

Figure 1.--Location of study area.

Purpose and Scope

This report presents the results of hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of the Poplar River. Specific objectives were to determine the water-surface profile and the extent of flooding that would result from the 100-year flood.

The magnitude of the 100-year flood was

determined using techniques developed in a report by Omang and others (1986), and using data from an existing U.S. Geological Survey streamflowgaging station (station 06181000 located 4 mi north of Poplar) on the Poplar River. Forty-five channel and flood-plain cross sections were surveyed and 10 cross sections were synthesized along a 37-mi reach. Physical dimensions of hydraulic structures were measured. Manning's roughness coefficients were determined at each cross section. Field survey data were used to calculate water-surface elevations for the 100year flood at each cross section. These elevations were used to determine the lateral extent of flooding at that cross section.

at the streamflow-gaging station for the period of record (intermittently 1909-88) was 1,969.1 ft in 1955. An ice-affected stage of 1,968.4 ft was

Flow is partly regulated by a dam on the East Fork Poplar River, 2 mi north of the international boundary. This structure has no appreciable effect on the 100-year flood in the study area.

The largest known floods on the Poplar River recorded at the streamflow-gaging station were $40,000 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$ on July 10, 1946, and 37,400 ft³/s on April 6, 1954. These floods reached elevations of 1,971.3 and 1,971.1 ft, respectively. Both floods resulted from intense and prolonged

METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Standard hydrologic and hydraulic methods were used to analyze the flood hazard for the Poplar River. The magnitude of a flood that is expected to be equaled or exceeded once on the average during any 100-year period (recurrence interval) has been selected as having special significance for flood-plain management. This 100-year flood has a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year. Although the recurrence interval represents the long-term average period between floods of a specific magnitude, rare floods could occur at shorter intervals or even within the same year. The analyses reported herein reflect flooding potentials based on conditions existing in the community and area at the completion of this

The flood discharge at the streamflowgaging station was transferred from the station to the upstream end of the study using the following transfer equation developed by Omang and others (1986, p. 23).

feet per second.

 Q_{+} = flood magnitude being estimated, in cubic feet per second; Au = drainage area at ungaged site, in

square miles; Ag = drainage area at gaged site, in square Q_+ = flood magnitude at gaged site, in cubic

The transfer method gives reasonably accurate results when the ungaged drainage area does not differ from the gaged drainage area by more than about 50 percent. In the reach analyzed, the drainage area at the upstream end $(2,830 \text{ mi}^2)$ differs from the drainage area at the gaging station (3,174 mi²) by 10.8 percent. The flooddischarge value computed by the transfer method was used from the mouth of the West Fork downstream to cross section 40, then was increased at cross section 39 to the discharge computed at the streamflow-gaging station for the rest of the study reach. The change was made at cross section 39 because of significant tributary inflow from Hay Creek at that location. The results determined by the transfer method are given in

Table 1.--Summary of 100-year discharges

100-year

flood Drainage area discharge (cubic feet Flooding (square miles) per second) source Poplar River downstream 2,830 61,000 from West Fork to cross section 40 65,000 Poplar River near Poplar 3,174

Hydraulic Analysis

The hydraulic characteristics of the channel cross sections along the Poplar River were analyzed to determine the elevations of the 100year flood. These elevations were then used as the basis for delineating the width of the flood plain that would be inundated.

Cross-section data were obtained from field surveys conducted during the summer of 1989. Forty-five cross sections were surveyed and 10 were synthesized. The synthesized cross sections (sections 10, 11, 19, 30, 36, 37, 41, 44, 50, and 53 on the principal map) were estimated from adjacent surveyed sections and topographic maps. Structural geometry and elevations were also obtained for five bridges. Cross sections were located upstream and downstream from the bridges to permit computation of the backwater effects of these structures. Cross sections typical of channel and flood-plain conditions in the upstream and downstream reaches of the study area are shown in figures 3 and 4. A cross section typical of channel conditions at bridges is shown

AND LOCATION OF ELEVATION REFERENCE MARKS

The roughness of the stream channel affects the elevation of floods. Channel-roughness coefficients (Manning's "n") used in the hydraulic computations were based on engineering judgment of onsite observations of flood-plain areas. Roughness values along the Poplar River range from 0.030 to 0.040 for the main channel and from 0.045 to 0.065 for the overbank channel.

Water-surface elevations for the 100-year flood were computed using a step-backwater computer program (WSPRO) developed by the U.S. Geological Survey for the Federal Highway Administration (Shearman and others, 1986). In this computer program, the surveyed cross sections are used to define the hydraulic characteristics of the channel. The location of each cross section was selected to represent the hydraulic characteristics of a reach, and each section was surveyed to define its shape. The starting water-surface elevation used to compute the 100-year flood profile for the Poplar River at the downstream end of the study area was determined by using a slope-conveyance computation to estimate normal depth. This elevation approximated the estimated 100-year flood elevation for the Missouri River at Poplar near the same location. The computed elevation for the 100-year flood was converted to the datum used for the streamflow-gaging station and plotted on the stage-discharge relation curve (fig. 6). The stage-discharge relation matches the computed, theoretical water-surface elevation to within 0.4 ft. The close agreement helps to substantiate the hydraulic model used.

The water-surface profile for the 100-year flood (fig. 7) was drawn for the entire reach within the study area. The profile shows the location of the cross sections used in the

WATER-RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS REPORT 90-4169

(Sheet 1 of 2)

The hydraulic analyses were based on unobstructed flow. The flood elevations shown on the profile thus are considered to be valid only if hydraulic structures remain unobstructed and

For the assumption of gradually varied steady-state flow to be valid within a stream reach, the distance between cross sections needs to be short. However, for the great distance of the Poplar River involved in this study, the cost to survey sufficient cross sections to satisfy the step-backwater computation would have been prohibitive. Therefore, the number of cross sections surveyed for this report is limited. In the future, if additional cross sections are added to the WSPRO computer program for the Poplar River, computed water-surface elevations associated with the estimated peak discharge from

All field surveys and elevations are referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929. The data were tied to a network of control points, and elevation reference marks were established during the field surveys. Locations of reference marks are shown on the principal map and descriptions of the reference marks are given in table 2.

FLOOD BOUNDARIES

a 100-year flood could be subject to change.

The flood boundaries along the stream define an area that would be inundated as a result of the 100-year flood. For this study, the 100-year flood boundaries were delineated using flood elevations determined at each cross section. Between cross sections, where survey data were unavailable, the flood boundaries were interpolated using the contour lines on topographic maps. Some contour lines on the Geddart Lake quadrangle (between cross sections 26 and 30) did not agree with the elevations shown on the surveyed cross sections; those lines were adjusted using field-survey data.

The 100-year flood boundaries are shown on the principal map. Small flood-plain areas within the flood boundaries may lie above the flood elevations, but cannot be shown owing to limitations of the map scale or lack of detailed topographic data.