whatcom	8	-	-	
63	Bluff	Skagit	7	1.1.1	-	
64	Clear (36N-9E-23)	do.	6		-	
65	Twin, Lower	Whatcom	6 6	-		
66	Maiden	do.	5		_	
67 68	Blue This Upper	do. Whatcom	5	-	-	
69	Twin, Upper Sutherland	Clallam	5	_	-	
70	Whale	Skagit	4	-	-	
71	Falls, Upper	do.	3	-	-	
72	Falls, Lower	do.	2	-	-	
73	Wiseman	Whatcom	2	-	-	
		Region 2				
			610			
74	Larsen	King do.	610 292		-	
75	Sturtevant	do.	178	2	-	
76 77	Geneva	do. Snohomish	1/8	3.4	12	
78	Howard	do.	148	5.4	-	
78	Bryant	King	148	_	-	
80	Moneysmith Dolloff	do.	118	2	-	
81	Cassidy	Snohomish	107	14	8.7	
82	Black	King	90	-	-	
83	Desire	do.	78	20	7.0	
84	Fivemile	King	74	-	-	
85	Neilson (Holm)	do.	71	-	-	
86	Pine	do.	71	10	6.6	
87	Wallace	Snohomish	68	-		
88	Bridges	King	67	-	-	
89	Crystal	Snohomish	66	-	-	
90	Paradise	King	65	-	-	
91	Loma	Snohomish	62	6.1	6.0	
92	Stickney	do.	61	-	-	
93	Francis	King	59	-	-	
94	Armstrong	Snohomish	58	-	-	
95	Beaver	King	58	-	-	
96	Trout	do.	57	-	-	
97	Marie	do.	56	-	-	
98	Chain	Snohomish	55	-	-	
99	Storm	do.	55	-	-	
00	Kellogg	do.	55	-	-	
01	Bass	King	55	-	-	
.02	Boren	do.	53	5.3	5.4	
03	Echo	Snohomish	53	-	-	
04	Weallup	Snohomish	53	-	-	
05	Boyle	King	52	-	-	
06	Beaver No. 1	do.	52	-	-	
.07	Morton	do.	51	-	-	
08	Shady	do.	51	-	-	
09	Panther	Snohomish	50	-	-	
10	Devils	do.	49		-	
11	Joy	King	49 48		-	
.12	Sunday Serene (28N-4E-34)	Snohomish do.	48	-	-	
	Possiger (north aur)	Snohomish	48	2.3	5.0	
.14	Roesiger (north arm)		48	-	-	
15	Riley Goodwin	do.	47	6.4	4.9	
.17	Martha (31N-4E-18)	do. do.	47	3.5	4.9	
.18	Shadow	King	46	3.7	4.9	
.19	Meridian	do.	46	-	-	
	Number Twelve	u0.	45	2	-	

Lake	Lake name	County	CV*	Seasonal average chlorophyll a		
number				concentrat measured	predicted from CV	
		Region 2continu	ued	mousured	production rion cv	
21	Crabapple	Snohomish	45	2.3	4.8	
22	Echo (26N-4E-6)	King	44	4.1	4.8	
.23	Cottage	do.	44	-	-	
.24	Otter (Springs)	King	42	-	-	
.25	Mirror	do.	42			
26	Ballinger	Snohomish				
127	Roesiger (south arm)	do.	41	2.9	4.5	
1 28	Woods	do.	41 40	-		
129	Leota	King Snohomish	39	-	-	
130	Flowing	Shohomish	39			
131	Bitter	King	39	-	-	
132	Stevens	Snohomish	38	3.5	4.3	
.33	Hughes	do.	38	-	-	
134	Blanca	Snohomish	38	-	-	
.35	Burien	King	37	-	-	
.36	Union	do.	36	-	-	
137	Phantom	do.	35	-	-	
138	Fish	do.	35	-		
139	Killarney (north arm)	do.	35	4.7	4.1	
140	Star	do.	34	~	-	
141	McDonald	do.	34	-	-	
142	King	Snohomish	34	2.3	4.1	
143	Fontal	do.	34	-	-	
144	Martha (27N-4E-1)	do.	33	-	-	
145	Klaus	King	33	-	-	
146	Killarney (south arm)	do.	33	3.2	3.9	
147	Kathleen	do.	33	-	-	
148	Fenwick	do.	33	-	-	
149	Beaver No. 2	do.	33	-	-	
150	Ames	do.	33	-	-	
151	Blackmans	Snohomish	32	-	-	
152	Menzel	do.	32	_	-	
153	Ki	do.	32	2.0	3.9	
154	Silver (28N-5E-30)	do.	32	_	-	
155	Echo (23N-7E-2)	King	31	-	-	
156	Portage Bay	do.	31	-	-	
157	Steel	do.	30	-	-	
158	Webster	do.	30	-	-	
159	Cochran	Snohomish	30	-	-	
160	Dagger	do.	29	-	-	
			29			
161	Hanna	do.	29	3.8	3.6	
162	Retreat	King	29	2.8	3.6	
163	Angle	do.	29	2.8	3.0	
164	Bosworth	Snohomish	21	6.8	3.1	
165	North	King	24	4.5	3.0	
166	Walker	do.	23	4.5	5.0	
167	Deep	do.	21	1.6	2.9	
168	Alice	do.	20	1.5	2.8	
169	Margaret	do.	20	-	-	
170	Lynch	do.	20			
171	Sawyer	do.	19	2.0	2.6	
172	Shoecraft	Snohomish	19	4.9	2.7	
173	Tomtit	do.	18	-	-	
174	Langlois	King	18	1.8	2.6	
175	Eagle	do.	18	-	-	
176	Janus	Snohomish	16	-	-	
177	Calligan	King	16	-	-	
178	Boardman	Snohomish	11	-	-	
179	Tuscohatchie	King	10	-	-	
1/5			10	-		

.

Lake	Lake name	County	CV "	CV Seasonal average chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration (ug/L)		
number				measured	predicted from CV	
		Dealer Deared	und	measured	producted reall ev	
		Region 2contin				
81	North	Snohomish	10	-	-	
82	Kelcema	do.	9	-	-	
83	Greider, Big	do.	9	-	-	
84	SMC	King	9	-	-	
85	Rattlesnake	do.	8	-	-	
86	Mason	do.	7	-	-	
87	Helena	Snohomish	7	-		
88	Cup	do.	6	-	-	
89	Goat	do.	6	-	-	
90	Isabel	do.	6	-	-	
91	South	do.	6	-	-	
92	Peek-a-boo	do.	6	-	-	
93	Wildcat, Upper	King	6	-	-	
94	Findley	do.	5	-	-	
95	Saucer	Snohomish	5	-	-	
96		do.	5	-	-	
96	Indigo	do. do.	5	-	-	
97	Copper Peach	do.	4		-	
			4			
99	Silver (29N-11E-28)	do.	3	-	-	
00	Serene (27N-10E-31)	do.	5			
01	Pear	do.	3	-	-	
02	Twin, Upper	do.	3	-	-	
03	Twin, Lower	do.	3	-	-	
04	Moolock	King	3	-	-	
05	Loch Katrine, Upper	do.	2	-	-	
06	Boardman, East	Snohomish	2	-	-	
07	Caroline	King	2	-	-	
08	Annette	do.	2	-	-	
09	Sunset	Snohomish	1	-	-	
		Region 3				
210	Waughop	Pierce	400	-	-	
11	Mud	do.	209	_	-	
12	Stidham	do.	166	2	-	
13	Mud Mountain	do.	156	-	-	
14	Bay	do.	129	-	-	
15	Cranberry	do.	114	-	-	
16	Rapjohn	do.	114	-	-	
17	Lagrande	do.	111	-	-	
18	Alder	do.	95	-	-	
19	Miller	Kitsap	95	-	-	
20	Forbes	Mason	89	-	-	
21	Fawn	do.	77	-	-	
22	Stump	do.	76	-	-	
23	Spencer	do.	75	-	-	
24	Twentyseven	Pierce	71	-	-	
25	Timber	Mason	66	-	-	
26	Lystair	do.	63	-	-	
27	Bennettsen	do.	63	-	-	
28	Wapato	Pierce	61	10	5.9	
29	Tapps	do.	60	-	-	
30	Sequalitchew	do.	53	-	-	
31	Long	Kitsap	51	8.7	5.3	
	Florence	Pierce	50	-	-	
	Limerick	Mason	50	-	-	
32			47	-	-	
32 33			- /			
32 33 34	Island	do.	47	-	-	
232 233 234 235	Island Price	do.	47	-	-	
32 33 34 35 36	Island Price Bonney	do. Pierce	45	-	-	
32 33 34 35 236 237	Island Price Bonney William Symington	do. Pierce Kitsap	45 44	-	-	
232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239	Island Price Bonney	do. Pierce	45			

Lake	Lake name	County	CV *	Seasonal average chlorophyll a		
number				concentration (µg/L)		
				measured	predicted from CV	
		Region 3conti	nued			
41	Tahuya	Kitsap	40	-	-	
42	Kapowsin	Pierce	39	-	-	
43	Wooten	Mason	38	-	-	
44	Surprise (20N-4E-4)	Pierce	35	-	-	
45	Phillips	Mason	34	2.8	4.0	
46	Spanaway	Pierce	32	6.3	3.8	
47	Twin	Kitsap	31	-	-	
48	Wye	dc.	30	2.3	3.6	
49	Stansberry	Pierce	30	-	-	
50	Whitman	do.	30	-	-	
51	Nahwatzel	Mason	27	-	-	
52	Mason	do.	27	3.6	3.4	
53	Devereaux	do.	24	-		
54	Cushman	do.	23	-		
55	Isabella	do.	22	5.6	3.0	
56	Island	Kitsap	22	-	-	
57	Benson	Mason	20	-	-	
58	Wildcat	Kitsap	20	-	-	
59	Carney	Pierce	18	-	-	
60	Louise	do.	17	2.1	2.5	
		*****	16	2.9	2.4	
61	Horseshoe	Kitsap		-		
262	Coplay	Pierce	13		2.1	
263	Lost	Mason	13	1.4	2.1	
64	Union River	Kitsap	12		-	
65	Haven	Mason	11	-	-	
66	Maggie	do.	9	-	-	
67	Lily	Pierce	7	-	-	
268	Cedar	do.	7	-	-	
269	Summit	do.	1	-	7	
		Region 4				
270	Duck	Grays Harbor	282	-	-	
270	Unnamed (2N-1E-9)	Clark	274	-	-	
272	Breaker	Pacific	272	-	-	
272	Percival Cove	Thurston	167	-	-	
274	Horseshoe	Cowlitz	162	-	-	
		do.	147	-	-	
275	Sacajawea	Skamania	147	-	-	
276	Unnamed (2N-6E-35)	Thurston	130	-	-	
277	Sunwood	do.	112	_	-	
278	Pitman	Pacific	111	-	-	
279	Island	Thurston	105	10	8.6	
280	Lawrence	Indiscon	105	10		
281	Chambers	do.	104	9.0	8.6	
282	Chambers, Little	do.	99	9.0	8.3	
283	Round	Clark	99	-	-	
284	Southwick	Thurston	95	-	-	
285	Capitol (north arm)	do.	93	-	-	
286	Green Leaf	Skamania	87	-	-	
287	Ashes	do.	82	-	-	
288	Tempo (Bushman)	Thurston	82	-	-	
289	Simmons (Ken)	do.	79	-	-	
290	Stevenson	Skamania	77	-	-	
291	St. Clair (south arm)	Thurston	71	7.6	6.6	
292	Elbow	do.	58		5.8	
293	Deep	do.	58	11		
294	Patterson (south arm)	do.	54	11	5.4	
295	St. Clair (north arm)	do.	52	3.4	5.3	
296	Patterson (north arm)	do.	50	8.2	5.2	
297	Hicks	do.	49	4.3	5.1	
298	Black	Pacific	47	-		
299	Lackamas	Clark	47	11	5.0	
		Lewis	43	11	4.7	

Lake	Lake name	County	cv *	Seasonal average chlorophyll a		
number					predicted from CV	
				measured	predicted from C	
		Region 4continue	d			
01	Failor	Grays Harbor	43	-	-	
02	Offutt	Thurston	43	3.4	4.7	
03	Scott	do.	43	8.1	4.7	
04	Sylvia	Grays Harbor	42	-	-	
05	Hewitt	Thurston	41	-	-	
06	McIntosh	do.	34	2.5	4.0	
07	Skookumchuck	do.	33	-	-	
08	Ward	do.	32	3.7	3.9	
09	Munn	do.	32	13	3.9	
10	Mineral	Lewis	31	5.7	3.7	
11	Battleground	Clark	28	2.2	3.4	
12	Wauna	Skamania	28	-	-	
13	Drano	do.	27	-	-	
14	Swift	do.	26	-	-	
15	Mayfield	Lewis	20	-	-	
16	Davisson (Mossyrock)	do.	13	-	-	
17	Northwestern	Skamania	13	-	-	
18	Yale	Clark	12	-	-	
19	Walupt	Lewis	11	-	-	
20	Fawn	Cowlitz	11	-	-	
21	Merwin	Clark	10	-	-	
22	Spirit	Skamania	9	0.7	1.7	
23	Elk	do.	8	0.7	1.,	
24			8	_		
	Venus	do.	5	-		
25	Merrill	Cowlitz	3	-	-	
26	Hanaford	Skamania	3	-		
		Region 5				
27	Horseshoe (9N-22E-22)	Yakima	467	-		
28	Morgan	do.	343	-	-	
29	Unnamed (36N-27E-30)	Okanogan	311	-	-	
30	Giffin	Yakima	306			
31	Meadow	Chelan	288			
32	Horseshoe (9N-22E-25)	Yakima	271			
32			210	-	-	
	Walker	Okanogan		-	-	
34	Byron	Yakima	191	-	-	
35	Horseshoe (39N-27E-27)	Okanogan	152	-	-	
36	Roberts	do.	140	-	-	
37	Molson	do.	131	-	-	
38	Sidley	do.	130	-	-	
39	Alkali	do.	126	-	-	
40	Horseshoe (35N-36E-17)	do.	119	-	-	
41	Mud	Ferry	119	-	-	
42	Fish	Okanogan	113	-	-	
43	Freeway	Yakima	104	-	-	
44	Brown	Okanogan	94	-	-	
45	Chopaka	do.	93	1.8	8.0	
46	Dry (Grass)	Chelan	87	-	-	
		11.1				
47	Evans	Okanogan	84	-		
48	Blue (39N-26E-1)	do.	81	-	-	
49	Leader	do.	80	-	-	
50	Peninsula	do.	78	-	-	
51	Unnamed (14N-19E-31)	Yakima	71	-	-	
52	Cortez (Three)	Chelan	68	-	-	
53	Davis	Okanogan	65	-	-	
54	Wenas	Yakima	64	-	-	
55	Aeneas	Okanogan	62	-	-	
56	Medicine	do.	61			

Lake	Lake name	County		Seasonal average chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration (µg/L)		
umber				measured	predicted from C	
		Pagion F	anad	moudurou	production rrow of	
		Region 5contin				
57	Wapato	Chelan	61	4.2	6.0	
58	Booher	Okanogan	58	-		
59	Carp	Klickitat	57	-	-	
50	Whitestone	Okanogan	57	-	-	
51	Twin, Little	do.	56	-	-	
52	Palmer	do.	56	8.4	5.6	
53	Spearfish	Klickitat	56	-	-	
54	Sanpoil	Ferry	56	-	-	
55	Lemanasky	Okanogan	56	-	-	
56	Wannacut	do.	55	0.7	5.6	
57	Osoyoos	do.	52	-	-	
58	Rat	do.	50	-	-	
59	Alta	do.	49	5.7	5.1	
70	Green	do.	45	-	-	
71	Blue (37N-25E-22)	do.	44	-	-	
72	Curlew	Ferry	44	_	-	
13	Spectacle	Okanogan	43	7.1	4.7	
14	Bonaparte	do.	40	-	-	
75	Pearrygin	do.	39	12	4.4	
	Moccasin	do.	39	-	-	
76		do.	39	2	-	
77 78	Duck (Bide-a-Wee)	chelan	37	2.0	-	
0	Spring-Hill (Black)	Cheran	51			
79	Roses (Alkali)	do.	37	-	-	
80	Fish	do.	37	4.4	4.3	
81	Conconully (Salmon)	Okanogan	36	-	-	
82	Conconully (35N-25E-18)	do.	31	4.4	3.8	
83	Wheeler, Upper	Chelan	30	-	-	
84	Twin, Big	Okanogan	29	2.9	3.6	
85	Cle Elum	Kittitas	26	-	-	
86	Antilon	Chelan	23	-	·	
87	Patterson	Okanogan	21	2.8	2.8	
88	Kachess	Kittitas	19	-	_	
89	Cooper	do.	14	-	-	
				_		
90	Snow, Upper	Chelan	13	-	6 I.	
91	Easton	Kittitas	11	-	-	
92	Keechelus	do.	9	-	-	
93	Wenatchee	Chelan	8	1.3	1.5	
94	Eightmile	do.	5	-	-	
95	Chiwaukum	do.	5	-	-	
96	Lost	Kittitas	5	-	-	
97	Loch Eileen	Chelan	4	-	-	
98	Klonaqua, Upper	do.	4	-	-	
99	Klonaqua, Lower	do.	2	-	-	
		Region 6				
00	Stallard	Douglas	1259	-	-	
01	Nigger	Adams	951	-	-	
02	Peterson	Lincoln	819	-	-	
02	Unnamed (22N-29E-26)	Grant	651	-	-	
04	Soap, Little	do.	650	-	-	
04	coffee	do.	623	-	-	
05	Bailie	Franklin	621	-	-	
07	Curlew	Walla Walla	613	-	-	
		Lincoln	576	-	-	
08	Goetz Unnamed (29N-29E-22)	Douglas	574	-	-	
09 10	Black	Grant	570	-	-	
11	McElroy	Adams	563	-		
12	Grimes	Douglas	558	-		
113	Unnamed (25N-39E-9)	Lincoln	544	-		
14	Alkali (Pines)	Adams	506	-	-	
15	Sullivan	Lincoln	500	-	-	
16	Wilson	Douglas	500	-	-	
17	Webley (Wooley)	Lincoln	481	-	-	
18	Unnamed (29N-27E-20)	Douglas	460	-	-	
119	Sheep	Whitman	444	-	-	
		Franklin	415			

Lake	Lake name	County	CV *	Seasonal average chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration (µg/L)	
number				measured	predicted from CV
		Region 6contin	nued		
21	"H"	Lincoln	400	-	-
22	Unnamed (19N-40E-23)	Whitman	369	-	-
23	Unnamed (14N-30E-33)	Franklin	361	-	-
24	Folsom	Whitman	351	-	-
25	Owl	Adams	328	-	-
26	Broken Rock	Grant	317	-	-
27	Rođeo	Adams	316	-	-
28	Haynes	Douglas	315	-	-
29	Florence	Lincoln	310	-	-
30	Murphy	Douglas	308	-	-
31	Bergeau	Lincoln	305	-	-
32	Elbow	Douglas	302	-	-
33	Phillips	Lincoln	299	-	-
34	Swanson (25N-34E-32)	do.	297	-	-
35	Long (28N-30E-25)	Grant	279	-	-
36	Clark	Franklin	272	-	-
37	Crescent Bay	Grant	268	-	-
38	Twelve-mile Slough	Adams	267	-	-
39	Soap	Grant	261	-	-
40	Tavares	Lincoln	258	-	-
40	Stan Coffin	Grant	256	-	-
41	Palm	Adams	254	-	-
46	a dalla	nuumo			
43	Meadow	Lincoln	251	-	-
43	Bobs (Tule)	do.	250		
		Adams	241		
45	Hallin		237		-
46	Unnamed (16N-29E-29)	do.			
47	Neves	Lincoln	234		
48	Unnamed (20N-28E-10)	Grant		-	
49	Unnamed (20N-28E-4)	do.	219	-	-
50	Swanson (25N-34E-33)	Lincoln	218		-
51	Unnamed (29N-27E-17)	Douglas	218	-	-
52	Wall	Lincoln	214	-	-
53	Whittaker	do.	213	-	-
54	Cormana	do.	206		-
55	Unnamed (25N-35E-9)	do.	201	-	
56	Ames	do.	199	-	-
57	Texas	Whi tman	195	-	-
58	Alkali (Miller)	do.	194	-	-
59	Cow	Adams	191	-	-
60	Unnamed (19N-38E-15)	do.	186	-	-
61	Pacific	Lincoln	186	-	-
	Smith	Douglas	183		-
62		Whitman	179		
63	Lavista Mesa	Franklin	179	-	-
64	Mesa	Franklin	1//		
65	Finnel	Adams	177	-	-
66	Unnamed (20N-39E-16)	Whitman	176	-	-
67	Willow, South	Grant	169	-	-
68	Unnamed (24N-35E-4)	Lincoln	168	-	-
69	Twin, Lower	do.	167	-	-
70	Wills	do.	166	-	-
71	"J" Line	Walla Walla	164	-	-
72	Rock	Whitman	161	-	2
73		Lincoln	156		2
74	Unnamed (25N-39E-15) Willow	Grant	153	-	-
75	Long	Franklin	153		2
	Long	FLORALIN	1.52		
76	Frenchman Hills	Grant	150	-	-
77	Nunnally	do.	149	-	-
78	Green	Adams	144	-	-
79	Sprague	do.	142	20	11
80	Fourth of July	do.	138		-
81	Unnamed (13N-29E-15)	Franklin	138	-	-
82	Snyder	Whitman	138	-	-
83	Pot Holes	Grant	137	-	-
	Winchester Wasteway	do.	134		
84		· · ·	104	-	
84 85	Winchester Wasteway Exter	nsion do.	134		

Lake	Lake name	County	CV*	Seasonal average chlorophyll a		
umber				concentration (µg/L)		
				measured	predicted from C	
		Region 6conti	inued			
86	Downs	Lincoln	131	-	-	
87	Brook (Stratford)	Grant	126	-	-	
88	Jameson	Douglas	124	13	9.6	
89	Crooked Knee	Whitman	124	-	-	
90	Unnamed (24N-34E-22)	Lincoln	121	-	-	
91	Lenore	Grant	121	-	-	
92	Jameson Pothole	Douglas	120	-	-	
93	Kahlotus	Franklin	119	-	-	
94	Windmill	Grant	119	-	-	
95	Washtucna	Franklin	114	-	-	
96	Linda	Adams	113	-	-	
97	Unnamed (12N-30E-20)	Franklin	109			
			109			
98	Browns	Lincoln Grant	109	-		
	Ephrata		107	-		
00	Unnamed (21N-39E-26)	Lincoln		-	-	
01	Ancient	Grant	105	-	-	
02	Moses	do.	103	-	-	
03	Scootney	Franklin	98	-	-	
04	Unnamed (14N-30E-34)	do.	97	-	-	
05	Unnamed (14N-30E-14)	do.	97	-	-	
06	Flat	Grant	97	-	-	
07	Coffee Pot	Lincoln	97	34	8.2	
08	Unnamed (14N-39E-11)	Franklin	95	-		
09	Yellepit	Benton	93	-	-	
10	Windmill, North	Grant	88	-	_	
11	Warden	do.	87	9.5	7.6	
12	Weir	Franklin	87	-	-	
13	Deer (Deer Springs)	Lincoln	85	-	-	
514	Mound	Benton	80	_	_	
515		Lincoln	79			
515	Twin, Upper	do.	79	_	_	
517	Twin, Upper	Grant	75	16	6.8	
518	Canal Quincy	do.	70	-	-	
519	Black	Adams	70	-	-	
520	Sand	Grant	69	-	-	
21	Bonnie	Whitman	69	-	-	
22	Soda	Grant	66	13	6.3	
23	Fishtrap	Lincoln	65	-	-	
24	Goose, Lower	Grant	65	-	-	
25	Eagle	Franklin	64	-	-	
26	Susan	Grant	63	-	-	
27	Heart	do.	61	4.3	5.9	
528	Alkali	do.	61	-	-	
529	"T"	Franklin	59	-	-	
		1.444	58		-	
530	Thread	Adams	56	2		
31	Corral	Grant	56	-	5	
32	Long (17N-29E-32)	do.	51	-	-	
33	Blue	do.		-		
534	Dry Falls	do.	46	3.6	4.9	
35	Goose, Upper	do.				
536	Burke	do.	42	-	-	
537	Billy Clapp	do.	42	-	-	
538	Banks	do.	41	-	-	
539	Park	do.	39	-	-	
540	Evergreen	do.	34		-	
		do.	33	-	-	
541	Dusty		10		-	
542	Deep	do.	10			

TABLE 5 Preliminary	water-quality characteristic values (CV) for 617 lakes and
chlorophyll	a concentration predicted from CV for 100 lakes in the
	State of Washingtoncontinued

Lake number	Lake name	County	CV*	Seasonal average chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration (µg/L)		
anwer				measured	predicted from CV	
		Region 7				
643	Unnamed (22N-41E-27)	Spokane	718	-	-	
44	Woods	do.	566		-	
545	Medical, West	do.	470	-	-	
546	Queen Lucas	do.	352	-	-	
547	Otter	do.	340	-	-	
548	Alkali	do.	339	-	-	
549	Feustal	do.	322			
550	Amber	do.	303	4.4	18	
551	Ring	do.	286	-	-	
52	Unnamed (22N-40E-6)	do.	245	-		
53	Medical	do.	238	19	15	
554	Philleo	do.	200	-	_	
		do.	163		-	
555	Mason, Little	do.	147		2	
556	Mason			-		
557	Lost	Pend Oreille	133	-	-	
558	Shelley	Spokane	129	-	-	
559	Chapman	do.	114	-	-	
560	Newbell	Stevens	109	-	-	
561	Horseshoe	Spokane	108	-	-	
562	Silver	do.	102	10	8.4	
563	Frater	Pend Oreille	99	3.7	8.3	
564	Calispell	do.	98	-	-	
565	Newman	Spokane	91	-	-	
566	Unnamed (22N-41E-32)	do.	91	-	-	
567	Bear (Kuester)	do.	85	-	-	
568	Ryan	Stevens	85	-	-	
569	Perkins	do.	85	-	-	
570	Thomas	do.	83	3.8	7.3	
571	Hog (Hog Canyon)	Spokane	83	_	-	
572		Stevens	76			
573	Dilly Clear		75	9.7	6.9	
		Spokane	68	9.7	0.9	
574	Williams	Stevens		-	5	
575	Fish	Spokane	65	-	-	
576	Kent Meadows	Pend Oreille	63	-	-	
			62	5.1	6.0	
577	Gillette	Stevens				
578	Eloika	Spokane	61	-	-	
579	Hatch	Stevens	57	-	-	
580	Horseshoe	Pend Oreille	57	-	-	
581	Boundry	do.	57	-	-	
582	Cedar	Stevens	56	-	-	
583	Knight	Spokane	56	-	-	
584	Falls, Little	Stevens	55	-	-	
585	Twin (Spruce)	do.	55	-	-	
586	Diamond	Pend Oreille	54	3.1	5.4	
587	Mill	do.	54	-	-	
588	Parker	do.	53	-	-	
589	Heritage	Stevens	53	4.2	5.4	
590	Badger	Spokane	52	5.7	5.3	
591	Fan	Pend Oreille	47	-	-	
592	Trout	do.	45	-	-	
593	Leo	Stevens	43	6.8	4.7	
594	Davis	Pend Oreille	41	-	-	
595	Sacheen	do.	40	-	-	
596	White Mud	Stevens	40	-	-	
597	Williams	Spokane	40	3.7	4.5	
598	Sherry	Stevens	37	4.3	4.2	
599	Fourmile (Rainbow)	do.	36	-		
600	Waitts	do.	35	-	1	
601	Deer	do.	33	2.1	3.9	
602	Jumpoff Joe	do.	31	-	-	
603	Liberty	Spokane	31	2	-	
		Stevens	29		-	
604	Black				-	
					3.4	
					-	
605 606 607 608	Nile Pierre Yocum Skookum, South	Pend Oreille Stevens Pend Oreille do.	29 27 27 27		9.2 -	

Lake number	Lake name	County	CV*	Seasonal average chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration (µg/L)		
				measured	predicted from CV	
		Region 7continue	đ			
609	Clark	Stevens	25	-	-	
610	Power	Pend Oreille	25	-	-	
611	Nelson	Stevens	21	-	-	
512	Deep	đo.	17	-	-	
613	Marshall	Pend Oreille	15	-	-	
614	Chain	do.	14	-	-	
615	Browns	do.	10	-	-	
516	Kings	do.	9	-	-	
617	Scotchman	do.	8	-	-	

\* Higher CV's correspond to increasing total organic nitrogen and total phosphorus concentrations and decreasing Secchi-disc values.

